U.S. Customs Service

General Notices

COPYRIGHT, TRADEMARK, AND TRADE NAME RECORDATIONS

(No. 10-2002)

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

SUMMARY: The copyrights, trademarks, and trade names recorded with the U.S. Customs Service during the month of October 2002. The last notice was published in the Customs Bulletin on November 6, 2002.

Corrections or information to update files may be sent to U.S. Customs Service, IPR Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Mint Annex, Washington, D.C. 20229.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanne Roman Stump, Chief, Intellectual Property Rights Branch, (202) 572–8710.

Dated: November 20, 2002.

JOANNE ROMAN STUMP, Chief, Intellectual Property Rights Branch.

The list of recordations follow:

-	α · ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ	ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZ
PAGE DETAIL	OWNER NAME THE ESTATE OF CICELY MARY BARKER BENETION GROUP SPA LINDA C. (NIMA) LYMAN	FUJI PHOTO FILM CO., LTD. NINA FOOTWEAR CORPORATION WOLVERINE WORLD WIDE, INC. CHANEL, INC. CHANEL, INC. ADAPTEC, INC. ADAPTEC, INC. PUHA AG RUDOLF DASSLER SPORT PUHA AG RUDOLF DASSLER SPORT PUHA AG RUDOLF DASSLER SPORT ROGER CLEVELAND GOLF COMPANY INC HOME INTRRIORS & GIFTS, INC. CALLAMAY GOLF COMPANY INC HARROW GOLD USA, INC. MARS, INCORPORATED
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE IPR RECORDATIONS ADDED IN OCTOBER 2002	NAME OF COP, THK, TNM OR MSK THE FAIRY WECKLACES FLOWER FAIRES OF THE SUMMER FLOWER FAIRES OF THE THE SO FLOWER FAIRES OF THE WASTBE FLOWER FAIRES OF THE WASTBE FLOWER FAIRES OF THE WANTOWN FLOWER FAIRES OF THE WANTOWN A FLOWER FAIRES OF THE GARDEN CAT JUMPA A FLOWER FAIRES OF THE GANDEN CAT JUMPA ON JUMPA OR SEUSS SYLE GUIDE 2001-2002 CAT SE VINIA CAT JUMPS CAT SE VINIA CAT S	199 DELMAN (STYLIZED) DELMAN (STYLIZED) HOLGERINE CC DESIGN ADAPTEC A ADAPTEC A CONTRIPE DESIGN PUMA STRIPE DESIGN CLEVELAND (BOLD STYLIZED LETTERS) CLEVELAND (BOLD STYLIZED LETTERS) CC
	EXP DT	PE 20120818 20120818 20120818 20120818 20120818 201201218 4 20120818 4 20120818 4 20120818 4 20120818 4 20120818 2012081
	EFF DT 20021007 20021007 20021007 20021007 20021007 20021007 20021007 20021021 20021021 20021023 20021022 20021023 20021022 20021022 20021022 20021022 20021	NEDATION TY 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021001 20021002 20021002 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003 20021003
11/01/2002 08:05:21	REC NUMBER COP 2010 201 COP 02010 201 COP 02010 202 COP 02010 203 COP 02010	SUBTOTAL RECORDATION TYPE TIME COORDS TIME

1/01/2002 18:05:21			U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE IPR RECORDATIONS ADDED IN OCTOBER 2002	P.A. DE
REC NUMBER	EFF DT	EXP DT	NAME OF COP, TMK, TNM OR MSK	OWNER NAME
TMK0200815	20021022	20120625	PERMA BED 2000	SCHNEE-MOREHEAD BUSINESS TRU
TMK0200816	20021022	20081212	NHWFDX-I NHEPDX-I	LOKILLAKU LICENSING COMPANY -
TMK0200818	20021022	20120730	NEWPORT	LORILLARD LICENSING COMPANY
TMK0200819	20021022	20120730	NEWPORT NEWPORT - ABE	LORILLARD LICENSING COMPANY
TMK0200821	20021024	20091212	NEWFOR! LABEL NIKE AIR	AKD LICENSING INC.
TMK 0200822	20021024	20100512	NIKE	
TMK 0200823	20021024	20100505	NIKE TRIAX SERIES TAILWIND	NIKE, INC. NIKE, INC.
TMK 0200825	20021024	20030525	NIKE	
TMK0200826	20021024	20041206	NIKE	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200828	20021024		DRI-FIT	
	20021024		DESIGN	-
_	20021024	2	NIKE	
TMK0200831	20021024	20051107	AIR MAX	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200833	20021024	20060730	DESIGN	
	20021024	•	DESIGN	
	20021024	20061217	NIKE	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200856	20021024	20061224	NIKE	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200838	20021024	20080609	AGG	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200839	20021024	20071202	ACG NIKE	NIKE, INC.
_	20021024	~ (SKIOOSH	NIKE, INC.
TMK 0200841	20021024	20081013	NIKE	NIKE, INC.
	20021024		DESIGN	NIKE, INC.
TMK0200844	20021024	20110918		
TMK0200845	20021024	20120205	DESIGN (A BEAR WEARING A BAKER'S HAT)	CENTRAL IMPULSORA, S.A. DE C
TMK0200847	20021025	20030426	DIMBO JHANE BARNES	INC.
TMK0200848	20021029	20021222	DESIGN (BASEBALL PLAYER)	
SUBTOTAL RECORDATION TYPE	EDATION TY	PE	110	
TOTAL RECORDATIONS ADDED THIS MONTH	TONS ADDE	NOM SIHT G	TH 129	

CANCELLATION OF CUSTOMS BROKER LICENSE DUE TO DEATH OF THE LICENSE HOLDER

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Cancellation of license.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to 19 CFR 111.51(a), the following individual Customs broker license has been cancelled due to death of the broker:

Name	License No.	Port Name
William J. O'Donnell	03452	Philadelphia
Rene Alvarez	04692	Miami

Dated: November 21, 2002.

