

# CONTENTS

## I. EXECUTIVE ORDERS

DCT 83-23—Create Council on International Trade and Industry .....	753
DCT 83-24—Recreate Task Force on Finfish Management .....	753
DCT 83-25—Recreate Task Force on Organ Donations .....	753

## II. EMERGENCY RULES

Education Department:	
Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—Credit for National Guard service; add computer literacy to textbook adoption .....	753
Health and Human Resources Department:	
Office of Family Security—Non-emergency ambulance transportation for Title XIX recipients .....	754

## III. RULES

Agriculture Department:	
Seed Commission—Delete Mexican weed from certified rice requirements .....	754
Commerce Department:	
Racing Commission—LAC 11-6:14.9, trainer license applicants .....	755
LAC 11-6:53.17, horses racing during a pending investigation .....	755
Education Department:	
Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—Foreign language certification .....	755
Governor's Office:	
Special Commission on Education Services—Guaranteed Student Loan Program .....	755
Health and Human Resources Department:	
Office of Family Security—Delete reference to H and CBS recipients concerning standard deductions .....	756
GA amendment to Rule on SSA referral .....	756
GA revision of Flat Grant amounts .....	756
Reimbursement rates for Home Health agencies .....	756
Office of Management and Finance—Section 1122, Policies and Procedures .....	757
Natural Resources Department:	
Office of Environmental Affairs—Revision to State Implementation Plan (SIP) .....	762
Treasury Department:	
Board of Trustees, State Employees Group Benefits Program—Delete coverage for sponsored dependent parents	762
Enrollment of school boards .....	763

## IV. NOTICES OF INTENT

Agriculture Department:	
Commissioner of Agriculture and Advisory Commission on Pesticides—Pesticide waste .....	763
Civil Service Department:	
Civil Service Commission—Demotion, downward reallocation .....	764
Minimum qualifications .....	765
Noncompetitive reemployment .....	765
Rate of pay .....	766
Education Department:	
Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—Type C certificates; high school credit for armed forces member; policy on termination of employee; talented certification; textbook adoption; annual leave	766
Governor's Office:	
Office of Telecommunications Management—Equipment, systems, services, payment procedures .....	769
Health and Human Resources Department:	
Board of Examiners of Psychologists—Training and credentials .....	769

This public document was published at a cost of \$8,343.88. 1,375 copies of this first printing at a cost of \$3,572.80. The total cost of all printing of this document, including reprints is \$8,343.88. This document was published by Baton Rouge Printing Co., Inc., P. O. Box 97, Baton Rouge, La. as a service to the state agencies in keeping them cognizant of the new rules and regulations under the authority of R.S. 49:951-968. This material was printed in accordance with the standards for printing by state agencies established pursuant to R.S. 43:31. Printing of this material was purchased in accordance with the provisions of Title 43 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes.

Office of Family Security—Earned income tax credit .....	771	+
Limit reimbursement to non-profit providers non-emergency transportation .....	771	+
Payment for nursing home beds .....	772	+
Prorated benefits for individuals added to existing certifications .....	773	+
Reinstatement of Isosorbide Dinitrate .....	773	+
Standard utility allowance in Food Stamps .....	774	+
Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality—Sanitary Code .....	774	+
Water vending machine regulations .....	775	+
Office of the Secretary—New client care provider standards .....	777	+
Standards for registration of dietitians .....	777	+
Natural Resources Department:		
Environmental Control Commission—Emission standards for hazardous air pollutants .....	780	
Fee system Rules .....	781	
Public Safety Department:		
Office of Motor Vehicles—Commercial driving schools .....	781	
Transportation and Development Department:		
Materials Laboratory—Sale of retail petroleum fuels .....	785	
Office of Public Works—Procedures for Louisiana Statewide Flood Control Program .....	786	
Office of the Secretary—Employment of consultants .....	787	
Treasury Department:		
Board of Trustees, State Employees Group Benefits Program—Comprehensive Medical Benefits Plan .....	793	
Exclude temporary appointments .....	814	

*through 780*

**V. COMMITTEE REPORTS**

Natural Resources Department:	
Office of Environmental Affairs—Approval of revisions to Hazardous Waste Management Plan .....	814
Approval of amendments to Solid Waste Rules and Regulations .....	816
Approval of amendments to Solid Waste Rules and Regulations .....	816
Disapproval of revisions to Air Quality Regulations .....	816

**VII. POTPOURRI**

Natural Resources Department:	
Fishermen's Gear Compensation Fund—Claims .....	816
Revenue and Taxation Department:	
Tax Commission—Results of Reappraisal Ratio Study .....	818

# Executive Orders

## EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. DCT 83-23

WHEREAS, Louisiana's role in contributing to our nation's status as the world's greatest trader is a significant one, with 11.0 percent of the nation's imports and 17.5 percent of the nation's exports passing through Louisiana's ports in 1982; and,

WHEREAS, at a time when the state is experiencing its highest rate of unemployment in over 30 years, International Trade Activities in Louisiana provide over 100,000 jobs for the citizens of Louisiana,

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DAVID C. TREEN, Governor of the State of Louisiana, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and applicable statutes of the State of Louisiana, do hereby order and direct:

1. The creation of the Governor's Council on International Trade and Industry with duties as follows:

a. Coordinate the activities of the public and private organizations active in international trade.

b. Develop recommendations for a statewide policy and programs to encourage the growth in international trade.

c. Plan, organize and host an annual Conference on International Trade to spotlight the contribution of international trade to Louisiana and the nation.

d. Provide an annual report to the Governor describing the activities of the Council, the status of international trade in the state (with specifics on number of jobs created and revenues to the state), and recommendations for policy positions, programs, and/or legislation to enhance this sector of the Louisiana economy.

2. That the Governor's Council on International Trade and Industry shall be composed of at least the following:

Four members by position:

a. Secretary of the Louisiana Department of Commerce

b. President of the International Trade Mart

c. Chairman of the Louisiana District Export Council

d. Commissioner of Agriculture

Twelve to be appointed by the Governor:

a. Three representatives of Louisiana ports, at least two of which are from deep draft ports.

b. Two representatives of the academic community with expertise in international trade or finance.

c. One representative of the agri-business sector.

d. Six representatives from private sector firms engaged in some international trading activity (importers, exporters, steamship lines, freight forwarders, banks).

3. The Council shall serve as the coordinating and advisory body of the Louisiana Department of Commerce on international trade activities. The appropriate personnel from the Louisiana Department of Commerce shall serve as staff to the Council.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have herewith set my hand officially and consent to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Louisiana, at the Capitol, in the City of Baton Rouge, on this the 7th day of October, A.D., 1983.

David C. Treen  
Governor

## EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. DCT 83-24

WHEREAS, the Governor's Task Force on Saltwater Finfish Management was created by Executive Order 83-13 to develop a proper management plan to assure the protection and proper management of finfish in the coastal areas of Louisiana;

WHEREAS, the work of this task force holds great potential for the future of finfish management in Louisiana;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DAVID C. TREEN, Governor of the State of Louisiana, by virtue of the authority of the power invested in me by the Constitution and applicable laws of the State of Louisiana, do hereby recreate the Governor's Task Force on Saltwater Finfish Management.

The responsibilities of such task force shall be those detailed in Executive Order 83-13.

The membership of such task force shall include those persons stated in Executive Order 83-13 and others who shall be appointed by the Governor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have herewith set my hand officially and consent to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Louisiana, at the Capitol, in the City of Baton Rouge, on this the 11th day of October, A.D., 1983.

David C. Treen  
Governor

## EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. DCT 83-25

WHEREAS, the Governor's Task Force on Organ Donations was created by Executive Order 83-17; and

WHEREAS, such Task Force was created to assess various methods for encouraging organ donations; and

WHEREAS, the work of this Task Force holds great potential for enhancing the lives of all Louisianians;

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DAVID C. TREEN, Governor of the State of Louisiana, by virtue of the authority vested in me by the Constitution and applicable laws of the state of Louisiana, do hereby recreate the Governor's Task Force on Organ Donations.

Such Task Force shall possess those duties and responsibilities provided by Executive Order 83-17.

Such Task Force shall consist of those members included in Executive Order 83-17 and others who shall be appointed by the Governor.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand officially and caused to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Louisiana, at the Capitol, in the City of Baton Rouge, on this the 12th day of October, A.D., 1983.

David C. Treen  
Governor

# Emergency Rules

## DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY

### Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, at its meeting of October 27, 1983, exercised those powers

conferred by the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, R.S. 49:953B, and adopted the following items as Emergency Rules:

1. The Board approved an amendment to Bulletin 741, page 41 regarding credit for National Guard Services as recommended by the State Department of Education as follows:

#### Veterans or Members of the United States Armed Forces

##### 1. Definition

a. A person is considered a veteran if he has served at least 90 days in active military service and been honorably discharged from such service.

b. A person is considered a member of the armed forces if he /she is engaged in active military duty in the Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps or Coast Guard. A member of the National Guard is not considered a "member of the Armed Forces" unless his unit has been federalized by the U. S. Government.

##### 2. Service Credit

a. Two units of credit toward high school graduation may be awarded to any member of the United States Armed Forces or any honorably discharged veteran who has completed his/her basic training, upon presentation of a military record attesting to such completion.

b. When a member of the National Guard is certified by his company or unit commander as having completed one year of satisfactory service, this shall be accepted by the Department of Education as one-fourth unit credit toward graduation from high school. No credit shall be allowed for fractions of less than one-half and the maximum credit for National Guard service shall be one unit.

c. Special training obtained while in the armed forces, comparable to courses offered in civilian secondary schools, may be accredited up to a maximum of two units.

d. All subjects completed by a member of the armed forces, or by an honorably discharged veteran, through the United States Armed Forces Institute, the Marine Corps Institute, or the Coast Guard Institute, may be accredited at face value.

The emergency adoption is necessary in order to correct a conflict of policy in R.S. 29, Section 36. The policy in Bulletin 741, page 41, regarding the definition of a member of armed forces and high school credit for such services was revised in January, 1980 to include the National Guard. This policy is in conflict with R.S. 29, Section 36.

2. The Board approved the addition of Computer Literacy and Technology Education to the textbook adoption cycle for 1983-84.

This emergency adoption is necessary in order to meet the 1983-84 adoption cycle and the invitation to bid, which is due to be mailed by October, 1983.

James V. Soileau  
Executive Director

### **DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY**

#### **Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, has exercised the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act (R.S. 49:953B), to implement policy on the provision of non-emergency ambulance transportation for Title XIX recipients.

#### **EMERGENCY RULE**

The Office of Family Security, Medical Assistance Manual,

Section 19-858C, has a policy of prior authorization for non-emergency ambulance transportation. Effective November 4, 1983, the following are three exceptions to the policy.

1. Long Term Care Facilities may arrange for non-emergency ambulance transportation for Title XIX recipients in need of such services at times when the Parish Office of Family Security is closed. This includes nights, weekends and holidays.

2. When the Parish Office of Family Security is closed and a local ordinance defines "emergency" differently than the definition utilized by the Office of Family Security and the local ordinance definition is more restrictive, and the local ordinance does not allow for the transportation of non-emergency cases in an emergency vehicle according to the local ordinance definition, arrangements may be made by a Long Term Care Facility to have a recipient, who was transported to a hospital on an emergency basis and not admitted, returned to the facility in a qualified non-emergency ambulance vehicle.

3. If an emergency situation occurs and the local emergency ambulance unit will not transport due to the fact that their definition of an emergency is more restrictive than the definition utilized by the Office of Family Security, the Long Term Care Facility or interested party, if the recipient is at a place other than a Long Term Care Facility, may arrange for the transport of the recipient to the hospital or medical provider by a non-emergency ambulance vehicle.

For the Office of Family Security to make payment for the above services, the ambulance provider must be Title XIX certified and the Office of Family Security must be provided documentation of the need for the service.

This action is necessary to assure that Title XIX recipients have adequate non-emergency ambulance transportation to Title XIX services during times when the Parish Office of Family Security is closed and when a local emergency ambulance unit will not transport due to their definition of emergency being more restrictive than the definition utilized by the Office of Family Security.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

## **Rules**

### **RULE**

#### **Department of Agriculture Seed Commission**

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the authority contained in R.S. 3:1433 and in accordance with Notice of Intent published on September 20, 1983, the Department of Agriculture, Seed Commission, has deleted Mexican Weed from the noxious weeds prohibited in the field standards for certified rice seed, i.e., Rule 35.2 of the Louisiana Seed Certification Standards. This deletion has no effect upon other noxious weeds prohibited under Rule 35.2 nor does it remove Mexican Weed from the noxious weed seed prohibited under Rule 35.3 of the Louisiana Seed Certification Standards. Final action concerning deletion of Mexican Weed from the field standards for certified rice seed was taken by the Department of Agriculture, Seed Commission, at a regular

Commission meeting, open to the public, held on November 10, 1983, on the Twenty-first Floor of the State Capitol, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Bob Odom  
Commissioner

#### **RULE**

##### **Department of Commerce Racing Commission**

The Louisiana State Racing Commission, at its meeting of October 14, 1983, formally adopted Rule LAC 11-6:14.9 in its final proposed version.

**RULE LAC 11-6:14.9 CURRENTLY READS:**

"An applicant for a license as trainer must show proof of at least two years track experience with a racing stable. Application shall be accompanied by the written statements of two reputable persons to the effect that the applicant is personally known to them and that he is a person of good reputation and capable of satisfactory performance of the vocation he seeks to follow. An applicant shall be given a thorough examination by the stewards and such other persons as they may appoint."

**AMEND AND READOPT LAC 11-6:14.9 BY ADDING THE FOLLOWING TO THE LAST SENTENCE THEREOF:**

"Failure of applicant to obtain license will automatically require a 90 day waiting period before reapplying."

Gordon A. Burgess  
Chairman

#### **RULE**

##### **Department of Commerce Racing Commission**

The Louisiana State Racing Commission, at its meeting of October 14, 1983, formally adopted Rule LAC 6:53.17 in its final proposed version.

**LAC 11-6:53.17**

When a report as described in Section 53.15 is received from the state chemist, the stewards shall conduct an investigation and a hearing. There shall be no ruling and the stable shall remain in good standing pending a ruling by the stewards. However, the horse allegedly to have been administered any such chemical substance or material shall not be allowed to enter in a race during the investigation and hearing.

In the event the horse is claimed in the race in which the horse ran allegedly with prohibited medication, the new owner may enter and race the horse, however should the horse be claimed thereafter by the same owner who raced the horse, allegedly with prohibited medication, in the previous race in question, the horse shall not be allowed to enter a race during the investigation and hearing concerning the horse in the previous race in question.

