

Bilingual by default: Voice Assistants and the role of code-switching in creating a bilingual user experience

Helin Cihan
University College Dublin
Dublin, Ireland
esna.ogutcucihan@ucdconnect.ie

Yunhan Wu
University College Dublin
Dublin, Ireland
yunhan.wu@ucdconnect.ie

Paola Peña
University College Dublin
Dublin, Ireland
paola.pena@ucdconnect.ie

Justin Edwards
University College Dublin
Dublin, Ireland
justin.edwards@ucdconnect.ie

Benjamin R. Cowan
University College Dublin
Dublin, Ireland
benjamin.cowan@ucd.ie

ABSTRACT

Conversational User Interfaces such as Voice Assistants are hugely popular. Yet they are designed to be monolingual by default, lacking support for, or sensitivity to, the bilingual dialogue experience. In this provocation paper, we highlight the language production challenges faced in VA interaction for bilingual users. We argue that, by facilitating phenomena seen in bilingual interaction, such as code-switching, we can foster a more inclusive and improved user experience for bilingual users. We also explore ways that this might be achieved, through the support of multiple language recognition as well as being sensitive to the preferences of code-switching in speech output.

CCS CONCEPTS

• **Human-centered computing** → **Natural language interfaces; Accessibility design and evaluation methods.**

KEYWORDS

speech interface, voice user interface, intelligent personal assistants, non-native speakers, code-switching

ACM Reference Format:

Helin Cihan, Yunhan Wu, Paola Peña, Justin Edwards, and Benjamin R. Cowan. 2022. Bilingual by default: Voice Assistants and the role of code-switching in creating a bilingual user experience. In *4th Conference on Conversational User Interfaces (CUI 2022)*, July 26–28, 2022, Glasgow, United Kingdom. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 4 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3543829.3544511>

1 INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Today, most of the world’s population can speak more than one language [18]. As conversational user interfaces (CUIs) proliferate rapidly, there is an opportunity for voice assistants to become sensitive to this fact, supporting bilingual interaction. CUIs such as voice assistants (VAs) are regularly used in one on one interactions as well as in situations where there are multiple users conversing

with the VA as well as each other (e.g. [33]). Current VAs are designed to be monolingual by default, interacting with users based on the language they use to converse with the system or based on the language selected by the user. Indeed many VA users tend to engage in their second language, or risk being excluded from VA based functionality as functional coverage is not uniform [42]. Yet bilinguals do not tend to engage in only one language when conversing with each other. For instance, both low and high proficient bilinguals commonly change between languages while speaking, sometimes within one utterance [23, 36]. This mixing of languages, or code-switching, occurs when speakers replace words, phrases or sentences in one language with another during communication [19, 29], and is one of the most prominent phenomena that arise in bilingual conversation [26, 38]. This provocation paper argues that, when interacting with bilinguals or in bilingual groups, rather than being monolingual by default, our voice assistants need to move from being monolingual to become multilingual by default. VAs should not only become sensitive to the patterns of language use we see in multilingual communities but indeed adopt these same patterns when conversing with bilingual and multilingual users and groups. Only then will VAs be able to support the interaction patterns in multilingual interaction. Our paper specifically highlights the challenges faced when talking in a second language, focusing on the concept of code-switching as a way for VAs to start facilitating bilingual dialogue experiences.

2 THE CHALLENGE OF INTERACTING IN A SECOND LANGUAGE

Bilingual speakers are skilled linguistic multitaskers who routinely face cognitive challenges while speaking. For instance, when bilingual people communicate, both language systems become activated [13, 26, 27] and this simultaneous activation obliges the bilingual speaker to keep two languages separate during speech by inhibiting their non-target language [1, 6, 20]. Although this continuous control is known to induce beneficial effects on certain non-verbal cognitive skills (e.g. [7, 12, 22, 30]) verbal skills of bilinguals in each of their languages tend to be weaker than those of monolinguals in either language [6], with both bilingual children and adults possessing a smaller receptive vocabulary size in each of their languages in comparison to monolingual peers in a given language [8, 9]. Even among highly proficient bilinguals this language co-activation may result in less efficient non-dominant (L2)

Permission to make digital or hard copies of part or all of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

CUI 2022, July 26–28, 2022, Glasgow, United Kingdom

© 2022 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 978-1-4503-9739-1/22/07.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3543829.3544511>

language production compared to dominant language (L1). Lexical access disadvantages in bilinguals during language production compared to monolinguals may also present themselves even in bilingual speakers' L1 [10, 24]. In sum, retrieval from one's lexicon for production is more effortful for bilinguals compared to monolinguals.

