

UC Berkeley

IGS Poll

Title

Tabulations from a Late October 2020 Survey of California Likely Voters about Four of the Propositions on the November 2020 Statewide Election Ballot

Permalink

<https://escholarship.org/uc/item/5nf4r5hz>

Author

DiCamillo, Mark

Publication Date

2020-10-26



University of California, Berkeley
Institute of Governmental Studies
109 Moses Hall, #2370
Berkeley, CA 94720-2370
Tel: 510-642-1473
Fax: 510-642-3020
Email: igs@berkeley.edu

**Tabulations from a Late October 2020 Survey
of California Likely Voters about Four
of the Propositions on the November 2020
Statewide Election Ballot**

by the
Berkeley IGS Poll
Institute of Governmental Studies
University of California, Berkeley

October 16-21, 2020

Introduction

The statistical tabulations in this volume are based on a *Berkeley IGS Poll* completed by the Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) at the University of California, Berkeley. The poll was administered online in English and Spanish October 16-21, 2020 among 6,686 California registered voters, of whom 5,352 were considered likely to vote or had already voted in this year's election.

The survey was administered by distributing email invitations to stratified random samples of the state's registered voters. Each email invited voters to participate in a non-partisan survey conducted by the University and provided a link to the IGS website where the survey was housed. Reminder emails were distributed to non-responding voters and an opt out link was provided for voters not wanting to receive further email invitations.

Samples of registered voters with email addresses were provided to IGS by Political Data, Inc., a leading supplier of registered voter lists in California and were derived from information contained on the state's official voter registration rolls. Prior to the distribution of the emails, the overall sample was stratified by age and gender in an attempt to obtain a proper balance of survey respondents across major segments of the registered voter population.

To protect the anonymity of survey respondents, voters' email addresses and all other personally identifiable information derived from the original voter listing were purged from the data file and replaced with a unique and anonymous identification number during data processing. Post-stratification weights were applied to align the sample of the registered voters responding to the survey to population characteristics of the state's registered voters. Likely voters were identified based on a voter's stated interest in and intention to vote in the election and factoring in their history of voting in past elections.

The sampling error associated with the results from the survey are difficult to calculate precisely due to the effects of sample stratification and the post-stratification weighting. Nevertheless, it is likely that findings based on the sample of likely voters in the election are subject to a sampling error of approximately +/- 2 percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

About the Institute of Governmental Studies

The Institute of Governmental Studies (IGS) is an interdisciplinary organized research unit that pursues a vigorous program of research, education, publication and public service. A component of the University of California system's flagship Berkeley campus, IGS is the oldest organized research unit in the UC system and the oldest public policy research center in the state. IGS's co-directors are Professor Eric Schickler and Associate Professor Cristina Mora.

IGS conducts periodic surveys of public opinion in California on matters of politics and public policy through its *Berkeley IGS Poll*. The poll, which is disseminated widely, seeks to provide a broad measure of contemporary public opinion, and to generate data for subsequent scholarly analysis. The director of the *Berkeley IGS Poll* is Mark DiCamillo. For a complete listing of stories issued by the *Berkeley IGS Poll* go to <https://www.igs.berkeley.edu/research/berkeley-igs-poll>.

Late October 2020 Berkeley IGS Poll

Table 1: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Area		Region								Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type				
	Total	Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In person on Elec Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.0	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.0	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Yes	0.49	0.52	0.39	0.53	0.43	0.39	0.41	0.50	0.38	0.59	0.53	0.7	0.10	0.49	0.36	0.49	0.48	0.49	0.55	0.51	0.22
No	0.42	0.39	0.51	0.37	0.45	0.53	0.46	0.42	0.53	0.33	0.39	0.2	0.85	0.39	0.58	0.44	0.41	0.42	0.36	0.39	0.70
Undecided	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.07	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.07	0.1	0.05	0.12	0.07	0.07	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.08

