

CALIFORNIA
ELECTRONIC
INTERCEPTIONS REPORT



Annual Report
2021

CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

ROB BONTA
Attorney General



Division of Criminal Law

Appeals, Writs & Trials Section

This annual report is available on the
California Attorney General's website:
<http://oag.ca.gov>

Table of Contents

Executive Summary	1
Table 1: Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2021.....	6
Table 2: General Description of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2021	7
Table 3: Electronic Interception Court Activity During Calendar Year 2021	8
Table 4: Description of Communications Obtained and Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2021	9
Table 5: Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report.....	39
Table 6: Costs of Electronic Interceptions During Calendar Year 2021	60
Table 7: Jurisdiction Reporting No Electronic Interception Activity During Calendar Year 2021	85
Table 8: Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions Conducted in Prior Years.....	86
Table 9: List of Electronic Interception Orders Approved but Never Installed or Not Used Calendar Year 2021... ..	88
Table 10: Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative-Disciplinary Hearings	89
Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”	90
Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82	91

Executive Summary

The 2021 Attorney General’s Electronic Interceptions Report is issued in compliance with Penal Code sections 629.62 and 629.82, subdivision (d), and is available on the Attorney General’s website at: <https://oag.ca.gov>. To facilitate the preparation of this report, each county was directed to provide certain information regarding its electronic interception activity for the 2021 calendar year by January 7, 2022. This report reflects the information submitted.

Electronic interceptions are the interceptions of wire or electronic communications via wire, cable, radio, and other systems. In California, court-authorized interceptions of wire or electronic communications are used to target specific criminal offenses when conventional investigative techniques are inadequate or simply too dangerous to pursue. As such, the use of electronic interceptions constitutes an essential tool in law enforcement agencies’ battle against crime. Dangerous individuals and criminal entities, such as drug trafficking organizations (“DTOs”) and criminal street gangs, frequently rely on telecommunications to advance their criminal objectives. Accordingly, electronic interceptions are critical in identifying, disrupting, and preventing crimes. In some cases, electronic interceptions have uncovered criminal conspiracies to commit murder. In other cases, they have led to the seizure of vast amounts of narcotics, firearms, and criminally derived proceeds that facilitate ongoing criminal activity. Some electronic interceptions have even rescued victims from human trafficking networks. Discoveries and seizures like these effectively remove harmful elements from our communities and advance public safety.


This report contains electronic interception data and details about how authorized electronic interceptions enabled local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies to effectively combat crime at various levels.

Overview

In 2021, California judges approved 416 orders authorizing electronic interceptions out of 417 applications submitted. This marks a 9% decrease compared to 457 orders approved out of 458 applications submitted in 2020.

Wiretap orders were issued in 15 of California’s 58 counties, compared to 19 counties in 2020. The greatest numbers of orders authorizing electronic interceptions were issued in Los Angeles, Riverside, and Fresno Counties, with 199, 119, and 21 orders, respectively. In 2020, Los Angeles, Riverside, and Fresno Counties likewise made up the three counties with the greatest number of orders, with 189, 112, and 38 orders, respectively.


The following graph shows the total number of orders authorizing interceptions each year over the ten-year period ending in 2021:



Criminal Offenses, Arrests & Convictions

Law enforcement agencies targeted various individual and combinations of offenses in the 417 applications for interception authority submitted to California courts in 2021 (Table 2). The most frequent target of applications was narcotics offenses, which accounted for 328 applications, or in approximately 79% of applications. Murder was a targeted offense in 65 applications, or approximately 16% of applications, with 12 of the 15 counties reporting a wiretap. Applications included a gang offense as a targeted offense 24 times, or in 6% of applications. An offense other than murder, a gang offense, or a narcotics offense (e.g., kidnapping) was targeted 2 times, or in less than 1% of applications. Human trafficking was a target in one of those two applications.

Authorized interceptions in 2021 resulted in 266 total arrests (Table 1). These arrests were predominantly for narcotics offenses (154), gang-related offenses (151), and murder (108). Dozens of arrests were for other offenses, including human trafficking (8). Each interception operation can result in the arrest of multiple people for multiple, overlapping offenses. Over the ten-year period ending in 2021, investigations involving electronic interceptions resulted in an average of approximately 682 arrests per year.



Due to the nature of the judicial process, whether through trials or guilty pleas in the superior courts or some other disposition, the bulk of the yearly arrests are not resolved by the time counties submit data for the annual report. Updated information on interception data reported in prior years can be found in Table 8 of this report.

Types, Lengths & Number of Interceptions

Tables 2 and 3 of this report show various data related to the nature of the electronic interceptions conducted. These data reflect the types of devices and locations targeted, the number of original interception orders and extensions, and information about the duration of such operations.

Interceptions overwhelmingly targeted portable devices such as cellular and/or mobile phones. In 2021, cell phones accounted for approximately 91% of the total devices targeted. Similarly, in 2020, cell phones made up 90% of the total devices targeted.

Regarding duration, California law limits the period of authorized interception in an original order to 30 days. This period may, however, be lengthened by one or more extensions if the authorizing judge determines that an extended period of interception is justified.

Similar to 2020, in 2021 the average length of the approved extension of the initial period of interception was 30 days. Further, judges granted 299 extensions of 416 total original orders. The

average duration of actual interception activity per order authorizing such activity, including extensions, was approximately 48 days.

Additional details about each authorized interception operation are provided in Tables 4 and 5 of this report. Table 4 provides reported information about the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, the total number of communications intercepted, the breakdown between incriminating and other communications, and narrative comments on the reported usefulness of the operations. Table 5 provides information about the statutorily mandated notice provided to persons whose communications were intercepted.

Costs of Interceptions

Table 6 of this report sets forth information about personnel and resource expenses that state and local agencies reported having incurred in conducting authorized interception operations. These data, however, specifically exclude costs otherwise covered by regularly incurred compensation of personnel as well as personnel and resource costs covered by federal funding.

The reported personnel and resource costs totaled \$15,421,143, an approximate 8% decrease from the total costs of \$16,846,552 reported in 2020. The average reported cost of an interception operation was \$37,070 (rounded), an approximate 1% increase from the average cost of \$36,863 (rounded) in 2020.

The following graph shows the costs associated with interceptions operations, as reported, for the ten-year period ending in 2021:



Wiretap Evidence in Administrative or Disciplinary Hearings

Finally, Penal Code section 629.82, subdivision (d), permits, and requires reporting of, the use of the contents of intercepted communications in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

As described in Table 10, no such usage was reported for 2021.

* * *

If you have any questions or comments about this report, please contact the Criminal Law Division of the Attorney General's Office at (916) 445-9555.

Table 1
Arrests and Convictions Resulting from Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021¹

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Number of Persons Arrested		Arrest Offenses						Conviction Offenses						Number of Persons Convicted	
		Total	Avg. per Order	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Total	Avg. per Order
Contra Costa	7	7	1.0		7				7							0	
Fresno	21	31	1.5	31	24											0	
Kern	2	0														0	
Los Angeles	199	29	0.1	12		17										0	
Madera	9	29	3.2		29	29					8					8	0.9
Mono	1	4	4.0	4												0	
Monterey	10	16	1.6	16	16	16			16							0	
Orange	8	21	2.6	4	3	17			12							0	
Riverside	119	18	0.2			18										0	
Sacramento	4	30	7.5	29	28				1							0	
San Bernardino	17	26	1.5		23	26										0	
San Diego	7	7	1.0	7												0	
San Mateo	2	5	2.5	5												0	
Santa Barbara	1	0														0	
Ventura	10	43	4.3	0	21	31			27		5	5				5	0.5
Total:	417	266		108	151	154	0	0	63	0	13	5	0	0	0	13	

¹ Any disparity between “Number of Persons Arrested” and “Number of Persons Convicted” may be attributed to the fact that many of those arrested are awaiting prosecution—a process which can take a significant amount of time—or that the intercept is only part of an ongoing investigation.

Table 2
General Description of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	No. of Applications	Targeted Offenses (Offenses Specified in Order)						Targeted Location					Targeted Device					
		Murder	Gang	Narcotics	Terrorism	Kidnapping	Other	Residence	Business	Public Area	Portable device	Other	Cell Phone	Land Line	Pager	Computer	Other	
Contra Costa	7	7									6	4	7				3	
Fresno	21	21									21	1	21				12	11
Kern	2	2									2		2					
Los Angeles	199	13		186							199		199					
Madera	9		9								9		9					
Mono	1	1									1		1					
Monterey	10	6		4				3			7		7	3				
Orange	8	5	1	3			1				8		8	1				
Riverside	119			119							119		119					
Sacramento	4	3	1			1	1				3		3				3	
San Bernardino	17		9	8							12		17					
San Diego	7	3		4							6		7				1	
San Mateo	2	2									2	1	1				2	
Santa Barbara	1	1									1		1				1	
Ventura	10	1	4	4			1				10	1	10				4	

Table 3
Electronic Interception Orders Issued by Judges
And Court Activity During Calendar Year 2021²

Reporting Jurisdiction	Number of Intercept Orders					Number of Extensions						Total Duration of Wiretaps			Motions to Suppress			Number of Trials Resulting from Intercepts
	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Applications	Granted	Modified/Amended	Denied	Not Installed/Installed Not Used	Average Length	Requested Days	Actual Days	Avg. per Order	Granted	Denied	Pending	
Contra Costa	7	7	1			2	2	2			30	270	189	27				
Fresno	21	21				4	4				30	701	411	20				
Kern	2	2										60	36	18				
Los Angeles	199	199			3	144	144			3	30	10290	10314	52	1			
Madera	9	9				2	2				30	330	288	32				21
Mono	1	1				1	1				30	60	46	46				
Monterey	10	10				8	8	1			30	540	286	29				
Orange	8	8										240	214	27				
Riverside	119	119				127	126				30	7380	6754	57				
Sacramento	4	4				1	1	1			30	121	67	17				
San Bernardino	17	17			1	6	6			1	30	690	688	40				
San Diego	7	6		1	2	3	3			2	30	300	187	37				
San Mateo	2	2	1			1	1	1			20	80	62	31				
Santa Barbara	1	1				1	1	1			30	60	42	42				
Ventura	10	10	7									300	278	28				

² While electronic interceptions often result in evidence leading to prosecution, they may also lead to further investigations and the prevention of crime at the state and federal levels.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Contra Costa	2021-CC-1	888	4,654	3	97	Wiretap aided in identifying target's intention to commit assault on rival. Law enforcement was able to prevent that attack, and the target and their confederates were charged with conspiracy to commit murder.
	2021-CC-2	See 2021-CC-1	8,396	1	99	See 2021-CC-1
	2021-CC-3	See 2021-CC-1	2,922	3	97	See 2021-CC-1
	2021-CC-4	82	590	15	85	Wire was used in a cold case murder. Although charges have not yet been filed, evidence from the wiretap operation assisted investigators in developing a number of leads.
	2021-CC-5	524	3,796	5	95	
	2021-CC-6	101	1,001	6	94	
	2021-CC-7	46	171	10	90	
Fresno	2022-FR-1	6	22	0	100	
	2022-FR-2	35	4,583	5	95	
	2022-FR-3	36	1,450	20	80	
	2022-FR-4	102	7,476	6	94	
	2022-FR-5	19	2,797	1	99	
	2022-FR-6	63	1,958	6	94	
	2022-FR-7	22	2,205	2	98	
	2022-FR-8	29	1,228	8	92	
	2022-FR-9	90	2,291	9	91	This wire was conducted to help gather evidence and information associated with a murder and a gang conspiracy to commit the murder. A subject who was initially believed to be one of the suspects was targeted for intercept. As the investigation continued, additional suspects were identified via intercepted communications. The investigation concluded with the arrest of the primary suspect as well as additional suspects identified via the intercepted conversations.
	2022-FR-10	40	383	0	100	
	2022-FR-11	52	1,175	11	89	
	2022-FR-12	186	3,283	9	91	
	2022-FR-13	52	897	4	96	
	2022-FR-14	446	11,778	3	97	

