



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
Forestland Information
- 3  
Harvest & Reforestation
- 4  
Raw Material Supply
- 5  
Research, Conservation & Community
- 6  
Issues of Interest
- 7  
FM New Standard Impacts
- 8  
FS New Standard Impacts

### SFI Market Survey



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey | 2015 - 2019 Impacts | Optional

Success! Section successfully saved

## 1. Profile

Program participant: Washington Department of Natural Resources

Organization website (e.g. www.google.com)

www.dnr.wa.gov

Number of employees (enter a number)

United States: 1393

Canada: 0

Outside US/Canada: 0

Short organization profile: (max. 650 words)

The Washington State Department of Natural Resources (DNR) plays a variety of roles that support the vision of a sustainable future for state trust lands and beneficiaries,

Please answer the following questions for ALL of your forestland and manufacturing operations/mills/log yards for your organization whether they fall under the scope of your SFI certificate or not:

My company/organization:

Owns and/or manages forestlands in:

United States

Canada

Other

Has primary manufacturing operations/mills/log yards located in

Has secondary manufacturing facilities located in:

Countries your organization sells into

Select All that apply

United States x

### About the Form

Thank you to all participants who submitted data last year! This year we are sharing key summary data points collected through this survey. Use the 'How we used your data last year' hyperlink to see additional data summaries.

### Quick Tips

- Use section titles to navigate through the survey
- Use the 'Save' button to save your data
- Use the 'Submit' button to submit your survey

**A GLOBAL REACH**

Products that are certified to SFI Standards are sold in

**MORE THAN 120 COUNTRIES**



SFI's international recognition is increased by the endorsement of the Programme for the Endorsement of Forest Certification (PEFC).

Save | Save and Go to Step 2





**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]

**17** days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
**Forestland Information**
- 3  
Harvest & Reforestation
- 4  
Raw Material Supply
- 5  
Research, Conservation & Community
- 6  
Issues of Interest
- 7  
FM New Standard Impacts
- 8  
FS New Standard Impacts

**SFI Market Survey**



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey | 2015 - 2019 Impacts | Optional

## 2. Forestland Information

Provide forestland information on all forests your organization owns/manages as well as forestland included under the scope of your SFI certification.

USA & Canada Forestlands	USA & Canada Forestlands- Ownership /Management	Total area your organization owns/manages	Total area certified to the SFI forest management standard <u>How we used your data last year</u>	Area managed open to public for recreation	Area certified to SFI standard open to public for recreation <u>How we used your data last year</u>	Check all recreation categories that apply to areas open to recreation on your managed land
United States (Private)	Select One: <input type="radio"/> REIT/TIMO <input type="radio"/> Industrial <input type="radio"/> Conservation <input type="radio"/> Family Owned <input type="radio"/> Tribal <input type="radio"/> Non-profit <input type="radio"/> Other	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee Non Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee
United States (Public)	Select One: <input type="radio"/> Federal <input checked="" type="radio"/> State <input type="radio"/> Country <input type="radio"/> Other	<input type="text"/> AC	205649 AC	200000 AC	200000 AC	Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No fee Non Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No fee
Canada (Crown License)	Select One: <input type="radio"/> Industrial <input type="radio"/> Provincial <input type="radio"/> Federal <input type="radio"/> Aboriginal <input type="radio"/> Other	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee Non Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee
Canada (Private Land)	Select One: <input type="radio"/> Industrial <input type="radio"/> Aboriginal <input type="radio"/> Family owned <input type="radio"/> Non-profit <input type="radio"/> Conservation <input type="radio"/> Other	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	<input type="text"/> AC	Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee Non Motorized: <input type="checkbox"/> Fee basis <input type="checkbox"/> No fee

Forestlands Outside USA & Canada

Total area managed

Is this area certified under a certification standard?

Select Country    AC  Yes  No

Save

Save and Go to Step 3



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]  
**Percent complete:**

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
Forestland Information
- 3  
Harvest & Reforestation
- 4  
Raw Material Supply
- 5  
Research, Conservation & Community
- 6  
Issues of Interest
- 7  
FM New Standard Impacts
- 8  
FS New Standard Impacts

**SFI Market Survey**



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey | 2015 - 2019 Impacts | Optional

**3. Harvest & Reforestation**

USA - Program Participant Land covered under the scope of your SFI certificate

What is the total area of harvest units completed last year by clearcutting?

AC

What was the average area of clear-cut (even-aged) harvest units?

AC

What was the total area of harvest units completed last year by seed tree and shelterwood?

AC

What was the total area of harvest units completed last year by selection methods?

AC

What was the total area of harvest units completed last year by thinning or sanitation salvage?

AC

Reforestation - activities and five year assessment for organizations managing forestlands. The following data is collected for your activities related to area under the scope of your SFI certification.

Replanting and Direct Seeding Timing. The replanting "clock" starts after the entire unit is harvested or the sale has been completed (see guidance under completed harvest units above). Do not include areas that were replanted due to poor seedling survival. "Failed plantation" data are ultimately captured in the five year regeneration success question.

Reforestation Data for USA

Regeneration Type	Within 1 year of final harvest	Within 2 years of final harvest	More than 2 years of final harvest	Total for 2015
Artificial - Planting	<input type="text" value="5488"/> AC	<input type="text" value="6766"/> AC	<input type="text" value="1623"/> AC	13877.0000 AC
Artificial - Direct seeding	<input type="text" value="0"/> AC	<input type="text" value="0"/> AC	<input type="text" value="0"/> AC	0.0000 AC

What was the Natural Regeneration - All types in 2015?

