

CALIFORNIA
ELECTRONIC
INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
to the
Legislature
2017

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2017.....	3
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2017	4
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2017	5
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2017	6
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	44
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2017	73
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2017	104
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	105
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved But Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2017... ..	106
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	107

Executive Summary

The 2017 Attorney General's Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code section 629.62, and can be referenced on the Attorney General's website at: <http://oag.ca.gov>. Each county was directed to provide the mandatory information, and the information contained in the report reflects these submissions.

Electronic intercepts continue to play an integral role in helping law enforcement solve and prevent serious and violent crimes. Criminal entities, including drug trafficking organizations (DTO's), criminal street gangs, and individuals, frequently rely on telecommunications to advance their various illegal objectives, which range from drug trafficking to murders and even human trafficking. As such, court-authorized electronic intercepts are essential in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes. In some cases, electronic intercepts have uncovered criminal conspiracies to commit murder. In other cases, they have led to the seizure of vast amounts of narcotics and firearms, effectively removing them from communities. Some electronic intercepts have even rescued victims from human trafficking networks.

This report contains electronic interception data and details of how the use of electronic intercepts has enabled local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies to effectively combat crime at various levels. From disrupting large-scale drug trafficking to solving difficult cases, these examples underscore the importance of continuing to utilize electronic intercepts:

- Los Angeles County used electronic intercepts to seize over 2,330 pounds of marijuana, 2,730 pounds of cocaine, 110 pounds of heroin, 590 pounds of methamphetamine, and over \$15.7 million related to drug trafficking.
- Sacramento County (2018-SAC-1) used electronic intercepts to seize 212 firearms from criminal street gang members and their suppliers, and further identified a supplier of "ghost guns" being manufactured and transported from Southern California to Northern California.
- Merced County (2017-MER-1) used electronic intercepts to seize 70 firearms, 21,000 rounds of ammunition, 6.5 pounds of methamphetamine, and \$225,000 in cash. As a result, more than 70 people were arrested and charged with committing serious crimes.
- Fresno County (2018-FR-1) and San Joaquin County (2017-SJ-19) used electronic intercepts to rescue victims of human trafficking and identified other possible victims of human trafficking.

Though the report is divided into separate tables, the tables should be read in conjunction with one another to evaluate the impact intercepts have on public safety. Tables 1, 2, and 3 reflect the statistics of arrest, conviction, nature of intercept orders, and post-intercept court activity. Table 4 contains a description of an optional commentary for each intercept. Table 5 represents compliance with the inventory notice requirement given to those who were subjected to intercepts.

Table 6 shows the cost of each intercept. Table 7 lists counties with no intercepts to report. Table 8 provides supplemental information for intercepts approved prior to 2016 but were completed in 2017. Finally, Table 9 lists electronic intercept orders that were never used.

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order ²
Alameda	21	1	1.0	1											0		
Butte	1	0		0											0		
Contra Costa	11	9	3.0	1	7				1						0		
Fresno	2	10	10.0												0		
Imperial	12	5	5.0			5						3			3	3.0	
Kern	4	6	6.0	6	6	6			6						0		
Los Angeles	230	197	2.6	31	10	109		10				4			5	0.1	
Merced	36	72	36.0	1	71	71					21	21			21	10.5	
Orange	10	12	4.0	1	9	2		9							0		
Riverside	118	85	5.3	3		81									0		
Sacramento	2	35	35.0		35										0		
San Bernardino	38	60	3.2	11	47	45	13		1		5				5	0.3	
San Diego	28	41	8.2	11	21	38		32	37		16	11		26	27	5.4	
San Joaquin	48	85	21.3	33	85	85									0		
San Luis Obispo	4	0													0		
Santa Clara	10	7	3.5	4		3						3			3	1.5	
Santa Cruz	1	0													0		
Solano	2	0													0		
Stanislaus	11	30	6.0	9											0		
Tulare	3	10	5.0			10									0		
Ventura	19	55	7.9		17	48			14		2	14			15	2.1	
Yolo	3	9	9.0		9	9			9		5	5		5	5	5.0	
CA Dept. of Justice	1																
Total:	615	729		112	317	512	13	51	68	0	49	61	0	0	31	84	

¹ Any disparity between "Number of Persons Arrested" and "Number of Persons Convicted" may be attributed to the fact that a number of those arrested are pending either changes or trial, a process which could take a period of time, or that the intercept of part of a larger-scale ongoing investigation.

² Average for orders resulting in arrests.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device				
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other
Alameda	21	8	16								15	6				6	
Butte	1	1					1				1		1				
Contra Costa	11	5	6								11						
Fresno	2	2									2						2
Imperial	12			12							12						
Kern	4	4									4						
Los Angeles	230	24	3	205		2	1	2			229		2				
Merced	36	36									13					10	
Orange	10	5	2	5							9						
Riverside	118	9	5	105			1				118						
Sacramento	2		2								2						
San Bernardino	38	19	7	13							37						1
San Diego	28	5	7	23			5				28						
San Joaquin	48	1	47								48						
San Luis Obispo	4			3			1	1		1	2					2	
Santa Clara	10	6		2			2	10			10						
Santa Cruz	1	1															
Solano	2	2									1	1					
Stanislaus	11	6		5							4						
Tulare	3			3							1						
Ventura	19	2	4	12			2				19						1
Yolo	3		3														
CA Dept. of Justice	1	1									1	1	1				1

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2017³

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Alameda	21	21				6	6				30	810	577	27				
Butte	1	1										18	17	17				
Contra Costa	11	11										330	246	22				
Fresno	2	2			1	1	1	1		1	20	80	49	25				
Imperial	12	12										360	390	33				2
Kern	4	4	1									120	180	45				6
Los Angeles	229	229				154	158				30	11288	11488	50				
Merced	36	35				23	22				30	1770	1459	41				
Orange	10	10	1									360	252	25				
Riverside	118	118				70	70	2			30	5640	4780	42				
Sacramento	2	2	1									60	44	22				
San Bernardino	38	38			1	9	9			1	30	1410	1267	33				3
San Diego	28	28	2			8	8				30	990	860	31				7
San Joaquin	48	47			2	14	12			2	30	1860	1399	29				
San Luis Obispo	4	4										120	78	20				
Santa Clara	10	10				4	4				30	420	367	37				
Santa Cruz	1	1										30	30	30				
Solano	2	2										60	43	22				
Stanislaus	11	11	3			2	2				30	390	274	25			2	
Tulare	3	3										90	90	30				
Ventura	19	19	13									540	514	27		1		
Yolo	3	3										90	63	21				
CA Dept. of Justice	1	1										30	20	20				

³ The purpose of obtaining electronic intercept evidence is not always trial-focused and may relate to further investigation at the state or federal levels for crime prevention.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Alameda	2017-ALA-1	390	28,769	9	91	This was a murder and gang wiretap operation targeting members of a violent criminal street gang responsible for several shootings and murders in the city of Hayward. As a result of this operation, several targeted members of this criminal street gang were arrested and face multiple charges including attempted murder, conspiracy to commit murder, and conspiracy to commit robbery. These charges are currently pending. In addition, through the use of intercepted communications, law enforcement was able to deter and prevent numerous conspiracies to commit murder and thwart planned acts of violence that might otherwise impact the intended targets and innocent members of the community.
	2017-ALA-2	73	3,179	4	96	See 2017-ALA-1.
	2017-ALA-3	87	3,949	11	89	See 2017-ALA-1.
	2017-ALA-4	193	6,195	20	80	
	2017-ALA-5	134	2,912	7	93	This was a long term investigation into a violent Oakland criminal street gang responsible for several shootings, murders, robberies, and residential burglaries. The investigation culminated in the arrest and prosecution of several targeted criminal street gang members. After the completion of this investigation, violent crime in the city of Oakland dropped significantly. These charged criminal cases are still pending.
	2017-ALA-6	141	914	16	84	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-7	96	643	9	91	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-8	99	358	18	82	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-9	279	12,144	4	96	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-10	146	654	32	68	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-11	45	636	1	99	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-12	144	1,416	31	69	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-13	264	5,552	13	87	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-14	76	406	2	98	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-15	235	1,438	15	85	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-16	5	8	13	87	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-17	126	2,773	126	64	See 2017-ALA-5.
	2017-ALA-18	1,019	2,182	2	98	

⁴ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴	
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)		
Alameda (cont'd)	2017-ALA-19	3,907	4,781	1	99	Interceptions from this wiretap operation resulted in charges of murder and attempted murder to be filed. The incarceration of the involved subjects reduced violence.	
	2017-ALA-20	3,049	5,740	1	99		
	2017-ALA-21	640	2,229	1	99		
Butte	2017-BU-1		5,248	99	1	Underlying crimes were cold case murders.	
Contra Costa	2018-CC-1	185	4,343	4	96	This operation resulted in the arrest of an individual who committed a fatal highway shooting and a second drive-by shooting. This same individual also trafficked illegal firearms, dealt in narcotics, and was involved in robberies. An illegal assault was also seized.	
	2018-CC-2	101	1,753	4	96		See 2018-CC-1.
	2018-CC-3	147	1,908	10	90		See 2018-CC-1.
	2018-CC-4	172	1,859	10	90		
	2018-CC-5	10	57	0	100		
	2018-CC-6	403	5,336	10	90		
	2018-CC-7	32	652	12	88		
	2018-CC-8	309	4,522	5	95		
	2018-CC-9	25	554	8	92		
	2018-CC-10	1,382	9,840	33	67		
	2018-CC-11	732	6,771	8	92		
	2018-CC-12	353	1,325	9	91		
Fresno	2018-FR-1	1,180	603,382			This intercept prevented a number of planned assaults and shooting and led to the seizure of guns and ammunition before they could be used, narcotics before they were sold, and resulted in the seizure of gun sale, prostitution and narcotics sale proceeds. A victim of human trafficking was rescued and other possible victims of human trafficking were identified.	
Imperial	2017-IM-1	1	3	0	100	This line appeared to be largely unused during the intercept time frame.	
	2017-IM-2	114	2,223	13	87	These interceptions focused on the narcotics smuggling, transportation, and distribution of a drug-trafficking organization (DTO) originating in Mexico. The organization had ties throughout the United States.	
	2017-IM-3	230	5,216	11	89		See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-5	180	3,478	20	80		See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-6	285	12,646	3	97		See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-7	66	5,901	7	93		See 2017-IM-2.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Imperial (cont'd)	2017-IM-8	136	9,706	3	97	See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-9	131	2,670	34	66	See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-10	149	3,945	19	81	See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-11	53	1,172	44	56	See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-12	63	1,359	2	98	See 2017-IM-2.
	2017-IM-13	3	830	16	84	See 2017-IM-2.
Kern	2017-KE-1	92	6,734	24	76	This investigation related to a gang-related murder. This investigation identified possible co-conspirators, the level of gang participation of the target suspect, gang hierarchy, and structure. Using the wiretap, law enforcement was able to identify numerous members of the criminal street gang. Investigators were able to disprove the suspect's alibi and develop evidence. The investigation is ongoing, and the interception provided investigators with other avenues to obtain evidence and identified other persons of interest.
	2017-KE-2					See 2017-KE-1, which also contains the cumulative data for the other categories.
	2017-KE-3	103	3,775	3	97	See 2017-KE-1.
	2018-KE-1	181	3,850	10	90	The interception order targeted an individual regarding a murder offense and his participation in a violent criminal street gang. Structural information, narcotics sales, and burglary offenses were identified during this interception. In a separate investigation, arrests and seizures were made.
Los Angeles	2017-LA-30	0	0	0	0	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target telephone had been discarded by the target subject so no information was received.
	2017-LA-31	43	7,618	28	72	As a result of this intercept, 41 pounds of methamphetamine and 9 kilos of cocaine were seized. This investigation continues.
	2017-LA-32	4	62	3	97	Target communications were not actionable.
	2017-LA-33	27	840	28	72	The intercept resulted in the seizure of 67 kilograms of cocaine, 25 kilograms of heroin, and 25 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2017-LA-35	64	1,597	46	54	See 2017-LA-35.
	2017-LA-36	61	2,326	8	92	The seizure of \$181,173.
	2017-LA-37	391	4,394	5	95	None to report at this time.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-38	67	10,143	15	85	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-39	80	1,879	39	61	Nothing to report at this time.
	2017-LA-40	199	14,740	19	81	Three suspects were arrested, \$343,000 in narcotics proceeds, and one kilogram of cocaine were seized.
	2017-LA-41	120	9,920	33	67	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-43	18	877			No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects..
	2017-LA-44	8	137	8	92	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-45	12	100	18	82	No seizures in this case to date.
	2017-LA-46	138	6,647	24	76	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-47	292	11,845	19	81	This intercept resulted in the seizure of seven pounds of methamphetamine and two arrests.
	2017-LA-48	44	5,330	9	91	No seizures in this case to date.
	2017-LA-49	93	5,255	14	86	One suspect was arrested, and one kilogram of cocaine was seized.
	2017-LA-50	32	2,099	47	53	This investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-51	48	4,402	29	71	The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-52	5	193	92	8	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target telephone had been discarded by the target subject so no further information was received.
	2017-LA-53		1	0	100	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target telephone had been discarded by the target subject so no information was received.
2017-LA-54	164	6,460			During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved and identified other target telephones used by this DTO. No seizures or arrests have been made.	
2017-LA-55	21	276	49	51	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved and identified other target telephones used by this DTO.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-56	15	293	89	11	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no further information was received.
	2017-LA-57	165	4,693	19	81	As a result of this wiretap, \$84,000 in narcotic-related proceeds were seized.
	2017-LA-58	8	11,470	76	24	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this DTO.
	2017-LA-59	64	6,897	53	47	As a result of this wiretap, five suspects were arrested, 1 kilo of heroin, 69 pounds of methamphetamine, 7 kilos of cocaine, and \$14,140 were seized.
	2017-LA-60	33	1,048	14	86	As a result of this wiretap, approximately \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2017-LA-61	2	7	0	100	Target discontinued phone use. No results to report at this time. The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-62	63	4,756	71	29	The target subject discontinued using his telephone.
	2017-LA-63	17	3,354	13	87	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-64	60	5,556	24	76	During the course of this intercept, 2 arrests were made along with the seizure of \$56,365, 3 ounces of heroin, and 1 firearm.
	2017-LA-65	26	771	23	77	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-66	66	1,203			As a result of the intercept, 1 arrest was made, and 1 pound of methamphetamine was seized.
	2017-LA-67	24	944			No seizures in this case to date, and the line was terminated early.
	2017-LA-68	103	1,595	23	77	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-69	105	6,275	24	76	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
2017-LA-71	39	3,646	21	79	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.	
2017-LA-72	80	9,927	1	99	As a result of this intercept 1 suspect was arrested and \$67,580 was seized.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-73	86	1,976	33	67	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-74	2	5	0	100	Target subject discontinued the use of the telephone.
	2017-LA-75	48	2,720	7	93	Targets discontinued the use of their telephones.
	2017-LA-76	3	1,601	13	87	Intercepted conversations led to the arrests of 3 suspects and the seizure of \$111,890 in narcotics proceeds and 10 kilograms of cocaine.
	2017-LA-77	67	4,842			No significant seizures or arrests were made.
	2017-LA-78		5	0	100	Target discarded the phone.
	2017-LA-79	59	3,024	22	78	No seizures on this case to date.
	2017-LA-80	29	1,785	11	89	No significant seizures or arrests took place as a result of this wiretap. The target subject discontinued the use of the telephone. This investigation continues.
	2017-LA-81	0	0	0	0	Both target subjects discontinued the use of these target telephones, and as such, no data was intercepted during the stated period.
	2017-LA-82	254	22,259	20	80	As a result of this interception, 41 pounds of methamphetamine and 7.5 kilos of cocaine were seized.
	2017-LA-83	383	13,660	40	60	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this DTO.
	2017-LA-84	40	6,682	22	78	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-85	46	7,400	31	69	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-86	22	6,630	26	74	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-87	34	5,540	35	65	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
2017-LA-88	34	7,428	20	80	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.	
2017-LA-89	4	136	10	90	During the course of this interception, there were no significant seizures.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-90	48	1,774	26	74	During the course of this investigation, two arrests were made along with the seizure of \$160,787, 5 grams of methamphetamine, and 380 pounds of marijuana.
	2017-LA-91	169	2,848	12	88	This investigation has resulted in the seizure of approximately \$107,000, two kilograms of heroin, 26 kilograms of methamphetamine, and 40 kilograms of marijuana.
	2017-LA-92	139	5,072	33	67	The operation targeted DTO members and is still ongoing. However, one arrest and the seizure of 43 kilograms of cocaine was a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-93	32	2,292	36	64	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation; however no arrests or seizures were made as a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-94	69	2,433	34	66	No significant arrests or seizures were made as a result of this intercept. The investigation continues.
	2017-LA-96	101	1,539	71	29	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, one arrest and the seizure of ten kilograms of cocaine was a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-97	93	3,404	40	60	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation; however no arrests or seizures were made as a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-98	54	2,977	38	62	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, one arrest, \$200,000 of narcotic proceeds, and one vehicle was seized as a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-99	97	9,579	12	88	No significant arrests or seizures were made, but additional target subjects and method of operation were learned during the course of this investigation. This investigation continues.
	2017-LA-101	6	113	20	80	The target subject discontinued use of the telephone, and no significant arrests or seizures were made as a result of this wiretap. The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-102	25	977	15	85	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this DTO.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-103	134	1,347	7	93	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this DTO. Target subjects discarded target telephones
	2017-LA-105	33	1,048	14	86	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2017-LA-106	8	35	20	80	No significant arrests or seizures were made as a result of this interception. This investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-107	30	1,860	8	92	During the course of this wire tap interception, two suspects were arrested, and 844.17 pounds of marijuana, 1.92 pounds of cocaine, and \$56,812 in US currency were seized.
	2017-LA-110	52	4,796	32	68	During the course of this wiretap interception, method of operation and additional target subjects were discovered which lead to additional wiretap affidavits.
	2017-LA-111	52	6,997	17	83	During the course of this wiretap interception, method of operation and additional target subjects were learned which lead to the application additional wiretap affidavits.
	2017-LA-112	25	872	40	60	As a result of this intercept, approximately 75 pounds of methamphetamine and 15 kilograms of cocaine were seized, and this intercept also led to additional wiretap affidavits.
	2017-LA-113	25	4,812	5	95	Nothing to report as a result of wiretap. This investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-114	88	3,353	9	91	Other DTO members were identified. One person was arrested and one kilogram of cocaine was seized.
	2017-LA-115	12	260	13	87	Other DTO Members were identified. 678 grams of cocaine was recovered.
	2017-LA-116	79	771	5	95	Other DTO members identified, and no significant seizures or arrests were made.
	2017-LA-117	86	2,260	5	95	Other DTO members were identified as a result of this wiretap, and one target subject was arrested.
	2017-LA-118	3	87	31	69	Other DTO members were identified. One target was arrested, and \$110,000 was seized.
	2017-LA-119	0	0	0	0	Target subject dropped the telephone line.
	2017-LA-120			1,467		Other DTO members were identified. One person was arrested, \$24,205 in narcotics proceeds, 1.8 pounds of cocaine, and five firearms were seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-121	88	3,444			Other DTO members were identified. One person was arrested, and \$4,950 in narcotics proceeds and 3.4 ounces of cocaine were seized.
	2017-LA-122	6	1,748	33	67	Other DTO members were identified. 6 target subjects were arrested, \$1,030,600 in narcotics proceeds were seized in a multi-state effort.
	2017-LA-123	86	10,368	18	82	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects..
	2017-LA-124	41	976	4	96	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-125	4	231			Intercepted conversations led to the seizure of \$430,170 in narcotics proceeds, and the arrest of one individual.
	2017-LA-126	4	667	45	55	Intercepted conversations led to the seizure of narcotics proceeds and the arrest of one individual.
	2017-LA-127	11	729	34	66	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-128	20	251	37	63	Intercepted conversations led to the seizure of \$10,304 in narcotics proceeds, 7 pounds of methamphetamine, 17 kilograms of cocaine, 2 kilograms of heroin, and the arrest of two individuals.
	2017-LA-129	21	132	20	80	Other DTO members were identified as a result of this wiretap.
	2017-LA-132	310	13,240	1	99	All five target subjects were arrested and charged with either murder, conspiracy to commit murder, attempted murder, shooting at an inhabited dwelling, and/or accessory after the fact (murder). The evidence obtained through the interception of wire and electronic communications led to the multiple felony charges filed against all target subjects involved in these murders.
	2017-LA-133	197	7,109	1	99	See 2017-LA-132.
	2017-LA-134	258	11,242	1	99	See 2017-LA-132.
	2017-LA-135	89	10,363	1	99	See 2017-LA-132.
	2017-LA-136	47	4,188	43	57	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects..