³ Comments are not mandated and may be edited.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Fresno (cont'd)	2022-FR-15	107	238	1	99	
	2022-FR-16	24	1,090	1	99	
	2022-FR-17	24	968	1	99	
	2022-FR-18	53	2,093	12	88	
	2022-FR-19	18	608	9	91	Wire-based investigation to obtain information and location of the primary suspect in a murder.
	2022-FR-20	219	5,351	4	96	
	2022-FR-21	76	439	17	83	
Kern	2021-KE-1	5	207	0	100	These interceptions assisted investigators in the suspicious disappearance of two toddlers. Information obtained through the wiretap also assisted investigators in understanding the family dynamic of a local criminal street gang.
	2021-KE-2	7	420	0	100	These interceptions assisted investigators in the suspicious disappearance of two toddlers. Information obtained through the intercepts also aided investigators in understanding the family dynamic of a local criminal street gang.
Los Angeles	2021-LA-1	626	31,980	12	88	During the interception period, agents seized approximately \$100,000 in narcotics proceeds and 129 pounds of methamphetamine. Agents also obtained information about additional members of this DTO.
	2021-LA-2	171	6,936	5	95	
	2021-LA-3	65	566	14	86	
	2021-LA-4	37	306	0	100	
	2021-LA-5	421	8,858	19	81	
	2021-LA-6	15	2,949	13	87	
	2021-LA-7	104	2,941	26	74	
	2021-LA-8	349	4,173	11	89	
	2021-LA-9	197	18,352	3	97	
	2021-LA-10	144	5,475	25	75	
	2021-LA-11	43	1,337	29	71	
	2021-LA-12	3,475	24,303	6	94	No significant seizures were made as a result of this wiretap; however, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO.
	2021-LA-13	265	6,435	19	81	
	2021-LA-14	60	600	17	83	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-15	547	19,782	31	69	During the interception period, agents seized approximately \$40,000 in narcotics proceeds, 30 pounds of methamphetamine, and two kilograms of cocaine. Agents arrested four individuals. Agents also obtained information about additional DTO members.
	2021-LA-16	41	224	8	92	
	2021-LA-17	56	752	39	61	
	2021-LA-18	191	702	8	92	
	2021-LA-19	8	38	34	66	
	2021-LA-20	43	432	2	98	
	2021-LA-21	1	13	8	92	
	2021-LA-22	9	30	0	100	During the interception period, agents identified more members of this DTO, as well as learn more about the details of their workings. No incriminating communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-23	25	127	27	73	
	2021-LA-24	576	14,122	20	80	During the interception period, agents seized approximately 252 pounds of methamphetamine and arrested two individuals. Additionally, agents obtained information about additional members of this DTO.
	2021-LA-25	198	2,340	10	90	
	2021-LA-26	124	4,636	17	83	
	2021-LA-27	27	407	82	18	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-28	201	4,189	75	25	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-29	42	276	25	75	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-30	51	608	68	32	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-31	10	164	92	8	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-32	28	336	52	48	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-33	7	251	79	21	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-34	42	415	88	12	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-35	2	10	0	100	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures. No incriminating communications were intercepted as a result of this wiretap.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-36	89	2,365	28	72	
	2021-LA-37	0	0	0	0	Monitoring of the target telephone never commenced due to technical issues. No calls were intercepted due to technicians not installing the line.
	2021-LA-38	58	475	31	69	Interceptions of target telephones were discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-39	37	718	77	23	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-40	27	1,094	81	19	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-41	5	100	72	28	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-42	6	123	97	3	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 143 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-LA-43	38	458	78	22	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-44	48	1,111	7	93	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-45	63	322	60	40	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-46	48	362	68	32	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-47	9	169	14	86	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-48	28	221	82	18	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-49	1	18	6	94	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-50	21	119	29	71	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-51	27	423	16	84	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-52	57	912	38	62	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-53	8	33	12	88	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-54	29	178	83	17	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-55	294	1,102	1	99	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-56	132	1,019	20	80	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-57	57	655	13	87	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-58	80	660	25	75	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-59	2	5	0	100	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap. No incriminating communications were intercepted as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-60	25	345	82	18	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$620,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-61	91	4,755	13	87	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 55 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-LA-62	2	44	22	78	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 126 kilograms of cocaine and \$180,000 in U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-63	78	3,361	27	73	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-64	10	191	49	51	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-65	141	876	23	77	
	2021-LA-66	35	156	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-67	22	78	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-68	106	4,885	50	50	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap; however, agents were able to identify additional members of this DTO.
	2021-LA-69	27	1,271	5	95	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period.
	2021-LA-70	4	8	0	100	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures. No incriminating communications were intercepted during this wiretap period.
	2021-LA-71	4	8	0	100	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures. No incriminating communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-72	3	13	85	15	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-73	39	516	75	25	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-74	14	143	14	86	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-75	2	34	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted during this wiretap period.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-76	8	88	77	23	Interception of target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-77	124	1,230	17	83	
	2021-LA-78	91	1,137	70	30	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 32 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$200,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-79	14	48	21	79	
	2021-LA-80	53	1,304	5	95	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-81	27	80	58	42	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-82	326	4,016	39	61	
	2021-LA-83	88	2,473	22	88	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-84	2	70	0	100	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO, as well as learn more about the details of their workings. No incriminating communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-85	2	26	0	100	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO, as well as learn more about the details of their workings. No incriminating conversations were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-86	12	41	0	100	During the interception period, agents were able to identify more members of this DTO, as well as learn more about the details of their workings. No incriminating communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-87	70	93	10	90	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-88	4	84	0	100	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap during this period. No incriminating communications were intercepted during the wiretap period.
	2021-LA-89	44	753	10	90	
	2021-LA-90	42	529	51	49	
	2021-LA-91	1,412	5,963	2	98	
	2021-LA-92	91	711	53	47	This wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately \$500,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-93	65	1,433	7	93	
	2021-LA-94	40	542	71	29	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-95	16	244	90	10	
	2021-LA-96	0	0	0	0	No communications, persons, or incriminating conversations were intercepted due to the two target subjects disconnecting or changing their phone number prior to signing the wires. As a result, the wiretap was never installed.
	2021-LA-97	65	6,274	57	43	No significant seizures were made during the interception period. Still, agents were able to obtain additional information about this DTO; however, no additional wiretap applications were submitted regarding these additional members of the DTO.
	2021-LA-98	0	0	0	0	No communications, persons, or incriminating conversations were intercepted due to the two target subjects disconnecting or changing their phone number prior to signing the wires. As a result, the wire was never installed.
	2021-LA-99	151	458	8	92	
	2021-LA-100	700	19,869	1	99	Targets were involved in a murder investigation and Homicide Investigators are currently working with additional law enforcement agencies who are investigating additional murders involving the targets. It is anticipated that the investigation will result in multiple arrests in the near future.
	2021-LA-101	5	84	63	37	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-102	13	957	39	61	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, agents have seized multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-103	1	16	63	37	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-104	18	1,014	45	55	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-105	22	962	67	33	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-106	5	67	73	27	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigator learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-107	5	266	79	21	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-108	2	236	71	29	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-109	15	1,270	66	34	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-110	3	791	16	84	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-111	5	729	49	51	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-112	6	152	89	11	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-113	13	335	87	13	Targets discontinued use of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-114	23	201	13	87	Target discontinued use of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-115	161	6,340	1	99	Target was involved in a murder investigation and Homicide. Investigators are currently working with additional law enforcement agencies who are investigating murders involving the targets. It is anticipated that the information obtained will lead to arrests in the near future.
	2021-LA-116	108	2,227	67	33	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-117	23	640	29	71	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-118	23	640	29	71	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-119	49	656	68	32	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-120	55	2,913	10	90	Interception of target telephones led to the seizure of approximately \$500,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-121	25	158	84	16	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-122	70	1,112	10	90	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-123	69	491	40	60	Interception of target telephones resulted in the seizure of approximately \$300,000 of narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-124	49	2,544	2	98	Intercepted communications led to the seizure of 69 pounds of methamphetamine and \$137,845 in narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-125	139	1,842	25	75	
	2021-LA-126	24	377	25	75	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-127	54	483	36	64	
	2021-LA-128	136	3,286	6	94	Resulted in the seizure of 2 kilograms of cocaine, 1,400 fentanyl pills, and \$143,600 in narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-129	680	39,792	1	99	Interceptions provided investigators with additional evidence needed to file this case.
	2021-LA-130	46	642	65	35	Resulted in the seizure of 67 kilograms of cocaine and \$1,900,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-131	118	2,036	78	22	Interception of target telephones was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-132	5	83	22	78	Interception of the target telephone was discontinued prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-133	42	532	89	11	Interception of target telephones led to the seizure of 67 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$1,900,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-134	74	1,427	18	82	Resulted in the seizure of approximately \$200,000 of U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-135	152	4,107	1	99	Investigators are actively investigating this case and arrests are anticipated in the near future.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-136	362	11,321	1	99	Investigators are actively investigating this case and arrests are anticipated in the near future.
	2021-LA-137	135	2,701	1	99	Investigators are actively investigating this case and arrests are anticipated in the near future.
	2021-LA-138	218	3,657	1	99	Investigators are actively investigating this case and arrests are anticipated in the near future.
	2021-LA-139	297	6,612	10	90	
	2021-LA-140	207	5,191	13	87	
	2021-LA-141	68	949	33	67	
	2021-LA-142	40	425	72	28	
	2021-LA-143	295	9,312	17	83	
	2021-LA-144	46	423	32	68	
	2021-LA-145	23	104	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted.
	2021-LA-146	46	948	38	62	
	2021-LA-147	274	938	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted.
	2021-LA-148	74	1,079	0	100	Target was involved in and had knowledge of illegal activities involving targets from other wiretaps. In the near future, the target will be arrested along with other targets for illegal crimes committed during this and other wiretaps.
	2021-LA-149	14	69	0	100	DEA continued this investigation. No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-150	30	564	18	82	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-151	127	6,513	6	94	
	2021-LA-152	41	1,137	34	66	
	2021-LA-153	69	488	1	99	
	2021-LA-154	20	53	0	100	No incriminating communications were intercepted.
	2021-LA-155	481	11,335	1	99	Targets were involved in a murder investigation, and the case is currently in the preliminary court proceedings.
	2021-LA-156	30	12,350	23	77	Interception of target telephones resulted in the seizure of approximately \$300,000 in illicit proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-157	68	2,129	25	75	Authorities seized approximately 192 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$1,300,000 of illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-158	85	1,448	65	35	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-159	204	3,880	41	59	Wiretap resulted in the seizure of approximately 48 kilograms of cocaine and approximately \$30,000 of U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-160	17	65	46	54	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures. No subscriber information was available and there are no parties or addresses upon whom to serve an inventory.
	2021-LA-161	24	928	28	72	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-162	73	2,622	5	95	Authorities seized approximately 35 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2021-LA-163	39	1,014	2	98	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-164	93	643	64	36	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-165	135	1,585	52	48	As a result of the wiretap, detectives from the Inland Regional Narcotics Enforcement Team seized 2 kilos of cocaine, 15 pounds of methamphetamine, 1 weapon, and \$128,900 in U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-166	110	1,241	71	29	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-167	129	2,375	29	71	Discontinued interception of target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-168	129	889	90	10	
	2021-LA-169	81	1,375	85	15	
	2021-LA-170	18	320	99	1	
	2021-LA-171	15	265	84	16	
	2021-LA-172	147	2,302	6	94	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-173	219	396	11	89	
	2021-LA-174	31	779	99	1	
	2021-LA-175	79	682	9	91	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-176	133	2,207	35	65	Resulted in the seizure of approximately \$284,500 of U.S. currency.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (Cont'd)	2021-LA-177	8	27	0	100	Agents intercepted several incoming call attempts and two outgoing call attempts, but the calls were never answered. As a result, agents were unable to identify additional members of this DTO. No incriminating communications were intercepted.
	2021-LA-178	55	695	86	14	Authorities seized approximately 19 kilograms of cocaine, 96 pounds of methamphetamine, 13,000 fentanyl pills and \$110,000 of U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-179	45	682	12	88	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap.
	2021-LA-180	6	60	77	23	Authorities seized approximately 34 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-LA-181	186	752	18	82	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, Fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, Fentanyl, heroin, as well as several firearms and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-182	117	3,185	30	70	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-183	133	2,207	35	65	Authorities seized approximately \$212,000 of U.S. currency.
	2021-LA-184	90	1,615	71	29	Authorities seized approximately 80 kilograms of cocaine and \$150,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-185	139	3,513	73	27	Authorities seized approximately \$160,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-LA-186	6	60	77	23	Authorities seized approximately 34 kilograms of cocaine.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-187	182	1,919	64	36	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-188	207	5,299	30	70	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-189	51	2,594	37	63	During this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotic proceeds.
	2021-LA-190	37	518	62	38	Target discontinued use of the target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-191	98	840	1	99	During the wiretap investigation, investigators learned the ins and outs of younger gang members. Authorities located illegal weapons and large sums of money. The Los Angeles County District Attorney's Office declined to charge the subject.
	2021-LA-192	486	18,449	1	99	During the wiretap, investigators learned the ins and outs of younger gang members. Authorities arrested two suspects for murder. Authorities also located weapons connecting the suspects to a multitude of additional murders and crimes throughout Southern California.
	2021-LA-193	219	1,493	30	70	Resulted in the seizure of approximately \$100,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-194	134	4,117	10	90	No significant seizures of narcotics or currency were made during this period as a result of this wiretap. However, additional targets were intercepted during this period, leading to additional wiretaps that furthered this investigation.
	2021-LA-195	36	361	6	94	During the wiretap, investigators learned the ins and outs of younger gang members. Authorities arrested two suspects for murder. Authorities also located weapons connecting the suspects to a multitude of additional murders and crimes throughout Southern California.
	2021-LA-196	55	1,010	2	98	During the wiretap, investigators learned the ins and outs of younger gang members. Authorities arrested two suspects for murder. In addition, authorities located weapons connecting the suspects to a multitude of additional murders and crimes throughout Southern California.
	2021-LA-197	59	227	15	85	Agents learned one of the target subjects is known to be a Los Angeles County-based broker of multiple pounds of methamphetamine and kilograms of cocaine. Additionally, the target subjects listed in this wiretap frequently change phones and/or phone numbers to avoid law enforcement detection and to continue distributing narcotics in Los Angeles County and beyond. There were no seizures related to this wiretap.
	2021-LA-198	147	2,916	34	66	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-LA-199	272	3,212	13	87	During the interception period, agents seized approximately 42 pounds of methamphetamine from the wiretap target and an associate. Agents made two arrests.
Madera	2021-MAD-1	2,361	7,816	3	97	Authorities seized over \$400,000 worth of drugs, including, 3400 Fentanyl pills, 23 pounds of methamphetamine, 24 pounds of marijuana, 3 pounds of heroin, cocaine, and concentrated cannabis, along with 14 firearms, , and \$64,874 cash.
	2021-MAD-2	1,299	14,806	1	99	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-3	1,248	3,360	2	98	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-4	545	1,652	3	97	See 2021-MAD-1