3 THE LANGUAGE USE PROBLEM IN L2 CUI INTERACTION

Interacting with speech agents such as Google Assistant, Amazon Alexa, and Apple's Siri has become ubiquitous. Currently not all languages are supported across speech agents [25], which forces many users to use their L2 rather than their L1 to interact with speech interfaces. Recent research has investigated the challenges L2 speakers face in VA interaction [34, 35, 41, 42]. Some of these works have focused especially on bilingual speakers with an L1 that differs greatly in phonological, lexical and syntactic systems from their L2, such as Mandarin and English [41, 42]. In such cases, L2 speakers exert additional mental effort in producing accurate L2 speech during interaction [40]. For some bilingual speakers, strictly interacting with a VA in an L2 monolingual interaction significantly increases mental effort compared to an L1 monolingual interaction [41], and such an environment may lead them to disengage [17]. In this way, VA interactions as they currently exist disadvantage bilingual speakers, making language use more challenging by limiting interaction to a single language in which they are sometimes not perfectly proficient. Rather than hindering, we feel that VAs should support bilingual behaviours, leveraging phenomena frequently seen in bilingual interactions. Below we focus in particular on how supporting the phenomena of code-switching could support bilingual users.

4 CODE-SWITCHING RECOGNITION CAN IMPROVE CUI UNDERSTANDING

Code-switching allows bilinguals to shuttle between two languages, and in some cases, this voluntary use may be less effortful than confinement to one language [15, 19]. Bilingual speakers may face particular challenges in L2 VA interaction, not because they do not know a particular word, but that they have a hard time retrieving it from their lexicon due to its infrequent use [21], especially when they are tired or distracted and try to compensate for their deficiency [14, 39]. Supporting simultaneous recognition of multiple languages by the VA would lead to easier and faster language production for bilingual speakers who experience retrieval difficulty or due to a low degree of proficiency, face more effortful L2 production [15, 16, 21, 37]. Facilitating code-switching in this context would allow bilinguals to use the language that they felt most easily allowed them to produce specific parts of commands that they are finding hard to retrieve. Such recognition functionality would be particularly helpful for low-proficient bilinguals who use their non-dominant language to interact with VAs.

For bilingual speakers, especially those confined to using VAs only in their L2, recognition of speech that utilises code-switching presents a major opportunity to decrease the cognitive burden of language production seen in L2 VA interaction [41]. Recent work has already demonstrated the practical feasibility of recognising

code-switched natural language in text-based chatbots using combinations of both Spanish and English as well as Hindi and English [2, 31]. These studies demonstrated high user satisfaction and conversational success when bilingual users were empowered to use code-switching while interacting with a chatbot, suggesting that this is indeed a rich vein for the improvement of CUIs more generally.

Yet recognizing code-switched speech is no easy task of course. Bilinguals differ in their use of code-switching, some of them may be highly prone to use this switch bidirectionally, whereas others may use a unidirectional approach [3]. Likewise, analysis of code-switching between Spanish and English has revealed some strategies that individual bilingual speakers tend to use which may be idiosyncratic to particular language pairs [11]. Identifying these strategies for a given pair of languages can act as the first step toward bilingual speech recognition, as demonstrated by Ahn et al. [2]. Cues for code-switching strategies like syntactic structures, lexical choice, gestures, and voice intonation are sent and recognized constantly by bilingual speakers as they align their speech patterns in natural dialogue [4, 29]. Furthermore, code-switching is easier if both languages have the same word order [26]. For instance, Backus [4] gives the illustrative example of German spoken in Australia, where English is the dominant language and code-switching between the two languages is common. Australian German sentences have taken on English syntactic patterns, following a subject-verb-object (SVO) structure rather than the verb-second in standard German, owing to the increased ease of code-switching presented by matching syntaxes. For this reason, it may be easier for VAs to support code-switching between similar languages, with distant languages presenting a larger challenge. Even a general understanding of the strategies people use to code-switch can help with this challenge however, as work on a chatbot that can recognize code-switched language including English and Hindi - a language with a different syntactic structure than English - has demonstrated [31]. It is clear that improvements to how we understand code-switching behaviour can lead to improvements in how VAs understand code-switching. For this reason, we believe more focus on code-switching as a language behaviour, including the implementation of code-switching recognition in VAs, is necessary for accomplishing the goal of increasing the accessibility of VAs to bilingual speakers.