Jayson P. Ahern, Assistant Commissioner, Office of Field Operations.

[Published in the Federal Register, December 2, 2002 (67 FR 71615)]

Department of the Treasury,
Office of the Commissioner of Customs,
Washington, DC, November 27, 2002.

The following documents of the United States Customs Service, Office of Regulations and Rulings, have been determined to be of sufficient interest to the public and U.S. Customs Service field offices to merit publication in the Customs Bulletin.

MICHAEL T. SCHMITZ, Assistant Commissioner, Office of Regulations and Rulings.

WITHDRAWAL OF PROPOSED MODIFICATION AND REVOCATION OF RULING LETTERS AND REVOCATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF MEASURING OR CHECKING INSTRUMENTS AND APPARATUS; MODIFICATION OF RULING LETTER AND REVOCATION OF TREATMENT RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF GENETIC ANALYZER

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of proposed modification and revocation of ruling letters and revocation of treatment relating to tariff classification of measuring or checking instruments and apparatus; modification of ruling letter relating to tariff classification of genetic analyzer.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), this notice advises interested parties that Customs is withdrawing a proposal to revoke one ruling and modify another ruling relating to the tariff classification of certain measuring or checking instruments or apparatus, and to revoke any treatment Customs has previously accorded to substantially identical transactions. This notice also advises interested parties that Customs is modifying a ruling relating to the tariff classification of genetic analyzers. Notice of the proposed modification and revocation was published on October 9, 2002, in the Customs Bulletin.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This withdrawal of the proposed modification and revocation is effective upon publication in the Customs Bulletin; modification of the ruling on genetic analyzers is effective for merchandise

entered or withdrawn from warehouse for consumption on or after February 10, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James A. Seal, Commercial Rulings Division (202) 572–8779.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103-182, 107 Stat. 2057), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are informed compliance and **shared responsibility.** These concepts are based on the premise that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community's rights and responsibilities under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and declare value on imported merchandise, and to provide other necessary information to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to Customs obligations, a notice was published on October 9, 2002, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 36, Number 41, proposing to affirm NY 856065, dated September 24, 1990, which classified the Autospec System, apparatus for inspecting the paper web for imperfections during the papermaking process, as other optical measuring or checking instruments, appliances and machines, in subheading 9031.40.00 (now 49.90). In addition, the notice proposed to modify HQ 955053, dated October 4, 1993, which classified an ellipsometer and combination ellipsometer/spectrophotometer, as other instruments and apparatus using optical radiations for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light, in subheading 9027.50.40, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). The ruling classified corresponding software for both models in subheading 8524.90.40, HTSUS, as other recorded media for sound or other similarly recorded phenomena. The classification of the software was not contested. Finally, the October 9, 2002, notice proposed to revoke NY G86132, dated January 26, 2001, which classified the ABI Prism 3100 Synthetic Analyzer in subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS. Three comments were received in response to this notice, all supporting classification of the respective merchandise in provisions of heading 9027.

We have undertaken a complete review of the matter, including but not limited to the submitted comments. These comments will be discussed in the text of the rulings we propose to issue. Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1), Customs is affirming NY 856065 to reflect the proper classification of the Autospec System in subheading 9031.49.90, HTSUS, as other optical measuring or checking instruments, appliances or machines, pursuant to the analysis in HQ 965327, which is set forth as "Attachment A" to this document. Customs is also affirming HQ 955053 to reflect the proper classification of the ellipsometer and combination ellipsometer/spectrophotometer in subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS, pursuant to the analysis in HQ 965899, which is set forth as "Attachment B" to this document. Finally, Customs is modifying NY G86132 to reflect the proper classification of the ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer in subheading 9027.20.50, HTSUS, pursuant to the analysis in HQ 965900, which is set forth as "Attachment C" to this document.

In accordance with 19 U.S.C. 1625(c), these rulings will become effective upon publication in the Customs Bulletin or 60 days thereafter, as appropriate.

Dated: November 22, 2002.

GAIL A. HAMILL, (for Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.)

[Attachments]

[ATTACHMENT A]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE,
Washington, DC.
CLA-2 RR:CR:GC 965327 JAS
Category: Classification
Tariff No. 9031.49.90

James L. Sawyer, Esq. Katten, Muchin Zavis Rosenman 525 West Monroe Street, Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60661–3693

Re: $NY\,856065$ Affirmed; Autospec Paper Web Inspection System.

DEAR MR. SAWYER

In a letter to us, dated November 21, 2001, on behalf of ABB Automation, Inc., you requested reconsideration of NY 856065, dated September 24, 1990, concerning the classification under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) of the Autospec System (the System). In that ruling, the Area (now Port) Director of Customs, New York, classified the System, apparatus for inspecting the paper web for imperfections during the papermaking process, among other merchandise, in subheading 9031.40.00 (now 49.90), HTSUS, as measuring or checking instruments, appliances and machines, n.s.i.e., other optical instruments and appliances.

Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2186 (1993), a notice withdrawing Customs initial proposal to modify NY 856065, among other things, was published on October 9, 2002, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 36, Number 41. The notice stated Customs position that the classification set forth in NY 856065 was correct. Three comments were received in response to that notice, including one from you. We have thoroughly reviewed the classification set forth in NY 856065 and continue to

We have thoroughly reviewed the classification set forth in NY 856065 and continue to believe that it is correct. In our review of this decision, full and careful consideration was given to your submissions of November 21, 2001, and June 14, 2002, legal arguments made during a teleconference with members of my staff on September 17, 2002, and your

latest submission, dated November 7, 2002.