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Madera (cont'd)	2021-MAD-5	755	1,277	6	94	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-6	420	663	2	98	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-7	512	1,250	7	93	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-8	90	99	11	89	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-9	1	2	50	50	See 2021-MAD-1
Mono	2021-MON-1	482	10,209	12	88	Wiretap identified the least culpable involved, identified which suspect to contact first as well as the remaining suspects' intended plans to flee.
Monterey	2021-MTY-1	190	12,688	75	25	See 2021-MTY-3.
	2021-MTY-2	63	6,078	97	3	See 2021-MTY-3.
	2021-MTY-3	218	1,126	100	0	As a result of the intercepts during this investigation, officers seized 50 pounds of methamphetamine, 8 pounds of heroin, and 24,000 prescription pills that contained fentanyl. The collective street value of the seized narcotics exceeded \$1,000,000. This wiretap operation was also instrumental in uncovering an active murder conspiracy amongst traffickers targeting a resident of Monterey County.
	2021-MTY-4	10	55	100	0	See 2021-MTY-3.
	2021-MTY-5	20	728	3	97	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
	2021-MTY-6	20	72	8	92	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
	2021-MTY-7	20	178	1	99	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
	2021-MTY-8	20	9	0	100	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
	2021-MTY-9	20	106	4	96	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
	2021-MTY-10	20	75	0	100	Interceptions facilitated connection between homicide suspects, but case is ongoing.
Orange	2021-OR-1	60	456	4	96	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrest of 2 suspects for conspiracy to murder the victim.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Orange (cont'd)	2021-OR-2	115	13,297	19	81	This wiretap investigation focused on outside "secretaries" for incarcerated members of the Mexican Mafia and associates and resulted in the seizure of multiple quantities of methamphetamine, heroin and fentanyl.
	2021-OR-3	26	643	6	94	Wiretap resulted in the arrest of a murder suspect.
	2021-OR-4	11	325	1	99	This wiretap investigation resulted in the arrest of one suspect for murder and another suspect for accessory thereto.
	2021-OR-5	133	3,666	4	96	This wiretap assisted homicide detectives in learning the whereabouts of targets believed to be involved in a cold case murder. Evidence from the wiretap operation produced circumstantial evidence of the persons responsible for the crime. Information gathered from this investigation also helped authorities discover new witnesses to be interviewed.
	2021-OR-6	60	1,530	10	90	Interceptions were instrumental in the seizure of the following: 12,896 grams of methamphetamine, 11,963 grams of fentanyl, 149 grams of heroin, 2 handguns, and \$46,567 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-OR-7	187	13,252	29	71	Interceptions were instrumental in the seizure of the following: 15,176 grams of methamphetamine, 8,020 grams of fentanyl, 259 grams of heroin, 7 handguns, and \$34,468 in illicit narcotics proceeds. It also resulted in the recovery of 7 stolen vehicles.
	2021-OR-8	48	18,125	1	99	
	Riverside	2020-RIV-65	498	15,044	6	94
	2020-RIV-92	653	35,009	10	90	During this interception, agents seized approximately \$132,000 of narcotics proceeds and two kilograms of cocaine.
	2020-RIV-93	645	23,142	20	80	During the course of this intercept order, there were no significant events to report.
	2020-RIV-95	233	12,439	15	85	During this interception, agents seized 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2020-RIV-97	1,344	25,027	41	59	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2020-RIV-108	64	2,746	22	78	During this interception period, agents seized 4 kilograms of cocaine, 1 kilogram of black tar heroin, 1 pound of brown heroin, 1 pound of methamphetamine, 22,000 counterfeit fentanyl laced M30 Oxycodone pills, 3 AR-15 rifles (one with a grenade launcher attachment), 1 AR-10 rifle, 1 AK-47 rifle, and 1 handgun.
	2020-RIV-112	224	4,226	18	82	During this interception, agents seized approximately 12 pounds of heroin.
	2020-RIV-113	175	3,304	10	90	There were no significant events to report during the period of authorized interception.
	2020-RIV-114	2	48	88	12	Interception of the target telephones resulted in agents learning the DTO's methods of operation.
	2020-RIV-115	31	1,281	51	49	There were no significant events to report during the period of authorized interception.
	2020-RIV-116	421	8,804	35	65	
	2020-RIV-117	181	6,794	9	91	
	2020-RIV-118	4	39	3	97	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2020-RIV-119	404	12,309	21	79	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2020-RIV-120	286	9,687	5	95	Authorities seized approximately 10 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2021-RIV-1	421	13,886	26	74	There were no significant events to report during the period of authorized interception.
	2021-RIV-2	41	742	51	49	
	2021-RIV-3	81	4,093	23	77	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-4	310	7,417	32	68	
	2021-RIV-5	33	972	8	92	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-6	28	1,106	19	81	
	2021-RIV-7	37	360	41	59	Resulted in the seizure of 49 kilograms of cocaine and 14 pounds of methamphetamine.
	2021-RIV-8	27	775	29	71	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-9	59	985	24	76	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-10	121	5,137	1	99	
	2021-RIV-11	42	947	34	66	Resulted in the seizure of \$48,250 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-12	2	43	0.5	99	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-13	115	3,945	48	52	Authorities seized approximately 5 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-RIV-14	67	2,215	23	77	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-15	138	1,280	32	68	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-16	319	12,108	16	84	During this interception period, agents seized 4 kilograms of black tar heroin.
	2021-RIV-17	220	3,062	15	85	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-18	79	1,263	1	99	
	2021-RIV-19	137	2,223	30	70	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-20	57	1,183	16	84	During this interception, agents seized approximately 105.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 10 kilograms of cocaine, two kilograms of black tar heroin, and 43,000 fentanyl laced counterfeit M30 Oxycodone pills.
	2021-RIV-21	4	84	15	85	
	2021-RIV-22	212	5,842	42	58	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-23	32	4,325	1	99	
	2021-RIV-24	25	237	41	59	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-25	326	8,066	6	94	
	2021-RIV-26	46	3,387	5	95	
	2021-RIV-27	154	5,272	5	95	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-28	247	8,538	19	81	During the course of this intercept order, authorities seized \$514,140 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-29	79	2,558	16	84	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-30	385	10,894	34	66	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-31	138	1,743	12	88	
	2021-RIV-32	9	176	1	99	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-33	107	3,968	76	24	Wiretap resulted in 7 felony arrests for numerous narcotic violations. Authorities also seized a total of 5.15 pounds of methamphetamine, 4.1 grams of heroin, 10.4 grams of cocaine, 100 fentanyl pills, 128 fluid ounces of Gamma-hydroxybutyrate, and 1 firearm.
	2021-RIV-34	88	4,342	36	64	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-35	55	3,609	2	98	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-36	115	3,809	16	84	
	2021-RIV-37	116	2,374	35	65	There were no significant events to report during the course of this intercept order.
	2021-RIV-38	14	61	67	33	During the course of this intercept order, investigators seized \$67,285 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-39	98	1,700	64	36	
	2021-RIV-40	174	11,607	42	58	Agents seized 15 kilograms of cocaine.
	2021-RIV-41	176	5,294	46	54	
	2021-RIV-42	21	90	2	98	
	2021-RIV-43	15	1,074	13	87	Due to technical issues, the target told callers they were not receiving incoming calls. Target ended up not using this phone and got a new phone. No arrests were made during this wiretap.
	2021-RIV-44	29	240	2	98	Discontinued interception of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-45	233	16,662	13	87	This wiretap operation resulted in the seizure of \$606,383 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-46	54	3,625	6	94	
	2021-RIV-47	55	964	14	86	
	2021-RIV-48	23	531	16	84	Intercepted communications began having technical issues with the target telephone shortly after wiretap started. Nevertheless, as a direct result of intercepted communications, law enforcement obtained a search warrant, leading to the seizure of 1.5 pounds of methamphetamine. One person was arrested and released. Case not filed yet.
	2021-RIV-49	122	4,292	25	75	During this period of interception, agents seized \$414,636 of narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-50	92	512	21	79	
	2021-RIV-51	879	24,155	12	88	During this interception period, agents seized \$1,068,351 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-52	18	374	26	74	
	2021-RIV-53	19	323	7	93	
	2021-RIV-54	76	3,006	24	76	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-55	11	1,946	15	85	San Bernardino Gang Unit conducted a probation search at a residence in Hesperia and located approximately 18 ounces of suspected heroin. One person was arrested. 4 search warrants were served simultaneously in the Coachella Valley. Approximately 2 pounds of heroin, 3 firearms and over \$15,000 in U.S. currency were seized as a result of the search warrants. Two arrests were made.
	2021-RIV-56	367	18,397	11	89	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-57	48	2,549	36	64	Agents seized 19 kilograms of cocaine and \$22,000 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-58	110	2,878	14	86	
	2021-RIV-59	0	35	0	100	During this monitoring period, the Target Subject discontinued use of the Target Telephone.
	2021-RIV-60	239	1,295	24	76	
	2021-RIV-61	37	494	72	28	
	2021-RIV-62	181	11,301	10	90	Wiretap resulted in seizure of \$319,558 in narcotics proceeds and 1 firearm.
	2021-RIV-63	53	806	23	77	
	2021-RIV-64	54	1,078	23	77	During this interception, agents seized \$301,575 in narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-65	3	517	15	85	San Bernardino Gang Unit conducted a probation search at a residence in Hesperia and located approximately 18 ounces of suspected heroin. One person was arrested. 4 search warrants were served simultaneously in the Coachella Valley. . Approximately 2 pounds of heroin, 3 firearms and over \$15,000 were seized as a result of the search warrants. Two arrests were made.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-66	70	8,459	25	75	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-67	132	5,890	35	65	During this interception, agents seized \$522,940 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-68	27	1,550	7	93	
	2021-RIV-69	23	250	49	51	
	2021-RIV-70	21	966	37	63	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-71	31	1,804	8	92	During this interception, agents seized \$424,010 in illicit narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-72	51	850	7	93	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-73	0	0	0	100	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-74	74	2,380	11	89	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-75	52	2,085	28	72	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-76	165	5,944	17	83	
	2021-RIV-77	26	849	32	68	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-78	370	7,211	12	88	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-79	2	8	0	100	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-80	74	1,646	25	75	
	2021-RIV-82	75	3,290	18	82	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-83	105	309	1	99	

