

CONTENTS

I. EXECUTIVE ORDERS

DCT 82-20—Tropical Storm Chris emergency	509 †
DCT 82-21—Revenue shortfall	509 †

II. EMERGENCY RULES

Education Department:

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—Superintendent certification	509
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Health and Human Resources Department:

Office of Family Security—Medicaid for children	509 †
-------------------------------------------------------	-------

III. RULES

Agriculture Department:

Structural Pest Control Commission—Regulations	510
------------------------------------------------------	-----

Culture, Recreation and Tourism Department:

Office of Cultural Development—Arts grant program guidelines	510
--------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Education Department:

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—Remedial programs, liability insurance	510
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Health and Human Resources Department:

Office of Family Security—Federal tax refund offset	510 †
-----------------------------------------------------------	-------

MAC for drugs	510 †
---------------------	-------

MAP, exclude deeming of income	511 †
--------------------------------------	-------

MAP, exclusion of Heptavax-B	511 †
------------------------------------	-------

Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality—Fees for Family Planning Program	512 †
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse—Public hospital overflow policy	512 †
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

Office of Mental Retardation—Standards for Adult Day Services	513 †
---------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

Office of the Secretary—Community Residential Development Plan	515 †
----------------------------------------------------------------------	-------

Natural Resources Department:

Office of Environmental Affairs—Air quality revisions	517
-------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Ozone abatement revision	519
--------------------------------	-----

Revision to air quality regulations	519
-------------------------------------------	-----

Office of the Secretary—Coastal use permits	519
---------------------------------------------------	-----

Resources Recovery and Development Authority—Rules of procedure	520
-----------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Public Safety Department:

Office of the State Fire Marshal—Educational occupancies	523
----------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Observation of construction	523
-----------------------------------	-----

Require insurance for unattended gas stations	523
-----------------------------------------------------	-----

Treasury Department:

Bond Commission—Revenue industrial bonds	523
------------------------------------------------	-----

Wildlife and Fisheries Department:

Wildlife and Fisheries Commission—Ban vehicles on Bundick Lake Dam	524
--------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

Calcasieu Lake oyster season	524
------------------------------------	-----

Prohibit certain netting in Caddo Lake	524
----------------------------------------------	-----

Trapping season	525
-----------------------	-----

This public document was published at a cost of \$2.10 per copy, by Baton Rouge Printing Co., Inc., P. O. Box 97, Baton Rouge, La. as a service to the state agencies in keeping them cognizant of the new rules and regulations under the authority of R.S. 49:951-968. This material was printed in accordance with the standards for printing by state agencies established pursuant to R.S. 43:31. Printing of this material was purchased in accordance with the provisions of Title 43 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes.

IV. NOTICES OF INTENT

Agriculture Department:	
Dairy Stabilization Board—Rules and regulations	525
Culture, Recreation and Tourism Department:	
Office of the State Library—State aid grants	526
Education Department:	
Board of Elementary and Secondary Education—School buses, paraprofessionals	526
Southern University Board of Supervisors—Law school fees	528
Tuition increase	528
Governor's Office:	
Division of Administration—Conduct of hearing	528
Consulting services procurement	532
State Planning Office—Planning and Development Assistance Program	539
Health and Human Resources Department:	
Board of Examiners for Speech Pathology and Audiology—Restricted licenses	542 †
Office of Family Security—Burial funds as income	542 †
Earned income disregard	543 †
General Assistance enumeration	544 †
Hyperalimentation therapy	544 †
Non-emergency transportation	544 †
Nursing home rate increase	545 X
Refugee Resettlement income	546 †
Office of Human Development—Foster care increases	546 †
Natural Resources Department:	
Office of Environmental Affairs—Section 17.14, stacks	546
State Implementation Plan	548
Office of Forestry & Tax Commission (joint rule)—Timber stumpage values	549
Revenue and Taxation Department:	
Tax Commission & Office of Forestry (joint rule)—Timber stumpage values	549
Urban and Community Affairs Department:	
Office of Planning and Technical Assistance—Block grant program	549
Wildlife and Fisheries Department:	
Wildlife and Fisheries Commission—Prohibit Bundick Lake netting	549
Seismic and right-of-way fees	550

V. COMMITTEE REPORTS

Natural Resources Department:	
Environmental Control Commission—Air quality regulations	550
Construction Grants Priority System	551
State Implementation Plan	551
Forestry Commission—Timber stumpage values	551
Resource Recovery and Development Authority—Contracts for systems	551
Wildlife and Fisheries Department:	
Wildlife and Fisheries Commission—Four notices approved	551

