

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists WOMEN'S HEALTH CARE PHYSICIANS American Academy of Pediatrics



DEDICATED TO THE HEALTH OF ALL CHILDREN"

COMMITTEE OPINION

Number 644 • October 2015 (Reaffirmed 2017) (Replaces Committee Opinion Number 333, May 2006)

Committee on Obstetric Practice American Academy of Pediatrics—Committee on Fetus and Newborn

This document reflects emerging clinical and scientific advances as of the date issued and is subject to change. The information should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of treatment or procedure to be followed. This document reflects emerging concepts on patient safety and is subject to change. The information should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of treatment or procedure to be followed.

The Apgar Score

ABSTRACT: The Apgar score provides an accepted and convenient method for reporting the status of the newborn infant immediately after birth and the response to resuscitation if needed. The Apgar score alone cannot be considered to be evidence of or a consequence of asphyxia, does not predict individual neonatal mortality or neurologic outcome, and should not be used for that purpose. An Apgar score assigned during a resuscitation is not equivalent to a score assigned to a spontaneously breathing infant. The American Academy of Pediatrics and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists encourage use of an expanded Apgar score reporting form that accounts for concurrent resuscitative interventions.

Introduction

In 1952, Dr. Virginia Apgar devised a scoring system that was a rapid method of assessing the clinical status of the newborn infant at 1 minute of age and the need for prompt intervention to establish breathing (1). A second report evaluating a larger number of patients was published in 1958 (2). This scoring system provided a standardized assessment for infants after delivery. The Apgar score comprises five components: 1) color, 2) heart rate, 3) reflexes, 4) muscle tone, and 5) respiration, each of which is given a score of 0, 1, or 2. Thus, the Apgar score quantitates clinical signs of neonatal depression such as cyanosis or pallor, bradycardia, depressed reflex response to stimulation, hypotonia, and apnea or gasping respirations. The score is reported at 1 minute and 5 minutes after birth for all infants, and at 5-minute intervals thereafter until 20 minutes for infants with a score less than 7 (3). The Apgar score provides an accepted and convenient method for reporting the status of the newborn infant immediately after birth and the response to resuscitation if needed; however, it has been inappropriately used to predict individual adverse neurologic outcome. The purpose of this statement is to place the Apgar score in its proper perspective. This statement revises the 2006 College Committee Opinion and AAP Policy Statement to include updated guidance from *Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome*, Second Edition, along with new guidance on neonatal resuscitation.

The Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines state that the Apgar score is

useful for conveying information about the newborn's overall status and response to resuscitation. However, resuscitation must be initiated before the 1-minute score is assigned. Therefore, the Apgar score is not used to determine the need for initial resuscitation, what resuscitation steps are necessary, or when to use them (3).

An Apgar score that remains 0 beyond 10 minutes of age may, however, be useful in determining whether continued resuscitative efforts are indicated because very few infants with an Apgar score of 0 at 10 minutes have been reported to survive with a normal neurologic outcome (3–5). In line with this, the 2011 Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines state that "if you can confirm that no heart rate has been detectable for at least 10 minutes, discontinuation of resuscitative efforts may be appropriate" (3).

Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome, Second Edition, published in 2014 by the College in collaboration with the AAP, defines a 5-minute Apgar score of 7-10 as reassuring, a score of 4-6 as moderately abnormal, and a score of 0-3 as low in the term infant and late-preterm infant (6). That document considers an Apgar score of 0-3 at 5 minutes or more as a nonspecific sign of illness, which "may be one of the first indications of encephalopathy" (6). However, a persistently low Apgar score alone is not a specific indicator for intrapartum compromise. Further, although the score is used widely in outcome studies, its inappropriate use has led to an erroneous definition of asphyxia. Asphyxia is defined as the marked impairment of gas exchange leading, if prolonged, to progressive hypoxemia, hypercapnia, and significant metabolic acidosis. The term asphyxia, which describes a process of varying severity and duration rather than an end point, should not be applied to birth events unless specific evidence of markedly impaired intrapartum or immediate postnatal gas exchange can be documented based on laboratory testing (6).

Limitations of the Apgar Score

It is important to recognize the limitations of the Apgar score. The Apgar score is an expression of the infant's physiologic condition at one point in time, which includes subjective components. There are numerous factors that can influence the Apgar score, including maternal sedation or anesthesia, congenital malformations, gestational age, trauma, and interobserver variability (6). In addition, the biochemical disturbance must be significant before the score is affected. Elements of the score such as tone, color, and reflex irritability can be subjective, and partially depend on the physiologic maturity of the infant. The score also may be affected by variations in normal transition. For example, lower initial oxygen saturations in the first few minutes need not prompt immediate supplemental oxygen administration; the Neonatal Resuscitation Program targets for oxygen saturation are 60-65% at 1 minute and 80-85% at 5 minutes (3). The healthy preterm infant with no evidence of asphyxia may receive a low score only because of immaturity (7, 8). The incidence of low Apgar scores is inversely related to birth weight, and a low score cannot predict morbidity or mortality for any individual infant (8, 9). As previously stated, it also is inappropriate to use an Apgar score alone to diagnose asphyxia.