For the purpose of this Rule "the investigation and hearing" referred to herein shall mean the steward's hearing following receipt of the report of the state chemist described herein and in Rule 53.15.

Gordon A. Burgess  
Chairman

#### **RULES**

##### **Board of Elementary and Secondary Education**

**Rule 3.01.70.u(9)a**

The Board adopted an amendment to Bulletin 746 and Foreign Language Certification requirements as follows:

"Beginning with freshmen entering higher education institutions in the 1984-85 school year, all candidates for certification will be required to complete 36 semester hours or 24 hours above the sophomore level which shall include a 3-hour methods course in modern foreign languages. A minimum of 12 of the 24 hours may be fulfilled by a two-semester residence in a university abroad or by two summers of intensive immersion study on a Louisiana university campus, an out-of-state university campus, or abroad."\*

\*The two-semester abroad or alternative is required for French certification and is optional for all other foreign languages.  
**NOTE:** Certification is awarded in each individual language.

James V. Soileau  
Executive Director

#### **RULE**

##### **Governor's Special Commission on Education Services Loan/Grant Division**

The Governor's Special Commission on Education Services pursuant to Notice of Intent published in the *Louisiana Register* on October 20, 1983, by action during its regular meeting held in Baton Rouge October 26, 1983, adopted Part 682 of Title 34 of the Code of Federal Regulations dated September 17, 1979 in lieu of Part 177 of Title 45 CFR dated September 17, 1979 which had previously been published in the July 20, 1983 issue of the *Louisiana Register*.

Part 682 of Title 34 of CFR was designated by the Federal government to retractively replace Part 177 of Title 45 of CFR at the time the U.S. Department of Education was created by Congress to succeed the U.S. Office of Education under the U.S. Department of Health, Education and Welfare. In all instances, the "Commissioner" of Education was redesignated the "Secretary" of Education throughout the regulations, effective October 21, 1979.

Part 682 of Title 34 of CFR combines the following Guaranteed Student Loan Program Regulations, showing publication date in the Federal Register in parenthesis and effective date outside the parenthesis:

GSL Program Final Regulation  
(September 17, 1979) October 21, 1979  
Amendments to GSL Program Final Regulation  
(June 24, 1980) August 27, 1980  
Nomenclature and Technical Amendments  
(December 30, 1980) December 30, 1980  
GSL Deferment  
(January 16, 1981) March 30, 1981  
GSL Refund of Tuition Charges and other Fees  
(January 16, 1981) March 30, 1981  
Cost of Attendance and Treatment of Bankruptcy Regulation  
(January 21, 1981) March 30, 1981

Requests for copies may be made to GSCES, P.O. Box 44127, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Richard W. Petrie  
Director

**RULE**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, hereby amends a Final Rule which was published in the April 20, 1983, issue of the *Louisiana Register*, Vol. 9, No. 4, Page 213. The amended Rule, effective November 20, 1983, deletes reference to Home and Community Based Services. The standard deduction shall only apply to Title XIX recipients of long term care who have earned income and tracks the standard deduction currently allowed AFDC recipients under Title IV-A.

**RULE**

The Medical Assistance Program shall adopt the standard deduction amount specified below for Title XIX recipients of long term care services (except Intermediate Care Facilities for the Handicapped), who have earned income. The appropriate standard deduction amount shall be deducted from the individuals' earned income in determining the amount of countable income to be applied towards the recipient's liability income for Title XIX services received.

The standard deduction amounts are:

Number of Hours of Employment	Deductible Amount
1-27	\$12.50
28-55	25.00
56-82	37.50
83-109	50.00
110-136	62.50
137 or more	75.00

The above standard deductions are applicable for all long term care recipients except those in Intermediate Care Facilities for the Handicapped (ICF/H). ICF/H recipients shall continue to utilize the earned income disregard effective December 1, 1982, published in the November 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* (Volume 8, Number 11, page 598).

Roger P. Guissingier  
Secretary

**RULE**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, shall implement a change in General Assistance Program policy regarding Referral to Social Security Administration, (18-636), effective 8-1-83. This Rule is submitted to formally adopt an emergency rule published in the August 20, 1983, *Louisiana Register* and is authorized by R.S. 46:154 and 46:155. The General Assistance Program manual (18-635 and 18-636) has been amended as follows:

When incapacity for a General Assistance applicant/recipient is expected to last at least 2 months but no more than 6 months, as substantiated by medical information which the client is able to present or readily secure or which the agency can readily secure at no cost, referral shall be made to the Medical Social Review Team and not to the Social Security Administration. If MSRT then determines that (1) medical documentation does not clearly define or establish the length of incapacity or (2) that the client appears to meet SSI incapacity criteria, MSRT will indicate on the Form 90 that the client is to be referred to the Social Security Administration.

When the client appears to meet factors of social inad-

equacy as defined in 18-635-A. (2) and does not have a medical impairment, the case shall be referred directly to MSRT.

If no medical information is readily available and social inadequacy is not the sole consideration for determining incapacity, the case shall be referred to SSA regardless of the anticipated length of incapacity beyond two months.

Roger P. Guissingier  
Secretary

**RULE**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, shall adopt a change in the flat grant payment standard for the General Assistance Program. This Rule is submitted to formally adopt an Emergency Rule published in the August 20, 1983, *Louisiana Register* and is authorized by R.S. 46:154 and R.S. 46:155. The General Assistance Program policy manual (18-922) has been amended as follows:

Flat Grant Amounts To Be Included In Every General Assistance Payment Budget

Number of Persons	Flat Grant Amount
1	\$ 91
2	138
3	190
4	234
5	277
6	316
7	352
8	391
9	427
10	462
11	501
12	540
13	580
14	620
15	662
16	707
17	741
18	789

Roger P. Guissingier  
Secretary

**RULE**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security hereby rescinds the Rule listed below which was published in the *Louisiana Register* (Volume 9, Number 6, Page 415) on June 20, 1983 and reads as follows:

**RULE**

"Effective July 1, 1983, the Medical Assistance Program shall limit the reimbursement rate for Title XIX Services provided by a Home Health Agency to the current reimbursement rates for FY 82/83."

This Rule is hereby rescinded. The Office of Family Secur-

ity will continue to reimburse Home Health Agencies on a reasonable cost basis which will not exceed the Medicare rate of reimbursement. All payments to Home Health Agencies are subject to an annual audit which is utilized to adjust payments as well as set interim reimbursement rates.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

## RULE

### Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Management and Finance Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation

Effective November 20, 1983, the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Management and Finance, Division of Policy and Evaluation, is changing the policies and guidelines for Section 1122 capital expenditure reviews. The proposed changes will be made to the Rule published in Volume 9, Number 7 of the *Louisiana Register*, July 20, 1983.

These changes are the result of the passage of Act 13 of the 1983 Special Session of the Louisiana Legislature. This Act abolished the Office of Licensing and Regulations and reassigned responsibility for the Section 1122 program. In addition, the Social Security Amendments of 1983 (HR 1900) allowed changes in the Section 1122 capital expenditure review thresholds.

## INTRODUCTION

Section 1122 of the Social Security Act, as amended by Public Law 92-603, the Social Security Amendments of 1972, requires that a health facility which proposes to make a capital expenditure obtain prior approval by a designated planning agency in order to be reimbursed for costs related to the capital expenditure under the Medicare and Medicaid Programs. The purpose of this provision is to assure that Federal funds are not used to support unnecessary capital expenditures by health care facilities.

## DEFINITIONS

1. Certificate of Need — Louisiana conducts certificate of need reviews in accordance with Section 1122 of the Social Security Act, as amended. This process is required of health care facilities in order to receive full reimbursement under the Medicare and Medicaid Programs. (This should not be confused with state legislated certificate of need programs which Louisiana, at present, does not have enacted.)

2. Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation DPPE — the state agency designated to carry out in Louisiana the provisions of Section 1122 and P. L. 93-641, as amended by P. L. 96-79.

3. Division of Licensing and Certification — that Division of the Department of Health and Human Resources charged with the responsibility of carry out licensure and certification functions for the State of Louisiana.

4. Hospital — an institution which is engaged in providing to inpatients or to inpatients and outpatients by or under the supervision of physicians, diagnostic services and therapeutic services for medical diagnosis, treatment and care of injured, disabled, sick or pregnant persons, or rehabilitation services for the rehabilitation of injured, disabled, sick or pregnant persons; such term does include chronic care hospitals, but does not include psychiatric and tuberculosis hospitals.

5. Person — an individual, a trust or estate, a partnership, a corporation (including associations, joint-stock companies, and insurance companies), a state, or a political subdivision or instrumentality (including a municipal corporation) of a state.

6. Psychiatric hospital — an institution which is primarily engaged in providing to inpatients, by or under the supervision of a physician, psychiatric services for the diagnosis and treatment of mentally ill persons.

7. Tuberculosis hospital — an institution which is primarily engaged in providing to inpatients, by or under the supervision of a physician, medical services for the diagnosis and treatment of tuberculosis.

8. Nursing home — a licensed facility that provides nursing care, preventive health, health maintenance services, rehabilitative services, and necessary ancillary and supportive social services to persons who, by reason of illness, or physical infirmity or age, are unable to properly care for themselves.

9. Ambulatory surgical facility — a freestanding facility which is not a part of a hospital, and which provides surgical treatment to patients not requiring hospitalization. Such term does not include the offices of private physicians or dentists, whether for individual or group practice.

10. Home Health Agency — a public or private organization, or subdivision thereof, which is primarily engaged in the provision of skilled nursing services and at least one additional therapeutic health service in the place of residence used as a patient's home.

11. Change of Bed Capacity — any increase or decrease in the licensed bed capacity of a health care facility.

12. Substantial Change in Service — a capital expenditure which results in the addition of a clinically related (i.e., diagnostic, curative, or rehabilitative) service not previously provided in the facility or the termination of such a service which had previously been provided in the facility.

13. Emergency — means an unforeseen occurrence, condition or mischance or perplexing contingency or complication of circumstances bringing with it destruction or injury of life or property (moveable and immovable) or the imminent threat of such destruction or injury or as the result of an order from any judicial body having jurisdiction therein to take any immediate action which requires construction, repair or acquisition of property or equipment, where the unforeseen occurrence, condition or mischance or perplexing contingency or complication of circumstances or court order will not permit a health care facility the time necessary for an application for full review under Section 1122.

14. Secretary — as used within the confines of this document, the term secretary refers to the secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services or his designee.

## REVIEWING AGENCIES

Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, Box 3776,  
Baton Rouge, LA 70821.

Division of Licensing and Certification, 333 Laurel Street,  
Room 610, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Any other agency deemed appropriate by Division of  
Policy, Planning and Evaluation.

## RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

The state agency responsible for carrying out Section 1122 provisions in Louisiana is the Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation DPPE, which is the state agency organized under P.L. 93-641, as amended by P.L. 96-79.

## FACILITIES INCLUDED

For the purpose of Section 1122, "health care facility"

includes hospitals, psychiatric hospitals, tuberculosis hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, kidney disease treatment centers including freestanding hemodialysis units, intermediate care facilities, and ambulatory surgical facilities, but does not include Christian Science sanatoriums operated or listed and certified by the First Church of Christ, Scientists, Boston, Massachusetts. Offices of Physicians are also specifically excluded from such reviews.

#### EXPENDITURES SUBJECT TO REVIEW

Capital expenditures covered are those which are not properly chargeable as expenses of operation and maintenance and which either (1) exceed \$600,000, (2) change the bed capacity of the facility or (3) substantially change the services of the facility.

Any questions regarding applicability of expenditures to review should be directed solely to DPPE for an official determination.

When making a determination of the total amount of any capital expenditure discussed herein, DPPE shall consider the cost of studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications and other activities essential to the acquisition, improvement, expansion or replacement of the plant and equipment with respect to which such expenditure is made.

Proposals for the acquisition of facilities or equipment by lease or comparable arrangement or through donation may be subject to review under Section 1122. DPPE should be contacted for a determination of applicability and assistance in computing amounts subject to Section 1122 review.

Section 1122 Certificate of Need approvals can neither be sold or transferred.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE

Any capital expenditure for which the obligation is incurred by or on behalf of a health care facility after December 31, 1972 is subject to review under these provisions.

#### EXCLUSIONS

1. A capital expenditure for which an obligation was incurred before January 1, 1973, is not subject to review requirements of Section 1122.

2. Section 1122 permits an exception to any health care facility providing services as of December 18, 1970, which as of that date was committed to a formal plan of expansion or replacement as approved by the facility's board of trustees. This can only occur if the facility spent \$100,000 or more during the three-year period ending December 17, 1970, for preliminary items on the plan including payments for studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications and site acquisition. In such a case, Section 1122 shall not apply to capital expenditures made in conformity with that plan. The exception shall, however, not apply to capital expenditures which are not included in the plan.

#### PRE-APPLICATION CONFERENCE

Anytime prior to submitting an application for review or a request for an election not to review individuals contemplating a Section 1122 expenditure may request a formal conference with DPPE to discuss the proposed project. A mutually acceptable meeting time and place will be established between the applicant and the agency. Pre-application conferences are encouraged.

#### ELECTION NOT TO REVIEW

The DPPE at its option, may elect not to review a proposed capital expenditure which has been determined subject to review under Section 1122 of the Social Security Act. The option of election not to review, as permitted by the applicable statute and regulation, is designed to exempt from review a few proposed capital expenditures for which a review is not necessary. In order to

be considered for a DPPE decision for an elect not to review, one of the following criteria must be met:

1. Renovations to meet Life Safety Codes.
2. Capital expenditures for emergency situations.

An application proposing a capital expenditure by or on behalf of a health care facility, which expenditure may qualify for election not to review according to the above criteria, must submit in writing to DPPE a request for an elect not to review. After examining the information contained in such request, and any additional information DPPE may request, a determination will be made by DPPE whether or not to elect not to review the proposed expenditure. If DPPE elects not to review the proposed project, all required notifications will contain written reasons for DPPE's determination of election not to review.

If DPPE determines that such proposal shall require full or expedited review, the applicant will be notified of such decision and will be supplied with appropriate application forms to provide information adequate for such review of the proposal.

#### EXPEDITED REVIEW

The DPPE at its option may elect to perform an expedited review of a proposed capital expenditure which has been determined subject to review under Section 1122 of the Social Security Act. In order to be considered for an expedited review, one of the following criteria must be met:

1. Replacement or modification of equipment with an expenditure in excess of \$600,000.
2. Sale or lease of an existing facility with no change in services or beds.
3. Renovation of an existing facility up to \$1,000,000 that does not result in a change in existing services or beds.
4. A change of 10 licensed beds or 10 percent over a two year period whichever is less.
5. A cost overrun on an initially approved project.
6. Addition of non-medical equipment or purchase of land.
7. Addition of a new service in an existing facility that will not exceed \$600,000.