VI. POTPOURRI

Natural Resources Department:	
Fishermen's Gear Compensation Fund—Claims	552
Office of Conservation—Hearing on Beauregard Parish disposal well	553
Office of Environmental Affairs—Construction Grants Project Priority List	554

VII. ERRATA

Health and Human Resources Department:	
Office of Family Security—Rescind in-hospital care payments Emergency Rule	554 †

Executive Orders

EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. DCT-20

WHEREAS, Tropical Storm Chris caused extremely heavy rainfall in northern portions of Louisiana, resulting in flooding and damage to residential and commercial property; and

WHEREAS, the Police Juries of Madison Parish and Richland Parish, as well as the municipalities of Delhi and Tallulah have, by resolution, petitioned the State of Louisiana to declare a State of Emergency to exist as a result of extensive flooding within their jurisdiction.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, DAVID C. TREEN, Governor of the State of Louisiana, under the authority of Act No. 636, the Louisiana Disaster Act of 1974, do hereby proclaim a State of Emergency to exist within the territorial limits of these areas.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand officially and caused to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Louisiana, at the Capitol, in the City of Baton Rouge, on this the 16th day of September, A.D., 1982.

David C. Treen
Governor of Louisiana

EXECUTIVE ORDER NO. DCT 82-21

WHEREAS, it has been reported to me by the Commissioner of Administration that the receipts of the Treasury appear likely to fall short of revenue estimates for the fiscal year 1982-83; and

WHEREAS, continued maintenance of the appropriated level of expenditures will result, assuming the accuracy of current revenue estimates, in a deficit; and

NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to the authority granted me by Section 9 of Act 13 of the 1982 Regular Session of the Legislature, and by R.S. 39:55, it is hereby ordered that all departments and all budget units not within a department submit revised budgets effecting a 4.4 percent reduction in General Fund appropriations to the Commissioner of Administration not later than October 25, 1982, all in accordance with the further instructions of the Commissioner of Administration,

Provided, that the following appropriations are exempt from this order: Louisiana State Employees Retirement System; Louisiana Educational Retirement System; State Police Retirement System; Louisiana State University Unfunded Retirement System; Supplemental Police Pay; Supplemental Sheriff's Pay; Supplemental Firemen's Pay; State General Fund Minimum Foundation Program; Day Care Center Minimum Foundation Program; Higher Education Consent Decree Programs; and Statutory Expenses for the Judiciary.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand officially and caused to be affixed the Great Seal of the State of Louisiana, at the Capitol, in the City of Baton Rouge, on this the 15th day of October, 1982.

David C. Treen
Governor of Louisiana

Emergency Rules

DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, at its meeting of September 23, 1982, exercised those powers conferred by the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedures Act R.S. 49:953B and adopted the following as an emergency Rule:

"Persons who as of September 1, 1982 had completed all course requirements in effect prior to that date for certification in supervision and administration or as school superintendent, but who had not met the experience requirements, shall be permitted to be certified in these areas when the experience requirements in effect prior to September 1, 1982 have been met, and in the interim such persons shall not be cited for noncompliance with the revised requirements which became effective September 1, 1982."

In 1980, BESE adopted a substantial increase in the requirements for certification in the administrative and supervisory services with an implementation date of September 1, 1982. Many individuals completed the course requirements which were in effect prior to September 1, 1982, but, due to employment dates, were unable to meet the three-five year experience requirement. So that these individuals would not be required to meet the revised standards, this alteration to the policy will allow them to become certified upon completion of the experience requirement.

James V. Soileau
Executive Director

DECLARATION OF EMERGENCY

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security has exercised the emergency provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act R.S. 49:953B to provide Medicaid effective October 1, 1982 to any child for whom the state makes adoption assistance or foster care maintenance payments under Title IV-E of the Social Security Act.

This action is necessary to ensure that Medicaid coverage continues for children previously certified for Medicaid as a child for whom the state makes foster care payments under Title IV-A whose IV-A eligibility was transferred to Title IV-E effective October 1, 1982. It also provides Medicaid coverage for those children for whom the state makes adoption assistance payments under Title IV-E to ensure that these children receive medical care necessary to maintain their health and well-being.

This action is in response to final Federal Regulations published in the July 1, 1982 issue of the *Federal Register* (volume 47, No. 127, pages 28652-28658).

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

Rules

RULE

Department of Agriculture Structural Pest Control Commission

The Louisiana Department of Agriculture, Structural Pest Control Commission, pursuant to the authority contained in LSA 40:1263 B and in accordance with Notice of Intent published on September 20, 1982, adopted the following Rules and Regulations governing the administration of the Structural Pest Control Law, LSA 40:1261-1274, at a public hearing held on October 6, 1982 at the State Capitol, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Copies of these Rules may be obtained from James Arceneaux, 9151 Interline Blvd., Baton Rouge, LA 70809 during office hours 8 - 4:30, Monday through Friday.