AC

What was the percent of harvest units regenerated after 5 growing seasons?

%



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]  
**Percent complete:**

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
Forestland Information
- 3  
Harvest & Reforestation
- 4**  
**Raw Material Supply**
- 5  
Research, Conservation & Community
- 6  
Issues of Interest
- 7  
FM New Standard Impacts
- 8  
FS New Standard Impacts

### SFI Market Survey



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey | 2015 - 2019 Impacts | Optional

## 4. Raw Material Supply

(The following questions should be answered for manufacturing facilities covered under the scope of your SFI 2015-2019 Fiber Sourcing Standard certificate)

Private Forest Landowners	US	Canada
Number of private forest landowners selling timber (stumpage, logs or chips) directly to your organization last year.	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

**Raw Material Supply - procured directly from the forest to your SFI manufacturing facilities**  
 (can now drill down to more specific regions for sourcing of fiber supply) [How we used your data last year](#)

Select the country, state or province using the drop-down below. Please note, you may either select or report raw material supply for individual states or provinces or select 'All States' or 'All Provinces' from the list to report your procured volume by country.

Starting in 2017, SFI will be asking all program participants with raw material supply to track and report the data by state or province.

<Select the region of your fiber source>

Save | Save and Go to Step 5



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
Forestland Information
- 3  
Harvest & Reforestation
- 4  
Raw Material Supply
- 5  
Research, Conservation & Community**
- 6  
Issues of Interest
- 7  
FM New Standard Impacts
- 8  
FS New Standard Impacts

**SFI Market Survey**



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey | 2015 - 2019 Impacts | Optional

**5. Research, Conservation and Community**

Participants are required to support forest research to improve forest health, productivity, and sustainable management of forest resources, and the environmental benefits and performance of forest products. Complete the following table with research funding dollars spent to meet this requirement.

**I. Research Funding**

Research Funding Category	Internal USD	External USD	Internal CAD	External CAD
Forest health, productivity, and ecosystem functions	88556	144546		
Chemical efficiency, use rate and integrated pest management	0	0		
Water quality and/or effectiveness of best management practices including effectiveness of water quality and best management practices for protecting the quality, diversity and distributions of fish and wildlife habitats	90968	0		
Wildlife management at stand and landscape levels	220847	0		
Conservation of biological diversity	82798	0		
Ecological impacts of bioenergy feedstock removals on productivity, wildlife habitat, water quality and other ecosystem functions	0	0		
Climate change research for both adaptation and mitigation	44331	0		
Forest operations efficiencies and economics	0	0		
Energy efficiency	0	0		
Life cycle assessment	0	0		
Avoidance of illegal logging	0	0		
Avoidance of controversial sources	0	0		
<input type="checkbox"/> Other				

**RESEARCH**

**\$63 MILLION**  
in forest research in 2014

SFI Forest Management and Fiber Sourcing Standards require participants to support research.

**NO OTHER FORESTRY STANDARD HAS A RESEARCH REQUIREMENT.**

**291**

DIFFERENT PROJECTS REPORTED BY SFI PROGRAM PARTICIPANTS IN 2014

For each category below enter the organizations you worked with in 2015 as part of your research funding reporting

University or College

University of Montana x University of Washington x

Oregon State University x University of Idaho x

Research Institution

Conservation Organization

	Conservation Organization
	Western Forestry and Conservation Association X
Government	Government
	US Forest Service X
Community Organization	Community Organization
Other	Other

## II. Research, Conservation and Community Projects and Partnerships

If you engage in research, conservation or community projects with external partners, please complete this page. For each project, please indicate the extent to which any of the dollar amount spent by your organization is also in fulfillment of the research requirements and expenditures noted in the research funding table above. SFI recognizes that not all conservation or community partnership projects are related to research program requirements. Thus, the collective contributions of your organization in this section may not perfectly relate to the research expenditures captured in the research funding table above.

Is your organization currently involved in any conservation partnerships with non-profit organizations, academic institutions or government agencies? These could include, but are not limited to, research or direct implementation of any type of resource or biological conservation activities.