In order to qualify for an expedited review the project must not be a discrete portion of a larger capital expenditure or phased project.

An applicant proposing a capital expenditure which expenditure may be eligible for an expedited review must submit in writing to DPPE a request for an expedited review. After examination by DPPE a determination will be made whether to proceed with the expedited review process. If DPPE determines the expedited or full review process is applicable, the applicant shall be so notified in writing and provided with the necessary forms to begin the process.

#### REVIEW PROCEDURES

##### A. Notification Procedures

1. Any person, agency, organization or health care facility which proposes to make a capital expenditure subject to review under the provisions of Section 1122 of the Social Security Act should submit in writing to DPPE a request for such review. At any time during the review procedure should the contact person for the project change, it is incumbent upon the applicant to notify DPPE of such a change.

2. DPPE will promptly send to the applicant the necessary form(s) in addition to a copy of these policies and guidelines.

3. Upon receipt of the completed form(s), DPPE may make the following determinations:

- a. The project will require full review, or
- b. The project will require an expedited review, or
- c. The project is subject to elect not to review.



4. In the case of a full review being required:

a. DPPE will forward to the proponent a questionnaire and a list of those documents which will be considered in the review;

b. The applicant shall submit the application in triplicate to Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation.

c. The staff of the DPPE shall review the application for completeness within 15 calendar days from date application is received by DPPE. If DPPE fails to mail within such period a written notice advising the applicant that the application is complete or additional information is needed, the application shall be deemed to be complete for the purpose of determining the period of review. Failure of the applicant to respond and provide the information requested within 90 days shall be considered withdrawal of the application; and

d. The applicant may not incur an obligation in less than 60 days from the date the application was considered complete by DPPE. Incumbering an obligation prior to this 60 day time frame may subject the applicant to a timely notice penalty should the project subsequently be approved. Should approval be granted at any time prior to the end of the review period, an obligation may be entered into at that point.

#### B. Review Procedures

1. When DPPE determines that an application is complete, DPPE shall notify the applicant in writing that the period for review has begun. The review period will not exceed 90 days from the date of receipt of the application if it is declared complete. Or, in the case of an incomplete application, the period for review will not exceed 90 days from the date of receipt of the additional information (if it is determined the additional information completes the application) unless the applicant agrees to a longer period of time.

2. If additional or new information is submitted to DPPE after the review process has begun. DPPE will again deem the application complete or incomplete. If the additional information is allowed, the timetable must be adjusted so that DPPE has 90 days for project review after the receipt of the additional or new information.

3. When the application is determined complete by the DPPE, the DPPE shall issue a press release of its receipt of the completed application through local newspapers, public information channels and professional organizations. Publications to be used in required press releases should include the state journal, the major urban newspaper in the affected service area, the local newspaper in the impacted service area of the projects as specified by the applicant.

4. In the case of applications being subject to a full review as opposed to an election not to review or expedited review, on the third Wednesday of each month at 10 a.m., the director of the Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation shall conduct a public hearing at Division headquarters. The purpose of this hearing will be to receive written (in duplicate) and oral comments on applications having been declared complete by the Division 15 days prior to the hearing date. Oral presentations shall be limited to an amount of time to be specified by the individual in charge of the hearing at the time of the hearing. The same amount of time will be allowed to those in favor and those opposed to the application. Comments shall be accepted on only those applications which have not previously been reviewed at public hearing. Notice of applications to be considered at each hearing shall be provided to interested parties and professional organizations requesting such notice at least five calendar days prior to each public hearing.

5. DPPE shall send copies of the application to the Division of Licensing and Certification (LIC) solely for review and comments.

6. Findings pursuant to Part B. 5 above shall be received

by DPPE within 60 days after start of the review period (or later if mutually agreed upon). In the case of an application which specifies that an obligation to make the capital expenditure will be incurred 60 days after start of the review period, DPPE shall coordinate with LIC to establish a date by which comments will be received by DPPE. Such date should allow sufficient time for LIC review, as well as a period for consideration of those comments. Applicants may request a meeting with DPPE to discuss their application at any time during the course of the review.

7. The DPPE, after having consulted with and taken into consideration written public comments and the comments of LIC shall provide written notification to the proponent that:

a. Such capital expenditure has been determined to be in conformity with the criteria, standards and plans; or

b. Such capital expenditure has been determined not to be in conformity with the criteria, standards and plans; or

c. The failure of the DPPE to provide any such notification within the time limitations set forth below, shall have an effect of a determination by the DPPE that the capital expenditure is in conformity. This step shall be completed not more than 90 days after the date DPPE has received the completed application unless the applicant has indicated an earlier date for obligation of expenditure. (However, a minimum of 60 days from the date DPPE considers the application complete must be allotted for completion of the review. At an applicant's request or concurrence, the review period may be for a longer period of time as agreed.)

Notification in accordance with federal interpretation is deemed to be given upon the date of mailing of such notification by DPPE.

8. Copies of the findings of the DPPE shall also be sent to the other reviewing agencies, interested parties and professional organizations who request such notification and shall be publicized through local newspapers and public information channels in the form of a press release.

#### C. Expedited Review Procedures

1. In the case of a decision by DPPE to conduct an expedited review, DPPE shall notify the applicant of its decision and forward to the applicant an application which shall be completed and returned to DPPE in duplicate.

2. When DPPE determines that the application is complete, DPPE shall notify the applicant in writing that the period for review has begun. The review period shall not exceed 30 days from date of receipt of the application if it is declared complete. Or, in the case of an incomplete application, the period for review will not exceed 30 days from the date of receipt of the additional information (if it is determined the additional information completes the application) unless the applicant agrees to a longer period of time.

3. If additional information is submitted after the review period has begun, DPPE will again confer and deem the application information complete or incomplete. If the additional information is allowed, the timetable must be adjusted so that DPPE has 30 days for project review after the receipt of the additional or new information.

4. When the application is determined complete by the DPPE, the DPPE shall issue a press release of its receipt of the completed application through local newspapers and public information channels. Publications to be used in required press releases should include the state journal, the major urban newspaper in the affected area, the local newspaper in the impacted service area of the projects as specified by the applicant.

5. The DPPE, after having reviewed the application, shall provide written notification to the proponent that:

a. Such capital expenditures have been determined to be in conformity with the criteria, standards and plans;

## RECONSIDERATION BY DPPE

b. Such capital expenditure has been determined not to be in conformity with the criteria, standards and plans; or

c. The failure of the DPPE to provide any such notification within the time limitations set forth below, shall have an effect of a determination by the DPPE that the capital expenditure is in conformity. This step shall be completed not more than 30 days after the date DPPE has received the completed application unless at an applicant's request or concurrence, the review period may be for a longer period of time as agreed.

Notification in accordance with federal interpretation is deemed to be given upon the date of mailing of such notification by DPPE.

6. Copies of the findings and recommendations of the DPPE shall also be publicized through local newspapers and public information channels and sent to interested parties and professional organizations who request such notification.

### D. Appeal Procedures

In the case of a negative finding, a fair hearing will be offered to the applicant to determine whether the proposed expenditure is consistent with the standards, criteria and plans specified in the applicable statutes. The correctness, completeness, adequacy or appropriateness of the standards, criteria, and plans against which the proposed expenditure was measured are not appealable, although the question of DPPE's adherence to its procedures as outlined in the Federal Regulations and State Health Plan and these policies may be considered. The applicant may introduce evidence and argument on the issue of whether exclusion of expenses related to the proposed expenditure would discourage the operation or expansion of the facility or organization or would otherwise be inconsistent with the effective organization or delivery of health services or the effective administration of Titles XVIII and XIX. Whether a proposed capital expenditure is subject to review under Section 1122 will not be a question in the fair hearing. The applicant is encouraged to retain counsel for this process.

1. Should the applicant wish to appeal, he must respond in writing to DPPE not more than 30 days after the date of notification of disapproval requesting a fair hearing on his case or he forfeits his right of appeal. The hearing must begin within 30 days after receipt of the request or later at the option of the applicant. If the applicant requests an extension beyond the required 30 day time frame, the hearing must be finalized not later than six months after the date of the original request for a fair hearing or the decision of DPPE will be considered upheld.

2. DPPE will notify the Hearing Officer who is responsible for conducting the appeal. He will select a hearing date and notify all parties.

3. DPPE will issue a news release of the hearing.

4. The applicant is required to notify the hearing officer in writing at least 10 days in advance of the hearing of those witnesses whom he wishes to be subpoenaed.

5. As soon as possible, but not later than 45 days after the conclusion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer will notify the applicant, DPPE and Regional Health Administrator ("DHHS") of the appeal decision. Notification in accordance with federal interpretation is deemed to be given upon the date of mailing of such notification by the hearing officer. The exclusive options available to the hearing officer are as follows:

a. Uphold the DPPE findings.

b. Overturn the DPPE findings.

c. Revise the DPPE findings.

d. Order further action by DPPE.

6. DPPE will issue a press release of the appeal decision.

7. Copies of the decision shall be sent to interested parties and professional organizations requesting such notification.

In any case in which the Secretary of the United States Department of Health and Human Services has determined pursuant to a finding by DPPE that a proposed capital expenditure is not in conformity with the standards, criteria or plans and that costs related to such capital expenditure shall not be included in determining Federal reimbursement, the health care facility shall be entitled upon its request to DPPE in the form of revised applications as required in original submission procedures, to a reconsideration by DPPE of such finding whenever:

a. There has been a substantial change (since the previous DPPE finding) in existing or proposed health facilities or services, of the type proposed, in the area served; or

b. There has been a substantial change (since the previous DPPE finding) in the need for health facilities or services, of the type proposed, in the area served, as reflected in the plans, criteria or standards (see Criteria for Section 1122 Reviews); or

c. At least three years have elapsed from the date of the most recent negative finding of DPPE.

If DPPE finds, after such reconsiderations, that the facilities or services provided by the capital expenditure involved are in conformity with the applicable standards, criteria, or plans, and so notifies the Secretary of DHHS, the Secretary will include, in determining future payments under Titles XVIII and XIX, expenses related to such capital expenditure. However, such expenses will be included only for payments following the date of notification to the Secretary of DHHS by DPPE of its reconsideration.

### EVIDENCE OF OBLIGATION: TERMINATION OF APPROVAL

Evidence of obligation to make the capital expenditure must be received by DPPE within one year after approval of the project, or the approval will expire. As provided in the regulation, the one year approval period may be extended for up to six months at the discretion of DPPE upon showing one of the following conditions exist:

a. Delays caused by review bodies beyond control of the applicant. This includes delays caused in the process of obtaining financing due to excessive interest rates substantially greater than those projected in the application.

b. An extension may be granted at the discretion of the designated planning agency when refusal of an extension would be detrimental to the best interest of the community involved.

As provided in the regulations, an obligation to make a capital expenditure shall be incurred not more than one year following the date of approval, unless a six month extension has been granted. An obligation shall be deemed to have been incurred by or on behalf of health care facility.

a. When an enforceable contract is entered into by such facility or organization or by a person proposing such capital expenditure on behalf of such facility or organization for the construction, acquisition, lease or financing of a capital asset; or

b. Upon formal internal commitment of funds by such facility or organization for a force account expenditure which constitutes a capital expenditure; or

c. In the case of donated property as described in 45 CFR 100.103(b), the date on which the gift is completed in accordance with applicable Louisiana law.

It is the sole responsibility of the proponent to keep DPPE informed of its progress during the one year approval period and to submit documentary evidence as proof that at least one of the above conditions have been fulfilled. The following conditions have been established regarding the acceptance of certain documents as proof of an obligation.

a. In the case of a construction contract, such document

must be duly executed by the appropriate parties and filed with DPPE.

b. In the case of a purchase or lease arrangement, a purchase or lease agreement signed by lessor and lessee must be submitted.

c. In the case of a financial commitment, such commitment must be a documented binding commitment from a lending institution for permanent or interim financing accompanied by an acceptance signature from the proponent. (Loan guarantees do not fulfill the requirements set forth above).

d. In the case of bonds, an obligation is deemed to have been incurred whenever the bonds have received final approval for sale or issuance by either an election or board action of an official public body acting on behalf of a health care facility.

#### EFFECT OF NEGATIVE RECOMMENDATION

If DPPE recommends that the capital expenditure not be made, the Secretary of DHHS shall, in determining the Federal payments to be made under Titles XVIII, and XIX of the Social Security Act to the health care facility, ordinarily exclude certain expenses related to such capital expenditure. However, if the Secretary, after submitting the matters involved to the National Advisory Council on Health Planning and Development and after taking into consideration the recommendations of DPPE and other reviewing agencies, determines that an exclusion of costs for a capital expenditure would discourage the operation or expansion of a health care facility (or any facility of such an organization) which was demonstrated capability to provide comprehensive health care services efficiently, effectively, and economically or would otherwise be inconsistent with the effective organization and delivery of health services or the effective administration of Titles XVIII, and XIX, he shall include such expenses in Federal payments under such titles.

#### EFFECT OF FAILURE TO GIVE TIMELY NOTICE OF PROPOSED EXPENDITURE

When DPPE has good cause to believe that an obligation for a capital expenditure has been incurred by or on behalf of a health care facility and that timely notice of at least 60 days was not provided, DPPE shall send written notification to such health care facility, the Secretary and all other agencies deemed appropriate by DPPE of a proposed finding that an obligation for a capital expenditure subject to review has been incurred and that timely notice was not provided. Procedures for processing such a finding shall be according to Section 100.108 (a) of the regulations, and the policy on lack of timely notice as published in the *Federal Register* on January 26, 1977, Vol. 42, No. 17, and on December 16, 1981, Vol. 46, No. 241.

#### CRITERIA FOR SECTION 1122 REVIEWS

In making recommendations concerning projects reviewed under Section 1122 of the Social Security Act, the review body or agency at each level designated in the review process shall consider, but not be limited to, the following criteria, as required under P.L. 93-641 and 96-79 and implementing Rules and Regulations:

I. The relationship of the health services being reviewed to the applicable Health Systems Plan, Annual Implementation Plan and the State Health Plan.

II. The relationship of services reviewed to the long range development plan (if any) of the person providing or proposing such services.

III. The need that the population served or to be served by such services has for such services.

For computing the need for Hospital projects, computations will be based on the population projections for the anticipated year of opening of the facility which in no case will

exceed the ending year of the State Health Plan in effect at the time of review. For computing long term care projects, computations will be based on population projections for the anticipated year of the opening of the facility which in no case will exceed the ending year of the State Health Plan in effect at the time of review, nor be computed for a period of time to exceed two years from the date the application was received. The Division does not recognize the concept of phasing in beds and all beds shall be considered available as of the projected opening date.

