

CORRESPONDING ABELIAN EXTENSIONS OF INTEGRALLY EQUIVALENT NUMBER FIELDS

SHAVER PHAGAN

ABSTRACT

Integrally equivalent number fields admit a natural correspondence of abelian extensions. It is natural to ask which aspects of the extensions' arithmetic are invariant under this bijection. We show that corresponding extensions share many of the same features enjoyed by arithmetically equivalent fields, despite not generally being arithmetically equivalent themselves. In the process of elaborating on this, we observe that arithmetically equivalent fields have the same odd K -groups, and we prove a simple lemma allowing for quick proofs of theorems characterizing Kronecker and weak Kronecker equivalence. We also extend a group cohomological result of Arapura et. al. and conclude with a geometric application.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Corresponding Abelian Extensions.

The Dedekind zeta function ζ_F associated to a number field F is a well-known invariant, uniquely determining up to isomorphism number fields of degree less than 7 and finite Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} . The splitting behavior of rational primes in the ring of integers \mathcal{O}_F is *uniquely* encoded by ζ_F , as is the structure of $\mathbb{Q}[G/G_F]$ as a $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -module, where G is the Galois group of a normal closure of F/\mathbb{Q} , and $G_F \subset G$ is the stabilizer of F . In particular, letting F/\mathbb{Q} be Galois with group G and subfields $F_1, F_2 \subset F$, then $\mathbb{Q}[G/G_{F_1}] \simeq \mathbb{Q}[G/G_{F_2}]$ iff $\zeta_{F_1} = \zeta_{F_2}$ iff the splitting type of each rational prime is the same in \mathcal{O}_{F_1} as it is in \mathcal{O}_{F_2} [Per77][Sut]. Such F_1, F_2 are said to be **arithmetically equivalent**, and (G, G_1, G_2) such that $\mathbb{Q}[G/G_1] \simeq \mathbb{Q}[G/G_2]$ as $\mathbb{Q}[G]$ -modules are said to form a **Gassman triple**. It is known that arithmetically equivalent fields enjoy the following relations.

Theorem 1.1. *If F_1, F_2 are arithmetically equivalent number fields, then*

1. F_1, F_2 have the same degree, Galois closure, and Galois core over \mathbb{Q}
2. F_1 and F_2 have the same signature (also called the infinity type)
3. $K_n(F_1) \simeq K_n(F_2)$ for odd $n \geq 3$ (c.f. Proposition 1.5)
4. $\Delta_{F_1} = \Delta_{F_2}$
5. $\zeta_{F_1} = \zeta_{F_2}$.

As noted by Prasad in [Pra17], using results of L. Scott [Sco93] and D. Zywina [Zyw15], one can deduce the existence of number fields $F_1, F_2 \subset F$ such that $F_1 \not\subset F_2$, F/\mathbb{Q} is Galois with group G , and $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_{F_1}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{F_2}]$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules. Such F_1, F_2 will be called **integrally equivalent**, and if G is instead the group of a Galois extension F/K , we will say F_1, F_2 are **integrally equivalent over K** . Furthermore, (G, G_1, G_2) such that $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_1] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/G_2]$ as $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -modules are said to form an **integral Gassman triple**. An integral Gassman triple of Galois groups of

number fields gives rise to an isomorphism of algebraic tori, yielding an isomorphism of idele class groups [Pra17], and, therefore, a natural correspondence of abelian extensions, via class field theory.

The extent to which characters of the abelian extensions of a number field F —along with their corresponding L -functions—determine F up to isomorphism has been studied by Cornelissen et. al. [CdSL⁺18][Sol19]. In particular, it is known that a bijection between the abelian extensions of some non-isomorphic number fields cannot always preserve Dedekind zeta functions. Therefore, given corresponding abelian extensions of integrally equivalent number fields $L_i/F_i, i = 1, 2$, we seek to identify in general “how close” L_1 and L_2 are to being arithmetically equivalent. We give an answer with Theorem 1.2, which is proved throughout Section 4.

Theorem 1.2. *If L_1, L_2 are corresponding abelian extensions of integrally equivalent number fields, then, for $m = [L_i : K_i]$,*

1. L_1, L_2 have the same degree, Galois closure, and Galois core over \mathbb{Q}
2. L_1 and L_2 have the same signature for m odd
3. $K_n(L_1) \simeq K_n(L_2)$ for odd $n \geq 3$ when m is odd
4. Δ_{L_1} and Δ_{L_2} have the same prime divisors
5. The Euler factorizations of ζ_{L_1}, ζ_{L_2} agree over a set of rational primes of positive density (c.f. Corollary 4.7). Furthermore, L_1, L_2 are weakly Kronecker equivalent.

We also demonstrate a sense (c.f. Section 1.2) in which the conclusion of Theorem 1.2.5 is optimal, by showing that non-isomorphic, integrally equivalent number fields always possess corresponding abelian extensions which are not Kronecker equivalent (c.f. Theorem 4.14).

Throughout the sequel, the symbol \amalg is used to indicate a direct product, except in the proofs of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 4.7. Furthermore, an integral Gassman triple $(\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is assumed to have $[\Gamma : \Gamma_i] < \infty$.

1.2. Comparing Splitting Types.

We define the **splitting type** $S_K(p)$ in a number field K of a rational prime p as the multiset of residues of K over p . Arithmetic equivalence of number fields F_1, F_2 can be characterized as an equivalence $S_{F_1}(p) = S_{F_2}(p)$ for all but finitely many rational primes p . Number fields F_1, F_2 are called **Kronecker equivalent** if

$$1 \in S_{F_1}(p) \text{ iff } 1 \in S_{F_2}(p)$$

for all but finitely many rational primes p [Jeh77], and F_1, F_2 are **weakly Kronecker equivalent** if $\gcd(S_{F_1}(p)) = \gcd(S_{F_2}(p))$ for all but finitely many p [Loc94]. Arithmetic, Kronecker, and weak Kronecker equivalence of number fields can also be characterized by studying intersections with conjugacy classes. Given, $g \in G$, letting g^G denote the conjugacy class of g , it turns out that (G, G_1, G_2) is a Gassman triple iff

$$(1) \quad |g^G \cap G_1| = |g^G \cap G_2| \text{ for every } g \in G$$

[Per77]. A natural weakening of condition 1 is

$$g^G \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset \text{ iff } g^G \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset,$$

which is equivalent to

$$\bigcup_{g \in G} gG_1g^{-1} = \bigcup_{g \in G} gG_2g^{-1}.$$

Subgroups $G_1, G_2 \subset G$ satisfying either of the latter two equivalent conditions are said to **conjugacy-cover** one-another in G . We record some clarifying theorems. In Section 2, we will see that a quick proof of each is afforded by a simple lemma. For us, $0 \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\mathbb{N}_+ = \mathbb{N} - \{0\}$.

Theorem 1.3. ([Kli78][Loc95]) *Let G be the Galois group of a finite Galois extension F/\mathbb{Q} , and let $G_i \subset G$ be the stabilizer of subfields $F_i \subset F$, $i = 1, 2$. The following are equivalent.¹*

1. F_1, F_2 are Kronecker equivalent
2. G_1, G_2 conjugacy-cover one-another in G
3. $1 \in S_{F_1}(p) \Leftrightarrow 1 \in S_{F_2}(p)$ for all rational primes p
4. $\mathcal{N}_{1,p} = \mathcal{N}_{2,p}$ for all rational primes p , where $\mathcal{N}_{i,p} = \{\sum_j n_j f_j \mid n_j \in \mathbb{N}, f_j \in S_{F_i}(p)\}$.

Theorem 1.4. [Loc94] *Given number fields F_1, F_2 , let G be the Galois group of a normal closure of the F_i/\mathbb{Q} , G_{F_i} the stabilizer of F_i in G , and $G_{F_i,p} \leq G_{F_i}$ a Sylow p -subgroup. Then the F_i are weakly Kronecker equivalent iff for each prime p , $G_{F_1,p}$ and $G_{F_2,p}$ conjugacy-cover one-another.*

We remark that it is a consequence of Theorem 1.3.4 that Kronecker equivalence implies weak Kronecker equivalence, as one would expect.

1.3. K -Groups of Odd Index.

The K -theory of a number field F is a more contemporary invariant. We will restrict our attention here to groups $K_n(F)$ with n odd. We show that arithmetically equivalent fields have the same odd K -groups and record some useful facts about the groups $K_n(F)$ for the convenience of the reader. More details can be found in Section 5.3 of [Wei] or Chapter VI of [Wei13].

The K -groups are abelian, and for odd $n \geq 3$, $K_n(F)$ is a finitely generated group, given by the following rule.

$$K_n(F) \simeq \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/w_i\mathbb{Z}, & n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{r_2} \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^{r_1-1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2w_i\mathbb{Z}, & n \equiv 3 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{r_1+r_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/\frac{1}{2}w_i\mathbb{Z}, & n \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \\ \mathbb{Z}^{r_2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/w_i\mathbb{Z}, & n \equiv 7 \pmod{8} \end{cases}$$

where r_1, r_2 is the number of real, complex places of F , respectively, $i = (n+1)/2$, and

$$(2) \quad v_p(w_i) = \max\{\nu \mid \text{Gal}(F(\zeta_{p^\nu})/F) \text{ has exponent dividing } i\},$$

ζ_r is a primitive r^{th} root of unity, and v_p is the p -adic valuation associated to the rational prime p .