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-84	111	621	0	100	During the course of this investigation, agents learned the method of operation of the DTO and identified other targets, leading to additional wiretaps. Investigators learned that the target subjects are trafficking heroin, fentanyl, cocaine, and methamphetamine in several counties and other states. Thus far, interception has led to the seizure of multiple pounds of methamphetamine, fentanyl, heroin, several firearms, and narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-85	30	1,984	1	99	
	2021-RIV-86	209	4,932	7	93	Wiretap resulted in the seizure of \$200,000 in narcotics proceeds.
	2021-RIV-89	105	5,761	10	90	The interceptions identified three sources of supply for the target. Wiretap resulted in the seizure of 5 pounds of methamphetamine as well as \$2,400. The target was dealing street level quantities from his residence multiple times a day. The target's narcotic operations were shut down with the use of the interceptions.
	2021-RIV-90	9	26	0	100	During this monitoring period, the target discontinued use of the target telephone.
	2021-RIV-91	0	0	0	100	
	2021-RIV-92	23	444	31	69	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-93	49	2,531	42	58	Agents seized \$567,655 in illicit narcotics proceeds, 4 kilograms of cocaine, 1 pound of methamphetamine, and .25 pounds of heroin.
	2021-RIV-94	471	7,517	17	83	Wiretap resulted in the seizures of 3.1 pounds of heroin and 1 pound of methamphetamine.
	2021-RIV-95	2	135	0	100	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-96	27	656	17	83	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-97	60	1,248	14	86	
	2021-RIV-100	44	997	1	99	
	2021-RIV-101	222	10,238	14	86	Wiretap resulted in the recovery of 6.4 pounds of fentanyl M30 pills, 1 kilogram of heroin, 9.1 pounds of methamphetamine, and 1 handgun.
	2021-RIV-102	62	958	16	84	Resulted in the seizure of \$106,910 in illicit narcotics proceeds.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-105	61	1,758	40	60	Target discontinued use of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-107	8	83	83	17	
	2021-RIV-108	48	946	16	84	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-110	66	2,346	30	70	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-111	73	7,125	24	76	During this monitoring period, agents learned that the target subject was involved in large narcotics transactions. In addition, the target subject was involved in two shootings. As a result, authorities arrested 1 individual. The wiretap also resulted in the seizure of 3 handguns, 1 AR-15 rifle, 1 AK-47 sub-machine gun, and large amounts of narcotics.
	2021-RIV-113	23	259	4	96	Discontinued interception of target telephones prior to any seizures.
	2021-RIV-114	0	27	0	100	Target subject discontinued use of the target telephone prior to any seizures.
Sacramento	2021-SAC-1	111	523	12	78	The interceptions provided crucial evidence to charge a suspect with the murder of a 17-year-old victim.
	2021-SAC-3	3,043	99,952	15.6	84.4	From May 2021 to November 2021, the Sacramento Police Department collaborated with the CA DOJ/CHP Special Operations Unit and the Sacramento County District Attorney's Office to assist with the investigation of the gang members relating to multiple shootings and homicides that occurred in the city of Sacramento and surrounding area. During the investigation, investigators initiated wiretaps on 12 cellular telephones and 18 social media accounts. As a result of the investigation, 23 residential search warrants were served, 26 felony arrests were made, over 100 firearms were recovered, \$26,000 in U.S. currency was seized, and various amounts of narcotics were seized. Interceptions led to the discovery of a homicide weapon used to murder a juvenile victim, and the arrest of the primary and associate suspects. Additionally, interceptions led to the arrest of a suspect for a double attempted homicide, and the arrest of two additional homicide suspects.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Sacramento (cont'd)	2021-SAC-2	0	0	0	0	No oral communications were audibly captured by the inserted electronic listening device (throw phone) during an emergency barricade situation.
	2021-SAC-4	7	1,444	1	99	Target was involved in two homicide investigations.
San Bernardino	2021-SBD-1	3,258	9,890	9	91	
	2021-SBD-2	127	5,746	18	82	
	2021-SBD-3	665	4,063	1	99	Target of the interception is believed to use applications to conduct illegal activity. As a result, criminal interceptions were limited.
	2021-SBD-4	1,295	2,615	18	82	
	2021-SBD-5	527	2,012	15	85	As a result of the wiretap, law enforcement prevented a large quantity of illegal narcotics from being introduced into the community.
	2021-SBD-6	124	2,909	30	70	Authorities seized illegal narcotics, including 2 pounds of methamphetamine, which was intercepted via UPS in Illinois.
	2021-SBD-7	1,837	9,585	15	85	
	2021-SBD-8	40	1,428	22	78	Resulted in the seizure of illegal narcotics.
	2021-SBD-9	0	0	0	0	Wiretap was never installed.
	2021-SBD-10	29	75	8	91	
	2021-SBD-11	173	1,471	16	84	Resulted in the seizure of narcotics and money.
	2021-SBD-12	1,181	30,632	2	98	Wiretap resulted in the discovery of illegal gambling locations and the seizure of 2.46 pounds of heroin, 1.12 pounds of methamphetamine, as well as 2 firearms and ammunition.
	2021-SBD-13	421	5,803	6	93.81	
	2021-SBD-14	1,005	45,577	10	90	The wiretap assisted investigators in identifying targets and co-conspirators in the distribution of narcotics, illegal firearms, illegal possession of firearms, illegal transfer of firearms, and the establishments of illegal gaming locations throughout San Bernardino and surrounding cities, all for the benefit of the gang. Based on these interceptions, law enforcement seized narcotics and countless illegal firearms.
	2021-SBD-15	108	8,098	0.02	99	Wiretap did not yield any impactful results.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2021-SBD-16	239	192,059	4	99	The wiretap assisted investigators in identifying co-conspirators in the distribution of narcotics, illegal firearms, illegal possession of firearms, and the illegal transfer of firearms. This resulted in the seizure of illegal narcotics, narcotics proceeds, and firearms.
	2021-SBD-17	328	10,811	10	90	The wiretap assisted investigators in identifying targets and co-conspirators in the distribution of narcotics, illegal firearms, illegal possession of firearms, illegal transfer of firearms, and the establishments of illegal gaming locations throughout San Bernardino and surrounding cities, all for the benefit of the gang. Based on these interceptions, law enforcement was able to seize narcotics and illegal firearms in various counties, including San Bernardino, Los Angeles and Orange.
San Diego	2021-SD-1	311	11,067	19	81	As a result of the intercepts, authorities were able to disrupt the DTO's daily trafficking of narcotics. The information obtained through the intercepts also assisted agents/TFO's in seizing illegal weapons. The seizure of these weapons may have prevented violence on the streets of our community.
	2021-SD-2	0	0	0	0	The interception was authorized but not utilized because the target of the investigation stopped using the target telephone authorized by the wiretap order. As a result, no communications were intercepted.
	2021-SD-3	304	9,782	9	91	Based on the interceptions, law enforcement was able to confirm that the DTO was trafficking cocaine and fentanyl pills. The DTO was utilizing the Postal Service to mail pills to Connecticut and Maryland.
	2021-SD-4	769	5,355	3	97	
	2021-SD-5	111	678	7	93	Calls were intercepted relating to a planned shooting. Investigators were also able to intercept a meeting between targets in which they transported and shipped narcotics.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
San Diego (cont'd)	2021-SD-6	33	3,200	12	88	Interception provided investigators with insight regarding the target's state of mind, including his mindset regarding the crime and/or the victim. Investigators were also able to identify additional potential witnesses based on their relationship with the target.
	2021-SD-7	0	0	0	0	The Court denied this wiretap application due to the absence of current available narcotic information and delayed responses to social media search warrant orders. *Wire order number 21-08 was reused and assigned to a subsequent and separate wire investigation. (See EICOS No. 2021-SD-5)
San Mateo	2021-SM-1	30	33,334	19.4	80.6	This wire interception was critical in producing probable cause for three murder suspects. The interception enabled investigators to confirm important fact patterns established in the initial investigation. This interception was the first social media wiretap in San Mateo County and demonstrated law enforcement's ability to obtain evidence under a new communication platform.
	2021-SM-2	89	1,799	2.8	97.2	This was the first combined telephonic/social media wire in the history of the San Mateo Police Department. Complicated criminal investigation spanning six months and across state lines. Targets made some incriminating statements; however, targets relied heavily on "FaceTime" calls and 3rd-party end-to-end encrypted applications, such as WeChat, to communicate (which were not captured by the intercept). Wire interception was most successful in conjunction with a simultaneous Grand Jury proceeding.
Santa Barbara	2021-SBA-1	97	4,459	6	94	Wiretap was used in a cold case murder, but did not yield any helpful information. The investigation remains ongoing.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura	2021-VE-1	1,228	81,825	50	50	Resulted in the discovery of a multi-location brothel organization operating in Ventura County. The arrested subjects were exploiting multiple female victims by benefiting from their commercial sex in brothels located in throughout California. A subsequent search revealed \$550,000 hidden in various locations.
	2021-VE-2	3	3,100	15	85	The wiretap resulted in the identification of a Southern California DTO that was importing illegal narcotics from Mexico and distributing in Ventura County. The investigation resulted in the seizure of approximately 11.89 pounds of methamphetamine, 1.5 pounds of cocaine, 300 Tramadol pills, and \$26,320. Authorities arrested 2 individuals and those cases are still pending.
	2021-VE-3	563	30,774	4	96	This wiretap led to 4 arrests for the unlawful possession of 5 assault rifles, 5 rifles, 4 shotguns, 8 handguns, cocaine, and psilocybin mushrooms.
	2021-VE-4	463	5,053	9.2	90.8	The intercepts specifically targeted homicide suspects and conversations about the re-opening of a homicide case from the 1990s. Investigators used a series of methods to stimulate conversations by the targets and were successful in gaining admissions in the involvement of the suspects. The case has been presented for prosecution and an arrest is pending.
	2021-VE-5	193	91,389	1	99	Interceptions facilitated the seizure of the following: 2 illegal handguns, \$4428 in suspected drug sales proceeds, 19 grams of methamphetamine, 8 grams of fentanyl, 3,174 pounds of marijuana, 4,682 grams of cannabis concentrate, an unlicensed/illegal THC concentrate lab, and 9.9 grams of psilocybin. Additionally, incriminating interceptions assisted the FBI in the pending federal indictment of one target subject for related crimes in another state.