Yes  No

Project Name	Project Objective	Edit	Delete
Northwest Region Education and Enforcement Program (Northwest Region)	To provide sustainable recreation opportunities on DNR-managed natural resource lands, and to protect water quality and wildlife habitat.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Stand Management Cooperative Type II, Mid-rotation stand developmental dynamics in Douglas-fir and western hemlock. (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section, and Northwest Region)	Improve our understanding of how Douglas-fir and western hemlock trees and stands grow in relation to growing space. Develop an understanding of how species mixtures perform.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Rocky-Sacheen WUI Fuels Reduction (Northeast Region)	The objective of this project is to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire and protect Rocky Gorge and Sacheen Lake area high risk community through a coordinated effort of fuels reduction projects	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0729 (replaces #60-WS0671) (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct research on black-tailed deer.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0736 (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct research on elk groups	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Continuing Professional Forestry Education Webinars (Forest Resources Division, Silviculture and Monitoring Section)	Outreach and professional development	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #14-99 (South Puget Sound Region)	Complete the decommissioning of forest roads on Mt. Teneriffe and convert a portion of them into a recreational trail.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #15-207 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by realigning and renovating an existing unsanctioned user-built trail to create a sustainable designated trail on Dirty Harry's Peak.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Pullman Seed Orchard (Northeast Region)	Cultivate local seed sources, and improve genetic diversity of ponderosa pine planting stock specific to NE Region's area of management and meet DNR and local partners' seedling needs.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Western Redcedar Seed Orchard (Northeast Region)	Cultivate local seed sources and improve genetic diversity of planting stock specific to NE Region's management area. Meet DNR and local partners' seed needs and provide a long-term solution to diffi	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #15-288 (South Puget Sound Region)	To enhance multiple uses of public lands by implementing an Interagency Agreement (#315-214) with the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission for developing/constructing the western portion o	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Interagency Agreement #IAA-10-381 (South Puget Sound Region)	To maintain this working forest and a clean water supply.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
WDFW Spring Bear Hunt (Northwest Region)	Manage Bear Populations to decrease bear damaged trees.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )

## EVERY DAY, EVERY WAY

In 2014, SFI Program Participants engaged in almost 300 different community and conservation projects, partnering with nearly 500 organizations in multiple sectors including:





Project Name	Project Objective	Edit	Delete
Interagency Agreement #315-214 (South Puget Sound Region)	To provide design, permitting and construction services necessary to implement the RCO-funded mountain bike trail project at Ollalie State Park for the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Tapash Sustainable Forest Collaborative – Manastash/Taneum Resilient Landscape Restoration Project: Phase 1 (Southeast Region)	The work proposed will build upon a well-established scientific and collaborative foundation in the region to catalyze restoration work at a landscape scale within Okanogan-Wenatchee National Forest,	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Green River College Timber Sale appraisal project (SPS Region)	Streams and wetland delineation	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Stand Management Cooperative Type III, Stand development across a wide range of initial plantation spacing of Douglas-fir, western hemlock and mixtures (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Mo	Improve our understanding of how Douglas-fir and western hemlock trees and stands grow in relation to growing space. Develop an understanding of how species mixtures perform.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Timber Harvest planning study (SPS Region)	Develop three different timber harvest plans and compare ecological and financial outcomes.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Sauk River Watershed Knotweed Control Project (Northwest Region)	Eliminate knotweed in the Sauk River Watershed	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Recreation License #60-WS0770 (South Puget Sound Region)	To conduct the 'Nature Nuts Program'	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #16-101 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by assisting with the design of new mountain bike trails on Tiger Mountain & Raging River State Forests.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Tiger Highway WUI Fuels Reduction (Northeast Region)	The objective of this project is to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire and protect the Tiger Highway area high risk community through a coordinated effort of fuels reduction projects across priv	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Intermountain Forest Tree Nutrition Cooperative – Site type effects on Stocking and Density Management (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section and Northeast Region)	Understand the optimal timing for PCT as well as the effects of site quality and density on tree and stand development.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Hardwood Silviculture Cooperative Type II, Intensive management of Red alder in variable density plantations (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section, and Northwest, Olympic and	Develop knowledge to establish and manage plantations of red alder	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0759 (South Puget Sound Region)	Tick surveillance	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Intermountain Forest Tree nutrition Cooperative Site Characterization Study (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section and Northeast Region)	Understand sustainable productivity in relation to the factors controlling it and be able to understand the impacts of a changing climate on productivity.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0754 (South Puget Sound Region)	To conduct educational geologic tours	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0764 (South Puget Sound Region)	To conduct Douglas fir and Western red cedar canopy moss sampling in mature stands.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Carnivore study (SPS Region)	Determine carnivore habitat use, relative activity, species composition, and diet along an urban-wildland interface.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0652 (South Puget Sound Region)	Survey for and treat/remove knotweed and other noxious weeds.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0671 (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct research on black-tailed deer.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Biosolid application effectiveness (SPS Region)	Determine effects of biosolids on Douglas-fir plantations to determine appropriate rates that maximize productivity.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Reiter Foothills Forest ORV Trail Maintenance and Operations (NW Region)	Provide sustainable recreation opportunities on DNR-manages natural resource lands, and to protect water quality and wildlife habitat.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Status and trends monitoring of riparian and aquatic habitat in the Olympic Experimental State Forest	Characterize the changes of riparian and aquatic habitat conditions across the OESF as the 1997 Habitat Conservation Plan is implemented.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Silvis Project – Intermountain Forest Tree Nutrition Cooperative (Northeast Region, Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section)	To determine which stocking and nutrition combinations will improve quality and longevity of snowshoe hare habitat, and which stocking and nutrition combinations maximize western larch seedling produc	Edit ( )	Delete ( )

