1 THE SENATE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS 1 2 SITTING AS A HIGH COURT OF IMPEACHMENT 3 IN THE MATTER OF § 4 S WARREN KENNETH PAXTON, JR. 5 6 7 8 9 10 TRIAL VOLUME 4 - AM SESSION 11 12 SEPTEMBER 8, 2023 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 The following proceedings came on to be heard in the 22 above-entitled cause in the Senate chambers before Lieutenant 2.3 24 Governor Dan Patrick, Presiding Officer, and Senate members. Stenographically reported by Kim Cherry, CSR, RMR. 25

1 APPEARANCES FOR THE TEXAS HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES BOARD OF 2 MANAGERS: 3 Mr. Rusty Hardin Ms. Lara Hudgins Hollingsworth 4 Ms. Jennifer Brevorka Ms. Megan Moore 5 Mr. Daniel Dutko Ms. Leah M. Graham 6 Mr. Armstead Lewis Ms. Aisha Dennis 7 RUSTY HARDIN & ASSOCIATES, LLP 1401 McKinney Street, Suite 2250 8 Houston, Texas 77010 (713) 652-9000 9 rhardin@rustyhardin.com 10 Mr. Dick DeGuerin Mr. Mark White, III 11 DEGUERIN AND DICKSON 12 1018 Preston Houston, Texas 77002 (713) 223-5959 13 ddequerin@aol.com 14 Ms. Harriet O'Neill 15 LAW OFFICE OF HARRIET O'NEILL, PC 919 Congress Avenue, Suite 1400 Austin, Texas 78701 16 honeill@harrietoneilllaw.com 17 Ms. Erin M. Epley 18 EPLEY LAW FIRM, LLC 1207 South Shepherd Drive Houston, Texas 77019-3611 19 erin@epley-law.com 20 Mr. Mark E. Donnelly PARKER, SANCHEZ, & DONNELLY, PLLC 2.1 700 Louisiana, Suite 2700 Houston, Texas 77002 22 mark@psd.law 2.3 Ms. Terese Buess buesster@gmail.com 24 25

			3
1		Ms. Donna Cameron State Bar No. 03675050	
2		Mr. Brian Benken	
3		BENKEN LAW 1545 Heights Boulevard, Suite 900	
4		Houston, Texas 77008 (713) 223-4051	
5		Mr. Ross Garber	
6		THE GARBER GROUP LLC 1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 400E	
7		Washington, D.C. 20005 rgarber@thegarbergroup.com	
8			
9		Ms. Lisa Bowlin Hobbs KUHN HOBBS PLLC 3307 Northland Drive, Suite 310	
10		Austin, Texas 78731 lisa@kuhnhobbs.com	
11			
12	ALSO	PRESENT:	
13		HOUSE BOARD OF MANAGERS:	
14		Representative Andrew Murr	
15		Representative Ann Johnson Representative Briscoe Cain Representative Terry Canales	
16		Representative Terry Canales Representative Erin Gamez Representative Charlie Geren	
17		Representative Jeff Leach	
18		Representative Oscar Longoria Representative Morgan Meyer Representative Joe Moody	
19		Representative David Spiller	
20		Representative Cody Vasut	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
_ ~			

PROCEEDINGS

1.3

2.1

2.3

SEPTEMBER 8, 2023

(9:00 a.m.)

THE BAILIFF: All rise. The Court of

Impeachment of the Texas Senate is now in session. The

Honorable Lieutenant Governor and President of the Senate Dan

Patrick now presiding.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Bailiff will bring in the jury.

(Senate members enter the Senate chamber)

PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Little, if you want to stand over there until we do the prayer.

Good morning, members of the jury. As always, we'll open with a prayer.

SENATOR SPARKS: Please pray with me.

Senator Sparks?

Almighty God, we pray Psalms 139 this morning. Search me, oh, God, and know my heart; test me and know my anxious thoughts. See if there is any offensive way in me and lead me in the way everlasting.

Please give us wisdom and discernment beyond our own abilities. Give us the courage to stand when we must and kneel when we should. May all of our thoughts and actions today and every day bring glory and honor to our Savior Jesus Christ in whose name we pray. Amen

PRESIDING OFFICER: Amen. 1 Thank you, Senator Sparks. 2 You may be seated, everyone. Welcome to those 3 here in the gallery. 4 Bailiff, would you bring in the witness. 5 The witness may come forward. 6 Mr. Vassar, you are still under oath. 7 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir. 8 PRESIDING OFFICER: Please be seated. 9 10 Mr. Little, you may begin. MR. LITTLE: May it please the court. 11 12 you, Mr. President. 1.3 RYAN VASSAR, 14 having been previously duly sworn, testified as follows: 15 CROSS-EXAMINATION (CONTINUED) BY MR. LITTLE: 16 Mr. Vassar, when we were visiting yesterday, I 17 18 believe it was somewhat of an emotional day. PRESIDING OFFICER: Can you speak up a little 19 bit? 20 MR. LITTLE: I'm sorry. Thank you. 2.1 (BY MR. LITTLE) It was somewhat of an emotional 22 Ο. day yesterday. You were talking about being called a rogue 2.3 employee and the effect that that had on you. Do you 24 25 remember?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. And that -- I mean, being called a rogue employee by someone you worked with was painful, yes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay.

MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) I'm going to show you -
PRESIDING OFFICER: Don't talk to him on the way up, though.

MR. LITTLE: Of course.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) I'm going to show you what's already been marked and admitted as Exhibit AG 170.

MR. LITTLE: And, Mr. Arroyo, if you could bring up Exhibit AG 170 to page Brickman 190, please.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, are you at page Brickman 190?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Mr. Arroyo was getting there so the jury can follow along with us.

While this document is moving, Mr. Vassar, I understand you were served with a series of subpoenas by the House Board of Managers and by the Senate and by the Attorney General Ken Paxton in connection with this impeachment, true?

1 A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. And in the course of responding to that impeachment, you performed a diligent search for all the materials, of course, that were responsive to the subpoena, true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And you didn't produce this text thread that we are looking at here at page Brickman 190, true?
- A. I didn't have it. That's -- that's correct. It was not produced.
- Q. And you did not have it, sir, because you deleted it, correct?
 - A. No, that's not correct.
 - Q. Why did you not have it?
- A. My phone -- my personal phone, through which these messages were sent, had a retention policy of 30 days to align with the Office of the Attorney General's retention policy. And so those records were automatically expunsed under that retention policy.
- Q. And tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury whether you signed what is called a litigation hold in connection with the Nate Paul investigation at the Office of the Attorney General on October 15th of 2020.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But you did not hold this text thread that related

to that investigation, true?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

- A. It did not occur to me to change the setting on my personal device. But I delivered my agency phone and laptop to Brent Webster before I was placed on investigative leave. So I presumed that any information on those devices were maintained.
- Q. So this text thread -- your testimony here today is this was on your work phone, true?
- A. No, sir. This was on my personal phone. And I didn't consider changing the settings on my phone -- my personal phone, which were matching the OAG's retention policy on the work devices.
- Q. And you would agree with me, of course, that these documents would be responsive to the litigation hold?
- A. I would have to look at the litigation hold to recall what it said exactly. I remember signing it on October 15th, but I don't remember the exact categories or nature of the documents that it had mentioned.
 - Q. Well, it was anything related to Nate Paul, true?
- A. Like I said, I -- I'm happy to take a look at the document. I don't recall offhand if it was anything related to Nate Paul.
- Q. That's fine. Let's take a look at this text thread. It begins on October 20, correct?
 - A. That's right.

- Q. Yes. And yesterday I believe you said that after you left the Attorney General's Office, you had trouble finding work for six months; is that right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Do you know who Amanda Crawford is?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. Who is Amanda Crawford?
- A. She is the current director of the Department of Information Resources.
- Q. And upon your leaving the Attorney General's

 Office, Amanda Crawford offered you the position of general
 counsel at the Texas Department of Information Resources,

 true?
- A. I don't believe she offered me the job. I think she mentioned that there was a posting for the position.
- Q. And why did she mention it to you? So that you could apply?
- A. I -- I presume so. You would have to ask her why she mentioned it to me.
- Q. But you did -- well, isn't it true that she mentioned it to you because she wanted you to apply and thought that you would get it?
 - A. I believe that could be a reasonable conclusion.
- Q. But you did not apply, correct?
 - A. I don't recall if I did or not. I -- I don't

believe I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. You did not want that job, did you?
- A. I -- I don't remember at the time what my thinking was about whether I wanted the job or not.
- Q. And isn't it true that Lacey Mase also offered you a job to come with her to the State of Tennessee and work for the Attorney General's Office?
- A. I don't recall that -- of any official job or anything like that.
 - Q. You don't recall any discussions with her about it?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. Let's take a look at this text thread here at Exhibit AG 170. It begins with a couple of -- and you have to understand, these documents were produced by Blake Brickman, and it begins -- and so everything in blue is Blake Brickman. Do you understand that on an iPhone?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. He's the producer, and so his phone shows up blue, yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. There are a couple of news articles at the top.

 There's a quote from one of the news articles, the third

 text. David Maxwell below that says, "How true." And you -
 you liked the statement from the news article about the

 whistleblowers in the Houston Chronicle, correct?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

1.3

14

15

16

- Q. And moving down through it, Blake Brickman posts another article from the Texas Tribune, and Lacey Mase says, "Cute picture, Blake." And you post, it looks like, a Batman meme; is that right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. This is a very somber time, yes?
- A. That was a lighthearted effort to resemble the picture of Mr. Brickman.
- Q. Oh, that he looks like Batman?

Okay. Mr. -- I guess we'll see.

Mr. Vassar, after that Mr. Brickman says,
"From 2014, pre-lasik." Lacey Mase laughs. Ryan Bangert
says, "handsome man," and you post a link to Twitter and a
tweet that was posted by Scott Braddock, true?

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. We're going to jump ahead a little bit.

 I want you to --
- MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, could you move us to page Brickman 198.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) And if you could join me there,

 Mr. Vassar.
- All right. And do you see that you made a text at 6:59 p.m. that evening?
- 25 A. Oh, I see the one --

- Q. It's Amplified Credit Union?
- A. Oh, yes. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

22

24

MR. LITTLE: Okay. Mr. Arroyo, could you highlight that text for me or bring it up in zoom?

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) It reads, "Amplified Credit Union, which held notes on three Paul-controlled properties and had planned to put them up for auction on Tuesday, August 4, halted its proceedings because of Paxton's opinion, Amplified CEO Kendall Garrison told the Statesman. The opinion was provided to us by an attorney for World Class that Monday, Garrison said."

You -- you posted that, correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. But you didn't know if it was true or not, did you?
- A. No. I quoted it. That's a quote from the article that was circulating in the text.
- Q. Yes, I understand. But you didn't know whether that was true, correct?
- 19 A. No.
- 20 Q. Okay.
- A. Not at the time.
 - Q. I'm going to show you a document here.
- Do you have any experience with bankruptcy law
- 25 A. No, sir.

at all?

- Q. Do you know what the automatic stay is?
- A. No, sir.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Throughout your practice, you've not encountered it in the least, no?
- A. I've heard of an automatic stay, but I couldn't explain the consequences of it for you.
 - Q. You don't know what it means?
- A. I -- I understand it to mean that if a petition for bankruptcy is filed, it creates an automatic stay of any proceedings potentially relating to a debt or a claim. Is that a sufficient description?
- Q. That's actually really good. It would also stop a foreclosure, right?
- A. Again, I -- I'm not sure. I'm not a bankruptcy lawyer, so I wouldn't be able to say if it would stop it.
- Q. Do you know what times of day on the first Tuesday of the month foreclosures are required to occur under the Property Code?
 - A. Noon sounds right, but I don't know for sure.
- 20 MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, may I approach the 21 witness?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) I'm going to show you what's been marked as Exhibit AG 292.
 - Mr. Vassar, have you ever seen Exhibit AG 292

before?

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Can you tell at the top what time this document was filed?
- A. It says it was filed August 4th, 2020, entered the same date at 10:48. I assume that's a.m. time. It doesn't have an indication.
- Q. Yeah. If I told you that August 4th, 2020, was the first Tuesday of the month, would you believe me?
 - A. That sounds right.
- Q. Let's go back to Exhibit AG 170, which is this text thread here, if you would. Let's continue on.