Facts:

The merchandise at issue, identified in submitted literature as the ULMA NT Web Inspection System (the System), is apparatus for detecting and locating defects such as holes, dirt, scratches and wrinkles, in the web during the papermaking process. The ULMA NT Web Inspection System and the Autospec System, are believed to be substantially identical. The System consists of the following components: (1) lamps with reflectors, (2) multiple so-called smart cameras with changed coupled device (CCD) technology, (3) one or more image processing computers, and (4) a control panel/operator interface. As imported, these components are connected together by transmission devices and electric cables.

In operation, as the paper web moves continually over a framed conveyor, the lamps, positioned in the bottom of the frame, emit high-intensity light that reflects from or penetrates into the web, depending on its coated or uncoated applications (i.e., base stock, fine writing, printing, tissue, etc.). At the same time, the cameras positioned at the top of the frame detect variations in the intensity of the light, which the computers compare and analyze and, with analog to digital conversion, present as visual images of probable defects in the web. From these, the System's control operator can take appropriate corrective action.

The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

```
9027 Instruments and apparatus for physical or chemical analysis; * * * for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light * * *:

9027.50 Other instruments and apparatus using optical radiations (ultraviolet, visible, infrared):

9027.50.40 * * * * * * * * *

9031 Measuring or checking instruments, appliances and machines, n.s.i.e.

* * * * * * *

9031.40.00 (now 49.90) Other
```

Issue:

Whether the System is a good of heading 9027.

Law and Analysis:

Under General Rule of Interpretation (GRI) 1, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), goods are to be classified according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes, and provided the headings or notes do not require otherwise, according to GRIs 2 through 6. Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, states, in part, that where machines interconnected by piping, by transmission devices, by electric cables or by other devices, are intended to contribute together to a clearly defined function covered by one of the headings in chapter 84 or chapter 85, the whole is to be classified in the heading appropriate to that function.

The Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) constitute the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level. Though not dispositive, the ENs provide a commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS. Customs believes the ENs should always be consulted. See T.D. 89–80. 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (Aug. 23, 1989).

We are in agreement that the components of the System constitute a functional unit under Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, with the whole classifiable in the heading appropriate to

the function it performs. We further agree that subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS, instruments and apparatus for physical and chemical analysis using optical radiations, if it applies, provides the most accurate description for the System. In your November 7, 2002, submission, you assert that heading 9027, HTSUS, applies because the System analyzes reflected light as a form of physical analysis on the paper web. You contend that our interpretation of the scope of heading 9027 is too restrictive, i.e., that the heading text lists apparatus, including polarimeters and refractometers, that utilize light for physical or chemical analysis of substances or materials other than light. In addition, apparatus for gas or smoke analysis, also listed in the 9027 heading text, analyze either gas or smoke, not light. You maintain that the System is akin, by function, to opacimeters, which are listed in the 9027 ENs. These are devices specific to the papermaking industry and used to measure the degree of whiteness, opacity or brilliance of paper pulp, paper, etc. You opine that the System identifies defects in the paper which cause a decrease in the paper's opacity. You maintain further that Customs reliance on the reference in the Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes to heading 9031 to optical surface testers as describing the System is misplaced, inasmuch as the System lacks both a prism and lens to optically gauge the condition of a surface, as described in the 9031 EN. Finally, you cite two administrative rulings which purport to support classification of the System in heading 9027, HTSUS.

Relevant 9027 ENs describe, among other instruments and appliances, **photometers**, which are instruments for measuring the intensity of light. The light to be measured and the standard source of light are placed so that they illuminate a given surface with equal intensity. If instead of comparing two light intensities, comparison is made of their respective spectra, the instrument then used is known as a **spectrophotometer**. Photometers are widely used for various optical processes and analyses (for determining, for example, degree of concentration, degree of brilliance or transparency of solid substances; degree of exposure of photographic plates or films (densitometers); depth of color of transparent or opaque solid substances or solutions). Certain photometers used in photography or cinematography are known as **exposure meters**, and are used for measuring exposure times or for determining lens apertures. On the other hand, ENs for heading 9031, (I) MEASURING OR CHECKING INSTRUMENTS, APPLIANCES AND MACHINES, list under (B)(5) **Optical surface testers**, for gauging the condition of surfaces by means of a combination of a prism and a lens.

We remain of the opinion that the ENs indicate that the function of photometers and related instruments of heading 9027 is to ascertain or determine the quality, intensity or brilliance, or some other variable of light (i.e., to find out something about the light). However, we now agree that the heading also includes devices such as the ones you list which analyze substances or materials other than light. But, the System is not said to be one of those devices. It is significant to note that heading 9027 is divided into three categories of apparatus: instruments and apparatus for physical or chemical analysis; instruments and apparatus for measuring or checking viscosity, porosity, expansion, surface tension, or the like; and instruments and apparatus for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light. There is no evidence that the System *analyzes* anything. Moreover, the concepts of viscosity, porosity or the like is not at issue. It is the latter category of instruments and apparatus that must be examined. Heading 9027 is a provision governed by "use." Pursuant to Additional U.S. Rule of Interpretation 1(a), HTSUS, it is the principal use of the class or kind of goods to which the System belongs that controls. Thus, the System must be shown to belong to a class or kind of instruments or apparatus principally used to measure or check quantities of light. We continue to believe that it does not. While in the most literal sense the System does, in fact, measure or check quantities of light, its function essentially is to analyze variations and intensity of light for the purpose of identifying and locating defects in the paper web, using light as the intermediate means. Tariff provisions do not always include everything within their literal meaning. United States v. Andrew Fisher Cycle Co., Inc., C.D. 3717, rev'd. C.A.D. 986 (1970). We remain of the opinion that in a commercial sense, persons in the papermaking industry would not purchase the System for the purpose of checking characteristics of light, but to check the condition and quality of the paper web. In our opinion, the System does not belong to a class or kind of instruments and apparatus principally used to measure or check quantities of light. It does not meet the terms of heading 9027.