In considering the need for a proposed project, DPPE will review, but not be limited to, the following information:

A. The availability of similar facilities, services and institutional beds within the service area, including but not limited to:

1. Number of similar facilities, services and beds in the service area.

2. Ratio of institutional beds to the population, as a whole and where appropriate, to age groups.

3. Comparison of service area bed ratio with other health service areas in the state and other relevant areas.

4. Distribution of institutional beds, services, and facilities within the area.

B. Accessibility of the target population of the proposed project to existing and proposed facilities and services. (This would include physical and financial accessibility.)

C. Measures of utilization of existing facilities and services:

1. Admission rates per 1,000 persons.

2. Occupancy rate: Average Daily Census

3. Length of stay (average):  $\frac{\text{Number of beds}}{\text{Annual Admission}} \times 365$

4. Other appropriate utilization material.

D. Projections of utilization.

E. A delineation of the proposed service area.

F. Various projections of bed need.

G. The projected population growth or lack of growth of the proposed service area.

IV. The availability of alternative, less costly, or more effective methods of providing such services.

A. Potential availability of such services.

V. The immediate and long term financial feasibility of the proposal.

VI. The relationship of the services proposed to be provided to the existing health care system of the area in which such services are proposed to be provided.

The DPPE will review, but not be limited to, the following information:

A. Documentation of coordination and/or linkage agreements between the applicant and existing or planned health care institutions and/or providers within the service area.

VII. The availability of resources (including health manpower, management personnel, and funds for capital and operating needs) for the provision of the services proposed to be provided and the availability of alternative uses of such resources for the provision of other health services.

The DPPE will review, but not be limited to, the following information regarding health care staffing:

A. Physicians

a. Availability in the service area

b. Projected availability in the service area

B. Nursing Personnel

a. Availability in the service area

b. Projected availability in the service area

c. Adequacy of proposed staffing according to required standards

C. Management and Other Personnel

- a. Availability in the service area
- b. Projected availability for the proposal

VIII. The relationship, including the organizational relationship, of the health services proposed to be provided to ancillary or support services.

IX. The special needs and circumstances of those entities which provide a substantial portion of their services or resources, or both, to individuals not residing in the health service areas in which the entities are located or in adjacent health service areas. Such entities may include medical and other health professional schools, multi-disciplinary clinics, and specialty centers.

X. The special needs and circumstances of health maintenance organizations for which assistance may be provided under Title XIII of the Act.

XI. The special needs and circumstances of biomedical and behavioral research projects which are designed to meet a national need and for which local conditions offer special advantage.

XII. In the case of a construction project —

A. The cost and methods of the proposed construction, including the costs and methods of energy provision; and

B. The probable impact of the construction project reviewed on the cost of providing health services by the person proposing such construction project.

XIII. In the case of a new facility the applicant must specify the specific site where the facility will be located in addition to a legal property description of the site and must present evidence of ownership or option to acquire such site.

XIV. The applicant shall provide disclosure of those natural persons who are registered agents, directors, officers and principal shareholders of the corporation proposing the capital expenditure.

XV. The extent of cooperation with other facilities in the area; and

XVI. Support of the project by the local community, including health related agencies and professional organizations.

The criteria adopted for reviews in accordance with the above may vary according to the purpose for which a particular review is being conducted or the type of health service reviewed.

In the review of proposed expenditures for new facilities or services, the following general criteria also will be considered:

1. Need
2. Accessibility
3. Availability
4. Financial Feasibility
5. Cost

#### DATA SOURCES USED IN REVIEWS

Data sources to be used in considerations of full reviews, expedited reviews and election not to reviews shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

A. Information compiled by the DPPE Bureau of Research and Information as published on a quarterly basis.

B. The middle population projections recognized by the State Planning Office as official projections to be used by (DPPE) in the conduct of its reviews.

---

PLEASE BE ADVISED: An approval issued in accordance with Section 1122 of the Social Security Act in no way relieves an applicant of responsibility for fulfilling other state and/or federal requirements.

Notification of intent to make a capital expenditure subject to Section 1122 review should be addressed to DPPE at the

address set forth below. Also, questions in regard to applicability of Section 1122 to proposed expenditures or in regard to statewide review policies and procedures should be directed to DPPE.

For assistance in preparing Section 1122 applications contact the Division of Policy, Planning and Evaluation, 333 Laurel Street, Suite 530, Baton Rouge, LA 70801, (Phone: 504/342-2001).

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

#### RULE

##### Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs Environmental Control Commission

Under the authority of the Environmental Affairs Act, L.R.S. 30:1066 (1) and (7), and 1084 B (1), and in accordance with the provisions in L.R.S. 49:950 et seq., the Louisiana Environmental Control Commission adopted revisions to the Louisiana State Implementation Plan at the October 27, 1983 hearing. Preceding final adoption of the revisions by the Commission, the revisions were forwarded and found acceptable by the Joint Committee of Natural Resources.

This action allows Conoco, Incorporated, Lake Charles Refinery, and Conoco Chemicals, Company adequate time to implement Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) bubbles under a schedule. Under justifiable circumstances, certain point sources were unable to meet the December 31, 1982 deadline to be in compliance with the Clean Air Act. Therefore, excess reductions achieved at other sources within the same facilities were used to offset the emission levels of the subject point sources. The "bubble" will be in effect until the schedule which brings the total facility into compliance is met. There will be no adverse effects on the ambient air quality.

Persons requesting copies and/or further information concerning the revisions listed above may contact Ms. Terrie deLorimier, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066, or phone (504) 342-9028.

B. Jim Porter  
Assistant Secretary

#### RULE

##### Department of the Treasury Board of Trustees of the State Employees Group Benefits Program

Pursuant to the authority granted by R.S. 42:871(c) and R.S. 42:874, the Board of Trustees of the State Employees Group Benefits Program has adopted a rule to delete coverage for sponsored dependent parents, effective July 1, 1984, except as it applies to those presently covered or those who become eligible and apply for coverage prior to June 1, 1984.

James D. McElveen  
Executive Director

**RULE**  
**Department of the Treasury**  
**Board of Trustees of the**  
**State Employees Group Benefits Program**

Pursuant to the authority granted by R.S. 42:871 (c) and R.S. 42:874, the Board of Trustees of the State Employees Group Benefits Program has amended its Rules to require that school boards enrolling in the State Employees Group Benefits Program must submit a completed adoption instrument at least 120 days prior to the proposed effective date of coverage.

James D. McElveen  
Executive Director

# Notices of Intent

**NOTICE OF INTENT**  
**Department of Agriculture**  
**Commissioner of Agriculture and**  
**Advisory Commission on Pesticides**

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the authority contained in R.S. 3:3203, the Commissioner of Agriculture, subject to the recommendations of the Advisory Commission on Pesticides, will repeal existing Rules 10.0 through 14.0 of the Rules and Regulations for the Implementation of R.S. 3:3201-3280 and will enact comprehensive new regulations governing the application and constructive re-use of certain pesticides in general use throughout the State of Louisiana and comprehensive new regulations for permitting of pesticide applicators and/or pesticide dealers as hazardous pesticide waste disposal facilities.

Subject areas to be encompassed in regulations to be enacted by the Commissioner of Agriculture include but are not necessarily limited to the following: certification of certain categories of agricultural consultants; fees; licensing of owner-operators, pesticide dealers, and agricultural consultants; regulations governing application of pesticides: general requirements, restrictions on application of certain pesticides in specified locations, waiver of certain restrictions on application of specified pesticides, and aerial application of pesticides to rights-of-way for control of woody vegetation; closed containment systems; procedures for management of residues and/or rinsates of certain pesticides; schedule for implementation of surface impoundment requirements; standards for application equipment; standards for base operations; procedures for washing of application equipment; containers of pesticides; bulk storage of pesticides; monitoring procedures; other access requirements; record-keeping requirements; penalties for violations; and comprehensive new regulations governing procedures for permitting of pesticide applicators and/or dealers as hazardous pesticide waste disposal facilities.

In accordance with regular procedures of the Commis-

sioner of Agriculture, hearings will be conducted throughout the state prior to final action concerning the enactment of these proposed regulations. Interested persons may secure a list of scheduled hearing dates and places by contacting Harry Calhoun, Director of Pesticides and Environmental Programs, Box 44153, Baton Rouge, LA 70804; 9151 Interline Boulevard, Baton Rouge 70806; or by calling him at 504/925-3763.

The Advisory Commission on Pesticides will consider these proposed regulations at a public hearing following the conclusion of other items of business, or approximately 2 p.m., on November 30, 1983, at the State Capitol, Baton Rouge, and may conduct additional public hearings thereafter prior to final action on these proposed regulations. Information concerning additional public hearings may be secured at the above locations.

Copies of proposed regulations may be secured from Harry Calhoun at any of the above locations. He will also accept comments from any interested person concerning the proposed regulations and present such comments for the consideration of the Advisory Commission on Pesticides and the Commissioner of Agriculture.

At any public hearing conducted by the Advisory Commission on Pesticides and/or the Commissioner of Agriculture, any interested person may present data, argument, and views, orally or in writing, which data, argument, and views will be given due consideration prior to final action on the proposed regulations by the Commissioner of Agriculture and/or the Advisory Commission on Pesticides.

Bob Odom  
Commissioner

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement**  
**For Administrative Rules**  
**Rule Title: Pesticide Wastes**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
It is estimated that no additional cost saving nor additional expenditure requirements will be incurred due to implementation of this regulation.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
It is estimated that implementation of this regulation will not affect revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)  
The regulation provides for the installation of several pieces of equipment and some minor construction around the landing sites of aerial applicators. It is estimated that compliance costs for the 192 applicators operating with three planes or less will be \$10,000 each. It is estimated that the compliance costs that will be incurred by the twenty operators with four or more planes will be \$50,000 each.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)  
Implementation of this regulation will not affect competition as all applicators are governed by the regulation. There may be an effect on employment if the additional costs involved force some operators to shut down, however that impact cannot be determined at this time.

John Compton  
Deputy Commissioner

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**  
**Department of State Civil Service**  
**Civil Service Commission**

The State Civil Service Commission will hold a public hearing on December 6, 1983 for the purpose of considering the amendment of Civil Service Rules 1.11, 1.32, and 5.3 and the adoption of Civil Service Rules 1.14.1(a), 5.8 and 13.10(m) as proposed below.

The hearing will begin at 9 a.m. and will be held at the Republic Tower Building, 5700 Florida Boulevard, Twelfth Floor Hearing Room, Baton Rouge, LA.

The proposal to be considered at the public hearing is as follows:

**CHAPTER 1**

1.11 'Demotion' means a change of a permanent or probationary employee from a position of one class to a position in another class for which a lower minimum rate of pay is prescribed other than when that change is the result of a downward reallocation.

1.14.1 . . .

(a) 'Downward Reallocation' means a change by the Director in the allocation of a position from one class to another class for which a lower minimum rate of pay is prescribed. If a position which is reallocated downward is incumbered, the personnel action affecting the incumbent shall also be called a 'downward reallocation.'

1.32 'Reallocation' means a change by the Director in the allocation of a position from one class to another class based upon an analysis of the duties of the position. If a position which is reallocated is incumbered, the personnel action affecting the incumbent shall also be called a 'reallocation.'

**CHAPTER 5**

5.3 Review of Allocations.

(a) An employee affected by the allocation or reallocation of a position other than as a result of a downward reallocation shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity to have his case reviewed by the Director or by a representative whom the Director designates. The Director's decision shall be final in these matters unless there is an allegation that his ruling has been discriminatory.

(b) Repealed, effective January 1, 1975.

5.8 Reallocation Downward.

(a) When the Director reallocates a position downward he shall attach to the position description upon which the downward reallocation is based a letter giving reasons for the action. Where the change in the allocation is the result of a change in duties, the duties that have been changed that effect the allocation shall be so specified. The Director shall set an effective date of the reallocation no earlier than 40 calendar days from the date that the decision to reallocate is made by the Director.

(b) The position description with the attached reasons shall be delivered to the proper appointing authority.

(c) On or before the effective date of the reallocation the appointing authority shall deliver to the employee whose position is the subject of the downward reallocation those documents received from the Director. Where the reasons specified by the Director were the result of action by the appointing authority, the appointing authority shall attach to that which is delivered to the effected employee written reasons for its action.

(d) In the letters provided to the employee pursuant to this Rule there shall be included the following provisions: "You may appeal this action to the Civil Service Commission within 30 days. The appeal must conform to the requirements of Chapter 5 and Chapter 13 of the Civil Service Rules."

(e) An employee aggrieved by a downward reallocation of his position may appeal directly to the State Civil Service Com-

mission within 30 calendar days from his receipt of the documents specified above if he alleges in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 13 that the action by the Director and/or the appointing authority is discriminatory, that the reasons given do not support the action taken, and/or that the action taken is for disciplinary reasons.

(f) An allegation that the action taken is for disciplinary reasons must contain at least a description of the events and actions that support the employee's belief that the action taken is for disciplinary reasons. That description must be sufficient to place the Director and/or the appointing authority on notice of the allegations against them such that the Director and/or the appointing authority can prepare a defense.

(g) The burden of proof shall be upon the employee regarding the allegations of discrimination, upon the employee regarding the allegation that the action taken is for disciplinary reasons, and the burden of proof shall be upon the Director and/or the appointing authority when it is alleged that the reasons given do not support the action taken. The action of the Director and/or the appointing authority shall be affirmed unless such action is found to be arbitrary and capricious, to constitute discrimination, or to have been taken for disciplinary reasons when it is found that the action taken was not done in accordance with the requirements of Chapter 12.

**CHAPTER 13**

13.10 Appeals to the Commission.

An appeal may be made to this Commission by

- (a) . . .
- (b) . . .
- (c) . . .
- (d) . . .
- (f) . . .
- (g) . . .
- (h) . . .
- (i) . . .
- (j) . . .
- (k) . . .
- (l) . . .

(m) Any person in the classified service who alleges that the reasons given for a downward reallocation do not support the action taken.

**EXPLANATION**

There is presently no specific procedure for notifying employees effected by a downward reallocation of the reasons for that downward reallocation and it appears to us that the giving of such reasons constitutes sound personnel management and is likely mandated by constitutional due process standards. For these reasons the Rules listed above have been formulated in order to provide a procedure for notifying employees of the reasons for a downward reallocation, for giving them a right of appeal to the State Civil Service Commission, and for setting standards of review of those reasons by the State Civil Service Commission.

Persons interested in making comments relative to these proposals may do so at the public hearing or in writing to the following address: Director, Department of State Civil Service, Box 44111, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Herbert L. Sumrall  
Director

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of State Civil Service  
Civil Service Commission**

The State Civil Service Commission will hold a public hearing on December 6, 1983 for the purpose of considering the amendments of Civil Service Rules 7.9 and 5.7.