Proposition 1.5. *If F_1, F_2 are arithmetically equivalent number fields, then for odd $n \geq 3$, we have $K_n(F_1) \simeq K_n(F_2)$.*

Proof. Since the F_i are arithmetically equivalent, we know they have the same signature. Furthermore, given a primitive root of unity μ , we know that $F_1 \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu) = F_2 \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu)$, so $\text{Gal}(F_1(\mu)/F_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(F_2(\mu)/F_2)$, since $\text{Gal}(F_i(\mu)/F_i) \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu)/F_i \cap \mathbb{Q}(\mu))$. \square

¹In [LMNR07], a geometric analog of Kronecker equivalence is studied, which is therein dubbed *length equivalence*. Kronecker and length equivalence are both implied by an appropriate subgroup conjugacy-covering condition. Length equivalence is in fact a weakening of a geometric analog of arithmetic equivalence, known as iso-spectrality [Sun85]. Again there is a common group-theoretic mechanism underlying the analogy, namely, a Gassman triple. Another weakening of iso-spectrality, called *eigenvalue equivalence*, is introduced in [LMNR07]. The group-theoretic mechanism underlying eigenvalue equivalence is referred to as *fixed point equivalence*. To the author's knowledge, the implications of fixed point equivalence are not known for number fields.

1.4. Diagrams in (Co)Homology, Corresponding Abelian Covers.

We say that closed manifolds M_1, M_2 are **integrally equivalent** if there are coverings $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow M'$ with normal closure $N \rightarrow M'$ a G -covering, where (G, G_1, G_2) is an integral Gassman triple, and M_i is the subcover corresponding to G_i . For $n \geq 3$, Arapura et. al constructed non-isometric integrally equivalent covers M_1, M_2 of a large and non-arithmetic closed hyperbolic n -manifold M , which admit isomorphisms in cohomology $H^*(M_1) \simeq H^*(M_2)$ natural with respect to restriction (corestriction) from (to) $H^*(M)$ [AKMS19]. The isomorphism $H^{n-1}(M_1) \simeq H^{n-1}(M_2)$ already suggests a correspondence of the abelian covers of M_1, M_2 when M is orientable, by Poincare duality. We show that there are also isomorphisms in homology $H_*(M_1) \simeq H_*(M_2)$ natural with respect to restriction and corestriction. The isomorphism $H_1(M_1) \simeq H_1(M_2)$ then allows us to define a correspondence of the abelian covers of M_1, M_2 , regardless of the orientability of M , as follows. We say covers $M'_i \rightarrow M_i$ **correspond** if $U_i \subset H_1(M_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are finite index subgroups such that $H_1(M_1) \simeq H_1(M_2)$ restricts to $U_1 \simeq U_2$, and $\pi_1(M'_i)$ is the preimage of U_i under the projection $\pi_1(M_i) \rightarrow H_1(M_i)$. The diagrams in homology and cohomology are obtained using group (co)homology arguments ² ³ in Section 5, and the more geometrically flavored Theorem 1.6 is obtained as a quick corollary.

Theorem 1.6. *If M is a closed manifold with contractible universal cover admitting integrally equivalent covers $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow M$ of degree d , then corresponding abelian covers $M'_i \rightarrow M_i$ of degree coprime to d have the same normal closure over M .*

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisor Ben McReynolds for all his help, and I would like to thank Daniel Le for his helpful comments and a clarifying conversation about algebraic tori. I would also like to thank Milana Golich and Justin Katz for conversation on this work.

2. CHARACTERIZING KRONECKER EQUIVALENCE AND WEAK KRONECKER EQUIVALENCE

We present Lemma 2.1, which we believe is of interest in its own right, and new proofs of theorems of Klingen and Lochter characterizing Kronecker equivalence and weak Kronecker equivalence are quickly derived as a result (cf. Section 1.2 and [Kli78][Loc95][Loc94]). We conclude with a characterization of weak Kronecker equivalence that will be useful later. Given a subset S of a group G , we use the notation $S^n = \{g^n \mid g \in S\}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let L/\mathbb{Q} be the Galois closure of a number field K , and let $G = \text{Gal}(L/\mathbb{Q})$. If $\chi_K = (1_{G_K})^G$ and $F_p \subset G$ is the Frobenius class of an unramified rational prime p , then $\chi_K(F_p^n) \neq 0$ iff there is a prime of K with residue m over p such that $m|n$.*

Proof. Suppose $\chi_K(F_p^n) \neq 0$, and recall that this is equivalent to $F_p^n \cap G_K \neq \emptyset$, so there is $g \in F_p$ with $g^n \in G_K$. Letting \mathcal{D} be the cyclic group generated by g , we know that \mathcal{D} is the decomposition group of L_ω/\mathbb{Q}_p for some place ω of L over p , and $\mathcal{D}' := \mathcal{D} \cap G_K$ is the decomposition group of L_ω/K_η , where η is the prime of K divisible by ω . Let m be the positive divisor of $o(g)$ with g^m generating \mathcal{D}' . Then η has residue m , since $[K_\eta : \mathbb{Q}_p] = |\mathcal{D}/\mathcal{D}'| = m$. Furthermore, since $m|o(g)$,

²We pursue group (co)homological relations afforded by integral Gassman triples insofar as they elucidate our geometric correspondence, but there is much more to be said. Homological relations in the spirit of Stallings' Theorem and applications thereof are explored in [GM23].

³A conceivable approach to defining and studying our corresponding abelian extensions would be to lead with the group (co)homological results in Section 5 and then apply these results to an integral Gassman triple of absolute Galois groups of number fields. As we will see, the adelic approach taken in Section 4 allows us to easily circumvent certain coprimality assumptions and extend the scope of our arithmetic results.

and $g^n \in \mathcal{D}' = \langle g^m \rangle$, we have $m|n$.

Conversely, if there is a prime of K with residue m over p , then there is $g \in F_p$ generating a decomposition group \mathcal{D} such that $\mathcal{D} \cap G_K$ is generated by g^m . Clearly then if $m|n$, we have $\chi_K(g^n) \neq 0$, and so $\chi_K(F_p^n) \neq 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1 \Leftrightarrow 2). Suppose the G_{K_i} conjugacy-cover one-another in G . Given an unramified prime p , by Lemma 2.1, $1 \in S_{K_i}(p)$ iff $\chi_{K_i}(F_p) \neq 0$, and by the conjugacy covering assumption, $\chi_{K_1}(F_p) \neq 0$ iff $\chi_{K_2}(F_p) \neq 0$. Since all but finitely many primes are unramified, we conclude the K_i are Kronecker equivalent.

Suppose instead that the K_i are Kronecker equivalent, so that by Chebotarev density, for every $g \in G$, there is an unramified rational prime p whose Frobenius class F_p is precisely the conjugacy class of g in G , and such that $1 \in S_{K_1}(p)$ iff $1 \in S_{K_2}(p)$. By Lemma 2.1, $\chi_{K_i}(F_p) \neq 0$ iff $1 \in S_{K_i}(p)$, so that the G_{K_i} conjugacy-cover one-another in G . \square

Before stating and proving the next proposition, we fix some notation. Given a place ν of a number field F , we denote the residue field at ν by $\kappa(\nu)$, and if E/F is a finite extension (not necessarily Galois) with ω a place of E over ν , we denote the group of the cyclic extension $\kappa(\omega)/\kappa(\nu)$ by $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega/\nu}$. Recall that f is a residue of E over ν iff there is some $\omega|\nu$ such that $|\mathfrak{g}_{\omega/\nu}| = f$. Given a Galois extension E/F of number fields with group G and ω a place of E over a place ν of F , we identify $\text{Gal}(E_\omega/F_\nu)$ with the decomposition group $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} \subset G$. Finally, recall that the inertia subgroup $\mathcal{I}_{\omega/\nu}$ is precisely the kernel of the canonical projection $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\omega/\nu}$, and note that if K is a subfield of E containing F , and if η is a place of K divisible by ω , then $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\eta} = \mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} \cap G_K$ and $\mathcal{I}_{\omega/\eta} = \mathcal{I}_{\omega/\nu} \cap G_K$ (c.f. Proposition 22.a in Chapter I of [Ser79]).