These Rules and Regulations will become effective January 1, 1983.

Bob Odom
Commissioner

RULES

Department of Culture, Recreation and Tourism Office of Cultural Development Division of the Arts

The Department of Culture, Recreation and Tourism, Office of Cultural Development, Division of the Arts, and Louisiana State Arts Council, pursuant to the authority in LRS 49:951, et seq., Act 265 of 1977, and in accordance with the Notice of Intent published in the September 20, 1982 issue of *Louisiana Register*, adopted the amendments to the program guidelines for the funding and administration of the state's arts grant program.

Copies of the complete set of grant program guidelines, as amended for 1983-84, are available from the Division of the Arts, 666 North Foster, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. The revisions of the guidelines for 1983-84 consist of: changes in deadlines and time-lines for submitting, review and funding of applications for grants-in-aid; adding a professional advisory panel for reviewing Block Grants applications; limiting the maximum amount that may be requested by applicants under the grant programs; and a few minor technical changes to clarify selected narrative passages.

Interested persons may obtain a copy of the revised *Program Guidelines for State Arts Grants: 1983-84* by written request from the Division of the Arts, Box 44247, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Mrs. Lawrence H. Fox
Secretary

RULES

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

Rule 3.01.51.ee

The Board adopted an amendment to Bulletin 741, pages 16 and 16a as recommended by the State Department of Educa-

tion relative to "Proficiency Examinations and Credit Examinations."

Rule 4.01.90.a(1)

The Board adopted an amendment to page 4C, Program Requirements: Teacher Caseload and Scheduling, Item b, of the *Regulations for the Implementation of State-funded Compensatory Remedial Programs*, Regular School Year as follows:

"The State-funded compensatory/remedial teacher shall provide each eligible student in the state compensatory/remedial program a minimum of 70 hours of instruction for language arts and 70 hours of instruction for mathematics or until the deficiencies as identified on the State Basic Skills Test have been mastered as determined by the local education agency. No more than 12 students shall be served by one compensatory/remedial teacher per instructional period. (Board Policy) A school system which has provided 70 hours of remediation per subject area has complied with its remediation obligation."

Rule 4.03.45

The Board adopted a policy that it shall be mandatory for all vocational-technical schools to be covered by comprehensive general liability insurance and automotive liability insurance.

James V. Soileau
Executive Director

RULE

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, raised the minimum Federal Tax Refund Offset amount from \$150 to \$300, effective November 1, 1982. This Rule change is in accordance with Sections 2331 and 2336 of P.L. 97-35 and Louisiana R.S. 46:236.1 which require the IV-D agency to effect procedures to obtain payment of past-due support from overpayments made to the Secretary of the Treasury. This change has been implemented to reduce the administrative costs of current Federal Tax Refund Offset procedures by eliminating intercept cases which result in returning collections to absent parents.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

RULE

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, has updated the Maximum Allowable Costs (MAC) for certain drugs, as required by federal regulations. The new Maximum Allowable Cost (MAC) determinations are now in effect for the following drugs:

Acetaminophen w/codeine, oral tablet 300 mg./60 mg.	0.1458
Ampicillin, oral capsule, 250 mg.	0.0422
Ampicillin, oral liquid, 125 mg./5 ml.	0.0114
Penicillin, VK, oral tablet, 250 mg.	0.0417
Penicillin, VK, oral tablet, 500 mg.	0.0649
Penicillin, VK, oral liquid, 125 mg./5 ml.	0.0109

Tetracycline, HC1, oral capsule,
500 mg.

0.0394

In no case may a recipient be required to provide payment for any difference in a prescription price that may occur with the implementation of MAC, nor may our office use a cost which exceeds the established maximums except as follows. DHHS's regulations provide that when a physician certifies that a specific brand is medically necessary for a particular patient, then the MAC limitations for that medication will not apply. In this case, their specific guidelines provide that:

- 1) the certification must be in the physician's handwriting;
- 2) the certification may be written directly on the prescription or on a separate sheet which is attached to the prescription;
- 3) a standard phrase written on the prescription, such as "brand necessary" will be acceptable.
- 4) a printed box on the prescription blank that could be checked by the physician to indicate brand necessity is **unacceptable**;
- 5) a handwritten statement transferred to a rubber stamp and then stamped on the prescription blank is unacceptable.

This policy was implemented August 12, 1982, and was published as an Emergency Rule in the *Louisiana Register* on August 20, 1982.