Apgar Score and Resuscitation

The 5-minute Apgar score, and particularly a change in the score between 1 minute and 5 minutes, is a useful index of the response to resuscitation. If the Apgar score is less than 7 at 5 minutes, the Neonatal Resuscitation Program guidelines state that the assessment should be repeated every 5 minutes for up to 20 minutes (3). However, an Apgar score assigned during a resuscitation is not equivalent to a score assigned to a spontaneously breathing infant (10). There is no accepted standard for reporting an Apgar score in infants undergoing resuscitation after birth because many of the elements contributing to the score are altered by resuscitation. The concept of an assisted score that accounts for resuscitative interventions has been suggested, but the predictive reliability has not been studied. In order to correctly describe such infants and provide accurate documentation and data collection, an expanded Apgar score report form is encouraged (Fig. 1). This expanded Apgar score also may prove to be useful in the setting of delayed cord clamping, where the time of birth (complete delivery of the infant), the time of cord clamping, and the time of initiation of resuscitation all can be recorded in the comments box.

The Apgar score alone cannot be considered to be evidence of or a consequence of asphyxia. Many other factors, including nonreassuring fetal heart rate monitoring patterns and abnormalities in umbilical arterial blood gases, clinical cerebral function, neuroimaging studies, neonatal electroencephalography, placental pathology, hematologic studies, and multisystem organ dysfunction need to be considered in diagnosing an intrapartum hypoxic–ischemic event (5). When a Category I (normal) or Category II (indeterminate) fetal heart rate tracing is associated with Apgar scores of 7 or higher at 5 minutes, a normal umbilical cord arterial blood pH (\pm 1 standard deviation), or both, it is not consistent with an acute hypoxic–ischemic event (6).

Prediction of Outcome

A 1-minute Apgar score of 0-3 does not predict any individual infant's outcome. A 5-minute Apgar score of 0-3 correlates with neonatal mortality in large populations (11, 12), but does not predict individual future neurologic dysfunction. Population studies have uniformly reassured us that most infants with low Apgar scores will not develop cerebral palsy. However, a low 5-minute Apgar score clearly confers an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, reported to be as high as 20-fold to 100-fold over that of infants with a 5-minute Apgar score of 7-10 (9, 13–15). Although individual risk varies, the population risk of poor neurologic outcomes also increases when the Apgar score is 3 or less at 10 minutes, 15 minutes, and 20 minutes (16). When a newborn has an Apgar score of 5 or less at 5 minutes, umbilical artery blood gas from a clamped section of the umbilical cord should be obtained, if possible (17). Submitting the placenta for pathologic examination may be valuable.

Other Applications

Monitoring of low Apgar scores from a delivery service can be useful. Individual case reviews can identify needs for focused educational programs and improvement in systems of perinatal care. Analyzing trends allows for the assessment of the effect of quality improvement interventions.

Gestational age_ weeks

Cian	0	1		2					
Sigii	U				1 minute	5 minute	10 minute	15 minute	20 minute
Color	Blue or Pale	Acrocyanotic		Completely Pink					
Heart rate	Absent	<100 minute		>100 minute					
Reflex irritability	No Response	Grimace		Cry or Active Withdrawal					
Muscle tone	Limp	Some Flexion		Active Motion					
Respiration	Absent	Weak Cry; Hypoventilation		Good, Crying					
				Total					
Comments:					Resuscitation				
			Minutes		1	5	10	15	20
				jen					
				(NCPAP					
				t Compressions					
				ephrine					

Fig. 1. Expanded Apgar score form. Record the score in the appropriate place at specific time intervals. The additional resuscitative measures (if appropriate) are recorded at the same time that the score is reported using a check mark in the appropriate box. Use the comment box to list other factors including maternal medications and/or the response to resuscitation between the recorded times of scoring. Abbreviations: ETT, endotracheal tube; PPV/NCPAP, positive-pressure ventilation/nasal continuous positive airway pressure. 🗢

Conclusions

The Apgar score describes the condition of the newborn infant immediately after birth and, when properly applied, is a tool for standardized assessment (18). It also provides a mechanism to record fetal-to-neonatal transition. Apgar scores do not predict individual mortality or adverse neurologic outcome. However, based on population studies, Apgar scores of less than 5 at 5 minutes and 10 minutes clearly confer an increased relative risk of cerebral palsy, and the degree of abnormality correlates with the risk of cerebral palsy. Most infants with low Apgar scores, however, will not develop cerebral palsy. The Apgar score is affected by many factors, including gestational age, maternal medications, resuscitation, and cardiorespiratory and neurologic conditions. If the Apgar score at 5 minutes is 7 or greater, it is unlikely that peripartum hypoxia-ischemia caused neonatal encephalopathy.