Proposition 2.2. *If p is a rational prime (ramified or unramified), and K_1, K_2 are Kronecker equivalent number fields, then for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, there is a divisor of m in $S_{K_1}(p)$ iff there is a divisor of m in $S_{K_2}(p)$.*

Proof. Let K/\mathbb{Q} be the Galois closure of the K_i , and set $G = \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. If there is $f \in S_{K_1}(p)$ dividing m , then there is a place ω of K and a place $\nu_{1,\omega}$ of K_1 divisible by ω such that $f = |\mathfrak{g}_{\nu_{1,\omega}/p}|$, so that, given a pull-back $g \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega/p}$ of a generator of $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega/p}$, $g^m \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega/p} \mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu_{1,\omega}}$, since $\mathcal{I}_{\omega/p} \mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu_{1,\omega}}$ is the kernel of the composition $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\omega/p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_{\nu_{1,\omega}/p}$. In particular, there are $s \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega/p}$, $t \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu_{1,\omega}}$ such that $g^m = st$. Now $t \in G_{K_1}$, so there is $\gamma \in G$ such that $\gamma t \gamma^{-1} \in G_{K_2}$, but then $\gamma t \gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{D}_{\omega'/p}$, and $\gamma s \gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega'/p}$, where $\omega' = \gamma \omega$. Hence $\gamma g^m \gamma^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}_{\omega'/p} \mathcal{D}_{\omega'/\nu_{2,\omega'}}$, where $\nu_{2,\omega'}$ is the place of K_2 divisible by ω' . Since $\gamma g \gamma^{-1}$ is the pull-back of a generator of $\mathfrak{g}_{\omega'/p}$, $|\mathfrak{g}_{\nu_{2,\omega'}/p}|$ divides m , so there is a divisor of m in $S_{K_2}(p)$. The converse holds by symmetry. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1 \Leftrightarrow 3). Suppose K_1 and K_2 are Kronecker equivalent fields. By Proposition 2.2, for any rational prime p , $1 \in S_{K_1}(p)$ iff $1 \in S_{K_2}(p)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3 (1 \Leftrightarrow 4). Suppose that F_1, F_2 are number fields such that $\mathcal{N}_{1,p} = \mathcal{N}_{2,p}$ for all primes p , and observe that $\min \mathcal{N}_{i,p} = 1$ iff $1 \in S_{F_i}(p)$. Since $\min \mathcal{N}_{1,p} = \min \mathcal{N}_{2,p}$, we conclude that $1 \in S_{F_1}(p)$ iff $1 \in S_{F_2}(p)$, so the F_i are Kronecker equivalent.

Suppose conversely that F_1, F_2 are Kronecker equivalent number fields. By Proposition 2.2, given a rational prime p and $f \in \mathbb{N}$, $S_{F_1}(p)$ contains a divisor of f iff $S_{F_2}(p)$ does. If $r \in \mathcal{N}_{1,p}$, then $r = \sum_i n_i f_i$ for some $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_i \in S_{F_1}(p)$. We know that for each i , there is $f'_{j_i} \in S_{F_2}(p)$ dividing f_i ,

so that $f_i = m_i f'_{j_i}$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, $r = \sum_i n_i m_i f'_{j_i}$, so $\mathcal{N}_{1,p} \subset \mathcal{N}_{2,p}$. The reverse inclusion follows by an identical argument. \square

For p an unramified rational prime, let $\delta_{i,p} = \gcd(S_{F_i}(p))$, and observe that, by Lemma 2.1,

$$(3) \quad \delta_{i,p} = \gcd\{n | \chi_i(F_p^n) \neq 0\},$$

where $\chi_i = (1_{G_{F_i}})^G$.

Lemma 2.3. *For an unramified rational prime p , $\delta_{1,p}$ and $\delta_{2,p}$ have the same prime divisors when the Sylow subgroups of the G_{F_i} , $i = 1, 2$, conjugacy-cover one another.*

Proof. Pick $i \in \{1, 2\}$, let q be a rational prime, set $n_q = o(F_p)/q^{v_q(o(F_p))}$, where v_q is the q -adic valuation, and observe that q does not divide n_q . If $q | \delta_{i,p}$, then $\chi_i(F_p^{n_q}) = 0$, since by equation 3, we know that $\chi_i(F_p^n) \neq 0$ implies $q | n$. Conversely, if q does not divide $\delta_{i,p}$, then there is a residue m over p not divisible by q , so that $m | n_q$, and so $\chi_i(F_p^{n_q}) \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.1. Thus q divides $\delta_{i,p}$ iff $\chi_i(F_p^{n_q}) = 0$. The claim follows from the Sylow conjugacy covering assumption, along with the fact that every element of $F_p^{n_q}$ is in a Sylow q -subgroup. \square

Lemma 2.4. *If $q | \delta_{i,p}$ and $F_{p'} = F_p^q$, with p, p' unramified rational primes, then $\delta_{i,p} = q \delta_{i,p'}$.*

Proof. Suppose $\chi_i(F_{p'}^n) \neq 0$. Then $\chi_i(F_p^{qn}) \neq 0$, so $\delta_{i,p} | qn$ and thus $\frac{\delta_{i,p}}{q} | n$. Hence $\frac{\delta_{i,p}}{q} | \delta_{i,p'}$. Now, if $\chi_i(F_p^n) \neq 0$, then $q | n$ and $\chi_i(F_{p'}^{\frac{n}{q}}) \neq 0$, so $\delta_{i,p'} | \frac{n}{q}$, and thus $q \delta_{i,p'} | n$. Hence $q \delta_{i,p'} | \delta_{i,p}$ and the proof is complete. \square

With our lemmas in place, we are set to prove Theorem 1.4.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. If p is an unramified rational prime, and F_1, F_2 are weakly Kronecker equivalent, then $\delta_{1,p} = \delta_{2,p}$. Furthermore, if $o(F_p)$ is a q -power for some prime q , then $\delta_{i,p}$ is itself a residue over p , so that $\chi_i(F_p^n) \neq 0$ iff $\delta_{i,p} | n$, by Lemma 2.1. But then $\delta_{1,p} = \delta_{2,p}$, so $\chi_1(F_p) \neq 0$ iff $\chi_2(F_p) \neq 0$. In other words, if g is an element of a Sylow q -subgroup of G , then G_{F_1} intersects the G -conjugacy class of g iff G_{F_2} does, and thus the Sylow q -subgroups of the G_{F_i} conjugacy-cover one-another.

Supposing the Sylow subgroups of the G_{F_i} conjugacy-cover one-another in G , we establish the converse by induction on r , where $o(F_p) = p_1^{c_1} \cdots p_k^{c_k}$ is the prime factorization of $o(F_p)$, and $r = c_1 + \cdots + c_k$. The case $r = 1$ is a clear consequence of the conjugacy-covering assumption on Sylow subgroups. Suppose $o(F_p)$ has r prime divisors, counted with multiplicity. We know from Lemma 2.3 that $\delta_{1,p}$ and $\delta_{2,p}$ have the same prime divisors. Given $q | \delta_{i,p}$, let $F_{p'} = F_p^q$, so that $\delta_{i,p} = q \delta_{i,p'}$, by Lemma 2.4, and $o(F_{p'})$ has $r - 1$ prime divisors counted with multiplicity. By induction, $\delta_{1,p'} = \delta_{2,p'}$, so $\delta_{1,p} = \delta_{2,p}$. \square

Using Theorem 1.4, along with ideas from the proof of Theorem 1.3, one can prove the following characterization of weak Kronecker equivalence.

Proposition 2.5. *Number fields F_1, F_2 are weakly Kronecker equivalent iff for each unramified rational prime p with $o(F_p)$ a prime power, $\mathcal{N}_{1,p} = \mathcal{N}_{2,p}$.*

3. QUASI-SPLIT TORI AND IDELE NORM

In this section, we prove that taking adelic points of a certain group scheme recovers the idele norm. This result is likely known to experts, but for the sake of completeness and for lack of a suitable reference, we include a proof. We write K^s for the separable closure of a field K , and \mathbb{G}_m will denote the multiplicative group scheme. We are interested in relating Weyl restriction of \mathbb{G}_m to the idele norm. For further discussion of the lemmas, see Chapter 2 pg. 54 in [PR94]. Given a set S , we will use the notation $\sum S$ to denote the sum $\sum_{s \in S} s$. We will also write γ^g in place of $g^{-1}\gamma g$, given elements γ, g of a group G .

Let K be a local or global field. It is well-known that finite-dimensional K -tori correspond to finitely generated free abelian groups, equipped with an action of $\mathcal{G} = \text{Gal}(K^s/K)$, via a contravariant equivalence of categories. In particular, the group-module corresponding to a K -torus T is its character group $\text{Hom}(T_{K^s}, \mathbb{G}_m)$. Since T is finite-dimensional over K , it splits over a finite Galois extension E/K . Furthermore, if T is a so-called ‘‘quasi-split’’ torus, there is a finite G -set A with $T_{K^s} \simeq \mathbb{G}_m^A$ as G -sets, where $G = \text{Gal}(E/K)$, and the right hand side is a direct product of copies of \mathbb{G}_m , indexed by A , and the G -action is permutation of coordinates. This induces an action of \mathcal{G} on the character group. The set of quasi-split tori is precisely the set of products of Weyl restrictions of \mathbb{G}_m (c.f. Chapter 2 in [PR94]). A straightforward adaptation of the proof of Theorem 7.5 in [Wat79] yields the following.