Table 4
Description of Communications Obtained and
Usefulness of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Approximate No. of Persons Whose Communications Were Intercepted	Total No. of Communications Intercepted	Nature & Frequency (Penal Code § 629.62(9))		Comments on Usefulness of Intercept ³
				Incriminating Communications (%)	Other Communications (%)	
Ventura (cont'd)	2021-VE-6	3	4,978	16	84	The wire interception allowed for identification of members of a Ventura County-based DTO. The organization was distributing cocaine throughout Ventura County, as well as throughout the United States via a postal service. The investigation yielded the seizure of approximately 3 kilograms of cocaine, 1 pound of methamphetamine, 2,000 fentanyl pills, \$300,000 dollars, and 1 handgun.
	2021-VE-7	6	10,076	24	76	See 2021-VE-06
	2021-VE-8	647	18,845	13	87	Wiretap assisted investigators in identifying members of a violent street gang involved in illegal narcotics activity, extortions, assaults, and stash houses for controlled substances. As a result of this investigation, detectives were able to disrupt the flow of illegal narcotics and illegal firearms within the community as well as disrupt criminal street gangs operating within Ventura County. Additionally, as a direct result of intercepted communications working in conjunction with physical surveillance, law enforcement made 1 felony arrest and seized illegally possessed controlled substances intended for distribution. This investigation is still ongoing.
	2021-VE-9	3	9,471	13	87	The wiretap revealed the identification of a Southern California DTO that was distributing narcotics in Ventura County. The investigation resulted in the seizure of approximately 12.5 pounds of methamphetamine, 1.5 pounds of cocaine, 111 grams of crack cocaine, hundreds of narcotics and non-narcotic scheduled pills, 3 firearms, and \$67,535. Authorities arrested 5 suspects and those cases are still pending.
	2020-VE-14	326	16,081	19	81	Assisted investigators in identifying gang members involved in illegal firearm activity, illegal narcotics activity, and stash houses for firearms and controlled substances. Authorities located and seized 16 illegal firearms, approximately 11 pounds of methamphetamine, 5 pounds of heroin, cocaine, and fentanyl, which had an impact on communities within Ventura County. Several arrests were made and are still pending prosecution.

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Contra Costa	2021-CC-1	Terrell, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C Cope	3/29/2022	12/29/2021		
	2021-CC-2	Terrell, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C Cope	3/29/2022	12/29/2021		
	2021-CC-3	Terrell, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C Cope	3/29/2022	12/29/2021		
	2021-CC-4	Coffin, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. Theresa Canepa	3/15/2022			
	2021-CC-5	Gerber/Cox, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C. Cope	7/8/2021		6/29/2021	220
	2021-CC-6	Gerber/Cox, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C. Cope	7/8/2021		6/29/2021	See 2021-CC-5
	2021-CC-7	Gerber/Smith, Detective	Diana Becton	Hon. John C. Cope	7/8/2021		6/29/2021	See 2021-CC-5
Fresno	2022-FR-1	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	24
	2022-FR-2	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-3	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-4	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-5	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-6	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-7	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/3/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-8	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	8/13/2021		7/9/2021	
	2022-FR-9	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	2,151
	2022-FR-10	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-11	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-12	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-13	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-14	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Fresno (cont'd)	2022-FR-15	Mathis, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-16	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-17	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-18	Guzman, Deputy	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	1/5/2022		12/30/2021	See 2022-FR-9
	2022-FR-19	Vallez, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	9/1/2021		10/1/2021	25
	2022-FR-20	Vallez, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	9/1/2021		10/1/2021	See 2022-FR-19
	2022-FR-21	Vallez, Detective	Lisa Smittcamp	Hon. Alvin Harrell III	9/1/2021		10/1/2021	See 2022-FR-19
Kern	2021-KE-1	Perez, Detective	Cynthia Zimmer	Hon. John R. Brownlee	5/28/2021		5/28/2021	0
	2021-KE-2	Perez, Detective	Cynthia Zimmer	Hon. John R. Brownlee	5/28/2021		5/28/2021	0
Los Angeles	2021-LA-1	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-2	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-3	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-4	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-5	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-6	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-7	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-8	Gutierrez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-9	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-10	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-11	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-12	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-13	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-14	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-15	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-16	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-17	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-18	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-19	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-20	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-21	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-22	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-23	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-24	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-25	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-26	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-27	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/19/2021		5/4/2021	1
	2021-LA-28	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2021	5/11/2021	8/9/2021	1
	2021-LA-29	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/13/2021		5/20/2021	0
	2021-LA-30	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/19/2021		5/4/2021	3
	2021-LA-31	Buccat, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2021		4/6/2021	1
	2021-LA-32	Buccat, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2021		8/9/2021	2
	2021-LA-33	Buccat, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/1/2021		4/1/2021	0

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-34	Buccat, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-35	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/19/2021		5/4/2021	2
	2021-LA-36	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-37	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler				Approved But Never Installed
	2021-LA-38	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/27/2021		8/27/2021	0
	2021-LA-39	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/3/2021		11/4/2021	2
	2021-LA-40	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/7/2021		5/7/2021	0
	2021-LA-41	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/13/2021		5/20/2021	1
	2021-LA-42	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2021	5/11/2021	8/9/2021	1
	2021-LA-43	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/3/2021		11/4/2021	1
	2021-LA-44	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	10
	2021-LA-45	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2021	5/11/2021	8/9/2021	1
	2021-LA-46	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	5/11/2021		5/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-47	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/23/2021	8/5/2021	11/30/2021	2
	2021-LA-48	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	2
	2021-LA-49	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	0
	2021-LA-50	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1
	2021-LA-51	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1
	2021-LA-52	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2021		11/16/2021	5
	2021-LA-53	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-54	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-55	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1
	2021-LA-56	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/22/2021		6/24/2021	3
	2021-LA-57	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1
	2021-LA-58	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-59	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2021		11/16/2021	2
	2021-LA-60	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-61	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/22/2021		8/9/2021	3
	2021-LA-62	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/22/2021		8/9/2021	1
	2021-LA-63	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	6/30/2021		7/13/2021	1
	2021-LA-64	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-65	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-66	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-67	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-68	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-69	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2021		11/16/2021	14
	2021-LA-70	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-71	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/9/2021		8/9/2021	0
	2021-LA-72	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/11/2021			
	2021-LA-73	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021	9/16/2021	12/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-74	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	8/9/2021		8/16/2021	1
	2021-LA-75	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-76	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	7/29/2021		8/9/2021	1
	2021-LA-77	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-78	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021	10/8/2021	1/6/2022	6
	2021-LA-79	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-80	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	2
	2021-LA-81	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	9/7/2021		9/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-82	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-83	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2021		10/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-84	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-85	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-86	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-87	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021	10/8/2021	1/6/2022	1
	2021-LA-88	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2021		11/5/2021	0
	2021-LA-89	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022	10/28/2021		
	2021-LA-90	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-91	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-92	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/13/2021		10/14/2021	6
	2021-LA-93	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2022	11/19/2021		
	2021-LA-94	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021	10/8/2021	1/6/2022	3
	2021-LA-95	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/25/2022	10/27/2021		
	2021-LA-96	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/4/2021			Approved But Never Installed

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-97	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/1/2022	11/3/2021		
	2021-LA-98	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/11/2021			Approved But Never Installed
	2021-LA-99	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-100	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-101	Carrillo, Detective	Joseph Esposito	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/10/2022	10/12/2021		
	2021-LA-102	Carrillo, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/10/2022	10/12/2021		
	2021-LA-103	Carrillo, Detective	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/5/2022	1/5/2022		
	2021-LA-104	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/20/2021	7/22/2021		
	2021-LA-105	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-106	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-107	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-108	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-109	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-110	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-111	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-112	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-113	Ubovich, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/2/2021		4/6/2021	2
	2021-LA-114	Bucchat, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/2/2021		4/6/2021	1
	2021-LA-115	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-116	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/23/2021		11/30/2021	2
	2021-LA-117	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/10/2022	12/10/2021		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-118	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	2
	2021-LA-119	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	12/6/2021		
	2021-LA-120	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	1
	2021-LA-121	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021		12/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-122	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021		12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-123	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021		12/14/2021	4
	2021-LA-124	Gomez, TFO	Jackie Lacey	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/5/2021		11/16/2021	11
	2021-LA-125	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-126	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-127	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-128	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-129	Saldana, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/17/2021		12/23/2021	68
	2021-LA-130	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/23/2021		11/30/2021	3
	2021-LA-131	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/10/2022	12/10/2021		
	2021-LA-132	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	1
	2021-LA-133	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-134	Ubovich, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021		12/14/2021	1
	2021-LA-135	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-136	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/2/2021			
	2021-LA-137	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/16/2022	10/18/2021		
	2021-LA-138	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/16/2022	10/18/2021		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-139	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-140	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/12/2022	1/12/2022		
	2021-LA-141	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2022	1/7/2022		
	2021-LA-142	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/21/2022			
	2021-LA-143	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022			
	2021-LA-144	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/22/2022			
	2021-LA-145	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/30/2022	12/30/2021		
	2021-LA-146	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022			
	2021-LA-147	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/26/2022			
	2021-LA-148	Gonzales, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-LA-149	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/15/2022			
	2021-LA-150	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/22/2022			
	2021-LA-151	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/3/2022			
	2021-LA-152	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/5/2022			
	2021-LA-153	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/3/2022			
	2021-LA-154	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/5/2022			
	2021-LA-155	Rodriguez, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	11/4/2021		11/16/2021	372
	2021-LA-156	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-157	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/11/2022			
	2021-LA-158	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021	11/23/2021	1/6/2022	2
	2021-LA-159	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/18/2022			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-160	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/28/2021		12/28/2021	0
	2021-LA-161	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2022			
	2021-LA-162	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	4/7/2022	1/7/2022		
	2021-LA-163	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/30/2021		1/6/2022	1
	2021-LA-164	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/15/2022			
	2021-LA-165	Iniguez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2022			
	2021-LA-166	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	12/10/2021		12/14/2021	2
	2021-LA-167	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2022			
	2021-LA-168	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/19/2022			
	2021-LA-169	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2022			
	2021-LA-170	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2022			
	2021-LA-171	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/24/2022			
	2021-LA-172	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/4/2022			
	2021-LA-173	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/26/2022			
	2021-LA-174	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/9/2022			
	2021-LA-175	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/17/2022			
	2021-LA-176	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2022			
	2021-LA-177	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/23/2022			
	2021-LA-178	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/23/2022			
	2021-LA-179	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/25/2022			
	2021-LA-180	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2022			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-181	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/6/2022	1/6/2022		
	2021-LA-182	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	1/12/2022			
	2021-LA-183	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2022			
	2021-LA-184	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/12/2022			
	2021-LA-185	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022			
	2021-LA-186	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/1/2022			
	2021-LA-187	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/19/2022			
	2021-LA-188	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/5/2022			
	2021-LA-189	Carrillo, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/11/2022			
	2021-LA-190	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/10/2022			
	2021-LA-191	Glockson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2021		10/18/2021	155
	2021-LA-192	Glockson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2021		10/18/2021	157
	2021-LA-193	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/5/2022			
	2021-LA-194	Gomez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	2/5/2022			
	2021-LA-195	Glockson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2021		10/18/2021	155
	2021-LA-196	Glockson, Detective	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	10/14/2021		10/18/2021	160
	2021-LA-197	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/17/2022			
	2021-LA-198	Martinez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/19/2022			
	2021-LA-199	Gutierrez, TFO	George Gascon	Hon. Larry P. Fidler	3/29/2022			
Madera	2021-MAD-1	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/27/2021		5/18/2021	37
	2021-MAD-2	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/27/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Madera (cont'd)	2021-MAD-3	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/9/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-4	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/13/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-5	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/27/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-6	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	6/27/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-7	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	7/4/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-8	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	7/4/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
	2021-MAD-9	Gordon, Detective	Sally Moreno	Hon. Mitchell Rigby	7/4/2021		5/18/2021	See 2021-MAD-1
Mono	2021-MON-1	Pelichowski, Mono	Tim Kendall	Hon. Mark Magit	6/24/2021		5/25/2021	225
Monterey	2021-MTY-1	Miller, Detective	Jeannine Pacioni	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	12/9/2021		11/1/2021	190
	2021-MTY-2	Hart, Detective	Berkley Brannon	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	12/4/2021		11/1/2021	63
	2021-MTY-3	Miller, Detective	Jeannine Pacioni	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	12/4/2021		11/1/2021	218
	2021-MTY-4	Miller, Detective	Jeannine Pacioni	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	12/12/2021		11/1/2021	10
	2021-MTY-5	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
	2021-MTY-6	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
	2021-MTY-7	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
	2021-MTY-8	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
	2021-MTY-9	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
	2021-MTY-10	Sanchez, Detective	Matthew L'Heureux	Hon. Rafael Vazquez	1/19/2022	10/13/2021		
Orange	2021-OR-1	Leever, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	3/18/2022	12/16/2021		
	2021-OR-2	Leever, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Lance P. Jensen	7/23/2021		7/27/2021	24
	2021-OR-3	Kim, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	11/4/2021		11/9/2021	26

