

Palette Sparsification for Graphs with Sparse Neighborhoods

Abhishek Dhawan*

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign

Abstract

A celebrated *palette sparsification* result of Assadi, Chen, and Khanna states that in every n -vertex graph of maximum degree Δ , sampling $\Theta(\log n)$ colors per vertex from $\{1, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$ almost certainly allows for a proper coloring from the sampled colors. Alon and Assadi extended this work proving a similar result for $O(\Delta/\log \Delta)$ -coloring triangle-free graphs. Apart from being interesting results from a combinatorial standpoint, their results have various applications to the design of graph coloring algorithms in different models of computation.

In this work, we focus on *locally sparse graphs*, i.e., graphs with sparse neighborhoods. We say a graph $G = (V, E)$ is k -locally-sparse if for each vertex $v \in V$, the subgraph $G[N(v)]$ contains at most k edges. A celebrated result of Alon, Krivelevich, and Sudakov shows that such graphs are $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ -colorable. For any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $k \ll \Delta^{2\alpha}$, let G be a k -locally-sparse graph. We show the following for $q = \Theta\left(\Delta/\log\left(\Delta^\alpha/\sqrt{k}\right)\right)$:

- Sampling $O\left(\Delta^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n}\right)$ colors per vertex is sufficient to obtain a proper q -coloring of G from the sampled colors.
- There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}\left(n \Delta^{2\alpha}\right)$ space.
- There exists a randomized non-adaptive sublinear-time algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using at most $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right)$ queries.

Setting $k = 1$ recovers the aforementioned result of Alon and Assadi for triangle-free graphs. For larger k , our algorithmic results are an improvement over earlier work of Alon and Assadi.

We establish our palette sparsification result in the more general setting of correspondence coloring where the structural constraints are placed on the correspondence cover as opposed to on the underlying graph. Such a notion has gathered much interest in recent years. A key element in our proof is a proposition which determines sufficient conditions on the correspondence cover \mathcal{H} for G to admit a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring. This result improves upon recent work of Anderson, Kuchukova, and the author and is of independent interest.

*Email: adhawan2@illinois.edu.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
1.1	Background	3
1.2	Main Results	6
1.3	Proof Overview	7
1.4	Concluding Remarks	9
2	Preliminaries	10
2.1	Correspondence Coloring	10
2.2	Probabilistic Tools	13
3	Palette Sparsification for Locally Sparse Graphs	13
4	Sublinear Algorithms from Palette Sparsification	15
4.1	A Streaming Algorithm	16
4.2	A Sublinear-Time Algorithm	17
A	Proof of Proposition 2.3	21
A.1	The Wasteful Coloring Procedure	22
A.2	Proof of Lemma A.2	24
A.3	The Recursion	30
B	A Meta Correspondence Coloring Algorithm	34

1 Introduction

1.1 Background

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex graph of maximum degree Δ . For $q \in \mathbb{N}$, a **proper q -coloring** of G is a function $\phi : V \rightarrow [q]$ (here, $[q] = \{1, \dots, q\}$) such that $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ whenever $uv \in E$. The **chromatic number** of G (denoted $\chi(G)$) is the minimum value q for which G admits a proper q -coloring. Introduced independently by Vizing [Viz76] and Erdős, Rubin, and Taylor [ERT79], list coloring is a generalization of graph coloring in which each vertex is assigned a color from its own predetermined list of colors. We define list coloring formally as follows (for a set X , 2^X denotes the power set of X):

Definition 1.1 (List Coloring). Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A **list assignment** for G is a function $L : V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$. A **proper L -coloring** is a function $\phi : V \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each $v \in V$ and $\phi(u) \neq \phi(v)$ for each edge $uv \in E$. We call a list assignment **q -fold** if $|L(v)| \geq q$ for each $v \in V$. The **list chromatic number** of G (denoted $\chi_\ell(G)$) is the minimum value q for which G admits a proper L -coloring for every q -fold list assignment L for G .

It is well-known that $\chi(G) \leq \Delta + 1$. In fact, such a coloring can be obtained by a simple greedy algorithm with running time $O(n\Delta)$: simply pick uncolored vertices in an arbitrary order and assign a color to a vertex not yet assigned to any of its neighbors; since the maximum degree is Δ , such a color always exists. When processing dense graphs, even this simple algorithm can be computationally prohibitive. This is due to various limitations which arise in processing massive graphs such as requiring to process the graph in a streaming fashion, on a single machine, in parallel across multiple machines due to storage limitations, or simply not having enough time to read the entire input. This motivates the design of **sublinear algorithms**, i.e., algorithms which require computational resources that are substantially smaller than the size of their input. Assadi, Chen, and Khanna [ACK19] first studied sublinear algorithms for the $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring problem. The following technical result is a key part of their proof.

Theorem 1.1 ([ACK19, Theorem 1]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex graph of maximum degree Δ . Define a list assignment L for G by sampling $L(v)$ independently for each vertex $v \in V$ from the $\Theta(\log n)$ -size subsets of $[\Delta + 1]$. Then, G admits a proper L -coloring with high probability¹.*

Theorem 1.1 is an example of a so-called **palette sparsification** result. Assadi, Chen, and Khanna show how a palette sparsification result can be used to define efficient sublinear algorithms [ACK19]. The idea of their method, in a nutshell, is as follows. Suppose we are trying to compute a proper q -coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$, but, due to limited computational resources, we cannot keep track of all the edges of G at once. Let us independently sample, for each vertex $v \in V$, a random set $L(v)$ of colors of size $|L(v)| = s \ll q$. Define

$$E_{\text{conflict}} := \{uv \in E : L(u) \cap L(v) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

If we color G by assigning to every vertex $v \in V$ a color from the corresponding set $L(v)$, then only the edges in E_{conflict} may become monochromatic, so instead of working with the entire edge set of G , we only need to keep track of the edges in the (potentially much smaller) set E_{conflict} . For this strategy to succeed, we must ensure that, with high probability, it is indeed possible to

¹Throughout this paper, “with high probability” means with probability at least $1 - 1/\text{poly}(n)$.

properly color G using the colors from the sets $L(v)$. Through standard probabilistic techniques, one can show that for $q = \Delta + 1$ and $s = \Theta(\log n)$, the total number of edges in E_{conflict} is with high probability only $\tilde{O}(n)$ ². Theorem 1.1 thus reduces the $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring problem to a list-coloring problem on a graph with much fewer edges.

In [KK23], Kahn and Kenney tightened the result of Theorem 1.1 by showing that sampling $(1 + o(1)) \log n$ colors per vertex is enough (which is best possible). The literature on the subject of palette sparsification is quite extensive, especially since notions closely related to it have also been studied independently of their algorithmic applications, with a particular attention in recent years directed to the case of list-*edge*-coloring from random lists. For a sample of results concerning palette sparsification from the algorithmic perspective, see [AA20; AKM22; BCG20; Hal+22]; for a more purely probabilistic focus, see, e.g., [ABD22; Cas11; Cas12; Cas14; Cas18; CH16; JP24; Kan+23; Kee22; KN04; KN06; LS19].

The approach of Assadi, Chen, and Khanna discussed earlier yields a framework for applying palette sparsification theorems in a black-box manner to develop q -coloring algorithms (for appropriate values of q and s) in a variety of classical models of sublinear computation, including (dynamic) graph streaming algorithms, sublinear time/query algorithms, and massively parallel computation (MPC) algorithms. Motivated by Theorem 1.1 and the aforementioned algorithmic implications, a natural question to ask is the following:

What other graph coloring problems admit similar palette sparsification theorems?

This is the main focus of this paper.

A central area of research in graph coloring aims to determine the chromatic number of special families of graphs. A celebrated result of Johansson [Joh96a] provides the bound $\chi(G) = O(\Delta / \log \Delta)$ for triangle-free graphs (see a simplified proof with a constant factor of $1 + o(1)$ in [Mol19]; see also [AA20; Ber19; PS15]). Alongside several other palette sparsification theorems, Alon and Assadi prove the following result for $O(\Delta / \log \Delta)$ -coloring triangle-free graphs:

Theorem 1.2 ([AA20, Theorem 2]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex triangle-free graph of maximum degree Δ , and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $q = 9\Delta / \log(\Delta^\alpha)$. Define a list assignment L for G by sampling $L(v)$ independently for each vertex $v \in V$ from the $\Theta(\Delta^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n})$ -size subsets of $[q]$. Then, G admits a proper L -coloring with high probability.*

In a similar flavor, Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author considered the family of $K_{1,s,t}$ -free graphs [ABD22], where $K_{1,s,t}$ is the complete tripartite graph with partitions of size 1, s , and t . As a corollary to their main theorem, they obtain a palette sparsification result. For $s = t = 1$, the result recovers that of Theorem 1.2 with an improved constant factor in the definition of q .

Theorem 1.3 ([ABD22, Corollary 1.16]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex $K_{1,s,t}$ -free graph of maximum degree Δ , and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $q = (4 + o(1))\Delta / \log(\Delta^\alpha)$. Define a list assignment L for G by sampling $L(v)$ independently for each vertex $v \in V$ from the $\Theta(\Delta^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n})$ -size subsets of $[q]$. Then, G admits a proper L -coloring with high probability.*

We remark that the above result holds in the more general setting of correspondence coloring with structural constraints placed on the correspondence cover (see §2.1 for an overview of this setting). Building upon the works mentioned so far, we consider the family of *locally sparse* graphs, which we formally define as follows:

²Here, and in what follows, $\tilde{O}(x) = O(x \text{ poly}(\log x))$

Definition 1.2 (Local Sparsity). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, a graph $G = (V, E)$ is *k -locally-sparse* if for each $v \in V$, the subgraph $G[N(v)]$ contains at most k edges.

Alon, Krivelevich, and Sudakov famously showed that the chromatic number of a k -locally-sparse graph is $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ [AKS99] (see also [Dav+20b, §5.3] for a proof with an improved constant factor of $1 + o(1)$). Our main result is a palette sparsification theorem for the $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ -coloring problem for k -locally-sparse graphs. We remark that the result does not hold for all $1 \leq k \leq \binom{\Delta}{2}$, however, it does hold for a large range (see Theorem 1.5 for the details).

Result 1 (Informal Version of Theorem 1.5). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ , and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $q = \Theta\left(\Delta/\log\left(\Delta^\alpha/\sqrt{k}\right)\right)$. Define a list assignment L for G by sampling $L(v)$ independently for each vertex $v \in V$ from the $\Theta\left(\Delta^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n}\right)$ -size subsets of $[q]$. Then, G admits a proper L -coloring with high probability.*

This is the first palette sparsification theorem for this problem. Additionally, as in the case of Theorem 1.3, Result 1 holds in the more general setting of correspondence coloring with structural constraints placed on the correspondence cover (see Theorem 2.5).

As discussed earlier, palette sparsification results have implications in the development of efficient algorithms in a variety of models of computation. In this paper, we consider two such models: single-pass streaming algorithms and sublinear-time algorithms. We formally define these frameworks in §4.1 and §4.2, respectively.

Alon and Assadi studied sublinear algorithms for the $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ -coloring problem for locally sparse graphs. They employ a random graph partitioning technique to prove the following result (see [AA20, Table 2] along with the discussion in [AA20, §6.2] on “graphs with δ -sparse neighborhoods”):

Theorem 1.4. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ and let $q = \Theta\left(\Delta/\log\left(\Delta/\sqrt{k}\right)\right)$.*

1. *There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n\Delta^2/k)$ space.*
2. *There exists a randomized non-adaptive sublinear-time algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n^{7/2}/k)$ queries.*

As a corollary to Result 1, we design efficient sublinear algorithms following the framework of Assadi, Chen, and Khanna [ACK19]. Before we state our algorithmic result, we make a few remarks. First, as the result follows as a corollary to Result 1, it does not cover all possible values of k (see Theorem 1.7 for the precise range). Additionally, as in the case of Theorem 1.4 we are primarily interested in space complexity and query complexity for streaming and sublinear-time algorithms, respectively. However, our techniques do yield $O(n \text{poly}(\Delta))$ -time randomized algorithms (we discuss this further in §4). Finally, we note that Result 1 allows us to forgo the graph partitioning step in the algorithms of Theorem 1.4. This is the key difference in our approach. As a result, we do require an additional *sparsity parameter* α in order to apply Result 1 effectively.