Blake Brickman responds to you --

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, can you bring that back up for me? We're at page Brickman 198 for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

 $\label{eq:composition} \text{And if you look -- Mr. Arroyo, if you can zoom}$ in on the blue text at the very bottom.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Blake Brickman responds,

"Obviously, just a coincidence, right? LOL. And then he had
the audacity to thank the office publicly at deputies meeting
later that week for stopping foreclosure on individual homes.
The man is a pathological liar."

Do you see that?

A. Yes, sir, I see that.

Q. Next page.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Mr. McCarty responds, "All about the people."

And David Maxwell responds, "All about himself." Then there

are a series of additional articles that are being posted to

this group chat.

Why are you on a group chat in October of 2020 anyway?

A. We were all friends. We were all colleagues. We all enjoyed working together, so this was just a group thread where we talked.

MR. LITTLE: If you would, Mr. Arroyo, would you bring it forward to page Brickman 200.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) In the middle of the page -
PRESIDING OFFICER: Counselor, hold on one
second. You're a little ahead of the --

MR. LITTLE: Oh, I am. Sorry.

PRESIDING OFFICER: It's up now. I want to be sure it's up for the jurors to read when you're quoting it.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Mr. President.

Mr. Arroyo, if you could zoom in on Ryan Vassar's text at the middle of that page.

Yes. Mr. Vassar, thank you. Not quite so close, Erick. If you would, just capture those two texts from Ryan Vassar in the middle of the page. The one above that, too.

Thank you, Mr. Arroyo.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

2.1 22

2.3

24

- (BY MR. LITTLE) Now, Mr. Vassar, you linked to a Q. tweet from Lauren McGaughy on, it looks like, October 25; is that right?
- I don't -- yes. It's a link to a tweet. I don't see anything about an October date, but -- oh, you're talking about the date that it was sent.
 - Yeah. It may be October 26th because --Q.
 - Α. Yeah.
 - Ο. We're on that same thread.
- Yeah. If it's -- if it's chronologically just with Α. the pages, the page before, Brickman 199, ends on 10-26. it could have been 10-26 earlier in the day.
- And you write "#sole survivor." What does that Q. mean?
- I'm not sure if it was referring to the tweet that I was referencing. It -- it could have been an indication that I was the last remaining whistleblower who was still employed by the office.
- I see. And if we can continue on in that thread. Q. MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, zoom in on the next three texts in that thread, please. Mr. Arroyo, just right under the date stamp, if you would, please. Good man. Thank you.
 - Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) All right. On October 26th at

about 5:55 p.m. Ryan Bangert writes, "Yep," and Ryan Bangert writes, "BW." That's Brent Webster, right?

A. Yes, sir.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. "BW just dropped by my" -- and just to be clear for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, hopefully everyone knows, but Brent Webster is the then current First Assistant Attorney General, true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. It says, "Brent Webster just dropped by my office to inform me of an org chart change. I will no longer be overseeing special litigation because he will now report directly to him." And you respond, "What a joke." Right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And David Maxwell responds, "That's a train wreck waiting to happen." Ryan Bangert says, "Let him have it."

 And Blake Brickman writes, "He is a joke." Yes?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Let's continue to the next page.
- MR. LITTLE: If you can give me, Mr. Arroyo, maybe the top -- the gray text at the top, we'll go piece by piece. No. Maybe the first six gray texts so we can just all see them together, if you could. Thank you.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Ryan Bangert says, "It will run itself. My fear is that he will force them to do crazy" -- expletive.

1 Is that typically how Ryan Bangert would

2 talk?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Lacey Mase says, "I find that absolutely hilarious." And Darren McCarty says, "Perfect. I've been trying to figure out how to get Patrick and Disher to join my new law firm."

Is Darren McCarty one of the so-called whistleblowers?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Has he filed a lawsuit with you?
- 12 A. No, sir.
- Q. Has he just got out of the OAG's office and hung out a shingle, it sounds like, right?
 - A. That's my understanding.
 - Q. Okay. And Ryan Bangert says, "Maybe Aaron can help. I'm sure Patrick and Dish will loved being managed by a failed prosecutor and a third-year lawyer."

That's what Ryan Bangert wrote about his coworkers, yes?

- A. I'm sorry, say that again. Ryan Bangert?
- Q. That's what Ryan Bangert wrote about his coworkers, yes? His colleagues, yes?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Then there's a like from Ryan Bangert, and you

write, "Patrick and Dish will need to start using smaller words in their pleadings."

That's what you wrote, right?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. You're insulting your colleagues on this familiar group chat, yes? Among friends, yes?
 - A. It was a very --

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) You are -- I'm sorry, I'll ask it again. You are insulting your colleagues on this group chat among friends, true?
 - A. I wouldn't describe it as insulting.

PRESIDING OFFICER: The witness will answer "yes" or "no."

- A. The way that you phrased it, no, sir.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) What did you mean? Well, first of all, just tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, who are Patrick and Dish?
- A. Patrick Sweeten was in the special litigation division, and Todd Disher was also in the special litigation division.
- Q. And so I want to make sure the jury understands the implication of what you're saying. The implication is that

Patrick and -- is it Todd?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

19

- Q. Patrick and Todd are going to need to use smaller words because their new colleagues at the Attorney General's Office wouldn't understand it if they used big words, right?
 - A. That's the implication.
 - Q. That is, as they say, the joke, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You were being funny, right?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
 - MR. LITTLE: If we could move down to the remainder of the page, Mr. Arroyo.
 - Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Blake Brickman says, "The agency is going to fall apart and that is one person's fault and one person only, KP." Right?
 - A. Yes, I see that.
- Q. In point of fact, the agency did not fall apart, did it?
 - A. I haven't followed it. I -- I wouldn't know.
- Q. Lacey Mase laughs and says -- or she laughs at your joke and she says, "I would love to be a fly on the wall during the special lit meetings. Can you even imagine?"

 Four laughing emojis, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you deleted all of these texts, I guess, by

- just a policy on your personal phone, true?
- A. The way that you phrased it, no, sir, I did not delete them.
- Q. Well, you had a -- you had a setting on your phone that deleted them, yes?
 - A. That's right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. You didn't think they might be necessary or needed later, right?
 - A. That's not true.
- Q. You post a link to Amazon below that. And what is that link to?
 - A. The title is A Coloring Book, it looks like.
 - Q. And the text below that says, from you, "They might need some activities to keep the kids entertained." Right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You are suggesting, are you not, Mr. Vassar, that your colleagues, professional lawyers at the Office of Attorney General, might need -- might need a coloring book to stay entertained? That's what you're suggesting?
 - A. I wouldn't describe them as colleagues. I had no professional experience with them other than just the knowledge that they were new lawyers.
 - Q. You didn't have any experience with the people that you are saying that might need coloring books to keep themselves entertained at the Office of Attorney General; is

1 that true?

2

3

4

5

6

7

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

22

2.3

25

- A. That's correct. It was a joke.
- Q. It was a joke.

I believe earlier your testimony was that being called a rogue employee was very upsetting to you, right?

- A. Yes, sir.
- 8 Q. But this is how you talk about your coworkers,
 9 true?
- 10 A. Again, it was lighthearted. It was among friends.
 11 It was not made public to millions of people.
 - Q. No one was ever supposed to see it and certainly not the people of Texas who are watching this impeachment proceeding, right? No one was ever supposed to see this.
 - A. No one was hiding it.
 - Q. It was private.
 - A. I'm sorry?
- 18 Q. It was private, right?
- A. Well, it was -- it was a conversation among
 friends, but I wouldn't say that any of us are concerned that
 it's being discussed here today.
 - Q. Are you proud of this?
 - A. No, sir. That's not what I said.
- Q. No. I'm asking you now, are you proud of this?
 - A. No, sir.

1 Q. Let's turn the page.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. LITTLE: If you could give me all the gray ones at the top, Mr. Arroyo, or just the first six texts so we can see it in context.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Lacey Mase says, "Ha-ha-ha-ha," in response to your text, and David Maxwell posts a laughing emoji, right? This is -- everybody is joking, having a good time on this text thread, right?
 - A. Sure.
- Q. Nobody's appreciating the somber moment of being FBI whistleblowers, at least at this time on October 26th, right?
- A. Well, we had all been through a lot by that point, and I suppose people process things in different ways.
 - Q. Gallows humor, perhaps, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. David Max- -- David Maxwell says, "My phone conference with Margaret Moore and her team went well well today. They are excited about pursuing this investigation and will coordinate their efforts with the U.S. Attorney's Office so that both pursuits complement each other."

Who is Margaret Moore?

- A. She was the previous Travis County District Attorney.
 - Q. So David Maxwell is calling the Travis County

District Attorney at the time and the U.S. Attorney's Office and everybody is getting fired up. They're getting excited about prosecuting Ken Paxton, right?

- A. That appears to be what he is saying.
- Q. Next sentence says, "They obviously want to move quickly, as they have time constraints. They are not going to wait on the feds."

What does that mean?

- A. You'd have to ask Director Maxwell. I'm not sure what that means.
 - Q. What do you think it means?

MR. HARDIN: Objection. Objection to him being asked to speculate what it means, Your Honor.

MR. LITTLE: Good objection. I'll --

MR. HARDIN: He doesn't know -- he doesn't

know --

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you. I'll withdraw it.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Now, if you'll look down in the rest of the thread, it says, "So you know" -- this is Ryan Bangert. "So you know, I tendered my resignation today effective November 4th." Darren McCarty says, "Thanks for letting us know." David Maxwell says, "No, I didn't. Just got done at FBI. Went great. I'm staying until he fires me. Will keep y'all posted on progress." Right?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I see that.
 - Q. At this time are you hoping to retain your job?
 - A. Yes, sir. I was still on investigative leave at the time.
 - Q. Yes. And you're hoping to retain your job?
 - A. Yes, sir.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. And ultimately you came back to the office and talked to Brent Webster, yes?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And you said to Brent Webster in that meeting that you still trusted the Attorney General, correct?
- A. I -- I believe so. I don't recall exactly what I said to Mr. Webster about trusting the Attorney General. I think what Mr. Webster asked --

PRESIDING OFFICER: Can you hold for a moment?

We'll stand at ease for 30 minutes.

MR. LITTLE: Yes, Your Honor.

(Recess from 9:28 to 10:10 a.m.)

PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Little, if you want to be seated for just a moment, because he's -- I know he's in the building.

Bailiff, will you bring in the witness,

23 please.

Mr. Vassar, you're still under oath. Please

25 be seated.

1 Mr. Little, you may continue.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. LITTLE: Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, we're going to go back to Exhibit AG 170.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, if you could bring up page Brickman 201 again. We're going to go back one step.

And, Mr. Arroyo, if you could highlight or zoom in on the two texts at the bottom again.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) So, Mr. Vassar, my apologies. My colleague, Amy Hilton, informs me that I did not, in fact, get the joke.

The joke here is this is a coloring book. It says -- and I encourage anyone to look it up. It's the "Going Rouge: Sarah Palin Rogue Coloring & Activity Book," right?

- A. That sounds right.
- Q. Does that look like it?
- A. I don't recall exactly. That could be accurate.
- Q. And the joke is that Sarah Palin is so dumb that she can't spell "rogue," right?
- A. That wasn't my understanding of the joke. I -- I believe -- my recollection is that when I found a coloring book about going rogue, that was why I sent it to this group chat. I don't recall any specific reference to Sarah Palin.
 - Q. That's the context of the joke, but the joke of the

coloring book here is it's supposed to say "going rogue," and it says "going rouge" and it's Sarah Palin can't spell "rogue," LOL, right?

- A. I -- I can't really see your phone, but I'll take your point.
 - Q. Would you like me to approach so you can see it?

 MR. LITTLE: May I, Your Honor?
 - A. That's up to the president.

 I see it.
 - Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) That's the one, right?
- A. I can't recall specifically if that is the one that's linked in this text message.
- Q. But the context of the joke within the text chain is it's about you going rogue. And the reference is an oblique reference to Ken Paxton calling you rogue employees, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. And so the same information that got you very upset yesterday about being called a rogue employee, looks like three weeks or so after it happened, you're joking on a text chat with your friends about it and showing them the Going Rouge coloring book, right?
 - A. That's --
- Q. Do I have it?
- 25 A. -- what happened. Yes, sir.

- Q. There's nothing less funny than someone who explains the joke, but I think I have it now, right?
 - A. I'm sorry. Say that again. There's --
- Q. I think I have it now, right? That was the context of the joke. I explained it, true?
- A. Yes. At the time circulating an Amazon link to a coloring book about going rogue was what had happened.