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Orange (cont'd)	2021-OR-4	Kim, Investigator	Shawn Nelson (designee)	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	11/4/2021		11/8/2021	11
	2021-OR-5	Gibbons, Detective	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	1/18/2022			
	2021-OR-6	Schroeder, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	7/8/2021		7/13/2021	31
	2021-OR-7	Schroeder, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	7/8/2021		7/13/2021	11
	2021-OR-8	Calder, Investigator	Todd Spitzer	Hon. Kimberly Menninger	2/16/2022			
Riverside	2020-RIV-65	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	5/12/2021		3/19/2021	179
	2020-RIV-92	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	6/25/2021		8/3/2021	11
	2020-RIV-93	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	7/9/2021	1/5/2022		
	2020-RIV-95	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	5/14/2021		6/25/2021	9
	2020-RIV-97	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	12/23/2021		1/11/2022	347
	2020-RIV-108	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	5/14/2021		6/25/2021	7
	2020-RIV-112	Pope, TFO	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN	Hon. MAC R. FISHER	7/23/2021		8/20/2021	9
	2020-RIV-113	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	4/30/2021	1/25/2022		
	2020-RIV-114	Carrillo, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	4/14/2021		4/14/2021	0
	2020-RIV-115	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	4/13/2021	1/8/2022		
	2020-RIV-116	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	12/24/2021		1/11/2022	137
	2020-RIV-117	Iniguez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	4/16/2021		3/9/2021	95
	2020-RIV-118	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	4/5/2021		5/10/2021	1
	2020-RIV-119	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	10/1/2021		10/29/2021	7
	2020-RIV-120	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	5/14/2021	2/9/2022		
	2021-RIV-1	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/29/2021	3/29/2022		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-2	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/7/2021		3/8/2021	16
	2021-RIV-3	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/2/2021		8/3/2021	8
	2021-RIV-4	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/16/2021	1/12/2022		
	2021-RIV-5	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/21/2021		6/25/2021	1
	2021-RIV-6	Payne, DETECTIVE	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/23/2021		3/31/2021	10
	2021-RIV-7	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	6/16/2021	3/13/2022		
	2021-RIV-8	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/28/2021		6/28/2021	1
	2021-RIV-9	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	5/28/2021		6/28/2021	7
	2021-RIV-10	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/3/2021		5/6/2021	67
	2021-RIV-11	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/11/2021	3/8/2022		
	2021-RIV-12	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	6/1/2021		4/6/2021	1
	2021-RIV-13	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	9/3/2021		10/18/2021	20
	2021-RIV-14	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/16/2021		8/3/2021	7
	2021-RIV-15	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. David A. Gunn	7/23/2021	1/19/2022		
	2021-RIV-16	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/24/2021		10/18/2021	7
	2021-RIV-17	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/30/2021	1/25/2022		
	2021-RIV-18	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/1/2021		5/6/2021	42
	2021-RIV-19	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/16/2021	1/12/2022		
	2021-RIV-20	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/16/2021		8/3/2021	14
	2021-RIV-21	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	7/12/2021		5/20/2021	1
	2021-RIV-22	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/20/2021	2/16/2022		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-23	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	7/30/2021		5/24/2021	15
	2021-RIV-24	Carroll, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Mac R. Fisher	7/30/2021	1/25/2022		
	2021-RIV-25	Perez, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/5/2021		5/28/2021	2
	2021-RIV-26	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/3/2021		7/14/2021	16
	2021-RIV-27	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/3/2021		10/18/2021	5
	2021-RIV-28	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/3/2021	3/2/2022		
	2021-RIV-29	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/10/2021		10/18/2021	1
	2021-RIV-30	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/3/2021	2/1/2022		
	2021-RIV-31	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/24/2021		7/12/2021	43
	2021-RIV-32	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	8/27/2021		7/8/2021	10
	2021-RIV-33	Bazanos, Investigator	MICHAEL A. HESTRIN	Hon. SAMUEL DIAZ JR.	9/25/2021	12/24/2021	12/23/2021	82
	2021-RIV-34	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/21/2021	3/20/2022		
	2021-RIV-35	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	9/10/2021	3/9/2022		
	2021-RIV-36	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/15/2021	1/13/2022		
	2021-RIV-37	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/17/2021	3/16/2022		
	2021-RIV-38	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	9/24/2021	3/23/2022		
	2021-RIV-39	Acevedo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/29/2021		11/30/2021	57
	2021-RIV-40	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/21/2022			
	2021-RIV-41	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/8/2022			
	2021-RIV-42	Swan, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/14/2021		9/30/2021	10
	2021-RIV-43	Bazanos, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/22/2021	12/21/2021	12/23/2021	7

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-44	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	9/29/2021	3/28/2022		
	2021-RIV-45	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/4/2022			
	2021-RIV-46	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/15/2021	1/13/2022		
	2021-RIV-47	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/17/2021	3/17/2022		
	2021-RIV-48	Bazanos, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/17/2021	1/15/2022		
	2021-RIV-49	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/19/2021	2/17/2022		
	2021-RIV-50	Acevedo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/29/2021		11/30/2021	16
	2021-RIV-51	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/18/2022			
	2021-RIV-52	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	10/25/2021	1/23/2022		
	2021-RIV-53	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/4/2021	1/13/2022		
	2021-RIV-54	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/22/2021	3/22/2022		
	2021-RIV-55	Bazanos, INVESTIGATOR	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/11/2021	2/9/2022		
	2021-RIV-56	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/10/2021	3/10/2022		
	2021-RIV-57	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/10/2021	3/10/2022		
	2021-RIV-58	Payne, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	12/17/2021		1/11/2022	42
	2021-RIV-59	Garcia, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	11/14/2021	2/12/2022		
	2021-RIV-60	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	11/14/2021	2/12/2022		
	2021-RIV-61	Acevedo, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke E. Strunsky	11/19/2021		1/11/2022	23
	2021-RIV-62	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	12/17/2021	3/17/2022		
	2021-RIV-63	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/26/2021	2/24/2022		
	2021-RIV-64	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/26/2021	2/24/2022		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-65	Bazanos, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	11/14/2021	2/12/2022		
	2021-RIV-66	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/1/2022			
	2021-RIV-67	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/31/2021	3/31/2022		
	2021-RIV-68	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/17/2021	3/17/2022		
	2021-RIV-69	Iniguez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/17/2021		1/11/2022	6
	2021-RIV-70	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. SAMUEL DIAZ JR.	12/17/2021	3/29/2022		
	2021-RIV-71	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/14/2022			
	2021-RIV-72	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/24/2021	3/24/2022		
	2021-RIV-73	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/24/2021	3/24/2022		
	2021-RIV-74	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/17/2022			
	2021-RIV-75	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/24/2021	3/24/2022		
	2021-RIV-76	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/23/2022			
	2021-RIV-77	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	12/31/2021	3/31/2022		
	2021-RIV-78	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/23/2022			
	2021-RIV-79	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Burke Strunsky	12/29/2021	3/29/2022		
	2021-RIV-80	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/8/2022			
	2021-RIV-82	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/11/2022			
	2021-RIV-83	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/9/2022			
	2021-RIV-84	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/17/2022			
	2021-RIV-85	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/21/2022			
	2021-RIV-86	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/18/2022			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-89	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/28/2022			
	2021-RIV-90	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/17/2022			
	2021-RIV-91	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/14/2022			
	2021-RIV-92	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/7/2022			
	2021-RIV-93	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/7/2022			
	2021-RIV-94	Cook, Investigator	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	1/28/2022			
	2021-RIV-95	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/2/2022			
	2021-RIV-96	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/11/2022			
	2021-RIV-97	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/18/2022			
	2021-RIV-100	Brosowske, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/25/2022			
	2021-RIV-101	Ricard, Deputy Sheriff	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/24/2022			
	2021-RIV-102	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/25/2022			
	2021-RIV-105	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/2/2022			
	2021-RIV-107	Battisti, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	2/21/2022			
	2021-RIV-108	Pope, TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/10/2022			
	2021-RIV-110	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/18/2022			
	2021-RIV-111	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/21/2022			
	2021-RIV-113	Carroll, Sr. Investigator/TFO	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/23/2022			
	2021-RIV-114	Marquez, Detective	Michael A. Hestrin	Hon. Samuel Diaz Jr.	3/27/2022			
Sacramento	2021-SAC-1	Severi, Detective	Anne Marie Schubert	Hon. Kevin McCormick	6/9/2021		6/9/2021	160
	2021-SAC-3	Kraintz, Detective	Rod Norgaard	Hon. Kevin McCormick	2/8/2022		1/3/2022	430

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Sacramento (cont'd)	2021-SAC-2	Kissam, Sergeant	Rod Norgaard	Hon. Kevin McCormick	7/8/2021			0
	2021-SAC-4	Jerguson, Investigator	Jeff Reisig	Hon. Kevin McCormick	10/22/2021		10/29/2021	7
San Bernardino	2021-SBD-1	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Michael Fermin	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/22/2021	4/21/2021		
	2021-SBD-2	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/14/2021	5/24/2021		
	2021-SBD-3	Enriquez, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	4/22/2021	6/24/2021		
	2021-SBD-4	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	5/12/2021	6/7/2021		
	2021-SBD-5	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	6/1/2021	6/7/2021		
	2021-SBD-6	Rodriguez, TFO	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/16/2021	7/19/2021	10/21/2021	8
	2021-SBD-7	Bean, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/27/2021	6/7/2021		
	2021-SBD-8	Rodriguez, TFO	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	7/16/2021	7/19/2021	10/21/2021	9
	2021-SBD-9	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV				Approved But Never Installed
	2021-SBD-10	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/13/2021	9/10/2021		
	2021-SBD-11	Brosowske, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	8/31/2021			
	2021-SBD-12	Enriquez, Detective	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	11/17/2021	8/23/2021		100
	2021-SBD-13	Moreno, Deputy Sheriff	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/12/2022			
	2021-SBD-14	Rojas, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/19/2022			

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2021-SBD-15	Rojas, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	1/21/2022			
	2021-SBD-16	Myhre, Officer	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	3/2/2022			
	2021-SBD-17	Rojas, Special Agent	Jason Anderson	Hon. William Jefferson Powell, IV	2/8/2022			
San Diego	2021-SD-1	Delgadillo, TFO/NTF	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch	3/7/2022	9/7/2021		
	2021-SD-2	Davies, TFO/NTF	Summer Stephan	Hon. Eugenia Eyherabide				Installed But Never Used
	2021-SD-3	Rowlett, TFO/NTF	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch	11/11/2021		11/12/2021	114
	2021-SD-4	Brambila, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch	10/1/2021		10/1/2021	255
	2021-SD-5	Brambila, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch	10/1/2021		10/1/2021	20
	2021-SD-6	Vicente, Detective	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch	12/17/2021		12/17/2021	33
	2021-SD-7	Davies, TFO/NTF	Summer Stephan	Hon. Michael Groch				Denied
San Mateo	2021-SM-1	Haggarty, Officer	Brian Abanto	Hon. Amarra Lee	7/8/2021		7/8/2021	30
	2021-SM-2	Morsilli, Officer	Sean Gallagher	Hon. Amarra Lee	4/30/2021		5/3/2021	89
Santa Barbara	2021-SBA-1	Maxwell, Detective	Joyce E. Dudley	Hon. Honorable Jean Dandona	8/19/2021	5/5/2021	6/18/2021	97
Ventura	2021-VE-1	Torres, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	6/1/2021		5/11/2021	610
	2021-VE-2	Garnier, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	6/30/2021	12/20/2021	3/19/2022	
	2021-VE-3	Deblauw, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	7/27/2021		7/23/2021	293
	2021-VE-4	Grass, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	8/31/2021		8/31/2021	239
	2021-VE-5	Schwankl, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. David Worley	3/23/2022	12/17/2021		
	2021-VE-6	Delacerda, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Ryan Wright	3/2/2022	12/2/2021		
	2021-VE-7	Delacerda, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Michelle Castillo	3/2/2022	12/2/2021		