5 VOICE ASSISTANTS AS CODE SWITCHERS

For some, code-switching might be preferred to aid in the production of language. Yet others may also want their VAs to code-switch in their speech output, making it easier to understand VA speech output whilst making VA interactions more akin to human-human bilingual interactions. We therefore propose that VAs should not only recognise code-switching but that they should also allow users to choose whether or not their interface will code-switch when producing speech. Accessible and usable speech interfaces should optionally perform code-switching or produce utterances monolingually based on the preferences of the user while recognizing code-switched speech, in order to support users with diverse code-switching preferences. For those who want their VA to code-switch,

designers must decide how the VA should coordinate that code-switching. We propose that code-switching strategies could be accounted for by observing how and when users employ coordinate their code-switching when interacting with the agent, followed by implementing linguistic alignment or entrainment [5, 28, 32] by the VA in the conversation. While some studies applied code-switching strategies in chatbots [2, 31], future VAs might adapt more dynamically, aligning with users' code-switching strategies as they occur. Just as bilingual speakers can decode simultaneous bilingual speech, we propose that speech interactions with VAs would be more natural and efficient, if they were capable of employing similar decodable skills.

6 CONCLUSION

Speech-based conversational systems are becoming immensely popular whereas users are still excessively burdened by the imposed monolingualism of VA interaction. VA technologies, as well as CUIs more broadly, must consider the different ways people use language, particularly the ways bilingual speakers adapt their language production, which has largely been ignored in the development of speech interfaces to date. Doing so will make speech interfaces accessible to new audiences and improve the user experience of a significant portion of the population. We envision code-switching VAs as a key driver of a more successful human-machine dialogue in the future. Improving CUIs, and particularly speech interfaces in this way will improve the user experience of many current users, and make this already popular technology accessible to an even wider audience around the world.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was conducted with the financial support of the UCD China Scholarship Council (CSC) Scheme grant No. 201908300016, Science Foundation Ireland ADAPT Centre under Grant No. 13/RC/2106 and the Science Foundation Ireland Centre for Research Training in Digitally-Enhanced Reality (D-REAL) under Grant No. 18/CRT/6224.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jubin Abutalebi and David Green. 2007. Bilingual language production: The neurocognition of language representation and control. *Journal of Neurolinguistics* 20, 3 (May 2007), 242–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroling.2006.10.003>
- [2] Emily Ahn, Cecilia Jimenez, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Alan Black. 2020. What code-switching strategies are effective in dialogue systems? *Proceedings of the Society for Computation in Linguistics*. (2020). <https://doi.org/10.7275/CV36-2C04>
- [3] Mark Antoniou, Catherine T. Best, Michael D. Tyler, and Christian Kroos. 2011. Inter-language interference in VOT production by L2-dominant bilinguals: Asymmetries in phonetic code-switching. *Journal of Phonetics* 39, 4 (Oct. 2011), 558–570. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wocn.2011.03.001>
- [4] Ad Backus. 2005. Codeswitching and language change: One thing leads to another? *International Journal of Bilingualism* 9, 3-4 (2005), 307–340.
- [5] Štefan Beňuš, Agustín Gravano, and Julia Hirschberg. 2011. Pragmatic aspects of temporal accommodation in turn-taking. *Journal of Pragmatics* 43, 12 (2011), 3001–3027.
- [6] Ellen Bialystok, Fergus I.M. Craik, and Gigi Luk. 2012. Bilingualism: consequences for mind and brain. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 16, 4 (April 2012), 240–250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2012.03.001>
- [7] Ellen Bialystok, Fergus I. M. Craik, Raymond Klein, and Mythili Viswanathan. 2004. Bilingualism, aging, and cognitive control: evidence from the Simon task. *Psychology and Aging* 19, 2 (2004), 290–303. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0882-7974.19.2.290>
- [8] Ellen Bialystok and Gigi Luk. 2012. Receptive vocabulary differences in monolingual and bilingual adults. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 15, 2 (April 2012), 397–401. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S136672891100040X>
- [9] Ellen Bialystok, Gigi Luk, Kathleen F. Peets, and Sujin Yang. 2010. Receptive vocabulary differences in monolingual and bilingual children. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 13, 4 (Oct. 2010), 525–531. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728909990423>
- [10] Francesca M. Branzi, Marco Calabria, and Albert Costa. 2018. Cross-linguistic/bilingual language production. In *The Oxford Handbook of Psycholinguistics*, Shirley-Ann Rueschemeyer and M. Gareth Gaskell (Eds.). Oxford University Press, 460–481. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198786825.013.19>