MR. LITTLE: All right. Mr. Arroyo, if you could advance to page Brickman 203. If you could start,
Mr. Arroyo, with the blue text down and zoom in on that.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) The text from Blake Brickman says, "Being fired will make you a cool kid," right?
 - A. That's what he says.
- Q. David Maxwell gives a thumbs up and sunglasses emoji, right?
 - A. Yes. I see that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. Ryan Bangert responds, "Brent told me today that he was, quote, completing his investigation. He says" -- "he said it's all based on the documents he reviewed. I told him the cold documents may tell one story, but ten months of loved experience tell the rest of the story." And then Ryan corrects his typo and says "lived." It says "lived experience that tells the story," right?
 - A. Yes. I see that.
 - Q. And Darren McCarty says, "Definitely not loved."

Ryan Bangert said, "He also asked me how soon it was after RV received the signed Cammack contract that Jeff and I learned about it."

Do you see that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You are RV, right?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. And what Brent Webster was trying to investigate at the time is what we discussed yesterday, right, Mr. Vassar?

 That apparently at some point you received the signed contract with Ken Paxton's signature on it with Brandon

 Cammack, right?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And Brent Webster was trying to discover when Jeff Mateer and Ryan Bangert learned about that contract as part of his investigation, true?
- A. That's my understanding. He didn't ask me when they learned about it, but he asked me when I received a copy of the contract.
- Q. Okay. And Ryan Bangert responds, "He said that was one missing piece of his, quote, investigation, closed quote." Lacey Mase says, "He's the worst."

Let's turn the page, if you would.

And Brent Webster, he's the new guy at the office, right?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.3

24

25

- Q. He's been working there a little over three weeks maybe, or three weeks?
- A. I think his first day was October 4th. And if these are on the 26th, then that would be accurate.
 - Q. Okay. Turn to the next page.

MR. LITTLE: If you could give me -- just zoom in on the text, if you can, Mr. Arroyo. Just all of the texts together if you can. Give me the first one at the top too. Very kind.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Ryan Bangert posts what looks like -- I believe that is the "hmm" emoji. I don't know how else to refer to it. And then David Maxwell says, "I never created any documents. He wanted me to conduct an illegal investigation." Right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Do you know what he meant?
- 18 A. No, sir.
 - Q. And you posted a meme. And why don't you tell the jury what your meme says.
- A. It says, "Never interrupt your enemy when he is making a mistake."
 - Q. Who is your enemy?
 - A. I didn't have an enemy at the time. It was a meme just sent in the conversation.

- Q. Sure. But there's a context, right?
- A. Well, the context is Brent Webster was investigating us when it was General Paxton who was abusing the powers of the office to benefit Nate Paul.
- Q. And so here the context is Brent Webster is the enemy, yes?
- A. No, sir. It's Brent Webster is investigating the wrong person or the wrong people.
- Q. Okay. Well, what's the mistake that's being made that's being referenced in your meme here?
- A. Oh, that would be the fact that he is investigating us, not General Paxton.
 - Q. Brent Webster is making the mistake?
- A. Yes, sir.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. And you don't want to interrupt Brent Webster, right?
- A. That was -- that was the joke. I was not in the office, so I couldn't have interrupted him if I wanted to.
- Q. You hadn't been in the office in a very long time. You were working from home, right?
- A. No, sir. I was placed on investigative leave during this period and surrendered my official agency phone and laptop and was not permitted to access the building or work.
 - Q. It seems to suggest -- this meme seems to suggest

to me in context that you think Brent Webster is the enemy who is making a mistake. Is that true or untrue?

- A. I -- I don't know what it seems to you, but I explained I didn't have an enemy at the time. I was not fighting Brent Webster.
- Q. Okay. David Maxwell posts a thumbs up and a laughing emoji. Lacey Mase says, "So another candidate in Wilco came up" -- Wilco is Williamson County, right?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And at this time Lacey Mase is running for office, true?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. What's she running for?
- 14 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. "So another candidate at Wilco came up to me yesterday and said, 'So I understand you're acquainted with Brent Webster. Let me tell you about Mr. Webster. He's lazy and he's a liar. I'm glad you don't have to work for him.' And then she walked away." And you said, "That's awesome." Right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Why was that awesome?
- A. Because I had never heard anything about Brent
 Webster, and to have someone approach Lacey and give their
 personal experience of interacting with Brent Webster I

thought was informative.

Q. Mr. Vassar, I'm going to show you another text thread that you didn't produce, okay, but you're part of.

MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, may I approach the

5 witness?

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

15

16

18

25

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.

MR. LITTLE: I'm marking this Exhibit 1006.

8 Okay.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Are you part of this text thread?
- 10 A. Yes, sir.
- 11 Q. Have you seen it before?
- A. Yes. I have seen it before as a participant on the thread. I've not seen these documents or this exhibit before.
 - MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, we move for admission of AG Exhibit 1006.

17 PRESIDING OFFICER: Any objection?

MR. HARDIN: Excuse me. I have not seen it.

MR. LITTLE: And to be clear --

20 MR. HARDIN: I'm sorry. Can I just have just

21 a moment?

I don't believe this is one previously that's

23 been marked, so if I could have just a moment to look at it.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, take a moment.

MR. HARDIN: Your Honor, may I inquire of

counsel?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Is it a series of communications with the different deputy chiefs? It's just so long that I'm trying to save time if I could.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Hardin, yes. This is a text thread that was produced by Mark Penley in response to your subpoena.

MR. HARDIN: I have no objection.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Admit Exhibit 1006 -- is that the correct number, Mr. Little, 1006 --

MR. LITTLE: It is.

PRESIDING OFFICER: -- into evidence.

(AG Exhibit No. 1006 was admitted)

MR. LITTLE: May I proceed?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, take a look --

MR. LITTLE: And, Mr. Arroyo, would you please bring up Exhibit 1006 so the jury can see it with us. Go to the first page of that, if you would. There you go. All right. Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, you post a picture into this text thread, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you're posting a picture of an email from Ken

Paxton to a group chat, yes?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

- Q. And -- and Ken Paxton is telling you, "I did sign the outside counsel contract with Cammack Law Firm PLLC."

 Yes?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. And who is -- if you can tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, who is on this text thread?
- A. It's myself, David Maxwell, Ryan Bangert, Blake Brickman, and Lacey Mase, just going by their --
- Q. And this is hard to tell with iPhones, but this document was produced by Mark Penley, so these are screenshots of Mark Penley's phone. Do you understand he's on the thread too?
- A. Okay. I don't see him -- I understand that now. He wasn't listed in the little bubbles at the top, but I understand.
- Q. Well, my experience with this -- obviously, I'm not testifying. But you know how on iPhones when you're on a group chat, it doesn't necessarily put you in the little circle --
- A. Right.
 - Q. -- if it's your phone, right?
- 24 A. Okay.
 - Q. So Mark is on this thread?

A. It appears so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Okay. So Lacey Mase's second text, "New group."

 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And the date of this, just to be clear, it begins on October 2 of 2020, right, right after you went to the FBI?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. All right. Let's see what you're talking about. Turn to the next page, if you would.

The next page is a picture from Ryan Vassar.

It says, "Silence unknown callers." And he says y'all -- and you say -- I'm sorry, it's a text from you. You say -- you show them a picture of the silence unknown callers feature on your phone and you say, "Y'all should probably should turn this feature on." Right?

- A. That's right.
- Q. And you're -- and why do you say that?
- A. To avoid receiving a phone call from a blocked number or an unknown number that was unanticipated or unexpected.
- Q. And you're worried about media calls at this point, right?
- A. It could have been any calls, any unknown or unidentified calls.
 - Q. And Ryan Bangert says, "How did I not know about

that until now?" And Lacey Mase says, "Excellent."

The next --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. LITTLE: And let's turn the page, if you would, Mr. Arroyo, to page Penley 9.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) You say, "We should consider notifying other deps before Monday." Notifying them of what?
- A. The fact that we had gone to report General Paxton to law enforcement about the facts that we had determined.
 - Q. That's not what you say.
 - A. To -- to whom --

MR. HARDIN: Excuse me. I object to the sidebar and testifying, Your Honor. He can ask questions, but not -- not state --

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

MR. HARDIN: -- testimony. Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Lacey Mase responds to you, "About the new FA." And she means first assistant, right?
 - A. Yes, I believe so.
- Q. And then she says, "I don't feel like that's our announcement to make." And you say, "Resigning." Resigning?
 - A. Right.
- Q. So what you said, "We should consider notifying other deps before Monday," it's about resigning, yes?
- A. That was -- that was an element of it. But most of it was about going to law enforcement to report General

Paxton's activities that we had determined.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

24

25

- Q. That's not what you say in this text thread, is it?
- A. No. What I -- what I just explained is that is part of the conversation that we're having at the time.
- Q. As of October 2, you were planning to resign as a group, yes?
 - A. No, sir. We were discussing what we should do.
- Q. And you were saying we should consider notifying the other deputies before Monday about resigning, true?
- A. That's -- that's right. In addition to disclosing to them that we had gone to law enforcement to report General Paxton's activities.
- Q. Ryan Bangert responds, "I don't think we tell them until we have a fully vetted plan of action."

Next page.

Blake Brickman says, "Has anybody updated Johnny?" Who is Johnny?

- A. You'd have to ask Blake, but I interpreted that as Johnny Sutton.
 - Q. Is he representing all of you at this point?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Who is paying for all of that, by the way?
- A. Well, we are.
 - Q. You pay for it out of your savings?
 - A. I have not paid Mr. Sutton.

1 Q. Oh. Who has?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

1.3

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- A. Nobody has. He's agreed to bill us at a future date.
 - Q. What future date?
 - A. You'd have to ask him.
- Q. You're telling me that an attorney, a very experienced, prominent criminal defense attorney decided to do this for free for you for three years; is that right?
 - A. No, sir. It's not for free.
 - Q. What's the arrangement?
- 11 A. We will pay him for the services that he's rendered to us.
 - Q. Is he billing you hourly?
- A. He's recording his hours is my understanding. I have not received a bill from him.
 - Q. And he hasn't been paid by any of you yet; is that right?
 - A. I have not paid him. I'm not aware of whether any other individuals have paid him.
 - Q. And so is the plan for you to achieve this settlement through the adoption of the Texas Legislature and then pay off your criminal attorney who is trying to help you not be labeled coconspirators? Is that the plan?
 - A. No. That's never been discussed.
 - Q. When are you going to have the money?

- 1 A. Ideally, when I have enough money, I can pay him.
 - Q. But you've never been sent a bill. You don't even know what to pay him.
 - A. That's right.
- 5 Q. You -- is everybody paying equally? Is it pro 6 rata?
 - A. Again, I'm not sure what other people's arrangements have been, but I've not received a bill from Mr. Sutton and I have not paid him yet.
 - Q. How did you find this fellow, by the way?
 - A. Mr. Sutton?
- 12 Q. Yes.

4

7

8

9

10

11

17

2.3

- A. His name had been discussed with Mr. Mateer,

 Mr. Bangert, and Mr. McCarty about the best person to call

 before we had met with law enforcement.
- Q. Who suggested him first?
 - A. I don't recall exactly.
- Q. Did you understand Mr. Sutton to be a former U.S.

 Attorney?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. In whose administration, if you would tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury?
 - A. I believe it was George W. Bush's administration.
- Q. Have you ever heard the expression, "There are no coincidences in Austin"?

- A. I may have. I don't recall it right now.
- Q. Next text you write -- or in response to Blake
 Brickman you say, "Not me," meaning you haven't updated
 Johnny Sutton yet, right?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. Ryan Bangert says, "Not with the latest. He is aware that Jeff resigned, but not the new FAAH or FAAG.

Is that supposed to be First Assistant
Attorney General?

- 10 A. That's right.
- 11 Q. Okay. Next page, if you would.

12 You write, "To-do list. 1, update Johnny."

- 13 | That's Johnny Sutton, right?
- 14 A. That's right.
- Q. And number 2 is, "Have someone call the new meat."
- 16 Right?

2.3

1

2

3

4

5

6

- 17 A. That's right.
- 18 O. Who's the new meat?
- A. I have no idea. I don't recall who I was referring to at that time.
- Q. You're talking about new employees at the Attorney
 General's Office, right?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- 24 Q. You can't --
- 25 A. I -- I presume that would have been the people that

I was referring to just based on being in the office and working in the office.

- Q. Number 3 is "Discuss with Luis." Tell the ladies and gentlemen of this jury who Luis is.
- A. At the time Mr. Luis Saenz was the Chief of Staff for Governor Abbott.
- Q. What were you going to be discussing with Governor Abbott's Chief of Staff?
- A. It was not my discussion. It was a to-do list that members of the group had contributed to. So I did not meet, I did not talk to, I did not confer with Mr. Saenz.
 - Q. Who did?