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-137	101	7,152	32	68	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, the information uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-138	27	3,759			During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved and identified other target telephones used by this DTO. In addition, agents seized approximately 45 pounds of methamphetamine and one kilogram of heroin.
	2017-LA-139	76	2,672	20	80	As a result of this investigation, agents arrested one suspect, and seized \$31,878 and one pound of heroin.
	2017-LA-140	15	293	43	57	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject, so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-141	37	239	23	77	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of 20 pounds of methamphetamine, and the arrest of three target subjects.
	2017-LA-142	60	2,438	33	67	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, it led to one arrest and the seizure of 52 kilograms of cocaine, 15 pounds of methamphetamine, and 15 pounds of heroin.
	2017-LA-143	74	7,488	25	75	The operation targeted DTO members in Los Angeles County. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, it led to six arrests and the seizure of 17 kilograms of cocaine, 44.5 lbs. of methamphetamine, 12 lbs. of heroin, and \$505,000.
	2017-LA-144	107	4,855			The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing investigation, however, it led to three arrests and the seizure of 37 kilograms of cocaine, 28.5 pounds of methamphetamine, one automatic handgun, and one assault rifle.
	2017-LA-146	63	4,918	27	73	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, it led to three arrests, and the seizure of \$668,380 of narcotic proceeds, and 24 weapons.
	2017-LA-147	36	9,103	29	71	This is an ongoing investigation. No arrests or seizures were made from these lines.
	2017-LA-148	82	3,825	35	65	This is an ongoing investigation. No arrests or seizures were made from these lines.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-149	12	2,180	31	69	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved and identified other target telephones used by this DTO. Additionally, methamphetamine was seized and one suspect was arrested.
	2017-LA-150	11	619	33	67	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-151	2	29	14	86	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-152	35	4,273	31	69	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation, and one target subject was arrested, and two pounds of methamphetamine and \$4,830 were seized.
	2017-LA-153	24	4,600	41	59	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subjects' method of operation. Additionally 50 pounds of methamphetamine was seized and 1 target subject was arrested.
	2017-LA-154	40	3,787	26	74	This intercept led to the seizure of \$265,170 and 1,000 marijuana plants.
	2017-LA-155	17	559	44	56	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 844 pounds of marijuana, two pounds of cocaine and \$36,900.
	2017-LA-156	28	354	100	0	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-157	69	9,718	20	80	This intercept led to the seizure of \$353,017 and 10 kilos of cocaine.
	2017-LA-158	59	14,639	15	85	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation, others involved, and identified other target telephones used by this DTO.
2017-LA-159	61	7,510	22	78	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target telephone had been discarded by the target subject so no further information was received.	
2017-LA-160	28	354	1	99	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-161	82	1,517	11	89	No seizures occurred during this interception.
	2017-LA-162	222	2,690	5	95	As a result of this investigation, agents were able to seize approximately 7 pounds of methamphetamine and \$445,430 in narcotics proceeds.
	2017-LA-163	32	4,969	27	73	This intercept led to the seizure of \$65,345 and 32 kilos of cocaine.
	2017-LA-164	5	392	39	61	This intercept led to the seizure of \$900,000 in narcotics proceeds and 2 kilograms of cocaine. The target subject discontinued using his telephone.
	2017-LA-165	84	1,437	1	99	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 700 Ambien pills; two people were arrested.
	2017-LA-166	5	26	19	81	The target subject discontinued using the telephone.
	2017-LA-167	6	47	25	75	No seizures to date.
	2017-LA-168	8	626	34	66	Target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2017-LA-169	17	534	27	73	This wiretap greatly aided special agents and task force officers in the identification of the target subjects and in the seizure of approximately 25 kilograms of suspected cocaine and the arrest of two individuals.
	2017-LA-170	85	7,565	31	69	This intercept led to multiple seizures netting over \$1.3 million in narcotics proceeds and 2 kilograms of cocaine.
	2017-LA-171	25	5,120	22	78	The intercept uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-172	34	3,920	4	96	The intercept uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-173	28	2,793	55	45	The intercept uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-174	18	724	51	49	The intercept uncovered more suspects.
	2017-LA-176	3	2,161	8	92	This operation began as a murder investigation that involved a specific violent criminal street gang and included multiple wiretaps. During the course of the investigation, communications that involved the crimes of human trafficking were intercepted. As a result of this wiretap investigation, several gang members were arrested for murder, human trafficking, and burglary. These arrests have been beneficial to the community at large because the gang members arrested for the listed offenses have been removed from the streets, and are no longer able to commit crimes detrimental to the safety of the community.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-177	80	13,009	28	72	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, there were no direct arrests or seizures as a result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-178	32	1,262	28	72	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, there were no direct arrests or seizures as a result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-179	3	3,911	4	96	See 2017-LA-176.
	2017-LA-180	3	11,608	4	96	See 2017-LA-176.
	2017-LA-182	90	4,087	21	79	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 1 kilogram of cocaine and 15 firearms.
	2017-LA-183	45	1,228	26	74	This intercept led to the identification of multiple gang members and narcotics sources of supply.
	2017-LA-185	169	2,848	11	89	This investigation has resulted in the seizure of approximately \$107,000, 2 kilos of heroin, 26 kilos of methamphetamine, and 40 kilos of marijuana.
	2017-LA-187	11	838	12	88	No seizures in this case to date.
	2017-LA-188	56	2,729	23	77	This intercept led to the seizure of approximately 31 pounds of methamphetamine, 24 pounds of cocaine, and 9 pounds of heroin.
	2017-LA-189	21	445	31	69	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, there were no direct arrests or seizures as a result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-190	1	1	0	100	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-191	27	355	1	99	The target subject discontinued using the phone.
	2017-LA-196	366	45,829	6	94	
	2017-LA-197	68	28,839	1	99	During the course of this investigation, the suspects of the murder were arrested. While investigating the murder, other suspects were also arrested for conspiracy to commit murder. Those suspects have also been arrested and are awaiting court appearances.
	2017-LA-200	1,901	26,898	5	95	The targets were involved in a conspiracy to commit murder. The investigation is ongoing and arrests are imminent.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-201	62	237	2	98	By having this wiretap initiated, investigators were able to develop new leads that were not available prior to this wiretap.
	2017-LA-202	153	2,097	24	76	This investigation resulted in five arrests and the seizure of \$368,095.
	2017-LA-203	50	100	3	97	By having this wiretap initiated, investigators were able to develop new leads that were not available prior to this wiretap.
	2017-LA-206	55	2,306	10	90	By having this wiretap initiated, investigators were able to develop new leads that were not available prior to this wiretap.
	2017-LA-207	20	81	4	96	By having this wiretap initiated, investigators were able to develop new leads that were not available prior to this wiretap.
	2017-LA-212	213	10,741	30	70	Agents seized approximately \$350,000 of narcotics proceeds and arrested one subject.
	2017-LA-213	313	15,782	1	99	Investigation is still ongoing. Arrests are imminent.
	2017-LA-214	280	2,376	6	94	The investigation is still on-going, with arrests in the case being imminent.
	2017-LA-216	60	754	3	97	By having this wiretap installed, investigators were able to develop new leads that were not available prior to this wiretap.
	2017-LA-217	48	204	13	87	No seizures to date.
	2017-LA-218	128	1,520	38	62	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephone had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-219	6	179	37	63	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subjects' method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-220	2	4	0	100	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-221	131	7,060	21	79	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-222	12	379	27	73	Target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2017-LA-223	2	11	0	100	Agents learned that target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-224	430	17,973	25	75	This wiretap greatly aided special agents and task force officers in the identification of the target subjects and in the seizure of approximately 25 kilograms of suspected cocaine and the arrest of two individuals.
	2017-LA-225	217	13,913	27	73	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$1.8 million in narcotics proceeds, 7 kilos of cocaine, and 6 pounds of methamphetamine were seized.
	2017-LA-226	87	3,525	22	78	The target subject discontinued using his phone.
	2017-LA-227	24	3,440	29	71	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, there were no direct arrests or seizures as a result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-228	46	3,988	30	70	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, there were no direct arrests or seizures as a result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-229	85	7,565	31	69	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$1.3 million in narcotics proceeds was seized.
	2017-LA-230	26	6,336	28	72	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$1.9 million in narcotic proceeds was seized.
	2017-LA-231	2	810	41	59	As a result of this intercept, approximately 140 kilos of cocaine and \$18,500 in narcotics proceeds were seized.
	2017-LA-232	11	997	34	66	The target subject discontinued using his phone.
	2017-LA-233	178	15,062	3	97	Interception identified various members associated with a narcotics operation that laundered money.
	2017-LA-234	3	1,350	22	78	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subjects' method of operation.
	2017-LA-235	19	2,004	20	80	The target discontinued use of the target telephone prior to any enforcement.
	2017-LA-236	31	7,664	3	97	This investigation resulted in the arrest of the primary target along with four additional suspects. Investigators seized 10 pounds of methamphetamine, .5 kilograms of heroin, .5 kilo of cocaine, approximately \$40,000, and a handgun.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-237	31	4,816	25	75	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$265,00 was seized and one arrest was made.
	2017-LA-238		1,205	44	56	Though the wiretap was unsuccessful, this gang investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-239	133	16,068	31	69	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$2.4 million in narcotics proceeds and 146 kilograms of cocaine were seized.
	2017-LA-240	41	2,878	17	83	The interception provided investigators new telephone numbers to other associates and stash locations, and allowed investigators to author a search warrant resulting in the seizure of 3 kilos of heroin and one handgun.
	2017-LA-241	413	13,904	9	91	The targets were involved in a murder.
	2017-LA-242	26	1,191	25	75	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned the target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-243	74	7,488	25	75	The operation targeted DTO members. This is still an ongoing wiretap investigation. However, six arrests and the seizures of 17 kilograms of cocaine, 44.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 12 pounds of heroin and \$505,000 were a direct result of this intercept.
	2017-LA-244	52	578	54	46	This wiretap greatly aided special agents and task force officers in the identification of the target subjects and in the seizure of approximately 25 kilograms of suspected cocaine and the arrest of two individuals.
	2017-LA-245	31	8,920	21	79	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation and identified other members of this DTO. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-246	37	482	1	99	This wiretap was terminated due to no narcotic activity.
	2017-LA-247	412	3,126	49	51	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$79,873 was seized and 2 arrests were made.
	2017-LA-248	81	608	28	72	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$261,840 was seized.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-249	2	433	22	78	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject's method of operation and identified additional DTO members. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-250	6	268	39	61	During the course of this investigation, agents learned target subject method of operation and identified other DTO members associated with this DTO. Agents later learned the target subject discarded the target telephone.
	2017-LA-252	493	23,197	1	99	The target(s) were involved in a murder. The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-253	18	351	14	86	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's methods of operation and identified other members associated with this DTO. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-254	19	2,004	20	80	The target discontinued use of the target telephone prior to any enforcement.
	2017-LA-255	5	454	15	85	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation. Additionally, agents learned the target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2017-LA-256	194	10,046	4	96	The targets were involved in a murder. The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-LA-257	6	174	36	64	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation and identified additional DTO members associated with this organization.
	2017-LA-258	4	277	20	80	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation and identified additional DTO members associated with this organization.
	2017-LA-259	238	2,627	30	70	As a result of this intercept, approximately \$32,311 was seized and 1 arrest is pending.
	2017-LA-260	26	1,491	4	96	No seizures to date.
	2017-LA-261	3	1,023	7	93	This wiretap investigation provided investigators with intimate knowledge of the inner workings of a DTO involved in narcotics sales.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-262	20	1,498	30	70	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation and identified additional DTO members associated with this organization.
	2017-LA-267	68	4,845	20	80	Approximately \$1.5 million of narcotics proceeds were seized during this wiretap interception.
	2017-LA-268	31	8,920	21	79	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation and identified other members of this DTO. Additionally, agents learned the target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2018-LA-1	16	2,718	28	72	The targets discontinued use of the target telephones.
	2018-LA-2	5	231	21	79	The target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2018-LA-3	6	5,650	24	76	This intercept provided evidence of multinational narcotics trafficking.
	2018-LA-4	10	464	19	81	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subjects' method of operation and identified additional DTO members associated with this organization.
	2018-LA-5	8	182	21	79	The target subject discontinued using his phone.
	2018-LA-6	7	928	40	60	This intercept led to the seizure of 27 kilograms of cocaine and \$61,052 in narcotics proceeds.
	2018-LA-7	925	36,912	2	98	The target subjects were involved in a murder, and the investigation is ongoing. Charges are still pending.
	2018-LA-8	415	15,270	3	97	The target subjects were involved in a murder and the case has not been completed.
	2018-LA-9	341	7,492	2	98	The target subjects were involved in a murder, and the investigation is ongoing. Charges are still pending.
	2018-LA-10	26	323	21	79	This intercept led to one arrest and the seizure of \$31,878 and approximately 1 pound of heroin.
	2018-LA-11	52	1,021	19	81	The wiretap was integral in developing investigative leads in the case. Ultimately, the wiretap assisted in securing incriminating statements and a confession. The intercepted target is pending trial.
	2018-LA-13	78	4,182	10	90	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, this intercept led to the identification of additional target subjects.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-14	73	3,864	25	75	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, this intercept led to the identification, and arrests of, additional subjects.
	2018-LA-15	59	14,056	30	70	This intercept led to the seizure of 23 kilos of cocaine, 510 pounds of marijuana, 20 pounds of methamphetamine, and the arrests of five individuals.
	2018-LA-16	55	1,434	16	84	This intercept led to the seizure of 510 pounds of marijuana, 7 kilos of cocaine, and the arrest of one individual.
	2018-LA-17	18	852	27	73	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period; however, this intercept led to the identification of additional target subjects.
	2018-LA-18	12	148	40	60	This intercept led to the seizure of \$249,980 in narcotics proceeds and the arrest of one individual.
	2018-LA-21	2	967	33	67	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the target subject's method of operation and identified additional DTO members. Additionally, agents learned target telephones had been discarded by the target subject so no additional information was received.
	2018-LA-22	48	204	13	87	No seizures to date.
	Merced	2017-MER-1	277	21,660	10	90
2017-MER-2		133	16,161	6	94	See 2017-MER-1.
2017-MER-3		267	25,115	4	96	See 2017-MER-1.
2017-MER-4		181	16,949	3	97	See 2017-MER-1.
2017-MER-5		114	19,456	1	99	See 2017-MER-1.
2017-MER-6		72	2,924	2	98	See 2017-MER-1.
2017-MER-7		169	14,122	8	92	See 2017-MER-1.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Merced (cont'd)	2017-MER-8	210	21,647	12	88	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-9	76	747	1	99	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-10	311	12,098	4	96	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-11	57	595	8	92	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-12	61	2,424	39	61	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-13	19	349	26	74	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-14	103	10,839	5	95	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-15	151	4,618	13	87	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-16	109	3,601	12	88	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-17	50	7,090	2	98	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-18	95	3,638	18	82	See 2017-MER-1.
	2017-MER-19	65	3,736	1	99	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-1	164	12,397	0	100	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-2	185	8,049	2	98	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-3	78	32,197	2	98	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-4	250	34,635	4	96	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-5	176	20,482	5	95	See 2017-MER-1.
2018-MER-6	278	11,426	35	65	See 2017-MER-1.	
	2018-MER-7	218	54,018	2	98	This wiretap investigation was instrumental in the collection of the evidence necessary to file charges against for two unsolved homicides.
	2018-MER-8	159	2,695	19	81	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-9	389	12,841	3	97	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-10	256	7,420	6	94	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-11	3	19	11	89	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-12	44	2,898	16	84	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-13	33	304	11	89	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-14	59	1,464	6	94	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-15	74	1,610	4	96	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-16	229	2,924	2	98	See 2017-MER-1.
	2018-MER-17	111	1,299	10	90	See 2017-MER-1.
Orange	2017-OR-1	3	11,532	10	90	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of nine individuals for crimes including kidnapping, robbery, and attempted murder
	2017-OR-2	2	2,761	20	80	See 2017-OR-1.
	2017-OR-3	100	2,755	20	80	This wiretap was useful in identifying drug traffickers.
	2017-OR-4	6	6	33	66	This wiretap provided further evidence in a cold case homicide which resulted in the arrest of one person.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2017-OR-5	29	359	10	90	This wiretap was used to obtain evidence on a unsolved homicide.
	2017-OR-6	25	525	4	96	This wiretap was used to obtain evidence in an unsolved gang homicide.
	2017-OR-7	66	2,391	70	30	This wiretap enabled law enforcement to identify drug traffickers and initiate further investigation.
	2017-OR-8	90	3,108	6	94	This wiretap enabled law enforcement to identify drug traffickers and seize drugs and weapons.
	2017-OR-9	90	1,508	6	94	This wiretap resulted in the arrest of two individuals and the seizure of over 20 pounds of methamphetamine and several weapons.
	2017-OR-10	49	4,629	25	75	This wiretap attempted to identify drug traffickers.
Riverside	2016-RIV-2313	175	2,648	45	55	
	2016-RIV-2322	23	20,837	33	67	
	2016-RIV-2323	45	42,271	23	77	
	2016-RIV-2330	170	110,303	37	63	
	2016-RIV-2331	41	21,411	27	73	
	2016-RIV-2335	26	4,176	49	51	
	2016-RIV-2336		37,277	28	72	This intercept led to the seizures of 1800 pounds of methamphetamine, 46 kilos of fentanyl, 133 kilos of cocaine, \$103,000 and four firearms.
	2016-RIV-2337	36	4,756	33	67	
	2016-RIV-2338	35	55,442	20	80	
	2016-RIV-2339	0	0	0	0	
	2016-RIV-2340	3	5	0	100	
	2017-RIV-1	52	31,317	39	61	
	2017-RIV-2		28,948	69	31	As a result of wiretap interception order the following was seized: 100 pounds of methamphetamine; \$13,000; 70 kilos of cocaine; and three firearms.
	2017-RIV-3	140	3,636	7	93	The target subjects discontinued using that telephone.
	2017-RIV-4	24	9,595	41	59	
	2017-RIV-5	6	318	37	63	
	2017-RIV-6	159	8,234	22	78	
	2017-RIV-8	35	19,902	38	62	
	2017-RIV-9	24	10,902	39	61	
	2017-RIV-10	14	607	14	86	
	2017-RIV-11	121	80,477	18	82	
	2017-RIV-12	10	8,695	45	55	
	2017-RIV-13	96	1,076	7	93	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-14	157	45,669	30	70	
	2017-RIV-15	10	7,686	40	60	
	2017-RIV-16		0			
	2017-RIV-17	22	491	20	80	This intercept led to the seizures of approximately 50 gallons of liquid methamphetamine, three pounds of heroin, and \$20,000.
	2017-RIV-18	38	7,706	41	59	
	2017-RIV-19	61	353	27	73	
	2017-RIV-20	74	2,284	33	67	
	2017-RIV-21	91	13,792	10	90	As a result of this investigation, investigators seized approximately 6,298 grams of methamphetamine.
	2017-RIV-22	11	463	38	62	
	2017-RIV-23		1,701	46	54	
	2017-RIV-24		0			
	2017-RIV-26	171	4,924	34	66	The target subjects discontinued use of the phones.
	2017-RIV-27	25	6,010	51	49	
	2017-RIV-28	59	5,398	45	55	
	2017-RIV-29	22	5,822	16	84	
	2017-RIV-30	17	5,248	45	55	
	2017-RIV-31	12	931	34	66	
	2017-RIV-32	18	3,946	53	47	
	2017-RIV-33	12	3,002	36	64	
	2017-RIV-34	45	14,592	17	83	
	2017-RIV-35	26	4,729	42	58	
	2017-RIV-36	54	8,362	43	57	
	2017-RIV-37	1	291	52	48	
	2017-RIV-38		0			
	2017-RIV-39	27	12,326	41	59	
	2017-RIV-40	89	34,893	25	75	
	2017-RIV-41		2,533	27	73	
	2017-RIV-42	63	20,608	49	51	
	2017-RIV-43	33	333	42	58	
	2017-RIV-44	22	5,896	26	74	
	2017-RIV-45	36	11,477	37	63	
	2017-RIV-46	13	11,461	50	50	
	2017-RIV-47	12	8,327	42	58	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-48	110	8,958	25	75	Pursuant to information obtained during this investigation, approximately 2.2 pounds of heroin, two firearms, and \$4200.00 were seized.
	2017-RIV-49	30	7,954	36	64	
	2017-RIV-50	10	5,696	46	54	
	2017-RIV-51	24	24	21	79	
	2017-RIV-52	18	7,145	17	83	
	2017-RIV-53	13	7,966	50	50	
	2017-RIV-54	339	16,454	10	90	The interceptions were important to this investigation because they helped identify the suspect in a gang-related murder investigation and resulted in his arrest. The interceptions also assisted law enforcement in identifying and seizing evidence which pertained to the homicide.
	2017-RIV-55	575	2,022	2	98	
	2017-RIV-56	5	32,152	41	59	
	2017-RIV-57	6	808	28	72	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-58	27	1,123	10	90	The interceptions were important to this investigation because they helped identify the suspect in a gang-related murder investigation and resulted in an arrest. The interceptions also assisted law enforcement in identifying and seizing evidence which pertained to the homicide.
	2017-RIV-59	25	18,430	50	50	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-60	8	219	13	87	This wiretap order investigation was important as it assisted with the identification of the suspect in a gang-related homicide. It also assisted with the identification and seizure of evidence related to the homicide.
	2017-RIV-61	2	24,944	24	76	
	2017-RIV-62	5	13,680	51	49	
	2017-RIV-63	35	17,275	33	67	
	2017-RIV-64	59	45,535	33	67	
	2017-RIV-65		4,792	39	61	
	2017-RIV-66	6	9,340	48	52	
	2017-RIV-67	8	6,817	3	97	
	2017-RIV-68	103	2,806	25	75	
	2017-RIV-69	46	15,304	35	65	
	2017-RIV-70	6	8,009	43	57	
	2017-RIV-71	5	74	58	42	
	2017-RIV-72		0			