Nevertheless, our result does improve upon that of Theorem 1.4 for a wide range of α and k (both in terms of efficiency as well as palette size).

Result 2 (Informal Version of Theorem 1.7). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ and let $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and $q = \Theta\left(\Delta / \log\left(\Delta^\alpha / \sqrt{k}\right)\right)$.*

1. *There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n \Delta^{2\alpha})$ space.*
2. *There exists a randomized non-adaptive sublinear-time algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right)$ queries.*

The rest of this introduction is structured as follows: in §1.2, we formally state our results; in §1.3, we provide an overview of the proof ideas; in §1.4, we outline potential future directions of inquiry.

1.2 Main Results

We first state our palette sparsification result, which is a formal version of Result 1.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and $q \geq s$ be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)\Delta}{\log\left(\Delta^\alpha / \sqrt{k}\right)}, \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq \Delta^\alpha + C\sqrt{\log n}.$$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ . Suppose for each vertex $v \in V$, we independently sample a set $L(v) \subseteq [q]$ of size s uniformly at random. Then, with probability at least $1 - 1/n$ there exists a proper coloring ϕ of G such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each $v \in V$.

We note that by setting $k = 1$ and letting γ be arbitrarily small, we recover the result of Theorem 1.3 for $s = t = 1$, which improves the constant factor in the definition of q in Theorem 1.2.

A key step in the proof of Theorem 1.5 is a proposition involving list coloring, which is of independent interest. Before we state the result, we make a few definitions for a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a list assignment L for G . For a vertex $v \in V$ and a color $c \in L(v)$, we let

$$N_L(v, c) := \{u \in N(v) : c \in L(u)\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \deg_L(v, c) := |N_L(v, c)|.$$

We refer to $\deg_L(v, c)$ as the c -**degree** of v . Let us now state our result.

Proposition 1.6. *Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant d_0 such that the following holds for all $d \geq d_0$ and $1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma}$. Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a graph and $L : V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a list assignment for G such that:*

(S1) *for every vertex $v \in V$ and color $c \in L(v)$, $\deg_L(v, c) \leq d$,*

(S2) for every vertex $v \in V$ and color $c \in L(v)$, $|E(G[N_L(v, c)])| \leq k$, and

$$(S3) \text{ for every } v \in V, |L(v)| \geq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)d}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})}.$$

Then, G admits a proper L -coloring.

This framework, with constraints on c -degrees instead of the maximum degree, is often referred to as the **color-degree** setting. Pioneered by Kahn [Kah96], Kim [Kim95], Johansson [Joh96a; Joh96b], and Reed [Ree99], among others, this setting is considered the benchmark for list coloring. (For a selection of a few more recent examples, see [AA20; ACK21; ABD22; ABD23; ADK24; BH02; CK22; Dha23; GS22; KK22; LS07; RS02].) We remark that Proposition 1.6 holds in the more general setting of correspondence coloring (see Proposition 2.3).

We conclude with the algorithmic implications of Theorem 1.5.

Theorem 1.7. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and q be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)\Delta}{\log(\Delta^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}.$$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ .

(Alg1) *There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n\Delta^{2\alpha})$ space.*

(Alg2) *There exists a randomized non-adaptive sublinear-time algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right)$ queries.*

We remark that (Alg1) holds in the more general setting of correspondence coloring with structural constraints placed on the correspondence cover (see Corollary 4.2). As the streaming algorithm of Theorem 1.4(1) relies on a graph partitioning technique, it does not hold even for list coloring. Unfortunately, our arguments for (Alg2) fail for list and correspondence coloring. We discuss this further in §1.3 and §4.2.

1.3 Proof Overview

We first discuss the proof of Proposition 1.6. As mentioned earlier, it plays a key role in the proof of our main results. The proof is rather involved and constitutes the bulk of our effort in this paper (see §A for the details.)

List-Coloring with Constraints on Color-Degrees. We will employ a variant of the so-called “Rödl nibble method” in order to prove Proposition 1.6. Such a coloring procedure was first considered by Kim [Kim95], based on a technique of Rödl in [Röd85]. Molloy and Reed further developed this procedure, which they coined the **Wasteful Coloring Procedure** [MR02]. This technique was employed by Alon and Assadi in the proof of Theorem 1.2 and by Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author in the proof of Theorem 1.3. Indeed, we apply the same coloring procedure with the analysis tailored to our setting. The algorithm proceeds in stages. At each

stage, we have a graph G and a list assignment $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ for G . We randomly construct a partial L -coloring ϕ of G and lists $L'(v) \subseteq L(v)$ satisfying certain properties. In particular, let G_ϕ be the graph induced by the vertices in G uncolored under ϕ . For a vertex $v \in V(G_\phi)$, we define $L_\phi(v)$ to be the set of *available colors* for v , i.e.,

$$L_\phi(v) := \{c \in L(v) : \forall u \in N_L(v, c), \phi(u) \neq c\}.$$

Note that ϕ can be extended to include v by assigning to v any color in $L_\phi(v)$. It seems natural that the lists $L'(\cdot)$ produced by the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) should satisfy $L'(v) = L_\phi(v)$; however, in actuality $L'(v)$ is potentially a strict subset of $L_\phi(v)$ (hence the “wasteful” in the name; see the discussion in [MR02, Chapter 12.2.1] for the utility of such “wastefulness”).

Define the following parameters:

$$\ell := \min |L(v)|, \quad \ell' := \min |L'(v)|, \quad d := \max_{v,c} \deg_L(v, c), \quad \text{and} \quad d' := \max_{v,c} \deg_{L'}(v, c).$$

We say ϕ is “good” if the ratio d'/ℓ' is considerably smaller than d/ℓ . If indeed the output of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) produces a “good” coloring, then we may repeatedly apply the procedure until we are left with a list assignment \tilde{L} of the uncolored vertices such that $\min |\tilde{L}(v)| \geq 8 \max_{v,c} \deg_{\tilde{L}}(v, c)$. At this point, we can complete the coloring by applying the following proposition:

Proposition 1.8. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let $L : V \rightarrow 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a list assignment for G . If there is an integer ℓ such that $|L(v)| \geq \ell$ and $\deg_L(v, c) \leq \ell/8$ for each $v \in V$ and $c \in L(v)$, then G admits a proper L -coloring.*

This proposition is standard and proved using the [Lovász Local Lemma](#). Its first appearance is in the paper [Ree99] by Reed; see also [MR02, §4.1] for a textbook treatment. Note that a result of Haxell allows one to replace the constant 8 with 2 [Hax01].

The heart of our argument lies in proving that the output of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) is “good”. The proof follows the approach developed in earlier work of Jamall [Jam11], Pettie and Su [PS15], and Alon and Assadi [AA20] on triangle-free graphs, and Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author on $K_{1,s,t}$ -free graphs [ABD22]. Anderson, Kuchukova, and the author adapted this strategy to correspondence coloring locally-sparse graphs (see Theorem 2.2). With a more careful analysis, we extend the range of k considered in their result as well as provide an improved bound on the list sizes.

From List Coloring to Palette Sparsification. The approach here follows that of the proofs of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. The details are provided in §3. The goal is to show that the list assignment L sampled in Theorem 1.5 satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.6 with high probability for an appropriate choice of parameters. It turns out that showing this is not quite so simple. Instead, we let

$$L'(v) := \{c \in L(v) : \deg_L(v, c) = \tilde{O}(\Delta^\alpha)\},$$

for each $v \in V$. We show that the list assignment L' satisfies the conditions of Proposition 1.6 with high probability for $d := \tilde{\Theta}(\Delta^\alpha)$. The color-degree condition (S1) follows by definition. Additionally, the local sparsity condition (S2) follows as a result of the following chain of inequalities:

$$|E(G[N_{L'}(v, c)])| \leq |E(G[N(v)])| \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma} \leq d^{2\gamma}.$$

It remains to show the condition on the list sizes **(S3)** holds with high probability. It turns out to be enough to show that $|L(v) \setminus L'(v)|$ is not too large for each $v \in V$. This is achieved by employing a version of Chernoff’s inequality tailored to negatively correlated random variables (see Theorem 2.7).

From Palette Sparsification to Sublinear Algorithms. The algorithms of Theorem 1.7 follow the framework of Assadi, Chen, and Khanna discussed earlier, i.e., sample lists $L(v)$ from the $s = \Theta(\Delta^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n})$ -size subsets of $[q]$ for each $v \in V$, and compute an L -coloring of G . We discuss the approach for each result separately.

For **(Alg1)**, we need only store edges $uv \in E$ for which $L(u) \cap L(v) \neq \emptyset$. We show that with high probability, the edge set E_{conflict} contains $\tilde{O}(n \Delta s^2/q)$ edges, completing the proof.

For **(Alg2)**, our approach is similar to that of [ACK19] for $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring. We must construct the set E_{conflict} with minimal queries. Trivially, this may be done using $O(n\Delta)$ queries. An identical argument to that of [ACK19, Claim 4.12] shows that one can also construct E_{conflict} using $\tilde{O}(n^2 s^2/q)$ queries. The claim now follows as

$$\tilde{O}(\min\{n\Delta, n^2 s^2/q\}) = \tilde{O}(n^{3/2+\alpha/(2-2\alpha)}), \tag{1.1}$$

for our choice of s and q . We remark that the approach in [ACK19, Claim 4.12] relies on the fact that $L(v) \subseteq [q]$. In particular, the argument would fail if the list assignment L were sampled from some other q -fold list assignment S (or correspondence cover \mathcal{H}). We discuss this further in §4.2.

1.4 Concluding Remarks

In this work, we consider palette sparsification of the $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ -coloring problem for k -locally-sparse graphs of maximum degree Δ . Our results have implications in the design of algorithms in the sublinear model of computation, improving upon earlier work of Alon and Assadi [AA20]. Furthermore, we provide improved bounds for list coloring (and correspondence coloring) locally sparse graphs in the color-degree setting, which is of independent combinatorial interest. We conclude this introduction with potential future directions of inquiry.

Our results in this paper hold for $k \ll \Delta^2$. Note that for $k = \Theta(\Delta^2)$, in the worst case the graph is complete and the problem reduces to palette sparsification for $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring, which has been extensively studied [ACK19; Fli+24; KK23; KK24]. The proof of Proposition 1.6 requires that $k \ll d^2$ and as it plays a key role in the proof of Theorem 1.5, it is unclear how to extend the result to the full range of k .

Question 1. *Can we obtain a palette sparsification result for the $O(\Delta/\log(\Delta/\sqrt{k}))$ -coloring problem of k -locally-sparse graphs for all $1 \leq k \leq \binom{\Delta}{2}$?*

In recent work, the author considered the more general notion of (k, r) -**local-sparsity**. We say a graph $G = (V, E)$ is (k, r) -locally-sparse if for each $v \in V$, the subgraph $G[N(v)]$ contains at most k copies of K_r . The main result of the paper is the following:

Theorem 1.9 ([Dha24, Theorem 1.7]). *Let G be a (k, r) -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ . Then, $\chi(G) = O\left(\frac{\Delta}{\log \Delta} \max\left\{r \log \log \Delta, \frac{\log k}{r}\right\}\right)$.*

For small values of k , this matches a result of Johansson for K_{r+1} -free graphs [Joh96b] (however, the proof of Theorem 1.9 does not yield an efficient algorithm). Alon and Assadi applied the graph

partitioning technique to design efficient sublinear algorithms for Johansson’s bound [AA20]. It is natural to ask whether one can prove a similar result in this more general setting.