Lemma 3.1. *Given E/K Galois with group G and subextension $E/K'/K$, letting, $\Omega = G/G_{K'}$ and $T' = \text{Res}_{K'/K}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, the character group of T' is $\mathbb{Z}\Omega$.*

We will need an additional observation before proving the main theorem. Let $\alpha = \sum \Omega$, and let $N : T' \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the K -scheme corresponding to the morphism $\iota : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega : 1 \mapsto \alpha$. The K^s -form of N has associated Hopf algebra morphism

$$N_{K^s}^* : K^s[X, X^{-1}] \rightarrow K^s[X_i, X_i^{-1}] : X \mapsto X_1 \cdots X_n,$$

where X_i is the coordinate given by \bar{g}_i , and g_1, \dots, g_n is a full set of coset representatives for $G/G_{K'}$, so that taking K -points of N recovers the usual field norm. In summary:

Lemma 3.2. *The K -scheme N corresponding to the inclusion of modules ι has K -points given by the field norm $N(K) = N_{K'/K} : (K')^\times \rightarrow K^\times$.*

With the lemmas recorded, we are ready to state and prove the theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let E/K be a Galois extension of number fields with group G . If $G_{K'} \subset G$ is the stabilizer of the subfield $K' \subset E$, $\Omega = G/G_{K'}$, ι is the morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega$ of G -modules given by $1 \mapsto \sum \Omega$, and N is the scheme corresponding to ι , then $N(\mathbb{A}_K) : \mathbb{I}_{K'} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$ is the idele norm.*

Proof. It suffices to check componentwise, so we need to compute $N(K_\nu)$ for a place ν of K . To do so, we view $\mathbb{Z}\Omega$ as a $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu}$ -module, where ω is a place of E over ν , and $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} \subset G$ is the associated decomposition group. Let g_1, \dots, g_m be a complete set of representatives in G for the double cosets $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} \backslash G/G_{K'}$. If Ω_i is the $\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu}$ -orbit of \bar{g}_i in Ω , then $\mathbb{Z}\Omega = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i$. Furthermore, if $\alpha_i = \sum \Omega_i$, then $\alpha = \sum_i \alpha_i$, so that, if ι_i is the morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i : 1 \mapsto \alpha_i$, we have the decomposition

$$\iota = \sum_{i=1}^m \iota_i.$$

Therefore, letting N_i be the K_ν -scheme corresponding to ι_i , we have

$$N(K_\nu) = \prod_{i=1}^m N_i(K_\nu).$$

It thus remains to determine $N_i(K_\nu)$. Now, it is well known that each g_i corresponds to a place η_i of K' over ν . More explicitly, we can let $\omega_i = g_i^{-1}\omega$ and take η_i to be the place of K' divided by ω_i , so that $g_i^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu}g_i = \mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\nu}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\eta_i} = \mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\nu} \cap G_{K'}$. Letting $\Omega'_i = \mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\nu}/\mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\eta_i}$, observe that the maps

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\nu} : \gamma \mapsto \gamma^{g_i} \\ \Omega_i &\rightarrow \Omega'_i : \gamma \bar{g}_i \mapsto \overline{\gamma^{g_i}} \end{aligned}$$

determine an isomorphism of Galois representations $(\mathcal{D}_{\omega/\nu}, \Omega_i) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\omega_i/\nu}, \Omega'_i)$ allowing an identification $N_i(K_\nu) = N'_i(K_\nu)$, where N'_i is the K_ν -scheme corresponding to the morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega'_i : 1 \mapsto \sum \Omega'_i$. By Lemma 3.3, we know that $N'_i(K_\nu)$ is the field norm $(K'_{\eta_i})^\times \rightarrow K_\nu^\times$. It follows that $N(K_\nu)$ is the component over ν of the idele norm $\mathbb{I}_{K'} \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_K$. \square

4. CORRESPONDING ABELIAN EXTENSIONS

Let K_1 and K_2 be number fields integrally equivalent over F . Writing $T_i = \text{Res}_{K_i/F}(\mathbb{G}_m)$, the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_1}] \simeq \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_2}]$ gives an isomorphism $T_1 \simeq T_2$, by Lemma 3.1. The F -points of the T_i are therefore isomorphic $K_1^\times \simeq K_2^\times$, as are the \mathbb{A}_F -points $\mathbb{I}_{K_1} \simeq \mathbb{I}_{K_2}$, where \mathbb{A}_F denotes the ring of F -adeles. Furthermore, the isomorphism of ideles respects the diagonal embeddings $K_i^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{I}_{K_i}$, so we can quotient to get an isomorphism of idele class groups $\varphi : C_{K_1} \rightarrow C_{K_2}$. By class field theory, a finite abelian extension L_i/K_i is uniquely determined by the finite-index open subgroup of C_{K_i} given by the image of the idele class norm N_{L_i/K_i} . Moreover, every finite-index open subgroup of C_{K_i} is such an image:

$$L_i/K_i \leftrightarrow N_{L_i/K_i}(C_{L_i}) \subset C_{K_i}.$$

We say that L_1/K_1 and L_2/K_2 **correspond** if $N_{L_2/K_2}(C_{L_2}) = \varphi(N_{L_1/K_1}(C_{L_1}))$. Observe that φ induces an isomorphism of Galois groups $\text{Gal}(L_1/K_1) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_2/K_2)$, so that, in particular, $[L_1 : K_1] = [L_2 : K_2]$, which implies $[L_1 : F] = [L_2 : F]$. We state the following proposition without proof, as it is an immediate consequence of our definition of corresponding abelian extensions.

Proposition 4.1. *Suppose L_i/K_i , $i = 1, 2$ correspond, and L'_i/K_i , $i = 1, 2$ correspond. Then $L_i L'_i/K_i$, $i = 1, 2$ correspond and $L_i \cap L'_i/K_i$, $i = 1, 2$ correspond.*

Throughout the sequel, L_i/K_i , $i = 1, 2$ will denote corresponding abelian extensions, unless otherwise stated. We will also freely identify $\prod K_{i,\nu}^\times$ (product over some finite set of places) with its image under the projection $\mathbb{I}_{K_i} \rightarrow C_{K_i}$. Whether we are working in the idele group or the idele class group should be clear from context. Lastly, given an abelian extension L_i/K_i and a place ν of K_i , we will refer to the completion of L_i at a place over ν simply as $L_{i,\nu}$, since $L_{i,\eta_1} \simeq L_{i,\eta_2}$ for places η_1, η_2 of L_i over ν .

4.1. Arithmetic Similarity.

Given a place ω of F , all products from here on are over the places ν (or η) of K_i dividing ω , unless otherwise stated. We know that $T_i(F_\omega) = \prod K_{i,\nu}^\times$, so applying $T_1 \simeq T_2$ to F_ω gives an isomorphism

$$(4) \quad \varphi_\omega : \prod_{\nu|\omega} K_{1,\nu}^\times \rightarrow \prod_{\eta|\omega} K_{2,\eta}^\times.$$

Define $N_{i,\omega} \subset C_{K_i}$ by $N_{i,\omega} = N_{L_i/K_i}(C_{L_i}) \cap \prod K_{i,\nu}^\times$. Recall that

$$(5) \quad K_{i,\nu}^\times / (N_{i,\omega} \cap K_{i,\nu}^\times) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{i,\nu}/K_{i,\nu})$$

and

$$(6) \quad |\mathcal{O}_{K_{i,\nu}}^\times / (N_{i,\omega} \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_{i,\nu}}^\times)| = e(L_{i,\nu}/K_{i,\nu}).$$

See Chapter X in [Tat08] and Chapter V in [Ser79] for details.

Proposition 4.2. *The L_i have the same Galois closure over F .*

Proof. Recall that the Galois closure of an extension of number fields E/F is uniquely determined by the set of primes in F which split completely in E . Thus, it suffices to show that a prime ω of F splits completely in L_1 iff it does so in L_2 . Now, ω splits completely in L_i iff it splits completely in K_i and $\text{Gal}(L_{i,\nu}/K_{i,\nu})$ is trivial for each place ν of K_i over ω . But then, since K_1 and K_2 are integrally equivalent over F , we know that ω splits completely in K_1 iff it does so in K_2 . Furthermore, by equation 5, $\text{Gal}(L_{i,\nu}/K_{i,\nu}) = 1 \ \forall \nu | \omega$ iff $N_{i,\omega} = \prod K_{i,\nu}^\times$. Since φ_ω in equation 4 restricts to an isomorphism $N_{1,\omega} \rightarrow N_{2,\omega}$, we know that $N_{1,\omega} = \prod K_{1,\nu}^\times$ iff $N_{2,\omega} = \prod K_{2,\eta}^\times$. Thus ω splits completely in L_1 iff it does so in L_2 , so the L_i indeed have the same Galois closure over F . \square

Proposition 4.3. *φ_ω restricts to an isomorphism $\prod \mathcal{O}_{1,\nu}^\times \simeq \prod \mathcal{O}_{2,\eta}^\times$.*

Proof. Let n be the number of distinct prime divisors of ω in K_i (note this is independent of whether $i = 1$ or $i = 2$), $H_i = \prod K_{i,\nu}^\times$, $U_i = \prod \mathcal{O}_{i,\nu}^\times$. We know $H_i \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus U_i$, so $H_1/U_1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$, and therefore $H_2/\varphi_\omega(U_1) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$. But then, letting π denote the projection $H_2 \rightarrow H_2/\varphi_\omega(U_1)$, we know that $\pi(H_2) \simeq \pi(\mathbb{Z}^n)\pi(U_2)$ is free abelian of rank n and $\pi(U_2) \simeq U_2/U_2 \cap \varphi_\omega(U_1)$. Now, the U_i are virtually pro- p , so they have no nontrivial free abelian quotients. Therefore, $\pi(U_2) = 0$, so $U_2 \subset \varphi_\omega(U_1)$. That $\varphi_\omega(U_1) \subset U_2$ is a consequence of the decomposition of H_2 above, because otherwise U_1 would surject a nontrivial free abelian group. \square