We likewise remain of the opinion that the System is more akin by function to the optical surface tester described in the $9031~\mathrm{ENs}$, which uses optical phenomena as a means to

check something other than light, i.e., the condition of surfaces the quality, condition or some other variable of another good such as a paper web, chemical compounds, circuit boards, glass ampoules, etc.

The first of the two rulings you cite, NY D88130, dated March 4, 1999, classified four measurement systems utilizing CCD cameras, together with computers, for performing analytic and diagnostic functions, in subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS. The ruling describes the merchandise as designed to "analyze and display the data captured by the camera * * * the CCD camera utilizes ultraviolet and visible radiations to obtain the data for analysis." As this description is cursory at best, there is no demonstrated similarity to the AutoSpec System so as to support a similar classification. The second ruling, NY G86132, dated January 26, 2002, concerned a fluorescence-based DNA analysis system called the ABI Prism 3100 Synthetic Analyzer. This device included an electrophoresis instrument which sorted chemically treated DNA by size of sample utilizing an electrochemical process and the influence of an electric current. Electrophoresis instruments are listed in the 9027 ENs. As the electrophoresis instrument is a significant component which the Auto-Spec System lacks, NY G86132 does not govern classification here. However, there is sufficient evidence on which to conclude that the Prism Analyzer performs substantially in the manner of electrophoresis instruments classifiable in subheading 9027.20.50, HTSUS. NY G86132 is being modified to reflect this classification. See, for example, HQ 954682, dated July 14, 1994, and HQ 956789, dated November 30, 1994, in which apparatus compellingly analogous to the System was classified in provisions of heading 9031, HTSUS.

Under the authority of GRI 1 and Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, the Autospec paper web inspection system is provided for in heading 9031. It is classifiable in subheading 9031.49.90, HTSUS. NY 856065, dated September 24, 1990, is affirmed.

Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.

[ATTACHMENT B]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE,
Washington, DC.
CLA-2 RR:CR:GC 965899 JAS
Category: Classification
Tariff No. 9027.50.40

Ms. Mary E. Wright Grunfeld, Desiderio, Lebowitz, Silverman & Wright One Boston Place, Suite 1650 Boston, MA 02108

Re: Ellipsometer and Combination Ellipsometer/Spectrophotometer; HQ 955053 Affirmed.

DEAR MS. WRIGHT:

In HQ 955053, which we issued to you on behalf of SOPRA, Inc., on October 4, 1993, an ellipsometer and a combination ellipsometer and spectrophotometer were held to be classifiable as instruments and apparatus for physical or chemical analysis using optical radiations, in subheading 9027.50.40, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS).

Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2186 (1993), notice of the proposed modification of HQ 955053 was published on October 9, 2002, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 36, Number 41. Three comments were received in response to that notice, including one from you. We have thoroughly reviewed the classification set forth in HQ 955053 and now believe that it is correct.

You state that the model MLM ellipsometer is no longer being imported so your comments are limited to the model GESP5 spectroscopic ellipsometer. However, in the interests of thoroughness and for the purpose of guidance with respect to classification of the same or similar merchandise, our analysis will cover both models.

Facts

As described in HQ 955053, the merchandise consists of an ellipsometer (model MLM), a combination ellipsometer and spectrophotometer (model GESP5), and corresponding computer software. Model MLM is a multi-layer monitor which is composed of a spectroscopic ellipsometer with a spectral light range of 310nm to 1000nm, a robotic wafer handler, a pre-alignment station, a sample stage, an electronic cabinet, a computer, and software. The computer will be sourced in the U.S. Typical applications of the model MLM include bulk characterization, implant concentration analysis, single layer absolute thickness and refractive index measurements for films, and multi-layer thickness and composition analyses for complex structures.

Model GESP5 is a combination instrument which is capable of performing spectrophotometric measurements and polarization measurements. The system allows spectrophotometric measurement of light intensity to enable accurate measurements of scattering, transmittance, and reflectance as a function of wavelength, angle, and polarization. It is comprised of a spectroscopic ellipsometer with a spectral light range of 230nm to 1000nm, a goniometric bench, a source module, a photomultiplier, various electronic devices, a sample holder, and software.

The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

9027	[i]nstruments and apparatus for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light * * *:							
9027.50	Other instruments and apparatus using optical radiations (ultraviolet, visible, infrared):							
9027.50.40	• Electrical							
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
9031	Measuring or checking instruments, appliances and machines, not specified or included elsewhere in [chapter 90] Other optical instruments and appliances:							
9031.49		ther						
9031.49.90		Other						

Issue:

Whether the ellipsometer and the combination ellipsometer and spectrophotometer are goods provided for in heading 9027, HTSUS, or in heading 9031.

Law and Analysis:

Classification of merchandise under the HTSUS is in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRIs), taken in order. GRI 1 provides that classification is determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes.

The Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) constitute the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level. While not legally binding, the ENs provide a commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS and are thus useful in ascertaining the classification of merchandise under the System. Customs believes the ENs should always be consulted. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (Aug. 23, 1989).

We agree that if the goods are found to be described by heading 9027 then, by its terms, heading 9031 does not apply. You offer the following in support of classifying the models MLM and GESP5 in subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS: the goods fall within the first of three categories of merchandise in heading 9027, HTSUS, i.e., apparatus for physical or chemical analysis, noting that *spectrometers* are listed in the heading text; ellipsometers are substantially similar, by function and design, to polarimeters which are instruments for physical analysis described in the ENs to heading 9027; classification in heading 9031, HTSUS, is not appropriate, as the goods are specified and included elsewhere, i.e., in heading 9027.