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-73	5	2,187	42	58	
	2017-RIV-74		1,075	32	68	
	2017-RIV-76	28	11,250	43	57	
	2017-RIV-77	68	7,389	23	77	
	2017-RIV-78	16	5,423	37	63	
	2017-RIV-79	14	4,425	40	60	
	2017-RIV-80	9	269	50	50	Wire intercepts from this order led to the seizure of approximately 32 pounds of methamphetamine and \$17,868.
	2017-RIV-81	72	1,361	9	91	
	2017-RIV-82	15	18,372	11	89	
	2017-RIV-83	20	40,044			
	2017-RIV-84	27	13,210	40	60	
	2017-RIV-85	6	7,456	20	80	
	2017-RIV-86	15	7,595	34	66	
	2017-RIV-87	521	6,521			
	2017-RIV-88	8	4,818	40	60	
	2017-RIV-89	23	412	7	93	
	2017-RIV-90	891	8,872	13	87	Over 20 persons were arrested as a result of this wiretap interception order. Agents seized narcotics, firearms, and monies from criminal street gangs in Riverside county. This wiretap has positively impacted Riverside county.
	2017-RIV-91		999	40	60	
	2017-RIV-92	157	15,744	2	98	This wiretap interception order led to incriminating statements between a suspect that led to the filing of homicide charges.
	2017-RIV-93	228	10,202	4	96	The interceptions were important to this investigation because they helped identify the subject in an attempt carjacking/murder investigation and resulted in one arrest.
	2017-RIV-94		9,235	28	72	
	2017-RIV-95	227	9,843	11	89	Wiretap agents were successful in arresting 19 people, and seizing narcotics, firearms, and extortion proceeds.
	2017-RIV-96	47	3,319	4	96	This wiretap interception order led to incriminating statements between the suspect that led to the filing of homicide charges.
	2017-RIV-97	29	774	34	66	Approximately 9 pounds of methamphetamine and 7 pounds of heroin were seized as a result of this wiretap interception order.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-98	1	13	7	93	
	2017-RIV-99	286	21,782	20	80	This wiretap interception order led to the arrest of 21 persons, and the seizure of narcotics, firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2017-RIV-100	253	887	13	87	This wiretap interception order was critical in locating a Mexican Mafia associate that was directing criminal street gang members to commit acts of violence.
	2017-RIV-101	24	2,325	19	81	Approximately 16 pounds of methamphetamine and 7 pounds of heroin were seized as a result of this wiretap interception.
	2017-RIV-102	5	3,448	30	70	
	2017-RIV-103	87	372	1	99	This wiretap interception order was useful in identifying co-conspirators of an intrastate narcotics trafficking organization.
	2017-RIV-104	8	777	50	50	
	2017-RIV-105	19	645	18	82	
	2017-RIV-106	9	2,964	33	67	
	2017-RIV-108	14	3,606			
	2017-RIV-109	36	897	27	73	
	2017-RIV-110	24	851	10	90	This wiretap aided in the identification of co-conspirators and trafficking processes utilized by a Mexico-based DTO responsible for the illicit importation of multiple kilograms of narcotics thru Southern California ports of entry.
	2017-RIV-112	26	317	37	63	This wiretap interception order has assisted in the identification of multiple suspects, vehicles, and locations pertinent to this ongoing investigation.
Sacramento	2018-SAC-1	217	2,000	7.5	92	This operation was an important step toward curbing violence between two large criminal street gangs. Investigators were able to seize 212 firearms from street gang members and their suppliers. Investigators were also able to solve a murder, solely based off of interceptions. The operation targeted one of the largest gangs in Sacramento and identified a supplier of "Ghost Guns" being manufactured and transported from Southern California to Northern California.
	2018-SAC-2	134	800	17	83	See 2018-SAC-1.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino	2017-SBD-2	388	16,881	3	97	The interception of targets in this case resulted in an admission from one of the targets that he was in the area of an unsolved homicide on the day and time of the occurrence. These intercepts also led to the seizure of firearms and narcotics (cocaine and marijuana). As a result of these intercepts, law enforcement was able to disrupt the gang's daily criminal activities and provide a safer environment for the law abiding citizens of the community.
	2017-SBD-3	117	9,541	3	97	The interception of targets in this case was invaluable and led to the seizure of five firearms and cocaine, methamphetamine, heroin and marijuana. The intercepts also assisted in identifying at least one suspect involved in an unsolved homicide.
	2017-SBD-4	285	6,858	7	93	The interception of targets in this case resulted in identifying a nexus between a street gang and a prison gang. These intercepts also led to the seizure of firearms and narcotics (cocaine and marijuana). As a result of these intercepts, law enforcement was able to disrupt the gang's daily criminal activities and provide a safer environment for the law abiding citizens the community.
	2017-SBD-5	33	258	17	83	This intercept led to the seizure of \$98,400.
	2017-SBD-6	46	570	30	70	The interception of targets in this case was invaluable in leading to the seizure of 3 firearms. Without the intercepts, the above firearms would still be on the streets causing a constant danger of crime and violence.
	2017-SBD-7	20	656	26	74	Investigators identified other members of the DTO and other target telephones.
	2017-SBD-8	183	3,955	22	78	Monitoring the target led to the identification of other subjects involved in narcotics sales and trafficking.
	2017-SBD-9	85	5,120	9	91	As a result of the intercepts, investigators were able to identify a source of narcotics supply to the community. In addition, investigators identified residential and commercial businesses involved in illegal drug distribution within the community.
	2017-SBD-11	33	723	28	72	Agents seized heroin and five pounds of methamphetamine. This case is still active.
	2017-SBD-12	8	449	23	77	See 2017-SBD-9.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-13	125	3,250	8	92	The interception of the target in this case was material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. Additionally, the intercepted communication led to multiple arrests and the seizure of four ounces of heroin.
	2017-SBD-14	118	4,893	58	42	
	2017-SBD-15		0			Phone appeared to be dumped by the target subject.
	2017-SBD-16	59	189	6	94	
	2017-SBD-17	280	9,478	3	97	The interception of target subjects in this case was instrumental in obtaining additional intercept orders and furthering the investigation. Additionally, intercepted communications led to the recovery of stolen property and the seizure of firearms. The seizure of these firearms increase the safety of the community.
	2017-SBD-18	544	16,587	4	96	Intercepts on this order led to the seizure of firearms. Additionally, intercepts were material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. The firearm seizures made a positive impact on the community by making it safer.
	2017-SBD-19	80	2,200	99	1	Several guns were seized, and violent offenses were prevented. Narcotics of various amounts for the purpose of sale were intercepted. This intercept led to the disruption of a gang's activities.
	2017-SBD-20	45	935	25	75	Intercepted communications led to the identification of other target telephones and target subjects.
	2017-SBD-21	192	13,493	19	81	Although no criminal charges directly resulted from intercepts on this wiretap order, intercepted communication was vital in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation.
	2017-SBD-22	116	1,054	30	70	The interception of target in this case was material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. Additionally, intercepted communication on this order resulted in the seizure of three firearms and 4.4 pounds of heroin. As a result of these seizures, the community was made safer by the seizure of illegal narcotics and firearms.
	2017-SBD-23	28	347	1	99	The interception of the target subject in this case was instrumental in obtaining additional intercept orders and furthering the investigation. The intercepted communications led to the seizure of firearms and the seizure of these firearms increased the safety of the community.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-24	35	294	45	55	The interception of the target in this case was material in obtaining additional wiretaps and furthering the investigation. Though no arrests were directly derived from this target, it was instrumental in acquiring additional targets, thus, furthering the investigation.
	2017-SBD-25	52	2,147	3	97	
	2017-SBD-26	54	6,721	2	98	The interception of the target in this case was material in corroborating pertinent information from previous wiretaps.
	2017-SBD-27	204	4,831	22	78	Monitoring the target led to the arrest of one suspect and the identification of other subjects involved in narcotics sales and trafficking.
	2017-SBD-28	20	622	37	63	Intercepted communications led to the identification of target subjects in Mexico and USA.
	2017-SBD-29	82	1,967	17	83	No arrests or seizures conducted during this intercept order.
	2017-SBD-30	163	2,950	17	83	As a result of this intercept order, Investigators have gain an overwhelming amount of intelligence which has helped identify numerous targets involved in this multistate drug trafficking organization. Approximately 7.5 kilograms of cocaine, 1.5 kilograms of heroin, and \$94,670 in narcotics-derived proceeds were seized. Investigation is still ongoing.
	2017-SBD-31	184	15,083	4	96	The investigation has led investigators to identify the narcotics supplier for a prison gang and their associates. The investigation is on-going and additional wire intercepts have been written.
	2017-SBD-32	69	2,076	37	63	See 2017-SBD-31.
	2017-SBD-33	85	6,703	2	98	See 2017-SBD-31.
	2017-SBD-34	987	2,104	100	0	See 2017-SBD-31.
	2017-SBD-35	81	9,577	6	94	See 2017-SBD-31.
	2017-SBD-36	563	30,540	1	99	Intercepted communications have assisted with a continuing investigation.
	2017-SBD-37	72	2,305	14	86	See 2017-SBD-31.
	2017-SBD-38	283	23,538	1	99	The investigation has led investigators to identify the narcotic supplier for one of the prisons. The investigation is on-going and additional wire intercepts have been written.
	2017-SBD-39	376	11,034	0	100	
	2017-SBD-40	32	4,381	0	100	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego	2017-SD-1	5	996	30	70	This intercept targeted a methamphetamine trafficker. Wire intercepts have identified an active distribution network. The investigation is ongoing with additional wire interceptions anticipated.
	2017-SD-2	55	1,242	30	70	This investigation has targeted a DTO that distributes cocaine, methamphetamine, and heroin throughout this and another country. Wire intercepts have identified an active distribution network operation operating in the county. The investigation is ongoing, and additional wire intercepts are anticipated.
	2017-SD-3	270	4,196	31	69	See 2017-SD-2.
	2017-SD-4		538	59	41	
	2017-SD-5	51	1,784	23	77	
	2017-SD-6	1	4	0	100	
	2017-SD-7	13	135	10	90	Based on interceptions, the leader of an international drug trafficking organization was arrested and 1.5 pounds of cocaine, 1 pound of heroin, and \$8,300 was seized. Law Enforcement also identified a source of supply.
	2017-SD-8	301	4,528	58	42	These intercepts aided in the identification of a sophisticated drug distribution network.
	2017-SD-9	340	6,662	28	72	See 2017-SD-8.
	2017-SD-10	560	10,549	44	56	See 2017-SD-8.
	2017-SD-11	165	2,707	48	52	
	2017-SD-12	68	3,208	13	87	Intercepts led to seizures of approximately 2390 gross grams of heroin and 98 grams of methamphetamine intended to be distributed within San Diego County. In addition, \$68,814 in drug proceeds were seized. A major distributor was arrested.
	2017-SD-13	208	2,910	10	90	The intercepts have identified a cocaine distribution network. This investigation is ongoing.
	2017-SD-14	86	1,330	44	56	See 2017-SD-13.
	2017-SD-15	140	3,437	57	43	
	2017-SD-16	20	410	7	93	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2017-SD-17	147	7,737	12	88	The wire prevented the violent assault, and potential kidnapping of two intended victims. It identified members of gangs involved in drug and gun trafficking. During the course of the entire operation, more than 70 pounds of methamphetamine and 8 firearms were recovered.
	2017-SD-18	79	3,455	23	77	Wire intercepts identified an active methamphetamine distribution network. Agents recovered eight firearms.
	2017-SD-19	11	28	7	93	Wire intercepts identified an active methamphetamine distribution network.
	2017-SD-21	226	1,735	23	77	Based on interceptions, law enforcement was able to identify a business which may be involved in the shipment of illicit substances to the eastern part of the United States. Interceptions also assisted in the seizure of two kilograms of narcotics.
	2017-SD-22	82	1,116	7.4	93	The intercepts exposed a larger conspiracy involving southern California gang members and a prison gang. Additional wiretaps were subsequently obtained.
	2017-SD-23	176	3,462	19	81	
	2017-SD-24	23	1,838	6	94	
	2017-SD-25	63	2,742	18	82	
	2017-SD-26	138	8,698	19	81	The intercepts exposed a larger conspiracy involving southern California gang members and a prison gang. This intercept prevented multiple violent crimes and led to the arrest of 20 targets for conspiracy to commit extortion, kidnap, and narcotics trafficking.
	2017-SD-27	191	5,973	31	69	Wire intercepts have identified an active methamphetamine distribution network. During the time of the intercepts, agents seized approximately \$55,000 and two pounds of methamphetamine. The investigation is ongoing.
	2017-SD-28	162	3,278	24	76	Wire intercepts have identified an active methamphetamine distribution network. Wire intercepts have identified an active distribution network operating in the Oceanside/Vista area. During the time of intercepts agents arrested 7 people and seized approximately 5 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2017-SD-29	18	226	50	50	Local drug investigation targeting North San Diego County methamphetamine trafficker. Wire intercepts have identified an active distribution network.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin	2017-SJ-1	70	1,869	2	4	This investigation targeted some of the most influential members of one of the most violent, and longest running, criminal street gangs in San Joaquin County. The investigation stifled an active heroin trafficking operation run by these influential members in multiple states. The operation also led to the arrest of three major heroin suppliers. The investigation also solved a retaliatory gang that would not have been solved without the interceptions. In total, the investigation led to the recovery of nearly forty illegally-possessioned firearms, approximately 1.5 pounds of heroin with an approximate street value of approximately \$24,000, and over \$60,000. Since the conclusion of the investigation, this group's activity, particularly its gun violence, has dramatically decreased.
	2017-SJ-2	204	6,938	27	3	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-3	65	3,441	27	2	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-4	177	5,346	6	3	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-5	93	2,200	15	4	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-6	113	12,475	4	1	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-7	140	5,236	27	3	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-8	122	971	23	13	See 2017-SJ-1.
	2017-SJ-9	112	7,201	1	1.5	This investigation solved several attempted murders. These shootings included the attempted murders of peace officers. The violence has been linked to members of an active prison gang. The wiretap has resulted in the apprehension of individuals who were committed to frequent shootings and further, unchecked violence, as well as penalizing those people who knowingly assisted the shooters and helped conceal them from justice.
	2017-SJ-10	185	9,272	4	2	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-11	15	2,197		1	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-12	145	10,966	2	1	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-13	2	138	33	1	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-14	13	472	2	3	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-15	26	1,609	3	2	See 2017-SJ-9.
	2017-SJ-16	42	1,851	3	2	See 2017-SJ-9.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-17	125	1,140	13	11	This investigation solved a series of shootings among rival gang members, and developed evidence in two homicides, which brought investigators closer to solving those crimes. Additionally, numerous armed robberies were actively prevented and numerous deadly weapons were seized. Investigators learned the depth of involvement in human trafficking among Stockton-based gangs, and various human trafficking conspiracies were revealed. A human trafficking victim was identified and arrests were made. This wiretap operation has significantly limited the criminal enterprises of these gangs.
	2017-SJ-18	151	4,658	23	3	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-19	216	12,723	1	2	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-20	32	172	22	19	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-21	166	4,201	16	4	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-22	63	4,663	10	1	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-23	37	201	11	18	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-24	148	1,776	54	8	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-25	563	5,778	10	10	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-26	67	2,950	2	2	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-27	119	1,569	16	8	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-28	34	147	24	23	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-29					See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-30	93	1,951	7	5	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-31	161	2,894	6	6	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-32	20	2,656	5	1	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-33	33	3,837	4	1	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-34	53	4,775	6	1	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-35	16	1,076	3	1	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-36					See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-37	32	241	34	13	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-38	453	4,981	56	9	See 2017-SJ-17.
	2017-SJ-39	54	433	4	12	See 2017-SJ-17.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-40	58	707	11	8	This investigation yielded evidence of significant gang-related weapons-trafficking operations. Numerous deadly weapons were seized, including illegal components to render weapons fully automatic. This gang is one of the largest criminal street gangs in San Joaquin County, and law enforcement is challenged to keep abreast of new sects and gang politics. As a direct result of this wiretap, perpetrators of a violent gun battle were identified and arrested. The District Attorney's Office was able to seek justice for their crimes because of the evidence on the wiretap.
	2017-SJ-41	5	9	0	56	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-42	105	2,709	9	4	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-43	142	6,182	2	2	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-44	68	1,021	41	7	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-45	34	289	36	12	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-46	32	128	23	25	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-47	154	726	16	21	See 2017-SJ-40.
	2017-SJ-48	61	580	40	11	See 2017-SJ-40.
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-1	18	314	25	75	Law enforcement utilized the wiretap to gain information and evaluate the workings of the target(s) and the suspected drug trafficking of the target(s) in San Luis Obispo County.
	2018-SLO-2	133	0	11	89	See 2018-SLO-1.
	2018-SLO-3	17	856	49	51	See 2018-SLO-1.
	2018-SLO-4	83	3,214	2	98	The wiretap order was part of an investigation by law enforcement regarding a suspected conspiracy to commit murder.
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-1	37	858	17	83	A multiyear investigation into a DTO led to several conversion labs and the seizure of hundreds of pounds of cocaine and methamphetamine tied to the DTO. Specifically, agents also seized 62 pounds of methamphetamine and 6 ounces of cocaine in a vehicle. The wiretap also yielded valuable information regarding vehicles, customers, drug houses, and DTO associates. The intel from this wiretap is still be used by law enforcement to infiltrate other DTOs today.
	2017-SCL-2	26	443	32	68	See 2017-SCL-1.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Santa Clara (cont'd)	2017-SCL-3	91	3,531	1	99	This investigation involved a gang-related cold case homicide. During the course of the wiretap, the suspect made an admission of guilt and fled the country. The suspect was ultimately apprehended and charged with one count of murder with personal discharge of a firearm, gang enhancement, and special circumstances. The suspect is also charged with eight counts of attempted murder with personal discharge of a firearm, and gang enhancement. Several others were charged with aiding and abetting the suspect. Additional suspects for the murder are still under investigation. The wiretap investigation assisted in identifying these co-responsible.
	2017-SCL-4	92	3,208	2	98	See 2017-SCL-3.
	2017-SCL-5	171	2,756	2	98	See 2017-SCL-3.
	2017-SCL-6	33	3,366	2	98	See 2017-SCL-3.
	2017-SCL-7	148	16,520	1	99	See 2017-SCL-3.
	2017-SCL-8	66	498	2	98	See 2017-SCL-3.
	2017-SCL-9	62	584	42	58	Case is pending. Results will be reported in 2018.
	2017-SCL-10	28	57	25	75	Results of this investigation will be reported in 2018.
Santa Cruz	2017-SCR-1	48	922	0	100	This intercept dealt with a cold case homicide.
Solano	2017-SOL-1	83	715	1	99	
	2017-SOL-2	301	8,890	1	99	
Stanislaus	2017-STA-1	99	4,003	2	98	The wire intercept was important to infiltrate high level DTO's who import, transport, and sell large quantities of narcotics.
	2017-STA-2	278	5,524	2	98	The wire intercept is important to infiltrate DTO's who import, transport and sell large amounts of narcotics.
	2017-STA-3	130	2,670	11	89	Wire intercept is important to infiltrate high level DTO's who import, transport and sell large quantities of narcotics.
	2017-STA-4	4	10	20	80	The wire intercept is important to infiltrate high level DTO's who import, transport and sell large amounts of narcotics.
	2017-STA-5	49	2,592	8	92	The wire intercept is important to infiltrate high level DTO's who import, transport, and sell large amounts of narcotics.
	2017-STA-6	87	5,186	6	94	Intercept was necessary to facilitate gathering of evidence related to a homicide with few witnesses and little physical evidence.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Stanislaus (cont'd)	2017-STA-7	223	6,761	8	92	Intercept was necessary to facilitate gathering of evidence related to a homicide with no witnesses and little physical evidence.
	2017-STA-8	169	7,185	3	97	This intercept was necessary to facilitate the gathering of evidence related to a homicide and several armed robberies.
	2017-STA-9	106	11,729	1	99	This intercept was necessary to facilitate the gathering of evidence related to a homicide and several armed robberies.
	2017-STA-10	2	674	1	99	This intercept was necessary to facilitate the gathering of evidence related to a homicide and several armed robberies.
	2017-STA-11	32	1,202	3	97	This intercept was necessary to facilitate the gathering of evidence related to a homicide and several armed robberies.
Tulare	2017-TUL-1	46	879			This wire intercept resulted in the intercepts needed to spin to further target this DTO.
	2017-TUL-2	153	2,455			This was the last wire targeting this DTO and culminated in the arrest of nine suspects, the seizure of 1.9 kilograms of cocaine, and \$358,210 in drug proceeds.
	2017-TUL-3	13	106			See 2017-TUL-1.
Ventura	2016-VE-194	35	550	6	94	This intercept involved an investigation into heroin being shipped all over southern California and the United States.
	2017-VE-1	12	304	44	56	This group was distributing large amounts of heroin and methamphetamine obtained from Mexico. Very quickly in the wire, they were caught with a significant shipment of both types of drugs. In very short order, most of the group was arrested (there is still one pending arrest warrant), and the quantities were sufficient to charge the 10+ kilo enhancement against them.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2017-VE-2	165	4,308	29	71	There wiretaps were vital tools during the investigation of a narcotics distribution group which was detrimentally impacting citizens within our county and state. The use of wiretaps enabled investigators to identify not only couriers and local distributors, but those directly responsible for arranging the importation of large quantities of narcotics into the United States from Mexico. Those persons directly responsible for the importation of these narcotics were shielding themselves from typical investigative methods by avoiding direct possession of narcotics. This prevented law enforcement from obtaining the necessary evidence to impact high level members and their organization. Intercepted communications. Through the wiretaps, law enforcement was able to gather the necessary evidence for a successful prosecution of not only local distributors, but the entire organization. The dismantling of the entire organization caused a significant disruption to the supply chain of illicit narcotics into Ventura County and directly benefits our community.
	2017-VE-3	65	1,906	28	72	See 2017-VE-2.
	2017-VE-4	36	11,552	12	88	This investigation uncovered a group responsible for smuggling heroin and methamphetamine into state prison, and this was all done under the direction of and for the benefit of a prison gang. Investigators were able to intercept heroin and methamphetamine before it could be successfully brought into prison, and those receiving money as a direct result of drugs sales and extortions on drug dealers were arrested for these offenses. These arrests have significantly hindered the operations of several prison gang members, and it has diminished their negative influence on the drug trade.
	2017-VE-5	130	1,922	25	75	See 2017-VE-2.
	2017-VE-6	25	3,162	18	82	This investigation led to the arrest of a group of drug traffickers importing drugs all over California. They had been operating for some time, and investigators had been unable to build provable cases prior to the wiretaps. Through this investigation, law enforcement was able to stem the flow of large quantities of heroin and methamphetamine destined for delivery all over the state.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2017-VE-7	217	19,729	9	91	This drug smuggling ring was using a legitimate business as a front to import drugs and guns into Ventura County. The wiretap allowed investigators to build prosecutable cases against nearly the entire organization, and the resulting arrests significantly curtailed the influx of narcotics into the city.
	2017-VE-8	20	1,456	33	67	See 2017-VE-2.
	2017-VE-9	31	3,057	18	82	This investigation led to the arrest of a group of drug traffickers importing drugs all over California. They had been operating for some time, and investigators had been unable to build provable cases prior to the wiretaps. Through this investigation, law enforcement was able to stem the flow of large quantities of heroin and methamphetamine destined for delivery all over the state.
	2017-VE-10	16	368	1	99	See 2017-VE-2.
	2017-VE-11	96	13,893	9	91	A large group of gang members were traveling all over southern California to commit residential burglaries on upscale neighborhoods. This wiretap allowed investigators to identify those involved, how they were planning the crimes, and how they executed the crimes. Through the wiretap, the group has been linked to as many as 20 different burglaries over the course of a couple of months.
	2017-VE-12	2	630	1	99	This was a cold case homicide with a likely suspect, but insufficient evidence to bring charges for murder. The wiretap allowed for investigators to take a final shot at obtaining sufficient evidence to make the case. Though, ultimately, the wire did not succeed in obtaining enough evidence for charges, it allowed for exhausting all possible leads into the case.
	2017-VE-13	29	1,261	24	76	This investigation led to the arrest of a group of drug traffickers importing drugs all over California. They had been operating for some time, and investigators had been unable to build provable cases prior to the wiretaps. Through this investigation, law enforcement was able to stem the flow of large quantities of heroin and methamphetamine destined for delivery all over the state.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ⁴
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2017-VE-14	818	23,849	1	99	This wiretap garnered several incriminating statements by those involved, and it provided evidence regarding a possible motive for the murder. The case investigation is ongoing.
	2017-VE-15	810	45,272	23	77	This investigation is still pending.
	2017-VE-16	157	7,293	20	80	This investigation uncovered a group of white supremacist gang members selling drugs, committing thefts, and extorting drug dealers in order to benefit and recruit for their gang. The resulting arrests stopped the thefts and drug dealing, and hindered their ability to further recruit people to their ideology and gang.
	2017-VE-17	800	12,364	20	80	This investigation is still pending.
	2017-VE-18		40,137	9	91	This wire has allowed investigators to prevent several violent crimes from occurring in Ventura County, and it has resulted in obtaining evidence of drug trafficking, unlawful gun transfers, and criminal street gang intelligence.
Yolo	2017-YO-1	100	25,669	5	95	The interceptions in this investigation played a significant role in disrupting a DTO supplying methamphetamine, heroin and firearms the area as well as locations across the United States. More significantly, it aided in the arrest and prosecution of gang members distributing methamphetamine and heroin for the DTO. Over 50 pounds of methamphetamine, 15 pounds of heroin, 13 firearms (three of which were machine guns), a silencer, and a machine gun manufacturing operation were seized.
	2017-YO-2		461	22	78	See 2017-YO-1.
	2017-YO-3		115	12	88	See 2017-YO-1.
	CA Dept. of Justice	2017-CADOJ-1	176	767	3	97

