IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN CHAMBERS (X) IN OPEN COURT ()

SPECIAL MASTER GEORGE A. SCHADE, JR. Presiding

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE DATE: March 26, 2004

CIVIL NO. W1-103

ORDER REGARDING SRP'S MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF ITS OBJECTIONS TO CITIES' "EXHIBITS" ATTACHED TO CITIES' OPENING BRIEF

CONTESTED CASE NAME: In re Subflow Technical Report, San Pedro River Watershed.

HSR INVOLVED: None.

DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: The Special Master orders the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale to respond to the Salt River Project's Motion for Expedited Consideration of its Objections to Cities' "Exhibits" Attached to Post-Hearing Opening Brief.

NUMBER OF PAGES: 2 pgs.

DATE OF FILING: Original filed with the Clerk of the Court on March 26, 2004.

ORDER

On March 23, 2004, the Salt River Project ("SRP") filed Objections to the "Exhibits" that the Cities of Chandler, Glendale, Mesa, and Scottsdale ("Cities") Attached to the Cities'

Post-Hearing Opening Brief. On March 24, 2004, SRP filed a Motion for Expedited Consideration of Its Objections.

SRP argues that it "and the other parties need to know whether the Cities" 'exhibits' will remain part of the record, so that they can know whether to respond to those 'exhibits' (and the Cities' associated arguments) on April 12," when responses are due pursuant to the set briefing schedule.

The Special Master will take up SRP's motion and will allow other parties to file responses. The time for filing responses and a reply will be expedited in order to resolve this matter, if possible, with reasonable time before April 12, 2004. *See* Ariz. R. Civ. P. 7.1(c).

IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. The Cities shall, and any other parties may, file a response to SRP's Objections and Motion for Expedited Consideration of Its Objections to Cities' "Exhibits" Attached to Post-Hearing Opening Brief on or before **Friday, April 2, 2004**.
- 2. The Cities shall serve a copy of their response upon SRP's counsel by facsimile. To the most practical extent possible, copies of all papers shall be served upon counsel and parties by facsimile, but facsimile transmission will not replace service of a copy by mail.
 - 3. SRP may file a reply on or before **Tuesday**, **April 6**, **2004**.
 - 4. The motion will be decided promptly without oral argument.

DATED: March 26, 2004.

/s/ George A. Schade, Jr. GEORGE A. SCHADE, JR. Special Master

The foregoing delivered this 26th day of March, 2004, to the Distribution Center, Maricopa County Superior Court Clerk's office, for copying and mailing to those parties who appear on the Court-approved mailing list for Case No. W-1, W-2, W-3, and W-4 (Consolidated) dated October 6, 2003. The office of the Special Master also served a copy of this order by facsimile upon the parties for which it has a facsimile number.

/s/ KDolge	
Kathy Dolge	