Table 2: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Age							Race				Latino by Lang.		Marital Status				Child at Home			
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married together	Live together	Sep/Div/Widowed	Single, never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.00	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.00	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Yes	0.49	0.67	0.56	0.48	0.43	0.44	0.33	0.46	0.60	0.55	0.31	0.51	0.52	0.49	0.43	0.60	0.47	0.61	0.44	0.47	0.50
No	0.42	0.21	0.33	0.42	0.48	0.49	0.62	0.47	0.31	0.36	0.58	0.36	0.37	0.35	0.48	0.33	0.45	0.26	0.49	0.43	0.42
Undecided	0.09	0.12	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.09	0.06	0.09	0.13	0.07	0.10	0.09

Table 3: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Rent/Own		Union in HH		
		Very con- ser- va- tive	Sw. con- ser- va- tive	Mod- erate	Sw. lib- eral	Very lib- eral	No HS	HS Grad	Some col- lege	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Othe	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.00	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.00	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.00	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.00	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Yes	0.49	0.08	0.13	0.41	0.73	0.85	0.39	0.42	0.43	0.54	0.58	0.61	0.56	0.46	0.42	0.50	0.50	0.41	0.57	0.51	0.48
No	0.42	0.87	0.80	0.49	0.16	0.05	0.43	0.48	0.48	0.39	0.31	0.29	0.32	0.45	0.46	0.43	0.41	0.51	0.32	0.39	0.42
Undecided	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.18	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.12	0.07	0.09	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.09

Table 4: PROPOSITION 15: INCREASES FUNDING SOURCES FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, COMMUNITY COLLEGES, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES BY CHANGING TAX ASSESSMENT OF COMMERCIAL AND INDUSTRIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548	2195	369	2999.00	2597.00	516.00	2673.00	2876.00	563.00	2642.00	3013.00	457.00
weighted n	5352.00	2596	2261	494	2020.00	2618.00	713.00	2006.00	2561.00	784.00	2463.00	2266.00	623.00
Yes	0.49	1	0	0	0.81	0.27	0.36	0.78	0.27	0.43	0.34	0.67	0.39
No	0.42	0	1	0	0.14	0.70	0.22	0.17	0.70	0.17	0.61	0.28	0.21
Undecided	0.09	0	0	1	0.05	0.03	0.42	0.05	0.03	0.40	0.06	0.05	0.40

Table 5: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area		Region							Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type				
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In-person on Election Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.00	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.00	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Yes	0.38	0.41	0.29	0.44	0.32	0.29	0.31	0.36	0.27	0.48	0.38	0.57	0.06	0.33	0.27	0.35	0.41	0.38	0.43	0.41	0.11
No	0.49	0.46	0.57	0.42	0.52	0.59	0.55	0.52	0.58	0.40	0.53	0.26	0.86	0.54	0.56	0.54	0.44	0.49	0.43	0.46	0.79
Undecided	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.15	0.12	0.09	0.16	0.07	0.13	0.17	0.11	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.13	0.11

Table 6: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age						Race					Latino by Lang.		Marital Status					Child at Home	
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married	Live together	Sep/Div/Wid-owed	Single, never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.0	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.0	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Yes	0.38	0.43	0.42	0.35	0.35	0.4	0.30	0.35	0.58	0.39	0.22	0.40	0.43	0.34	0.34	0.41	0.39	0.47	0.28	0.33	0.41
No	0.49	0.41	0.43	0.50	0.52	0.5	0.59	0.53	0.33	0.50	0.74	0.42	0.42	0.43	0.54	0.45	0.46	0.38	0.58	0.53	0.47
Undecided	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.1	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.11	0.04	0.17	0.15	0.23	0.12	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.13