Corollary 4.4. *The isomorphism $K_1^\times \simeq K_2^\times$ restricts to an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{K_1}^\times \simeq \mathcal{O}_{K_2}^\times$.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 4.3, along with the facts that $\mathcal{O}_{K_i}^\times$ is precisely the set of elements of K_i^\times with ν -adic valuation equal to 0 for every place ν of K_i , and $K_i^\times \cap \mathcal{O}_{K_{i,\nu}}^\times$ is precisely the set of elements of K_i^\times with ν -adic valuation equal to 0. \square

Corollary 4.5. *A rational prime is unramified in L_1 iff it is unramified in L_2 .*

Proof. Note by equation 6 that a rational prime p is unramified in L_i iff it is unramified in K_i and $U_{i,p} \subset N_{i,p}$ (with $U_{i,p}$ and $N_{i,p}$ defined similarly as U_i and N_i from before, except here products are over all places of K_i over p). But then the K_i are arithmetically equivalent, so they are unramified over the same rational primes, and Proposition 4.3 says that $U_{1,p} \subset N_{1,p}$ iff $U_{2,p} \subset N_{2,p}$. \square

Theorem 4.6. *Corresponding abelian extensions are weakly Kronecker equivalent. Furthermore, L_1/\mathbb{Q} and L_2/\mathbb{Q} have the same Galois core, and L_1/F and L_2/F have the same maximal abelian subextensions.*

Proof. Let $\Omega_i = G/G_{K_i}$, A be the G -equivariant linear isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}\Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2$, and define

$$\alpha_i = \sum \Omega_i.$$

Since the action of G on Ω_i is transitive, $\mathbb{Z}\alpha_i \subset \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i$ is the only rank 1 submodule fixed by G . But then $gA\alpha_1 = Ag\alpha_1 = A\alpha_1$ for each $g \in G$, so $A\alpha_1 = n\alpha_2$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Notice that α_i corresponds

to the vector $(1, 1, \dots, 1)$ when we use the cosets as a basis for $\mathbb{Z}\Omega_i$, so in fact n is an eigenvalue of A . Since n is an integer and A is represented in this basis by an invertible integer matrix, $n = \pm 1$. Letting $N_i : T_i \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the scheme corresponding to the G -module morphism $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i : 1 \mapsto \alpha_i$, we have the commutative diagram of schemes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_1 & \longrightarrow & T_2 \\ N_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow N_2 \\ \mathbb{G}_m & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array}$$

where the bottom isomorphism is either the identity or inversion. Taking \mathbb{A}_F -points gives

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{I}_{K_1} & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{I}_{K_2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{I}_F & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{I}_F \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the usual idele norms. The diagonal embeddings are respected, so we can pass to the class groups. Letting $N_{L/K}$ denote the idele class norm $C_L \rightarrow C_K$, we obtain the following commutative diagram.

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} C_{K_1} & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & C_{K_2} \\ N_{K_1/F} \downarrow & & \downarrow N_{K_2/F} \\ C_F & \longrightarrow & C_F \end{array}$$

From the fact that $N_{L_i/F} = N_{K_i/F} \circ N_{L_i/K_i}$ and the commutativity of diagram (7), we find that $N_{L_1/F}(C_{L_1}) = N_{L_2/F}(C_{L_2})$, so the L_i/F have the same maximal abelian subextensions, by the Norm Limitation Theorem (c.f. Theorem 7.3.10 in [Ked], Theorem 7 in Chapter XIV of [Tat08]). Furthermore, composing with $N_{F/\mathbb{Q}}$ gives $N_{L_1/\mathbb{Q}}(C_{L_1}) = N_{L_2/\mathbb{Q}}(C_{L_2})$. We conclude, by the usual compatibility of idele and ideal class norms, that $N(\mathcal{I}_{L_1}) = N(\mathcal{I}_{L_2})$, where \mathcal{I}_{L_i} is the ideal class group of L_i , and N is the absolute norm. Observe that the least positive p -power in $N(\mathcal{I}_{L_i})$ is precisely $p^{\delta_i, p}$, so the L_i are weakly Kronecker equivalent. The L_i then contain the same Galois extensions of \mathbb{Q} , by Theorem 11 in [Loc94]. \square

Corollary 4.7. *Suppose K_1, K_2 are integrally equivalent number fields with corresponding abelian extensions L_i/K_i $i = 1, 2$, and let $\zeta_{L_i}(s) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} \frac{a_i(n)}{n^s}$. If every prime divisor of n is unramified in the K_i and has Frobenius of order coprime to $[L_i : K_i]$, then $a_1(n) = a_2(n)$. Furthermore, if the Frobenius of every prime divisor of n has order a prime power or coprime to $[L_i : K_i]$, then $a_1(n) = 0$ iff $a_2(n) = 0$.*

Proof. For any integer m , the projection $G_{K_i} \rightarrow G_{K_i}/G_{L_i}$ of an element in $F_p^m \cap G_{K_i}$ must have order dividing $o(F_p)$ and $[L_i : K_i]$. Therefore if $o(F_p)$ is coprime to $[L_i : K_i]$, then $F_p^m \cap G_{K_i} \subset G_{L_i}$, and we conclude $\chi_{K_i}(F_p^m) = \chi_{L_i}(F_p^m)$ (c.f. Proposition 2.6 in [Sut]), so then $\chi_{L_1}(F_p^m) = \chi_{L_2}(F_p^m)$ when $(o(F_p), [L_i : K_i]) = 1$. Furthermore, it is an immediate consequence of the fact that the Sylow q -subgroups of the G_{L_i} conjugacy cover one-another in G that $\chi_{L_1}(F_p^m) = 0$ iff $\chi_{L_2}(F_p^m) = 0$ when $o(F_p)$ is a prime power.

Let $n = p_1^{c_1} \cdots p_k^{c_k}$ be the prime factorization of n . If $o(F_{p_j})$ is coprime with $[L_i : K_i]$ for each j , then

we know $\chi_{L_1}(F_{p_j}^m) = \chi_{L_2}(F_{p_j}^m)$ for each divisor m of $o(F_p)$. The proof of Lemma 1 in [Per77] shows that $S_{L_i}(p)$ is determined by $\{(\chi_{L_i}(F_p^m), m) \mid m \text{ divides } o(F_p)\}$. Therefore, $S_{L_1}(p_j) = S_{L_2}(p_j)$ for each j . Letting $\mathcal{P} = \{p \mid (o(F_p), [L_i : K_i]) = 1\}$, $\mathcal{P}_i = \{\text{places } \mathfrak{P} \text{ of } L_i \text{ over a prime in } \mathcal{P}\}$, we have an equality of Euler products

$$\prod_{\mathfrak{P} \in \mathcal{P}_1} (1 - N(\mathfrak{P})^{-s})^{-1} = \prod_{\mathfrak{B} \in \mathcal{P}_2} (1 - N(\mathfrak{B})^{-s})^{-1}.$$

But then $a_1(n)$ is the coefficient of n^{-s} on the left, and $a_2(n)$ is the coefficient of n^{-s} on the right. Hence $a_1(n) = a_2(n)$. If instead we assume that $o(F_{p_j})$ is either a prime power or coprime to $[L_i : K_i]$ for each j , then Proposition 2.5, along with an argument similar to the one above and the observation that n is the absolute norm of an ideal of \mathcal{O}_{L_i} iff $c_j \in \mathcal{N}_{L_i, p}$ for each $1 \leq j \leq k$, confirms that $a_1(n) = 0$ iff $a_2(n) = 0$. \square

Corollary 4.8. *Fixing a separable closure F^s of F , if $\alpha \in F^s$, and $F(\alpha)$ is abelian, then $K_1(\alpha)$ and $K_2(\alpha)$ correspond.*

Proof. Suppose $L_1 = K_1(\alpha)$. By Theorem 4.6, L_1/F and L_2/F have the same maximal abelian subextension, so $\alpha \in L_2$. Hence $[K_2(\alpha) : K_2] \leq [L_2 : K_2]$. But then, $[L_2 : K_2] = [K_1(\alpha) : K_1]$, so $[K_2(\alpha) : K_2] \leq [K_1(\alpha) : K_1]$. We can just as easily argue that $[K_1(\alpha) : K_1] \leq [K_2(\alpha) : K_2]$, so in fact $[K_1(\alpha) : K_1] = [K_2(\alpha) : K_2]$, and thus $[K_2(\alpha) : K_2] = [L_2 : K_2]$, so $L_2 = K_2(\alpha)$. By symmetry we conclude that $L_1 = K_1(\alpha)$ iff $L_2 = K_2(\alpha)$, i.e. $K_1(\alpha)$ and $K_2(\alpha)$ are corresponding abelian extensions. \square

Proposition 4.9. *If L_1, L_2 are corresponding abelian extensions, then L_1 is totally imaginary iff L_2 is. Furthermore, if $[L_i : K_i]$ is odd, then the L_i have the same signature.*

Proof. Consider the isomorphism $\varphi_\infty : T_1(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow T_2(\mathbb{R})$, and let $N_{i, \infty} = N_{L_i/K_i}(C_{L_i}) \cap T_i(\mathbb{R})$. Letting r be the number of real places of K_i , it is clear that L_i is totally imaginary iff $[T_i(\mathbb{R}) : N_{i, \infty}] = 2^r$. Now, φ_∞ restricts to an isomorphism $N_{1, \infty} \rightarrow N_{2, \infty}$. In particular, $[T_1(\mathbb{R}) : N_{1, \infty}] = [T_2(\mathbb{R}) : N_{2, \infty}]$, so L_1 is totally imaginary iff L_2 is.