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Alameda	2017-ALA-1	Valderrama, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	6/7/2017		5/9/2017	351
	2017-ALA-2	Valderrama, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	6/8/2017		5/9/2017	351
	2017-ALA-3	Valderrama, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	6/8/2017		5/9/2017	351
	2017-ALA-4	Blass, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	4/3/2018	12/11/2017		
	2017-ALA-5	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-6	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-7	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-8	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-9	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-10	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-11	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-12	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-13	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-14	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-15	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-16	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-17	Bruce, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson	2/22/2018	11/14/2017		
	2017-ALA-18	Turner, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson			12/12/2017	578
	2017-ALA-19	Turner, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson			12/12/2017	578
	2017-ALA-20	Turner, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson			12/12/2017	578
	2017-ALA-21	Turner, Officer	Nancy O'Malley	Hon. Jon Rolefson			12/12/2017	578
Butte	2017-BU-1	Bass, Detective	Michael L Ramsey	Hon. Glusman	7/1/2017		6/29/2017	155
Contra Costa	2018-CC-1	Kirby, Detective	Mark Peterson	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Contra Costa (cont'd)	2018-CC-3	Kirby, Detective	Doug MacMaster	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-4	Anderson, Detective	Mark Peterson	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-5	Anderson, Detective	Mark Peterson	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	4/19/2018		
	2018-CC-6	Calderan, Detective	Mark Peterson	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-7	Calderan, Detective	Doug MacMaster	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-8	Calderan, Detective	Doug MacMaster	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-9	Calderan, Detective	Doug MacMaster	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-10	Decker, Probation Officer	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-11	Decker, Probation Officer	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
	2018-CC-12	Wong, Sergeant	Diana Becton	Hon. John T. Laettner	4/19/2018	1/19/2018		
Fresno	2018-FR-1	Vallez, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan L. Harrell			11/1/2017	637
	2018-FR-2	Vallez, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Arlan L. Harrell				
Imperial	2017-IM-1	Fraizer, District Attorney	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd		9/16/2017	12/8/2017	37
	2017-IM-2	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-3	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-5	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-6	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-7	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-8	Leasure, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	2/13/2018	11/13/2017		
	2017-IM-9	Frazier, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd		9/16/2017	12/5/2017	37

