

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

07/18/2019

CLERK OF THE COURT
FORM V000

SPECIAL WATER MASTER
SUSAN HARRIS

A. Hatfield

Deputy

In re: Bower
Contested Case No. W1-11-3318

FILED: 07/25/2019

In Re: The General Adjudication
of All Rights to Use Water in the
Gila River System and Source
W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re: Status Conference

MINUTE ENTRY

Courtroom: CCB 301

2:50 p.m. This is the time set for a status conference before Special Master Susan Ward Harris to adjudicate the validity of the claim made by Russell L. Richardson and Sheila C. Richardson for water for domestic and irrigation uses.

The following attorneys appear in-person: Mark McGinnis on behalf of Salt River Project; and Joe Sparks on behalf of San Carlos Apache Tribe and Tonto Apache Tribe.

The following attorneys appear telephonically: Kimberly Parks on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources; landowner Russell Richardson; and Jay Tomkus on behalf of Pascua Yaqui Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation.

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

The Court states that there are two abstracts that were prepared in this case, one for domestic use and one for irrigation use, in section 34 T6S R17E. The Court asks if landowner, Russell Richardson, has any objections to the proposed abstracts. Mr. Richardson has no objections.

Mr. McGinnis, Mr. Sparks, and Mr. Tomkus have no objections to the abstracts.

The Court finds that all objectors have had an opportunity to review the proposed abstracts and assert any objections and no objections having been made,

IT IS ORDERED that the issued abstracts be finalized and added to the catalog.

The remaining issue concerns the priority date for the claim for irrigation water rights for 0.15 acres that is located in section 3 T7S R17E. Discussion is held.

The Court asks Mr. Sparks to file a notice in this case of title documents located and filed in *in re Geldmacher* with regards to title documents involving the north half of section 3 T7S R17E.

The Court takes no further action as this time.

Mr. McGinnis states that SRP objects to a 1956 priority date for reasons stated on the record.

3:01 p.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.