	Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Documer	nt 325	Filed ()2/05/21	Page 1 of 8	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BETSY C. MANIFOLD (182450) manifold@whafh.com RACHELE R. BYRD (190634) byrd@whafh.com BRITTANY N. DEJONG (258766) dejong@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619/239-4599 Facsimile: 619/234-4599 MARK C. RIFKIN (<i>pro hac vice</i>) rifkin@whafh.com MATTHEW M. GUINEY (<i>pro hac vice</i>) guiney@whafh.com WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10016 Telephone: 212/545-4600 Facsimile: 212/545-4677 Interim Class Counsel for the Plaintiffs in	In re A	ople iPh	none Antiti	rust Litigation,	
14	No. 4-11-cv-06714-YGR		- F			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT					
16	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA					
17	OAKLAND DIVISION					
18 19	EPIC GAMES, INC.,	Case]	Case No. 4:20-cv-05640-YGR			
20	Plaintiff, vs.	CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' <i>AMICUS</i> BRIEF REGARDING TRIAL ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REMEDIES				
21						
22	APPLE INC.,	JUDC CTRN		Hon. YV $1 - 4$ th F	onne Gonzalez Rogers Toor	
23 24	APPLE INC.,	-				
24 25	Counterclaimant,					
26	VS.					
27	EPIC GAMES, INC.,					
28	Counter-Defendant.					
	CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' <i>AMICUS</i> BRIEF RE ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REM				Case No. 3:30-cv-05640-Y	'GR

Pursuant to the Court's October 21, 2020 Order (ECF No. 132), Plaintiffs in *In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation*, No. 4-11-cv-06714-YGR (the "Consumer Plaintiffs") hereby submit this *amicus* brief to respond to certain limited portions of the parties' Joint Submission Regarding Trial Elements, Legal Framework and Remedies (ECF No. 276) (the "Joint Submission"). Consumer Plaintiffs reserve all their rights to address at a later date in *In re Apple iPhone Antitrust Litigation*, No. 4-11-cv-06714-YGR, any issue addressed in the Joint Submission, whether or not Consumer Plaintiffs address it in this *amicus* brief.

8

Relevant Market—Product Market Definition (Joint Submission, § 4.1)

9

a. Analytical Framework (Joint Submission, § 4.1.1)

Consumer Plaintiffs agree with the Undisputed Principles and Epic's Position on the Disputed Principles. With regard to Apple's reference to two-sided transaction platforms, Consumer Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their discussion of the distinction between twosided platforms in general and two-sided *transaction* platforms, *infra.*, a distinction which Apple blurs.

15 || **II.** Sin

L

II. Single-Brand Markets (Joint Submission, § 4.1.2)

Consumer Plaintiffs agree with the parties' Undisputed Principles. Consumer Plaintiffs
also agree with Epic's Position on the Disputed Principles, including its distinction of Apple's
citations. *See* Joint Submission at 13-14.

19 In addition, to the extent Apple includes its discussion of a single-brand market involving 20 two-sided transaction platforms to imply that Apple's App Store is a two-sided transaction platform like the one described in Ohio v. Am. Express Co., 138 S. Ct. 2274 (2018) ("Am. Ex."), 21 22 Consumer Plaintiffs dispute that implication. As the Supreme Court explained in Am. Ex., a two-23 sided transaction platform is "best understood as supplying only one product - transactions -24 which is jointly consumed" by the parties on both sides of the platform. Id. at 2286 n.8. In 25 contrast, shortly after deciding Am. Ex., the Supreme Court explained in Apple v. Pepper, 139 S. 26 Ct. 1514, 1518 (2019), that Apple's App Store is an "electronic store where iPhone owners can 27 purchase iPhone applications from Apple." Id. The Supreme Court did not identify the App 28 Store as a two-sided transaction platform because it does not sell a single product that is jointly

CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' *AMICUS* BRIEF RE TRIAL ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REMEDIES

Case No. 3:30-cv-05640-YGR

consumed by parties on both sides of the transaction the way that the ancillary credit card 1 2 transactions were in Am. Ex. The fact that Apple collects payments from its customers (iOS device owners who download apps onto their devices) and remits payments to its suppliers (the 3 app developers) does not make the App Store a two-sided transaction platform any more than a 4 5 corner grocery, which does the same thing. See also Pepper, 139 S. Ct. at 1519 (Apple App Store is a monopolistic retailer overcharging consumers); *id.* at 1523 ("If the retailer's unlawful 6 7 monopolistic conduct caused a consumer to pay the retailer a higher-than-competitive price, the 8 consumer is entitled to sue the retailer under the antitrust laws.")

9 The nature of the App Store does not change – and it does not become a two-sided 10 transaction platform – merely because consumers and suppliers have asserted independent claims 11 against Apple. "Multiple suits are not atypical when the intermediary in a distribution chain is a 12 bottleneck monopolist or monopsonist (or both) between the manufacturer on the one end and 13 the consumer on the other end. A retailer who is both a monopolist and a monopsonist may be liable to different classes of plaintiffs - both to downstream consumers and to upstream suppliers 14 15 - when the retailer's unlawful conduct affects both the downstream and upstream markets." 16 Pepper, 139 S. Ct. at 1525.