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Imperial (cont'd)	2017-IM-10	Frazier, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd		9/16/2017	12/8/2017	37
	2017-IM-11	Frazier, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd		9/16/2017	12/8/2017	37
	2017-IM-12	Frazier, Special Agent/Officer	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd		9/16/2017	12/8/2017	37
	2017-IM-13	Lohner, Special Agent	Gilbert G. Otero	Hon. Christopher Plourd	11/15/2017	3/28/2018		
Kern	2017-KE-1	Green, District Attorney	Lisa S. Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee	1/3/2018	10/5/2017	1/5/2018	32
	2017-KE-2	Green, District Attorney	Lisa S. Green	Hon. James R. Brownlee	1/3/2018	10/5/2017	1/5/2018	27
	2017-KE-3	Green, District Attorney	Lisa S. Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee	1/6/2018	7/6/2017	1/5/2018	54
	2018-KE-1	Green, District Attorney	Lisa S. Green	Hon. John R. Brownlee	1/6/2018	10/6/2017		
Los Angeles	2017-LA-27	White, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/14/2017			
	2017-LA-30	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/28/2017			
	2017-LA-31	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/3/2017		7/17/2017	2
	2017-LA-32	Barrett, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/17/2017			
	2017-LA-33	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/6/2017		10/30/2017	5
	2017-LA-35	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/21/2017		10/30/2017	6
	2017-LA-36	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/28/2017			
	2017-LA-37	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017			
	2017-LA-38	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/3/2017			
	2017-LA-39	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2017			
	2017-LA-40	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017			
	2017-LA-41	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/1/2017			
	2017-LA-43	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-44	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2017			
	2017-LA-45	Marshall, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2017			
	2017-LA-46	Gomez, DEA Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/18/2017			
	2017-LA-47	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017			
	2017-LA-48	Marshall, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/29/2017			
	2017-LA-49	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/4/2017			
	2017-LA-50	Klages, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/20/2017			
	2017-LA-51	Klages, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/18/2017			
	2017-LA-52	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/5/2017			
	2017-LA-53	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/8/2017			
	2017-LA-54	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/20/2017			
	2017-LA-55	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/10/2017			
	2017-LA-56	Carrillo, DEA Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/22/2017			
	2017-LA-57	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2017			
	2017-LA-58	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/7/2017			
	2017-LA-59	Nava, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/15/2017		7/27/2017	2
	2017-LA-60	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2017			
	2017-LA-61	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/12/2017			
	2017-LA-62	Campa, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/24/2017			
	2017-LA-63	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/21/2017			
	2017-LA-64	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/19/2017			
	2017-LA-65	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/28/2017			
	2017-LA-66	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/26/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-67	Marshall, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/2/2017			
	2017-LA-68	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/26/2017		10/30/2017	2
	2017-LA-69	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/7/2017			
	2017-LA-71	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/11/2017			
	2017-LA-72	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/4/2017			
	2017-LA-73	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/18/2017			
	2017-LA-74	Campa, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/17/2017			
	2017-LA-75	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/23/2017		10/30/2017	2
	2017-LA-76	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/25/2017			
	2017-LA-77	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/1/2017			
	2017-LA-78	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/25/2017			
	2017-LA-79	Marshall, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/2/2017			
	2017-LA-80	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/5/2017			
	2017-LA-81	White, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/2/2017			
	2017-LA-82	Tscharanyan, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/15/2017			
	2017-LA-83	Tscharanyan, DEA Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/20/2017		7/27/2017	2
	2017-LA-84	Jrzapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/12/2017			
	2017-LA-85	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/19/2017			
	2017-LA-86	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/4/2017			
	2017-LA-87	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/25/2017			
	2017-LA-88	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2017			
	2017-LA-89	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2017			
	2017-LA-90	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/26/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-91	Smith, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017			
	2017-LA-92	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/16/2017			
	2017-LA-93	Joiner, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/4/2017			
	2017-LA-94	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2017			
	2017-LA-96	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/16/2017			
	2017-LA-97	Er, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/14/2017			
	2017-LA-98	Joiner, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/19/2017			
	2017-LA-99	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/8/2017			
	2017-LA-101	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/20/2017			
	2017-LA-102	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/18/2017			
	2017-LA-103	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/21/2017			
	2017-LA-105	Ruelas, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/17/2017			
	2017-LA-106	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/19/2017			
	2017-LA-107	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2017			
	2017-LA-110	Barrett, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/7/2017			
	2017-LA-111	Barrett, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2017			
	2017-LA-112	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/24/2017			
	2017-LA-113	Layaye, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/10/2017			
	2017-LA-114	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/7/2017		1/29/2018	6
	2017-LA-115	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/15/2017			
	2017-LA-116	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/16/2017			
	2017-LA-117	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/20/2017			
	2017-LA-118	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/7/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-119	Lopez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/10/2017			
	2017-LA-120	Lopez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2017			
	2017-MAD-1	Lopez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/26/2017			
	2017-LA-121	Lopez, DEA Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/26/2017			
	2017-LA-122	Gutierrez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/24/2017			
	2017-LA-123	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/6/2017			
	2017-LA-124	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/8/2017			
	2017-LA-125	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2017			
	2017-LA-126	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/2/2017			
	2017-LA-127	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/2/2017			
	2017-LA-128	Gomez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/16/2017			
	2017-LA-129	Lopez, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2017			
	2017-LA-132	Sanchez, Pasadena Police Department	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/9/2017			
	2017-LA-133	Sanchez, Pasadena Police Department	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/11/2017			
	2017-LA-134	Sanchez, Pasadena Police Department	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/4/2017			
	2017-LA-135	Sanchez, Pasadena Police Department	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/4/2017			
	2017-LA-136	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/11/2017			
	2017-LA-137	Zapanta, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/27/2014			
	2017-LA-138	Tagaloa, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/5/2017			
	2017-LA-139	Camacho, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/9/2017			
	2017-LA-140	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/22/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-141	Campa, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2017			
	2017-LA-142	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/25/2017			
	2017-LA-143	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/12/2017		11/30/2017	2
	2017-LA-144	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/2/2017			
	2017-LA-146	Christy, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/17/2017			
	2017-LA-147	Joiner, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/28/2017			
	2017-LA-148	Joiner, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/11/2017			
	2017-LA-149	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/8/2017			
	2017-LA-150	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/16/2017			
	2017-LA-151	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/3/2017			
	2017-LA-152	Tagaloa, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/19/2017		1/23/2018	3
	2017-LA-153	Carrillo, DEA-Agent	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/9/2017		1/23/2018	3
	2017-LA-154	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017			
	2017-LA-155	Layaye, DEA-TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/22/2017			
	2017-LA-156	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/21/2017			
	2017-LA-157	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/10/2017			
	2017-LA-158	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/14/2017			
	2017-LA-159	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/8/2017			
	2017-LA-160	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/21/2017			
	2017-LA-161	Bliss, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/22/2017			
	2017-LA-162	Camacho, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/26/2017		10/2/2017	4
	2017-LA-163	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/4/2017		9/27/2017	0
	2017-LA-164	Ruelas, LADAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/3/2017		11/14/2017	2

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-165	Camacho, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/15/2017		10/26/2017	6
	2017-LA-166	Ruelas, LADAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/10/2017		11/7/2017	0
	2017-LA-167	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/27/2017		1/2/2018	2
	2017-LA-168	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	12/8/2017			
	2017-LA-169	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/16/2017			
	2017-LA-170	Ruelas, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/30/2017		11/14/2017	3
	2017-LA-171	Barrett, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	4/29/2017			
	2017-LA-172	Zapanta, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	10/1/2017			
	2017-LA-173	Zapanta, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	10/15/2017			
	2017-LA-174	Zapanta, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	11/2/2017			
	2017-LA-176	Hubbard, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/28/2017		7/24/2017	758
	2017-LA-177	Christy, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	12/8/2017			
	2017-LA-178	Christy, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	11/17/2017			
	2017-LA-179	Hubbard, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/7/2017		7/24/2017	758
	2017-LA-180	Hubbard, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/28/2017		7/24/2017	758
	2017-LA-182	Bliss, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	8/15/2017			
	2017-LA-183	Bliss, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	9/14/2017			
	2017-LA-185	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/12/2017		11/3/2017	7
	2017-LA-187	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/28/2017		10/18/2017	8
	2017-LA-188	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	4/25/2017			
	2017-LA-189	Joiner, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	12/20/2017			
	2017-LA-190	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	9/16/2017		1/23/2018	0
	2017-LA-191	Campa, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/8/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-196	Boisvert, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	4/14/2017			
	2017-LA-197	Boisvert, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	4/6/2017			
	2017-LA-200	Solis, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/13/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-201	Jimenez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	10/14/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-202	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	12/24/2017			
	2017-LA-203	Jimenez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	10/14/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-206	Jimenez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	10/30/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-207	Jimenez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	11/10/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-212	Campa, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2017		10/31/2017	1
	2017-LA-213	Soliz, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/2/2018	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-214	Soliz, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/1/2018	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-216	Jimenez, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	12/24/2017	1/18/2018		
	2017-LA-217	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/13/2018			
	2017-LA-218	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/7/2018	1/16/2018		
	2017-LA-219	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/8/2017		11/2/2017	0
	2017-LA-220	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/13/2017		11/2/2017	0
	2017-LA-221	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/23/2017		10/26/2017	6
	2017-LA-222	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/9/2017			
	2017-LA-223	Tschranyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/30/2017		12/7/2017	5
	2017-LA-224	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/29/2018			
	2017-LA-225	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2017			
	2017-LA-226	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/22/2017		11/1/2017	1
	2017-LA-227	Joiner, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	1/13/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-228	Joiner, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P Fidler	2/2/2018			
	2017-LA-229	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/30/2017			
	2017-LA-230	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/8/2018			
	2017-LA-231	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/24/2017		11/28/2017	2
	2017-LA-232	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/24/2017			
	2017-LA-233	Lopez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/3/2018			
	2017-LA-234	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2018		2/5/2018	6
	2017-LA-235	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/21/2018		1/19/2018	0
	2017-LA-236	Oropeza, LAPD/DEA Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/4/2017			
	2017-LA-237	Tagalao, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/17/2017		11/27/2017	5
	2017-LA-238	Sisneros, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/15/2017			
	2017-LA-239	Ruelas, LADAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/5/2018			
	2017-LA-240	Gasca, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/22/2017		1/26/2018	44
	2017-LA-241	Roman, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/9/2017		10/20/2017	382
	2017-LA-242	Tagalao, DEA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/6/2017		12/7/2017	6
	2017-LA-243	Christy, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/12/2017			
	2017-LA-244	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/16/2017			
	2017-LA-245	Tagalao, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2017			
	2017-LA-246	Dubois, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/20/2017		1/26/2018	27
	2017-LA-247	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/30/2017		12/7/2017	13
	2017-LA-248	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/22/2018			
	2017-LA-249	Tagalao, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/12/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-250	Tagalao, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/13/2017		1/23/2018	5
	2017-LA-252	Lilomaiaava, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/7/2018			
	2017-LA-253	Carrillo, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/12/2017			
	2017-LA-254	Ruelas, DAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/21/2018			
	2017-LA-255	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/27/2018			
	2017-LA-256	Lilomaiaava, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/1/2018			
	2017-LA-257	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/2/2018			
	2017-LA-258	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/4/2018			
	2017-LA-259	Tscharanyan, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/9/2018			
	2017-LA-260	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/24/2018			
	2017-LA-261	Aguilar, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/16/2018			
	2017-LA-262	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2018			
	2017-LA-267	Campa, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2018		10/30/2017	5
	2017-LA-268	Tagalao, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/24/2017		12/4/2017	5
	2017-LA-270	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/1/2018		1/26/2018	3
	2017-LA-271	Smith, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/17/2018			
	2017-LA-272	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/13/2018			
	2018-LA-1	Ruelas, LADAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/3/2018			
	2018-LA-2	Ruelas, LADAI	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2018			
	2018-LA-3	Zapanta, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/26/2018			
	2018-LA-4	Gutierrez, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/5/2018			
	2018-LA-5	Ruelas, LADA/DEA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/6/2017			
	2018-LA-6	Ruelas, LADA/DEA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/17/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-7	Lilomaiaava, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/22/2018			
	2018-LA-8	Lilomaiaava, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/15/2018			
	2018-LA-9	Lilomaiaava, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2018			
	2018-LA-10	Camacho, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/3/2017			
	2018-LA-11	Jenks, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/3/2017			
	2018-LA-13	Groves, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/11/2017		1/18/2017	107
	2018-LA-14	Groves, SA	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2017		10/30/2017	107
	2018-LA-15	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2018			
	2018-LA-16	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/5/2018			
	2018-LA-17	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2018			
	2018-LA-18	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/3/2018			
	2018-LA-21	Guerrero, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/29/2018		1/26/2018	3
	2018-LA-22	Marshall, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/13/2018			
Merced	2017-MER-1	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-2	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-3	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-4	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-5	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-6	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-7	Rochester, Special Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-8	Rochester, Special Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-9	Cruz, Supervising Probation Officer	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2017-MER-10	Cruz, Officer	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-11	Cruz, Supervising Probation Officer	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-12	Cortez, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-13	Cortez, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-14	Cortez, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-15	Cortez, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-16	Cortez, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-17	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-18	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2017-MER-19	Landrum, Detective	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2018-MER-1	Goncalves, Agent	Larry D. Morse	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	8/8/2017		1/8/2018	220
	2018-MER-2	Goncalves, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-3	Rochester, Special Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-4	Rochester, Special Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-5	Rochester, Special Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-6	Cruz, Officer	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-7	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini	1/3/2018		12/13/2017	25
	2018-MER-8	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-9	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-10	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-11	Cruz, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-12	Cruz, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Merced (cont'd)	2018-MER-13	Cruz, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-14	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-15	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-16	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
	2018-MER-17	Bowen, Agent	Larry D. Morse II	Hon. Mark V. Bacciarini				
Orange	2017-OR-1	Larson, Deputy Sheriff	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	5/16/2017	5/15/2017		
	2017-OR-2	Larson, Deputy Sheriff	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	2/15/2018	11/15/2018		
	2017-OR-3	Sellers, Task Force Officer	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	9/14/2017		8/17/2017	22
	2017-OR-4	Ellis, Detective	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Lance Jensen	7/20/2017		7/20/2017	6
	2017-OR-5	Hashempour, Detective	Chief DDA Jim Tanizaki	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	1/3/2018	9/30/2017		
	2017-OR-6	Mclaskey, Detective	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	1/27/2018	10/27/2017		
	2017-OR-7	Abdelmuti, Investigator	Tony Rackauckas	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	3/7/2018	12/7/2017		
	2017-OR-8	Polsky, Special Agent	Jim Tanizaki Chief Deputy	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	3/15/2018	12/19/2017		
	2017-OR-9	Mendez, Special Agent DEA	Jim Tanizaki Chief Deputy	Hon. Lance Jensen	3/7/2018	12/7/2017		
	2017-OR-10	Mendez, Special Agent DEA	Jim Tanizaki	Hon. Lance Jensen	3/15/2018	12/19/2017		
Riverside	2016-RIV-2313	Villanueva, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/27/2017	4/22/2018		
	2016-RIV-2322	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Michael B. Donner	5/4/2017		5/4/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2323	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Michael B. Donner	5/21/2017		5/21/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2330	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/28/2017		4/28/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2331	Carroll, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/26/2017		4/26/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2335	Carroll, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/10/2017		4/10/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2336	Agnew, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	6/2/2017	5/6/2018		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2016-RIV-2337	Carroll, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/26/2017		4/26/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2338	Hestrin, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	5/5/2017		5/5/2017	0
	2016-RIV-2339	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	8/27/2017		7/21/2017	12
	2016-RIV-2340	Calvert, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	4/10/2017		4/10/2017	0
	2017-RIV-1	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	6/19/2017		6/19/2017	0
	2017-RIV-2	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	6/18/2017	6/16/2018		
	2017-RIV-3	Alvarez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	5/24/2017		6/23/2017	7
	2017-RIV-4	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/11/2017		5/11/2017	0
	2017-RIV-5	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	4/27/2017		4/27/2017	0
	2017-RIV-6	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/17/2017		6/9/2017	2
	2017-RIV-8	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/22/2017			
	2017-RIV-9	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/16/2017		5/16/2017	0
	2017-RIV-10	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	4/27/2017		4/27/2017	0
	2017-RIV-11	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/13/2017		7/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-12	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/27/2017		5/27/2017	0
	2017-RIV-13	Alvarez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/3/2017		8/18/2017	2
	2017-RIV-14	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/11/2017	7/8/2018		
	2017-RIV-15	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/17/2017		6/17/2017	0
	2017-RIV-16	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/3/2017		10/11/2017	24
	2017-RIV-17	Tully, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/2/2017		6/9/2017	2
	2017-RIV-18	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/16/2017		6/16/2017	0
	2017-RIV-19	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/18/2017		7/21/2017	25
	2017-RIV-20	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/14/2017		6/9/2017	4