Question 2. *Are there efficient sublinear algorithms for Theorem 1.9? What about a palette sparsification result?*

Alon and Assadi also considered a *local* version of the $(\Delta + 1)$ -coloring problem. Here, each vertex $v \in V$ must be assigned a color in $[\deg(v) + 1]$. They proved a palette sparsification result for this problem [AA20, Theorem 3]. A number of results in the graph coloring literature hold in this local setting, i.e., $\phi(v) \in [f(\deg(v))]$ as opposed to $\phi(v) \in [f(\Delta)]$ for some function f (see, e.g., [Bon+22; Dav+20a; Dav+20b; Dha24; KP24]). We ask whether a local version of our main result holds (note that this is not known even for triangle-free graphs).

Question 3. *Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex graph. For $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, let $k, q : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined as follows:*

$$k(v) := |E(G[N(v)])|, \quad q(v) := O\left(\frac{\deg(v)}{\log\left(\deg(v)^\alpha / \sqrt{k(v)}\right)}\right).$$

Is sampling $\Theta(\deg(v)^\alpha + \sqrt{\log n})$ colors from $[q(v)]$ for each $v \in V$ sufficient to obtain a proper coloring of G ?

The rest of this paper is structured as follows: in §2, we introduce some definitions and probabilistic tools that will be used later in the paper; in §3, we prove our main palette sparsification result; in §4, we describe efficient sublinear algorithms for coloring locally sparse graphs using palette sparsification.

2 Preliminaries

This section is split into two subsections. In the first, we define correspondence coloring and discuss implications of our results in this setting. In the second, we describe the probabilistic tools we will employ in our proofs.

2.1 Correspondence Coloring

Correspondence coloring (also known as **DP-coloring**) is a generalization of list coloring introduced by Dvořák and Postle [DP18] in order to solve a question of Borodin. Just as in list coloring, each vertex is assigned a list of colors, $L(v)$; in contrast to list coloring, though, the identifications between the colors in the lists are allowed to vary from edge to edge. That is, each edge $uv \in E(G)$ is assigned a matching M_{uv} (not necessarily perfect and possibly empty) from $L(u)$ to $L(v)$. A proper correspondence coloring is a mapping $\phi : V(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for each $v \in V(G)$ and $\phi(u)\phi(v) \notin M_{uv}$ for each $uv \in E(G)$. Formally, correspondence colorings are defined in terms of an auxiliary graph known as a **correspondence cover** of G . We take the following definition from [ADK24, Definition 1.9]:

Definition 2.1 (Correspondence Cover). A **correspondence cover** of a graph G is a pair $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$, where H is a graph and $L : V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)}$ such that:

(CC1) The set $\{L(v) : v \in V(G)\}$ forms a partition of $V(H)$,

(CC2) For each $v \in V(G)$, $L(v)$ is an independent set in H , and

(CC3) For each $u, v \in V(G)$, the edge set of $H[L(u) \cup L(v)]$ forms a matching, which is empty if $uv \notin E(G)$.

We call the vertices of H **colors**. For $c \in V(H)$, we let $L^{-1}(c)$ denote the **underlying vertex** of c in G , i.e., the unique vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $c \in L(v)$. If two colors $c, c' \in V(H)$ are adjacent in H , we say that they **correspond** to each other and write $c \sim c'$.

An \mathcal{H} -**coloring** is a mapping $\phi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Similarly, a **partial \mathcal{H} -coloring** is a partial mapping $\phi: V(G) \dashrightarrow V(H)$ such that $\phi(v) \in L(v)$ whenever $\phi(v)$ is defined. A (partial) \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ is **proper** if the image of ϕ is an independent set in H , i.e., if $\phi(u) \not\sim \phi(v)$ for all $u, v \in V(G)$ such that $\phi(u)$ and $\phi(v)$ are both defined. Notice, then, that the image of a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring of G is exactly an independent set $I \subseteq V(H)$ with $|I \cap L(v)| = 1$ for each $v \in V(G)$.

A correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ is **q -fold** if $|L(v)| \geq q$ for all $v \in V(G)$. The **correspondence chromatic number** of G , denoted by $\chi_c(G)$, is the smallest q such that G admits a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring for every q -fold correspondence cover \mathcal{H} . As correspondence coloring is a generalization of list coloring, which is a generalization of classical coloring, it follows that

$$\chi(G) \leq \chi_\ell(G) \leq \chi_c(G).$$

A curious feature of correspondence coloring is that structural constraints can be placed on the cover graph H instead of on the underlying graph G . For instance, if $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ is a correspondence cover of a graph G , then $\Delta(H) \leq \Delta(G)$, so an upper bound on $\Delta(H)$ is a weaker assumption than the same upper bound on $\Delta(G)$, and there exist a number of results in which the number of available colors given to each vertex is a function of $\Delta(H)$ as opposed to $\Delta(G)$. (This is reminiscent of the color-degree setting discussed earlier for list coloring.) Similarly, as a result of (CC3), one can show the following:

Proposition 2.1 (Corollary to [ADK24, Proposition 1.10]). *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph and let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a correspondence cover of G . If G is k -locally-sparse, then so is H .*

Correspondence coloring with locally sparse cover graphs was first studied by Anderson, Kuchukova, and the author in [ADK24]. They consider a more general notion of local sparsity which is not relevant to our work. The following can be obtained as a corollary to their main result by setting $s = t = 1$:

Theorem 2.2 (Corollary to [ADK24, Theorem 1.17]). *There exists a constant d_0 such that the following holds for all $d \geq d_0$ and $1 \leq k \leq d^{1/5}$. Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a graph and $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ is a correspondence cover of G such that*

(S1) $\Delta(H) \leq d$,

(S2) H is k -locally-sparse, and

(S3) for every $v \in V(G)$, $|L(v)| \geq \frac{8d}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})}$.

Then, G admits a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring.

Our main result in this setting is a correspondence coloring version of Proposition 1.6. Note that our result extends the range of k considered in Theorem 2.2 as well as provides an improved constant factor in the lower bound of $|L(v)|$.

Proposition 2.3 (Correspondence Coloring version of Proposition 1.6). *Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant d_0 such that the following holds for all $d \geq d_0$ and $1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma}$. Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a graph and $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ is a correspondence cover of G such that*

(S1) $\Delta(H) \leq d$,

(S2) H is k -locally-sparse, and

(S3) for every $v \in V(G)$, $|L(v)| \geq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)d}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})}$.

Then, G admits a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring.

Setting $\gamma = 1/10$ above provides a constant factor of 4.4 in the list size as opposed to 8 in Theorem 2.2. With this proposition in hand, we may prove a palette sparsification result for correspondence coloring locally sparse graphs. (Theorem 1.5 follows as a corollary.)

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and $q \geq s$ be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)\Delta}{\log(\Delta^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}, \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq \Delta^\alpha + C\sqrt{\log n}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a q -fold correspondence cover of an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph $G = (V, E)$ of maximum degree Δ . Suppose for each vertex $v \in V$, we sample a set $S(v) \subseteq L(v)$ of size s uniformly at random. Then, with probability at least $1 - 1/n$, G has a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ with $\phi(v) \in S(v)$ for all $v \in V$.

We may actually prove the above result in the color-degree setting with the local sparsity constraint placed on the cover graph instead.

Theorem 2.5. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant $C > 0$ such that for all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $d \geq d_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and $q \geq s$ be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq d^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)d}{\log(d^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}, \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq d^\alpha + C\sqrt{\log n}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a q -fold correspondence cover of an n -vertex graph G such that:

(i) H is k -locally-sparse, and

(ii) $\Delta(H) \leq d$.

Suppose for each vertex $v \in V$, we sample a set $S(v) \subseteq L(v)$ of size s uniformly at random. Then, with probability at least $1 - 1/n$, G has a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ with $\phi(v) \in S(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Combining the above with Proposition 2.1 yields Theorem 2.4 as a corollary.

2.2 Probabilistic Tools

We begin with the symmetric version of the Lovász Local Lemma.

Theorem 2.6 (Lovász Local Lemma; [MR02, §4]). *Let A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n be events in a probability space. Suppose there exists $p \in [0, 1]$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ we have $\Pr[A_i] \leq p$. Further suppose that each A_i is mutually independent from all but at most d_{LLL} other events A_j , $j \neq i$ for some $d_{LLL} \in \mathbb{N}$. If $4pd_{LLL} \leq 1$, then with positive probability none of the events A_1, \dots, A_n occur.*

We will also need a version of the Chernoff bound for negatively correlated random variables introduced by Panconesi and Srinivasan [PS97]. We say that $\{0, 1\}$ -valued random variables X_1, \dots, X_m are **negatively correlated** if for all $I \subseteq [m]$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{i \in I} X_i \right] \leq \prod_{i \in I} \mathbb{E}[X_i].$$

Theorem 2.7 ([PS97, Theorem 3.2], [Mol19, Lemma 3]). *Let X_1, \dots, X_m be $\{0, 1\}$ -valued random variables. Set $X := \sum_{i=1}^m X_i$. If X_1, \dots, X_m are negatively correlated, then, for all $0 < t \leq \mathbb{E}[X]$,*

$$\Pr[X > \mathbb{E}[X] + t] < \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\mathbb{E}[X]}\right).$$

3 Palette Sparsification for Locally Sparse Graphs

In this section we derive Theorem 2.5 from Proposition 2.3. As mentioned in §1.3, this is a variant of the argument used by Alon and Assadi in [AA20, §3.2] to prove Theorem 1.2 and by Anderson, Bernshteyn, and Dhawan in [ABD22, §8] to prove Theorem 1.3. We will employ Theorem 2.7 to prove our result.

Let us fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and let

$$\gamma' := \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3(1+\gamma)}, \quad \text{and} \quad C := 3(\gamma')^{-3/2}. \quad (3.1)$$

We assume ε is sufficiently small for all computations in this section to hold. Take any $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let $d, n, q \geq s$, and k satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2.5. That is, we assume that d is sufficiently large as a function of ε, γ , and α , and we have

$$1 \leq k \leq d^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad q := \frac{4(1+\gamma+\varepsilon)d}{\log(d^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}, \quad \text{and} \quad s \geq d^\alpha + C\sqrt{\log n}.$$

Since d is large as a function of ε, γ , and α , we may assume that $d \geq q$. Let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a q -fold correspondence cover of an n -vertex graph G such that:

$$H \text{ is } k\text{-locally-sparse,} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(H) \leq d.$$

By removing colors if necessary, we may assume $|L(v)| = q$ for each $v \in V(G)$.

Independently for each vertex $v \in V(G)$, pick a uniformly random subset $S(v) \subseteq L(v)$ of size s . Let $S := \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} S(v)$ be the set of all picked colors. Define

$$S'(v) := \{c \in S(v) : |N_H(c) \cap S| \leq (1+\gamma')sd/q\}.$$

First, we will show that $S'(v)$ is large for all $v \in V(G)$ with high probability.

Lemma 3.1. $\Pr[\exists v \in V(G), |S'(v)| < (1 - \gamma')s] \leq 1/n$.