The hearing will begin at 9 a.m. and will be held at the Republic Tower Building, 5700 Florida Boulevard, 12th Floor Commission Room, Baton Rouge, LA.

The proposal to be considered at the public hearing is as follows:

**Chapter 7**

7.9 (a) 2. (c) Whenever minimum qualification requirements are changed and incumbents of positions in affected classes do not meet the new requirements, such incumbents will be allowed to remain in the class of position occupied and to continue gaining qualifying experience for the higher levels that are in the normal career progression for that class.

(d) When incumbents referred to in (c) above acquire *the difference* (in experience) *between* the minimum qualification requirements of their present class and those of higher levels that are in the normal career progression for that class, they will then have met the qualification requirements for the higher level(s). However, incumbents herein referred to may not be eligible for advancement to the higher levels if there are legitimate barriers, such as licensure, certification, accreditation, restrictive funding requirements, etc., which exceed the credentials possessed by the incumbent.

Chapter 5 - Current Rule 5.7 would become 5.7 (a) and the proposal to be considered is as follows:

Current Rule 5.7 will become 5.7 (a).

5.7 (b) Whenever class titles are changed and incumbents of positions in affected classes do not meet the new requirements, such incumbents will be allowed to remain in the position occupied and to continue gaining qualifying experience for the higher levels that are in the normal career progression for that class.

(c) When incumbents referred to in (b) above acquire *the difference* (in experience) *between* the minimum qualification requirements of their present class and those of higher levels that are in the normal career progression for that class, they will then have met the qualification requirements for the higher level(s). However, incumbents herein referred to may not be *eligible* for advancement to the higher levels if there are legitimate barriers, such as licensure, certification, accreditation, restrictive funding requirements, etc., which exceed the credentials possessed by the incumbents.

**EXPLANATION**

These changes would enable incumbents to continue their career progressions when qualification requirements change or class titles change and the incumbents do not meet the new qualifications. This would enable the Department to upgrade qualifications to improve services without having to consider the adverse effect on the current workforce.

Persons interested in making comments relative to these proposals may do so at the public hearing or in writing to the following address: Director, Department of State Civil Service, Box 44111, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Herbert L. Sumrall  
Director

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of State Civil Service  
Civil Service Commission**

The State Civil Service Commission will hold a public hearing on December 6, 1983 for the purpose of considering the amendments of Civil Service Rules 8.18(a) and 17.24(d) as proposed below.

The hearing will begin at 9 a.m. and will be held in the twelfth floor hearing room of the Republic Tower Building, 5700 Florida Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA.

The proposals to be considered at the public hearing are as follows:

**PROPOSAL A**

**Chapter 8**

8.18 Noncompetitive Reemployment Based on Prior State Service.

(a) Subject to the provisions of Subsection (d), (e), and (f) hereof and with the approval of the Director, a former permanent employee who has been separated from the classified service may, within five years from separation, be noncompetitively reemployed in any position for which he is qualified and which has the same or lower entrance salary as the current minimum for the class in which he had permanent status; however, if the classification of a position in which an employee or former employee held permanent status undergoes a title change or change in minimum qualification requirements, he shall not lose his reemployment eligibility for such position or lower positions in the same class series if such exists, except where the qualification lacking is one required by law.

- (b) . . .
- (c) . . .
- (d) . . .
- (e) . . .
- (f) . . .

**EXPLANATION**

Currently, if a permanent State employee resigns his position, and subsequently the position is title changed and/or new minimum qualification requirements are established for his former position, he cannot be reemployed in such position unless he meets the new minimum qualifications. This prohibition holds true even in cases where the former employee had previously performed satisfactorily in the position. This Rule change would allow the agency to reemploy someone who has performed a job in the past, but does not possess the new minimum qualifications. This Rule change does not authorize a waiver of qualifications required of an employee by law.

**PROPOSAL B**

**Chapter 17**

17.24 Department Preferred Reemployment Lists

- (a) . . .
- (b) . . .
- (c) . . .

(d) Subject to the provisions of Subsection (h) of this Rule, an employee may continue his eligibility on a department preferred reemployment list for succeeding periods of one year by making application to the Director in writing one month or less prior to the expiration of one year from the last date on which his name was entered or reentered on such list. Further, if a permanent employee was laid off or officially moved as a result of a layoff action from a position which was later title changed and/or for which new qualification requirements have been established, such employee shall be entitled, on proper request, to have his name placed on the preferred reemployment list for the newly



titled class and lower classes in the same class series if such exists, and be qualified for such positions, except where a lacking qualification is required by law or under a recognized accreditation program. The Director shall remove the name of an employee who does not make application to remain upon a department preferred reemployment lists as prescribed by Subsection (e) of this Rule.

#### EXPLANATION

Currently, the Rules do not provide that a permanent employee affected by an official layoff action may remain on the department preferred reemployment list for the position he held status in at the time of the layoff if the position undergoes a title change or change in qualification requirements. This Rule change would protect the rights of an employee to be appointed to a position he had previously performed satisfactorily and for which he had previously held department preferred reemployment rights. The Rule does not authorize a waiver of qualifications required by law or under a recognized accreditation program.

Persons interested in making comments relative to these proposals may do so at the public hearing or in writing to the following address: Director, Department of State Civil Service, Box 44111, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Herbert L. Sumrall  
Director

#### NOTICE OF INTENT

##### Department of State Civil Service Civil Service Commission

The State Civil Service Commission will hold a public hearing on December 6, 1983 for the purpose of considering the adoption of Civil Service Rule 6.4(g) as proposed below.

The hearing will begin at 9 a.m. and will be held at the Republic Tower Building, 5700 Florida Boulevard, Twelfth Floor Hearing Room, Baton Rouge, LA.

The proposal to be considered at the public hearing is as follows:

##### Chapter 6

Adoption of Rule 6.4(g) as follows:

##### 6.4 Rate of Pay Upon Employment.

Employment in any position of a class shall be at the minimum rate for the class, except that

- (a) . . .
- (b) . . .
- (c) . . .
- (d) . . .
- (e) . . .
- (f) . . .

(g) The rate of pay of an employee who has had experience in other than the classified State Service above the minimum required for the position may, with the approval of the Director, be set above the minimum provided:

1. The appointing authority has determined that experience above the minimum required for the class of position would be essential to the efficient functioning of the agency.

2. The person to be employed has had such desired experience.

3. The experience is creditable under this Rule. For experience to be creditable, it must have been full-time, paid, above the minimum required, gained in other than the State Classified Service within the ten years immediately preceding the appoint-

ment, and in the same occupational field as the position to be occupied.

4. The creditable experience has been verified to the appointing authority in writing by the former employer(s).

5. The proposed rate of pay was calculated on the basis of no more than one additional step per each two years of creditable experience using as a starting point either the minimum rate for the class, an existing special entrance rate for the position, or the rate on reemployment as determined under Rule 6.4(c).

6. In those cases where there is an existing special entrance rate or a reemployment rate established under Rule 6.4(c), the proposed rate of pay does not exceed the larger of the fifth step in the range for the position or three steps above the authorized special entrance rate or the rate on reemployment.

7. The appointing authority has, in filling the position, given due consideration to the current workforce.

8. The information specified in items 1 through 7 of this Rule has been certified in writing and appropriate written explanations and copies of supporting documents provided to the Director by the appointing authority.

This Rule may be applied in establishing true rates of pay for employees being brought into the Classified State Service under Rule 8.27. Under no circumstances may any experience be used more than once in establishing pay eligibility. When a higher entry rate is authorized for a new appointment, an appointing authority may, provided that the prior written approval of the Director has been obtained, adjust the rates of current employees who are similarly situated in accordance with the provisions of this Rule. Upon submission of sufficient justification, the Commission may grant exceptions to the provisions of this part. Each application of this Rule shall be reported to the Commission at its next regular meeting. Authority for the use of this Rule may be withdrawn by the Director from any appointing authority.

#### EXPLANATION

Current Civil Service Rules permit employment of persons in the Classified State Service only at the minimum rate except in cases where there is a shortage of qualified applicants. The inability to negotiate entry rates of pay above the minimum for persons with substantial prior experience limits the ability of the State to employ such persons. Lack of experienced personnel can, in many situations, impair operations, create inefficiency, and lower productivity. The proposed Rule addresses those problems by permitting pay on entry to be established above the minimum rate when there is a need to do so.

Herbert L. Sumrall  
Director

#### NOTICE OF INTENT

##### Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education intends to adopt the following as policy:

1. The Board approved an amendment to Bulletin 746 to allow an additional two year extension for speech, language and hearing specialists Type C certificates.

2. The Board approved an amendment to Bulletin 741, page 41 regarding credit for National Guard Services as recommended by the State Department of Education. (See Emergency Rule of this issue for context of amendment.)

3. The Board amended Board policy 4.03.40h(1) to read as follows:

“The Board granted the authority to vocational technical



school directors when recommending termination of an employee's service to suspend the employee without pay for up to 90 days pending the Board's action on the recommendation for termination."

4. The Board approved an amendment to Bulletin 746 and approved the certification standards for teachers of the Talented as recommended by the State Department of Education as follows:

**TALENTED**

Grades 1-12 (Must meet requirements 1 or 2 and 3 and 4.)

1. Liberal Arts Undergraduate Degree and Master's Degree in Arts Area or Arts Education Degree plus Master's Degree in Arts Area

or

2. Evidence of achievement in the arts demonstrated as follows:

a. There must be substantive evidence of artistic and/or creative accomplishment over an extended period of time.

3. There must be a written request from the employing authority indicating that the person will be employed as a Talent teacher once the certification is granted.

4. A minimum of one year successful experience working with students in the specific arts area and at the level for which employment is being sought.

NOTE: (a) Certification is granted only in the specific arts area requested and is valid only for the period and place of employment.

(b) Persons holding talent certification are not eligible for tenure.

(c) Written requests and documentation of evidence and experience must be submitted to the Louisiana Department of Education, Office of Teacher Certification.

5. The Board approved the addition of Computer Literacy and Technology Education to the textbook adoption cycle for 1983-84. (Also adopted as an Emergency Rule)

6. The Board approved an Annual Leave policy for vocational technical school directors and superintendents of BESE's special schools as follows:

Annual leave shall be applied for in advance by an employee and may be taken only when approved by the appointing authority. The administrative procedure for the implementation of this policy shall be as follows:

1. The vocational technical school director shall have the authority to approve or disapprove annual leave requests of all employees other than the director.

The special school superintendent shall have the authority to approve or disapprove annual leave requests for all employees of BESE's special schools other than the superintendent.

2. When the vocational technical school director is away from his/her work station for more than five consecutive school calendar days, his/her leave shall be approved in advance by the Director of Trade and Industrial Education, State Department of Education. In case of leave of five days or less, the director shall initiate and approve his/her own leave.

When the special school superintendent is away from his/her work station for more than five consecutive school calendar days, his/her leave shall be approved in advanced by the Assistant Superintendent of Special Education, State Department of Education. In case of leave of five days or less, the superintendent shall initiate and approve his/her own leave.

3. When the vocational technical school director is absent from school for more than two consecutive days, he/she shall notify the Director of Trade and Industrial Education, State Department of Education.

When the special school superintendent is absent from

school for more than two consecutive days, he/she shall notify the Assistant Superintendent of Special Education, State Department of Education.

Interested persons may comment on the proposed policy change and/or additions, in writing, until 4:30 p.m., January 9, 1984 at the following address: State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, Box 44064, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

James V. Soileau  
Executive Director

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Type C Certificates**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

The adoption of this policy will not be of any savings to this agency.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

We estimate revenue collections from certification fees to be about \$100 from individuals requesting extensions.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Adoption of this policy allows those person two additional years to earn a master's degree or the equivalent in speech therapy and meet the requirements for a Type B certificate.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

If this action was not taken by BESE, Type C certificates for Speech, Language and Hearing Specialists would become invalid and individuals holding this certificate would be ineligible for employment. Since this is already a shortage area, failure to adopt this policy would aggravate the shortage in speech therapy.

George B. Benton, Jr.  
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Definition of member of the armed forces and H.S. credit**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

This change would cost about \$1,300 for printing and postage to notify the schools and school systems which will be absorbed in the operating budget of the agency.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There would be no effect on revenue.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

There would be no effect on costs and benefits.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There would be no effect.

George B. Benton, Jr.  
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Policy on termination of employee**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
There are no implementation costs or savings to state or local agencies.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
There is no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)  
The vo tech school directors would be given a more direct authority over personnel matters and the employees would have a clearer understanding of his/her status.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)  
There would be no effect on competition and employment.

James Soileau  
Executive Director

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Talented Certification**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
The cost for printing to change one page of Bulletin 746 and postage to disseminate the change is estimated to be \$50 in 1983-84 which will be absorbed in the operating budget of the agency.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
There is no estimated effect on revenue collections as a result of this Rule change.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)  
There are approximately 52 teachers of the talented in the 13 parishes with Talented Programs. Approximately one-half of these teachers are currently under pending certification. Certification as a teacher of the talented is an ancillary certificate which must be renewed each year. Therefore, it is possible, though unlikely, that some of the teachers currently employed in Talent Programs may be replaced in the next school year by other personnel qualifying under the new certification criteria.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)  
The overall number of teaching positions for Talent Programs will not be affected by this Rule change; however, employment of individual teachers who do not qualify under the new certification criteria could be affected.

George B. Benton, Jr.  
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Textbook Adoption**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
The cost to the Department of Education for formulation of an addition adoption committee is estimated to be \$2,000 in 1983-84 which will be absorbed in the operating budget of the agency. Implementation at the local level would not occur until 1984-85. Local adoption is required within 12 months of state adoption; however, because local systems and nonpublic schools are not required to purchase textbooks when adopted, the actual cost cannot be determined. If it is assumed that each of the approximately 1,700 schools eligible for state assistance establishes one class of 30 pupils with a cost of \$10 per textbook, the total cost could reach \$510,000. This cost would be borne by the local school systems and nonpublic schools. The participating students may be required to bear some of the cost. Some systems may choose to incorporate computer literacy and technology education textbooks into their existing budgets by adjusting the priority of textbook purchases.  
State aid for textbook purchases is not distributed according to the academic disciplines adopted each year. The textbook allotment is determined by the appropriation made for that purpose and distributed by the Dept. of Education on a per pupil basis according to parish registration figures for grades K-12 (public and nonpublic). An increased request by the Department for the textbook allotment is anticipated for 1984-85; however, this request will not be directly related to the addition of computer literacy and technology education.

- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
There is no estimated effect on revenue collections as a result of this Rule change.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)  
It is possible that if the local school systems and nonpublic schools do not absorb the increased costs associated with textbooks for any computer literacy and technology classes established in 1984-85, the students participating in these classes may ultimately bear at least a portion of that cost, the extent of which cannot be accurately determined.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)  
The incorporation of computer literacy and technology education at the local level will enable those school children to become more competitive and employable.

George B. Benton, Jr.  
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules  
Rule Title: Annual Leave**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
There are no costs or savings to any state or local agency.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There is no effect on revenue collection.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Vo tech school directors and special school superintendents would have more direction as to the policies and procedures relating to their use of annual leave.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There are no effects on competition and employment.

James Soileau  
Executive Director

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Office of the Governor  
Division of Administration  
Office of Telecommunications Management**

Notice is hereby given that the Office of Telecommunications Management intends to amend the Administrative Rules and Regulations of the Office of Telecommunications Management.

The proposed revisions will implement the changes mandated by Acts 152 and 153 of the 1982 Regular Session of the Legislature with respect to competitive procurement of telecommunications equipment, systems and related services; collection procedures and billing and payment procedures.

Interested persons may request copies of the proposed Rules and direct inquiries to Ms. Danya Lefebvre, Informational Services Manager, Office of Telecommunications Management, Box 44280, Baton Rouge, LA 70804, (504) 925-7075. Written comments on the proposed amendments will be received through December 31, 1983, at the above address.

Richard C. McDonald  
Assistant Director of Support Services

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules  
Rule Title: Rules and Regulations of the  
Office of Telecommunications Management**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

No implementation costs are anticipated.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

Revenue collections are not expected to be affected.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

The State is moving from a sole source environment to one centered on a competitive marketplace. It is estimated that by doing this the State will position itself for the opportunity to obtain the best cost performance for telecommunication products and services. This will work to offset anticipated overall rising costs in the telecommunications industry.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

A significant increase in competitive opportunities in

the private sector should be realized by the move of the telecommunications procurement to a competitive procurement environment. Overall employment in the private sector is expected to remain constant with no change anticipated in the public sector.

Richard C. McDonald  
Assistant Director, Support Services

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Board of Examiners of Psychologists**

**PROPOSED RULES ON TRAINING AND CREDENTIALS**

I. A "school" or "college" approved by the Board is a university or other institution of higher learning which at the time of the granting of the doctorate has met IA, IB, and IC of this section.

A. Is accredited by one of the six regional bodies recognized by the Council on Postsecondary Accreditation.

B. Has achieved the highest level of accreditation or approval awarded by statutory authorities of the state in which the school or college is located.

C. Offers a full-time graduate course of study in psychology as defined in the regulations.

II. A "full-time graduate course of study in psychology" means a doctoral program of psychology which at the time of the granting of the doctorate meets either criterion A or B of this section.

A. Doctoral programs that are accredited by the American Psychological Association are recognized as meeting the definition of a psychology program. Psychology programs which do not meet criteria IA must meet the following standards.

B. Programs not approved by the American Psychological Association must meet the following standards.

1. The program shall be clearly identified and labeled as a psychology program. Such a program shall specify in pertinent institutional catalogues and brochures its intent to educate and train professional psychologists.

2. The psychology program shall stand as a recognizable, coherent organizational entity within the institution wherever it may be administratively housed.

3. There shall be a clear authority and primary responsibility for training in the core and specialty areas of psychology whether or not the program cuts across administrative lines.

4. The program shall be an organized sequence of study planned by those responsible for the training program to provide an integrated educational experience.

5. There shall be an identifiable psychology faculty and a licensed or qualified psychologist responsible for the program.

6. The program shall have an identifiable body of students who are matriculated in that program for a degree.

7. The program shall include appropriate practicum, internship, field, or laboratory training.

8. The curriculum of the program shall encompass a minimum of three academic years of full-time graduate study.

9. The doctoral program shall involve at least one continuous academic year of full-time residency on the campus of the Institution at which the degree is granted.

10. The doctoral program shall include examination and grading procedures designed to evaluate the degree of mastery of the subject matter by the student.

11. The program shall be an internal degree program (as

opposed to an external degree program) unless it is approved by the American Psychological Association.

C. Programs of institutions outside of the United States must meet criteria specified in IIA or IIB.

III. A "major in psychology" is one offered by an approved doctoral program as specified in II and which meets the following standards.

A. Scientific Area. The major in psychology shall require each student to obtain instruction in the areas of scientific and professional ethics and standards, history and systems, research design and methodology, statistics and psychometrics.

B. Substantive Content Areas. The Major in psychology shall require each student to demonstrate competence in each of the following substantive content areas. Competence shall be demonstrated by successful completion of at least twenty-four or more graduate semester hours (or equivalent quarter hours) with at least six semester hours in each of the four areas, or by documentation of comprehensive examination in each of the four substantive content areas. Graduates who cannot document competence in one substantive content area (1-4 below) may demonstrate competence by additional course work or examination, not to exceed six credit hours or one substantive content area by comprehensive examination. Graduates who are deficient in more than one substantive content area will be considered as not having a "major in psychology."

1. Biological Bases of Behavior, e.g., physiological psychology, comparative psychology, neuropsychology, sensation and perception, psychopharmacology.

2. Cognitive-Affective Bases of Behavior, e.g., learning, thinking, motivation, emotion.

3. Social Bases of Behavior, e.g., social psychology, group processes, organizational and systems theory.

4. Individual Differences, e.g., personality theory, human development, abnormal psychology.

C. Speciality Areas. If the emphasis of the major in psychology is in an applied area such as clinical psychology, counseling psychology, school psychology, or industrial-organizational psychology, the training normally shall include a set of coordinated practicum and internship training experiences.

1. In applied areas such as counseling, clinical, and school psychology preparation shall involve early and continuing involvement of students in applied settings. Such experience should occur at two levels, practicum and internship.

a. The practicum level is an earlier, pre-requisite phase of involvement, usually for academic credit, often on campus, with a typical time commitment of eight to 16 hours per week. Practicum settings should provide supervised training in interviewing, appraisal, modes of intervention, and research skills or other skills appropriate to the student's level of experience and area of specialization. A minimum of 300 hours of practicum experience should precede the internship. This should include at least 100 hours of direct client contact and at least 50 hours of scheduled individual supervision.

b. The typical minimal internship experience is a program of one continuous year or its equivalent, such as a one half-time program of two years duration. The internship setting should provide the trainee with the opportunity to take substantial responsibility for carrying out major professional functions in the context of appropriate supervision which is conducted in accordance with the Rules of the Board for supervision of unlicensed assistants.

2. In applied areas such as industrial-organization, engineering, and environmental psychology, internship training may take the form of post-doctoral supervised experience as defined in the regulations of the Board.

This institutes the following changes in the present Rules on

training and credentials.

1A. A deletion of the statement "A school or college which has been admitted to candidacy status by a regional accrediting body shall be accepted as having met this requirement if it has maintained candidacy status for a minimum of three years."

IIA. Underscore the words "either"....and "or."

Deletion of "or by the National Council of Accreditation of Teacher Education according to the standards of the National Association of School Psychologists."

IIIB. Change wording "Programs not approved by the American Psychological Association must meet the following standards."

B11. Add "11. The program shall be an internal degree program (as opposed to an external degree program) unless it is approved by the American Psychological Association."

IIIA. Add heading "Scientific Areas." and change wording "each student to obtain instruction in the areas....."

IIIB. Add heading "Substantive Content Areas."... add "Competence shall be demonstrated by successful completion of at least 24 or more graduate semester hours (or equivalent quarter hours) with at least six semester hours in each of the four areas, or by documentation of comprehensive examination in each of the four substantive content areas. Graduates who cannot document competence in one substantive content area (1-4 below) may demonstrate competence by additional course work or examination, not to exceed six credit hours or one substantive content area by comprehensive examination. Graduates who are deficient in more than one substantive content area will be considered as not having a "major in psychology."

IIIB. Delete paragraph "Competence may be demonstrated by passing comprehensive examinations in each of the areas or by successful completion of at least 24 or more graduate semester hours (or equivalent quarter hours) with at least three semester hours in each of the four areas, or by other means acceptable by the Board."

IIIC. Add heading "Specialty Areas."

CI. Omit word "normally."

CII. Substitute word "psychologists" with "assistants."

IV. Delete

The Board of Examiners of Psychologists shall accept oral or written comments on the proposed Rule at the following address: June M. Tuma, PH.D., Chair, Box 14782, Baton Rouge LA 70898, Phone 343-1356.

June M. Tuma, PH.D.  
Chair

### **Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Training and Credentials**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

There will be no implementation costs to the agency.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

The proposed changes will result in more stringent criteria to be used in determining whether future applicants will be granted licensure. Applicants admitted to candidacy must hold a doctoral degree with a major in psychology from a

university offering a full-time graduate course of study in psychology that is approved by the Board. Except for doctoral programs accredited by the American Psychological Association, all courses of study must now meet the 11 criteria found in Section II B of the Rules.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There will be no effect on competition and employment.

June M. Tuma, Ph.D.  
Chair

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to implement the following Rule in the AFDC and Refugee Programs in accordance with 45 CFR 233.20(a)(ix) as published in the *Federal Register* of Friday, February 5, 1982, Volume 47, Number 25, Page 5676.

**PROPOSED RULE**

AFDC and Refugee recipients who are determined to be potentially eligible for the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC) will be allowed 14 days to apply for and receive advance EITC payments. If after the 14 day period the recipient has not provided verification of ineligibility for EITC or of their employer's refusal to cooperate in paying EITC, the amount of EITC which the recipient is eligible to receive as determined from tables provided by the Secretary of the Treasury shall be budgeted as income in determining eligibility and grant amount.

A copy of this proposed Rule and its Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement is available for review in each parish in the local Office of Family Security.

A public hearing will be held on this proposed Rule change on Thursday, December 1, 1983 at 9:30 a.m. in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, LA. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Interested persons may submit written comments at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissingier  
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules  
Rule Title: Earned Income Tax Credit**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86
STATE	63.00	0	0
FEDERAL	63.00	0	0
TOTAL	126.00	0	0

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86
63.00	0	0

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

At present, 2,715 AFDC or Refugee recipients have earned income. Those determined to be eligible for EITC will be required to comply with this policy.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

None.

R.K. Banks  
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to amend Title XIX State Plan, Attachment 4.19 B, Item 18 a. entitled Transportation Services, to limit the amount reimbursed to providers of non-emergency medical transportation for the transport of an attendant needed to accompany a Title XIX recipient.

**PROPOSED RULE**

Effective February 1, 1984, Attachment 4.19 B, Item 18 a.

I. B. 1. (b) shall read as follows:

(b) \$5 per one-way pick up for each additional person and for an Attendant;

Effective February 1, 1984, Attachment 4.19 B, Item 18 a.

I. B. 3. first sentence shall read as follows:

3. Non-Profit organization - all non-profit organizations may be reimbursed for providing transportation for a recipient and an Attendant to accompany him at a rate equal to the amount currently paid State employees for mileage traveled on official business, except that the maximum to be paid for the Attendant shall not exceed \$5 for a one-way pickup.

This action is being taken to comply with recommendations made by the United States Department of Health and Human Services in their 1983 State Assessment of the Medical Assistance Program.

Interested persons may submit written comments at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule. A copy of this proposed Rule and fiscal and economic impact statement is available for review in each parish in the local Office of Family Security.

A public hearing on the proposed Rule will be held December 1, 1983, in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, LA, beginning at 9:30 a.m. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Roger P. Guissingier  
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Limit Reimbursement to non-profit providers  
of Non-Emergency Transportation**

**I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO  
AGENCY - (Summary)**

It is estimated that the implementation of this change will generate a savings to the agency as follows:

	FY 83-84	FY 84-85	FY 85-86
State	\$1,890	\$ 3,845	\$ 3,845
Federal	\$3,110	\$ 6,155	\$ 6,155
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$5,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>	<b>\$10,000</b>

**II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS -  
(Summary)**

Federal Revenues will decrease as follows:

FY 83-84 - \$3,845; FY 84-85 - \$6,155; FY 85-86 - \$6,155.

**III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED  
GROUPS - (Summary)**

Non-profit organizations which provide Title XIX Non-Emergency Transportation will have a reduction in income.

**IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOY-  
MENT - (Summary)**

There will be no effect on competition or employment.

R.K. Banks  
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to amend the Title XIX State Plan, Methods and Standards for Payment for Medical and Remedial Care and Services - Skilled Nursing and Intermediate Care Facilities.

**PROPOSED RULE**

Effective February 1, 1983, the Title XIX State Plan, Attachment 4.19-D, paragraph E.1 page 16 and 17; page 103, paragraph 2; and page 114 after paragraph E will be amended as follows:

Page 16 and 17 of Paragraph E.1. will include the following sentence:

Failure to do so will result in withholding of Title XIX reimbursement for the number of beds which have not been approved in accordance with the Social Security Act (42 USC Section 1320 a - 1 et. sec.).

Page 103, Paragraph 2. will include the following wording:  
2. . . . and shall not include expenditures made without required Section 1122 of P.L. 92-603 approval.

Page 114, After Paragraph E. new paragraph F. shall read as follows:

4. F. Payment will be made in an amount not to exceed the total number of beds which have been approved in accordance with the Social Security Act (42 USC Section 1320 a - 1 et. sec.) times the number of days in the month. Such payment will be considered the total agency payment for all Title XIX recipients in the facility. The number of beds reflected in current provider agreements shall be considered to be approved beds. The capital expenditures allowable in cost reports shall be based on approvals in accordance with the Social Security Act (42 USC Section 1320 a - 1 et. sec.).

The intent of the proposed Rule change is to ensure that payment is not made to facilities for any beds which have not been approved in accordance with the Social Security Act (42 USC Section 1320 a - 1 et. sec.) and to delete such capital expenditures in determining allowable costs for rate setting purposes.

Interested persons may submit written comments at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule. A copy of this proposed Rule and its fiscal and economic impact statement is available for review in each parish in the local Office of Family Security.

A public hearing on the proposed Rule will be held December 1, 1983, in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, beginning at 9:30 a.m. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments orally or in writing at said hearing.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Payment for Nursing Home Beds**

**I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO  
AGENCY - (Summary)**

The implementation of this proposed Rule will have no fiscal impact at this time. It is a cost containment measure which will prohibit payment for nursing home beds built without required approval in accordance with the State Health Plan. Under current OFS policy, nursing home beds which do not have Section 1122 approval are reimbursed at standard per diem rates. These rates are based on the sixtieth percentile of allowable costs for all participating long-term care facilities throughout the state as determined by OFS from each provider's annual cost report. The costs of interest and depreciation and a return on equity capital which are associated with non-approved beds are currently viewed as non-allowable costs. A provision in the proposed Rule would approve for reimbursement purposes all beds currently operated by participating providers, whether such beds have Section 1122 approval or not. Although rates are currently frozen at the 1982-83 level, the inclusion of these additional beds as allowable costs could have a significant impact on the determination of future rate increases. OFS and the Division of Health Planning and Development are in the process of trying to determine the number of existing beds which do not have Section 1122 approval.