Project Name	Project Objective	Edit	Delete
Land Use License #92-090747 – Moose Research (Northeast Region)	Determine factors effecting moose productivity and survival – weather, parasites, habitat, predators etc.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0741 (South Puget Sound Region)	Collect data for the Forest Inventory and Analysis (FIA) Program	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #14-111 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by providing trail maintenance assistance through coordination of public volunteers.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Chewelah Basin WUI Fuels Reduction (Northeast Region)	The objective of this project is to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire and protect Chewelah Basin area high risk community through a coordinated effort of fuels reduction projects across private	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0600 (South Puget Sound Region)	Monitor and maintain flow systems on streams.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0638 (South Puget Sound Region)	To determine the density of black bears in the Green River Watershed for better bear management strategies.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0738 (South Puget Sound Region) (replaces #60-WS0497)	Improve elk habitat	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-093386 (South Puget Sound, Olympic, Pacific Cascade, Northwest, Northeast and Southeast Regions)	Conduct research on gray wolves	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Interagency Agreement #12-246 (replaces MOU #04-1614) (South Puget Sound Region)	Establish western pond turtle population.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0758 (South Puget Sound and Pacific Cascade Regions)	To update a soil survey along the Chehalis River floodplain	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
USFS 1501 Decommissioning Project (Southeast Region)	Improvement of water quality and fish habitat	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #50-WS0541 (South Puget Sound Region)	Improve habitat, enhance forage.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Klickitat Canyon NRCA Habitat Restoration Project (Southeast Region/Natural Areas)	Restore meadow and dry forest habitats within Klickitat Canyon Natural Resource Conservation area to benefit three priority plant species and one priority animal species.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Fire History Study (SPS Region)	Determine fire history of the Marckworth State Forest	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #14-411 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by abandoning 3 miles of old forest roads in the Mount Si NRCA. This work will reduce resource damage associated with unmaintained forest roads and improve habita	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #16-162 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by constructing the Granite Creek Connector Trail within the Middle Fork Snoqualmie NRCA.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0655 (South Puget Sound Region)	Estimate and monitor black bear populations and the impacts of various management actions.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0712 (South Puget Sound Region)	To enhance the function of the existing riparian corridor by re-vegetating the riparian forests in two DNR parcels that have been degraded by the presence of knotweed.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Slice Above Research Installation - Intermountain Forest Tree Nutrition Cooperative - Nutrition Effects on Future Forest Productivity Study (Northeast Region, Forest Resources Division- Silviculture a	To develop forest management guidelines for various site types that land managers can use to assess probable impact of management operations on nutrient retention and future growth.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Wenatchee Mountain Checker-Mallow Meadow Restoration and Monitoring Project (Southeast Region)	Restoration and Monitoring	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Natural Heritage Program (Conservation Recreation and Transaction Division - Natural Heritage Section)	The projects on which the Natural Heritage Program is working include monitoring of rare plant species and conservation status updates, mapping and classifying vegetation communities in the state, mon	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Green River College Timber Sale Appraisal Project (SPS Region)	Find harvestable acres in subbasin with little or no inventory data to determine hydro-maturity levels.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #13-287 (South Puget Sound Region)	Protect values in NRCA landscapes.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )

Project Name	Project Objective	Edit	Delete
Grimm Road Seed Orchard (Northeast Region)	Cultivate local seed sources and improve genetic diversity of planting stock specific to NE Region's management area. Meet DNR and local partners' seed needs and provide a long-term solution to diffi	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Stand Management Cooperative Type IV, Realized genetic gain trials for Douglas-fir (Forest Resources Division-Silviculture and Monitoring Section, and Pacific Cascade Region)	Understand the gains we realize from tree improvement. Understand how spacing and competition affect tree and stand growth by genetic gain level.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Chiliwist WUI Fuels Reduction (Northeast Region)	The objective of this project is to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire and protect Chiliwist area high risk community through a coordinated effort of fuels reduction projects across private and	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Hardwood Silviculture Cooperative Taper equations for thinned red alder (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section and Northwest Region)	Improve our understanding of how red alder grows in relation to cultural activities.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Natural Areas Program - grants from the WA State Recreation & Conservation Office in the "State Lands" Categories and the "Aquatic Lands Enhancement Account" Category (Conservation, Recreation & Trans	To restore impacted portions of DNR-managed natural areas (conservation lands) to original ecological function, and to provide environmental education facilities in appropriate locations while restori	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #16-42 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by providing trail maintenance assistance through coordination of public volunteers.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0757 (South Puget Sound Region)	Conduct training and gather data for the 2015 stream survey	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Old Goody Seed Orchards (Northeast Region)	Cultivate local seed sources and improve genetic diversity of planting stock specific to NE Region's management area. Meet DNR and local partners' seed needs and provide a long-term solution to diffi	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0542 (South Puget Sound Region)	Improve elk habitat.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0622(South Puget Sound Region)	Monitor and movement and survival rates on cougars.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0735(South Puget Sound Region)	To monitor earthquake and volcanic activity across the Pacific Northwest.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #15-01 (South Puget Sound Region)	Enhance multiple uses of public lands by constructing a new mountain bike trail on Tiger Mountain.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Carlton WUI Fuels Reduction (Northeast Region)	The objective of this project is to reduce the risk of catastrophic wildfire and protect Carlton (mid-Methow Valley) area high risk community through a coordinated effort of fuels reduction projects a	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Stand Management Cooperative Type I, Douglas-fir and Western Hemlock established stand spacing studies (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section, and Northwest, Olympic and Pacif	Improve our understanding of how Douglas-fir and western hemlock trees and stands grow in relation to growing space.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
White Pine Progeny Test (Northeast Region)	Develop resistance to white pine blister rust by screening selected parent trees for durable resistance to this exotic pathogen while retaining broad genetic diversity within the species.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Camas Meadow Restoration	Restore and enhance habitat for two endemic plant species, the Wenatchee Mountains Checkermallow and the Wenatchee Larkspur.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Vegetation Management Research Cooperative – Evaluating Common Vegetation Control Regimes (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section and Pacific Cascade Region)	Understand how vegetation control practices affect the vegetative community as well as the effects on tree growth.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Trout Lake Meadow Restoration Phase II (Southeast Region/Natural Areas)	Expand previous meadow restoration work. Overall, 45-acres of non-native plant species will be treated, expanding on areas currently treated and planted with native plants.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Cooperative Agreement #14-280 (South Puget Sound Region)	Provide technical services and invasive plant removal activities for DNR NRCAs and state trust lands in the Mountains to Sound Greenway.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
North American Snowshoe Hare Population Assessment (Northeast Region)	Compare snowshoe hare densities and patterns of population cycling across their range in North America.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )

Project Name	Project Objective	Edit	Delete
Cooperative Agreement #15-287 (South Puget Sound Region)	To enhance multiple uses of public lands by implementing an Interagency Agreement (#315-214) with the Washington State Parks and Recreation Commission for developing/constructing the eastern portion o	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Forest Internship program (SPS Region)	To provide students from local colleges with accredited Natural Resource programs a program to develop relevant industry skills and gain experience.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Land Use License #60-WS0719 (South Puget Sound Region)	To collect moss and lichen samples and measure metal deposition from tire and brake attrition in an urban to wildland gradient.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Hardwood Silviculture Cooperative Type III, Growth and yield of mixed Douglas-fir / red alder plantations (Forest Resources Division- Silviculture and Monitoring Section, and Northwest and Olympic Reg	Improve our understanding of mixed species stand growth and yield.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Walker Valley Off-Road Vehicle Trails Maintenance and Operations (NW Region)	To provide sustainable recreation opportunities on DNR-managed natural resource lands, and to protect water quality and wildlife habitat.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )
Remote Camera Trapping and Monitoring of Mesocarnivores on the Loomis State Forest (Northeast Region)	Determining the degree of overlap and habitat use by Canada lynx, bobcat, and coyote during the snow-on and snow-off periods.	Edit ( )	Delete ( )

Project Name

Project Objective

Short project description (include main point of contact and other relevant information - max. 650 words)

SFI 2015-2019 Standard Objective most relevant to project

Please select



SFI 2010-2014 Standard Objective most relevant to project

Please select



Select state(s)/province(s) for this project

Please select



Project Partners (enter details for at least one of the following)

University or College

University or College

Research Institution

Research Institution

Conservation Organization

Conservation Organization

Government

Government

Community Organization

Community Organization

Other

Other

Estimated project dates

Start date

End date

Estimated project cost

Select estimated project cost



USD

Organization contribution

Supporting documentation (optional).    
(file types can be: jpg, png, doc, docx, pdf, xls,.xlsx with max.file size of 10MB)

Check this box if any or all of the funding for this project is included in your Research Funding dollars reported above to meet SFI Standard Requirements as it relates to the research requirement in the standard.

Check this box if this project is part of a 2015 SFI Conservation or Community Grant.

May SFI use this project as an example in communications, to help convey the exemplary work of SFI Program Participants in the areas of conservation and community engagement?

- Share – with our organization’s name
- Share – don’t use our organization’s name
- Only use in aggregate

[+ Add another project \(\)](#)

### III. SFI Implementation Committees Funding

---

	US	Canada
Funding provided last year for SFI Implementation Committee activities at the state or provincial level (Support for US SICs in \$US. Support for Canadian SICs in \$CA.)	<input type="text" value="5275"/>	<input type="text"/>



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]  
**Percent complete:**

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto: rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

- 1  
Profile
- 2  
Forestland  
Information
- 3  
Harvest &  
Reforestation
- 4  
Raw Material  
Supply
- 5  
Research,  
Conservation &  
Community
- 6  
**Issues of  
Interest**
- 7  
FM New  
Standard  
Impacts
- 8  
FS New  
Standard  
Impacts

## SFI Market Survey



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey

2015 - 2019 Impacts

Optional

### 6. Issues of Interest

Select the following Issues of Interest. This way we can keep you informed on these topics.

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bioenergy        | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Biodiversity and Conservation | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Carbon stocks |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Climate change   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Ecosystem services            | <input type="checkbox"/> European Markets         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other International Markets | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Water quality                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Other                    |

#### Forest Tree Biotechnology (answer the following about your organization)

We plan on investing in research with Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology.

Yes  No

We currently invest in research with Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology.

Yes  No

We have legal commercial plantings of Genetically Engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology that will be available as future marketable products.

Yes  No

Please use this space for any additional comments to SFI:

Save

Save and Go to Step 7

#### Did you know?

As commonly used, forest tree biotechnology encompasses structural and functional studies of genes and genomes (including development and application of genetic markers); various methods of vegetative reproduction such as micropropagation, tissue culture, and somatic embryogenesis; and genetic engineering (Genetically Engineered), which is the physical manipulation and asexual insertion of genes into organisms.

The use of forest tree biotechnology is an evolving issue and as federal and international laws, regulations, agreements, treaties and market place recognition of the use of genetically engineered trees via forest tree biotechnology change, SFI Inc. will proactively review and update standard language and policy as necessary.