Table 5
Penal Code Section 629.62 Inventory Report

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Name of Applicant/ Authorizing Official	Person Authorizing Application	Judge Authorizing Application	Date of Order for Service of Inventory	Date Granted for Postponement of Service of Inventory	Date of Compliance With Inventory Order	Number of Inventory Notices Sent
Ventura (cont'd)	2021-VE-8	Foote, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Patricia Murphy	2/20/2022			
	2021-VE-9	Garnier, Deputy	Erik Nasarenko	Hon. Derek Malan	2/11/2022			
	2020-VE-14	Foote, Deputy	Gregory D. Totten	Hon. Ronda McKaig	4/13/2021		4/13/2021	23

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Contra Costa	2021-CC-1	14 monitors + (UNK #) physical surveillance	527,310	37,744	565,054
	2021-CC-2	See 2021-CC-1			
	2021-CC-3	See 2021-CC-1			
	2021-CC-4	10 monitors + (UNK #) physical surveillance	97,240	3,130	100,370
	2021-CC-5	42 monitors + (UNK #) physical surveillance	144,555	40,244	184,799
	2021-CC-6	See 2021-CC-5			
	2021-CC-7	See 2021-CC-5			
Contra Costa Total			769,105	81,118	850,223
Fresno	2022-FR-1	22 monitors and arrest officers. Net Transcript Inc. for transcripts	147,890	220,000	367,890
	2022-FR-2	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-3	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-4	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-5	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-6	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-7	See 2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-8	See -2022-FR-1			
	2022-FR-9	10 monitors 2 technicians 1 agent	511,000	35,000	546,000
	2022-FR-10	See -2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-11	See-2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-12	See-2022-FR-9			

⁴ Total costs per electronic interception and per county do not delineate between sources of funds (county, state, federal).

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Fresno (cont'd)	2022-FR-13	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-14	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-15	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-16	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-17	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-18	See 2022-FR-9			
	2022-FR-19	3 monitors 4 investigators 4 stop/safety officers 1 Analyst	10,000	12,000	22,000
	2022-FR-20	See 2022-FR-19			
	2022-FR-21	See 2022-FR-19			
Fresno Total			668,890	267,000	935,890
Kern	2021-KE-1	25 monitors/3 agents/ 4 interpreters/ 7 field operators	36,300	2,400	38,700
	2021-KE-2	25 monitors/ 3 agents/ 4 interpreters / 7 field officers	36,300	2,400	38,700
Kern Total			72,600	4,800	77,400
Los Angeles	2021-LA-1	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-2	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-3	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-4	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-5	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-6	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-7	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-8	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-9	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-10	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-11	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-12	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-13	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-14	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-15	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-16	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-17	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-18	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-19	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-20	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-21	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-22	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-23	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-24	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-25	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-26	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-27	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-28	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-29	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-30	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-31	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-32	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-33	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-34	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-35	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-36	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-38	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-39	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-40	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-41	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-42	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-43	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-44	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-45	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-46	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-47	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-48	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-49	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-50	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-51	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-52	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-53	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-54	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-55	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-56	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-57	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-58	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-59	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-60	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-61	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-62	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-63	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-64	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-65	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-66	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-67	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-68	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-69	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-70	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-71	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-72	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-73	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-74	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-75	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-76	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-77	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-78	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-79	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-80	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-81	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-82	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-83	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-84	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-85	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-86	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-87	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-88	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-89	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-90	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-91	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-92	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-93	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-94	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-95	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-97	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-99	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-100	2 monitors, 1 agent	36,600	9,000	45,600
	2021-LA-101	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-102	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-103	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-104	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-105	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-106	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-107	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-108	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-109	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-110	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-111	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-112	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-113	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-114	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-115	2 monitors, 1 agent	24,400	3,000	27,400
	2021-LA-116	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-117	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-118	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-119	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-120	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-121	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-122	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-123	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-124	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-125	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-126	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-127	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-128	2 monitors	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-129	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	3,000	15,200
	2021-LA-130	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-131	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-132	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-133	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-134	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-135	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	3,000	15,200
	2021-LA-136	4 monitors, 2 agents	62,000	16,000	78,000
	2021-LA-137	2 monitors, 1 agent	36,000	9,000	45,000
	2021-LA-138	2 monitors, 1 agent	36,000	9,000	45,000
	2021-LA-139	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-140	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-141	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-142	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-143	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-144	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-145	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-146	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-147	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-148	2 monitors, 1 agent	12,200	3,000	15,200
	2021-LA-149	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-150	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-151	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-152	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-153	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-154	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-155	5 monitors, 2 agents	25,000	12,000	37,000
	2021-LA-156	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-157	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-158	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-159	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-160	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-161	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-162	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-163	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-164	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-165	2 monitors, 1 officer, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2021-LA-166	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-167	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-168	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-169	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-170	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-171	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-172	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-173	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-174	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-175	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-176	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-177	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-178	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-179	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles (cont'd)	2021-LA-180	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-181	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	12,000	52,000
	2021-LA-182	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-183	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-184	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-185	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-186	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-187	2 monitors, 1 investigator	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-188	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-189	2 monitors, 2 investigators	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-LA-190	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-191	See 2021-LA-192			
	2021-LA-192	4 monitors, 1 analyst	70,000	2,000	72,000
	2021-LA-193	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-194	2 monitors, 1 technician	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-LA-195	See 2021-LA-192			
	2021-LA-196	See 2021-LA-192			
	2021-LA-197	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250
	2021-LA-198	2 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-LA-199	3 monitors, 1 technician	42,250	4,000	46,250

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Los Angeles Total			5,809,050	570,000	6,379,050
Madera	2021-MAD-1	10 investigators, 2 civilian monitors, 5 civilian transcribers, 3 investigators reviewing transcribed calls	200,000	60,000	260,000
	2021-MAD-2	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-3	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-4	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-5	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-6	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-7	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-8	See 2021-MAD-1			
	2021-MAD-9	See 2021-MAD-1			
Madera Total			200,000	60,000	260,000
Mono	2021-MON-1	4 monitors/agents, 1 technician, 2 analysts	27,653	1,407	29,060
Mono Total			27,653	1,407	29,060
Monterey	2021-MTY-1	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-3		
	2021-MTY-2	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-3		
	2021-MTY-3	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	283,086	19,656	302,742
	2021-MTY-4	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-3		

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Monterey (cont'd)	2021-MTY-5	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	0	4,000	0
	2021-MTY-6	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-5		
	2021-MTY-7	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-5		
	2021-MTY-8	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-5		
	2021-MTY-9	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-5		
	2021-MTY-10	20 monitors, 3 case agents, 1 technician	See 2021-MTY-5		
Monterey Total			283,086	23,656	306,742
Orange	2021-OR-1	1 technician, 2 monitors	45,000	3,600	48,600
	2021-OR-2	4 monitors, 1 technician, 3 interpreters	55,000	1,800	56,800
	2021-OR-3	See 2021-OR-4			
	2021-OR-4	10 monitors, 1 technician	45,000	3,600	48,600
	2021-OR-5	1 technician, 16 monitors/officers	17,000	3,510	20,510
	2021-OR-6	See 2021-OR-7			
	2021-OR-7	14 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	52,500	0	52,500
	2021-OR-8	10 monitors, 1 technician	45,000	4,200	49,200
Orange Total			259,500	16,710	276,210
Riverside	2020-RIV-65	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	127,680	3,000	130,680

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2020-RIV-92	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-93	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-95	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-97	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	350,480	3,000	353,480
	2020-RIV-108	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-112	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-113	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-114	2 monitors	8,000	1,500	9,500
	2020-RIV-115	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-116	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	293,280	3,000	296,280
	2020-RIV-117	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	28,800	3,000	31,800
	2020-RIV-118	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-119	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2020-RIV-120	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-1	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-2	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	28,800	1,000	29,800
	2021-RIV-3	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-4	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-5	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-6	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,720	3,000	33,720
	2021-RIV-7	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-8	4 monitors, 2, agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-9	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-10	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	54,720	3,000	57,720
	2021-RIV-11	2 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-12	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,720	1,000	31,720
	2021-RIV-13	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-14	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-15	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-16	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-17	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-18	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician.	27,840	3,000	30,840
	2021-RIV-19	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-20	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-21	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	19,760	1,000	20,760
	2021-RIV-22	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-23	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	3,000	34,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-24	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-25	2 monitors	32,730	900	33,630
	2021-RIV-26	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	60,320	2,000	62,320
	2021-RIV-27	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-28	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-29	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-30	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-31	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	62,400	4,000	66,400
	2021-RIV-32	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	62,400	4,000	66,400
	2021-RIV-33	2 monitors, 4 agents, 1 technician	6,300	1,500	7,800
	2021-RIV-34	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-35	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-36	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	60,320	3,000	63,320
	2021-RIV-37	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-38	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-39	1 Officer, 2 Civilians, 1 Tech	61,360	2,000	63,360
	2021-RIV-40	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-41	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	166,400	3,000	169,400
	2021-RIV-42	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	3,120	1,000	4,120

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-43	2 monitors, 4 agents, 1 technician	1,246	1,500	2,746
	2021-RIV-44	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-45	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-46	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	2,000	33,200
	2021-RIV-47	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	89,440	1,000	90,440
	2021-RIV-48	6 monitors, 3 agents, 1 technician	2,600	1,500	4,100
	2021-RIV-49	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-50	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2021-RIV-51	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-52	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	21,840	1,000	22,840
	2021-RIV-53	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,160	3,000	33,160
	2021-RIV-54	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-55	5 Linguists	1,600	1,500	3,100
	2021-RIV-56	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-57	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-58	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,160	4,000	34,160
	2021-RIV-59	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-60	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician.	26,000	1,000	27,000
	2021-RIV-61	1 officer, 2 monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2021-RIV-62	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-63	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,160	2,000	32,160
	2021-RIV-64	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-65	monitors for 21-59 were used	0	0	0
	2021-RIV-66	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-RIV-67	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-68	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2021-RIV-69	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,160	1,000	31,160
	2021-RIV-70	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-71	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-72	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-73	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-74	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-75	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-76	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	152,880	4,000	156,880
	2021-RIV-77	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-78	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-79	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-80	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	57,200	3,000	60,200
	2021-RIV-82	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-83	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	87,360	1,000	88,360
	2021-RIV-84	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-RIV-85	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician.	30,160	1,000	31,160
	2021-RIV-86	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-89	2 monitors, 1 technician, 5-person DEA agent/TFO team.	28,000	2,000	30,000
	2021-RIV-90	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-RIV-91	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	10,400	1,000	11,400

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-92	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-93	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-94	3 monitors, 2 technicians, 5 person team of DEA agents / TFO's	56,000	6,000	62,000
	2021-RIV-95	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-96	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-97	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	30,160	1,000	31,160
	2021-RIV-100	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	31,200	1,000	32,200
	2021-RIV-101	3 monitors, 3 technicians, 5 person DEA agent / TFO team	84,000	3,600	87,600
	2021-RIV-102	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-105	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-RIV-107	1 officer, 2 civilian monitors, 1 technician	11,440	1,000	12,440
	2021-RIV-108	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-110	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Riverside (cont'd)	2021-RIV-111	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
	2021-RIV-113	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	18,000	2,000	20,000
	2021-RIV-114	2 monitors, 2 investigator provisioned line(s), prepared investigation to include surveillance, court orders and other investigated tools.	40,000	1,200	41,200
Riverside Total			3,986,316	234,600	4,220,916
Sacramento	2021-SAC-1	2 detectives as monitors 1 sergeant as room supervisor	21,735	890	22,625
	2021-SAC-3	15 monitors (Investigators)	101,307	81,430	182,737
	2021-SAC-2	1 officer	0	0	0
	2021-SAC-4	4 monitors 1 technician	3,000	2,000	5,000
Sacramento Total			126,042	84,320	210,362
San Bernardino	2021-SBD-1	10 sworn, 1 civilian technician	45,000	6,425	51,425
	2021-SBD-2	1 technician, 10 sworn	30,000	8,150	38,150
	2021-SBD-3	1 technician, 7 monitors, 2 translators	15,000	24,000	39,000
	2021-SBD-4	1 technician, 10 sworn	15,000	2,342	17,342
	2021-SBD-5	1 technician, 10 sworn	15,000	2,342	17,342
	2021-SBD-6	14 linguists,	57,724	0	57,724