1.3

2.1

2.3

- A. I'm not -- I don't know. I believe Mr. Bangert and Mr. Mateer met with Luis, but I don't know where or when.
- Q. Well, I want to be super clear about the time line, okay, without telling you anything that's been testified to in this trial.
- Are you aware of any type of meeting between Mr. Mateer and Mr. Bangert and the Governor's Office on the day of the FBI report?
- A. I can't say that I recall. I don't know when or where they may have met.
- Q. But the discussion that's contemplated in your text message with Luis Saenz, it -- that was poor syntax. Let me try again.

The discussion with Luis Saenz that's contemplated in your text message is not talking about that because this is on October 2. So this is talking about a new meeting with the Governor's Chief of Staff, right?

- A. Again, I don't know for sure. I don't -- I wasn't part of that meeting. I don't know when it happened or where it occurred. And I don't recall if this was referring to that specific meeting or a subsequent meeting.
- Q. Number 4 on your to do list, "Coordinate group office cleanout," right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You are planning as a group to clean out your offices, yes?
- A. Again, that was the conversation that we were having at the time.
- Q. But at some point in time, I'm sure you retained an employment lawyer and someone advised you that you need to stick around and make them fire you, right?
 - A. I did hire an employment lawyer.
- Q. And at some point in time after meeting the employment lawyer, you decided the best thing to do was stick around and make somebody fire you, yes?
- A. I don't recall if that was the decision that was reached with my employment lawyer.
 - Q. Your plan on October 2 was to coordinate a group

office cleanout, yes?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- A. That was the conversation we were having, again, about options, discussing continuity of the office, and whether it was an option for us to resign.
- Q. The next text is from -- it appears to me to be
 Mark Penley because this is his text thread. It says, "Ryan
 B, did you find the sets of subpoenas in my office?" Do you
 see that?
 - A. I see that.
 - Q. These are the grand jury subpoenas, yes?
- A. I would presume so. You would have to ask Mark which subpoenas he was referring to.
- Q. Okay. Ryan Bangert responds, "I have not been down to grab them yet. I will" -- "I likely will get them in the morning." Let's turn -- and he says, "I know Johnny has" -- and let's turn to the next page -- "them so we can always get a copy from him worst comes to worst. I'm apparently not locked out yet." And then Ryan posts a tweet from Lauren McGaughy, right?
 - A. Yes. I see that.
 - Q. And who is Lauren McGaughy?
 - A. She's a reporter for the Dallas Morning News.
 - Q. Do you see her up here? Do you see her back there?
- A. I don't know. I've never met her, so I'm not sure.
 - Q. You've never met her?

Well, she was on top of it this day. She's

got a tweet here. It says, "I heard First Attorney" -
"First Assistant Attorney General Jeff Mateer announced today

he's leaving the agency to return to First Liberty." And

then the next text from Ryan B is, "Lauren McGaughy knows."

She's a vigilant reporter, isn't she?

A. I'm not advised.

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. That was not public at that point in time, right?
- A. I'm not sure either. I am not sure of the time line between when this was sent or when -- it looks like the tweet occurred on October 2nd at 7:15 p.m.
- Q. All right. Let's turn the page, if you would.

 You write, "What she needs now is a statement from First Liberty." Right?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. What Lauren, the Dallas Morning News reporter, needs now is a statement from First Liberty is what you're saying, yes?
 - A. That's what I would have suggested, yes, sir.
- Q. Yeah. And so correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Vassar. It kind of looks like you're trying to shape the media narrative around what's going on. Is that true?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. But what you're saying here is we should give -- we should try to give her a statement from First Liberty, right?

1 A. Absolutely not.

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.3

24

- Q. That's not what you mean?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. What -- what did you mean? Just tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury.
- A. That was my personal observation of another piece of information that she could obtain was a statement from First Liberty, which is where I understand Mr. Mateer was going to work.
- Q. And Ryan Bangert responds, "Or Alejandro can just give our statement." Who is Alejandro?
- A. He was the communications director at the office at the time.
 - Q. Okay. And you say, "Unless KP is holding it or Alejandro is keeping McGaughy on the blacklist." What's the blacklist?
 - A. It's my understanding at the office that there was a list of certain reporters that were handled differently than other reporters.
- Q. Next text. "On second thought, let the media feeding frenzy start." Who said that?
 - A. That was Ryan Bangert.
 - Q. The same Ryan Bangert who's testified in this trial, that guy?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. So on October 2 he's saying let the media feeding frenzy start, true?
 - A. Yes. That's what this says.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. And this is -- how many days is this after you went to the FBI with no evidence?
- A. Well, to clarify, the absence of evidence that I was referring to is documents. What we went with is our -
 MR. LITTLE: Nonresponsive. Object,

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained. I'm sorry. I did not -- I did not hear you.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Why did Ryan Bangert want to start a media feeding frenzy on October 2?
 - A. You'd have to ask him that. I'm not sure.
 - Q. I would like for you --

nonresponsive, Your Honor.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, if you could go to page Penley 20. At the bottom of -- it's hard to read Bates label at the bottom right. Right. Stop there. I want you to look at the -- I want you to zoom in on the last two texts on this thread if you would.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Lacey Mase says, "Stand down on that." We're not -- I'm going to ignore that. It says, "Missy told me he was in JM's office," blank, and there's a redacted name. Blank "was actually in the office while he was there."

1 Mr. Penley redacted this name. Who is that?

A. I'm not sure. I don't recall who that would have been. That was a message from, looks like, Lacey.

MR. LITTLE: And if you could, Mr. Arroyo, advance to page Penley 25.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) And you say, "We need a statement," right?
 - A. That's right.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Q. And you wrote a long one. Look to the bottom of that page.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, next page.

Next page after that. Next page after that. Next page after that.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) You talk about not tiring in your pursuit for justice. Justice will be served. We refuse to resign and will not be intimidated. You say all that, right?
 - A. Among other things, yes, sir.
 - Q. But you wrote that, yes?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Why did you want to do that? Why did you want to release a statement?
 - A. Because it was in response to the Attorney

 General's allegations that we had authorized the activities

 that he was directing and supervising throughout the year

 that related to Nate Paul.

- Q. And no one on this thread agrees with you, right?
- A. Well, the statement was not issued. I don't know that anyone disagreed with the content, but no one decided to issue it.
 - Q. Next page, if you would, please.

Lacey Mase says, "It's likely that a very talented crisis COMS person is working very hard on our behalf."

PRESIDING OFFICER: Counselor, hold on. It's not on the screen yet.

MR. LITTLE: Oh, I'm sorry.

If you would -- if you would, Mr. Arroyo, that middle text.

PRESIDING OFFICER: There it is.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) The middle text says, "It's likely that a very talented crisis COMS person is working very hard on our behalf as we speak." Right?
 - A. Yes. I see that.
- Q. Who is that?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.3

- A. I have no idea. You'd have to ask Lacey.
- Q. Were you paying for -- were you paying for a crisis COMS person?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Did you ever ask her who she was talking about?

 MR. HARDIN: Pardon me, Your Honor. Can I ask

1 the witness to speak a little closer to the microphone. Thank you. 2 PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes. Please do. 3 (BY MR. LITTLE) Did you ever ask her who she was Q. 4 talking about? 5 Α. No, sir. 6 Okay. Next I want to move to the topic of what 7 Q. I'll call the mystery of the altered letterhead. Okay? 8 I've got a new document for you. This is 9 10 marked as Exhibit AG 1007. MR. LITTLE: May I approach, Mr. President? 11 PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may. 12 (BY MR. LITTLE) Please review this email thread, 1.3 Q. Mr. Vassar. 14 PRESIDING OFFICER: One moment. 15 Mr. Hardin, have you had an opportunity to 16 look at it? 17 MR. HARDIN: I have no objection. 18 MR. LITTLE: We move for admission of AG 1007, 19 Mr. President. 20 PRESIDING OFFICER: It is admitted into 2.1 evidence. 22 (AG Exhibit No. 1007 was admitted) 2.3 Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, review this thread, 24

25

please.

- A. I see it. It's an email that was printed by Brent
 Webster. It was sent from Lacey Mase to myself on October

 1 lst, 2020.
- MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, if you would, please.

 5 Exhibit AG 1007, zoom in on the text if you would, please.
 - Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) All right. I want to make sure we have this in perfect context. All right?

The earlier email in the thread is from a woman name Brittany Hornsey, yes?

10 A. Yes.

6

7

11

- Q. And who is that, Mr. Vassar?
- A. At the time she was executive assistant to Jeff
 Mateer.
- Q. Jeff Mateer only? He's got his own assistant?
- A. I believe she also supported Ryan Bangert, but I'm not sure exactly.
- Q. Okay. And she writes an email on September 30th at 6:16 p.m., yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And to whom did she write it?
- A. To Ms. Mase.
- Q. And what is the subject?
- 23 A. It says "Letter."
- Q. All right. Put this in proper time line for the jury. At 6:16 p.m. on September 30th of 2020, you have

- already made your report to the Federal Bureau of Investigation, yes?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And Brittany Hornsey is emailing something to Lacey Mase, yes?
 - A. That's -- that's what this indicates, yes.
- Q. And October 1, the next day -- 30 days hath October. Yes, the next day, 12:09 p.m., Lacey Mase forwards that item to you, yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Let's show the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what that item is, Mr. Vassar.

Next page.

- A. Do you want me to identify it, or was there a question?
- O. What is it?
- A. It says "A draft to." It's dated September 29th, 2020. It has the Attorney General's seal on the top of it. And it has no body, but it is a draft signature block of the executive deputies.
 - Q. What else do you notice about it?
- 22 A. There are some signatures and some that are not. 23 There are -- I think that's it.
- Q. Anything else that you notice about it? Anything missing, perhaps?

1 A. Not offhand, no, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. The Attorney General's name has been removed from the letterhead, hasn't it?
- A. No, sir. As I explained yesterday, the agency has different letterhead. This is a different letterhead.
- Q. Do you understand that Brittany Hornsey was interviewed by Brent Webster as part of this case?
 - A. I'm not advised, but --
- Q. Have you heard that Brittany Hornsey was intentionally told by Lacey Mase --
 - A. I have not heard that.
 - Q. Stop. I didn't finish my question.
 - A. Sorry.
- Q. Hold on a second. Have you heard that Brittany

 Hornsey was intentionally told by Lacey Mase to bring her the

 letterhead without Ken Paxton's name on it?
- A. I'm not sure that I have ever heard that, that Lacey had instructed Brittany to send the letterhead.
- Q. Had you ever before written a letter on agency letterhead -- well, skip it.
- This, of course, letterhead was being used in the letter by the so-called whistleblowers to notify certain parties of certain things, yes?
 - A. That's right.
 - Q. I want to go back in time a little bit. We've been

talking a lot about September -- or October, but I want to go back to the hiring of Brandon Cammack, okay, and ask you a series of questions that I think are going to call for a yes/no answer. Just do your best. Okay?

You were responsible for overseeing outside counsel contracts, correct?

- A. For the Office of the Attorney General, that was one of the areas, yes, sir.
- Q. Yes. And the agency reviews and approves around 900 of them every two years, true?
 - A. Yes, across the state.
 - Q. Okay.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- A. Not for the office.
- Q. Okay. I want to put things in proper context.

 Brandon Cammack would have been one outside counsel out of about 900 between 2019 and 2020, true?
- A. Yes. On average, yes. There's about 900 outside -- counsel contracts that the agency processes on behalf of other state agencies. The office itself might have two to five outside-counsel contracts at a time is my recollection.
- Q. So if the suggestion is that Nate Paul had such a chokehold on the Attorney General's Office, that he had consumed so many resources that the office wasn't really doing much else, that wouldn't be true, would it?

The focus of Nate Paul's related activities were 1 Α. becoming increasingly --2 MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive, 3 Mr. President. 4 PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained. 5 (BY MR. LITTLE) It is not true, Mr. Vassar, that 6 Nate Paul had such a stranglehold on the Office of Attorney 7 General that nothing else was getting done, right? 8 I wouldn't characterize it that way. 9 10 Q. All right. Let me ask a better question then. Yes or no, did Nate Paul have such a 11 stranglehold on the Office of the Attorney General that other 12 things weren't getting done? 1.3 14 I don't think I can answer yes or no to that question. 15 Q. Are you sure? 16 Α. I am sure. 17 You can't say "yes," right? It's not true. 18 Q. Α. It --19 20 Q. You can't say "yes," right? I -- the degree to which Nate Paul's relationship 2.1 Α. with General Paxton and the activities that --2.2

MR. HARDIN: Objection, Your Honor. He

-- we were asking --

2.3

24

25

Α.