To see that $[L_i : K_i]$ odd implies L_1 and L_2 have the same signature, note that the decomposition group $\mathcal{D} \subset G$ of an archimedean place is of order 1 or 2, argue as in the first part of the proof of Corollary 4.7, then use part (iv) of Proposition 2.6 in [Sut]. \square

Proposition 4.10. *If $K_{n,t}(F)$ denotes the torsion part of $K_n(F)$ for a number field F , then for odd $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$, $K_{n,t}(L_1) \simeq K_{n,t}(L_2)$. Furthermore, if $[L_i : K_i]$ is odd, then $K_n(L_1) \simeq K_n(L_2)$ for odd $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. Let L_i/K_i , $i = 1, 2$, be corresponding abelian extensions and n an odd integer. If $n = 1$, then we know the first claim holds, since the L_i have the same roots of unity, by Theorem 4.6, so assume additionally that $n \geq 3$. Letting $\mathcal{C}_{i,p} = \{(\text{Gal}(L_i(\zeta_{p^k})/L_i), k)\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, where ζ_{p^k} is a primitive p^k -th root of unity, we know by equation 2, the torsion part of $K_n(L_i)$ is determined by the $\mathcal{C}_{i,p}$ for odd n not congruent to 3 mod 8, and $K_{n,t}(L_i)$ is determined by the $\mathcal{C}_{i,p}$ and the signature of L_i for any odd $n \geq 3$. From Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.8, we know that $L_1(\zeta)/K_1$ and $L_2(\zeta)/K_2$ correspond for any primitive root of unity ζ , since $L_i(\zeta) = L_i K_i(\zeta)$. Therefore, $\text{Gal}(L_1(\zeta)/K_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2(\zeta)/K_2)$, and this isomorphism restricts to an isomorphism $\text{Gal}(L_1(\zeta)/L_1) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_2(\zeta)/L_2)$, by the definition of corresponding abelian extensions. Therefore, $\mathcal{C}_{1,p} = \mathcal{C}_{2,p}$ for each prime p . This verifies that $K_{n,t}(L_1) \simeq K_{n,t}(L_2)$ for $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{8}$. Supposing now that $[L_i : K_i]$ is odd, then by Proposition 4.9, the signatures of the L_i are the same, so $K_n(L_1) \simeq K_n(L_2)$ for any odd $n \geq 3$. \square

4.2. Corresponding Abelian Extensions That Are Not Kronecker Equivalent.

We conclude this section by demonstrating that corresponding abelian extensions can fail to be Kronecker equivalent. Before proving the main result of this subsection, we need to set the stage with some lemmas. We use e_i to denote the i^{th} standard basis vector.

Lemma 4.11. *If (G, G_1, G_2) is a non-trivial integral Gassman triple⁴ of finite groups, and $A \in GL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is the matrix expressing the $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ -module isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}[G/G_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G_2]$ using cosets as bases, then each row of A contains multiple non-zero components.*

Proof. Since the groups are finite and G_1, G_2 are not conjugate in G , we know that for every $g \in G$, there is $h \in gG_2g^{-1}$ such that $h \notin G_1$. In particular, letting e_1^i be the basis element corresponding to the coset $G_i \in G/G_i$ and g_1, \dots, g_n be a complete set of coset representatives for G/G_2 , then if $Ae_1^1 = \sum_i a_i g_i e_1^2$ and $a_j \neq 0$, there is $g \in g_j G_2 g_j^{-1}$ such that $g \notin G_1$, so that $ge_1^1 \neq e_1^1$ and $A(ge_1^1) = gA(e_1^1) = a_j g_j e_1^2 + \sum_{i \neq j} a_i g g_i e_1^2$. By G -equivariance, we conclude that any row with a non-zero component must have multiple nonzero components. But then A is invertible, so every row has at least one non-zero component. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Call a sublattice of the standard lattice in Euclidean space **normal** if it has a basis consisting of integral multiples of the standard basis. The maximal normal sublattice \mathcal{L} of the lattice given by the \mathbb{Z} -span of the columns of $M \in GL(r, \mathbb{Q}) \cap M(r, \mathbb{Z})$ is $\bigoplus_i m_i \mathbb{Z}$, where m_i is the least positive integer m such that $mM^{-1}e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$.*

Proof. The i^{th} component of any element of \mathcal{L} is an integer multiple of the least m such that $me_i \in \mathcal{L}$, since \mathcal{L} is a normal sublattice. However, $me_i \in \mathcal{L}$ iff $mM^{-1}e_i \in \mathbb{Z}^r$. \square

Lemma 4.13. *Let p be a rational prime that splits completely in integrally equivalent number fields K_i , $i = 1, 2$. Identifying $\mathcal{L}_{i,p} := T_i(\mathbb{Q}_p)/U_{i,p}$ with \mathbb{Z}^n , the automorphism $\mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$ determined by the linear isomorphism $\mathcal{L}_{1,p} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{2,p}$ induced by φ_p in equation 4 is precisely the transpose of the matrix expressing the G -equivariant linear isomorphism $A : \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_1}]$ with bases given by the cosets G/G_i .*

Proof. Given a map of sets $s : S_1 \rightarrow S_2$, we freely identify s with its extension to a linear map $\mathbb{Q}_p[S_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p[S_2]$. Recall that $T_i(\mathbb{Q}_p) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p[X_i], \mathbb{Q}_p)$, where X_i is the character group of T_i . Let $g_{i1}, \dots, g_{in} \in G$ be a full set of representatives for G/G_i and let $x_{ij} \in X_i$ be the preimage of $g_{ij}G_i$ under the isomorphism $\varphi_i : X_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G_i]$. Since p splits completely in K_i , a morphism $f : \mathbb{Q}_p[X_i] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ is uniquely determined by the n -tuple $(f(x_{i1}), \dots, f(x_{in})) \in (\mathbb{Q}^\times)^n$. Denote by φ the isomorphism $\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p[X_1], \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p[X_2], \mathbb{Q}_p)$, and let $A' : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be given by $A' = \varphi_1^{-1} \circ A \circ \varphi_2$. Observe that $\varphi(f) = f \circ A'$, so that, if $f \in \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Q}_p[X_1], \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is given by $f(x_{1j}) = \alpha_j$, then $\varphi(f)(x_{2j}) = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)^{\text{col}_j(A')}$, where for vectors $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n), b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$, we are writing $a^b = a_1^{b_1} \dots a_n^{b_n}$. Now, write $\alpha_i = p^{m_i} \alpha'_i$, where α'_i is a unit. Passing to the quotient $(\mathbb{Q}_p^\times)^n / (\mathbb{Z}_p^\times)^n \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$, we see that the image of $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ is identified with $m = (m_1, \dots, m_n)$, while the image of $(\alpha^{\text{col}_1(A')}, \dots, \alpha^{\text{col}_n(A')})$ is identified with $A^T m$. \square

Note that the orders of the relative decomposition groups for L_i/K_i over an unramified rational prime p are exactly the positive multiples of the standard basis vectors forming a basis of the largest normal sublattice in $\mathcal{L}'_{i,p} := N_{i,p}/U_{i,p} \subset \mathcal{L}_{i,p}$, by equation 5, where $N_{i,p}, U_{i,p}$ are as in Corollary 4.5.

⁴i.e. the G_i are not conjugate in G

It is also worth mentioning that, though $\mathcal{L}'_{i,p}$ certainly can be a normal sublattice in $\mathcal{L}_{i,p}$, this is by no means the case in general. See Chapters VII and X of [Tat08] for details (Theorem 10 in Chapter VII, in particular).