Proof. Take any vertex $v \in V(G)$. We will prove that

$$\Pr[|S'(v)| < (1 - \gamma')s] \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

The result then follows by taking a union bound. Let us start by sampling $S(v)$. We may now assume that $S(v)$ is fixed. Let $t := \lceil \gamma' s \rceil$. Call a subset $T \subseteq S(v)$ of size t **bad** if

$$|N_H(T) \cap S| > (1 + \gamma') \frac{dts}{q}.$$

Observe that if $|S'(v)| < (1 - \gamma')s$, then $|S(v) \setminus S'(v)| \geq t$ and every t -element subset of $S(v) \setminus S'(v)$ is bad. Therefore, it suffices to argue that

$$\Pr[\text{there is a bad set } T \subseteq S(v) \text{ of size } t] \leq \frac{1}{n^2}.$$

To this end, consider an arbitrary set $T \subseteq S(v)$ of size t . For each color $c \in N_H(T)$, let X_c be the indicator random variable of the event that $c \in S$. Define

$$X := \sum_{c \in N_H(T)} X_c = |N_H(T) \cap S|.$$

Then,

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{c \in N_H(T)} \Pr[X_c = 1] = |N_H(T)| \frac{s}{q} \leq \frac{dts}{q},$$

where we use the fact that $\Delta(H) \leq d$. Next, we claim that the random variables $\{X_c : c \in N_H(T)\}$ are negatively correlated. Indeed, take any $I \subseteq N_H(T)$ and $c \in N_H(T) \setminus I$. Let $u := L^{-1}(c)$ be the underlying vertex of c . Then,

$$\Pr \left[X_c = 1 \mid \prod_{c' \in I} X_{c'} = 1 \right] = \frac{s - |I \cap L(u)|}{q - |I \cap L(u)|} \leq \frac{s}{q}.$$

Inductive applications of this inequality show that for any $I \subseteq N_H(T)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\prod_{c \in I} X_c \right] \leq \left(\frac{s}{q} \right)^{|I|} = \prod_{c \in I} \mathbb{E}[X_c],$$

as desired. Using Theorem 2.7, we conclude that

$$\Pr \left[X > (1 + \gamma') \frac{dts}{q} \right] < \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^2}{3} \frac{dts}{q} \right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^3}{3} s^2 \right),$$

where the last step follows by definition of t and since $d \geq q$. By the union bound, we have

$$\Pr[\text{there is a bad set } T \subseteq S(v) \text{ of size } t] \leq 2^s \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^3}{3} s^2 \right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^3}{4} s^2 \right),$$

where the second inequality holds for d sufficiently large. Finally, since $s^2 \geq C^2 \log n$, we have

$$\exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^3}{4} s^2 \right) \leq \exp \left(-\frac{(\gamma')^3}{4} C^2 \log n \right) \leq \frac{1}{n^2},$$

by definition of C , completing the proof. \square

Let $S' := \bigcup_{v \in V(G)} S'(v)$ and $H' := H[S']$. Then $\mathcal{H}' := (S', H')$ is a correspondence cover of G such that:

- (i) H' is k -locally-sparse,
- (ii) $\Delta(H') \leq (1 + \gamma')sd/q$, and
- (iii) with probability at least $1 - 1/n$, $|S'(v)| \geq (1 - \gamma')s$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

Note that, assuming d is large enough, we have

$$(1 + \gamma')sd/q \geq s \geq d^\alpha.$$

It follows that $k \leq \Delta(H')^{2\gamma}$. By Proposition 2.3, G admits a proper \mathcal{H}' -coloring as long as

$$|S'(v)| \geq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)\Delta(H')}{\log\left(\Delta(H')/\sqrt{k}\right)}, \quad \text{for each } v \in V(G).$$

To this end, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4(1 + \gamma)\Delta(H')}{\log\left(\Delta(H')/\sqrt{k}\right)} &\leq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma')sd/q}{\log\left(\left((1 + \gamma')sd/q\right)/\sqrt{k}\right)} \\ &\leq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma')sd/q}{\log\left(d^\alpha/\sqrt{k}\right)} \\ &= \frac{(1 + \gamma)(1 + \gamma')s}{(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)} \\ &\leq (1 - \gamma')s, \end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows by our choice of γ' . Therefore, by (iii), G is \mathcal{H}' -colorable with probability at least $1 - 1/n$, and the proof of Theorem 2.5 is complete.

4 Sublinear Algorithms from Palette Sparsification

In this section, we describe some applications of Theorem 2.5 to sublinear algorithms for graph coloring. The arguments here follow the work of [AA20; ACK19]. We begin by introducing a *meta coloring algorithm* similar to the ColoringAlgorithm of [ACK19]. Note that it is also identical to the approach in the proof of Theorem 2.5 adapted to ordinary coloring (see §3).

Algorithm 4.1 The Meta Coloring Algorithm

Input: An n -vertex graph $G = (V, E)$ and parameters q , s , and γ' .

Output: A proper q -coloring of G .

1. Sample $S(v) \subseteq [q]$ uniformly at random over all s -element subsets of $[q]$ for each $v \in V$.
2. Remove all colors c from $S(v)$ satisfying $|\{u \in N(v) : c \in S(u)\}| > (1 + \gamma')s \Delta(G)/q$ to define $S'(\cdot)$.

3. Define $E_{\text{conflict}} \subseteq E$ as the set of all edges uv such that $S'(u) \cap S'(v) \neq \emptyset$.
 4. Construct the conflict graph $G_{\text{conflict}} = (V, E_{\text{conflict}})$.
 5. Find a proper q -coloring ϕ of G_{conflict} such that $\phi(v) \in S'(v)$ for each $v \in V$.
-

We call Algorithm 4.1 a meta coloring algorithm as we have not specified how to perform Steps 4 and 5. Recall that Proposition 1.6 follows as a corollary to Proposition 2.3. We establish Proposition 2.3 by iteratively applying the Lovász Local Lemma (see §A for the details). Thanks to the algorithmic version of the Lovász Local Lemma developed by Moser and Tardos [MT10], it is routine to verify that our arguments yield efficient randomized coloring algorithms. Therefore, we need only worry about the implementation of Step 4, which will vary model to model. The remainder of this section is split into two subsections, where we consider streaming and sublinear-time algorithms, respectively.

4.1 A Streaming Algorithm

In the streaming model, the edges of a graph are presented one by one to an algorithm that can make multiple passes over the input and use limited memory to process the stream. The goal is to analyze properties of the resulting graph using memory that is sublinear in the input size, which is proportional to the number of edges in the graph. In this paper, we focus on *single-pass streaming algorithms*, i.e., where the algorithm passes over the input just once. As a corollary to Theorem 1.5, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and q be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)\Delta}{\log\left(\frac{\Delta^\alpha}{\sqrt{k}}\right)}.$$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ . There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n \Delta^{2\alpha})$ space.

Step 4 can be implemented under the streaming model as follows:

1. At the beginning of the stream, sample the sets $S(v) \subseteq [q]$ of size s for each $v \in V(G)$. (Here, s is as defined in the statement of Theorem 1.5.)
2. Throughout the stream, whenever an edge uv is presented, store the edge explicitly if and only if $S(u) \cap S(v) \neq \emptyset$.
3. If for a vertex v , there is a color $c \in S(v)$ such that at least $(1 + \gamma')s\Delta/q$ neighbors u of v contain $c \in S(u)$, then remove c from $S(v)$ (here, γ' is as defined in (3.1)).

We note that the final step above is equivalent to defining $S'(v)$ from $S(v)$ as in Algorithm 4.1. It follows that the resulting graph G_{conflict} satisfies $|E_{\text{conflict}}| = O(n s^2 \Delta/q) = \tilde{O}(n s^2)$. Therefore, we compute a proper q -coloring using $\tilde{O}(n s^2)$ space as claimed.

We may prove an analogous result for correspondence coloring as well (see §B for a correspondence coloring version of Algorithm 4.1). Let G be a graph and let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a correspondence cover of G . In this streaming model, the edges of a graph along with the corresponding matchings in the correspondence cover are presented one by one to an algorithm that can make multiple passes over the input and use limited memory to process the stream. As a corollary to Theorem 2.5, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $d_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $d \geq d_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and q be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq d^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)d}{\log(d^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a q -fold correspondence cover of an n -vertex graph G such that:

- (i) H is k -locally-sparse, and
- (ii) $\Delta(H) \leq d$.

There exists a single-pass streaming algorithm which computes a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring of G with high probability using $\tilde{O}(n d^{2\alpha})$ space.

We close this section with a remark about the savings in each of the results stated. In the setting of Corollary 4.1, the input size is $|E(G)| = O(n \Delta)$. Using palette sparsification, the input size effectively drops to $\tilde{O}(n \Delta^{2\alpha})$, implying a saving of a factor of roughly $\Theta(\Delta^{1-2\alpha})$. On the other hand, in the setting of Corollary 4.2 for $d = \Delta$, the input size is $|E(H)| = O(nq\Delta)$, implying a larger saving of a factor of roughly $\Theta(q \Delta^{1-2\alpha})$.

4.2 A Sublinear-Time Algorithm

When designing sublinear-time algorithms, it is crucial to specify the data model as the algorithm cannot even read the entire input once. We assume the standard query model for sublinear-time algorithms on general graphs (see, e.g., [Gol17, Chapter 10]). In this model, we have the following three types of queries:

1. what is the degree of a vertex v ,
2. what is the i -th neighbor of a given vertex v , and
3. whether a given pair of vertices u and v form an edge or not.

We say an algorithm is **non-adaptive** if it asks all its queries simultaneously in parallel. We obtain the following as a corollary to Theorem 1.5.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. For all $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, there is $\Delta_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ with the following property. Let $\Delta \geq \Delta_0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let k and q be such that*

$$1 \leq k \leq \Delta^{2\alpha\gamma}, \quad \text{and} \quad q := \frac{4(1 + \gamma + \varepsilon)\Delta}{\log(\Delta^\alpha/\sqrt{k})}.$$

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an n -vertex k -locally-sparse graph of maximum degree Δ . There exists a randomized non-adaptive sublinear-time algorithm which computes a proper q -coloring of G with high probability using at most $\tilde{O}\left(n^{\frac{3}{2} + \frac{\alpha}{2(1-\alpha)}}\right)$ queries.

As mentioned in §1.3, we follow the approach of [ACK19, Claim 4.12] in order to determine the edges in E_{conflict} . Roughly, their idea is as follows. Trivially, one can determine E_{conflict} using $O(n\Delta)$ queries. Alternatively, for each $c \in [q]$, consider the set $V_c := \{v \in V : c \in S(v)\}$ and query all possible $\Theta(|V_c|^2)$ edges in V_c (as we sample $S(v)$ before Step 4, the sets V_c can be determined in advance). Standard probabilistic arguments show that $|V_c| = \tilde{O}(ns/q)$ with high probability for each $c \in [q]$. Therefore, we may determine E_{conflict} using at most $\tilde{O}(\min\{n\Delta, n^2s^2/q\})$ queries with high probability. The claim now follows by (1.1).

Note that the above approach relies on determining the sets V_c for each $c \in [q]$. As the “definition” of a color may change from vertex to vertex in the setting of correspondence coloring, this argument fails here. Similarly, for list coloring, one would need to define the sets V_c for each color c in the set $L := \cup_v L(v)$ in order to apply the above strategy. As $|L|$ can be as large as $\Theta(nq)$, this approach is inefficient in this setting. We leave it as an open problem to extend Corollary 4.3 to list and correspondence coloring.