**II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS -  
(Summary)**

There is no effect on revenue collections.

**III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED  
GROUPS - (Summary)**

None.

**IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOY-  
MENT - (Summary)**

There is no effect on competition and employment.

R. K. Banks  
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to implement the following Rule in the AFDC Program. The proposed Rule is authorized by 45 CFR 206.10 as published in the *Federal Register* of Tuesday, June 21, 1983, Volume 48, Number 120, pages 28407 and 28408.

**PROPOSED RULE**

All individuals applying for inclusion in an existing Aid to Families with Dependent Children or Refugee certification shall be considered applicants for assistance and file an application form. The date the application form is received in the parish office shall be considered their filing date and if found eligible during that initial month of application, the initial month's benefits shall be prorated from the date of application to the end of the month.

Interested persons may submit written comments to R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for inquiries regarding the proposed Rule. A copy of this notice is available for review in each parish Office of Family Security.

A public hearing on the proposed Rule will be held Thursday, December 1, 1983, in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, LA, beginning at 9:30 a.m. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Prorated Benefits for Individuals Added to Existing Certifications**

**I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)**

	FY 83/84	FY 84/85	FY 85/86
State	(\$12,616.83)	(\$30,392.14)	(\$30,392.14)
Federal	(\$22,934.12)	(\$55,153.66)	(\$55,153.66)
Total	(\$35,550.95)	(\$85,545.80)	(\$85,545.80)

**II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)**

The implementation of the proposed policy would result in a decrease in Federal funds. FY 83/84 - \$22,934.12; FY 84/85 - \$55,153.66; FY 85/86 - \$55,153.66

**III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)**

Approximately 255 individuals per month would receive reduced benefits in their initial month of certification.

**IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)**

There is no effect on competition and employment.

R. K. Banks  
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to adopt the following Rule in the Medical Assistance Program:

**PROPOSED RULE**

Effective February 1, 1984, Title XIX reimbursement will be reinstated for the following drug:

TRADE NAME	ACTIVE INGREDIENT	DOSAGE FORM/ ROUTE	
		FIRM	
ISOSORBIDE	ISOSORBIDE	TAB/subl	
DINITRATE	DINITRATE	TAB/oral	Bolar
		SRT/oral	

Reimbursement for this drug was discontinued in a Final Rule, effective August 20, 1983, as published in the *Louisiana Register*, Vol. 9, No. 8, page 552, because it was determined to be "less than effective" by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA). However, the Medical Assistance Program was advised by the United States, Department of Health and Human Services, Health Care Financing Administration by Transmittal No. 8, Part 4, of the State Medicaid Manual, dated September, 1983, that the above stated drug, Isosorbide Dinitrate, manufactured by Bolar, has been determined to be effective by the FDA, and therefor payment may be made for this drug.

Interested persons may submit written comments at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule. A copy of this proposed Rule and its fiscal and economic impact statement is available for review in each parish in the local Office of Family Security.

A public hearing on the proposed Rule will be held December 1, 1983, in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, LA, beginning at 9:30 a.m. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: Reinstatement of Isosorbide Dinitrate**

**I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)**

The amount of costs or savings resulting from this proposed Rule cannot be determined because it is not known whether physicians will, with implementation of this Rule, commence prescribing this drug, or will continue to prescribe those drugs that were substituted when the drug was deleted from the Title XIX Medical Assistance Program. The drug(s) which has been prescribed instead of Isosorbide Dinitrate (BOLAR) may or may not be covered by the Program and may or may not be more expensive than Isosorbide Dinitrate.

**II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)**

The effect on collections of federal Title XIX (Medi-





V 1309 Common Street VII Allen Memorial State Office Bldg.  
 Lake Charles, LA 5th Floor  
 VI 2001 MacArthur Drive 1525 Fairfield Ave.  
 Building #3 Shreveport, LA  
 Alexandria, LA VIII 2913 Betin Street  
 Monroe, LA

\* In Region I the Code will be placed in Central Office.

In accordance with the provisions of LSA-R.S. 49:950 et seq., DHHR/OHSEQ will hold a public hearing on December 2, 1983 from 2 p.m. - 4 p.m. at the State Office Building, 325 Loyola Ave., Room 515, New Orleans, LA. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Dr. Sarah M. Braud, Acting Assistant Secretary of the Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality is the person responsible for inquiries regarding the Rule. Address comments to her at the Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality, Box 60630, Room 513, New Orleans, LA 70160, or call (504) 568-5050 or LINC 621-5050.

Dr. Sarah M. Braud  
 Acting Assistant Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
 For Administrative Rules  
 Rule Title: Sanitary Code**

**I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)**

It is anticipated that \$20,000 will be spent on printing of the Code. No additional funds will be required to implement the revised Sanitary Code at the current level of effort.

**II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)**

There will be no effect on revenue collections.

**III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)**

There will be no anticipated costs to affected groups. Users of the Code will benefit from the clarifications that have been made since they will be able to better understand the requirements.

**IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)**

There will be no effect on competition and employment as this revised Code does not affect the job market.

Sarah M. Braud, M.D. Mark C. Drennen  
 Acting Assistant Secretary Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
 Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality**

Effective November 20, 1983, the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality, Food and Drug Control Unit, in order to implement the provisions of LSA R.S. 40:661 through LSA R.S. 40:661.5, proposes to adopt regulations for vending machines designed to dispense water intended for human consumption. This is done to assure that consumers using such machines are given appropriate information as to the nature of the vended water and to assure that the quality of the water vended meets an acceptable standard for potability and that the vending equipment is installed, operated and maintained so as to protect the health, safety and welfare of the consuming public. These regulations are codified in accordance with the codification system in the "State of Louisiana Food, Drug and Cosmetic Laws and Regulations",

dated September, 1968 (the 'Red Book'); as follows:  
 2.120 Definitions.

(A) "Water vending machine" shall mean any self-service device which, upon insertion of money or tokens or upon receipt of payment by other means, dispenses unit servings of water in bulk into a container, without the necessity of refilling the machine between each operation.

(B) "Permit" shall mean and be limited to a permit issued under and pursuant to the provisions of these regulations.

(C) "Vended water" shall mean that water dispensed by means of a water vending machine.

(D) "Person" shall mean any individual, public or private corporation, company, association, partnership, municipality or any other legal entity or its legal representative, agent or assigns.

(E) "Operator" shall mean any person who owns or operates a water vending machine.

(F) "Potable water" shall mean water which meets the requirements of Chapter VIII of the Louisiana State Sanitary Code of January 1, 1977 and any subsequent revisions.

(G) "Spring water" shall mean water obtained from a water source which flows naturally from an underground spring or is obtained from such spring by means of drilling and/or pumps.

(H) "United States Pharmacopeia" shall mean "the Pharmacopeia of the United States of America prepared under authority of the United States Pharmacopeial Convention"; a book of standards for drugs, tests, waters and reagents.

(I) "Purified water" shall mean water produced by distillation, deionization, reverse osmosis or other methods as defined in the current edition of the "United States Pharmacopeia."

(J) "Non-toxic materials" shall mean materials which are free of substances which may render the water injurious to health or which may adversely affect the flavor, color, odor or microbiological quality of the water.

(K) "Approved" means approved in writing by the designated representative of the State Health Officer.

(L) "Sanitary" shall mean promoting or pertaining to health and, therefore, free of harmful or deleterious contaminants.

**2.121 Operating Requirements.**

Each water vending machine operator shall:

(A) Obtain a permit for each water vending machine operated.

(B) Install each water vending machine to a potable water supply in accordance with the State Sanitary Code and any applicable, local plumbing codes.

(C) Operate and maintain all water vending machines in a sanitary manner.

(D) Maintain adequate water quality monitoring by analyzing one sample every three months bacteriologically from each water vending machine.

(E) Take investigative or corrective action, in cooperation with the Food and Drug Control Unit, as necessary when a vending machine malfunctions to assure that a pure, wholesome and potable water supply is supplied to consumers.

**2.122 Permits.**

(A) Each person desiring to operate a water vending machine in Louisiana shall, prior to such operation, apply to the State Health Officer for a permit.

(B) Any application for a permit shall be on a form as prescribed by the State Health Officer and shall contain the following:

(1) Name and principal address of the applicant.

(2) Address of the proposed water vending machine location. A separate application for permit must be filed for each water vending machine location.

(3) Signature of a responsible officer of the firm and his/her title.

(4) Any additional information needed for the orderly maintenance of records and data processing requirements.

(5) The model number or name of the water vending machine.

(6) Evidence with respect to each model of machine intended to be used, that:

(a) Said model complies with the construction standards of the National Sanitation Foundation (NSF) and/or the National Automated Merchandising Association (NAMA). Such standards are available from the National Sanitation Foundation, 3475 Plymouth Rd., Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105 and/or the National Automated Merchandising Association, 7 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, Illinois 60603.

(b) All exterior and interior surfaces and component parts of said machine are designed and constructed to permit easy cleaning and maintenance.

(c) All parts and surfaces of said machine with which the water comes into contact are of non-toxic, corrosion resistant, non-absorbent material capable of withstanding repeated cleaning and sanitizing treatment.

(d) Said machine has a recessed or guarded corrosion resistant dispensing spout.

(e) All treatment of the vended water by distillation, ion-exchange filtration, ultraviolet light, reverse osmosis, mineral addition or any other process is done in a manner so as to accomplish its intended purpose of purifying water.

(f) All vending machines are located in an area that can be maintained in clean condition and in a manner that avoids insect and rodent harborage.

(g) The source of water supply is from a community water supply approved by the State Health Officer as defined in the State Sanitary Code, Sect. 8.1.

(h) All machines have a system of collection and handling of drip, spillage and overflow of water.

(i) All connections with the public water supply have a backflow prevention device approved by the State Health Officer.

(j) All vending machines display, in a position clearly visible to customers, the following information: the name, license number and address of the operator, the fact that the water is obtained from a public water supply, a statement describing the treatment process; if no treatment process is utilized, then a statement to that effect, chemical names and concentrations of any preservatives or additives and a local telephone number than may be called for further information, service or complaints.

(k) Prior to delivery into the customer's container, water vended by the machine is disinfected by ultraviolet light or other method approved by the State Health Officer.

(l) All water vending machines are equipped with monitoring devices designed to shut down operation of the machine when the disinfection unit fails to function.

(m) All vending machines are equipped with a self-closing, tight fitting door on the vending compartment.

(n) No vended water is described on a machine or elsewhere as "spring water" or "purified water" unless such water conforms to the definition contained in this Part.

(o) Activated carbon, if used, complies with the American Water Works Association (AWWA) specifications for granular, activated carbon used in the treatment of potable water (AWWA B604—74).

(p) All vending machines are maintained in a clean and sanitary condition, free from dirt and vermin.

(C) Evidence that the person applying for permit has:

(1) A competent and responsible staff approved by the State Health Officer for the local supervision of the operation of the machines. Competent staff shall be construed to mean a person or persons with at least one year's experience concerning the proper

operation of the type of water vending machine they will operate.

(2) An acceptable maintenance program for the routine servicing of water vending machines. The program shall include written servicing instructions for the operator, technical manuals of the machine and of the water treatment appurtenances involved and regularly scheduled service visits.

(D) Issuance of permit. The State Health Officer, after a reasonable period of time, shall either grant or deny an applicant a permit.

(E) Permit revocation and cancellation. Each permit issued in accordance with the provisions of this Part, shall be for a period of one year and shall remain in force and effect for that period unless terminated, revoked or cancelled upon due notice and hearing.

(F) Fees. Each person applying for a permit to operate a water vending machine within Louisiana shall pay an initial and an annual permit fee of \$50 (Reference - LSA R.S. 40:701, Act No. 510 of 1983).

(G) Each water vending machine permitted for use in Louisiana shall display, in the upper right hand corner of the front panel, a permit decal furnished by the State Health Officer.

#### 2.123 Service, Sampling and Records.

(A) All parts and surfaces of the water vending machines shall be maintained in clean condition by the water vending operator. The vending chamber and vending nozzle of each machine shall be cleaned and sanitized each time the machine is serviced. A record of cleaning and maintenance operations shall be kept by the operator for each water vending machine.

(B) The vended water from each water vending machine shall be analyzed once every three months for total coliforms. The analysis shall be performed by a laboratory approved by the State Health Officer in accordance with the provisions of the EPA Manual #600/8-78-008 titled "Manual for the Interim Certification of Laboratories Involved in Analyzing Public Water Supplies' Criteria and Procedures, May, 1978". This manual is prepared by the United States EPA, 1201 Elm St., Dallas, Texas 75270.

(C) The vended water from each water vending machine utilizing silver-impregnated carbon filters in the treatment process shall be analyzed once every three months for silver. The analysis shall be performed by a laboratory approved by the State Health Officer in accordance with the provisions of the EPA Manual #600/8-78-008 titled "Manual for the Interim Certification of Laboratories Involved in Analyzing Public Water Supplies' Criteria and Procedures, May, 1978".

(D) A more frequent analysis of the above parameters, or additional analysis may be required by the State Health Officer if there is some presumption of unfitness of the vended water because of the presence of undesirable elements, compounds or materials caused by the passage of water through the machine.

Interested persons may submit written comments to the following address: Sarah M. Braud, M.D., Acting Assistant Secretary, Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality, Room 513, State Office Building, 325 Loyola Avenue, Box 60630, New Orleans, LA 70160, Phone: 504/568-5402 (LINC: 621-5402).

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

### **Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Water Vending Machine Regulations**

#### **I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)**

For FY '83-'84, implementation costs are estimated at \$84,705 of which \$10,000 should be self-generated.

This Agency currently has no funds available to take

on any additional workload. The Food and Drug staff currently has more projects than we have people and are having to use a rotating system to cover all priorities and projects.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

Approximately \$10,000 would be collected in permit fees which would be allocated to enforcing the law and regulations.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

To Consumers: These regulations will assure consumers of safe, potable water which meets and probably exceeds the minimum, primary drinking water standards at a reasonable cost.

To Industry: The regulations will assure fair competition by making all water vending equipment meet the same, minimum standards and will require a \$50 permit fee per annum per machine.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

The regulations will assure fair competition amongst all firms in the water vending business by establishing minimum standards for compliance, but will have only a minimum, positive affect on employment.