MR. LITTLE: Object to nonresponsive.

can't -- I object to him being forced to answer yes or no.

2 The rules don't require that from a witness if they can't,

and he's trying to testify to these questions. When he

doesn't get what he wants, he asks him just to give yes or

no.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

That question, if you look at it, we have no idea what he means by stranglehold, as an example. He should not be required to answer yes or no before he knows what this man means. That's my objection.

PRESIDING OFFICER: First -- I think you had an objection first and then you had an objection.

MR. HARDIN: Yes, sir.

PRESIDING OFFICER: I believe so. Okay. The court reporter, I hope you were able to get that. We had objections over each other.

So, first of all, we will -- overrule your objection. We will sustain your objection.

To the best of your ability, Mr. Vassar, if you can, answer yes or no.

Continue.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) I'll ask a better question. How many lawyers are there, roughly, at the Office of the Attorney General?
 - A. Roughly 800 lawyers.
 - Q. How many of them have ever touched anything related

- to Nate Paul? How many of the 800 ever touched anything related to Nate Paul?
- A. I could speculate there may have been 15 lawyers, maybe 15 to 20.
- Q. How many cases does the Attorney General's Office have going on at any time?
- A. Generally, I think it's around 900,000 or maybe 50,000 cases.
 - Q. 900,000 or 50,000?
 - A. Well --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.3

24

- Q. Help me out here.
- A. -- I was -- I was going back to the outside counsel numbers of 900 every two years, so I apologize. I was not in the civil litigation division, so I'm not sure how many litigation cases are active at any general moment.
- Q. What's your best understanding of how many civil litigation matters are going at the Office of the Attorney General at any given time?
 - A. Possibly it could be 20,000 active cases at a time.
- Q. 20,000, right? And how many of those 20,000 cases involve Nate Paul?
 - A. I would have no direct knowledge of how many of those cases would.
 - Q. So I think we've been over this a lot. I don't want to burden us with it too much longer. There's a

layering of signatures and approvals as part of the policy for hiring outside counsel, yes?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. But, of course, you know that the law says that the elected Attorney General appoints outside counsel, right?

 Just him, right?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. All right.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, this may be challenging for you to find, but please bring up Section 402.0212 of the Texas Government Code.

Erick on-the-spot.

All right. Give me the title and Section (a), please.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Have you ever read this before?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Let's read it together. It says, "Except as other" -- "authorized by other law, a contract for legal services between an attorney, other than a full-time employee of the agency, and a state agency in the executive department, other than an agency established by the Texas Constitution, must be approved by the Attorney General to be valid," right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. That's pretty clear, isn't it?

1 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

16

17

18

2.1

22

- Q. It doesn't have to be approved by you. It doesn't have to be approved by Jeff Mateer. It doesn't have to be approved by Michele Price. It doesn't have to be approved by Lacey Mase or Ryan Bangert or anybody else but the guy who got 4.2 million votes, right?
- A. It was the standard practice for approvals, but, yes.

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Right?
- A. If your initial question was whether the law allows

 General Paxton to appoint outside --

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive,

15 Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Would you like to have the court reporter read the question back to you?
- 19 A. The first -- your first question about whether the 20 law allows --

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

- A. Yes. Please read the question back to me.
- (Court reporter read back the question)
- PRESIDING OFFICER: We could not hear that.

Can you repeat that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

MR. LITTLE: I'll be happy to repeat it.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Outside-counsel contracts don't have to be approved by you, they don't have to be approved by Jeff Mateer, they don't have to be approved by Michele Price or Lacey Mase or Ryan Bangert or anyone but the guy who got 4.2 million votes, right?
 - A. That's right.
- Q. Thank you. Now, with regard to criminal matters, you had a call with General Paxton, true?
- A. Specific to the outside-counsel process, yes, but in general criminal matters, no, I never spoke to General Paxton.
 - Q. Yes. Just about the outside-counsel process, yes?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And he said, and I quote, "Tell me about the approval process." And you walked him through it, right?
- A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Remember, you're the lawyer to lawyers, right?
- 20 A. That's right.
- 21 Q. And he was asking for your advice, yes?
- A. He was asking me to explain the outside-counsel process.
- Q. Now, this next question is very important. Ken
 25 Paxton asked you, and I quote, "Can the agency retain outside

counsel in a criminal matter?" Yes?

- A. That's right.
- Q. He asked for your advice, right?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. He didn't kick down your door and say, Ryan, this is what we're doing, did he?
 - A. Not in that conversation.
- Q. He asked you for your advice, and you gave him your advice, true?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You called two candidates, Joe Brown and Brandon Cammack, correct?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. You got each of them to run a conflict check, true?
- 15 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. You drafted a contract for Joe Brown, didn't you?
 - A. No, sir. I sent Mr. Brown the template -- the outside-counsel contract template with no scope. There was no scope of work, no rate of pay; and his follow-up email to me was, "Would you like me to draft the scope?"
 - Q. And you said, "Joe, the malpractice issue may be one that we can resolve. We will draft the scope and will send you a draft agreement with the relevant details as soon as possible," right?
 - A. That's right.

- Q. You got each of them to run a conflict check, Brown and Cammack, right?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Now, in your estimation the Nate Paul investigative referral from the Travis County District Attorney's Office was, and I quote, "an ordinary criminal referral with ordinary investigative issues," true?
 - A. That was my perception, yes, sir.
- Q. Your conversations with First Assistant Jeff -- actually, let me press pause here.

After your testimony yesterday, did you go back and watch the video of yourself giving testimony?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. Your conversations with First Assistant Jeff Mateer were that you could make a legal argument that this outside-counsel contract with Cammack was okay because you had a referral and you had separate authority to engage outside counsel, true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And you drafted Cammack's contract, true?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury -because I think we all want to understand the executive
 action memorandum -- the whole process or whole idea of using
 what's called workflow in DocuSign for these outside counsel

- 1 contracts was your idea, wasn't it?
 - A. Yes, sir.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.3

14

15

19

22

2.3

- Q. Doesn't date back to John Cornyn or Greg Abbott, does it?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. You're the progenitor of this idea, and we have you to thank for it, I suppose, right?
 - A. I suppose so.
 - Q. And so what happens in workflow DocuSign is one person has to sign and then the next person and it has to go in order, true?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Didn't exist before you, yes?
 - A. It existed in hard copy but not electronic copy.
 - Q. Jeff Mateer told you to sign this contract, yes?
- 16 A. Yes, sir, he did.
- Q. Not Ken Paxton. Jeff Mateer told you to sign this contract with Brandon Cammack, right?
 - A. In my conversations with Jeff --
- Q. No one but Jeff Mateer told you to sign this contract, right? Right?
 - A. Jeff Mateer was the only person that I spoke to about signing the contract.
- Q. Ken Paxton didn't cause you or compel you to sign it, did he?

1 A. No, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Your conversation with Mateer, in fact, was, and I quote, "We need to keep this on as short of a leash as possible because we don't want it running away," true?
 - A. No, sir. That's not true.
 - Q. Well, fortunately, we have your transcript.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, may I approach the witness?

PRESIDING OFFICER: You may.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, I am not moving for admission of this transcript as I do not think it will be necessary. The purpose of this is impeachment only.

MR. HARDIN: May I inquire -- excuse me. Go ahead. Go ahead.

May I inquire if you intend to introduce the entire document?

MR. LITTLE: I do not. I just said that. I don't intend to introduce it as an exhibit. I intend to use it for impeachment purposes only.

MR. HARDIN: All right. Thank you, Judge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Continue.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) If you would, turn to page 105 of your transcript. I'm going to bring it up as well. We're not going to put it on the screen because I don't think we'll need to do that.

Can you find page 105?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

2.1

22 2.3

24

25

- I am on the transcript page of 105, yes, sir. Α.
- Read for the ladies and gentlemen of the jury what Q. you testified to the House Board of --

PRESIDING OFFICER: Counselor, hold on one second. My transcript is down. I'm just trying to get it back up. Hold on.

Go ahead.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Mr. President.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Read, if you would, Mr. Vassar, to the ladies and gentlemen of this jury the testimony that you gave to the House Board of Managers beginning at page 105, line 24, and read until page 106, line 4.
- So it says, "In my conversations with Mateer, Α. similar to the qualifications, the expertise, the experience between the two potential people, along those same lines was, quote, 'We need to keep this on as short of a leash as possible because we don't want it running away,' closed quote."
- Q. And you had that conversation with Jeff Mateer, didn't you?
 - I had several conversations with Mr. Mateer. Α.

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) You had that conversation with Mr. Mateer, didn't you?

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

A. Yes. I had a conversation with Mr. Mateer, but at no point did I say --

MR. LITTLE: Object, nonresponsive.

MR. HARDIN: Excuse me. May he please be

allowed to -- may he please respond to his --

PRESIDING OFFICER: Overruled.

- A. Can you repeat the question to me?
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) You had the conversation that you described here in your testimony to the House Board of Managers with Mr. Mateer, yes?
 - A. Yes, sir, I had a conversation.
- Q. Thank you. And you made an intentional decision to bring Brandon Cammack on, as I believe you put it, a third set of eyes, yes?
- A. No, sir. I -- I didn't make the decision. I provided a recommendation and a legal justification to do so.
- Q. If you'll look at page 106, lines 5 through 11, what you say is, "So I drafted the scope of work for the contract." You're talking about Cammack's contract.
- MR. HARDIN: Pardon me, Your Honor. May we approach the bench, please?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.

(Conference at the bench off the record)

25 PRESIDING OFFICER: I think the two of you

- have come to an agreement of moving forward --
- MR. LITTLE: We have.
- 3 PRESIDING OFFICER: -- in a proper way.
- MR. LITTLE: Mr. Hardin will let me know if I
- 5 mess up.

- 6 PRESIDING OFFICER: Members of the jury, come
- 7 to order.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) So, Mr. Vassar, the plan was to bring on Mr. Cammack, as I believe you put it, a third set of eyes, true?
- 11 A. That was my understanding.
- Q. Tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury, who were the other two sets of eyes?
- A. I didn't have any specifically other than Director

 Maxwell and Mark Penley, I presume, is the two individuals.
- 16 Q. And --
- 17 A. I'm sorry. You were speaking.
- 18 Q. Did I cut you off?
- 19 A. No, sir.
- Q. Okay. You anticipated Brandon Cammack providing a report to your office, true?
- 22 A. I did.

- Q. And you testified that the Brandon Cammack contract never got to the Controller Michele Price, true?
 - A. That's right. At the time I don't believe it had.

- Q. So just to be clear, you testified before the House Board of Managers that Brandon Cammack's contract never got to the Controller Michele Price, true?
 - A. That was my recollection.
 - Q. But you were wrong about that, weren't you?
 - A. I don't know for -- I don't know.

MR. LITTLE: Let's bring up Exhibit AG 130. I believe it's already in evidence. If you can find that for me, Mr. Arroyo. Right there.

Okay. Would you just highlight the signature blocks for me or zoom in on the signature blocks?

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Michele Price, the Controller, approved it, yes?
 - A. I see that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. So this contract had the money?
- 16 A. I'm sorry. Had a what?
- Q. It had the money allocated if Michele Price signed it, true?
 - A. Yes. It appears she signed it after I did.
 - Q. But in the rushed impeachment in this case, you told the House Board of Managers that she never signed it, true?
- A. She had not signed it before I signed it. That was my testimony, as I recall.
 - Q. Yeah. And at the time you gave your testimony to

the House Board of Managers, you didn't know and now you know, right?

- A. Well, yes. I see now that she has signed it.
- Q. Lesley French, who's that?
- A. At the time she was general counsel.
- Q. She approved the choice of Brandon Cammack as outside counsel, true?
 - A. Yes. She initialed this as indicating approval.
- Q. I want to talk briefly about grants. Okay? Are you familiar with applying for federal grants?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. Okay. Just explain to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury who may not understand the inside baseball of that, why would -- why on earth would the wonderful State of Texas need to apply for federal grants for OAG funding?
- A. Well, there are a number of programs that the office administers such as child support, crime-victim services, that are supplemented by state funds, state grants, as well as federal funding.
 - Q. And where does the money come from?
- A. Well, in each instance it comes from state appropriations and also federal grant programs.
- Q. And does your office have to apply for them with the federal government?
 - A. I believe so. There might be one or two instances

where they are statutory grants under the federal statutes, but I think most of them are application based.