References

- [AA20] N. Alon and S. Assadi. “Palette sparsification beyond $(\Delta + 1)$ vertex coloring”. In: *Approximation, Randomization, and Combinatorial Optimization. Algorithms and Techniques (APPROX/RANDOM)* (2020), #6. Full version: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2006.10456> (cit. on pp. 4, 5, 7–10, 13, 15, 23, 25).
- [ACK21] N. Alon, S. Cambie, and R. Kang. “Asymmetric list sizes in bipartite graphs”. In: *Annals of Combinatorics* 25.4 (2021), pp. 913–933 (cit. on p. 7).
- [AKS99] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov. “Coloring graphs with sparse neighborhoods”. In: *J. Combin. Theory. B* 77 (1999), pp. 73–82 (cit. on p. 5).
- [ABD22] J. Anderson, A. Bernshteyn, and A. Dhawan. “Coloring graphs with forbidden almost bipartite subgraphs”. <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2203.07222> (preprint). 2022 (cit. on pp. 4, 7, 8, 13, 21, 23–26, 28, 29).
- [ABD23] J. Anderson, A. Bernshteyn, and A. Dhawan. “Colouring graphs with forbidden bipartite subgraphs”. In: *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing* 32.1 (2023), pp. 45–67 (cit. on p. 7).
- [ADK24] J. Anderson, A. Dhawan, and A. Kuchukova. “Coloring locally sparse graphs”. In: *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.19271* (2024) (cit. on pp. 7, 10, 11, 24, 25, 29, 31).
- [AKM22] S. Assadi, P. Kumar, and P. Mittal. “Brooks’ theorem in graph streams: A single-pass semi-streaming algorithm for Δ -coloring”. In: *ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)* (2022), pp. 234–247. Full version: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.10984> (cit. on p. 4).
- [ACK19] S. Assadi, Y. Chen, and S. Khanna. “Sublinear algorithms for $(\Delta + 1)$ vertex coloring”. In: *Proceedings of the Thirtieth Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*. SIAM. 2019, pp. 767–786 (cit. on pp. 3, 5, 9, 15, 18).
- [BCG20] S. Bera, A. Chakrabarti, and P. Ghosh. “Graph coloring via degeneracy in streaming and other space-conscious models”. In: *International Colloquium on Automata, Languages, and Programming (ICALP)* (2020), #11. Full version: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1905.00566> (cit. on p. 4).

- [Ber19] A. Bernshteyn. “The Johansson-Molloy theorem for DP-coloring”. In: *Random Structures & Algorithms* 54.4 (2019), pp. 653–664 (cit. on pp. 4, 22).
- [BH02] T. Bohman and R. Holzman. “On a list coloring conjecture of Reed”. In: *J. Graph Theory* 41.2 (2002), pp. 106–109 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Bon+22] M. Bonamy, T. Kelly, P. Nelson, and L. Postle. “Bounding χ by a fraction of Δ for graphs without large cliques”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 157 (2022), pp. 263–282 (cit. on p. 10).
- [CK22] S. Cambie and R. Kang. “Independent transversals in bipartite correspondence-covers”. In: *Canadian Mathematical Bulletin* 65.4 (2022), pp. 882–894 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Cas11] C. Casselgren. “Vertex coloring complete multipartite graphs from random lists of size 2”. In: *Discrete Math.* 11.13 (2011), pp. 1150–1157 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Cas12] C. Casselgren. “Coloring graphs from random lists of size 2”. In: *Eur. J. Comb.* 33.2 (2012), pp. 168–181 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Cas14] C. Casselgren. “Coloring graphs from random lists of fixed size”. In: *Random Struct. Algorithms* 44.13 (2014), pp. 317–327 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Cas18] C. Casselgren. “Coloring graphs of various maximum degree from random lists”. In: *Random Struct. Algorithms* 52.1 (2018), pp. 54–73 (cit. on p. 4).
- [CH16] C. Casselgren and R. Häggkvist. “Coloring complete and complete bipartite graphs from random lists”. In: *Graphs Comb.* 32 (2016), pp. 533–542 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Dav+20a] E. Davies, R. de Joannis de Verclos, R. Kang, and F. Pirot. “Coloring triangle-free graphs with local list sizes”. In: *Random Structures & Algorithms* 57.3 (2020), pp. 730–744 (cit. on p. 10).
- [Dav+20b] E. Davies, R. Kang, F. Pirot, and J.-S. Sereni. “Graph structure via local occupancy”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2003.14361> (preprint). 2020 (cit. on pp. 5, 10).
- [Dha23] A. Dhawan. “List colorings of k -partite k -graphs”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.03111> (preprint). 2023 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Dha24] A. Dhawan. “Bounds for the independence and chromatic numbers of locally sparse graphs”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2403.03054> (preprint). 2024 (cit. on pp. 9, 10).
- [DP18] Z. Dvořák and L. Postle. “Correspondence coloring and its application to list-coloring planar graphs without cycles of lengths 4 to 8”. In: *J. Combin. Theory. B* (2018) (cit. on p. 10).
- [ERT79] P. Erdős, A. Rubin, and H. Taylor. “Choosability in graphs”. In: *Congr. Numer* 26.4 (1979), pp. 125–157 (cit. on p. 3).
- [Fli+24] M. Flin, M. Ghaffari, M. M. Halldórsson, F. Kuhn, and A. Nolin. “A distributed palette sparsification theorem”. In: *Proceedings of the 2024 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*. SIAM. 2024, pp. 4083–4123 (cit. on p. 9).
- [GS22] S. Glock and B. Sudakov. “An average degree condition for independent transversals”. In: *J. Comb. Theory. B* 154 (2022), pp. 370–391 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Gol17] O. Goldreich. *Introduction to property testing*. Cambridge University Press, 2017 (cit. on p. 17).

- [Hal+22] M. Halldórsson, F. Kuhn, A. Nolin, and T. Tonoyan. “Near-optimal distributed degree+1 coloring”. In: *ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC)* (2022), pp. 450–463. Full version: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.00604> (cit. on p. 4).
- [Hax01] P. Haxell. “A note on vertex list colouring”. In: *Comb. Probab. Comput.* 10.4 (2001), pp. 345–347 (cit. on p. 8).
- [JP24] V. Jain and H. Pham. “Optimal thresholds for Latin squares, Steiner triple systems, and edge colorings”. In: *ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)* (2024), pp. 1425–1436 (cit. on p. 4).
- [Jam11] M. Jamall. “Coloring Triangle-Free Graphs and Network Games”. Ph.D. Thesis. San Diego: University of California, San Diego, 2011 (cit. on p. 8).
- [Joh96a] A. Johansson. *Asymptotic choice number for triangle free graphs*. Technical Report 91–95. DIMACS, 1996 (cit. on pp. 4, 7).
- [Joh96b] A. Johansson. “The choice number of sparse graphs”. <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~anupamg/down/johansson-choice-number-of-sparse-graphs-coloring-kr-free.pdf> (preprint). 1996 (cit. on pp. 7, 9).
- [Kah96] J. Kahn. “Asymptotically good list-colorings”. In: *J. Comb. Theory. A* 73 (1996), pp. 1–59 (cit. on p. 7).
- [KK23] J. Kahn and C. Kenney. “Asymptotics for palette sparsification”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.00171> (preprint). 2023 (cit. on pp. 4, 9).
- [KK24] J. Kahn and C. Kenney. “Asymptotics for Palette Sparsification from Variable Lists”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.07928> (preprint). 2024 (cit. on p. 9).
- [Kan+23] D. Kang, T. Kelly, D. Kühn, A. Methuku, and D. Osthus. “Thresholds for latin squares and Steiner triple systems: Bounds within a logarithmic factor”. In: *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* 376 (2023), pp. 6623–6662 (cit. on p. 4).
- [KK22] R. Kang and T. Kelly. “Colourings, transversals and local sparsity”. In: *Random Struct. Algorithms* 61.1 (2022), pp. 173–192 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Kee22] P. Keevash. “The optimal edge-colouring threshold”. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2212.04397> (preprint). 2022 (cit. on p. 4).
- [KP24] T. Kelly and L. Postle. “Fractional coloring with local demands and applications to degree-sequence bounds on the independence number”. In: *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B* 169 (2024), pp. 298–337 (cit. on p. 10).
- [Kim95] J. Kim. “On Brooks’ Theorem for sparse graphs”. In: *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 4 (1995), pp. 97–132 (cit. on p. 7).
- [KN04] M. Krivelevich and A. Nachmias. “Colouring complete powers of cycles from random lists”. In: *Eur. J. Comb.* 25.7 (2004), pp. 961–968 (cit. on p. 4).
- [KN06] M. Krivelevich and A. Nachmias. “Coloring complete bipartite graphs from random lists”. In: *Random Struct. Algorithms* 29.4 (2006), pp. 436–449 (cit. on p. 4).
- [LS07] P.-S. Loh and B. Sudakov. “Independent transversals in locally sparse graphs”. In: *J. Comb. Theory. B* 97 (2007), pp. 904–918 (cit. on p. 7).
- [LS19] Z. Luria and M. Simkin. “On the threshold problem for latin boxes”. In: *Random Struct. Algorithms* 55.4 (2019), pp. 926–949 (cit. on p. 4).

- [Mol19] M. Molloy. “The list chromatic number of graphs with small clique number”. In: *J. Combin. Theory. B* 134 (2019), pp. 264–284 (cit. on pp. 4, 13).
- [MR02] M. Molloy and B. Reed. *Graph Colourings and the Probabilistic Method*. Springer, 2002 (cit. on pp. 7, 8, 13).
- [MT10] R. Moser and G. Tardos. “A constructive proof of the general Lovász Local Lemma”. In: *J. ACM* 57.2 (2010) (cit. on p. 16).
- [PS97] A. Panconesi and A. Srinivasan. “Randomized distributed edge coloring via an extension of the Chernoff–Hoeffding bounds”. In: *SIAM J. Comput.* 26.2 (1997), pp. 350–368 (cit. on p. 13).
- [PS15] S. Pettie and H.-H. Su. “Distributed coloring algorithms for triangle-free graphs”. In: *Information and Computation* 243 (2015), pp. 263–280 (cit. on pp. 4, 8, 25).
- [Ree99] B. Reed. “The list colouring constants”. In: *J. Graph Theory* 31 (1999), pp. 149–153 (cit. on pp. 7, 8).
- [RS02] B. Reed and B. Sudakov. “Asymptotically the list colouring constants are 1”. In: *J. Comb. Theory. B* 86 (2002), pp. 27–37 (cit. on p. 7).
- [Röd85] V. Rödl. “On a Packing and Covering Problem”. In: *European Journal of Combinatorics* 6.1 (1985), pp. 69–78. ISSN: 0195-6698. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6698\(85\)80023-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0195-6698(85)80023-8). URL: <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0195669885800238> (cit. on p. 7).
- [Viz76] V. Vizing. “Coloring the vertices of a graph in prescribed colors”. In: *Diskret. Analiz* 29.3 (1976), p. 10 (cit. on p. 3).

A Proof of Proposition 2.3

Let us first restate the proposition.

Proposition (Restatement of Proposition 2.3). *Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be arbitrary. There exists a constant d_0 such that the following holds for all $d \geq d_0$ and $1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma}$. Suppose $G = (V, E)$ is a graph and $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ is a correspondence cover of G such that*

(S1) $\Delta(H) \leq d$,

(S2) H is k -locally-sparse, and

(S3) for every $v \in V(G)$, $|L(v)| \geq \frac{4(1 + \gamma)d}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})}$.

Then, G admits a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring.

As mentioned in §1.3, we will employ the Rödl nibble method in order to prove the above result. We specifically employ the version of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) generalized to correspondence coloring by Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author in [ABD22] (see §A.1 for a detailed description). The algorithm proceeds in stages. At each stage, we have a graph G and a correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ of G . We randomly construct a partial \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ of G and lists $L'(v) \subseteq L(v)$

satisfying certain properties. Let G_ϕ be the graph induced by the vertices in G uncolored under ϕ and let $H' := H \left[\bigcup_{v \in V(G_\phi)} L'(v) \right]$. The partial coloring ϕ is “good” if

$$\frac{\Delta(H')}{\min_{v \in V(G_\phi)} |L'(v)|} \leq \omega \frac{\Delta(H)}{\min_{v \in V(G)} |L(v)|},$$

for $\omega \in (0, 1)$. If indeed the output of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) produces a “good” coloring, then we may repeatedly apply the procedure until we are left with a cover (\tilde{L}, \tilde{H}) of the uncolored vertices such that $\min_v |\tilde{L}(v)| \geq 8\Delta(\tilde{H})$. At this point, we can complete the coloring by applying the following proposition, which is a correspondence coloring version of [Proposition 1.8](#) (see [[Ber19](#), Appendix] for a proof):

Proposition A.1. *Let $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a correspondence cover of G . If there is an integer ℓ such that $|L(v)| \geq \ell$ and $\deg_H(c) \leq \ell/8$ for each $v \in V(G)$ and $c \in V(H)$, then G admits a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring.*

The rest of the section is structured as follows. In [§A.1](#), we will formally describe the coloring procedure mentioned. In [§A.2](#), we will prove the procedure produces a “good” partial coloring. In [§A.3](#), we will complete the proof of [Proposition 2.3](#).