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-21	Bemowski, District Attorney	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/8/2017		8/18/2017	1
	2017-RIV-22	Tully, DEA	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	5/14/2017		6/9/2017	2
	2017-RIV-23	Agnew, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/20/2017	7/19/2018		
	2017-RIV-24	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/27/2017		7/21/2017	2
	2017-RIV-26	Gutierrez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David M. Gunn	9/3/2017		10/11/2017	28
	2017-RIV-27	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/15/2017			
	2017-RIV-28	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/18/2017			
	2017-RIV-29	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/4/2017			
	2017-RIV-30	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/15/2017			
	2017-RIV-31	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/4/2017			
	2017-RIV-32	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/15/2017			
	2017-RIV-33	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/17/2017			
	2017-RIV-34	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	4/5/2017		4/5/2017	0
	2017-RIV-35	Vaca, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/13/2017		7/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-36	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/18/2017		7/18/2017	0
	2017-RIV-37	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/5/2017		7/5/2017	0
	2017-RIV-38	Gutierrez, TFO	Michel A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/27/2017		7/21/2017	9
	2017-RIV-39	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/30/2017			
	2017-RIV-40	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	8/25/2017			
	2017-RIV-41	Agnew, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/20/2017	3/25/2018		
	2017-RIV-42	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/22/2017		9/22/2017	0
	2017-RIV-43	Agnew, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/8/2017	3/25/2018		
	2017-RIV-44	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/14/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-45	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Michael B. Donner	9/15/2017		6/21/2017	0
	2017-RIV-46	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Michael B. Donner	9/19/2017		6/19/2017	0
	2017-RIV-47	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/24/2017		9/24/2017	0
	2017-RIV-48	Cortez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/21/2017		8/18/2017	3
	2017-RIV-49	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/26/2017		11/26/2017	0
	2017-RIV-50	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/21/2017		6/21/2017	0
	2017-RIV-51	Agnew, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/30/2017	3/25/2018		
	2017-RIV-52	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/28/2017		9/28/2017	0
	2017-RIV-53	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/29/2017		9/29/2017	0
	2017-RIV-54	Simons, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/27/2017		10/11/2017	60
	2017-RIV-55	Perez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Becky L. Dugan	8/31/2017		8/18/2017	4
	2017-RIV-56	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/8/2017		10/8/2017	0
	2017-RIV-57	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/30/2017		9/30/2017	0
	2017-RIV-58	Simons, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/26/2017		10/11/2017	61
	2017-RIV-59	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/8/2017		10/8/2017	0
	2017-RIV-60	Simons, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/24/2017		10/11/2017	61
	2017-RIV-61	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/14/2017		6/14/2017	0
	2017-RIV-62	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/21/2017		10/21/2017	0
	2017-RIV-63	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/11/2017		12/11/2017	0
	2017-RIV-64	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/15/2017		11/15/2017	0
	2017-RIV-65	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/22/2017	7/17/2018		
	2017-RIV-66	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/13/2017		10/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-67	Farmer, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/14/2017			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-68	Gil, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/15/2017		10/11/2017	1
	2017-RIV-69	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/16/2017		11/16/2017	0
	2017-RIV-70	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/29/2017			
	2017-RIV-71	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/14/2017			
	2017-RIV-72	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/30/2017			
	2017-RIV-73	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	10/21/2017		10/21/2017	0
	2017-RIV-74	Ayon, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/9/2017	6/7/2018		
	2017-RIV-76	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/12/2017		11/12/2017	0
	2017-RIV-77	Tully, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/11/2017		10/11/2017	4
	2017-RIV-78	Honeycutt, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/11/2017		12/11/2017	0
	2017-RIV-79	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/16/2017		11/16/2017	0
	2017-RIV-80	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/3/2017		10/11/2017	2
	2017-RIV-81	Gil, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/25/2017		11/6/2017	12
	2017-RIV-82	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/9/2017		12/9/2017	0
	2017-RIV-83	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/9/2017		12/9/2017	0
	2017-RIV-84	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/23/2017		11/23/2017	0
	2017-RIV-85	Swan, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/29/2017		11/29/2017	0
	2017-RIV-86	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/30/2017		11/30/2017	0
	2017-RIV-87	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/26/2017	5/27/2018		
	2017-RIV-88	Smoot, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/1/2017		12/1/2017	0
	2017-RIV-89	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	11/1/2017		11/1/2017	0
	2017-RIV-90	Aguiar, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/28/2017		2/15/2018	35
	2017-RIV-91	Agnew, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	12/30/2017	6/3/2018		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-92	Tillett , Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/12/2018			
	2017-RIV-93	Brandt, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/12/2018			
	2017-RIV-94	Agnew, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/1/2018			
	2017-RIV-95	Job, CHP Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/5/2018			
	2017-RIV-96	Ontko, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/12/2018			
	2017-RIV-97	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/1/2018		12/8/2017	3
	2017-RIV-98	Brandt, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/12/2018			
	2017-RIV-99	Aguilar, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/5/2018			
	2017-RIV-100	Job, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	1/9/2018		2/15/2018	35
	2017-RIV-101	Tully, Special Agent	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/1/2018		12/8/2017	5
	2017-RIV-102	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/11/2018		12/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-103	Aguilar, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/9/2018			
	2017-RIV-104	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Michael B. Donner	2/17/2018		11/30/2017	0
	2017-RIV-105	Tully, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Helios J. Hernandez	2/3/2018		12/8/2017	2
	2017-RIV-106	Carroll, Detective	Michael Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/2/2018		12/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-108	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/9/2018		12/13/2017	0
	2017-RIV-109	Tully, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	2/27/2018		2/2/2018	1
	2017-RIV-110	Burton, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/1/2018		2/15/2018	18
	2017-RIV-112	Murrillo, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	3/11/2018			
Sacramento	2018-SAC-1	Eaton, Detective	Anne Marie Schuberg	Hon. Steve White	3/14/2018			
	2018-SAC-2	Eaton, Detective	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Steve White	3/14/2018			
San Bernardino	2017-SBD-2	Job, Investigator	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/10/2017		3/24/2017	59

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-3	Rojas, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. Eric M. Nakata	4/24/2017		4/11/2017	8
	2017-SBD-4	Job, Investigator	Michael A. Ramos, District Attorney	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/5/2017		3/28/2017	29
	2017-SBD-5	Camacho, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos, District Attorney	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/11/2017		5/9/2017	2
	2017-SBD-6	Rojas, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/4/2017		12/15/2017	51
	2017-SBD-7	Kissell, Task Force Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/22/2017		5/16/2017	1
	2017-SBD-8	Neagu, Task Force Officer	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/6/2017		6/8/2017	2
	2017-SBD-9	Perez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. Eric M. Nakata	7/10/2017		8/23/2017	2
	2017-SBD-11	Lester, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/22/2017		6/21/2017	7
	2017-SBD-12	Perez, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/11/2017		8/23/2017	3
	2017-SBD-13	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/26/2017	7/27/2017		
	2017-SBD-14	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/7/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-15	Kissell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/17/2017			
	2017-SBD-16	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Powell, IV	8/21/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-17	Job, Investigator	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. Eric Nakata	9/4/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-18	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. Eric Nakata	9/4/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-19	Rogers, Investigator	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. Eric Nakata	9/7/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-20	Kissell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	9/14/2017		9/7/2017	2
	2017-SBD-21	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Powell, IV	9/20/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-22	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Powell, IV	9/20/2017	8/9/2017		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-23	Job, Investigator	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	9/29/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-24	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	10/4/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-25	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	10/5/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-26	Aguilar, Special Agent	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Powell, IV	10/9/2017	8/9/2017		
	2017-SBD-27	Neagu, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	10/16/2017		12/26/2017	4
	2017-SBD-28	Kissell, Task Force Officer	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Powell, IV	10/16/2017		10/10/2017	2
	2017-SBD-29	Delgadillo, Narcotics Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	10/30/2017	11/15/2017		
	2017-SBD-30	Delgadillo, Narcotics Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/24/2017	12/4/2017		
	2017-SBD-31	Caballero, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/18/2018			
	2017-SBD-32	Caballero, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	12/22/2017	12/29/2017		
	2017-SBD-33	Caballero, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/12/2018			
	2017-SBD-34	Caballero, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/12/2018			
	2017-SBD-35	Caballero, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/29/2018			
	2017-SBD-36	Guerry, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/1/2018		1/11/2018	181
	2017-SBD-37	Caballero, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/5/2018			
	2017-SBD-38	Caballero, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/16/2018			
	2017-SBD-39	Cleary, Detective	Michael A. Ramos	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/13/2018			
	2017-SBD-40	Cleary, Detective	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/3/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego	2017-SD-1	Holley, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	3/7/2018	9/8/2017		
	2017-SD-2	Morimoto, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	6/9/2018	12/8/2017		
	2017-SD-3	Morimoto, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	6/9/2018	12/8/2017		
	2017-SD-4	Henderson	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	5/5/2017		5/15/2017	145
	2017-SD-5	Henderson, Task Force Officer	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	5/5/2017		5/15/2017	145
	2017-SD-6	Henderson, Task Force Officer	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	5/5/2017		5/15/2017	145
	2017-SD-7	Henderson, Task Force Officer	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	5/5/2017		5/15/2017	145
	2017-SD-8	Dawe, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	10/23/2017	4/25/2017	10/25/2017	111
	2017-SD-9	Dawe, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	10/23/2017	4/25/2017	10/25/2017	101
	2017-SD-10	Dawe, Special Agent	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	10/23/2017	4/25/2017	10/25/2017	173
	2017-SD-11	Schrettner, Special Agent	Jesus Rodriguez	Hon. Michael Smyth	8/9/2017		8/18/2017	114
	2017-SD-12	Schrettner, Special Agent	Jesus Rodriguez	Hon. Robert O'Neill	8/9/2017		8/18/2017	45
	2017-SD-13	Mcivor, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	7/10/2018	1/10/2018		
	2017-SD-14	Mcivor, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	1/24/2018			
	2017-SD-15	Hernandez, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	8/18/2017	6/21/2017	8/24/2017	55
	2017-SD-16	Hernandez, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	8/18/2017	6/21/2017	8/24/2017	29
	2017-SD-17	Hernandez, Detective	Jesus Rodriguez	Hon. Michael Smyth	8/18/2017	6/21/2017	8/24/2017	196
	2017-SD-18	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	5/28/2018	11/28/2017		
	2017-SD-19	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	3/9/2018			
	2017-SD-21	Juns, Task Force Officer	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	6/9/2018	1/25/2018		
	2017-SD-22	Garcia, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	11/3/2017	5/5/2017	11/3/2017	38

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Diego (cont'd)	2017-SD-23	Medina, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	11/1/2017	8/4/2017	11/2/2017	62
	2017-SD-24	Medina, Detective	Jesus Rodriguez	Hon. Michael Smyth	11/1/2017	8/4/2017	11/2/2017	62
	2017-SD-25	Medina, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	11/1/2017	8/4/2017	11/2/2017	62
	2017-SD-26	Medina, Detective	Bonnie Dumanis	Hon. Michael Smyth	11/1/2017	8/4/2017	11/2/2017	62
	2017-SD-27	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	3/28/2018			
	2017-SD-28	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	3/19/2018			
	2017-SD-29	Holley, Special Agent	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Smyth	3/27/2018			
San Joaquin	2017-SJ-1	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-2	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-3	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-4	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-5	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-6	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-7	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-8	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	7/14/2017		7/14/2017	1,400
	2017-SJ-9	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-10	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-11	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. Tony Agbayani	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-12	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-13	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-14	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/17/2017	
	2017-SJ-15	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-16	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	11/10/2017		11/10/2017	
	2017-SJ-17	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-18	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-19	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-20	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-21	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-22	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-23	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-24	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-25	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-26	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-27	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-28	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-29	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson				
	2017-SJ-30		Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-31	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-32	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-33	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-34	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-35	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-36	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Scott Fichtner	Hon. William Johnson				
	2017-SJ-37	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Scott Fichtner	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-38	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Scott Fichtner	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-39	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-40	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-41	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-42	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-43	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-44	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Scott Fichtner	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-45	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-46	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-47	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
	2017-SJ-48	Freitas, Assistant District Attorney	Ronald Freitas	Hon. William Johnson	3/13/2018			
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-1	Devitt, DDA	Dan Dow, District Attorney	Hon. Craig Van Rooyen	7/24/2017	9/22/2017	12/21/2017	16
	2018-SLO-2	Martin, DDA	Dan Dow, District Attorney	Hon. Craig Van Rooyen	8/21/2017	9/22/2017	12/21/2017	99
	2018-SLO-3	Devitt, DDA	Dan Dow, District Attorney	Hon. Craig Van Rooyen	8/31/2017	9/22/2017	12/21/2017	17
	2018-SLO-4	Devitt, DDA	Dan Dow, District Attorney	Hon. Craig Van Rooyen	12/27/2017		12/21/2017	53
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-1	Short, Task Force Agent	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	6/13/2017	6/13/2017	9/10/2017	63
	2017-SCL-2	Short, Task Force Agent	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	5/28/2017	9/30/2017	9/10/2017	63
	2017-SCL-3	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	7/1/2017	1/31/2018		
	2017-SCL-4	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	6/24/2017	1/31/2018	1/14/2018	349
	2017-SCL-5	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	7/30/2017	1/30/2018	1/14/2018	349
	2017-SCL-6	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	7/28/2017	1/30/2018	1/14/2018	349
	2017-SCL-7	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	7/28/2017	1/30/2018	1/14/2018	349
	2017-SCL-8	Lockwood, Detective	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	7/28/2017	1/30/2018	1/14/2018	349
	2017-SCL-9	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	2/15/2018			
	2017-SCL-10	Williams, Task Force Officer	Jeffrey F. Rosen	Hon. Daniel Nishigaya	3/5/2018			
Santa Cruz	2017-SCR-1	Rosell, DA	Jeffrey S. Rosell	Hon. Stephen S. Siegel	8/31/2017			
Solano	2017-SOL-1	Grimm, Detective	Krishna Abrams	Hon. Robert C. Fracchia	12/27/2007		12/22/2017	59

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Solano (cont'd)	2017-SOL-2	Grimm, Detective	Krishna Abrams	Hon. Robert C. Fracchia	12/27/2017		12/22/2017	193
Stanislaus	2017-STA-1	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	7/13/2017		10/18/2017	24
	2017-STA-2	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/16/2017		10/18/2017	24
	2017-STA-3	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/8/2017		10/18/2017	24
	2017-STA-4	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	9/16/2017		10/18/2017	24
	2017-STA-5	Wright, Agent	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	7/21/2017		10/18/2017	24
	2017-STA-6	Martin, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	6/2/2017		6/2/2017	87
	2017-STA-7	Hicks, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	2/3/2018			
	2017-STA-8	Hicks, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Ruben Villalobos	3/13/2018			
	2017-STA-9	Hicks, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Ruben Villalobos	3/7/2018			
	2017-STA-10	Hicks, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	3/13/2018			
	2017-STA-11	Hicks, Detective	Birgit Fladager	Hon. Nancy Ashley	3/13/2018			
Tulare	2017-TUL-1	Riley, DEA	Timothy Ward	Hon. Michael Sheltzer	1/20/2018	5/24/2017	1/17/2018	72
	2017-TUL-2	Riley, DEA	Dan Underwood	Hon. Sheltzer, Michael	1/20/2018	8/24/2017	1/17/2018	72
	2017-TUL-3	Riley, DEA	Timothy Ward	Hon. Sheltzer, Michael	1/20/2018	11/1/2017	1/17/2018	72
Ventura	2016-VE-194	Burkdoll, Special Agent	Greg Totten	Hon. Donald Coleman	8/15/2017	5/17/2017	8/11/2017	24
	2017-VE-1	Larock, Agent	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Jeff Bennett	4/14/2017		3/18/2017	9
	2017-VE-2	Wilkinson, Deputy	W. Charles Hughes	Hon. Matthew Guasco		4/17/2017	10/11/2017	147
	2017-VE-3	Wilkinson, Agent	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy		4/17/2017	10/11/2017	147
	2017-VE-4	Bramlette, Deputy	Janice L. Maurizi	Hon. Matthew Guasco	2/1/2018	11/2/2017	12/18/2017	36
	2017-VE-5	Wilkinson, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco		7/20/2017	10/11/2017	153
	2017-VE-6	Cavaliere, Agent	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Jeff Bennett	1/30/2018	10/31/2017		
	2017-VE-7	Malagon, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	8/17/2017		8/11/2017	124

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2017-VE-8	Ashmore, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy		7/20/2017	10/11/2017	148
	2017-VE-9	Cavaliere, Agent	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	1/30/2018	10/31/2017		
	2017-VE-10	Wilkinson, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy	2/3/2018	1/4/2018		
	2017-VE-11	Bramlette, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	9/11/2017		9/11/2017	1,106
	2017-VE-12	Cadman, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Bruce Young	9/21/2017		9/7/2017	68
	2017-VE-13	Cavaliere, Agent	Michael Frawley	Hon. Patricia Murphy	1/30/2018	10/31/2017		
	2017-VE-14	Langford, Officer	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	1/31/2018	11/1/2017		
	2017-VE-15	Grass, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	2/27/2018	11/28/2017		
	2017-VE-16	Bramlette, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Patricia Murphy	12/16/2017		12/15/2017	157
	2017-VE-17	Grass, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Matthew Guasco	3/20/2018	12/21/2017		
	2017-VE-18	Velasquez, Officer	Janice L. Maurizi	Hon. Jeff Bennett	2/23/2018			
Yolo	2017-YO-1	Jerguson, Detective	Jeff Reisig	Hon. Timothy Fall			11/3/2017	23
	2017-YO-2	Jerguson, Detective	Jeff Reisig	Hon. Timothy Fall			11/3/2017	23
	2017-YO-3	Jerguson, Detective	Jeff Reisig	Hon. Timothy Fall			11/3/2017	23
CA Dept. of Justice	2017-CADOJ-1	Sanchez, Special Agent	Gerald Engler, CAAG	Hon. Jeffery Thompson	7/5/2017	3/2018		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Alameda	2017-ALA-1	1 wire room manager; 2 monitors	56,541	17,381	73,922
	2017-ALA-2	1 wire room manager; 1 monitor	23,485	3,175	26,660
	2017-ALA-3	1 wire room manager; 1 monitor	27,068	1,583	28,651
	2017-ALA-4	1 case agent; 1 wire room manager; 5 monitors	175,824	4,000	179,824
	2017-ALA-5	1 wire room manager; 1 case agent; 8 monitors	217,956	13,692	231,648
	2017-ALA-6	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-7	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-8	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-9	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-10	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-11	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-12	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-13	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-14	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-15	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-16	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-17	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-18	1 case agent; 1 wire room manager; 4 monitors	96,432	7,742	104,174
	2017-ALA-19	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-20	Cost not available			
	2017-ALA-21	Cost not available			

⁵ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal), and may include personnel other than those used to install, monitor, and prepare transcripts.