- Q. And you were responsible for applying for those grants, true?
- A. No, sir. The grants division of the office was responsible for applying.
 - Q. But you were overseeing them?
- A. No, sir. That's a division that reported to Lacey Mase.
- Q. Okay. As the office -- as part of the office of general counsel or -- by October 2020, what was your title at the agency?
 - A. Deputy for Legal Counsel.
- Q. Okay. And so you're giving the couns- -- isn't it true that you gave the Office of the Attorney General legal advice about those grants?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

Q. Okay. I think I'm over the target now finally.

So my understanding is, correct me if I'm wrong, in October 2020 after you had so-called blown the whistle with your friends, you were still providing legal advice to the Office of Attorney General about grant applications to the federal government, yes?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And one of the reservations that you expressed was

that the Office of the Attorney General might have some problems applying for federal grants because Ken Paxton was under indictment, true?

- A. That was an issue that came to my mind, yes, sir.
- Q. He was under indictment the whole time you worked there, right?
- A. I'm not sure when it occurred, but I believe that's probably true.
- Q. In every other year before October of 2020, you didn't provide that advice to the Office of the Attorney General, true?
 - A. That's right.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. And, in fact, if Ken Paxton was not allowed to sign those grant applications, it might have cost the Attorney General's Office between 1- and \$10 million in federal money, true?
- A. I don't know the specific numbers, but, yes, if -if we were prohibited as an agency from participating in the
 federal grant program because of an ongoing indictment or
 conviction for a felony that was prohibited under those
 federal programs, then it could cost the agency.
- Q. So after you blew the whistle on September 30th of 2020, your advice about those grants changed, right?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. You were advising the Attorney General's Office

about this all the way on from before that?

- A. No. What you asked is did my advice change? The answer is no. I didn't provide advice one way or the other that had changed from the previous years.
- Q. Okay. Did you advise the Attorney General's Office on those grants before October of 2020?
 - A. On the specific grants that --
- Q. The federal money, the 1- to \$10 million that's supposed to be coming to the State of Texas to help the AG's Office.
 - A. Right.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. Did you provide any advice about that before October of 2020?
- A. Not -- so just to clarify your question, these grants are typically on a two-year cycle in most instances, so each time we would consider those a separate grant. So when you asked if I provided advice on these grants, historically I would have advised on the legal nature of participating in these grant programs at different years.
- Q. Did you ever raise your concerns about the Attorney General's being indicted for securities fraud over the last eight years to anyone before October of 2020?
 - A. I don't recall so.
- Q. Let me just circle back with you and clean something up on the Public Information Act stuff that we

talked about yesterday. Okay? I want to make sure I have this right, because I think we may have made a mistake, you and I together -- or I may have made the mistake and elicited testimony that wasn't correct. I want to make sure. Okay?

You remember the three requests we talked about yesterday, right?

A. Yes.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. Okay.
- A. The securities board, the big request, the FBI brief.
- Q. The FBI brief. So on the FBI brief, yesterday I believe I elicited testimony from you that the FBI blew their response deadline, but that wasn't right, was it?
- A. I think is what I testified yesterday is I don't recall exactly what the circumstances were regarding the response deadline or any response that we had requested.
- Q. What actually happened is the FBI responded when that unredacted brief was requested, but their arguments were so nonsensical or were nonresponsive to the issue that your opinions division, which is under your oversight, made the decision to release the unredacted brief, true?
- A. I don't recall what the brief said, the FBI's response to the request for the FBI brief itself. I don't recall what the FBI's position was or what the division issued.

Just to put it in context, my youngest was born May 30th of 2020.

Q. Okay.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- A. So I was working out of the office. And at that time, if you recall, the DPS request -- the big request was issued June 2nd. So toward May 30th for the month of June, most of those conversations were directly with Ryan Bangert.
- Q. Okay. So is it true that you can't tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury why the unredacted brief was released?
- A. The unredacted FBI brief was released because General Paxton directed us to release it.
 - Q. And tell me about what that process was.
- A. Well, in a meeting with General Paxton at which Ryan Bangert was attending, we identified that the agency, the Office of the Attorney General, had received a request for public information for the FBI brief. General Paxton asked us to provide him a copy of the redacted brief and the unredacted brief.
 - O. Yes.
- A. A few days later we met again, and General Paxton had reviewed it, had marked up the copies and indicated to both of us that he had seen nothing that should be withheld from Nate Paul.
 - Q. Did you disagree with him?

- A. Yes, just based on the content of the information.
 - Q. Was there any claim of law enforcement privilege made by the FBI?
 - A. I'm not advised -- again, I don't recall what their brief said.
 - Q. You don't recall any of the content of what the FBI said in their challenge?
 - A. Not in the challenge, no, sir. I recall the content of the FBI brief that was submitted as part of the DPS file.
- 11 Q. Okay. I'm going to show you a few other documents, 12 okay?
- We're going to go to -- let's stay on this same topic.
- MR. LITTLE: This is Exhibit AG 185, Your
 Honor, if I might approach.
- 17 PRESIDING OFFICER: You may.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Have you had an opportunity to review Exhibit AG 185?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 21 0. What is it?
- A. It's a letter dated April 13th, 2020, from the
 Department of Public Safety to Joseph Larsen regarding a
 Public Information Request referenced as 20-0983.
- MR. LITTLE: And, Mr. Arroyo, if you would

1 | bring up Exhibit AG 185. Thank you so much.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

PRESIDING OFFICER: Pardon me. Counselor, are you offering this?

MR. LITTLE: I am. I thought I did. I'm sorry. Exhibit AG 185, we move for admission, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Hardin?

MR. HARDIN: I have no objection, but I request that we don't put it on the -- put it up on the easel until we get a ruling, please. Thank you so much.

(AG Exhibit No. 185 was admitted)

PRESIDING OFFICER: Agreed.

MR. LITTLE: I agree.

Now, Mr. Arroyo, please --

PRESIDING OFFICER: Okay. Mr. Counselor -Counselor, since we did break for a half hour earlier, that
was kind of our 10:30 break. So we'll go to 12:00, about 40
minutes, and then we'll have lunch from 12:00 to 1:00.

MR. LITTLE: Understood, Judge. Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) This is a response from DPS to the big request, right?
- A. It appears to be. I don't recall the exact number of the request, but it appears to be.
- Q. So Joe Larsen is the vehicle through -- that Nate Paul is using to make these requests, yes?
 - A. It was my understanding, that's right.

- Q. And in the lawsuit that Joe Larsen filed, he filed that on behalf of Nate Paul, true?
- A. Well, that lawsuit that you showed me yesterday, it identified Mr. Larsen as the plaintiff. I don't know why he would have filed it or what reasons he had.
- Q. Ultimately, the unredacted FBI brief was released from a lawyer at the Attorney General's Office to a lawyer, Joe Larsen, who is working for Nate Paul, true?
 - A. I believe so.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. No one at the Attorney General's Office tried to stop it from going out, did they?
- A. Except for our conversations with General Paxton, I don't think anybody stopped it after he instructed us just to release it.
- Q. But you didn't have any good legal reason to keep it, did you?
- A. I'm not sure what you mean by "legal reason to keep it."
 - Q. You're a lawyer. Did you have a good legal reason to keep it?
 - A. Well, we had reasons to believe that the information contained in the brief itself involved law enforcement information under the Public Information Act, because as you mentioned yesterday, the FBI redacted it.
 - Q. But the FBI didn't assert law enforcement privilege

```
80
     over the brief, did it?
 1
               Again, I don't recall what their position was in
 2
     their briefing that they submitted, just because --
 3
          Ο.
              You don't know.
 4
          Α.
              That's right.
 5
          Q.
               Okay.
 6
                    MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, I've got another
 7
     exhibit. May I approach the witness?
 8
                    PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
 9
10
          Q.
               (BY MR. LITTLE) Have you seen Exhibit AG 253
     before?
11
              Yes, sir.
12
          Α.
          Q.
             What is it?
1.3
              This is a --
14
         Α.
                    PRESIDING OFFICER: Are you offering this?
15
                    MR. LITTLE: I was going to prove -- I was
16
     going to lay the predicate first, but I will certainly offer
17
     it.
18
                    MR. HARDIN: Excuse me, Your Honor. Thank
19
20
     you. We have no objection to speed it up.
                    PRESIDING OFFICER: Exhibit 253, admitted into
2.1
     evidence.
22
                    (AG Exhibit No. 253 was admitted)
2.3
```

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, if you would, Exhibit

24

PRESIDING OFFICER: Continue.

1 AG 253.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

19

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, what is it?
- A. This is a letter involving private schools in the middle of COVID-19. It's dated July 17th of 2020.
- Q. And Attorney General Ken Paxton issued this quidance, correct?
- A. Yes. He signed it, and we issued it from the office.
 - Q. And it is not a formal legal opinion, correct?
- A. No. That's -- it's not a formal opinion decided under 402.
- Q. Okay. Did you have kids in a religious school at that time?
- 14 A. No, sir.
 - Q. Okay. The purpose of this guidance was what?
 - A. To provide guidance on how religious private schools could operate in the face of local orders that may contradict the Governor's executive order at the time.
 - Q. Pretty important stuff, isn't it?
- 20 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And orders like this were being -- orders similar to this that were giving informal legal guidance about COVID matters were coming out of your office almost every day, true?
 - A. I'm not sure if it was daily, but we were providing

advice on a regular basis.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

- Q. All right. Do you recall the quote, unquote, midnight opinion -- I believe Mr. Hardin referred it -- referred to it as the midnight opinion, the foreclosure guidance?
 - A. I recall it, yes, sir.

7 MR. LITTLE: May I approach the witness, Your 8 Honor?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) That wasn't even the only COVID guidance issued that day, was it?
- 12 A. I don't recall that specifically either.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, we move for admission of Exhibit AG 7.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Hardin?

MR. HARDIN: I'm trying to get my microphone.

- 17 | I'm sorry. We have no objection, Your Honor.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: Admit Exhibit No. 7 into evidence.
- 20 (AG Exhibit No. 7 was admitted)
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Have you seen -- no. That's not AG 7. This is 260.
- MR. LITTLE: Would you put AG 7, please,
- 24 Mr. Arroyo? Thank you, Erick.
- Erick, would you just -- would you zoom in on

the letterhead here?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) This is the letterhead of the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas, true?
- A. I wouldn't state that it's the only letterhead of the Office of the Attorney General, just based on my previous testimony.
- Q. Well, we'll see how common it is in a minute. But this is the letterhead that went out on formal statements, legal guidance, and formal legal opinions, true?
 - A. Yes, it was used in those instances.
- Q. All right. This Exhibit AG 7 was sent to a man named Brian Muecke, who is of the City of Hedwig Village. Do you know where the City of Hedwig Village is?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. It's in the Houston area. But let's zoom out a little bit.
- MR. LITTLE: Get me to the text, Erick, if you would, and capture Austin's signature too. I'm sorry, sir.
 - Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) What's this about?
- A. It's the first time I've seen this letter that I can recall. It is issued under Section 418 at 193, which is the Disaster Act. It appears to be in response to a request -- a question that the mayor had asked about a local health authority's power to close schools.
 - Q. And Austin Kinghorn works for you, true?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, at the time.
 - Q. And you knew this was going out, right?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Why not?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

- A. Just based on the substance, this seems more like a pro forma response that does not need to be raised to the deputy level to make a decision. This seems to be consistent with advice that we had already issued and it was essentially reiterating that advice and not taking a contrary statement.
- Q. Okay. So Austin -- this was a minor enough issue that Austin could do this on his own, right?
- A. No. So Austin worked in the general counsel division. That division chief is responsible for that division. I oversaw that division in addition to other divisions. So I did not have a daily oversight of the activities of that division.
 - Q. He's in your downline, true?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. What's the date on this?
 - A. August 1st of 2020.
 - Q. That's a Saturday, isn't it?
- A. Possibly. I don't recall what day that would have fallen on.
- Q. It's the same Saturday as the foreclosure guidance, true?