- [11] Barbara E. Bullock, Gualberto Guzmán, Jacqueline Serigos, and Almeida Jacqueline Toribio. 2018. Should code-switching models be asymmetric?. In *Interspeech 2018*. ISCA, 2534–2538. <https://doi.org/10.21437/Interspeech.2018-1284>
- [12] Albert Costa, Mireia Hernández, Jordi Costa-Faidella, and Núria Sebastián-Gallés. 2009. On the bilingual advantage in conflict processing: Now you see it, now you don't. *Cognition* 113, 2 (Nov. 2009), 135–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2009.08.001>
- [13] Albert Costa, Mireia Hernández, and Núria Sebastián-Gallés. 2008. Bilingualism aids conflict resolution: Evidence from the ANT task. *Cognition* 106, 1 (Jan. 2008), 59–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cognition.2006.12.013>
- [14] David Crystal. 2019. *The Cambridge encyclopedia of the English language* (third edition ed.). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge New York, NY Port Melbourne New Delhi Singapore.
- [15] Angela de Bruin. 2019. Not All Bilinguals Are the Same: A Call for More Detailed Assessments and Descriptions of Bilingual Experiences. *Behavioral Sciences* 9, 3 (March 2019), 33. <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs9030033>
- [16] Zoltán Dörnyei and Judit Kormos. 1998. Problem-solving mechanisms in L2 communication: a psycholinguistic perspective. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition* 20, 3 (Sept. 1998), 349–385. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263198003039>
- [17] David W. Green and Jubin Abutalebi. 2013. Language control in bilinguals: The adaptive control hypothesis. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology* 25, 5 (Aug. 2013), 515–530. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10.1080/20445911.2013.796377>
- [18] François Grosjean. 2012. *Bilingual: life and reality* (first harvard university press paperback edition ed.). Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, and London, England.
- [19] François Grosjean, Krista Byers-Heinlein, Mark Antoniou, Theres Grüter, Robert J. Hartsuiker, Elizabeth D. Peña, Lisa M. Bedore, and Lu-Feng Shi. 2018. *The listening bilingual: speech perception, comprehension, and bilingualism* (1 ed.). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118853722>
- [20] Taomei Guo, Hongyan Liu, Maya Misra, and Judith F. Kroll. 2011. Local and global inhibition in bilingual word production: fMRI evidence from Chinese–English bilinguals. *NeuroImage* 56, 4 (June 2011), 2300–2309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.03.049>
- [21] Roberto R. Heredia and Jeanette Altarriba. 2001. Bilingual language mixing: why do bilinguals code-switch? *Current Directions in Psychological Science* 10, 5 (Oct. 2001), 164–168. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.00140>
- [22] Mireia Hernández, Albert Costa, Luis J. Fuentes, Ana B. Vivas, and Núria Sebastián-Gallés. 2010. The impact of bilingualism on the executive control and orienting networks of attention. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 13, 3 (July 2010), 315–325. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728909990010>
- [23] Ludmila Isurin, Donald Winford, and Kees De Bot (Eds.). 2009. *Multidisciplinary approaches to code switching*. Number v. 41 in Studies in bilingualism. John Benjamins Pub. Company, Philadelphia, Pa.
- [24] Iva Ivanova and Albert Costa. 2008. Does bilingualism hamper lexical access in speech production? *Acta Psychologica* 127, 2 (Feb. 2008), 277–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actpsy.2007.06.003>
- [25] Bret Kinsella. 2019. Google Assistant Now Supports Simplified Chinese on Android Smartphones. <http://bit.ly/30Yg8qN>. Accessed 27th Jan 2020.
- [26] G.J. Kootstra. 2012. *Code-switching in monologue and dialogue: activation and alignment in bilingual language production*. PhD Thesis. s.n.], S.I.
- [27] Judith F. Kroll and A. M. B. de Groot. 2005. Automaticity in bilingualism and second language. In *Handbook of bilingualism: psycholinguistic approaches*. Oxford University Press, Oxford ; New York, 371–388.
- [28] Rivka Levitan, Stefan Benus, Ramiro H Gálvez, Agustín Gravano, Florencia Savoretti, Marian Trnka, Andreas Weise, and Julia Hirschberg. 2016. Implementing Acoustic-Prosodic Entrainment in a Conversational Avatar. In *Interspeech*, Vol. 16. San Francisco, CA, 1166–1170.
- [29] Ping Li. 1996. Spoken word recognition of code-switched words by Chinese–English bilinguals. *Journal of Memory and Language* 35, 6 (Dec. 1996), 757–774. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jmla.1996.0039>
- [30] Michelle M. Martin-Rhee and Ellen Bialystok. 2008. The development of two types of inhibitory control in monolingual and bilingual children. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition* 11, 1 (March 2008), 81–93. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728907003227>
- [31] Tanmay Parekh, Emily Ahn, Yulia Tsvetkov, and Alan W Black. 2020. Understanding linguistic accommodation in code-switched human-machine dialogues. In *Proceedings of the 24th Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning*. Association for Computational Linguistics, Online, 565–577. <https://doi.org/10.18653/v1/2020.conll-1.46>

