

Reviewer Assessment

Open Access

Pia Kokemohr*, Lars Haeder, Fabian Joachim Frömling, Peter Landwehr and Joachim Jähne

Surgical management of rectal foreign bodies: a 10-year single-center experience

DOI 10.1515/iss-2017-0021

Received March 30, 2017; accepted April 19, 2017

*Corresponding author: Pia Kokemohr,

Clinic for General and Digestive Surgery, Center for Endocrine, Oncologic and Metabolic Surgery, DIAKOVERE Henriettenstift, Marienstraße 72-90, D-30171 Hannover, Germany, E-mail: Pia.Kokemohr@diakovere.de

Reviewers' Comments to Original Submission

Reviewer 1: Grzegorz Wallner

Apr 18, 2017

Reviewer Recommendation Term:	Accept
Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:	N/A
Custom Review Questions	Response
Is the subject area appropriate for you?	4
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	4
Are the results/conclusions justified?	4
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	5 - High/Yes
How adequate is the data presentation?	4
Are units and terminology used correctly?	5 - High/Yes
Is the number of cases adequate?	4
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	4
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	4
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	3
Please rate the practical significance.	3
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	4
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	4
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	4
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	4
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	4
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes

Comments to Authors:

The article concerns a potentially rare clinical problem, but with increasing tendency. The lack of scientific data, only retrospective evidence make that this paper is interesting only in terms as a case report. It is valuable only due to the number of analyzed cases, with good documentation. First of all - this paper is useful for residents and young surgeons. From the scientific point of view - nothing new in general knowledge, clinical practice or terms of originality novelty in diagnosis, therapy, surgical techniques etc. The paper is suitable for publication in chapter "Case reports".

Reviewer 2: Heinz-Jochen Gassel

Apr 19, 2017

Reviewer Recommendation Term:

Accept

Overall Reviewer Manuscript Rating:

N/A

Custom Review Questions**Response**

Is the subject area appropriate for you?	5 - High/Yes
Does the title clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the abstract clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Do the keywords clearly reflect the paper's content?	5 - High/Yes
Does the introduction present the problem clearly?	5 - High/Yes
Are the results/conclusions justified?	5 - High/Yes
How comprehensive and up-to-date is the subject matter presented?	5 - High/Yes
How adequate is the data presentation?	5 - High/Yes
Are units and terminology used correctly?	5 - High/Yes
Is the number of cases adequate?	4
Are the experimental methods/clinical studies adequate?	5 - High/Yes
Is the length appropriate in relation to the content?	4
Does the reader get new insights from the article?	4
Please rate the practical significance.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the accuracy of methods.	5 - High/Yes
Please rate the statistical evaluation and quality control.	N/A
Please rate the appropriateness of the figures and tables.	4
Please rate the appropriateness of the references.	5 - High/Yes
Please evaluate the writing style and use of language.	5 - High/Yes
Please judge the overall scientific quality of the manuscript.	4
Are you willing to review the revision of this manuscript?	Yes

Comments to Authors:

-