- A. If that was a Saturday, then, yes, it would have been the same day.
 - Q. Well, you know the foreclosure guidance is dated August 1, right?
- A. I don't recall if it's dated August 1. It might be August 2nd.
- Q. Isn't it true, sir, that there were so many needs in this big state related to guidance about COVID that your office was working on it constantly?
 - A. It was a perpetual thing.
- 11 Q. Let's see how perpetual it is.
- MR. LITTLE: May I approach the witness?
- PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may.
- 14 MR. LITTLE: I move for admission of Exhibit
- 15 AG 260.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 16 PRESIDING OFFICER: Give Mr. Hardin a
- moment.
- 18 MR. HARDIN: I have no objection.
- 19 PRESIDING OFFICER: Admit Exhibit 260 into
- 20 evidence.
- (AG Exhibit No. 260 was admitted)
- MR. LITTLE: Thank you.
- Mr. Arroyo, if you would.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Same letterhead, yes?
- 25 A. Yes, sir. It's the same as the August 1st letter.

- 86 July 28, 2020, three days earlier, yes? 1 Q. Α. Yes, sir. 2 And who is Doug Svien? I hope I'm saying that Q. 3 right. 4 This letter indicates he's the mayor of Α. 5 Stephenville, Texas. 6 He's asking about closure of schools, right? 7 Q. Α. That's right. 8 MR. LITTLE: May I approach the witness, Your 9 10 Honor? PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes, you may. 11 MR. LITTLE: I'm going to try to do this in 12 bulk and maybe move this along a little bit. 1.3 14 Your Honor, we move for admission of AG Exhibits AG 259 and 6. 15 MR. HARDIN: We have no objection. 16 have no objection to 289 [sic], and we have no objection to 17 Exhibit 6. 18 PRESIDING OFFICER: Admit Exhibit 6 and 259 19 into evidence. 20 (AG Exhibits Nos. 6 and 259 were admitted) 2.1 MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, Exhibit 259, please. 22
 - (BY MR. LITTLE) Who is Craig Morgan? Q.

2.3

Α. This letter refers to him as the mayor of Round 24 25 Rock.

- 1 Q. That's on a Thursday before August 1st, right?
- 2 A. Sure.

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

- Q. What's it about?
- A. This is -- appears to be the Round -- City of Round Rock's decision to move its local election from November 3rd of 2020 to May of 2021.
 - Q. Why did they want to move it?
 - A. I think the reason that they had stated was because of COVID.
- 10 Q. Who issued the opinion?
 - A. It was signed by General Paxton.
- 12 O. Does it have a KP number?
- 13 A. No. No, sir.
- 14 Q. Not a formal legal opinion, true?
- A. No, it was not issued as a formal opinion under 16 402.
- MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, Exhibit 6, please, AG
- 18 Exhibit 6.
- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) August 3, Monday, who is the
- 20 | Honorable Vince Ryan?
- 21 A. The Harris County Attorney.
- 22 Q. This is a formal legal opinion, yes?
- A. Yes. This is a formal opinion issued under Chapter
- 24 402.

25

Q. Tell the ladies and gentlemen of the jury how they

can tell by looking at this document that it's a formal legal opinion.

- A. After the mailing address, there is an opinion number that's referenced. And then in the subject line, there is a request number that's also referenced.
- Q. And every formal legal opinion from the Office of the Attorney General under Chapter 402 of the Government Code has both, true?
 - A. Yes.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. And what's this letter about?
- A. It's regarding the County authority to require masks in courtrooms, courthouses, and county buildings.
- Q. Okay. So correct me if I'm wrong. July 28th, July 30th, August 1, August 1, August 3, there are opinions coming out almost every day about COVID stuff during this period of time, true?
- A. Well, again, to clarify, a lot of the questions that we would get would follow the Governor's executive orders which were coming out at different points. So if an executive order was issued, the frequency of our request for advice might increase.

I can't testify that we were releasing information daily. I don't know if that's actually true. But I can say it was happening regularly just with the pattern of executive orders or mayoral or county orders.

Isn't it true that the office was constantly 1 adapting to the executive orders of the Governor's Office, 2 the executive orders of President Donald J. Trump? 3 Α. Absolutely. 4 And that actually increased the amount of informal 5 legal advice and the need for it on a literally day-to-day 6 basis? Again, it was very frequent just given the number 8 of agencies that were involved on a state level as well as 9 10 the federal level. MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, a moment, if I 11 12 may? PRESIDING OFFICER: Yes. 1.3 14 MR. HARDIN: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize. thought you were passing the witness. I apologize. 15 MR. LITTLE: No. You ought to keep coming. 16 Pass the witness. 17 18 MR. HARDIN: I apologize. PRESIDING OFFICER: Mr. Hardin, he passed the 19 20 witness. Just you standing up made it all happen. MR. HARDIN: Thank you. 2.1 PRESIDING OFFICER: We'll go to the top of the 22 hour, Mr. Hardin. 2.3 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 24

BY MR. HARDIN:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Vassar.
- A. Good morning.

1.3

2.1

2.3

Q. I'm going to try to, as best I can, to do this pretty quickly. Thank you so much.

I've got several areas here. We should start where we finished up. I thought I would never have to talk about the different numbers of the different portions of the Government Code that suggested what y'all should and should not do. But I think counsel has appropriately covered back on the issue, and so I feel compelled to a little bit just try to clear this up just a tad.

The two sections of the Government Code that you folks would have been working -- primarily that you have been testifying to counsel about on cross are what numbers in the Code?

- A. Chapter 402 of the Government Code and Chapter 418.
- Q. All right. Now, let me see if I can do this briefly with you.

How do you determine which of those codes apply to a request for an opinion, or the sections of the Code, excuse me?

A. So Chapter 402 enables the Attorney General to provide general legal guidance in response to a request from an eligible requester. Chapter 418 is expressly limited to providing advice relating to a disaster declaration, again,

to eligible requesters who are differ -- different from Chapter 402.

- Q. All right. For instance, does which one of those sections apply depend on the identity of the requester that makes the request?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. And is 418 something that, I believe, did you testify earlier -- if 418 was amended, the National Disaster Act before COVID, but when COVID came was frequently used as the basis to answer a query from a public official?
- A. That's correct. I believe it was admitted in 2019. Obviously, COVID hit in 2020, so that provided an avenue for local officials to request legal advice from our office.
- Q. Now, if when General Paxton requested y'all to do this hurry-up opinion, if he had come up with a requester that was a mayor, what section of the Code would have applied to the opinion that you folks wrote?
- A. Just based on the fact that it was a COVID-related question and that the mayor is an authorized requester, it would have fallen under Chapter 418.
- Q. Now, once it was decided that the requester would be a state senator, which section of the Code did that mean would cover what you did?
- A. A state senator who was a chair of a legislative committee --

- Q. Thank you. Excuse me for interrupting you. That's the caveat. It's not just a state senator, but it has to be a chairman, does it not?
 - A. That's right.

1.3

2.1

2.3

- Q. All right. Resume the answer, please.
- A. A state senator who is a chair of a legislature committee is an authorized requester under Chapter 402.
- Q. All right. And is the significance that 402 was applied here is that Section 402 does not distinguish between an informal and a formal opinion, correct?
 - A. That's correct, does not.
- Q. Now, if we move forward, that it had gone through the -- if it hadn't been a hurry-up and went through your normal processes you testified that would take ordinarily about six months, is there a difference in the way the six-month opinions which are vetted and published in six months as opposed to overnight is what we've called this opinion, what would be the difference in the way those two opinions -- heavily researched, vetted opinion, overnight opinion -- how are those reported to the public and dealt with as far as publishing to the world?
- A. So under Chapter 402, if it's an eligible request, our agency logs it as a request, publishes that request in the Texas Register, which enables third parties who are just interested in submitting their own briefing and arguments to

our office. After a drafting period in the division, it's reviewed internally and -- including other divisions who might have subject matter expertise on the issue.

After all of the division feedback is received, it's circulated to the deputy level and the executive for review and approval. After it is issued, it's then, again, recorded on our website and then published in the Texas Register for public dissemination. And I believe it's also uploaded to Westlaw.

- Q. So is one much more widely distributed and easily published to the world than the other?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

- Q. Finally, you were asked about things I think you said you did not know about in terms of the next day or a hearing that you were not involved about, correct?
 - A. The hearing --
- Q. For -- very good point. Let me rephrase. That's a very good question.

Do you recall being questioned about what you knew about how the overnight opinion was used on behalf of Mr. Paul?

- A. Yes, I recall that.
- Q. Do you recall you said you were not aware at the time? Do you recall that?
 - A. That's right.

Q. All right. Have you become aware and did you see a document during your examination that showed a lawyer on behalf of Mr. Paul immediately -- within 36 hours or 24 hours -- was using that in a court to try to get what he wanted?

1.3

2.1

2.3

- A. Yes, sir. I believe in one of the exhibits that I reviewed there was a statement indicating that the foreclosure letter that we had issued was used to stop a foreclosure sale related to Nate Paul.
- Q. Do you have any idea how one would have known as early as Monday to be prepared to use that in a hearing on Tuesday when you only issued it -- only completed it at 1:00 o'clock Sunday morning and sent it to the Attorney General sometime Sunday?

MR. LITTLE: Objection, Mr. President. Leading and calls for speculation.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Now, let's go to a couple of other areas.

Do you recall you had talked considerably -- questioned considerably about whether -- about, first of all, the interview that he asked you about a little bit toward the end. And that is that you were not under oath at that hearing.

A. I recall that, yes, sir.

Q. And I said hearing. I don't mean hearing. It was really an interview by investigators, many that are here today, that were retained by the House Management Committee -- or the House Committee, rather, to do an investigation.

Do you recall that series of events?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And I believe you were shown some of the testimony -- again, not testimony, some of the interview statement that you gave.
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now, did you readily agree to have it videotaped?
- A. Yes. I believe when I sat down there was a recording that was going to be used for the interview.
- Q. Well, yeah. But do you remember sort of a -- a sort of fumbling around that nobody had a recorder, they had to go get one?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. All right. But, at any rate, ultimately when that happened, did you have any objection to it being videotaped and audioed?
- 22 A. No, sir.
- Q. Now, did anybody at all suggest placing you under oath?
- 25 A. No, sir.

96 Do you know enough about law enforcement as to 1 whether or not ordinarily if somebody is trying to gather 2 information as part of a law enforcement information or 3 investigation, where they would swear the people when they're 4 just trying to get people to tell them what happened? 5 MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, I'd have to object as 6 being argumentative and sidebar. 7 MR. HARDIN: I'll withdraw --8 PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained. 9

MR. HARDIN: Thank you, Judge. Thank you.

I'll withdraw the question, but I waited too late. 11

- (BY MR. HARDIN) All right. So, if I may, at any Q. rate, you gave that interview, correct?
 - Α. Right.

10

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

24

25

- And told them. And that interview was totally Ο. available as evidenced by questions you got to the other side, correct?
- 18 I believe so, yes. I'm not -- yes.
- And in that one item that they came up with, Ο. 19 20 there's no suggestion that you've testified any differently today than you did to these investigators, correct? 2.1

MR. LITTLE: Objection, leading and 22 argumentative. 2.3

> Sustained. PRESIDING OFFICER:

0. (BY MR. HARDIN) Are you under oath today?

- 1 A. Yes, sir, I am.
 - Q. Did you testify today the same as you did to these House investigators?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Can we take that as evidence of the fact nobody is suggesting otherwise?
- 7 MR. LITTLE: Object to the argument,
- 8 Mr. President.