Not all two-sided platforms fit the above description of a two-sided *transaction* platform.
For example, grocery stores, shopping malls, and the Apple App Store provide distinct services
to consumers and app developers, and consumers can visit those platforms without a clear
counterparty in mind (*e.g.*, an app) for purchase. Therefore, they do not fit the definition of the
two-sided *transaction* platform discussed in *Am. Ex.*

22

III. Two-Sided Platforms (Joint Submission, § 4.1.4)

Consumer Plaintiffs agree with the Undisputed Principles and with Epic's Position on the
Disputed Principles.

Further, to the extent Apple's disputed position on two-sided platforms and its citation to *Am. Ex.*, 138 S. Ct. at 2280, which involved a two-sided *transaction* platform, is meant to imply that Apple's App Store is a two-sided *transaction* platform, Consumer Plaintiffs dispute that implication and incorporate by reference its discussion in Section II, *supra*.

CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' *AMICUS* BRIEF RE TRIAL ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REMEDIES

Case No. 3:30-cv-05640-YGR

Moreover, the "pronounced" indirect networks effects and "interconnected pricing and
 demand" exhibited by the two-sided *transaction* platform at issue in *Am. Ex.* existed because of
 the single, simultaneous transaction. *Id.*, 138 S. Ct. at 2286. Not all two-sided platforms
 experience these effects and pricing and demand interconnection. Further, the platform in *US Airways, Inc. v. Sabre Holdings Corp.*, 938 F.3d 43 (2d Cir. 2019), cited by Apple, was also a
 two-sided *transaction* platform. *Id.* at 58.

7 8

IV. Section 2 of the Sherman Act—Monopolization—Monopoly Power (Joint Submission, § 7.1)

9 Consumer Plaintiffs agree with the Undisputed Principles and with Epic's Position on the
10 Disputed Principles. With regard to Apple's citation to *Am. Ex.*, 138 S. Ct. at 2281 n.1 for the
11 proposition that in two-sided platform markets "[i]ndirect network effects [] limit [a] platform's
12 ability to raise overall prices and impose a check on its market power," Consumer Plaintiffs
13 incorporate herein by reference their discussion in Sections II and III, *supra*, of the distinction
14 between two-sided platforms in general and the two-sided *transaction* platform at issue in *Am*.
15 *Ex.* Not all two-sided platforms experience indirect network effects.

16 17

V. Section 2 of the Sherman Act—Monopolization—Willful Maintenance of Monopoly Power—Anticompetitive Effects (Joint Submission, § 7.2.2)

Consumer Plaintiffs agree with the Undisputed Principles, except for the statement that in a two-sided market, courts must take into consideration the effects of the defendant's conduct on both sides of the market, citing *Am. Ex.*, 138 S. Ct. at 2287. As discussed *supra* in Sections II through IV, the two-sided platform in *Am. Ex.* was a two-sided *transaction* platform. While that statement may be accurate as to two-sided *transaction* platforms, it does not apply to all twosided platforms.

- Consumer Plaintiffs agree with Epic's Position. With regard to Apple's discussion of
 two-sided transaction platforms, Consumer Plaintiffs incorporate by reference their discussion of
 this issue in Sections II through IV, *supra*.
- 27 ////
- 28 ////

CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' *AMICUS* BRIEF RE TRIAL ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REMEDIES

	Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR	Document 325	Filed 02/05/21	Page 5 of 8
1	DATED: February 5, 2021	WO	LF HALDENST	EIN ADLER
2		F	REEMAN & HE	RZLLP
3			SY C. MANIFOL CHELE R. BYRD	D
4			TTANY N. DEJO	NG
5		/\$/	Rachele R. Byrd	
6		<u></u>	RACHELE R. BY	(RD
7			B Street, Suite 182 Diego, CA 92101	20
8		Tele	phone: 619/239-4 simile: 619/234-4	599 599
9			LF HALDENST	
10 11		MA	REEMAN & HEF RK C. RIFKIN TTHEW M. GUIN	
11		270	Madison Avenue	
12		Tele	V York, NY 10016 Phone: 212/545-4 Simile: 212/545-4	600
14				
15		In re	Apple iPhone An 4-11-cv-06714-Y	for Consumer Plaintiffs in titrust Litigation,
16		INO.	4-11-CV-00/14-10	JK
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	Apple2:27029			
26				
27				
28				
	Consumer Plaintiffs' <i>Amicus</i> Elements, Legal Framework			Case No. 3:30-cv-05640-YGR - 4 -