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Bernardino (cont'd)	2021-SBD-7	1 technician, 10 sworn	45,000	7,026	52,026
	2021-SBD-8	12 linguists	27,935	0	27,935
	2021-SBD-10	1 technician, 10 sworn	15,000	2,342	17,342
	2021-SBD-11	1 technician, 1 monitor, 1 deputy	11,200	1,800	13,000
	2021-SBD-12	6 monitors 3 interpreters	5,000	10,000	15,000
	2021-SBD-13	10 sworn, 1 civilian	15,000	2,342	17,342
	2021-SBD-14	7 agents, officers/monitors, 2 interpreters	10,000	25,000	35,000
	2021-SBD-15	7 agents, officers/monitors	100,000	5,000	105,000
	2021-SBD-16	7 agents, officers/monitors	100,000	10,000	110,000
	2021-SBD-17	7 agents, officers/monitors 2 interpreters	100,000	10,000	110,000
San Bernardino Total			606,859	116,769	723,628
San Diego	2021-SD-1	4 monitors, 1 agent, 1 technician	177,185	3,500	180,685
	2021-SD-2	Installation costs for pen register and lease lines.	0	3,400	3,400
	2021-SD-3	2 monitors 1 technician 2 agents	65,405	15,730	81,135
	2021-SD-4	See 2021-SD-5			
	2021-SD-5	54 Sheriff personnel involved	74,246	5,000	79,246
	2021-SD-6	3 monitors, 1 agent/escort, 1 technician, 3 case agents	46,855	10,400	57,255

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
San Diego Total			363,691	38,030	401,721
San Mateo	2021-SM-1	10 monitors, 1 detective/technician, 2 detectives assigned to transcripts	52,631	6,750	59,381
	2021-SM-2	1 technician 2 interpreters 58 monitors	130,000	0	130,000
San Mateo Total			182,631	6,750	189,381
Santa Barbara	2021-SBA-1	15 monitors/detectives	65,000	2,000	67,000
Santa Barbara Total			65,000	2,000	67,000
Ventura	2021-VE-1	7 monitors, 2 transcribers	24,000	5,100	29,100
	2021-VE-2	8 monitors, 5 agents, 1 technician	82,772	6,000	88,772
	2021-VE-3	6 detectives	14,000	2,400	16,400
	2021-VE-4	4 monitors, 2 agents, 1 technician	0	5,000	0
	2021-VE-5	1 technician, 2 monitors, 2 case agents	28,800	5,040	33,840
	2021-VE-6	7 agents, 6 monitors, 1 technician	65,000	12,000	77,000
	2021-VE-7	7 agents, 9 monitors, 1 technician	84,000	16,000	100,000
	2021-VE-8	6 investigators	15,000	10,000	25,000
	2021-VE-9	1 technician 5 agents 8 monitors/interpreters	79,448	6,000	85,448
	2020-VE-14	6 investigators, 1 monitor	13,000	20,000	33,000
Ventura Total			406,020	87,540	493,560

Table 6
Costs of Electronic Interceptions
During Calendar Year 2021

Reporting Jurisdiction	EICOS No.	Nature and Quantity of Personnel Used	Personnel Cost (\$)	Resource Cost (\$) (Installation Fees, Supplies, Equipment, etc.)	Total Cost (\$) (Personnel + Resource) ⁴
Grand Total			13,826,443	1,594,700	15,421,143

Table 7
Jurisdictions Reporting No
Electronic Interception Activity During
Calendar Year 2021⁵

Alameda	Alpine	Amador
Butte	CADOJ	Calaveras
Colusa	Del Norte	El Dorado
Glenn	Humboldt	Imperial
Inyo	Kings	Lake
Lassen	Marin	Mariposa
Mendocino	Merced	Modoc
Napa	Nevada	Placer
Plumas	San Benito	San Francisco
San Joaquin	San Luis Obispo	Santa Clara
Santa Cruz	Shasta	Sierra
Siskiyou	Solano ⁶	Sonoma
Stanislaus	Sutter	Tehama
Trinity	Tulare	Tuolumne
Yolo	Yuba	

⁵ Consistent with statutory reporting requirements, the jurisdictions listed in Table 7 reported that they did not apply for a court order authorizing the interception of electronic communications.

⁶ Solano County did not provide any wiretap data for 2021.

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Contra Costa		7/8/2018	CCCDA 18-010	2019-CC-11		2	murder, accessory, gang	1	0	1	0	1	murder, conspiracy, firearm, gang
			CCCDA 19-011									1	conspiracy (robbery), gang
		4/25/2019	CCCDA 19-004						0	1	0	2	robbery, firearm, assault, gang
		1/14/2020	CCCDA 20-001	2020-CC-1								3	manslaughter, firearms charges
		4/3/2020	CCCDA 20-005	2020-CC-2								2	carjacking, attempted robbery
San Bernardino		8/20/2017	2017-31	2017-SBD-31		4	Narcotics	0	0	0	0	1	Narcotics
San Diego			13-15	2013-SD-178								2	murder, accessory
			18-02	2018-SD-1		3	narcotics					3	narcotics, accessory
			18-01, 18-03, 18-04, 18-05, 18-06, 18-07	2018-SD-02								3	narcotics
			19-03, 19-04, 19-05, 19-06	2019-SD-5								4	narcotics
			20-01	2020-SD-1		0						0	
			20-02, 20-03	2020-SD-3		8							
Stanislaus			19-01, 19-02, 19-03, 19-04, 19-05, 19-06, 19-07	2019-STA-1								5	conspiracy to murder, robbery, assault with a deadly weapon, gang
			19-08, 19-09	2019-STA-8				1				2	attempted murder, assault with a deadly weapon, gang

Table 8
Summary of Supplemental Reports for Interceptions
Conducted in Prior Years

Reporting Jurisdiction	Report Year	Date of Application	Reference No.	EICOS No.	Cost (\$)	Persons Arrested		Trials Completed	Motions to Suppress			Persons Convicted	
						Number	Offense(s)		Granted	Denied	Pending	Number	Offense(s)
Stanislaus (cont'd)			20-01, 20-02	2020-STA-1								5	narcotics, firearms

Table 9
List of Electronic Interception Orders
Approved But Never Installed or Not Used
Calendar Year 2021⁷

Approved But Never Installed	Installed But Never Used
2021-LA-37	2021-SD-2
2021-LA-96	
2021-LA-98	
2021-SBD-9	

⁷ There are various reasons why an application for an electronic interception may be approved but never implemented. For example, the user of a targeted device or number may cease using the particular device or number before law enforcement agents can begin intercepting communications.

Table 10
Use of Wiretap Evidence in Administrative/Disciplinary Hearings

No data reported for 2021

Appendix A: Penal Code section 629.62 “Report by Attorney General”

(a) The Attorney General shall prepare and submit an annual report to the Legislature, the Judicial Council, and the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts on interceptions conducted under the authority of this chapter during the preceding year. Information for this report shall be provided to the Attorney General by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order pursuant to this chapter.

(b) The report shall include all of the following data:

- (1) The number of orders or extensions applied for.
- (2) The kinds of orders or extensions applied for.
- (3) The fact that the order or extension was granted as applied for, was modified, or was denied.
- (4) The number of wire or electronic communication devices that are the subject of each order granted.
- (5) The period of interceptions authorized by the order, and the number and duration of any extensions of the order.
- (6) The offense specified in the order or application, or extension of an order.
- (7) The identity of the applying law enforcement officer and agency making the application and the person authorizing the application.
- (8) The nature of the facilities from which or the place where communications were to be intercepted.
- (9) A general description of the interceptions made under the order or extension, including (A) the number of persons whose communications were intercepted, (B) the number of communications intercepted, (C) the percentage of incriminating communications intercepted and the percentage of other communications intercepted, and (D) the approximate nature, amount, and cost of the manpower and other resources used in the interceptions.
- (10) The number of arrests resulting from interceptions made under the order or extension, and the offenses for which arrests were made.
- (11) The number of trials resulting from the interceptions.
- (12) The number of motions to suppress made with respect to the interceptions, and the number granted or denied.
- (13) The number of convictions resulting from the interceptions and the offenses for which the convictions were obtained and a general assessment of the importance of the interceptions.
- (14) Except with regard to the initial report required by this section, the information required by paragraphs (9) to (13), inclusive, with respect to orders or extensions obtained in a preceding calendar year.
- (15) The date of the order for service of inventory made pursuant to Section 629.68, confirmation of compliance with the order, and the number of notices sent.
- (16) Other data that the Legislature, the Judicial Council, or the Director of the Administrative Office of the United States Courts shall require.

(c) The annual report shall be filed no later than April of each year, and shall also include a summary analysis of the data reported pursuant to subdivision (b). The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the content and form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to this section by any prosecutorial agency seeking an order to intercept wire or electronic communications.

(d) The Attorney General shall, upon the request of an individual making an application, provide any information known to him or her as a result of these reporting requirements that would enable the individual making an application to comply with paragraph (6) of subdivision (a) of Section 629.50.

Appendix B: Penal Code sections 629.78 & 629.82

Section 629.78:

Any person who has received, by any means authorized by this chapter, any information concerning a wire or electronic communication, or evidence derived therefrom, intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter, may, pursuant to Section 629.82, disclose the contents of that communication or derivative evidence while giving testimony under oath or affirmation in any criminal court proceeding or in any grand jury proceeding, or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer.

Section 629.82:

(a) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization, but that are enumerated in subdivision (a) of Section 629.52, grand theft involving a firearm, a violation of Section 18750 or 18755, or a violent felony as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 667.5, (1) the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76 and (2) the contents and any evidence derived therefrom may be used under Section 629.78 when authorized by a judge if the judge finds, upon subsequent application, that the contents were otherwise intercepted in accordance with the provisions of this chapter. The application shall be made as soon as practicable.

(b) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes other than those specified in subdivision (a), the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except where the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(c) The use of the contents of an intercepted wire or electronic communication relating to crimes other than those specified in the order of authorization to obtain a search or arrest warrant entitles the person named in the warrant to notice of the intercepted wire or electronic communication and a copy of the contents thereof that were used to obtain the warrant.

(d) (1) If a peace officer or federal law enforcement officer, while engaged in intercepting wire or electronic communications in the manner authorized by this chapter, intercepts wire or electronic communications relating to crimes, other than those specified in subdivision (a), and involving the employment of a peace officer, the contents thereof, and evidence derived therefrom, may not be disclosed or used as provided in Sections 629.74 and 629.76, except to prevent the commission of a public offense or in an administrative or disciplinary hearing involving the employment of a peace officer. The contents and any evidence derived therefrom may not be used under Section 629.78, except if the evidence was obtained through an independent source or inevitably would have been discovered, and the use is authorized by a judge who finds that the contents were intercepted in accordance with this chapter.

(2) This section does not authorize the use of an intercepted wire or electronic communication involving acts that only involve a violation of a departmental rule or guideline that is not a public offense under California law.

(3) If an agency employing peace officers utilizes evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding, the agency shall, on an annual basis, report both of the following to the Attorney General:

(A) The number of administrative or disciplinary proceedings involving the employment of a peace officer in which the agency utilized evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision.

(B) The specific offenses for which evidence obtained pursuant to this subdivision was used in those administrative or disciplinary proceedings.

(4) (A) The Attorney General may issue regulations prescribing the form of the reports required to be filed pursuant to paragraph (3) by an agency utilizing intercepted wire or electronic communications in an administrative or disciplinary proceeding against a peace officer.

(B) The Attorney General shall include information received pursuant to paragraph (3) in its annual report made pursuant to Section 629.62.