3

4

5

6

9

- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Now --
- 10 PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Now, if I can, you were asked
 about evidence. Do you recall this evidence that you took to
 the FBI?
- 14 A. Yes, sir, I recall.
- Q. And eight -- seven of you went to the FBI, did you not, on the 30th of September of 2020?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And you took no documents, did you?
- 19 A. That's right, no documents.
- Q. Who did you take?
- A. We took ourselves.
- 22 Q. And you took yourselves to do what?
- 23 A. To provide an explanation of the sequences of events that we had determined --
 - Q. Well, when you --

- 1 4

- A. -- revealed information tending to show that General Paxton had abused his office and the powers and responsibility and trust that millions of Texans bestowed upon him to benefit one individual.
- Q. And when you went, if you had taken things with you, you would have had to take things that were the property of the Attorney General's Office, wouldn't you?
- MR. LITTLE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation and a legal conclusion.
 - PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Would you have had any documents other than things that were circulated and created right there in the AG's office?
- MR. LITTLE: Objection, Your Honor. Speculation and argument.
- MR. HARDIN: I'm just asking -- I'm really asking him if anything they took would have -- as evidence would have had to be property of the Attorney General's Office.
- PRESIDING OFFICER: Overruled. You may answer the question.
 - MR. HARDIN: Thank you.
 - O. (BY MR. HARDIN) You can answer that.
- A. All of the information would have been information that we had obtained just by virtue of our employment at the

agency.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Now, when you answered the question -- do you recall answering the question as you had no evidence that you took to the FBI in that meeting, correct? Do you recall that answer?
 - A. Yes, sir, I do.
- Q. Well, tell me -- tell me what you mean by that answer when you say you took no evidence.
- A. Well, the way that I interpreted opposing counsel's question was documents, documentary evidence of what we took to the meeting with the FBI for law enforcement.
- Q. After this position you might want to say thank God, but you're not a trial lawyer, are you?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Do you have any idea how evidence is defined in terms of law enforcement or people wanting to find out what happened in this situation?
- MR. LITTLE: Objection, Your Honor. Calls for speculation, legal conclusion, argument.
- 20 MR. HARDIN: No. I'm asking does he have any idea. That's all I'm asking.
- 22 PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained. Rephrase.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) All right. In this particular situation, did you take your body?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- 1 Q. Did you take your voice?
- 2 A. Yes, sir.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

1.3

- Q. Did you take your brain?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you take your experience?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you take your knowledge of the last nine months?
- 9 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Did you take what things you personally knew of in addition to going with people who may have known other things?
 - A. Absolutely.
 - Q. Did you tell the FBI that?
- 15 A. Every bit of it.
- Q. Do you realize in the legal world that is evidence?
- MR. LITTLE: Objection, leading.
- MR. HARDIN: No. That is -- that is simply a
- 19 fact statement. That is not leading in my --
- 20 PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.
- 21 MR. HARDIN: -- respect. And the Court
- 22 disagrees, which is why we're here.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Let me ask you this: If you told
- 24 all of those people what you knew in your mind and what you
- 25 were concerned about, what is your opinion now, after the

primer we just did, as to whether you gave the FBI evidence?

MR. LITTLE: Object, leading, argumentative.

This is closing statement, not a question for the witness.

MR. HARDIN: I've asked him what his

opinion -- excuse me, Your Honor. I asked him what his

opinion is. I didn't ask him --

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

25

PRESIDING OFFICER: Overruled.

MR. HARDIN: Thank you.

- A. My opinion was that our experiences were evidence, but we did not conduct our own investigation to provide documentary evidence of what we had come to learn.
 - Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Well, what did you provide him?
 - A. Just our experiences.
 - Q. What do you mean?
- A. The -- the situations in which General Paxton asked us to act involving Nate Paul, the cumulative knowledge of the conversations that we had as a group between September 29th and the morning of September 30th, once the grand jury subpoenas from Brandon Cammack started coming to the office.
- Q. Whose job, then, in your opinion, was it then to conduct the investigation?
 - A. Federal law enforcement.
- Q. Did you ever view yourself as an investigator in this matter?
 - A. No, sir.

- Q. What did you think you were when you went to the FBI?
 - A. We were witnesses.
 - Q. Pardon me?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. We -- I believed that I was a witness to criminal activity that had occurred by General Paxton.
- Q. All right. Do you know of any other occasion in which the Attorney General involved himself in the drafting of an opinion such as what you did in August on the issue of foreclosures?
 - A. I can't think of any, no, sir.
- Q. Finally, maybe from your age you don't know this.

 Are you familiar with a movie Cool Hand Luke?
 - A. I've heard of it. I'm not familiar with it.
- Q. Did you ever see the scene in a very, very bad moment in the movie for -- at least a bad moment for Paul Newman, in which the bad guy stands over there as he's digging and says, "What we have here" --
- MR. LITTLE: I'm going to object to the argument.
- MR. HARDIN: Let me just -- let me just 22 finish.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) -- "a failure to communicate."

 24 That's just a question.
- 25 PRESIDING OFFICER: Overruled. And you are

allowed to finish.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

MR. HARDIN: Thank you.

- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Have you?
- A. I have not.
- Q. All right. Let's talk about these supposed altered documents. All right?

You tried several times, did you not, on your cross to explain there were different types of letterhead papers in the AG's Office. Do you recall telling him that?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recall he went right by? He didn't want to deal with that, did he?
- A. That's right.
- 14 Q. All right. Well, let's deal with it real quickly.

For instance, in the Attorney General's

Office, did y'all periodically use one type of paper that did

not have General Paxton's name on it and another type of

papers that did?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you have any idea how the circumstances were that dictated which you would use?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. All right. On the occasion when the letter that we've been talking about that they have been complaining was altered and the Attorney General's name was taken off, was

that letter complaining about the Attorney General?

A. Yes, sir, it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

2.3

24

25

Q. Well, if you're writing a letter complaining to -- about the Attorney General, what is your opinion as to whether you would want to make sure his name wasn't on there for a letter complaining about him?

MR. LITTLE: Objection, leading.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Let me ask you this. I'm going to show you a letter that is already in evidence, Exhibit 127.
- MR. HARDIN: May I have it on the screen, please?
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Is that an altered letter?

 PRESIDING OFFICER: Counselor, excuse me. I

 don't -- we don't show that being already entered.

MR. HARDIN: You do not show it's in?

PRESIDING OFFICER: Oh, it's in.

MR. HARDIN: Thank you.

PRESIDING OFFICER: My apology.

- MR. HARDIN: No, no, no, not at all. I misled you by making you think which one it was. We were both inadvertent.
- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) But I think it is interesting to point. Would you look down to the bottom, would you circle where the -- the exhibit and whose exhibit this is?

- MR. HARDIN: And let's, sort of, if we can, highlight, Stacey, both from whom the production was that produced it to us.
 - Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) OAG stands for what?
 - A. The Office of the Attorney General.
 - Q. And are you familiar enough, reluctantly, about this process to know what AG exhibit means?
 - A. That appears to be a reference to an exhibit that the Attorney General's defense team has offered as evidence.
 - Q. Yes. Yes. We can -- would you expect them to offer an altered document?
 - A. No, sir, I would not.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

22

2.3

- Q. Well, in this offer is the Attorney General's name on it?
 - A. His name is not on the seal at the top of the document.
 - Q. Is this seal identical to the seal that y'all used for the letter you wrote before you went to the FBI?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. Or after you came back. I can't remember what -- I don't have -- I don't want to misstate what it was.
 - A. It was -- it was drafted and reported -- or sent to HR after we returned from meeting with law enforcement.
- Q. All right. So it would have been on the 20- -- when you came back on the 30th?

1 A. 30th, yes, sir.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

19

2.1

22

25

evidence.

Q. When you came back on the 30th. Thank you.

All right. Now let's go to the next-to-last page, I believe, of this exhibit.

Do you see who it's signed by?

A. It's signed by Jeff Mateer.

MR. HARDIN: Now, Stacey, just to test your agility, would you go back to the front page again and isolate the date this was.

- Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) What's the date?
- A. The date is October 1st of 2020.
- Q. All right. Now I'm going to show you some that have not been introduced, and I will first tender --

MR. HARDIN: Do we have copies of our Exhibit 633?

I'm going to move to introduce. I was waiting
for you to review it.

MR. LITTLE: Oh, thank you.

No objection, Judge.

20 PRESIDING OFFICER: Admit Exhibit 633 into

(HBOM Exhibit No. 633 was admitted)

MR. HARDIN: Can you put it up on the screen, please. Thank you.

Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) Would you give -- publish for the

jury the date of this letter.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

- A. This letter is dated January 28th of 2020.
- Q. And would this have been in connection with any particular event? Have you ever seen this letter or do you know anything about this?
- A. I don't recall exactly if I was involved in reviewing it. It -- again, I was appointed Deputy AG for legal counsel April 1st of 2020. It's possible I reviewed it -- excuse me -- in the general counsel division, but I have not seen it since.
 - Q. And the letter is signed by whom?
 - A. It's signed by General Paxton.
- Q. And we can assume, can we not, that General Paxton didn't alter this letter?
 - A. I would presume so.
- Q. And is it also similar to the letter y'all sent on paper that has just the seal?
- 18 A. That's the same seal, yes, sir.
- Q. So let's, once again, make sure there's no
 misunderstanding. Do you understand for the last four days
 you folks have been accused of altering a document --
- MR. LITTLE: This is a violation of the
- 23 rule --
- MR. HARDIN: Excuse me. Excuse me.
- 25 MR. LITTLE: -- Mr. President.

MR. HARDIN: Let me put it another way. I'll put it another way, Your Honor.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Was there an objection?

MR. LITTLE: Objection, violates the rule.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Sustained.

Q. (BY MR. HARDIN) If anybody was to suggest that you guys had -- when you wrote your letter that you had somehow altered it and taken the Attorney General's name off and, therefore, altered a government document, would that be true or not true?

A. That's not true.

1.3

2.1

- Q. Would it actually be a lie?
- A. As these documents indicate, there are different seals for different purposes. And in this situation we used the seal without General Paxton's name on it. That's the seal that was --
- Q. You are indeed, are you not, Mr. Vassar, a kind and gentle person, so much so that even after and during yelling and constant interruption, you still don't like to use the word "lie," do you?
- MR. LITTLE: Objection to leading and argumentative.

MR. HARDIN: I have no further questions.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Objection is sustained.

We will hold your -- do you intend to recross?

MR. LITTLE: I do, Mr. President, but I could probably resolve it in about three minutes.

PRESIDING OFFICER: I'll give you three minutes.

MR. LITTLE: Thank you, Judge.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Five at the most.

MR. LITTLE: I will try to yield some back,

Mr. President.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. LITTLE:

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

- Q. So it's okay to give your personal lawyer documents from inside the Office of the Attorney General but not the FBI, right?
- A. I provided documents under a grand jury subpoena that I received to my lawyer, so I don't know what the implication is.
- Q. You had internal OAG documents, to-wit, a series of grand jury subpoenas that were served by Brandon Cammack that you sent to your personal lawyer, but you didn't bring any documents to the FBI, right?
 - A. That's absolutely true.
- Q. You went to the FBI. David Maxwell went to Travis
 County. They investigated, and they still have done nothing,
 true?
 - A. I -- I don't know what they found. I know it's an

- 1 ongoing investigation.
 - Q. Mr. Hardin talked to you about the letter that Nate Paul wrote to the Court about the OG -- OAG foreclosure guidance. Do you recall that testimony, those questions?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right.

MR. LITTLE: Your Honor, Mr. President, I have one copy of AG Exhibit 286. If I may, I will tender it to Mr. Hardin for his review and then to Your Honor and then to Mr. Vassar.

PRESIDING OFFICER: You may.

MR. HARDIN: I have no objection.

13 PRESIDING OFFICER: What is the number on

14 that?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

MR. LITTLE: Mr. President, it's AG Exhibit 286, and we move for its admission.

PRESIDING OFFICER: The Exhibit 286 will be admitted into evidence.

(AG Exhibit No. 286 was admitted)

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, if you could assist us, please, very briefly.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Vassar, who is Stephen Benesh?
- A. I have no idea.
- Q. You should know him. He's your State Bar president-elect.

This is a letter from Stephen Benesh who was opposing counsel to Nate Paul in the WC 4th and Colorado matter, and he wrote a letter.

MR. LITTLE: Mr. Arroyo, please blow up the text of his letter.

- Q. (BY MR. LITTLE) Mr. Benesh says in response to Nate Paul's letter, like lawyers often do, "I disagree." And he wrote to the judge and he said, "The foreclosure sale isn't being stopped by this OAG informal guidance," right?
- A. I've never seen this before, but I see where he says, The AG's, quote, informal guidance does not, emphasized, state that foreclosure sales may not proceed unless specifically authorized by the mayor in the city of the foreclosure.
- Q. And what happens in courts is one side makes an argument and the other side makes an argument and the judge decides, right?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Only in this case Nate Paul put his entity in bankruptcy to stop the foreclosure, right?
 - A. I'm not sure.

MR. LITTLE: That will be all, Mr. President.

PRESIDING OFFICER: Thank you, Counselor.

We will break until 1:00 p.m.

(Recessed for lunch at 12:02 p.m.)

	112
1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	THE STATE OF TEXAS)(
4	COUNTY OF TRAVIS)(
5	
6	I, Kim Cherry, Certified Shorthand Reporter in and
7	for the State of Texas, do hereby certify that the
8	above-mentioned matter occurred as hereinbefore set out.
9	I further certify that I am neither counsel
10	for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties
11	or attorneys in the action in which this proceeding was
12	taken, and further that I am not financially or
13	otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.
14	Certified to by me this 8th day of September, 2023.
15	
16	/s/Kím Cherry
17	KIM CHERRY, CSR, RMR Texas Certified Shorthand Reporter
18	CSR No. #4650 Expires: 7/31/24 kcherry.csr@gmail.com
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	