Table 7: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Rent/Own		Union in HH		
		Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.00	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.0	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.00	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.0	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Yes	0.38	0.06	0.09	0.31	0.56	0.74	0.30	0.30	0.32	0.42	0.50	0.42	0.39	0.35	0.36	0.4	0.41	0.34	0.41	0.41	0.38
No	0.49	0.87	0.82	0.54	0.28	0.12	0.45	0.53	0.56	0.45	0.39	0.42	0.43	0.50	0.50	0.5	0.48	0.53	0.44	0.48	0.49
Undecided	0.13	0.07	0.09	0.15	0.16	0.13	0.25	0.16	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.16	0.18	0.15	0.14	0.1	0.11	0.12	0.15	0.11	0.14

Table 8: PROPOSITION 16: ALLOWS DIVERSITY AS A FACTOR IN PUBLIC EMPLOYMENT, EDUCATION AND CONTRACTING DECISIONS. LEGISLATIVE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548.00	2195.00	369.00	2999	2597	516	2673.00	2876.00	563.00	2642.00	3013.00	457.00
weighted n	5352.00	2596.00	2261.00	494.00	2020	2618	713	2006.00	2561.00	784.00	2463.00	2266.00	623.00
Yes	0.38	0.63	0.12	0.22	1	0	0	0.64	0.21	0.27	0.25	0.54	0.28
No	0.49	0.27	0.81	0.17	0	1	0	0.27	0.74	0.23	0.67	0.37	0.22
Undecided	0.13	0.10	0.07	0.61	0	0	1	0.09	0.05	0.49	0.08	0.09	0.50

Table 9: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area		Region							Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type				
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In-person on Elec Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.00	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.00	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Yes	0.37	0.40	0.30	0.48	0.31	0.32	0.35	0.31	0.27	0.41	0.36	0.53	0.09	0.36	0.34	0.35	0.40	0.37	0.40	0.40	0.22
No	0.48	0.45	0.54	0.36	0.53	0.59	0.51	0.54	0.56	0.46	0.47	0.29	0.83	0.47	0.55	0.52	0.44	0.48	0.45	0.46	0.62
Undecided	0.15	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.16	0.09	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.14	0.17	0.17	0.08	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.15

Table 10: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age					Race					Latino by Lang.		Marital Status				Child at Home			
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married together	Live together	Sep/Div/Widowed	Single never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.00	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.00	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Yes	0.37	0.47	0.47	0.40	0.36	0.27	0.28	0.32	0.64	0.39	0.23	0.46	0.45	0.49	0.32	0.48	0.38	0.50	0.36	0.36	0.38
No	0.48	0.31	0.38	0.46	0.51	0.58	0.64	0.54	0.28	0.45	0.73	0.36	0.39	0.27	0.55	0.37	0.50	0.32	0.45	0.49	0.48
Undecided	0.15	0.22	0.15	0.15	0.13	0.15	0.08	0.13	0.08	0.15	0.04	0.18	0.16	0.24	0.13	0.16	0.12	0.18	0.19	0.15	0.14

Table 11: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income					Rent/Own		Union in HH		
		Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.0	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.00	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.0	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.00	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Yes	0.37	0.13	0.1	0.34	0.51	0.74	0.40	0.35	0.37	0.38	0.40	0.52	0.48	0.44	0.38	0.31	0.31	0.26	0.50	0.43	0.36
No	0.48	0.79	0.8	0.51	0.31	0.11	0.29	0.48	0.51	0.48	0.45	0.28	0.38	0.41	0.48	0.54	0.58	0.61	0.34	0.43	0.49
Undecided	0.15	0.08	0.1	0.15	0.19	0.15	0.31	0.17	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.20	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.15

Table 12: PROPOSITION 21: EXPANDS LOCAL GOVERNMENTS' AUTHORITY TO ENACT RENT CONTROL ON RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548.00	2195.00	369.00	2999.00	2597.00	516.00	2673	2876	563	2642.00	3013.00	457.00
weighted n	5352.00	2596.00	2261.00	494.00	2020.00	2618.00	713.00	2006	2561	784	2463.00	2266.00	623.00
Yes	0.37	0.61	0.15	0.19	0.63	0.21	0.26	1	0	0	0.26	0.54	0.21
No	0.48	0.26	0.79	0.17	0.26	0.72	0.19	0	1	0	0.65	0.37	0.20
Undecided	0.15	0.13	0.06	0.64	0.11	0.07	0.54	0	0	1	0.09	0.09	0.60