A.1 The Wasteful Coloring Procedure

In this section, we formally describe our coloring procedure and introduce a key lemma at the heart of our proof of [Proposition 1.6](#). Roughly speaking, the lemma below will allow us to, in [§A.3](#), inductively color our graph until a point at which [Proposition A.1](#) can be applied. As mentioned earlier, we will utilize the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) (described formally in [Algorithm A.1](#)) in order to prove the lemma. We first introduce some notation.

For a fixed vertex $v \in V(G)$, its *average color-degree* is

$$\overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) = \frac{1}{|L(v)|} \sum_{c \in L(v)} \deg_H(c).$$

Additionally, the list of available colors for v with respect to a partial coloring ϕ is as follows:

$$L_\phi(v) = \{c \in L(v) : N_H(c) \cap \text{im}(\phi) = \emptyset\},$$

where $\text{im}(\phi)$ is the image of ϕ , i.e., the colors assigned to colored vertices in G . The main component of the proof of [Proposition 2.3](#) is the following lemma, which shows that under certain conditions on G and its correspondence cover, there exists a partial coloring such that the uncolored graph has desirable properties.

Lemma A.2. *For $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, there is $\tilde{d} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the following holds. Suppose $\eta, d, \ell \in \mathbb{N}$, and $k \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy:*

(A1) *d is sufficiently large: $d \geq \tilde{d}$,*

(A2) *k is not too large: $1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma}$,*

(A3) *ℓ is bounded below and above in terms of d : $4\eta d < \ell < 100d$,*

(A4) η is bounded below and above in terms of d and k : $\frac{1}{\log^5(d)} < \eta < \frac{1}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})}$.

Let G be a graph with a correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ such that the following holds for some β satisfying $d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})/200} \leq \beta \leq 1/10$:

(A5) H is k -locally-sparse,

(A6) $\Delta(H) \leq 2d$,

(A7) the list sizes are roughly between $\ell/2$ and ℓ : $(1-\beta)\ell/2 \leq |L(v)| \leq (1+\beta)\ell$ for all $v \in V(G)$,

(A8) average color-degrees are smaller for vertices with smaller lists of colors:

$$\overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \leq \left(2 - (1-\beta)\frac{\ell}{|L(v)|}\right) d \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G).$$

Then there exists a proper partial \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ of G and an assignment of subsets $L'(v) \subseteq L_\phi(v)$ to each $v \in V(G) \setminus \text{dom}(\phi)$ with the following properties. Let

$$G' := G[V(G) \setminus \text{dom}(\phi)] \quad \text{and} \quad H' := H \left[\bigcup_{v \in V(G')} L'(v) \right].$$

Define the following quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{keep} &:= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{2d}, & \ell' &:= \text{keep } \ell, & \beta' &:= (1 + 36\eta)\beta. \\ \text{uncolor} &:= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{\text{keep } \ell/2}, & d' &:= \text{keep } \text{uncolor } d, \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathcal{H}' := (L', H')$, so \mathcal{H}' is a correspondence cover of G' . Then for all $v \in V(G')$:

- (i) $|L'(v)| \leq (1 + \beta')\ell'$,
- (ii) $|L'(v)| \geq (1 - \beta')\ell'/2$,
- (iii) $\Delta(H') \leq 2d'$,
- (iv) $\overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}'}(v) \leq \left(2 - (1 - \beta')\frac{\ell'}{|L'(v)|}\right) d'$.
- (v) H' is k -locally-sparse.

Note that condition (v) holds automatically as $H' \subseteq H$. Also note that conditions (i)–(iv) are similar to the conditions (A5)–(A8), except that the former uses β', η', ℓ' . This will help us to apply Lemma A.2 iteratively in §A.3 to prove Proposition 2.3.

Let us now describe the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) as laid out by Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author in [ABD22] for correspondence coloring (the color-degree version for list coloring appeared in [AA20]).

Note that if G and H satisfy assumption (A6) of Lemma A.2, i.e. $\deg_H(c) \leq 2d$, then

$$0 \leq \frac{\text{keep}}{(1 - \eta/\ell)^{\deg_H(c)}} = \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{2d - \deg_H(c)} \leq 1$$

and hence the equalizing coin flips are well defined. Furthermore, if we assume that the assumptions of Lemma A.2 hold on the input graph of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#), then `keep` is precisely the probability that a color $c \in V(H)$ is kept, and `uncolor` is roughly an upper bound on the probability that a vertex $v \in V(G)$ is uncolored.

Algorithm A.1 Wasteful Coloring Procedure

Input: A graph G with a correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ and parameters $\eta \in [0, 1]$ and $d, \ell > 0$.

Output: A proper partial \mathcal{H} -coloring ϕ and subsets $L'(v) \subseteq L_\phi(v)$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

1. Sample $A \subseteq V(H)$ as follows: for each $c \in V(H)$, include $c \in A$ independently with probability η/ℓ . Call A the set of **activated** colors, and let $A(v) := L(v) \cap A$ for each $v \in V(G)$.
2. Let $\{\text{eq}(c) : c \in V(H)\}$ be a family of independent random variables with distribution

$$\text{eq}(c) \sim \text{Ber} \left(\frac{\text{keep}}{(1 - \eta/\ell)^{\deg_H(c)}} \right).$$

(We discuss why this is well defined below). Call $\text{eq}(c)$ the **equalizing coin flip** for c .

3. Sample $K \subseteq V(H)$ as follows: for each $c \in V(H)$, include $c \in K$ if $\text{eq}(c) = 1$ and $N_H(c) \cap A = \emptyset$. Call K the set of **kept** colors, and $V(H) \setminus K$ the **removed** colors. For each $v \in V(G)$, let $K(v) := L(v) \cap K$.
4. Construct $\phi : V(G) \dashrightarrow V(H)$ as follows: if $A(v) \cap K(v) \neq \emptyset$, set $\phi(v)$ to any color in $A(v) \cap K(v)$. Otherwise set $\phi(v) = \text{blank}$.
5. Call $v \in V(G)$ **uncolored** if $\phi(v) = \text{blank}$, and define

$$U := \{c \in V(H) : \phi(L^{-1}(c)) = \text{blank}\}.$$

(Recall that $L^{-1}(c)$ denotes the underlying vertex of c in G .)

6. For each vertex $v \in V(G)$, let

$$L'(v) := \{c \in K(v) : |N_H(c) \cap K \cap U| \leq 2d'\}.$$

A.2 Proof of Lemma A.2

Our proof closely follows the strategy of [ABD22, Lemma 3.1] where Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author prove a similar result for correspondence coloring graphs with $K_{1,s,t}$ -free covers. The proof of Theorem 2.2 adapts the above strategy in a similar manner. In particular, Anderson, Kuchukova, and the author show that [ADK24, Lemma 3.1] differs from [ABD22, Lemma 3.1] in only a few assumptions. Several of the lemmas used in the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 3.1] do not rely on either of these assumptions. Therefore, the authors are able to use the proofs of these lemmas in a “black box” manner towards proving [ADK24, Lemma 3.1]. The assumptions of [ADK24, Lemma 3.1] (for $s = t = 1$) and Lemma A.2 differ in only two ways:

1. the assumption $1/2 \leq k \leq d^{2/5}$ is replaced by $1 \leq k \leq d^\gamma$, and
2. the assumption $d^{-1/200} \leq \beta \leq 1/10$ is replaced by $d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})/200} \leq \beta \leq 1/10$.

As $\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma}) \leq 1$, the same lower bound on β follows. In particular, we may similarly use a number

of proofs from [ABD22] in a black box manner. We therefore follow the strategy of the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 3.1], and indeed, the notation used in this section is identical to [ABD22, §4].

Suppose parameters η, d, ℓ, k, β , and a graph G with a correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma A.2. Throughout, we shall assume that \tilde{d} is sufficiently large. We may assume that $\Delta(G) \leq 2(1 + \beta)\ell d$ by removing the edges of G whose corresponding matchings in H are empty. Let the quantities k , uncolor , d' , ℓ' , and β' be defined as in the statement of Lemma A.2. Suppose we have carried out the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) with these G and \mathcal{H} . As in the statement of Lemma A.2, we let

$$G' := G[V(G) \setminus \text{dom}(\phi)], \quad H' := H \left[\bigcup_{v \in V(G')} L'(v) \right], \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}' := (L', H').$$

For each $v \in V(G)$, we define the following quantities:

$$\ell(v) := |L(v)| \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{\text{deg}}(v) := \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v),$$

as well as the following random variables:

$$k(v) := |K(v)|, \quad \ell'(v) := |L'(v)|, \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{d}(v) := \frac{1}{\ell'(v)} \sum_{c \in L'(v)} |N_H(c) \cap V(H')|.$$

By definition, if $v \in V(G')$, then $\bar{d}(v) = \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}'}(v)$. Our goal is to verify that statements (i)–(iv) in Lemma A.2 hold for every $v \in V(G')$ with positive probability. We follow an idea of Pettie and Su [PS15] (which was also used in [AA20; ABD22; ADK24]) by defining the following auxiliary quantities:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(v) &:= \frac{\ell(v)}{\ell}, & \lambda'(v) &:= \frac{\ell'(v)}{\ell'}, \\ \delta(v) &:= \lambda(v) \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) + (1 - \lambda(v)) 2d, & \delta'(v) &:= \lambda'(v) \bar{d}(v) + (1 - \lambda'(v)) 2d'. \end{aligned}$$

Note that, by (A7), we have $(1 - \beta)/2 \leq \lambda(v) \leq 1 + \beta$. When $\lambda(v) \leq 1$, we can think of $\delta(v)$ as what the average color-degree of v would become if we added $\ell - \ell(v)$ colors of degree $2d$ to $L(v)$. (We remark that in both [PS15] and [AA20], the value $\lambda(v)$ is artificially capped at 1. However, as noted by Anderson, Bernshteyn, and the author in [ABD22], it turns out that there is no harm in allowing $\lambda(v)$ to exceed 1, which moreover makes the analysis simpler.) The upper bound on $\overline{\text{deg}}(v)$ given by (A8) implies that

$$\delta(v) \leq (1 + \beta)d. \tag{A.1}$$

As demonstrated in [ABD22, Lemma 4.1], an upper bound on $\delta'(v)$ suffices to derive statements (ii)–(iv) in Lemma A.2:

Lemma A.3. *If $\delta'(v) \leq (1 + \beta')d'$, then conditions (ii)–(iv) of Lemma A.2 are satisfied.*

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 4.1]. □

As a result of the above lemma, it remains to verify there is an outcome of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) for which the following holds:

$$\delta'(v) \leq (1 + \beta')d', \quad \text{and} \quad \ell'(v) \leq (1 + \beta')\ell', \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G).$$

To this end, we shall prove some intermediate results. Before we do so, consider the following inequality, which will be useful for proving certain bounds and follows for \tilde{d} sufficiently large:

$$\eta\beta \geq d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})/200} / \log^5 d \geq d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

In the next lemma, we show that $k(v)$ is concentrated around its expected value, which we then use to show condition (i) in Lemma A.2 is satisfied with high probability.