Note 4 to Section XVI applies to goods of Chapter 90, pursuant to Chapter 90, Note 3, HTSUS. In this regard, Section XVI, note 4, HTSUS, states, in relevant part, that machines including a combination of machines, consisting of individual components whether

separate or interconnected by piping, by transmission devices, by electric cables or by other devices intended to contribute together to a clearly defined function covered by one of the headings in chapter 84 or chapter 85, then the whole falls to be classified in the heading appropriate to that function. HQ 955053 found that as imported, both models of ellipsometers, constituted functional units under Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS. The ruling continued by examining the common meaning of the term "elipsometer" and indicated that it applied to polarimeters as well. HQ 955053 then noted that popolarimeters and photometers, as well as spectrophotometers, were listed and described in the ENs to 9027. Initially, it appears that at least the model MLM, imported without the computer, consti

Initially, it appears that at least the model MLM, imported without the computer, constitutes an incomplete or unfinished functional unit, with the imported components imparting to the whole the essential character of an ellipsometer. See HQ 965638, dated July 16, 2002, and related cases. From the above noted definitions and descriptions of the merchandise, it was noted that ellipsometers are used in the measurement of the index and thickness of transparent layers, the index and thickness of multi-layer thin films deposited on substrates, surface and interface roughness measurements, and the determination of thickness and compactness of super thin films. Ellipsometers accomplish this purpose by using the technique of measuring the plane of polarization of rays of light as they are rotated in passing through an optically active substance. This process is described in Explanatory Note 90.27(1) for polarimeters. The conclusion then followed that both models of ellipsometers functioned as electrical instruments using optical radiations for physical analysis, classifiable under subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS. The merchandise was found to be precluded from classification in heading 9031, HTSUS. After a complete review of the available information, including but not limited to your comments of November 7, 2002, we now believe that the above-stated analysis is accurate and supports classification of both the model MLM and the GESP5 in heading 9027, HTSUS.

Holding

Under the authority of GRI 1 and Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, where appropriate, the model MLM ellipsometer and model GESP5 combination ellipsometer and spectro-photometer are provided for in heading 9027. They are classifiable in subheading 9027.50.40, HTSUS, as other electrical instruments and apparatus using optical radiations for physical or chemical analysis. For the reasons stated, HQ 955053, dated October 4, 1993, is affirmed.

Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.

[ATTACHMENT C]

Department of the Treasury,
U.S. Customs Service,
Washington, DC.
CLA-2 RR:CR:GC 965900 JAS
Category: Classification
Tariff No. 9027.20.50

Susan Kohn Ross Rodriguez, O'Donnell, Ross, Fuerst, Gonzales & Williams Attorneys at Law 5777 W. Century Blvd., Suite 520 Los Angeles, CA 90045–5659

Re: ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer; NY G86132 Modified.

DEAR Ms. Ross:

 $\ln NY$ G86132, which the Director of Customs National Commodity Specialist Division, New York, issued on January 26, 2001, on behalf of Applied Biosystems, the ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer (the Analyzer) was held to be classifiable in a provision of heading 9027, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), as electrical instru-

ments and apparatus for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light using optical radiations.

Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act, Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057, 2186 (1993), notice of the proposed revocation of NY G86132 was published on October 9, 2002, in the Customs Bulletin, Volume 36, Number 41. Three comments were received in response to that notice, including one from you. We have thoroughly reviewed the classification set forth in NY G86132 and now believe that although the heading is correct, the subheading must be changed.

Facts

The Analyzer is identified in NY G86132 as a DNA sequencing machine. More specifically, it is a fluorescence-based DNA analysis system using the technologies of capillary electrophoresis and laser fluorescence with CCD recording technology to analyze genetic material. After importation, the ABI is combined with a computer workstation running proprietary analysis software that performs sequencing analysis.

The Analyzer contains an electrophoresis instrument, a laser system and a so-called smart camera with changed coupled device (CCD) technology. The electrophoresis instrument sorts (by size sample) DNA that has been treated with a chemical dye. The laser causes the reporter dye to fluoresce so that analysis of the separated genetic information can be measured from the light intensity of the fluorescent dye. The CCD camera digitizes the fluoresced strands of DNA so that the digitized information can be analyzed using the computer and the proprietary software. Included in the Analyzer is a high voltage power supply to generate the direct current electric field necessary for electrophoretic separation. As imported, the Analyzer lacks the computer workstation and proprietary software.

The HTSUS provisions under consideration are as follows:

9027	[i]nstruments and apparatus for measuring or checking quantities of heat, sound or light * * *:							
9027.20	Chromatographs and electrophoresis instruments:							
9027.20.50	Electrical							
9027.50	Other instruments and apparatus using optical radiations (ultraviolet, visible, infrared):							
9027.50.40		Electrical						
*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
9031	Measuring or checking instruments, appliances and machines, not specified or included elsewhere in [chapter 90] Other optical instruments and appliances:							
9031.49	Other							
9031.49.90		Other						

Issue:

Whether the Analyzer is an electrophoresis instrument of heading 9027.

Law and Analysis:

Classification of merchandise under the HTSUS is in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRI's), taken in order. GRI 1 provides that classification is determined according to the terms of the headings and any relative section or chapter notes.

The Harmonized Commodity Description and Coding System Explanatory Notes (ENs) constitute the official interpretation of the Harmonized System at the international level. While not legally binding, the ENs provide a commentary on the scope of each heading of the HTSUS and are thus useful in ascertaining the classification of merchandise under the System. Customs believes the ENs should always be consulted. See T.D. 89–80, 54 Fed. Reg. 35127, 35128 (Aug. 23, 1989).

We agree that if the goods are found to be described by heading 9027 then, by its terms,

We agree that if the goods are found to be described by heading 9027 then, by its terms, heading 9031 does not apply. You offer the following in support of classifying the Analyzer in subheading 9027.20.50, HTSUS, as an electrophoresis instrument: Customs proposed revocation of NY G86132 was based on the erroneous premise that it was classifiable as an instrument and apparatus for measuring or checking quantities of light, language which appears in heading 9027 but which does not describe the Analyzer; the good is not surface testing apparatus of heading 9027; the Analyzer is used to perform, by physical means, a chemical analysis of genetic material using the medium of light. It performs capillary elec-

trophoresis which represents a technological improvement upon but remains substantially identical in operation to electrophoresis instruments described in the 9027 ENs as incorporating a photometric device and which operate, in part at least, by passing a direct current through a solution; the optical instrumentation in the form of a light-producing argon laser is the means by which the computer compares the results with existing data; the Analyzer falls within a class or kind of instruments principally used for physical or chemical analysis, as described in the 9027 heading text in that it is the separation by capillary electrophoresis that constitutes the analysis; and finally, classification in heading 9031, HTSUS, is not appropriate, as the goods are specified and included elsewhere, i.e., in heading 9027.