Table 13: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area		Region						Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type					
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In person on Elec Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.00	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.00	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Yes	0.46	0.44	0.52	0.44	0.53	0.53	0.50	0.47	0.54	0.35	0.44	0.34	0.71	0.44	0.46	0.47	0.45	0.46	0.42	0.45	0.58
No	0.42	0.46	0.34	0.45	0.32	0.40	0.37	0.37	0.33	0.55	0.43	0.52	0.21	0.45	0.43	0.44	0.41	0.42	0.45	0.44	0.28
Undecided	0.12	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.15	0.07	0.13	0.16	0.14	0.09	0.13	0.14	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.09	0.14	0.12	0.13	0.11	0.13

Table 14: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age					Race					Latino by Lang.		Marital Status				Child at Home			
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married together	Live together	Sep/Div/Widowed	Single, never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.00	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.00	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Yes	0.46	0.36	0.36	0.44	0.52	0.48	0.61	0.47	0.53	0.45	0.65	0.43	0.43	0.42	0.50	0.35	0.46	0.39	0.52	0.47	0.45
No	0.42	0.55	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.38	0.30	0.42	0.33	0.45	0.33	0.44	0.46	0.37	0.39	0.55	0.42	0.50	0.31	0.42	0.44
Undecided	0.12	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.10	0.03	0.13	0.11	0.20	0.11	0.10	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.11	0.11

Table 15: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education					Income				Rent/Own		Union in HH			
		Very con- ser- va- tive	Sw. con- ser- va- tive	Mod- erate	Sw. lib- eral	Very lib- eral	No HS	HS Grad	Some col- lege	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Othe	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.00	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.00	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.00	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.00	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Yes	0.46	0.71	0.69	0.49	0.33	0.19	0.35	0.47	0.51	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.40	0.42	0.48	0.47	0.48	0.51	0.40	0.40	0.47
No	0.42	0.23	0.20	0.36	0.56	0.70	0.37	0.42	0.39	0.44	0.47	0.45	0.48	0.44	0.42	0.41	0.44	0.38	0.47	0.51	0.41
Undecided	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.11	0.29	0.11	0.10	0.11	0.11	0.14	0.12	0.15	0.10	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.13	0.09	0.12

Table 16: PROPOSITION 22: EXEMPTS APP-BASED TRANSPORTATION AND DELIVERY COMPANIES FROM PROVIDING EMPLOYEE BENEFITS TO CERTAIN DRIVERS. INITIATIVE STATUTE. (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548.00	2195.00	369.00	2999.00	2597.00	516.00	2673.00	2876.00	563.00	2642	3013	457
weighted n	5352.00	2596.00	2261.00	494.00	2020.00	2618.00	713.00	2006.00	2561.00	784.00	2463	2266	623
Yes	0.46	0.32	0.66	0.28	0.30	0.63	0.28	0.32	0.62	0.27	1	0	0
No	0.42	0.59	0.28	0.22	0.61	0.32	0.28	0.61	0.33	0.26	0	1	0
Undecided	0.12	0.09	0.06	0.50	0.09	0.05	0.44	0.06	0.05	0.47	0	0	1