Recommendations

- The Apgar score does not predict individual neonatal mortality or neurologic outcome, and should not be used for that purpose.
- It is inappropriate to use the Apgar score alone to establish the diagnosis of asphyxia. The term

Committee Opinion No. 644

asphyxia, which describes a process of varying severity and duration rather than an end point, should not be applied to birth events unless specific evidence of markedly impaired intrapartum or immediate postnatal gas exchange can be can be documented.

- When a newborn has an Apgar score of 5 or less at 5 minutes, umbilical artery blood gas from a clamped section of umbilical cord should be obtained. Submitting the placenta for pathologic examination may be valuable.
- · Perinatal health care professionals should be consistent in assigning an Apgar score during resuscitation; therefore, the American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP) and the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (the College) encourage use of an expanded Apgar score reporting form that accounts for concurrent resuscitative interventions.

References

- 1. Apgar V. A proposal for a new method of evaluation of the newborn infant. Curr Res Anesth Analg 1953;32: 260-267. [PubMed] <
- 2. Apgar V, Holiday DA, James LS, Weisbrot IM, Berrien C. Evaluation of the newborn infant: second report. JAMA 1958;168:1985-88. [PubMed] <

- 3. American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association. Textbook of Neonatal Resuscitation. 6th edition. Elk Grove Village, IL: American Academy of Pediatrics and American Heart Association; 2011. ⇐
- Jain L, Ferre C, Vidyasagar D, Nath S, Sheftel D. Cardiopulmonary resuscitation of apparently stillborn infants: survival and long-term outcome. J Pediatr 1991; 118:778-782. [PubMed] ⇐
- 5. Kasdorf E, Laptook A, Azzopardi D, Jacobs S, Perlman JM. Improving infant outcome with a 10 min Apgar of 0. Arch Dis Child Fetal Neonatal Ed 2014 Oct 23. pii: fetalneonatal-2014-306687 (epub ahead of print). [PubMed] ←
- 6. American College of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Task Force on Neonatal Encephalopathy; American Academy of Pediatrics. Neonatal Encephalopathy and Neurologic Outcome, 2nd edition. Washington, DC: American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists; 2014. ⇐
- Catlin EA, Carpenter MW, Brann BS IV, et al. The Apgar score revisited: influence of gestational age. J Pediatr 1986;109:865-868. [PubMed] ⇐
- 8. Hegyi T, Carbone T, Anwar M, et al. The Apgar score and its components in the preterm infant. Pediatrics 1998;101:77–81. [PubMed] [Full Text] ←
- 9. Ehrenstein V. Association of Apgar scores with death and neurologic disability. Clin Epidemiol 2009;1:45-53. [PubMed] [Full Text] ←
- Lopriore E, van Burk F, Walther F, Arnout J. Correct use of the Apgar score for resuscitated and intubated newborn babies: questionnaire study. BMJ 2004;329:143–144. [PubMed] [Full Text] ←
- 11. Casey BM, McIntire DD, Leveno KJ. The continuing value of the Apgar score for the assessment of the newborn infants. N Engl J Med 2001;344:467–471. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐

- Li F, Wu T, Lei X, Zhang H, Mao M, Zhang J. The Apgar score and infant mortality. PLoS One 2013; 8:e69072. [PubMed] [Full Text] ←
- Moster D, Lie RT, Irgens LM, Bjerkedal T, Markestad T. The association of Apgar score with subsequent death and cerebral palsy: a population-based study in term infants. J Pediatr 2001;138:798–803. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐
- Nelson KB, Ellenberg JH. Apgar scores as predictors of chronic neurologic disability. Pediatrics 1981;68:36-44. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐
- Lie KK, Grøholt EK, Eskild A. Association of cerebral palsy with Apgar score in low and normal birth weight infants: population based cohort study. BMJ 2010;341:c4990. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐
- 16. Freeman JM, Nelson KB. Intrapartum asphyxia and cerebral palsy. Pediatrics 1988;82:240–249. [PubMed] [Full Text] ←
- Malin GL, Morris RK, Khan KS. Strength of association between umbilical cord pH and perinatal and long term outcomes: systematic review and meta-analysis. BMJ 2010; 340:c1471. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐
- Papile LA. The Apgar score in the 21st century. N Engl J Med 2001;344:519–520. [PubMed] [Full Text] ⇐

Full text document also published in Pediatrics.

Copyright October 2015 by the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists, 409 12th Street, SW, PO Box 96920, Washington, DC 20090-6920 *and* the American Academy of Pediatrics, 141 Northwest Point Boulevard, PO Box 927, Elk Grove Village, IL 60009-0927. All rights reserved.

ISSN 1074-861X

The Apgar score. Committee Opinion No. 644. American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Obstet Gynecol 2015;126:e52–5.