Theorem 4.14. *Given non-isomorphic integrally equivalent number fields, there exist corresponding abelian extensions which are not Kronecker equivalent.*

Proof. Suppose K_1, K_2 are non-isomorphic, integrally equivalent number fields whose Galois closure over \mathbb{Q} has group G , and let $A : \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[G/G_{K_1}]$ be a G -equivariant isomorphism inducing a correspondence of the abelian extensions of the K_i . We assume without loss of generality that $\det(A) = 1$. If p is an odd rational prime that splits completely in the K_i , by a Grunwald-Wang argument (for instance, intersecting appropriate subgroups of C_{K_1} guaranteed to exist by Theorem 6 in Chapter X of [Tat08]), given a prime q coprime to every cofactor of A , there is an abelian extension L_1 of K_1 in which p is unramified with $\mathcal{L}'_{1,p} = \mathbb{Z} \oplus q\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus q\mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $S_{L_1}(p) = \{\{1, q, \dots, q\}\}$. Now, let $M = A^T \text{diag}(1, q, \dots, q)$. By Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13, we know the splitting type of p in L_2 is given by the set of least positive integers m_i such that $m_i M^{-1} e_i$ is integral. Since A is G -equivariant, so is A^{-1} . Furthermore, because $K_1 \neq K_2$, every row of A^{-1} has multiple non-zero entries, by Lemma 4.11. If C denotes the cofactor matrix of A , we know that $A^{-1} = C^T$, so every column of C has multiple non-zero entries. Now, $M^{-1} = \text{diag}(1, q^{-1}, \dots, q^{-1})C$, and every column of C has multiple non-zero entries, so $m_i M^{-1} e_i$ is integral iff $q|m_i$, by choice of q , so the splitting type of p in L_2 is $\{\{q, \dots, q\}\}$. Therefore, $1 \in S_{L_1}(p)$, while $1 \notin S_{L_2}(p)$, so the L_i are not Kronecker equivalent, by Theorem 1.3. \square

5. DIAGRAMS IN HOMOLOGY AND COHOMOLOGY

In this section, if coefficients are not specified for a (co)homology group, the coefficients are \mathbb{Z} . Given an integral Gassman triple $(\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ and a Γ -module A , Arapura et. al proved that there are isomorphisms in cohomology $H^*(\Gamma_1, A) \simeq H^*(\Gamma_2, A)$ compatible with corestriction to and restriction from $H^*(\Gamma, A)$, where the Γ_i -module structure on A is $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_i}^{\Gamma}(A)$ [AKMS19]. We show that there are similar isomorphisms in homology, and we demonstrate that the isomorphisms in (co)homology also commute with restriction and corestriction to and from, respectively, $H(\Gamma_N, C)$ when Γ_N is a finite index normal subgroup of Γ contained in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ and C is a cyclic group of order coprime to $[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$, where H denotes either H_* or H^* . If $U_i \subset H_1(\Gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are index $t < \infty$ subgroups such that $H_1(\Gamma_1) \simeq H_1(\Gamma_2)$ restricts to an isomorphism $U_1 \simeq U_2$, we say that U_1, U_2 **correspond**. Finally, if $\Gamma'_i \subset \Gamma_i$ is the preimage of U_i under the canonical projection $\Gamma_i \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma_i)$, then we show in Theorem 5.5 that Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 have the same normal core in Γ , assuming t is coprime to $[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$. The proof of Theorem 5.5 draws from ideas in Section 6 of [CP21]. Throughout, $[\Gamma : \Gamma_i] < \infty$.

Lemma 5.1. *If Ω_1, Ω_2 are finite and transitive G -sets, then an isomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}\Omega_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2$ of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules, or its negative, is natural with respect to both the diagonal embeddings $\Delta_i : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i : n \mapsto n \sum \Omega_i$ and the augmentations $\varepsilon_i : \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} : \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_i} n_{\omega} \omega \mapsto \sum_{\omega \in \Omega_i} n_{\omega}$. Precisely, either $\varphi \circ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ and $\varepsilon_2 \circ \varphi = \varepsilon_1$, or $(-\varphi) \circ \Delta_1 = \Delta_2$ and $\varepsilon_2 \circ (-\varphi) = \varepsilon_1$.*

Proof. Let A be the matrix expressing the isomorphism φ with respect to the bases Ω_i . Observe as in the proof of Proposition 4.6 that, by G -equivariance, $(1, \dots, 1)$ is an eigenvector of A , and therefore $A(1, \dots, 1) = \pm(1, \dots, 1)$. Furthermore, letting $\mathbb{Z}\Omega_i^*$ denote the dual G -module to $\mathbb{Z}\Omega_i$ consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear maps $\mathbb{Z}\Omega_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, with G -action given by $gf(\omega) = f(g^{-1}\omega)$, for $f \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i^*, \omega \in \Omega_i, g \in G$, then the induced $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module isomorphism $\varphi^* : \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2^* \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\Omega_1^*$ is expressed by A^T with respect to the

dual bases Ω_i^* . We conclude that $(1, \dots, 1)$ is an eigenvector of A^T . Observe that $(1, \dots, 1) \in \mathbb{Z}\Omega_i^*$ is precisely ε_i , so $\varepsilon_2 \circ \varphi = \pm \varepsilon_1$. Finally, note that if $\varphi \circ \Delta_1 = c\Delta_2$, and $\varepsilon_2 \circ \varphi = c'\varepsilon_1$, then

$$c|\Omega_2| = (\varepsilon_2 \circ \varphi \circ \Delta_1)(1) = c'|\Omega_1|.$$

Since $|\Omega_1| = |\Omega_2|$, we conclude $c = c'$. \square

Corollary 5.2. *If $(\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is an integral Gassman triple, then, given a Γ -module A , there is a commutative diagram*

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & H(\Gamma, A) & \\ \text{Res}_{\Gamma_1}^\Gamma \swarrow & & \searrow \text{Res}_{\Gamma_2}^\Gamma \\ H(\Gamma_1, A) & & H(\Gamma_2, A) \\ \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_1}^\Gamma \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_2}^\Gamma \\ & H(\Gamma, A) & \\ & \cong & \end{array}$$

where H denotes either H^* or H_* , and the Γ_i -module structure on A is $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_i}^\Gamma(A)$.

Proof. Letting $\Omega_i = \Gamma/\Gamma_i$, recall that restriction $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_i}^\Gamma$ (resp. corestriction $\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_i}^\Gamma$) is obtained by tensoring the diagonal embedding Δ_i (resp. augmentation morphism ε_i) with A , then applying $H(\Gamma, -)$ and Shapiro's Lemma (c.f. [Bro82] III.9.A). By Lemma 5.1, there is a commutative diagram of $\mathbb{Z}\Gamma$ -module morphisms

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathbb{Z} & \\ \Delta_1 \swarrow & & \searrow \Delta_2 \\ \mathbb{Z}\Omega_1 & & \mathbb{Z}\Omega_2 \\ \varepsilon_1 \nearrow & & \nwarrow \varepsilon_2 \\ & \mathbb{Z} & \\ & \cong & \end{array}$$

Tensoring with A then applying $H(\Gamma, -)$ and Shapiro's Lemma yields the desired result. \square

Lemma 5.3. *Suppose $(\Gamma, \Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ is an integral Gassman triple, let C be a cyclic group of order coprime to $[\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$, and let Γ_N be a finite index normal subgroup of Γ contained in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$. If H denotes either H_* or H^* , and φ is the isomorphism $H(\Gamma_1, C) \rightarrow H(\Gamma_2, C)$ from Corollary 5.2 commuting with restriction and corestriction, there is a commutative diagram of the following form.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varphi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ H(\Gamma_1, C) & & H(\Gamma_2, C) \\ \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1} \nearrow & & \nwarrow \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2} \\ \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1} \searrow & & \swarrow \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2} \\ & H(\Gamma_N, C) & \end{array}$$

Proof. First, let $m = [\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$, and observe that, because $\Gamma_N \trianglelefteq \Gamma$, and $\Gamma_N \subset \Gamma_i$, $i = 1, 2$, the action of Γ_N on $\Gamma_i \backslash \Gamma$ by right multiplication is trivial, so that $|\Gamma_i \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_N| = m$. Now, we know that

$$\text{Res}_{\Gamma_i}^\Gamma \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^\Gamma(z) = \sum \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i} \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(gz),$$

where the sum is over representatives $g \in \Gamma$ for the set of double cosets $\Gamma_i \backslash \Gamma / \Gamma_N$ (c.f. Proposition 9.5 in Chapter III of [Bro82]). Clearly, $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(gz) = gz$, and, because $\Gamma_N \trianglelefteq \Gamma$, we know that

$\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(gz) = \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(z)$, so $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_i}^{\Gamma} \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma}(gz) = m \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(z)$. Since $\varphi \circ \text{Res}_{\Gamma_1}^{\Gamma} = \text{Res}_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma}$, we conclude $m\varphi(\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1}(z)) = m \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2}(z)$. But then m is coprime to $|C|$, so $\varphi(\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1}(z)) = \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2}(z)$, as desired.

Let $z \in H(\Gamma_1, C)$, and recall that $\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_1}^{\Gamma}(z) = \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma}(\varphi(z))$, so that

$$(9) \quad \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma} \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_1}^{\Gamma}(z) = \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma} \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_2}^{\Gamma}(\varphi(z)).$$

Similarly as before, we know that

$$\text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma} \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_i}^{\Gamma}(z) = \sum \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma} \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{g\Gamma_i g^{-1}}(gz).$$

Now, $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{g\Gamma_i g^{-1}}(gz) = \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(z)$, and $\text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma}$ is the identity map, so we conclude $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma} \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_i}^{\Gamma}(z) = m \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_i}(z)$. Therefore, by equation 9, we have $m \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1}(z) = m \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2}(\varphi(z))$, and we see that $\text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1}(z) = \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2}(\varphi(z))$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *With the hypotheses of Lemma 5.3, viewing $H(\Gamma_i) \otimes C$ as a subgroup of $H(\Gamma_i, C)$ as in the universal coefficient theorem, the following diagram commutes.*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \varphi & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ H(\Gamma_1) \otimes C & & H(\Gamma_2) \otimes C \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1} & \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2} \\ & \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1} & \text{Res}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2} \\ & & H(\Gamma_N) \otimes C \end{array}$$

Proof. This follows from Lemma 5.3, by naturality of the inclusion $H(\Gamma_i) \otimes C \rightarrow H(\Gamma_i, C)$ and the fact that restriction and corestriction are induced by chain maps. \square