Table 17: Q35-1. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? -The proposed changes to how commercial and industrial properties are taxed under this year’s Proposition 15 ballot initiative are only the first step to making other similar changes to the way residential properties are taxed in the future (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area		Region							Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type				
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In-person on Elec Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.00	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.00	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Agree strongly	0.27	0.26	0.30	0.24	0.33	0.34	0.27	0.23	0.31	0.24	0.31	0.16	0.51	0.25	0.31	0.32	0.24	0.27	0.25	0.26	0.40
Agree somewhat	0.29	0.30	0.26	0.31	0.25	0.28	0.28	0.28	0.25	0.32	0.31	0.32	0.21	0.33	0.24	0.29	0.29	0.29	0.30	0.29	0.24
Disagree somewhat	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.13	0.10	0.12	0.12	0.14	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.11	0.12	0.08
Disagree strongly	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07
Don't know	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.17	0.30	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.21	0.29	0.25	0.28	0.24	0.22
Agree (net)	0.56	0.56	0.56	0.55	0.58	0.62	0.55	0.51	0.56	0.56	0.62	0.48	0.72	0.58	0.55	0.61	0.52	0.56	0.55	0.56	0.63
Disagree (net)	0.19	0.19	0.18	0.21	0.14	0.16	0.17	0.20	0.18	0.18	0.21	0.22	0.14	0.17	0.14	0.18	0.19	0.19	0.17	0.20	0.15
Don't know	0.25	0.25	0.26	0.24	0.28	0.22	0.28	0.28	0.26	0.26	0.17	0.30	0.15	0.25	0.30	0.21	0.29	0.25	0.28	0.24	0.22

Table 18: Q35-1. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? -The proposed changes to how commercial and industrial properties are taxed under this year’s Proposition 15 ballot initiative are only the first step to making other similar changes to the way residential properties are taxed in the future (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age						Race					Latino by Lang.		Marital Status					Child at Home	
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married	Live together	Sep/Div/Wid-owed	Single, never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.00	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.00	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Agree strongly	0.27	0.14	0.22	0.24	0.32	0.34	0.37	0.32	0.20	0.21	0.45	0.21	0.21	0.19	0.31	0.24	0.28	0.19	0.23	0.25	0.29
Agree somewhat	0.29	0.31	0.29	0.31	0.28	0.27	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.35	0.10	0.28	0.30	0.25	0.27	0.29	0.31	0.32	0.26	0.30	0.29
Disagree somewhat	0.11	0.10	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.12	0.09	0.10	0.14	0.13	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.17	0.13	0.14	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.13	0.11
Disagree strongly	0.07	0.03	0.05	0.07	0.08	0.11	0.07	0.08	0.04	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.08
Don't know	0.25	0.41	0.29	0.26	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.25	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.37	0.36	0.26	0.24
Agree (net)	0.56	0.45	0.51	0.55	0.60	0.60	0.67	0.60	0.52	0.55	0.55	0.49	0.51	0.43	0.59	0.53	0.59	0.51	0.49	0.55	0.58
Disagree (net)	0.19	0.13	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.23	0.17	0.18	0.18	0.20	0.20	0.21	0.18	0.27	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.12	0.15	0.20	0.19
Don't know	0.25	0.41	0.29	0.26	0.22	0.17	0.17	0.22	0.30	0.24	0.25	0.30	0.31	0.29	0.20	0.26	0.23	0.37	0.36	0.26	0.24

Table 19: Q35-1. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? -The proposed changes to how commercial and industrial properties are taxed under this year’s Proposition 15 ballot initiative are only the first step to making other similar changes to the way residential properties are taxed in the future (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education						Income					Rent/Own		Union in HH	
		Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.00	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.00	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.00	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.00	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Agree strongly	0.27	0.45	0.50	0.26	0.13	0.21	0.20	0.29	0.29	0.27	0.25	0.18	0.28	0.22	0.28	0.30	0.28	0.33	0.21	0.29	0.27
Agree somewhat	0.29	0.18	0.22	0.29	0.36	0.29	0.32	0.25	0.30	0.29	0.32	0.31	0.23	0.36	0.30	0.28	0.32	0.28	0.30	0.30	0.29
Disagree somewhat	0.11	0.05	0.07	0.12	0.15	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.13	0.11	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.10	0.11	0.12
Disagree strongly	0.07	0.14	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.09	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.07	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.07
Don't know	0.25	0.18	0.15	0.25	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.35	0.33	0.27	0.25	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.33	0.21	0.25
Agree (net)	0.56	0.63	0.71	0.56	0.48	0.50	0.52	0.54	0.59	0.56	0.57	0.49	0.51	0.58	0.58	0.58	0.60	0.61	0.51	0.60	0.56
Disagree (net)	0.19	0.19	0.13	0.19	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.15	0.17	0.20	0.22	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.17	0.22	0.20	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.19
Don't know	0.25	0.18	0.15	0.25	0.31	0.30	0.31	0.31	0.24	0.24	0.21	0.35	0.33	0.27	0.25	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.33	0.21	0.25