- [32] Martin J. Pickering and Simon Garrod. 2004. Toward a mechanistic psychology of dialogue. *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* 27, 02 (April 2004). <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0140525X04000056>
- [33] Martin Porcheron, Joel E Fischer, Stuart Reeves, and Sarah Sharples. 2018. Voice interfaces in everyday life. In *proceedings of the 2018 CHI conference on human factors in computing systems*. 1–12.
- [34] Aung Pyae and Paul Scifleet. 2018. Investigating Differences between Native English and Non-Native English Speakers in Interacting with a Voice User Interface: A Case of Google Home. In *Proceedings of the 30th Australian Conference on Computer-Human Interaction (Melbourne, Australia) (OzCHI '18)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 548–553. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3292147.3292236>
- [35] Aung Pyae and Paul Scifleet. 2019. Investigating the Role of User’s English Language Proficiency in Using a Voice User Interface: A Case of Google Home Smart Speaker. In *Extended Abstracts of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (Glasgow, Scotland Uk) (CHI EA '19)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 6 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3290607.3313038>
- [36] David Sankoff and Shana Poplack. 1981. A formal grammar for code-switching ¹\$. *Paper in Linguistics* 14, 1 (Jan. 1981), 3–45. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08351818109370523>
- [37] Norman Segalowitz and Jan Hulstijn. 2005. Automaticity in Bilingualism and Second Language Learning. In *Handbook of bilingualism: Psycholinguistic approaches*. Oxford University Press, New York, NY, US, 371–388.
- [38] Sunayana Sitaram, Khyathi Raghavi Chandu, Sai Krishna Rallabandi, and Alan W. Black. 2019. A Survey of Code-switched Speech and Language Processing. *CoRR* abs/1904.00784 (2019). arXiv:1904.00784 <http://arxiv.org/abs/1904.00784>
- [39] Richard Skiba. 1997. Code Switching as a Countenance of Language Interference. *The Internet TESL Journal* III, 10 (1997).
- [40] Catherine Watson, Wei Liu, and Bruce MacDonald. 2013. The effect of age and native speaker status on synthetic speech intelligibility. In *Proceedings of the 8th ISCA Workshop on Speech Synthesis (SSW 8)*. ISCA, Grenoble, France, 195–200.
- [41] Yunhan Wu, Justin Edwards, Orla Cooney, Anna Bleakley, Philip R. Doyle, Leigh Clark, Daniel Rough, and Benjamin R. Cowan. 2020. Mental workload and language production in non-native speaker ipa interaction. In *Proceedings of the 2nd Conference on Conversational User Interfaces*. ACM, Bilbao Spain, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3405755.3406118>
- [42] Yunhan Wu, Daniel Rough, Anna Bleakley, Justin Edwards, Orla Cooney, Philip R. Doyle, Leigh Clark, and Benjamin R. Cowan. 2020. See what i’m saying? Comparing intelligent personal assistant use for native and non-native language speakers. In *22nd International Conference on Human-Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*. ACM, Oldenburg Germany, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3379503.3403563>