**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources  
**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy  
**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]  
**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]  
**Percent complete:**

0 days until form is due!  
 Need help? (mailto:  
 rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit



Profile



Forestland  
Information



Harvest &  
Reforestation



Raw Material  
Supply



Research,  
Conservation &  
Community



Issues of  
Interest



FM New  
Standard  
Impacts



FS New  
Standard  
Impacts

SFI  
Market  
Survey



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey

2015 - 2019 Impacts

Optional

## 7. Forest Management New Standard Impacts

The SFI 2015-2019 Forest Management Standard has several new and enhanced requirements. These changes were part of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard and Rules revision process. Many of the changes came from consultations with the SFI community -- people like you.

**Your answers to this survey will enable SFI to:**

- Demonstrate the value of the standard revision process and the subsequent improvements to the Standard.
- Communicate the positive outcomes resulting from your implementation of the new Standard requirements.

We will use the information and examples you provide to elevate the awareness of the new SFI 2015-2019 Standards and Rules. Your efforts will also help us engage brandowners in a discussion about the value, relevance and credibility of the Standard.

This survey requests specific case examples of your management improvements and best practices related to the new Standard. SFI would like to use your best practice examples in communications. When asked to share your best stories, we will ask if you would like to share your organization's best practice case example. You may also opt for sharing your stories but not have your organization identified. If you prefer, we can also use your information in aggregate with all collected survey data.

These questions will be asked this year and next year (2017) only. The specific questions related to forest conversion and chemical use will be incorporated into the SFI Annual Report Form and will be asked year over year.

### Indicator 3.2.1

Program addressing management and protection of rivers, streams, lakes, wetlands, other water bodies and riparian areas during all phases of management, including the layout and construction of roads and skid trails to maintain water reach, flow and quality.

### Indicator 3.2.2

Mapping of rivers, streams, lakes, wetlands and other water bodies as specified in state or provincial best management practices and, where appropriate, identification on the ground.

### Indicator 3.2.3

Document and implement plans to manage and protect rivers, streams, lakes, wetlands, other water bodies and riparian areas.

### Indicator 3.2.4

Plans that address wet-weather events in order to maintain water quality (e.g., forest inventory systems, wet-weather tracts, definitions of acceptable operating conditions).

### Indicator 4.1.3

Document diversity of forest cover types and age or size classes at the individual ownership or forest tenure level, and where credible data are available, at the landscape scale.

Working individually or collaboratively to support diversity of native forest cover types and age or size classes that enhance biological diversity at the landscape scale.

### Indicator 4.1.4

Program Participants shall participate in or incorporate the results of state, provincial, or regional conservation planning and priority-setting efforts to conserve biological diversity and consider these efforts in forest management planning. Examples of credible priority-setting efforts include state wildlife action plans, state forest action plans, relevant habitat conservation plans or provincial wildlife recovery plans.

### Indicator 4.1.5

Program to address conservation of known sites with viable occurrences of significant species of concern.

### Performance Measure 8.2

Program Participants with forest management responsibilities on public lands shall confer with affected Indigenous Peoples with respect to sustainable forest management practices.



**Indicator 8.2.1**

Program that includes communicating with affected Indigenous Peoples to enable Program Participants to:

- understand and respect traditional forest-related knowledge;
- identify and protect spiritually, historically, or culturally important sites;
- address the use of non-timber forest products of value to Indigenous Peoples in areas where Program Participants have management responsibilities on public lands; and
- respond to Indigenous Peoples' inquiries and concerns received.

**Performance Measure 8.3**

Program Participants are encouraged to communicate with and shall respond to local Indigenous Peoples with respect to sustainable forest management practices on their private lands.

**Performance Measure 8.3.1**

Program Participants are aware of traditional forest-related knowledge, such as known cultural heritage sites, the use of wood in traditional buildings and crafts, and flora that may be used in cultural practices for food, ceremonies or medicine.

**Performance Measure 8.3.1**

Respond to Indigenous Peoples' inquiries and concerns received.

**Indicator 11.1.5**

Program Participants shall have written agreements for the use of qualified logging professionals and/or certified logging professionals (where available) and/or wood producers that have completed training programs and are recognized as qualified logging professionals

**Indicator 2.2.4**

The World Health Organization (WHO) type 1A and 1B pesticides shall be prohibited, except where no other viable alternative is available.

**Indicator 1.2.1**

Program Participants shall not convert one forest cover type to another forest cover type, unless the conversion:

1. Is in compliance with relevant national and regional policy and legislation related to land use and forest management; and
2. Would not convert native forest types that are rare and ecologically significant at the landscape level or put any native forest types at risk of becoming rare; and  
Does not create significant long-term adverse impacts on Forests with Exceptional Conservation Value, old-growth forests, forests critical to threatened and endangered species, and special sites.

**Indicator 1.2.2**

Where a Program Participant intends to convert to another forest cover type, an assessment considers:

- Productivity and stand quality conditions and impacts which may include social and economic values;
- Specific ecosystem issues related to the site such as invasive species, insect or disease issues, riparian protection needs and others as appropriate to site including regeneration challenges; and
- Ecological impacts of the conversion including a review at the site and landscape scale as well as consideration for any appropriate mitigation measures.

**Indicator 1.3.1**

Forest lands converted to other land uses shall not be certified to this SFI Standard. This does not apply to forest lands used for forest and wildlife management such as wildlife food plots or infrastructure such as forest roads, log processing areas, trails etc.