Initially, Note 4 to Section XVI applies to goods of Chapter 90, pursuant to Chapter 90, Note 3, HTSUS. In this regard, Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, states, in relevant part, that machines including a combination of machines, consisting of individual components whether separate or interconnected by piping, by transmission devices, by electric cables or by other devices intended to contribute together to a clearly defined function covered by one of the headings in chapter 84 or chapter 85, then the whole falls to be classified in the heading appropriate to that function. It appears that the Analyzer, imported without the computer workstation and software, constitutes an incomplete or unfinished functional unit, with the imported components imparting to the whole the essential character of a good of heading 9027. See HQ 965638, dated July 16, 2002, and related cases.

Electrophoresis instruments of heading 9027 function essentially to separate or change the concentration of materials in solution for the purpose of analyzing the material. Noting the relevant 9027 ENs, essential features of these instruments include a source of direct current, a photometric device with photoelectric cell and an amp-measuring device or milliammeter, the whole being used for examining/analyzing material in solution. Simply stated, the Analyzer appears to function in substantially the same manner by using a laser light medium to measure variations and intensity of light in the florescent die for the purpose of analyzing the separated genetic material. It operates by capillary electrophoresis which can be regarded as a technological advancement over traditional electrophoresis, but the essential character of the apparatus and its end use remain the same. The Analyzer performs substantially in the manner of an electrophoresis instrument classifiable in heading 9027, HTSUS.

Holding:

Under the authority of GRI 1 and Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, Section XVI, Note 4, HTSUS, the ABI Prism 3100 Genetic Analyzer is provided for in heading 9027. It is classifiable in subheading 9027.20.50, HTSUS, as an electrophoresis instrument, electrical NY G86132, dated January 26, 2001, is modified to reflect this classification.

Myles B. Harmon,
Acting Director,
Commercial Rulings Division.

WITHDRAWAL OF REVOCATION OF RULING LETTERS RELATING TO CLASSIFICATION OF SPOONS

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of two Headquarters ruling letters that revoked three New York ruling letters relating to the tariff classification of spoons.

SUMMARY: This notice advises interested parties that Customs is withdrawing two Headquarters ruling letters that revoked three New York ruling letters relating to the tariff classification of spoons. These Headquarters ruling letters were inadvertently published twice, first in the October 16, 2002, Customs Bulletin (Vol. 36, No. 42) and again in the November 6, 2002, Customs Bulletin (Vol. 36, No. 45). The ruling letters published on November 6, 2002, are being withdrawn. The ruling letters published on October 16, 2002, remain in full force and effect.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 11, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bill Conrad, Regulations Branch, Office of Regulations and Rulings, 202–572–8764.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057), a general notice was published in the Customs Bulletin on October 16, 2002, announcing that three New York ruling letters (NY) classifying spoons under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) were being revoked. Attached to the notice were Headquarters ruling letter (HQ) 965032 revoking NY E86257, dated September 9, 1999, and NY E88103, dated December 20, 1999, and HQ 965794 revoking NY D86420, dated January 7, 1999. Both HQ ruling letters classified the spoons under subheading 8215.99.4060, HTSUS, as spoons, other than tablespoons, with nonmetal handles. The HQ ruling letters provided that they would become effective with respect to similar imported spoons 60 days after their publication in the Customs Bulletin.

Subsequently, on November 6, 2002, through inadvertence, the very same general notice and HQ ruling letters were published in the Customs Bulletin a second time. This notice announces that the general notice and HQ ruling letters published on November 6, 2002, are hereby withdrawn. Headquarters ruling letters 965032 and 965794 published on October 16, 2002, remain in full force and effect.

Dated: November 21, 2002.

GAIL A. HAMILL, (for Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.) WITHDRAWAL OF DUPLICATE NOTICE OF REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER AND TREATMENT RELATING TO CLASSIFICATION OF MOTOR VEHICLE PLASTIC SEAT KNOB

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service, Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of withdrawal of a duplicate notice of revocation of ruling letter and treatment relating to tariff classification of motor vehicle plastic seat knob.

SUMMARY: This notice advises interested parties that due to a clerical error, Customs is withdrawing a duplicate notice to revoke a ruling letter pertaining to the classification of motor vehicle plastic seat knob and revoking any treatment previously accorded by the Customs Service of substantially identical transactions. Notice of the revocation was first published in the Customs Bulletin of October 16, 2002, Vol. 36, No. 42, pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057). Due to a clerical error, a duplicate notice was inadvertently published in the Customs Bulletin of November 6, 2002, Vol. 36, No. 45. The second publication is being withdrawn.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 11, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Keith Rudich, General Classification Branch, 202–572–8782.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

Pursuant to section 625(e)(1), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(e)(1)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI (Customs Modernization) of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182,107 Stat. 2057), a notice was published in the Customs Bulletin on October 16, 2002, revoking NY G80939, dated August 18, 2000 which classified a motor vehicle plastic seat knob under subheading 9401.90.1080, HTSUS, which provides for seats (other than those of heading 9402), whether or not convertible into beds, and parts thereof, parts, of seats of a kind used for motor vehicles, other. Due to a clerical error, a duplicate notice was inadvertently published in the Customs Bulletin of November 6, 2002, Vol. 36, No. 45. The second publication is being withdrawn. The original notice of October 16, 2002, remains in effect.