Sarah M. Braud, M.D.

Acting Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen

Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of the Secretary**

Effective December 20, 1983, the Department of Health and Human Resources proposes to implement new, uniform (regardless of funding source) and minimum standards for client care providers in Louisiana.

Specifically excluded from the scope of these proposed standards are nursing homes and hospitals. Specifically, these standards apply to all providers offering one or more of the following services or types of care:

Case Management/Service Coordination

Family Support/Subsidy Services

Personal Care Attendant Services

Respite Care Services

Early Intervention Care Services

These standards are being proposed to secure a waiver by the Health Care Financing Administration of the Federal Department of Health and Human Services from the Title XIX State Plan for Louisiana. These standards have been submitted for certification of these services in Louisiana for federal funding. However, these standards apply to all clients receiving the above cited services or care whether Title XIX eligible or not.

These proposed uniform and minimum standards are new.

The proposed uniform and minimum standards are too bulky for publication but are available for review at the Division of Licensing and Certification, 333 Laurel Street, Room 620, in Baton Rouge, LA. Copies of the proposed standards may be obtained upon written request to Billy W. Brown, Director, Division of Licensing and Certification, Box 3767, Baton Rouge, LA 70821.

A public hearing on these proposed standards has been scheduled for December 6, 1983 at 1:30 p.m. in the State Police Training Academy (Library), 7901 Independence Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA.

Interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed standards through December 2, 1983 to the attention of Billy Brown, Director, Division of Licensing and Certification, P.O. Box 3767, Baton Rouge, LA 70821.

The Notice of Intent published in the August 20, 1983

*Louisiana Register* (Volume 9, Number 8, Page 577) dealing with the same client care standards is hereby withdrawn. The final Rule will be published on this Notice of Intent.

Roger P. Guissinger

Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement  
For Administrative Rules**

**Rule Title: New Client Care Provider Standards**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

The cost is minimal as all these providers who may offer these services or care are already surveyed. The savings to the agency is minimal because the surveys of the providers who may offer these services are already partially paid for by Title XIX.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

None.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

The benefit to affected groups may be that some clients who otherwise would have to be served in more restrictive environments could be served at home or in the community.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

None.

Billy W. Brown

Director

Mark C. Drennen

Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT**

**Department of Health and Human Resources  
Office of the Secretary**

In accordance with Act 197 of the 1982 Regular Session (R.S. 37:3081 et seq.), the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of the Secretary proposes the following Rule pertaining to the requirements of dietitians and registered dietitians:

**Proposed Rule**

I. DEFINITIONS

A. Registered Dietitian - the designation of persons who have completed all requirements and satisfactorily completed the dietetic registration examination and have maintained the continuing education requirements.

B. Dietitian - designation of persons who have completed all requirements except satisfactorily completing the exam to become registered (i.e. Registration eligible).

C. Commission on Dietetic Registration (CDR) is the certifying component of the American Dietetic Association (ADA). This commission is charged to review, modify, and enforce standards for dietetic registration. This commission is autonomous in most aspects. It independently establishes registration eligibility criteria and independently administers the examination to determine if applicants meet those criteria.

D. Plan IV - is the designation for the minimum academic requirements (for ADA membership for dietitians) to become registration eligible.

II. For the purpose of La. R.S. 37:3081 (a) (b) (c) (d) and (e) the Secretary accepts and adopts all of the prescribed requirements of the ADA, CDR, as may be amended, and more particularly with regards to the establishment of academic requirements; prescribing a program of experience; the determination of an examination and establishment of continuing education requirements. The ADA, CDR standards are combined in Part III of these Rules. Any change in the ADA, CDR standards are automatically accepted and adopted by the Secretary.

**THE AMERICAN DIETETIC ASSOCIATION**

430 North Michigan Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60611

**REQUIREMENTS FOR MEMBERSHIP IN THE AMERICAN DIETETIC ASSOCIATION AND/OR ELIGIBILITY FOR THE REGISTRATION EXAMINATION FOR DIETITIANS EDUCATION\*, EXPERIENCE, ENDORSEMENT AND SUPERVISION REQUIREMENTS**

**I. Introduction**

- A. Applicants with a degree from an accredited college or university must present:
  - 1. A final official transcript with college seal indicating the degree and/or degrees.
  - †2. Verification Statement of Completion of Plan IV Academic Requirements For Membership And/Or Eligibility For The Dietetic Registration Examination signed by the Program Representative of a college or university approved for Plan IV by The American Dietetic Association or an ADA Plan IV transcript evaluation completed before November 1980. Approved Plan IV Programs are listed in annual DIRECTORY OF DIETETIC PROGRAMS.
  - 3. Verification of successful completion of qualifying experience in The United States.
  - 4. Qualifying Endorsement(s).

†Until December 31, 1984 approved Plan III transcript evaluations (done prior to January 1, 1980)

- B. The current issue of the annual directory "Accredited Institutions of Postsecondary Education", is accepted as a guide in determining whether an institution is "accredited." It is published by the American Council on Education, One Dupont Circle, Washington, D.C. 20036.

**II. Experience and Endorsement Requirements**

- A. Associate Degree#
  - 1. Qualifying experience and endorsement:
    - a. An American Dietetic Association approved Dietetic Technician program.
    - b. Endorsement by the director of the program.
- B. Baccalaureate Degree
  - 1. Qualifying experience and endorsement:
    - a. A coordinated undergraduate program accredited by ADA and endorsement by the director of the program.
    - b. A dietetic internship accredited by ADA and endorsement by the director of the internship.
    - c. Three years of full-time or equivalent of "pre-planned professional experience" preceded by Associate membership. (Associate members are not eligible for Active membership or the registration examination until the qualifying experience is completed.) A minimum of two endorsements is required.
      - 1. Sponsor
      - 2. Secondary endorser
- C. Master's Degree
  - 1. Qualifying experience:
    - a. Six months of full-time or 12 months half-time of successful experience in practice of dietetics within the last 5 years. This experience should follow completion of current ADA academic requirements. However, experience concurrent with the last course requirement will be considered. Teaching experience must be beyond high school level. Positions of less than six months will not be considered. Prior approval not required but recommended.
    - b. A master's degree with a graduate assistantship within the last 5 years is an alternate route to membership. Assistantships must be held for a minimum of one academic year on a half-time (20 hours per week) basis. The academic requirements must be completed by the time the master's degree is granted. Only assistantships in-

cluding a variety of experiences related to the practice of dietetics will be acceptable. Prior approval is required for each individual.

- 2. Endorsement and Supervision: Two Endorsements are required. One endorser must be involved in the academic or work experience for at least a six month, full-time, continuous period, on the premise with the individual. Preferably both endorsees will be Active members of ADA and registered. At least one of the two must be a Registered Active ADA member for the past three years.

- a. Endorsement by the person who has observed, directed, and evaluated the applicant as satisfactory in the academic program.

- b. A second endorsement is required from a person who has been in a position to supervise the applicant's work throughout the period of experience and is qualified to professionally assess the applicant's performance.

**D. Doctoral Degree**

- 1. Qualifying experience:
  - a. Six months' qualifying experience will be required in teaching, research or practice in dietetics.
- 2. Endorsements—same as for Master's degree.

**III. Associate Membership#**

- A. An interim category with a time limit of 8 years to satisfy requirements for Active Membership. Experience requirements as outlined with the Baccalaureate or a Master's degree must be completed. Recency of educational requirements may be completed concurrently with experience.

Should Associate membership lapse the work experience performed during the time of non-membership is not applicable to the three years of necessary experience. Individuals taking over five years to complete the necessary three years of experience will be subject to meeting the recency of education requirements prior to transfer of status.

- B. This category is available to any person who:
  - 1. Has a minimum of a baccalaureate degree
  - 2. Satisfies current academic requirements for Active membership.
  - 3. Plans to complete one of the approved experience options.

**IV. Recency of Education Requirements**

Additional coursework in dietetics or some related area is required to "update" degrees and/or academic requirements, which were completed five or more years prior to application. These courses must be upper division or graduate level. The semester hours required will vary as indicated below.

Effective January 1, 1979, the recency of education requirements are as follows with Baccalaureate, Masters or Doctoral Degree:

Up to 5 years . . . . .	0 semester hours
5 to 10 years . . . . .	6 semester hours
10 to 20 years . . . . .	9 semester hours
20 years and over . . . . .	12 semester hours

Or in quarter hours:

Up to 5 years . . . . .	0 quarter hours
5 to 10 years . . . . .	9 quarter hours
10 to 20 years . . . . .	14 quarter hours
20 years and over . . . . .	18 quarter hours

\* Minimum academic requirements on reverse side. Refer to Plan IV.

# Eligibility for the registration examination is established by completion of the requirements as outlined for Baccalaureate, M.S., Doctoral degrees. Note: R.D.® is The American Dietetic Association's trademark for Registered Dietitian.

The College or University's plan for meeting these academic requirements must be approved by the ADA before they can be applied to the individual student. See I. A.2. for documentation of completion of academic requirements.

### Plan IV

## MINIMUM ACADEMIC REQUIREMENTS FOR ADA MEMBERSHIP

### A Baccalaureate Degree Including Basic Requirements Plus One Area of Specialization\*\*

AREA OF SUBJECT MATTER	BASIC REQUIREMENTS	AREAS OF SPECIALIZATION IN DIETETICS			
		General	Management	Clinical	Community
Physical & Biological Sciences	Chemistry, inorganic and organic Human physiology Microbiology	Biochemistry		Biochemistry Biochemical analysis  <sup>b</sup> Anatomy or <sup>b</sup> advanced physiology or <sup>b</sup> genetics	Biochemistry
Behavioral & Social Sciences	Sociology or psychology (principles) Economics	Cultural anthropology or sociology	Labor economics or relations	Cultural anthropology or sociology	Cultural anthropology or sociology  <sup>c</sup> Psychology
Professional Sciences	Food (composition, physical and chemical changes, quality, acceptability, and aesthetics) Prerequisite: organic chemistry Nutrition Prerequisites: human physiology & organic chemistry Management theory and principles	Food service systems management  Nutrition in disease Prerequisite: biochemistry	Food service systems management  Principles of business organization (Management of personnel)  Financial management	Additional nutrition course Prerequisite: biochemistry  Nutrition in disease Prerequisite: biochemistry	Nutrition in disease Prerequisite: biochemistry  Nutrition and community health Prerequisite: biochemistry  Food service systems management (volume food service in the community)
Communication Sciences	Writing (creative, or technical)  <sup>a</sup> Mathematics to intermediate algebra Learning theory or educational methods	<sup>b</sup> Data processing (computer logic)  or <sup>b</sup> Data evaluation (statistics)	Data processing (computer logic)  or Data evaluation (statistics)	Data evaluation (statistics)	Data evaluation (statistics)

<sup>a</sup>May be acquired prior to college entrance

<sup>b</sup>Recommended, not required

<sup>c</sup>If not completed in Basic Requirements

Adopted July 1, 1972

\*\*Minimum Academic Requirements are expressed in terms of *basic competencies* rather than in specific credit hours. In *knowledge areas*, not in courses.

IV. The requirements for a registered dietitian and dietitian are found in La. R.S. 37:3081 A and B and Parts II and III of these Rules.

V. Louisiana Dietetic Association, Executive Board is hereby designated by the Secretary to:

(a) receive and investigate complaints regarding title violations of La. R.S. 37:3081 et seq., and make recommendations to the Secretary;

(b) monitor compliance with the provisions of La. R.S. 37:3081 et seq. and these Rules;

(c) advise, comment, propose, etc. to the Secretary on legislation pertaining to nutrition and dietetics; and

(d) make recommendations to the Secretary on the Rules necessary to implement the provisions of La. R.S. 37:3081 et seq.

#### VI. Enforcement

The Secretary may enforce these standards in accordance with the provisions of R.S. 37:3082.

Interested persons may submit comments at the following address: Roger P. Guissinger, Department of Health and Human Resources, Box 44065, 755 Riverside Mall, Baton Rouge, LA. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule.

A public hearing on the proposed Rule will be held on Friday, December 2, 1983, in the Louisiana State Library Auditorium, 760 Riverside, Baton Rouge, LA, beginning at 9:30 a.m. All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views or arguments, orally or in writing at said hearing.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

### **Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Standards for Registration of Dietitians**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
No implementation costs or savings to the agency are anticipated.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
No effect on revenue collections is anticipated.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)  
A dietetic credentialing program will ultimately provide the public with assurance of the competency of the practitioner to provide safe, quality care.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)  
No adverse effect is anticipated.

Roger P. Guissinger  
Secretary

Mark C. Drennen  
Legislative Fiscal Officer

## **NOTICE OF INTENT**

### **Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs Environmental Control Commission**

Pursuant to the provisions of L.R.S. 30:1066 (1) and 1084 A(3) and in accordance with L.R.S. 49:951 the Louisiana Environmental Control Commission (ECC) initiated rulemaking procedures on proposed revisions to the Louisiana Air Quality Regulations at its September 22, 1983 hearing.

Following the initiation of rulemaking procedures by the ECC in September the proposed amendments were forwarded on November 10, 1983 to the oversight subcommittees of the Joint Committees on Natural Resources for their consideration and approval.

Upon approval by the oversight subcommittee of the Joint Committees on Natural Resources, the ECC intends to consider adoption of the proposed amendments at its next regularly scheduled hearing at 9 a.m. in the State Land and Natural Resources Building, Mineral Board Hearing Room, 625 North Fourth Street, Baton Rouge, LA.

The proposed rulemaking revises Test Methods 106 and 107 of the Louisiana Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (LESHAP's). Also proposed is establishment of Test Method 107A and deletion of Section 78.6 of the regulations. Section 6.1.7 is also being revised to improve the handling of confidential information received by the Air Quality Division.

The person within the agency responsible for responding to inquiries about the proposed revisions is Ms. Terrie deLorimier, Air Quality Division, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066; telephone (504) 342-1206. All documents relating to the actions of this notice are available for inspection at the following locations from 8:00 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.

Office of Environmental Affairs, 3945 North I-10 Service Road, Metairie, LA.

Office of Environmental Affairs, 8th floor, State Land and Natural Resources Building, 625 North Fourth Street, Baton Rouge, LA.

Office of Environmental Affairs, 804 31st Street, Monroe, LA.

State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport, LA.

Office of Environmental Affairs, 1155 Ryan Street, Lake Charles, LA.

Office of Environmental Affairs, 100 Eppler Road, Lafayette, LA.

B. Jim Porter  
Assistant Secretary

### **Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: LA Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants (LESHAP)**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)  
There will be no estimated additional implementation costs or savings to the agency because all expenses associated with the implementation of this Rule will be incurred with funds in the existing budget.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)  
Being that the Division of Air Quality is simply up-