## Protection and Maintenance of Water Resources

**Has your organization made changes in your planning and operational practices to address protection of wetlands, riparian areas and water resources as required in Performance Measure 3.2?**

- Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators
- We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)
- No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Provide rationale

WA DNR already met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

## Conservation of Biodiversity

Has your organization made changes to your forest management planning and/or operational practices with respect to documenting diversity of forest cover types and age or size classes as required by Indicator 4.1.3?

- Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.
- We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)
- No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Provide rationale

WA DNR already met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

Has your organization made changes to your forest management planning and/or operational practices with respect to state, provincial, or regional conservation planning and priority-setting efforts according to Indicator 4.1.4 ?

- Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.
- We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)
- No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Provide rationale

WA DNR already met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

Has your organization made changes in your planning and operational practices with respect to conservation of known sites with viable occurrences of significant species of concern regarding Indicator 4.1.5 ?

- Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicator
- We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicator. (Select to expand)
- No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Initiated practices to ensure conservation of significant species of concern (please explain and note the species that are now addressed)

## Indigenous Peoples

If you operate on public lands: have you made changes in your forest management planning and/or operational practices to address any of the following indicators specific to the recognition and respect of indigenous peoples' rights?

- N/A –we operate on private lands
- Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.
- Select all that apply
- Facilitated a better understanding and respect for traditional forest-related knowledge.
- Helped to identify and protect spiritually, historically, or culturally important sites.
- Helped to address the use of non-timber forest products of value to Indigenous Peoples in areas where Program Participants have management responsibilities on public lands.
- Helped improve our response to Indigenous Peoples' inquiries and concerns received.
- We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)
- No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Provide rationale

WA DNR already met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

If you manage on private lands have you addressed any of the following indicators specific to the awareness of traditional forest-related knowledge in your planning and/or operational practices?

Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.

Select all that apply

Known cultural heritage sites

The use of wood in traditional buildings and crafts

N/A –we operate on public lands

Flora that may be used in cultural practices for food ceremonies or medicine.

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

**If you manage forests on public and/or private lands, has your organization made changes in your planning and/or operational practices to improve communications with and the engagement of Tribes or First Nations as per Performance Measures 8.2 or 8.3?**

Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.

Select all that apply

Facilitated a better understanding and respect for traditional forest-related knowledge.

Helped to identify and protect spiritually, historically, or culturally important sites.

Helped to address the use of non-timber forest products of value to Indigenous Peoples in areas where Program Participants have management responsibilities on public lands.

Helped improve our response to Indigenous Peoples' inquiries and concerns received.

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard through the following indicators. (Select to expand)

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

Describe practice

WA DNR already met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

## Training and Education

**Have the changes to Indicator 11.1.5 helped to improve your planning and/or operational practices with respect to the training and education of wood producers?**

Yes, requirements for the use of qualified resource professionals and wood producers are now factored in:

Select all that apply

Purchase orders

Purchase contracts

Other formats

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard on the use of qualified logging professionals and/or certified logging professionals and/or wood producers by factoring them into. (Select to expand)

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators because:

Provide rationale

WA DNR already used timber sale contract clauses that met the spirit of these new indicators prior to the release of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard.

We have another mechanism

**In your opinion, has the number of trained wood producers in your region of your forestlands changed as compared with last year?**

Increased  Decreased  Remained the same

## Forest Conversion and Chemical Use

The 2015-2019 SFI Forest Management Standard has new performance measures related to forest conversion and chemical use. These are important topics for brand owners and the conservation community, who are seeking to reduce the perceived risks associated with forest conversion and chemical use. These new requirements are designed to help Program Participants identify and manage these risks. SFI would like to better understand how Program Participants are managing these risks. We would also like to identify changes that may have occurred to your forest management planning and/or operational practices.

These specific questions will be asked year over year to understand perceived SFI programmatic risks.

## Chemicals

**Do you use a WHO 1A or 1B pesticide ([http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides\\_hazard/en/](http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides_hazard/en/)) in your operations?**

Yes  No

Did you stop using a WHO 1A or 1B pesticide ([http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides\\_hazard/en/](http://www.who.int/ipcs/publications/pesticides_hazard/en/)) in your operations due to the new Standard requirement?

Yes  No

### Conversion

Did you convert one forest cover type to another forest cover type as defined by Indicator 1.2.1?

Yes  No

Did you convert any forest lands not covered under the scope of your SFI certificate to other land uses in 2015 (e.g. agriculture)?

Yes  No

Provide the area of your managed forestland that was converted by region (state/province)

Acres converted of our managed forest land

Region

AC

< Select Region >

+ Save Region

Save

Save and Go to Step 8

[Clear Data](#)



**Company Name** Washington Department of Natural Resources

**Created by:** Douglas Kennedy

**Creation date:** [11-Mar, 2016 8:27AM]

**Last updated:** [NaN-undefined, 0NaN NaN:NaNPM]

**Percent complete:**

0 days until form is due!