Therefore, this notice advises interested parties that Customs is withdrawing its November 6, 2002, duplicate notice of revocation of the ruling set forth above. The notice in Customs Bulletin on October 16, 2002, revoking NY G80939 will remain in full force and effect.

Dated: November 21, 2002.

GAIL A. HAMILL, (for Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.)

PROPOSED REVOCATION OF RULING LETTER RELATING TO TARIFF CLASSIFICATION OF TENNIS BRIEFS

AGENCY: U.S. Customs Service; Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed revocation of one tariff classification ruling letter and treatment relating to the classification of tennis briefs.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to section 625(c), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)), this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke NY 869725, dated January 8, 1992, relating to the tariff classification under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA), of a women's tennis panty/brief. Similarly, Customs proposes to revoke any treatment previously accorded by it to substantially identical merchandise. Comments are invited on the correctness of the intended actions.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before January 10, 2003.

ADDRESS: Written comments are to be addressed to U.S. Customs Service, Office of Regulations and Rulings, Attention: Regulations Branch, 1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20229. Submitted comments may be inspected at 799 9th Street, NW, Washington, D.C. during regular business hours. Arrangements to inspect submitted comments should be made in advance by calling Mr. Joseph Clark at (202) 572–8768.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Beth Safeer, Textiles Branch: (202) 572–8825.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

BACKGROUND

On December 8, 1993, Title VI, (Customs Modernization), of the North American Free Trade Agreement Implementation Act (Pub. L. 103–182, 107 Stat. 2057) (hereinafter "Title VI"), became effective. Title VI amended many sections of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and related laws. Two new concepts which emerge from the law are "informed compliance" and "shared responsibility." These concepts

are premised on the idea that in order to maximize voluntary compliance with Customs laws and regulations, the trade community needs to be clearly and completely informed of its legal obligations. Accordingly, the law imposes a greater obligation on Customs to provide the public with improved information concerning the trade community's responsibilities and rights under the Customs and related laws. In addition, both the trade and Customs share responsibility in carrying out import requirements. For example, under section 484 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. §1484), the importer of record is responsible for using reasonable care to enter, classify and value imported merchandise, and provide any other information necessary to enable Customs to properly assess duties, collect accurate statistics and determine whether any other applicable legal requirement is met.

Pursuant to section 625(c)(1), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(1)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, this notice advises interested parties that Customs intends to revoke one ruling relating to the tariff classification of tennis briefs. Although in this notice Customs is specifically referring to the revocation of NY 869725, dated January 8, 1992 (Attachment A) relating to the tariff classification of tennis briefs under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule, this notice covers any rulings on this merchandise which may exist but have not been specifically identified. Customs has undertaken reasonable efforts to search existing data bases for rulings in addition to the one identified. No further rulings have been found. Any party who has received an interpretive ruling or decision (*i.e.*, ruling letter, internal advice memorandum or decision or protest review decision) on the merchandise subject to this notice, should advise Customs during this notice period.

Similarly, pursuant to section 625(c)(2), Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2)), as amended by section 623 of Title VI, Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical merchandise. This treatment may, among other reasons, be the result of the importer's reliance on a ruling issued to a third party, Customs personnel applying a ruling of a third party to importations of the same or similar merchandise, or the importer's or Customs previous interpretation of the HTSUSA. Any person involved with substantially identical merchandise should advise Customs during this notice period. An importer's failure to advise Customs of substantially identical merchandise or of a specific ruling not identified in this notice may raise issues of reasonable care on the part of the importer or their agents for importations of merchandise subsequent to the effective date of the final decision on this notice.

Customs previously classified a tennis brief/panty under subheading 6108.21.0010, HTSUSA, which provides for "Women's or girls' slips, petticoats, briefs, panties, night-dresses, pajamas, negligees, bathrobes, dressing gowns and similar articles, knitted or crocheted: Briefs and panties: Of Cotton: Women's." Based on our analysis of the scope of the terms of the heading in 6108, HTSUSA, and 6114, HTSUSA, the Legal

Notes, and the Explanatory Notes, tennis briefs of the type subject to this notice, are classifiable in subheading 6114.30.3070, HTSUSA, which provides for "Other garments, knitted or crocheted: Of manmade fibers: Other: Women's or girls'."

Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625 (c)(1), Customs intends to revoke NY 869725, dated January 8, 1992 (Attachment A) and any other ruling not specifically identified, to reflect the proper classification of the merchandise pursuant to the analysis set forth in proposed ruling letter HQ 965959 (Attachment B). Additionally, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1625(c)(2), Customs intends to revoke any treatment previously accorded by Customs to substantially identical merchandise. Before taking this action, consideration will be given to any written comments timely received.

Dated: November 26, 2002.

CYNTHIA REESE, (for Myles Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.)

[Attachments]

[ATTACHMENT A]

Department of the Treasury, U.S. Customs Service, New York, NY, January 8, 1992. CLA-2-61:S:N:N3H:354 869725 Category: Classification Tariff No. 6108.21.0010

MR. CHARLES MERENDINO MERSANT INTERNATIONAL LTD. 158–12 Rockaway Boulevard Jamaica, NY 11434

Re: The tariff classification of a woman's tennis panty from Hong Kong.

DEAR MR. MERENDINO:

In your letter dated December 4, 1991, on behalf of Ellesse USA Inc. you requested a classification ruling. Sample will be returned to you, as requested.

The submitted style, style A3001 (Delia), is a knit 92% cotton and 8% spandex woman's panty. The panty has elasticized waist and leg openings and a lined cotton crotch. As per a telephone conversation with this office you state style A3000 (Diane) is identical to style A3001, except that it is manufactured in assorted solid colors. Both styles are designed to be worn as underwear by tennis players.