Theorem 5.5. *With the same hypotheses as in Lemma 5.3, suppose $U_i \subset H_1(\Gamma_i)$, $i = 1, 2$ are corresponding index $t < \infty$ subgroups via the isomorphism $\varphi : H_1(\Gamma_1) \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma_2)$ from Corollary 5.2, and let $\Gamma'_i \subset \Gamma_i$ be the preimage of U_i under the canonical projection $\Gamma_i \rightarrow H_1(\Gamma_i)$. Letting $m = [\Gamma : \Gamma_i]$, if t is coprime to m , Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 have the same normal core in Γ .*

Proof. Let p be a prime not dividing m , and suppose $H_1(\Gamma_i)/U_i \simeq C$, where C is the cyclic group of order p^a for some integer $a > 0$. Letting N be the normal core of the Γ_i in Γ , by Lemma 5.3, there is a commutative diagram

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Gamma_1 & \xrightarrow{p_1} & H_1(\Gamma_1) \otimes C \\ & \nearrow \iota_1 & & & \nearrow \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_1} \\ N & \xrightarrow{p_N} & H_1(N) \otimes C & & \\ & \searrow \iota_2 & & & \searrow \text{Cor}_{\Gamma_N}^{\Gamma_2} \\ & & \Gamma_2 & \xrightarrow{p_2} & H_1(\Gamma_2) \otimes C \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \varphi \\ \downarrow \varphi \end{array}$$

where ι_i is inclusion, and p_N, p_i are the canonical maps. If N_i is the normal core of Γ'_i in Γ , then N_i is the kernel of the natural projection $\psi_i : N \rightarrow \Pi_i$, where $\Pi_i = \prod_{j=1}^n N/\Gamma_{\gamma_{ij}}$, $\Gamma_{\gamma_{ij}} = \gamma_{ij}(N \cap \Gamma'_i)\gamma_{ij}^{-1}$, and $\gamma_{i1}, \dots, \gamma_{in} \in \Gamma$ is a complete set of coset representatives for Γ/Γ_i . Observe that the action of Γ on N by conjugation descends to identify Π_i with a submodule of the left Γ -module $C[\Gamma/\Gamma_i]$. We therefore have a commutative diagram for $i = 1, 2$,

$$(11) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} N & \xrightarrow{p_N} & H_1(N) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\psi'_i} & C[\Gamma/\Gamma_i] \\ \downarrow \iota_i & & \downarrow \text{Cor}_{\Gamma'_i}^{\Gamma} & & \downarrow \\ \Gamma_i & \xrightarrow{p_i} & H_1(\Gamma_i) \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\psi''_i} & C \end{array}$$

where $\psi_i = \psi'_i \circ p_N$, $\psi''_i = \psi'_i \circ \varphi$, the ψ''_i are surjections, and the vertical arrow on the right is projection to the coefficient of the Γ_i coset. Let $\Gamma''_i = \ker(\psi''_i \circ p_i \circ \iota_i)$ and observe that $\gamma \in N_i$ iff $g\gamma g^{-1} \in \Gamma''_i$ for every $g \in \Gamma$, by commutativity of diagram 11. Therefore, N_i is the normal core of Γ''_i in Γ . By commutativity of diagram 10 and the fact that $\psi''_1 = \psi''_2 \circ \varphi$, we see that $\Gamma''_1 = \Gamma''_2$. Therefore, $N_1 = N_2$.

Now, suppose $H_1(\Gamma_i)/U_i \simeq A$ for A finite abelian of order t coprime to m . Fix a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(\Gamma_1) & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & H_1(\Gamma_2) \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & A & \end{array}$$

where the kernel of the left arrow is U_1 and the kernel of the right arrow is U_2 . Fix also an elementary factor decomposition

$$A \simeq \bigoplus_{r=1}^R \bigoplus_{s=1}^{S_r} \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{c_s} \mathbb{Z},$$

where R is the number of distinct prime divisors of t , and S_r is the number of cyclic p_r -groups in the elementary factor decomposition, and let $\pi_{i,rs}$ denote the projection $H_1(\Gamma_i)/U_i \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_r^{c_s} \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $U_{1,rs} = \ker(\pi_{1,rs})$ and $U_{2,rs} = \ker(\pi_{2,rs})$ correspond. Letting N_i be the normal core in Γ of the pullback Γ'_i of U_i to Γ_i , and letting $N_{i,rs}$ be the normal core in Γ of the pullback of $U_{i,rs}$ to Γ_i , note that $N_i = \bigcap_{r,s} N_{i,rs}$. We just showed that $N_{1,rs} = N_{2,rs}$ for each r, s , so $N_1 = N_2$, as desired. \square

Corollary 5.6. *If M is a manifold with contractible universal cover, and $M_1, M_2 \rightarrow M$ are integrally equivalent degree d covers, then corresponding abelian covers $M'_i \rightarrow M_i$, $i = 1, 2$ of degree d' have the same normal closure over M when $(d, d') = 1$.*

Proof. Recall the usual identification $H(\pi_1(M)) = H(M)$ for a manifold with contractible universal cover, and observe that the map $H_1(M') \rightarrow H_1(M)$ induced by a covering $M' \rightarrow M$ is corestriction $\text{Cor}_{\pi_1 M'}^{\pi_1 M} : H_1(\pi_1(M')) \rightarrow H_1(\pi_1(M))$. The subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$ corresponding to the normal closure of $M'_i \rightarrow M$ under the covering space Galois correspondence is precisely the normal core of $\pi_1(M'_i)$ in $\pi_1(M)$. The rest follows from Theorem 5.5. \square

REFERENCES

- [AKMS19] D. Arapura, J. Katz, D. B. McReynolds, and P. Solapurkar. Integral Gassman equivalence of algebraic and hyperbolic manifolds. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 291(1):179–194, February 2019.

- [Bro82] Kenneth S. Brown. *Cohomology of Groups*, volume 87 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, NY, 1982.
- [CdSL⁺18] Gunther Cornelissen, Bart de Smit, Xin Li, Matilde Marcolli, and Harry Smit. Characterization of global fields by Dirichlet L-series. *Research in Number Theory*, 5(1):7, November 2018.
- [CP21] Gunther Cornelissen and Norbert Peyerimhoff. Twisted isospectrality, homological wideness and isometry, July 2021.
- [GM23] Milana Golich and D. B. McReynolds. Diamonds: Homology and the Central Series of Groups, October 2023. arXiv:2310.08283 [math].
- [Jeh77] Wolfram Jehne. Kronecker classes of algebraic number fields. *Journal of Number Theory*, 9(3):279–320, August 1977.
- [Ked] Kiran S. Kedlaya. *Notes on class field theory*.
- [Kli78] Norbert Klingner. Zahlkörper mit gleicher Primzerlegung. *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, 0299_0300:342–384, 1978.
- [LMNR07] C. J. Leininger, D. B. McReynolds, W. D. Neumann, and A. W. Reid. Length and Eigenvalue Equivalence. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2007:rnm135, January 2007.
- [Loc94] Manfred Lochter. Weakly Kronecker equivalent number fields. *Acta Arithmetica*, 67(4):295–312, 1994.
- [Loc95] M. Lochter. New Characterizations of Kronecker Equivalence. *Journal of Number Theory*, 53(1):115–136, July 1995.
- [Per77] Robert Perlis. On the equation $\zeta_k(s) = \zeta_{k'}(s)$. *Journal of Number Theory*, 9(3):342–360, August 1977.
- [PR94] V. P. Platonov and A. S. Rapinchuk. *Algebraic groups and number theory*. Number v. 139 in Pure and applied mathematics. Academic Press, Boston, 1994.
- [Pra17] Dipendra Prasad. A refined notion of arithmetically equivalent number fields, and curves with isomorphic Jacobians. *Advances in Mathematics*, 312:198–208, May 2017.
- [Sco93] Leonard Scott. Integral equivalence of permutation representations. *Group Theory*, January 1993.
- [Ser79] Jean-Pierre Serre. *Local Fields*, volume 67 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer New York, New York, NY, 1979.
- [Sol19] Pavel Solomatin. A Note on Number Fields Sharing the List of Dedekind Zeta-Functions of Abelian Extensions with some Applications towards the Neukirch-Uchida Theorem, January 2019. arXiv:1901.09243 [math].
- [Sun85] Toshikazu Sunada. Riemannian Coverings and Isospectral Manifolds. *Annals of Mathematics*, 121(1):169–186, 1985. Publisher: Annals of Mathematics.
- [Sut] Andrew V. Sutherland. Stronger arithmetic equivalence. *discrete Analysis*, 2021. arXiv:2104.01956 [math].
- [Tat08] Emil Artin and John Tate. *Class Field Theory*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2nd revised edition, December 2008.
- [Wat79] William C. Waterhouse. *Introduction to Affine Group Schemes*, volume 66 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, NY, 1979.
- [Wei] Charles Weibel. Algebraic K-Theory of Rings of Integers in Local and Global Fields.
- [Wei13] Charles A. Weibel. *The K-book: an introduction to algebraic K-theory*. Graduate studies in mathematics ; v. 145. American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2013.
- [Zyw15] David Zywina. The inverse Galois problem for $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 164(12):2253–2292, September 2015. Publisher: Duke University Press.