Need help? (mailto:  
rachel.dierolf@sfiprogram.org)

Submit

1

Profile

2

Forestland  
Information

3

Harvest &  
Reforestation

4

Raw Material  
Supply

5

Research,  
Conservation &  
Community

6

Issues of  
Interest

7

FM New  
Standard  
Impacts

8

FS New  
Standard  
Impacts

SFI  
Market  
Survey



SFI Annual Progress Report Survey

2015 - 2019 Impacts

Optional

## 8. Fiber Sourcing New Standard Impacts

The SFI 2015-2019 Fiber Sourcing Standard has several new and enhanced requirements. These changes were part of the SFI 2015-2019 Standard and Rules revision process. Many of the changes came from consultations with the SFI community -- people like you.

**Your answers to this survey will enable SFI to:**

- Demonstrate the value of the standard revision process and the subsequent improvements to the Standard.
- Communicate the positive outcomes resulting from your implementation of the new Standard requirements.

We will use the information and examples you provide to elevate the awareness of the new SFI 2015-2019 Standards and Rules. Your efforts will also help us engage brandowners in a discussion about the value, relevance and credibility of the Standard.

This survey requests specific case examples of your management improvements and best practices related to the new Standard. SFI would like to use your best practice examples in communications. When asked to share your best stories, we will ask if you would like to share your organization's best practice case example. You may also opt for sharing your stories but not have your organization identified. If you prefer, we can also use your information in aggregate with all collected survey data.

These questions will be asked this year and next year (2017) only. The specific questions related to forest conversion and chemical use will be incorporated into the SFI Annual Report Form and will be asked year over year.

### Indicator 1.1.1

Program participant shall address conservation of biodiversity, individually or collaboratively, through a Program which includes one or more of the following:

- promotion of biological diversity utilizing information from organizations such as World Resources Institute, The Nature Conservancy, NatureServe, Conservation International, State Wildlife Action Plans, State Forest Action Plans and assessments;
- conducting local and regional level landscape assessments;
- involvement with local or regional conservation efforts;
- use of relevant information on biological diversity from credible sources (such as those noted above) in approved training and education programs; and other credible approaches.

### Indicator 2.1.2

Use of written agreements for the purchase of raw material sourced directly from the forest is required and must include provisions requiring the use of best management practices.

### Indicator 6.1.5

Program Participants shall have written agreements for the use of qualified logging professionals and/or certified logging professionals (where available) and/or wood producers that have completed training programs and are recognized as qualified logging professionals.

### Indicator 4.1.4

Program to assess the risk that the Program Participant's fiber sourcing program could acquire material from illegal logging by considering some of the following:

- communications with suppliers;
- independent research;
- contract documentation; and
- maintain records.

### Indicator 12.1.1

Process to assess the risk that the Program Participant's fiber sourcing program could acquire material from illegal logging such as consulting information from the World Resources Institute Risk Information Tool, the World Bank Legal Rights Index, or Transparency International.

## Biodiversity in Fiber Sourcing

Have you made changes to your fiber procurement planning and practices with respect to conservation of biodiversity in fiber sourcing according to Indicator 1.1.1?

Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 by partnering with or using information from the following organizations:

Select all that apply

- World Resources Institute Risk
- The Nature Conservancy
- Conservation International
- State Wildlife Action Plans
- State Forest Action Plans and assessments
- NatureServe
- Other

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicator.

We have another mechanism

### Best Management Practices in Fiber Sourcing

**Have you made changes to your fiber procurement planning and practices with respect to best management practices in fiber sourcing according to Indicator 2.1.2?**

Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 for use of best management practices in written agreements for raw material which:

Select all that apply

- Are now contained in purchase order
- Are now contained in purchase contract
- Are now contained in another format

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard for use of best management practices in written agreements for raw material

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators.

We have another mechanism

### Training and Education

**Have the changes to Indicator 6.1.5 helped to improve your fiber procurement planning and practices with respect to the training and education of qualified professionals or wood producers?**

Yes, we have made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the following indicators.

Select all that apply

- Are now factored into purchase contracts
- Are now factored into purchase orders

We previously addressed the requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard for the use of qualified logging professionals and/or certified logging professionals and/or wood producers by factoring them into purchase orders

No, we have not made changes based off the new Requirements in the SFI 2015-2019 Standard that address the above indicators

We have another mechanism

**In your opinion, has the number of trained wood producers in the region of your manufacturing facilities changed as compared with last year?**

Increased  Decreased  Remained the same

### Avoidance of Illegally Logged Fiber

The SFI 2015-2019 Fiber Sourcing Standard also has specific new performance measures related to avoiding illegally logged fiber. This is an important topic for brand-owners and the conservation community. They are seeking to reduce the brand and conservation risks associated with illegal logging. These new requirements are designed to help Program Participants identify and manage the risks associated with illegally logged fiber. SFI Inc. would like to better understand how Program Participants are managing these risks. We would also like to identify any changes that may have occurred to your procurement planning and/or operational practices as a result of the new Standard.

### Legal and Regulatory Compliance (within the US and Canada)

**Did you identify any risk of illegal logging through your risk assessment?**

Yes  No

### Avoidance of Controversial Sources Including Illegal Logging (outside of the US and Canada)

**Do you source fiber from outside the U.S. and Canada?**

Yes  No

**During your process to avoid sourcing illegally logged fiber by assesses and mitigating risk within your fiber sourcing program, what tools did you use?**

Select all that apply

- World Resources Institute Risk Information Tool
- The World Bank Legal Rights Index
- Information from Transparency International
- Other

Save

Save and Go to SFI Market Survey

[Clear Data](#)