	Case 4:20-cv-05640-YGR Document 325 Filed 02/05/21 Page 6 of 8					
1	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE					
2	I, Alexandra Loutsenhizer, the undersigned, declare:					
2	1. That declarant is and was, at all times herein mentioned a resident of the County					
4	of San Diego, over the age of 18 years, and not a party to or interested in the within action; that					
5	declarant's business address is 750 B Street, Suite 1820, San Diego, CA 92101.					
6	2. That on February 5, 2021, declarant served the foregoing:					
7	CONSUMER PLAINTIFFS' <i>AMICUS</i> BRIEF REGARDING TRIAL ELEMENTS, LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND REMEDIES					
8	by electronic mail to the counsel listed on the attached service list.					
9	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th					
10	day of February 2021, at San Diego, California.					
11	$M_{\rm eff} \sim 0$					
12	ANTRA					
13	ALEXANDRA LOUTSENHIZER					
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25	27031					
26						
27						
28	-1- CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Case Nos.: 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH; 4:11-cv-06714-YGR-TSH; 4:19-cv-03074-YGR-TSH					

IN RE APPLE iPHONE ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case Nos.: 4:20-cv-05640-YGR-TSH; 4:11-cv-06714-YGR-TSH; 4:19-cv-03074-YGR-TSH Service List – February 1, 2021 Page 1

PLAINTIFFS' INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL

Betsy C. Manifold Rachele R. Byrd Brittany N. DeJong WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101 619/239-4599 619/234-4599 (fax) manifold@whafh.com byrd@whafh.com dejong@whafh.com

Mark C. Rifkin Matthew M. Guiney WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 270 Madison Ave. New York, NY 10016 212/545-4600 212/545-4677 (fax) rifkin@whafh.com guiney@whafh.com

David C. Frederick KELLOGG, HANSEN, TODD, FIGEL & FREDERICK, P.L.L.C. 1615 M Street, NW Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20036 202/326-7900 202/326-7999 (fax) dfrederick@kellogghansen.com

COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT APPLE INC.

Theodore J. Boutrous Jr. Richard J. Doren Daniel G. Swanson Jay P. Srinivasan Dana Lynn Craig GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 333 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, CA 90071-3197 213/229-7000 213/229-7520 (fax) tboutrous@gibsondunn.com rdoren@gibsondunn.com dswanson@gibsondunn.com jsrinivasan@gibsondunn.com Cynthia E. Richman Harry R. S. Phillips Mark A. Perry GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 1050 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-5306 202/955-8234 202/530-9691 (fax) crichman@gibsondunn.com hphillips2@gibsondunn.com mperry@gibsondunn.com

Veronica S. Lewis GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 2100 McKinney Avenue, Suite 1100 Dallas, TX 75201 214/698-3100 214/571-2900 (fax) vlewis@gibsondunn.com

Ethan Dettmer Eli M. Lazarus GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER LLP 555 Mission Street, Suite 3000 San Francisco, CA 94105-0921 415/393-8200 415/393-8306 (fax) edettmer@gibsondunn.com elazarus@gibsondunn.com AppleAppStoreDiscovery@gibsondunn.com

David R. Eberhart Anna T. Pletcher O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Two Embarcadero Center, 28th Floor San Francisco, CA 94111 415/984-8700 415/984-8701 (fax) deberhart@omm.com apletcher@omm.com

Katrina Robson Evan Schlom Elena Zarabozo O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 1625 Eye Street NW Washington, DC 20006 202/383-5300 202/383-5414 (fax) krobson@omm.com eschlom@omm.com IN RE APPLE iPHONE ANTITRUST LITIGATION Service List – January 1, 2021 Page 2

ezarabozo@omm.com

Scott Schaeffer O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP Plaza 66, Tower 1, 37th Floor 1266 Nanjing Road West Shanghai 200040, China 86-21-2307-7000 86-21-2307-7300 (fax) sschaeffer@omm.com

Michelle Lowery McDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 2049 Century Park East, Suite 3200 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3206 310/277-4110 310/277-4730 (fax) mslowery@mwe.com

Hannah L. Cannom Bethany M. Stevens WALKER STEVENS CANNOM LLP 500 Molina Street #118 Los Angeles, CA 90013 213/337-9972 213/403-4906 hcannom@wscllp.com bstevens@wscllp.com

DEVELOPER PLAINTIFFS' INTERIM CLASS COUNSEL

Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez Ronnie Seidel Spiegel Ted Wojcik HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1301 Second Avenue, Suite 2000 Seattle, WA 98101 206/623-7292 206/623-0594 (fax) steve@hbsslaw.com robl@hbsslaw.com ronnie@hbsslaw.com tedw@hbsslaw.com

Shana E. Scarlett Benjamin J. Siegel Ben Harrington HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202 Berkeley, CA 94710 510/725-3000 510/725-3001 (fax) shanas@hbsslaw.com bens@hbsslaw.com benh@hbsslaw.com

COUNSEL FOR INTERESTED PARTY EPIC GAMES, INC.

Christine A. Varney Katherine B. Forrest Gary A. Bornstein Yonatan Even Lauren A. Moskowitz M. Brent Byars CRAVATH, SWAINE & MOORE LLP 825 Eighth Avenue New York, NY 10019 212/474-1000 212/474-3700 cvarney@cravath.com kforrest@cravath.com gbornstein@cravath.com yeven@cravath.com lmoskowitz@cravath.com mbyars@cravath.com epic-mobileapps@cravath.com

Paul J. Riehle FAEGRE DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP Four Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA 94111 415/591-7500 415/591-7510 (fax) paul.riehle@faegredrinker.com