Lemma A.4. $\Pr[|k(v) - \text{keep } \ell(v)| \geq \eta\beta \text{keep } \ell(v)] \leq \exp(-d^{1/10})$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 4.2]. \square

Since $\ell'(v) \leq k(v)$, we have the following with probability at least $1 - \exp(-d^{1/10})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \ell'(v) &\leq (1 + \eta\beta) \text{keep } \ell(v) \\ &\leq (1 + \eta\beta) (1 + \beta) \text{keep } \ell \\ &\leq (1 + \beta') \ell'. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that condition (i) is met with probability at least $1 - \exp(-d^{1/10})$.

In order to analyze the average color-degrees in the correspondence cover \mathcal{H}' , we define the following:

$$\begin{aligned} E_K(v) &:= \{cc' \in E(H) : c \in L(v), c' \in N_H(c), \text{ and } c, c' \in K\}, \\ E_U(v) &:= \{cc' \in E(H) : c \in L(v), c' \in N_H(c), \text{ and } c' \in U\}, \\ d_{K \cap U}(v) &:= \frac{|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|}{k(v)}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $d_{K \cap U}(v)$ is what the average color-degree of v would be if instead of removing colors with too many neighbors on Step 6 of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#), we had just set $L'(v) = K(v)$. We refer to $E_K(v)$ as the *kept edges* and $E_U(v)$ as the *uncolored edges*.

The only place in our proof that relies on H being k -locally-sparse is the following lemma, which gives a bound on the expected value of $|E_K(v)|$.

Lemma A.5. $\mathbb{E}[|E_K(v)|] \leq \text{keep}^2 \ell(v) \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) (1 + \eta\beta)$.

Proof. Note that

$$E_K(v) = \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \mathbb{1}_{\{c, c' \in K\}}.$$

If $c \in K$, then two events occur: no color in $N_H(c)$ is activated, and c survives its equalizing coin flip. Since activations and equalizing coin flips occur independently, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|E_K(v)|] &= \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \Pr[c, c' \in K] \\ &= \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \Pr[\text{eq}(c) = 1] \Pr[\text{eq}(c') = 1] \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{|N_H(c) \cup N_H(c')|} \\ &= \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \Pr[\text{eq}(c) = 1] \Pr[\text{eq}(c') = 1] \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{|N_H(c)| + |N_H(c')| - |N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} \\ &= \text{keep}^2 \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|}. \end{aligned}$$

For $c \in V(H)$, we will consider two cases based on the value of $\deg_H(c)$.

(Case 1) $\deg_H(c) < d^{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. Then,

$$|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')| \leq |N_H(c)| = \deg_H(c) \leq d^{\sqrt{\gamma}}.$$

By the lower bound $\ell \geq 4\eta d$ from assumption (A3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} &\leq \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-d^{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \\ &\leq \deg_H(c) \left(1 - d^{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \deg_H(c) \left(1 - \frac{d^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}}{4}\right)^{-1} \\ &\leq \deg_H(c) \left(1 + d^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality holds since $d^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} \leq 1$ as $\gamma < 1$.

(Case 2) $\deg_H(c) \geq d^{\sqrt{\gamma}}$. We note that this is the only place where we use local sparsity. Define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bad} &:= \left\{c' \in N_H(c) : |N_H(c') \cap N_H(c)| \geq \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}\right\}, \\ \text{Good} &:= N_H(c) \setminus \text{Bad}. \end{aligned}$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} \\ &= \sum_{c' \in \text{Bad}} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} + \sum_{c' \in \text{Good}} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} \\ &\leq \sum_{c' \in \text{Bad}} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-\deg_H(c)} + \sum_{c' \in \text{Good}} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-\deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \\ &\leq |\text{Bad}| \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-2d} + (\deg_H(c) - |\text{Bad}|) \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-\deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Since $\deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}} \leq 2d$, the last expression is increasing in terms of $|\text{Bad}|$. Let us now bound the size of Bad. By (A5) and the definition of local sparsity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Bad}| \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}} \leq 2k &\implies |\text{Bad}| \leq \frac{2d^{2\gamma}}{\deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \\ &\implies |\text{Bad}| \leq 2 \deg_H(c)^{2\gamma/\sqrt{\gamma}-\sqrt{\gamma}} = 2 \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}. \end{aligned}$$

With this in hand, and as $(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell})^{-2d} = \frac{1}{\text{keep}} \leq 2$ due to assumption (A3), we have the following as a result of (A.3):

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} &\leq 2 \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}} + (\deg_H(c) - 2 \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}) \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-\deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}}} \\
&\leq 2 \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}} + \frac{(\deg_H(c) - 2 \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}})}{(1 - \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}} \frac{\eta}{\ell})} \\
&= \deg_H(c) \left(2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + \frac{1 - 2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}}{(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell} \deg_H(c)^{\sqrt{\gamma}})} \right) \\
&\leq \deg_H(c) \left(2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + \frac{1 - 2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}}{(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell} 2d \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})})} \right) \\
&\leq \deg_H(c) \left(2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + \frac{1 - 2 \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}}{(1 - \frac{1}{2} \deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})})} \right) \\
&\leq \deg_H(c) \left(\deg_H(c)^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})\sqrt{\gamma}} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq \deg_H(c) \left(d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + 1 \right),
\end{aligned}$$

completing this case. \square

By (A.2), we have $\eta\beta \geq d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}$. Therefore, putting together (Case 1) and (Case 2), we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}[E_K(v)] &= \text{keep}^2 \sum_{c \in L(v)} \sum_{c' \in N_H(c)} \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell}\right)^{-|N_H(c) \cap N_H(c')|} \\
&\leq \text{keep}^2 \left(\sum_{c \in (\text{Case 1})} \deg_H(c) \left(1 + d^{-(1-\sqrt{\gamma})}\right) + \sum_{c \in (\text{Case 2})} \deg_H(c) \left(d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + 1\right) \right) \\
&\leq \text{keep}^2 \sum_{c \in L(v)} \deg_H(c) \left(d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + 1\right) \\
&= \text{keep}^2 \ell(v) \overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) \left(d^{-\gamma(1-\sqrt{\gamma})} + 1\right) \\
&\leq \text{keep}^2 \ell(v) \overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) (1 + \eta\beta),
\end{aligned}$$

as desired. \square

The following lemma bounds $\mathbb{E}[|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|]$ in terms of $\mathbb{E}[|E_K(v)|]$. It shows that roughly an uncolor fraction of the kept edges are also uncolored in expectation.

Lemma A.6. $\mathbb{E}[|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|] \leq \text{uncolor} (1 + 4\eta\beta) \mathbb{E}[|E_K(v)|]$.

Proof. The proof is the same as that in [ABD22, Lemma 5.2]. \square

Putting together Lemmas A.5 and A.6, we prove the following result:

Lemma A.7. $\mathbb{E}[|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|] \leq \text{keep}^2 \text{uncolor} \ell(v) \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)(1 + 6\eta\beta)$.

Proof. Lemmas A.5 and A.6 together imply that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|] &\leq \text{keep}^2 \text{uncolor} \ell(v) \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)(1 + 4\eta\beta)(1 + \eta\beta) \\ &\leq \text{keep}^2 \text{uncolor} \ell(v) \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v)(1 + 6\eta\beta). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

The next lemma establishes that with high probability the quantity $|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)|$ is not too large. The proof is identical to that of [ABD22, Lemma 4.4], and thus we omit the technical details here. For an informal overview of the main idea, see the discussion preceding Lemma 3.5 of [ADK24]. The result of the lemma is stated below, where $d_{\max} := \max\{d^{7/8}, \Delta(H)\}$.

Lemma A.8. $\Pr[|E_K(v) \cap E_U(v)| \geq \text{keep}^2 \text{uncolor} \ell(v) (\overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) + 8\eta\beta d_{\max})] \leq d^{-100}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 4.4]. □

The next two lemmas are purely computational, using the results of Lemmas A.4 and A.8. As the calculations are identical to those in [ABD22, §4], we omit them here. First, we show that $d_{K \cap U}(v)$ is not too large with high probability.

Lemma A.9. $\Pr[d_{K \cap U}(v) > \text{keep} \text{uncolor} \overline{\text{deg}}_{\mathcal{H}}(v) + 15\eta\beta \text{keep} \text{uncolor} d_{\max}] \leq d^{-75}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 4.5]. □

We can now combine the results of this section to prove the desired bound on $\delta'(v)$. Again, the proof is purely computational, and so we refer to [ABD22, Lemma 4.6].

Lemma A.10. $\Pr[\delta'(v) \leq (1 + \beta')d'] \geq 1 - d^{-50}$.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 4.6]. □

We are now ready to finish the proof of Lemma A.2.

Proof of Lemma A.2. This proof is the same as the proof of [ABD22, Lemma 3.1], but is included here for completeness. We perform the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) on G and \mathcal{H} and define the following random events for each $v \in V(G)$:

1. $A_v := \{\ell'(v) \leq (1 + \beta')\}$,
2. $B_v := \{\delta'(v) \geq (1 + \beta')d'\}$.

We now use the [Lovász Local Lemma](#). By Lemmas A.4 and A.10, we have:

$$\Pr[A_v] \leq \exp(-d^{1/10}) \leq d^{-50}, \quad \Pr[B_v] \leq d^{-50}.$$

Let $p := d^{-50}$. Note that the events A_v, B_v are mutually independent from the events of the form A_u, B_u , where $u \in V(G)$ is at distance more than 4 from v . Since $\Delta(G) \leq 2(1 + \beta)\ell d$, there are at most $2(2(1 + \beta)\ell d)^4 \leq d^{10}$ events corresponding to the vertices at distance at most 4 from v . So we let $\mathfrak{d} := d^{10}$ and observe that $4p\mathfrak{d} = 4d^{-40} < 1$. By the [Lovász Local Lemma](#), with positive probability none of the events A_v, B_v occur. By Lemma A.3, this implies that, with positive probability, the output of the [Wasteful Coloring Procedure](#) satisfies the conclusion of Lemma A.2. □

A.3 The Recursion

Let γ, d, k be as defined in the statement of the proposition, and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a sufficiently small constant in terms of γ . Define $\gamma' \in (\gamma, 1)$ as follows:

$$\gamma' := \gamma \left(\frac{1 + \gamma - 7\varepsilon/32}{2\gamma - \varepsilon/4} \right). \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Let us first verify that $\gamma' \in (\gamma, 1)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' > \gamma &\iff 1 + \gamma - 7\varepsilon/32 > 2\gamma - \varepsilon/4 \\ &\iff 1 + \varepsilon/32 > \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

which is always true as $\gamma < 1$. Let us now show $\gamma' < 1$. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma' < 1 &\iff \frac{\gamma + \gamma^2 - 7\gamma\varepsilon/32}{2\gamma - \varepsilon/4} < 1 \\ &\iff \gamma + \gamma^2 - 7\gamma\varepsilon/32 < 2\gamma - \varepsilon/4 \\ &\iff \varepsilon < \frac{4\gamma(1 - \gamma)}{1 - 7\gamma/8}, \end{aligned}$$

which follows for sufficiently small ε .

Recall that our coloring procedure is iterative. To prove Proposition 2.3, we start by defining several parameters:

$$\begin{aligned} C &:= 4(1 + \gamma) \\ \mu &:= \frac{C - \varepsilon}{2} \log \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{8C} \right) & \eta &:= \mu / \log \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right) \\ \ell_0 &:= C d / \log \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right) & d_0 &:= d \\ \text{keep}_i &:= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell_i} \right)^{2d_i} & \text{uncolor}_i &:= \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\ell_i} \right)^{\text{keep}_i \ell_i / 2} \\ \ell_{i+1} &:= \text{keep}_i \ell_i & d_{i+1} &:= \text{keep}_i \text{uncolor}_i d_i \\ \beta_0 &:= d_0^{-\gamma'(1 - \sqrt{\gamma'})/200} & \beta_{i+1} &:= \max \left\{ (1 + 36\eta)\beta_i, d_{i+1}^{-\gamma'(1 - \sqrt{\gamma'})/200} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We begin with the following lemma which lists some of the main relations between the above parameters.