The applicable subheading for Styles A3000 and A3001 will be 6108.21.0010, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTS), which provides for women's or girls' slips, petticoats, briefs, panties * * * and similar articles, knitted or crocheted: briefs and panties: of cotton, women's. The duty rate will be 8.1 percent ad valorem.

Styles A3000 and A3001 fall within textile category designation 352. Based upon international textile trade agreements, products of Hong Kong are subject to visa restraints.

The designated textile and apparel category may be subdivided into parts. If so, visa and quota requirements applicable to the subject merchandise may be affected. Since part categories are the result of international bilateral agreements which are subject to frequent renegotiations and changes, to obtain the most current information available, we

suggest that you check, close to the time of shipment, the Status Report On Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels), an internal issuance of the U.S. Customs Service, which is available for inspection at your local Customs office.

This ruling is being issued under the provisions of Section 177 of the Customs Regulations (19 C.F.R. 177).

A copy of this ruling letter should be attached to the entry documents filed at the time this merchandise is imported. If the documents have been filed without a copy, this ruling should be brought to the attention of the Customs officer handling the transaction.

JEAN F. MAGUIRE,

Area Director,

New York Seaport.

[ATTACHMENT B]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY,
U.S. CUSTOMS SERVICE,
Washington, DC.
CLA-2 RR:CR:TE 965959 BAS
Category: Classification
Tariff No. 6114.30.3070

MR. CHARLES MERENDINO MERSANT INTERNATIONAL LTD. 158–12 Rockaway Boulevard Jamaica, NY 11434

Re: Revocation of NY 869725, dated January 8, 1992; Classification of a tennis brief; HQ 965069, dated September 18, 2002, Incorporated by Reference.

DEAR MR. MERENDINO:

This is in reference to New York Ruling Letter (NY) 869725, issued to you on January 8, 1992, in response to your letter of December 4, 1991, on behalf of Ellesse USA Inc., to the U.S. Customs Service, requesting a binding classification ruling under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) for a tennis brief/panty.

In NY 869725, a knit ninety-two percent cotton and eight percent spandex women's tennis brief/panty was classified under subheading 6108.21.0010, HTSUSA, which provides for women's or girls' slips, petticoats, briefs, panties * * * and similar articles, knitted or crocheted. We have now had occasion to review that decision and found it to be in error. Accordingly, this ruling letter revokes NY 869725, dated January 8, 1992.

Facts

The merchandise under consideration is women's tennis briefs, styles A3001 (Delia) and A3000 (Diane). The style A3001 (Delia) is constructed from ninety-two percent cotton and eight percent spandex knit fabric and has elasticized waist and leg openings and a lined cotton crotch. Style A3000 (Diane) is identical to style A3001, except that it is manufactured in assorted solid colors.

Issue

Are the subject tennis briefs classifiable as similar to undergarments including briefs and panties under heading 6108, HTSUSA, or as other knitted or crocheted garments, in heading 6114, HTSUS?

Law and Analysis:

Classification under the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States Annotated (HTSUSA) is made in accordance with the General Rules of Interpretation (GRI). GRI 1 provides that the classification of goods shall be determined according to the terms of the headings of the tariff schedule and any relative Section or Chapter Notes. In the event that the goods cannot be classified solely on the basis of GRI 1, and if the headings and legal notes do not otherwise require, the remaining GRIs may then be applied.

The classification of substantially similar merchandise was addressed in HQ 965069, dated September 18, 2002. The women's garments composed of ninety-two percent knit cotton and eight percent spandex, style A3001 (Delia) and style A3000 (Diane), are substantially similar in construction and function to the women's cheerleading brief classified in HQ 965069. While your initial letter stated that the tennis panty/brief at issue was designed to be worn as underwear by tennis players, research on the function of tennis panties indicates that they function in a substantially similar fashion to cheerleading briefs. Like cheerleading briefs, tennis briefs are most often worn under a short skirt. Unlike underwear, they are intended to be exposed to view when the wearer is dressed for appearance in public. While the description of the subject merchandise does not state that the panty has a pocket for tennis balls, most tennis panties also serve to store balls and are therefore exposed to public view when the wearer reaches for a ball.

In HQ 965069, it was determined that the cheerleading brief, composed of 100 percent nylon knit fabric, is classifiable in subheading 6114.30.3070, HTSUSA, which provides for "Other garments, knitted or crocheted: Of man-made fibers: Other: Other: Women's or girls'." The legal reasoning and analysis employed in HQ 965069 is attached to and made a part of this ruling letter. As the subject merchandise is substantially similar to the merchandise addressed in the aforementioned ruling, the merchandise would be classified accordingly.

Holding:

The woman's tennis brief/panty (styles A3000 and A3001) composed of ninety-two percent cotton and eight percent spandex knit fabric is properly classified in 6114.30.3070, HTSUSA, which provides for "Other garments, knitted or crocheted: Of man-made fibers: Other: Other: Women's or girls'." The general column one rate of duty is 15.1 percent ad valorem. The textile quota category applicable to this provision is 659. Headquarters Ruling Letter 965069 is attached to and made part of this ruling letter.

The designated textile and apparel category may be subdivided into parts. If so, visa and quota requirements applicable to the subject merchandise may be affected. Since part categories are the result of international bilateral agreements which are subject to frequent renegotiations and changes, to obtain the most current information available, we suggest your client check, close to the time of shipment, the Status on Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels), an internal issuance of the U.S. Customs Service, which is updated weekly and is available for inspection at the local Customs office. The Status Report on Current Import Quotas (Restraint Levels) is also available on the Customs Electronic Bulletin Board (CEBB) which can be found at the U.S. Customs Service Website at www.customs.gov.

Due to the changeable nature of the statistical annotation (the ninth and tenth digits of the classification) and the restraint (quota/visa) categories, your client should contact the local Customs office prior to importing the merchandise to determine the current applicability of any import restraints or requirements.

CYNTHIA REESE, (for Myles B. Harmon, Acting Director, Commercial Rulings Division.)