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Alameda Total			597,306	47,573	644,879
Butte	2017-BU-1	Cost not available			
Butte Total			0	0	0
Contra Costa	2018-CC-1	Tech costs; overtime for monitors	184,000	15,300	199,300
	2018-CC-3	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-4	Overtime for monitors, tech costs	21,500	2,900	24,400
	2018-CC-5	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-6	Monitor overtime and tech cost	219,800	23,100	242,900
	2018-CC-7	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-8	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-9	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-10	Monitor overtime and tech costs	121,500	16,800	138,300
	2018-CC-11	Cost not available			
	2018-CC-12	Monitor overtime and tech costs	24,250	2,600	26,850
Contra Costa Total			571,050	60,700	631,750
Fresno	2018-FR-1	12 law enforcement officers	197,436	6,857	204,293
Fresno Total			197,436	6,857	204,293
Imperial	2017-IM-1	AT&T/wire room interpreter and monitors	28,643	1,750	30,393
	2017-IM-2	ATT/wire interpreters	28,624	1,700	30,324
	2017-IM-3	ATT/wire monitor interpreters	28,624	1,700	30,324
	2017-IM-5	ATT/wire room interpreters	28,624	1,700	30,324
	2017-IM-6	ATT/wire monitors	28,624	1,700	30,324

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Imperial (cont'd)	2017-IM-7	ATT/wire monitors	28,624	1,700	30,324
	2017-IM-8	ATT/wire monitors	28,624	1,700	30,324
	2017-IM-9	Provider cost/wire monitors and interpreters	28,643	1,750	30,393
	2017-IM-10	Provider costs/ wire monitors and interpreters	28,643	1,750	30,393
	2017-IM-11	Provider costs/wire monitors and interpreters	28,643	1,750	30,393
	2017-IM-12	Provider costs/wire monitors and interpreters	28,643	1,750	30,393
	2017-IM-13	1 monitors; 2 agents; 1 translator	14,654	1,013	15,667
Imperial Total			329,613	19,963	349,576
Kern	2017-KE-1	40	523,238	17,680	540,918
	2017-KE-2	Cost not available			
	2017-KE-3	27 sworn officers	5,010,157	17,680	5,027,837
	2018-KE-1	Cost not available			
Kern Total			5,533,395	35,360	5,568,755
Los Angeles	2017-LA-27	1	26,868	1,700	28,568
	2017-LA-30	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-31	6	6,000	12,500	18,500
	2017-LA-32	Translators	2,500	2,500	5,000
	2017-LA-33	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-35	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-36	4	36,000	4,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-37	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-38	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-39	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-40	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-41	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-43	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-44	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-45	Metropolitan	25,000	2,500	27,500
	2017-LA-46	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-47	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-48		50,000	5,000	55,000
	2017-LA-49	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-50	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-51	Cost not available			
	2017-LA-52	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-53	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-54	6	6,000	7,500	13,500
	2017-LA-55	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-56	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-57	4	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-58	4	4,000	5,000	9,000
	2017-LA-59	14	14,000	17,500	31,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-60	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-61	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-62	2	18,000	12,000	30,000
	2017-LA-63	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-64	4	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-65	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-66	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-67		25,000	2,500	27,500
	2017-LA-68	6	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2017-LA-69	18	162,000	18,000	180,000
	2017-LA-71	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-72	2	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-73	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-74	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-75	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-76	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-77	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-78	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-79		25,000	2,500	27,500
	2017-LA-80	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-81	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-82	8	8,000	10,000	18,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-83	8	8,000	10,000	18,000
	2017-LA-84	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-85	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-86	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-87	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-88	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-89	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-90	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-91	2	58,474	4,800	63,274
	2017-LA-92	2 monitors per day - State	34,231	10,000	44,231
	2017-LA-93	3 monitors per day	32,914	7,500	40,414
	2017-LA-94	2	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2017-LA-96	3 monitors per day	44,209	15,000	59,209
	2017-LA-97	2 monitors per day	27,040	15,000	42,040
	2017-LA-98	3 monitors per day	49,497	10,000	59,497
	2017-LA-99	4	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-101	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-102	4	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-103	4	36,000	4,000	40,000
	2017-LA-105	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-106	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-107	4	36,000	4,000	40,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-110	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-111	2 translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-112	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-113	2 monitors	60,000	6,000	66,000
	2017-LA-114	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-115	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-116	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-117	2	18,000	200	18,200
	2017-LA-118	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-119	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-120	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-MAD-1	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-121	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-122	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-123	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-124	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-125	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-126	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-127	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-128	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-129	2 monitors per day	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-132	17	75,000	7,500	82,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-133	17	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2017-LA-134	17	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2017-LA-135	17	75,000	7,500	82,500
	2017-LA-136	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-137	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-138	2	48,000	1,500	49,500
	2017-LA-139	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-140	2	2,000	2,500	4,500
	2017-LA-141	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-142	2 monitors per day	16,269	15,000	31,269
	2017-LA-143	4 monitors per day	43,253	12,500	55,753
	2017-LA-144	4 monitors per day	46,370	15,000	61,370
	2017-LA-146	2 monitors per day	28,084	15,000	43,084
	2017-LA-147	2 monitors per day	30,383	15,000	45,383
	2017-LA-148	3 monitors per day	46,997	12,500	59,497
	2017-LA-149	8	48,000	16,700	64,700
	2017-LA-150	4	24,000	10,000	34,000
	2017-LA-151	2	54,000	6,700	60,700
	2017-LA-152	Cost not available			
	2017-LA-153	6	54,000	12,500	66,500
	2017-LA-154	4	16,000	15,000	31,000
	2017-LA-155	2	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-156	2	8,000	5,000	13,000
	2017-LA-157	8	24,000	25,000	49,000
	2017-LA-158	6	24,000	22,500	46,500
	2017-LA-159	2	8,000	2,500	10,500
	2017-LA-160	2	8,000	5,000	13,000
	2017-LA-161	Install/monitor	28,625	775	29,400
	2017-LA-162	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-163	4	16,000	5,000	21,000
	2017-LA-164	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-165	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-166	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-167		25,000	5,000	30,000
	2017-LA-168	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-169	3	28,354	1,600	29,954
	2017-LA-170	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-171	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-172	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-173	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-174	Translators	26,000	5,000	31,000
	2017-LA-176	8 monitors; 2 technicians; 3 detectives	579,000	27,694	606,694
	2017-LA-177	3 monitors per day	37,673	15,000	52,673
	2017-LA-178	3 monitors per day	43,939	15,000	58,939

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-179	8 monitors; 2 technicians; 3 detectives	579,000	27,694	606,694
	2017-LA-180	8 monitors; 2 technicians; 3 detectives	579,000	27,694	606,694
	2017-LA-182	Install/monitor	27,149	1,700	28,849
	2017-LA-183	Install/monitor	27,149	1,700	28,849
	2017-LA-185	2	58,474	4,800	63,274
	2017-LA-187		25,000	5,000	30,000
	2017-LA-188		50,000	5,000	55,000
	2017-LA-189	1 monitor per day	25,998	5,000	30,998
	2017-LA-190	2	48,000	2,500	50,500
	2017-LA-191	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-196	11	28,200	14,000	42,200
	2017-LA-197	6	7,200	4,000	11,200
	2017-LA-200	22	21,600	48,000	69,600
	2017-LA-201	8	9,600	6,000	15,600
	2017-LA-202	2	54,000	17,500	71,500
	2017-LA-203	6	16,200	4,000	20,200
	2017-LA-206	7	18,600	4,000	22,600
	2017-LA-207	6	16,200	4,000	20,200
	2017-LA-212	12	18,000	12,000	30,000
	2017-LA-213	10	51,480	8,000	59,480
	2017-LA-214	5	19,780	4,000	23,780

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-216	4	5,700	2,000	7,700
	2017-LA-217		25,000	5,000	30,000
	2017-LA-218	2	28,000	6,800	34,800
	2017-LA-219	Cost not available			
	2017-LA-220	2	40,000	5,000	45,000
	2017-LA-221	4	40,000	7,500	47,500
	2017-LA-222	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-223	2	20,000	1,700	21,700
	2017-LA-224	3	157,498	2,400	159,898
	2017-LA-225	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-226	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-227	2 monitors per day	46,439	12,500	58,939
	2017-LA-228	2 monitors per day	44,227	15,000	59,227
	2017-LA-229	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-230	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-231	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-232	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-233	6	54,000	6,000	60,000
	2017-LA-234	4	40,000	10,000	50,000
	2017-LA-235	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-236	2 Spanish-speaking monitors	28,769	750	29,519
	2017-LA-237	6	60,000	7,500	67,500

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-238	2 contract monitors	30,000	1,700	31,700
	2017-LA-239	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-240		57,538	3,400	60,938
	2017-LA-241	12	264,000	24,000	288,000
	2017-LA-242	4	40,000	10,000	50,000
	2017-LA-243	4 monitors per day	43,253	12,500	55,753
	2017-LA-244	3	28,624	1,600	30,224
	2017-LA-245	6	60,000	15,000	75,000
	2017-LA-246	3 monitors	28,768	700	29,468
	2017-LA-247	6	60,000	5,100	65,100
	2017-LA-248	6	60,000	5,100	65,100
	2017-LA-249	4	60,000	5,000	65,000
	2017-LA-250	2	24,000	2,500	26,500
	2017-LA-252	approximately 26	250,000	4,500	254,500
	2017-LA-253	4	40,000	5,100	45,100
	2017-LA-254	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-255	2	24,000	2,500	26,500
	2017-LA-256	approximately 26	250,000	4,500	254,500
	2017-LA-257	2	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2017-LA-258	2	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2017-LA-259	2	28,000	5,100	33,100
	2017-LA-260		25,000	5,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2017-LA-261	4 monitors per day	58,328	1,600	59,928
	2017-LA-262	2	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2017-LA-267	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-268	6	60,000	15,000	75,000
	2017-LA-270	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-LA-271	3	28,498	1,700	30,198
	2017-LA-272	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-1	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-2	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-3	Monitors	26,000	0	26,000
	2018-LA-4	2	20,000	2,500	22,500
	2018-LA-5	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-6	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-7	approximately 26	250,000	4,500	254,500
	2018-LA-8	approximately 26	250,000	4,500	254,500
	2018-LA-9	approximately 26	250,000	4,500	254,500
	2018-LA-10	2	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-11	Sworn detectives and techs	75,245	2,102	77,347
	2018-LA-13	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-14	3 monitors per day - State	27,000	2,000	29,000
	2018-LA-15	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-16	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2018-LA-17	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-18	2 monitors per day - State	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2018-LA-21	4	60,000	5,000	65,000
	2018-LA-22		25,000	5,000	30,000
Los Angeles Total			9,362,197	1,237,109	10,599,306
Merced	2017-MER-1	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-2	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-3	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-4	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-5	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-6	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-7	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-8	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-9	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-10	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-11	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-12	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-13	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-14	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-15	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-16	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-17	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-18	Cost not available			
	2017-MER-19	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-1	8 officer/agents; 1 tech agent; 1 analyst	192,960	87,550	280,510

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Merced (cont'd)	2018-MER-2	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-3	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-4	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-5	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-6	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-7	2 officer/agents; 1 tech agent	33,200	6,700	39,900
	2018-MER-8	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-9	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-10	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-11	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-12	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-13	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-14	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-15	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-16	Cost not available			
	2018-MER-17	Cost not available			
Merced Total			226,160	94,250	320,410
Orange	2017-OR-1	6 monitors; 1 technician	64,800	3,600	68,400
	2017-OR-2	4 monitors; 1 technician	32,400	2,400	34,800
	2017-OR-3	2 monitors	56,168	1,000	57,168
	2017-OR-4	5 monitors; 1 agent; 1 technician	3,000	1,000	4,000
	2017-OR-5	11 sworn monitors	1,200	442	1,642
	2017-OR-6	11 sworn monitors	8,175	1,500	9,675
	2017-OR-7	1 monitor; 2 sworn	6,000	1,200	7,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Orange (cont'd)	2017-OR-8	Cost not available			
	2017-OR-9	2 monitors	34,145	0	34,145
	2017-OR-10	4 monitors	64,644	0	64,644
Orange Total			270,532	11,142	281,674
Riverside	2016-RIV-2313	1 technician; 10 officers	94,500	12,500	107,000
	2016-RIV-2322	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	129,000	8,000	137,000
	2016-RIV-2323	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	172,800	1,100	173,900
	2016-RIV-2330	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	77,451	9,000	86,451
	2016-RIV-2331	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	79,200	9,000	88,200
	2016-RIV-2335	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	52,800	6,000	58,800
	2016-RIV-2336	2 agents; 2 monitors	1,382,678	21,582	1,404,260
	2016-RIV-2337	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	52,800	6,000	58,800
	2016-RIV-2338	1 officer; 5 civilian monitors; 1 technician	129,600	9,000	138,600
	2016-RIV-2339	Cost not available			
	2016-RIV-2340	2 monitors	8,394	1,200	9,594
	2017-RIV-1	1 officer; 3 civilian monitors; 1 technician	75,600	10,000	85,600
	2017-RIV-2	2 agents; 2 monitors; 1 interpreter	70,464	5,065	75,529
	2017-RIV-3	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-4	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	7,000	71,800
	2017-RIV-5	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	26,400	3,000	29,400
	2017-RIV-6	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-8	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	108,000	6,000	114,000
	2017-RIV-9	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	24,480	3,000	27,480
	2017-RIV-10	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	26,400	3,000	29,400
	2017-RIV-11	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	5,000	69,800
	2017-RIV-12	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	21,600	3,000	24,600
	2017-RIV-13	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-14	12 monitors; 2 technicians; 2 agents	235,000	14,156	249,156
	2017-RIV-15	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	21,600	4,000	25,600
	2017-RIV-16	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-17	2 monitors	12,710	1,500	14,210
	2017-RIV-18	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	4,000	47,200
	2017-RIV-19	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-20	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-21	1 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-22	2 monitors	4,920	1,500	6,420
	2017-RIV-23	2 monitors; 1 technician; 2 agents; 1 IA	19,900	2,026	21,926
	2017-RIV-24	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-26	2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-RIV-27	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	47,520	4,000	51,520
	2017-RIV-28	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	79,200	6,000	85,200
	2017-RIV-29	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	28,800	2,000	30,800

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-30	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	47,520	3,000	50,520
	2017-RIV-31	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	28,800	3,000	31,800
	2017-RIV-32	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	47,520	3,000	50,520
	2017-RIV-33	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	14,400	2,000	16,400
	2017-RIV-34	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	5,000	48,200
	2017-RIV-35	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	47,520	5,000	52,520
	2017-RIV-36	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	47,520	5,000	52,520
	2017-RIV-37	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	14,400	2,000	16,400
	2017-RIV-38	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-39	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	21,600	2,000	23,600
	2017-RIV-40	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	14,400	2,000	16,400
	2017-RIV-41	4 monitors; 2 TFOs; 1 IA; 1 technician	107,587	12,000	119,587
	2017-RIV-42	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	5,000	48,200
	2017-RIV-43	2 monitors; 1 IA; 2 TFOs; 1 technician	62,584	2,141	64,725
	2017-RIV-44	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-45	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-46	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-47	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-48	2 monitors	41,903	4,500	46,403
	2017-RIV-49	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	5,000	69,800