Lemma A.11. *There exists d^* such that whenever $d \geq d^*$ and $1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma}$, the following hold:*

(R1) *For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $d_i/\ell_i \leq d_1/\ell_1 \leq \log \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right) / C$.*

(R2) *For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\ell_i \geq d \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right)^{4/(C - 7\varepsilon/8)}$.*

(R3) *There exists a minimal integer $i^* \leq \left\lceil \frac{16}{\mu} \log \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right) \log \log \left(d / \sqrt{k} \right) \right\rceil$ such that $d_{i^*} \leq \ell_{i^*}/100$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to that of [ADK24, Lemma 4.1] for $s = t = 1$, *mutatis mutandis*. We note that our bounds on k and C are weaker than theirs, however, it is enough to have $k = o(d^2)$ and $C \geq 4 + \varepsilon$ for their arguments. As $\gamma \in (0, 1)$, these do indeed hold for sufficiently small ε . \square

Lemma A.12. *There exists $d^\#$ such that whenever*

$$d \geq d^\#, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq k \leq d^{2\gamma},$$

the following hold for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq i < i^$, where i^* is defined (and guaranteed to exist) by (R3).*

(I1) d_i is sufficiently large: $d_i \geq \tilde{d}$, where \tilde{d} is from Lemma A.2,

(I2) k is not too large: $k \leq d_i^{2\gamma'}$.

(I3) ℓ_i is bounded below and above in terms of d_i : $4\eta d_i < \ell_i < 100d_i$,

(I4) η is sufficiently small: $\frac{1}{\log^5 d_i} < \eta < \frac{1}{\log(d_i/\sqrt{k})}$,

(I5) β_i is small: $\beta_i \leq 1/10$. (Note that the bound $\beta_i \geq d_i^{-\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/200}$ holds by definition.)

Proof. For all $i < i^*$, we have $d_i \geq \ell_i/100$, and by (R2) of Lemma A.11, it follows for d large enough that $\ell_i \geq d \left(d/\sqrt{k} \right)^{-4/(C-7\varepsilon/8)}$. Note that $k \geq 1$ and $C \geq 4 + \varepsilon$ for ε sufficiently small. Therefore, we have the following for $i < i^*$:

$$d_i \geq \frac{1}{100} d \left(d/\sqrt{k} \right)^{-4/(C-7\varepsilon/8)} \geq d^{\varepsilon/40}. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Setting $d^\# \geq \tilde{d}^{40/\varepsilon}$ completes the proof of (I1).

Let us now consider (I2). Since we assume $i < i^*$, we have the following as a result of (R2):

$$\begin{aligned} d_i &\geq \frac{1}{100} d \left(d/\sqrt{k} \right)^{-4/(C-7\varepsilon/8)} \\ &= \frac{1}{100} d^{\left(1-\frac{4}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right)} k^{\left(\frac{2}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right)} \\ &\geq d^{\left(1-\frac{4(1+\varepsilon/32)}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right)} k^{\left(\frac{2}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right)} \quad (\text{for } d \text{ sufficiently large}) \\ &\geq k^{\frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(1-\frac{4(1+\varepsilon/32)}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right) + \frac{2}{C-7\varepsilon/8}} \quad (\text{as } k \leq d^{2\gamma}) \\ &= k^{\frac{1}{2\gamma} \left(1-\frac{4(1+\varepsilon/32-\gamma)}{C-7\varepsilon/8}\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us consider the exponent above. Plugging in the value of C , we have

$$1 - \frac{4(1 + \varepsilon/32 - \gamma)}{C - 7\varepsilon/8} = 1 - \frac{(1 + \varepsilon/32 - \gamma)}{1 + \gamma - 7\varepsilon/32} = \frac{2\gamma - \varepsilon/32 - 7\varepsilon/32}{1 + \gamma - 7\varepsilon/32} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma'},$$

where the last step follows by definition of γ' (see (A.4)). This completes the proof of (I2).

By (R1), we have

$$\frac{\ell_i}{d_i} \geq \frac{\ell_0}{d_0} = \frac{C\eta}{\mu}.$$

Since $\mu < 1$ for ε sufficiently small, this shows

$$\ell_i \geq \frac{C\eta}{\mu} d_i \geq C\eta d_i \geq 4\eta d_i,$$

proving (I3) as the upper bound always holds for $i < i^*$.

Using the fact that $d/\sqrt{k} \leq d$, we see for d large enough that

$$\frac{1}{\log^5(d_i)} \leq \frac{1}{\log^5(d^{\varepsilon/40})} = \frac{(40/\varepsilon)^5}{\log^5(d)} < \frac{\mu}{\log(d)} \leq \eta.$$

Furthermore, for ε sufficiently small, we have $\mu < 1$. Thus, as $d_i \leq d$, we may conclude that

$$\eta \leq \frac{1}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})} \leq \frac{1}{\log(d/\sqrt{k})},$$

completing the proof of (I4).

Let $0 \leq i' \leq i^* - 1$ be the largest integer such that $\beta_{i'} = d_i^{-\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/200}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i^*-1} &= (1 + 36\eta)^{i^*-1-i'} d_{i'}^{-\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/200} \\ &\leq (1 + 36\eta)^{i^*} \left(d^{\varepsilon/40}\right)^{-\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/200} \\ &\leq \exp(36\eta i^*) d^{-\varepsilon\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/8000}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma A.11(R3), we may conclude the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{i^*-1} &\leq \exp(36\eta i^*) d^{-\varepsilon\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/8000} \\ &\leq \exp\left(576 \frac{\eta}{\mu} \log(d/\sqrt{k}) \log \log(d/\sqrt{k})\right) d^{-\varepsilon\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/8000} \\ &= \left(\log(d/\sqrt{k})\right)^{576} d^{-\varepsilon\gamma'(1-\sqrt{\gamma'})/8000} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{10}, \end{aligned}$$

for d large enough as $k \geq 1$. This shows (I5) and completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to prove Proposition 2.3.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ and let γ' be as defined in (A.4). We will not explicitly compute d_0 but simply take d large enough when needed. Thus let d be sufficiently large so that we may apply Lemma A.12.

Let G be a graph and $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ be a correspondence cover of G satisfying the hypotheses of the proposition. Set

$$G_0 := G, \quad \mathcal{H}_0 = (L_0, H_0) := \mathcal{H}$$

By removing some of the vertices from H if necessary, we may assume that $|L(v)| = \ell_0$ for all $v \in V(G)$.

At this point, we recursively define a sequence of graphs G_i with correspondence cover $\mathcal{H}_i = (L_i, H_i)$ for $0 \leq i \leq i^*$ by iteratively applying Lemma A.2 as follows: Lemma A.12 shows conditions (A1)–(A4) of Lemma A.2 (with d_i in place of d , ℓ_i in place of ℓ , and γ' in place of γ) hold for each $0 \leq i \leq i^* - 1$. Therefore, if G_i is a graph with correspondence cover $\mathcal{H}_i = (L_i, H_i)$ such that for β_i as defined at the start of the section,

- (1) H_i is k -locally-sparse,
- (2) $\Delta(H_i) \leq 2d_i$,
- (3) the list sizes are roughly between $\ell_i/2$ and ℓ_i :

$$(1 - \beta_i)\ell_i/2 \leq |L_i(v)| \leq (1 + \beta_i)\ell_i \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G_i),$$

- (4) average color-degrees are smaller for vertices with smaller lists of colors:

$$\overline{\deg}_{\mathcal{H}_i}(v) \leq \left(2 - (1 - \beta_i)\frac{\ell_i}{|L_i(v)|}\right) d_i \quad \text{for all } v \in V(G_i),$$

then G_i and \mathcal{H}_i satisfy the required hypotheses of Lemma A.2. In particular, there exists a partial \mathcal{H}_i -coloring ϕ_i of G_i and an assignment of subsets $L_{i+1}(v) \subseteq (L_i)_{\phi_i}(v)$ to each vertex $v \in V(G_i) \setminus \text{dom}(\phi_i)$ such that, setting

$$G_{i+1} := G_i[V(G_i) \setminus \text{dom}(\phi_i)], \quad H_{i+1} := H_i \left[\bigcup_{v \in V(G_{i+1})} L_{i+1}(v) \right],$$

and $\mathcal{H}_{i+1} := (L_{i+1}, H_{i+1})$,

we have items (1)–(4) hold for G_{i+1} and \mathcal{H}_{i+1} with parameter β_{i+1} . Thus we may iteratively apply Lemma A.2 i^* times, starting with G_0 and \mathcal{H}_0 , to define G_i and \mathcal{H}_i for $0 \leq i \leq i^*$.

We now show G_{i^*} and \mathcal{H}_{i^*} satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition A.1. By (3), it follows for each $v \in V(G_{i^*})$,

$$|L_{i^*}(v)| \geq (1 - \beta_{i^*})\ell_{i^*}/2 \geq (1 - (1 + 36\eta)\beta_{i^*-1})\ell_{i^*}/2.$$

Since $\beta_{i^*-1} \leq \frac{1}{10}$ and $\eta \leq \frac{1}{100}$ for d large enough, it follows

$$(1 - (1 + 36\eta)\beta_{i^*-1})\ell_{i^*}/2 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1 + 36/100}{10}\right) \ell_{i^*}/2 \geq \frac{1}{4}\ell_{i^*}$$

for d large enough. As $\ell_{i^*} \geq 100d_{i^*}$, we have:

$$\frac{1}{4}\ell_{i^*} \geq 25d_{i^*}.$$

We have by (2) that $\Delta(H_{i^*}) \leq 2d_{i^*}$, thus for all $c \in V(H_{i^*})$, we have

$$25d_{i^*} \geq 10 \deg_{H_{i^*}}(c).$$

Putting this chain of inequalities together yields $|L_{i^*}(v)| \geq 8 \deg_{H_{i^*}}(c)$, as desired.

Therefore by Proposition A.1 there exists an \mathcal{H}_{i^*} -coloring of G_{i^*} , say ϕ_{i^*} . Letting ϕ_i be the partial \mathcal{H}_i -colorings of G_i for $0 \leq i \leq i^* - 1$ guaranteed at each application of Lemma A.2, it follows

$$\bigcup_{i=0}^{i^*} \phi_i$$

is a proper \mathcal{H} -coloring of G , as desired. □

B A Meta Correspondence Coloring Algorithm

In this section, we adapt Algorithm 4.1 to the correspondence coloring setting as mentioned in §4.1.

Algorithm B.1 The Meta Correspondence Coloring Algorithm

Input: An n -vertex graph $G = (V, E)$ with a q -fold correspondence cover $\mathcal{H} = (L, H)$ and a parameter $s = \Omega(\sqrt{\log n})$.

Output: A proper \mathcal{H} -coloring of G .

1. Sample $S(v) \subseteq L(v)$ uniformly at random over all s -element subsets of $L(v)$ for each $v \in V$.
 2. Define $E_{\text{conflict}} \subseteq E$ as the set of all edges uv such that the subgraph $H[S(u) \cap S(v)]$ contains edges.
 3. Define H' as the subgraph of H induced by the lists $S(\cdot)$. Remove all colors c satisfying $\deg_{H'}(c) = \Omega(s\Delta(H)/q)$ to define $S'(\cdot)$. From here, let H_{conflict} be the subgraph of H' induced by $S'(\cdot)$.
 4. Construct the conflict graph $G_{\text{conflict}} = (V, E_{\text{conflict}})$ and the conflict correspondence cover $\mathcal{H}_{\text{conflict}} = (S, H_{\text{conflict}})$.
 5. Find a proper $\mathcal{H}_{\text{conflict}}$ -coloring of G_{conflict} .
-