Table 20: Q35-1. Do you agree or disagree with the following statement? -The proposed changes to how commercial and industrial properties are taxed under this year’s Proposition 15 ballot initiative are only the first step to making other similar changes to the way residential properties are taxed in the future (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548.00	2195.00	369.00	2999.00	2597.00	516.00	2673.00	2876.00	563.00	2642.00	3013.00	457.00
weighted n	5352.00	2596.00	2261.00	494.00	2020.00	2618.00	713.00	2006.00	2561.00	784.00	2463.00	2266.00	623.00
Agree strongly	0.27	0.14	0.47	0.08	0.15	0.41	0.10	0.17	0.41	0.09	0.36	0.23	0.11
Agree somewhat	0.29	0.34	0.25	0.23	0.35	0.25	0.27	0.35	0.25	0.26	0.27	0.33	0.23
Disagree somewhat	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.11	0.15	0.09	0.10	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.10	0.13	0.11
Disagree strongly	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.07	0.05
Don't know	0.25	0.29	0.15	0.53	0.27	0.17	0.47	0.27	0.17	0.45	0.19	0.24	0.50
Agree (net)	0.56	0.47	0.72	0.31	0.50	0.67	0.36	0.52	0.66	0.35	0.63	0.55	0.34
Disagree (net)	0.19	0.24	0.13	0.16	0.23	0.16	0.16	0.21	0.16	0.20	0.17	0.21	0.16
Don't know	0.25	0.29	0.15	0.53	0.27	0.17	0.47	0.27	0.17	0.45	0.19	0.24	0.50

Table 21: Q35-3. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? The additional tax revenues that Proposition 15 would bring to the state’s public schools, community colleges and local governments are needed given the large revenue losses these institutions are facing as a result of the coronavirus pandemic (Likely Voters)

	Total	Area		Region							Party Registration				Sex		Likely Voter Type				
		Coastal	Inland	Los Angeles	San Diego	Orange	Inland Empire	Other South	Central Valley	Bay Area	Other North	Dem	Rep	NPP	Other	Male	Female	All LVs	Vote by mail	Vote early-drop box/vote center	In person on Elec Day
unweighted n	6112.00	4637.00	1475.00	1399.00	725.00	477.00	559.00	261.00	805.00	1634.00	252.00	3564.00	1168.00	1124.00	256.00	3082.00	3030.00	6112.00	1999.00	3707.00	401.00
weighted n	5352.00	3812.00	1540.00	1377.00	472.00	461.00	556.00	249.00	874.00	1137.00	226.00	2578.00	1347.00	1185.00	242.00	2549.00	2803.00	5352.00	1342.00	3351.00	655.00
Agree strongly	0.22	0.24	0.18	0.27	0.14	0.16	0.22	0.19	0.16	0.27	0.20	0.34	0.03	0.19	0.20	0.21	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.24	0.10
Agree somewhat	0.26	0.27	0.22	0.28	0.24	0.26	0.21	0.27	0.21	0.28	0.26	0.34	0.07	0.30	0.16	0.25	0.26	0.26	0.26	0.27	0.14
Disagree somewhat	0.13	0.13	0.13	0.09	0.15	0.15	0.12	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.10	0.17	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.14	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.10
Disagree strongly	0.25	0.22	0.32	0.22	0.28	0.31	0.30	0.25	0.34	0.15	0.27	0.05	0.63	0.22	0.38	0.28	0.22	0.25	0.19	0.22	0.51
Don't know	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.20	0.12	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.20	0.13	0.14
Agree (net)	0.47	0.51	0.40	0.55	0.38	0.42	0.43	0.46	0.37	0.55	0.46	0.68	0.10	0.48	0.35	0.46	0.48	0.47	0.48	0.52	0.24
Disagree (net)	0.37	0.34	0.45	0.30	0.43	0.46	0.41	0.38	0.48	0.30	0.38	0.15	0.80	0.36	0.53	0.40	0.35	0.37	0.32	0.35	0.61
Don't know	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.20	0.12	0.16	0.16	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.17	0.11	0.16	0.12	0.14	0.16	0.15	0.20	0.13	0.14