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-50	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	42,300	2,000	44,300
	2017-RIV-51	3 monitors; 3 agents; 2 technicians	14,600	2,100	16,700
	2017-RIV-52	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors and 1 technician	43,200	2,000	45,200
	2017-RIV-53	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-54	42 monitors and case technicians	58,800	45,000	103,800
	2017-RIV-55	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-56	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	2,000	45,200
	2017-RIV-57	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,000	3,000	39,000
	2017-RIV-58	42 monitors, case and co case, technicians	42,000	5,000	47,000
	2017-RIV-59	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	2,000	45,200
	2017-RIV-60	42 monitors and case technicians	42,000	5,000	47,000
	2017-RIV-61	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	4,000	68,800
	2017-RIV-62	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	48,240	5,000	53,240
	2017-RIV-63	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	5,000	69,800
	2017-RIV-64	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors	86,400	4,000	90,400
	2017-RIV-65	3 monitors; 1 technician; 2 agents	14,600	2,000	16,600
	2017-RIV-66	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-67	2 linguists	28,400	3,600	32,000
	2017-RIV-68	2 monitors	30,368	1,500	31,868
	2017-RIV-69	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	86,400	4,000	90,400

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-70	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-71	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,000	3,000	39,000
	2017-RIV-72	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-73	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-74	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	46,583	2,026	48,609
	2017-RIV-76	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	5,000	69,800
	2017-RIV-77	2 monitors	26,188	1,500	27,688
	2017-RIV-78	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	4,000	47,200
	2017-RIV-79	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	3,000	67,800
	2017-RIV-80	2 monitors	3,492	3,000	6,492
	2017-RIV-81	2 monitors	30,368	1,500	31,868
	2017-RIV-82	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	43,200	3,000	46,200
	2017-RIV-83	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	6,000	70,800
	2017-RIV-84	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	3,000	67,800
	2017-RIV-85	1 officer; 6 civilian monitors; 1 technician	64,800	3,000	67,800
	2017-RIV-86	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,000	3,000	39,000
	2017-RIV-87	4 monitors; 2 agents; 4 technicians	92,653	6,078	98,731
	2017-RIV-88	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	36,000	3,000	39,000
	2017-RIV-89	2 monitors	8,729	1,500	10,229
	2017-RIV-90	12 persons used to monitor and translate	98,000	2,800	100,800
	2017-RIV-91	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	56,728	8,078	64,806

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Riverside (cont'd)	2017-RIV-92	20 monitors, case agent and technicians	81,000	16,800	97,800
	2017-RIV-93	20 monitors and technicians	81,000	16,800	97,800
	2017-RIV-94	2 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	95,500	6,078	101,578
	2017-RIV-95	2 monitors	87,000	2,000	89,000
	2017-RIV-96	20 monitors, case agents and techs	11,000	5,600	16,600
	2017-RIV-97	4 monitors	34,918	3,000	37,918
	2017-RIV-98	20 monitors and technicians	11,000	5,600	16,600
	2017-RIV-99	2 monitors	142,000	2,000	144,000
	2017-RIV-100	2 monitors	38,000	2,000	40,000
	2017-RIV-101	Cost not available			
	2017-RIV-102	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	48,400	2,000	50,400
	2017-RIV-103	2 monitors	37,000	2,000	39,000
	2017-RIV-104	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	20,608	1,000	21,608
	2017-RIV-105	2 monitors	8,729	1,500	10,229
	2017-RIV-106	1 officer; 4 civilian monitors; 1 technician	52,800	3,000	55,800
	2017-RIV-108	1 officer; 2 civilian monitors; 1 technician	26,400	2,000	28,400
	2017-RIV-109	2 monitors	17,459	1,500	18,959
	2017-RIV-110	2 monitors	8,513	2,000	10,513
	2017-RIV-112	2 monitors	27,418	2,500	29,918
Riverside Total			6,772,365	506,430	7,278,795
Sacramento	2018-SAC-1	10 monitors; 3 installers; 20 officers; 3 Sgts.	300,000	230,000	530,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Sacramento (cont'd)	2018-SAC-2	Cost not available			
Sacramento Total			300,000	230,000	530,000
San Bernardino	2017-SBD-2	25 officers conducted all the above	90,000	7,000	97,000
	2017-SBD-3	25 officers conducted all of the above	60,000	5,000	65,000
	2017-SBD-4	25 officers conducted all the above	64,000	7,000	71,000
	2017-SBD-5	1 technician; 2 monitors; 2 transcriptionists	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-SBD-6	25 officers conducted all the above	25,000	7,000	32,000
	2017-SBD-7	1 technician; 2 monitors; 8 officers	20,000	2,000	22,000
	2017-SBD-8	1 technician; 2 monitors; 8 officers	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2017-SBD-9	1 technician; 2 monitors	57,536	3,000	60,536
	2017-SBD-11	2 monitors; 1 technician	57,250	0	57,250
	2017-SBD-12	Cost not available			
	2017-SBD-13	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-14	2 technicians; 10 monitors	28,722	2,000	30,722
	2017-SBD-15	Cost not available			
	2017-SBD-16	2 technicians; 10 monitor/transcriptionists	38,726	2,000	40,726
	2017-SBD-17	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	13,000	113,000
	2017-SBD-18	20 police officers conducted	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-19	2 technicians; 15 monitors; 12 transcribers	18,000	8,000	26,000
	2017-SBD-20	1 technician; 2 monitors; 8 officers	28,000	2,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-21	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	14,000	114,000
	2017-SBD-22	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-23	20 police officers conducted all	65,000	1,500	66,500
	2017-SBD-24	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-25	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-26	20 police officers conducted all	100,000	7,000	107,000
	2017-SBD-27	1 technician; 2 monitors; 8 officers	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2017-SBD-28	1 technician; 1 monitor; 10 officers	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2017-SBD-29	1 technician; 2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-SBD-30	1 technician; 2 monitors	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2017-SBD-31	3 technicians; 3 transcriptionists; 6 monitors	35,217	1,523	36,740
	2017-SBD-32	3 technicians; 3 transcriptionists; 8 monitors	15,205	845	16,050
	2017-SBD-33	6 monitors; 1 analyst; 3 technicians	17,300	1,000	18,300
	2017-SBD-34	3 technicians; 17 monitors; 3 transcriptionists	28,750	2,000	30,750
	2017-SBD-35	9 monitors; 1 analyst; 1 transcriptionist; 3 technicians	17,500	1,500	19,000
	2017-SBD-36	1 technician; 16 monitors; 1 transcriptionist	21,600	15,230	36,830
	2017-SBD-37	6 monitors; 1 analyst 3 technicians; 2 transcriptionists	16,250	13,500	29,750

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2017-SBD-38	3 technicians; 5 monitors, 3 transcriptionists	25,400	2,450	27,850
	2017-SBD-39	1 technician 13 monitors	36,000	20,900	56,900
	2017-SBD-40	1 technician; 9 monitors	19,500	7,900	27,400
San Bernardino Total			1,722,956	196,848	1,919,804
San Diego	2017-SD-1	1 monitor per shift	29,000	2,000	31,000
	2017-SD-2	1 monitor per shift	29,000	3,200	32,200
	2017-SD-3	2 monitors per shift; 1 agent per shift	29,000	3,200	32,200
	2017-SD-4	1 monitor and 1 agent per shift	13,425	625	14,050
	2017-SD-5	2 monitors and 1 agent	18,074	1,875	19,949
	2017-SD-6	1 monitor and 1 agent per shift	6,679	1,600	8,279
	2017-SD-7	1 monitor and 1 agent per shift	7,633	1,600	9,233
	2017-SD-8	2 monitors; 2 agents/TFO's; 1 technician	72,260	700	72,960
	2017-SD-9	4 monitors; 2 agents/TFO's; 1 technician	61,425	3,250	64,675
	2017-SD-10	6 monitors; 2 agents/TFOs; 2 technicians; 6 linguists; 2 monitors (agents/TFOs); 2 technicians	86,875	7,450	94,325
	2017-SD-11	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	58,037	2,925	60,962
	2017-SD-12	4 monitors; 2 agents; 1 technician	58,037	2,300	60,337
	2017-SD-13	2 monitors; 1 technician	57,015	3,000	60,015

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Diego (cont'd)	2017-SD-14	1 monitor; 1 technician	28,084	625	28,709
	2017-SD-15	Cost not available			
	2017-SD-16	Cost not available			
	2017-SD-17	38 monitors; 2 agents; 2 technicians; 4 transcribers	73,230	10,646	83,876
	2017-SD-18	1 monitor per shift	25,000	1,600	26,600
	2017-SD-19	1 monitor per shift	22,000	1,400	23,400
	2017-SD-21	3 monitors per shift; 1 agent per shift	45,502	5,725	51,227
	2017-SD-22	1 monitor per shift; 2 technicians	24,703	2,000	26,703
	2017-SD-23	Cost not available			
	2017-SD-24	Cost not available			
	2017-SD-25	Cost not available			
	2017-SD-26	25 monitors; 2 technicians; 5 linguists; 15 transcribers	47,603	15,150	62,753
	2017-SD-27	2 monitors per shift	70,000	3,000	73,000
	2017-SD-28	2 monitors per shift	35,000	3,000	38,000
	2017-SD-29	1 monitor per shift	22,000	1,600	23,600
San Diego Total			919,582	78,471	998,053
San Joaquin	2017-SJ-1		99,124	32,031	131,155
	2017-SJ-2	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-3	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-4	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-5	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-6	Cost not available			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-7	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-8	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-9	15 Officers monitors; 2 DOJ Agents; 1 DOJ analyst; 1 CHP Plane/Pilot; 1 Modesto officer and drone	258,259	15,000	273,259
	2017-SJ-10	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-11	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-12	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-13	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-14	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-15	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-16	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-17	12 DOJ/CHP agents/officers monitors and surveillance; 1 CHP Pilot; 2 DOJ Intelligence Analysts; 6 Stockton PD officers monitors and surveillance; 3 bilingual SPD officers	304,000	66,000	370,000
	2017-SJ-18	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-19	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-20	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-21	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-22	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-23	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-24	Cost not available			
	2017-SJ-25	Cost not available			

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-26		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-27		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-28		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-29		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-30		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-31		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-32		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-33		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-34		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-35		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-36		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-37		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-38		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-39		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-40	12 DOJ/CHP agents/officers monitors and surveillance; 1 CHP Pilot; 2 DOJ Intelligence Analysts; 6 Stockton PD officers monitors and surveillance; 3 bilingual SPD officers	152,000	33,000	185,000
	2017-SJ-41		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-42		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-43		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-44		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-45		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-46		Cost not available		
	2017-SJ-47		Cost not available		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
San Joaquin (cont'd)	2017-SJ-48	Cost not available			
San Joaquin Total			813,383	146,031	959,414
San Luis Obispo	2018-SLO-1	Cost not available			
	2018-SLO-2	24 monitors; 3 agents; 2 technicians	21,625	2,051	23,676
	2018-SLO-3	cost not available			
	2018-SLO-4	27 monitors; 3 agents; 2 technicians	12,828	2,051	14,879
San Luis Obispo Total			34,453	4,102	38,555
Santa Clara	2017-SCL-1	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-2	6 linguists assigned to the case each day and 1 technical support personnel	87,838	4,950	92,788
	2017-SCL-3	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-4	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-5	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-6	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-7	Cost not available			
	2017-SCL-8	6 linguists; 11 agents; and 2 task force officers	226,720	24,524	251,244
	2017-SCL-9	5 linguists assigned and 1 technical personnel	23,954	645	24,599
	2017-SCL-10	5 linguists assigned and 1 technical support personnel	23,624	645	24,269
Santa Clara Total			362,136	30,764	392,900
Santa Cruz	2017-SCR-1	Cost not available			
Santa Cruz Total			0	0	0
Solano	2017-SOL-1	4 monitors 7 agents	152,000	30,000	182,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Solano (cont'd)	2017-SOL-2	4 monitors 7 agents	152,000	30,000	182,000
Solano Total			304,000	60,000	364,000
Stanislaus	2017-STA-1	SDEA 758 hrs x \$27.51/hr	20,853	47,817	68,670
	2017-STA-2	SDEA 523.5 hrs x \$27.51 per hr	14,401	47,817	62,218
	2017-STA-3	SDEA 407.5 hrs x \$27.51 per hr	11,210	17,445	28,655
	2017-STA-4	SDEA 30 hrs x \$27.51 per hr	825	3,985	4,810
	2017-STA-5	SDEA 169.5 hrs x \$27.51 per hr	4,663	23,909	28,572
	2017-STA-6	25 MPD personnel	10,000	2,500	12,500
	2017-STA-7	20 MPD personnel	10,000	1,500	11,500
	2017-STA-8	25	10,000	2,500	12,500
	2017-STA-9	25	10,000	2,500	12,500
	2017-STA-10	25	10,000	2,500	12,500
	2017-STA-11	25	10,000	2,500	12,500
Stanislaus Total			111,952	154,973	266,925
Tulare	2017-TUL-1	3 civilian monitors	24,090	1,725	25,815
	2017-TUL-2	3 civilian monitors	24,090	3,625	27,715
	2017-TUL-3	3 civilian monitors	24,090	950	25,040
Tulare Total			72,270	6,300	78,570
Ventura	2016-VE-194	2 monitors; 1 technician	26,666	1,700	28,366
	2017-VE-1	2 monitors; 1 technician	4,450	750	5,200
	2017-VE-2	4 monitors; 1 technician	25,520	650	26,170
	2017-VE-3	4 monitors; 1 technician	51,040	1,950	52,990

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
Ventura (cont'd)	2017-VE-4	6 monitors; 5 detectives; 1 analyst	12,257	7,500	19,757
	2017-VE-5	4 monitors; 1 technician	76,560	3,250	79,810
	2017-VE-6	4 monitors; 1 technician	26,666	3,000	29,666
	2017-VE-7	2 monitors	33,000	41,047	74,047
	2017-VE-8	4 monitors; 1 technician	51,040	1,300	52,340
	2017-VE-9	4 monitors; 1 technician	26,666	4,500	31,166
	2017-VE-10	4 monitors; 1 technician	25,520	650	26,170
	2017-VE-11	11 detectives; 2 analysts	17,876	13,500	31,376
	2017-VE-12	12 monitors; 2 technicians	22,816	5,894	28,710
	2017-VE-13	5 monitors; 1 technician	39,999	6,000	45,999
	2017-VE-14	2 monitors; 1 technician	76,850	3,000	79,850
	2017-VE-15	4 monitors	60,000	15,000	75,000
	2017-VE-16	6 monitors; 5 detectives; 1 analyst	15,284	6,000	21,284
	2017-VE-17	2 monitors	40,000	16,000	56,000
	2017-VE-18	3 monitors; 1 technician	52,107	10,000	62,107
Ventura Total			684,317	141,691	826,008
Yolo	2017-YO-1	40 monitors; 3 translators; 15 surveillance 3 technicians	79,193	8,920	88,113
	2017-YO-2	Cost not available			
	2017-YO-3	Cost not available			
Yolo Total			79,193	8,920	88,113
CA Dept. of Justice	2017-CADOJ-1		31,200	2,000	33,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2017

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁵
CA Dept. of Justice			31,200	2,000	33,200
Grand Total			29,295,496	3,079,484	32,374,980

Table 7
Jurisdiction Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2017

Alpine	Madera	San Francisco
Amador	Marin	San Mateo
Calaveras	Mariposa	Santa Barbara
Colusa	Mendocino	Shasta
Del Norte	Modoc	Sierra
El Dorado	Mono	Siskiyou
Glenn	Monterey	Sonoma
Humboldt	Napa	Sutter
Inyo	Nevada	Tehama
Kings	Placer	Trinity
Lake	Plumas	Tuolumne
Lassen	San Benito	Yuba

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years (2017)

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa			CCDA 13-001					1	0	0	0	1	PC 186.22 (street terrorism)
			CCDA 14-001									1	SOLICITING MURDER
								1				3	Human Trafficking, Conspiracy to Commit Human Trafficking, Forced Sex Acts
								2	0	2	0	1	CONSPIRACY, GANG CRIME, FIREARM POSSESSION
Fresno		6/23/2016	F16904446	2016-FR-14		3	HS 11351; PC 29805	0	0	0	0	2	HS 11351; PC 29805
Merced				2015-MER-28				0	0	6		13	Gang, narcotics
San Bernardino		1/24/2016	2016-17	2016-SBD-650		12	Narcotics, firearms, conspiracy to commit crimes	0	0	0	0	5	Narcotics, Gangs, Firearms, conspiracy to commit crimes
San Diego			14-04, 14-09	2014-SD-193		3	Narcotics, Money Laundering					4	Money Laundering
			15-19, 15-22, 16-01	2016-SD-218		1	Narcotics					4	Narcotics
			16-05, 16-09, 16-10	2016-SD-232								10	Narcotics, Money Laundering
			16-08	2016-SD-226								1	Manslaughter
			16-06	2016-SD-227								2	Conspiracy (Human Trafficking)
			16-15	2016-SD-228								3	Narcotics
San Luis Obispo		5/18/2015	150102714/150402714	2016-SLO-9		8	Conspiracy, firearms, narcotics, receive stolen property, criminal threats	1				7	conspiracy, firearms, narcotics

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2017

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2017-OR-11	2017-SBD-15
2017-SBD-10	2017-SJ-29
2018-FR-2	2017-SJ-36

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.