Table 22: Q35-3. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? The additional tax revenues that Proposition 15 would bring to the state’s public schools, community colleges and local governments are needed given the large revenue losses these institutions are facing as a result of the coronavirus pandemic (Likely Voters)

	Total	Age						Race					Latino by Lang.		Marital Status					Child at Home	
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65-74	75+	White Non-Hisp.	Black	Asian/Pac Isl.	Native American	Latino	English-dominant	Spanish-dominant	Married	Live together	Sep/Div/Wid-owed	Single, never married	Decline to state	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	784.00	943.00	993.00	1778.00	1092.00	521.00	3817.00	312.00	634.00	105.00	1124.00	906.00	218.00	3298.00	514.00	905.00	1155.00	240.00	1597.00	4271.00
weighted n	5352.00	718.00	854.00	844.00	1490.00	985.00	460.00	3028.00	341.00	716.00	71.00	1308.00	948.00	361.00	2785.00	417.00	851.00	1015.00	284.00	1526.00	3540.00
Agree strongly	0.22	0.24	0.27	0.19	0.22	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.28	0.20	0.12	0.27	0.23	0.36	0.19	0.32	0.20	0.26	0.25	0.21	0.22
Agree somewhat	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.27	0.23	0.28	0.17	0.24	0.29	0.32	0.07	0.24	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.29	0.19	0.25	0.26
Disagree somewhat	0.13	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.12	0.24	0.13	0.14	0.16	0.23	0.12	0.14	0.08	0.14	0.09	0.13	0.09	0.20	0.13	0.12
Disagree strongly	0.25	0.10	0.20	0.27	0.29	0.30	0.28	0.29	0.12	0.19	0.39	0.18	0.20	0.15	0.29	0.20	0.27	0.14	0.20	0.26	0.25
Don't know	0.15	0.24	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.22	0.16	0.15	0.15
Agree (net)	0.47	0.53	0.55	0.47	0.45	0.47	0.36	0.45	0.58	0.52	0.19	0.51	0.47	0.60	0.45	0.57	0.45	0.54	0.44	0.46	0.48
Disagree (net)	0.37	0.22	0.31	0.39	0.40	0.41	0.52	0.42	0.26	0.35	0.62	0.30	0.33	0.23	0.43	0.29	0.40	0.23	0.40	0.39	0.36
Don't know	0.15	0.24	0.14	0.14	0.15	0.12	0.12	0.13	0.16	0.13	0.19	0.19	0.20	0.18	0.13	0.14	0.15	0.22	0.16	0.15	0.15

Table 23: Q35-3. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? The additional tax revenues that Proposition 15 would bring to the state’s public schools, community colleges and local governments are needed given the large revenue losses these institutions are facing as a result of the coronavirus pandemic (Likely Voters)

	Total	Ideology					Education						Income					Rent/Own		Union in HH	
		Very conservative	Sw. conservative	Mod-erate	Sw. liberal	Very liberal	No HS	HS Grad	Some college	Bach. Deg	Postgrad	Less than \$20k	\$20-39k	\$40-59k	\$60-99k	\$100-199k	\$200k+	Own	Rent/Other	Yes	No
unweighted n	6112.00	259.00	1016.00	1406.00	2576.00	848.00	48.00	402.00	1442.00	2028.00	2180.00	313.00	504.00	593.00	1218.00	1985.00	1051.00	3513.00	2599.00	1166.00	4671.00
weighted n	5352.00	393.00	1152.00	1362.00	1837.00	601.00	213.00	964.00	1690.00	1535.00	926.00	360.00	551.00	600.00	1186.00	1558.00	645.00	2820.00	2532.00	979.00	4058.00
Agree strongly	0.22	0.07	0.04	0.17	0.31	0.47	0.26	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.29	0.26	0.25	0.23	0.22	0.21	0.21	0.18	0.26	0.31	0.20
Agree somewhat	0.26	0.06	0.10	0.25	0.36	0.35	0.25	0.20	0.23	0.29	0.29	0.24	0.27	0.23	0.23	0.28	0.31	0.24	0.28	0.24	0.26
Disagree somewhat	0.13	0.08	0.17	0.19	0.10	0.02	0.11	0.14	0.14	0.11	0.12	0.07	0.11	0.13	0.12	0.13	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.13	0.12
Disagree strongly	0.25	0.68	0.57	0.21	0.06	0.02	0.14	0.25	0.31	0.23	0.18	0.17	0.20	0.23	0.27	0.23	0.31	0.17	0.22	0.22	0.26
Don't know	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.23	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.27	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.19	0.11	0.16
Agree (net)	0.47	0.13	0.15	0.43	0.68	0.83	0.52	0.41	0.41	0.52	0.58	0.50	0.52	0.47	0.45	0.48	0.52	0.42	0.53	0.55	0.46
Disagree (net)	0.37	0.76	0.73	0.40	0.16	0.04	0.26	0.39	0.45	0.34	0.30	0.24	0.31	0.36	0.39	0.41	0.36	0.46	0.28	0.34	0.38
Don't know	0.15	0.11	0.12	0.17	0.17	0.13	0.23	0.21	0.14	0.14	0.12	0.27	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.11	0.12	0.12	0.19	0.11	0.16

Table 24: Q35-3. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements? The additional tax revenues that Proposition 15 would bring to the state's public schools, community colleges and local governments are needed given the large revenue losses these institutions are facing as a result of the coronavirus pandemic (Likely Voters)

	Total	Prop 15 Pref.			Prop 16 Pref.			Prop 21 Pref.			Prop 22 Pref.		
		Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided	Yes	No	Undecided
unweighted n	6112.00	3548.00	2195.00	369.00	2999.00	2597.00	516.00	2673.00	2876.00	563.00	2642.00	3013.00	457.00
weighted n	5352.00	2596.00	2261.00	494.00	2020.00	2618.00	713.00	2006.00	2561.00	784.00	2463.00	2266.00	623.00
Agree	0.22	0.41	0.03	0.08	0.38	0.11	0.18	0.38	0.10	0.19	0.14	0.33	0.13
strongly													
Agree	0.26	0.38	0.09	0.32	0.36	0.17	0.28	0.35	0.17	0.29	0.19	0.30	0.32
somewhat													
Disagree	0.13	0.07	0.21	0.07	0.08	0.17	0.10	0.08	0.19	0.04	0.16	0.12	0.04
somewhat													
Disagree	0.25	0.02	0.55	0.06	0.04	0.45	0.08	0.06	0.43	0.11	0.39	0.13	0.12
strongly													
Don't know	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.48	0.14	0.10	0.36	0.13	0.10	0.37	0.12	0.12	0.38
Agree (net)	0.47	0.79	0.13	0.40	0.74	0.28	0.46	0.73	0.27	0.48	0.33	0.63	0.45
Disagree	0.37	0.08	0.76	0.13	0.12	0.62	0.19	0.14	0.62	0.15	0.55	0.24	0.16
(net)													
Don't know	0.15	0.12	0.11	0.48	0.14	0.10	0.36	0.13	0.10	0.37	0.12	0.12	0.38