This action will allow the Medical Assistance Program to be in compliance with federal regulations, effective August 12, 1982, which were published in the June 28, 1982, *Federal Register*, Volume 47, Number 124, pages 27968 through 27973. Compliance with these regulations assures continued federal financial participation in Louisiana's Medical Assistance Program.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

RULE

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, has amended the approved waiver document for provision of Home and Community based services. Deeming of income and resources will be excluded from parents and spouses to applicants who utilize any of the three Home and Community based services (Homemaker, Habilitation, and Adult Day Care) in lieu of care in a Skilled Nursing Facility (SNF) or Intermediate Care Facility (ICF) (including Intermediate Care for the Mentally Retarded (ICF/MR) which would otherwise be required. The applicants must meet all other eligibility conditions as specified in current policy.

This Rule applies only to those individuals using one of the three Home and Community based services who would otherwise require SNF/ICF services.

This Rule:

1) Allows the provision of Medical Assistance to individuals determined to be in need of Home and Community based services for which they would otherwise be ineligible, because of deeming of income and resources of parents or spouse; and

2) Allows this agency to utilize provisions for alternative care to eliminate unnecessary utilization and reduce the cost of institutional care for eligible individuals.

Implementation of this Rule is based on an amendment to the approved waiver document (Section 2176 of Public Law 97-35) which has been granted by the Health Care Financing Administration.

This policy was implemented September 1, 1982, and was published as an Emergency Rule in the *Louisiana Register* on August 20, 1982.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

RULE

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, has excluded from the Title XIX Medical Assistance Program the drug known as Heptavax-B. This drug is not payable and will be excluded under the category "miscellaneous drugs".

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

RULE

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality**

Effective October 20, 1982, the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality, Family Planning Program, is changing the criteria for charging fees to its family planning patients. The lower limit for charging fees is changed from persons at or above 150 percent of poverty to those at or above 100 percent poverty.

In accordance with the United States Government, Depart-

ment of Health and Human Services Guidelines for Project Grants, Part I, 6.3; United States Government, Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Services Act, Section 1001, Sub-part A, Part 59 5a, Title 42 Code of Federal Regulation, all family planning patients whose gross family income is above 100 percent (rather than the current 150 percent) poverty as determined by the U. S. Office of Management and Budget shall pay a fee for each service provided as indicated on the fee adjustment schedule.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

FEE ADJUSTMENT SCHEDULE

% Poverty Income	I	II	III	IV	V	VI	VII	VIII	IX	X	XI	XII
	100%	100%	110%	120%	130%	140%	150%	160%	170%	180%	190%	200%
Family Size	100%	9% of Charge	18% of Charge	27% of Charge	36% of Charge	45% of Charge	54% of Charge	63% of Charge	72% of Charge	81% of Charge	90% of Charge	100% of Charge
1		\$ 4,680	\$ 5,148	\$ 5,616	\$ 6,084	\$ 6,552	\$ 7,020	\$ 7,488	\$ 7,956	\$ 8,424	\$ 8,892	\$ 9,360
2		6,220	6,842	7,464	8,086	8,708	9,330	9,952	10,574	11,196	11,818	12,440
3		7,760	8,536	9,312	10,088	10,864	11,640	12,416	13,192	13,968	14,744	15,520
4		9,300	10,230	11,160	12,090	13,020	13,950	14,880	15,810	16,740	17,670	18,600
5		10,840	11,924	13,008	14,092	15,176	16,260	17,344	18,428	19,512	20,596	21,680
6		12,380	13,618	14,856	16,094	17,332	18,570	19,808	21,046	22,284	23,522	24,760
7		13,920	15,312	16,704	18,096	19,488	20,880	22,272	23,664	25,056	26,448	27,840
8		15,460	17,006	18,552	20,098	21,644	23,190	24,736	26,282	27,828	29,374	30,920
9		17,000	18,700	20,400	22,100	23,800	25,500	27,200	28,900	30,600	32,300	34,000
10		18,540	20,394	22,248	24,102	25,956	27,810	29,664	31,518	33,372	35,226	37,080
11		20,080	22,088	24,096	26,104	28,112	30,120	32,128	34,136	36,144	38,152	40,160
12		21,620	23,782	25,944	28,106	30,268	32,430	34,592	36,754	38,916	41,078	43,240
13		23,160	25,476	27,792	30,108	32,424	34,740	37,056	39,372	41,688	44,004	46,320
14		24,700	27,170	29,640	32,110	34,580	37,050	39,520	41,990	44,460	46,930	49,400
15		26,240	28,864	31,488	34,112	36,736	39,360	41,984	44,608	47,232	49,956	52,480
16		27,780	30,558	33,336	36,114	38,892	41,670	44,448	47,226	50,004	52,782	55,560
17		29,320	32,252	35,184	38,116	41,048	43,980	46,912	49,844	52,776	55,708	58,640

RULE

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse**

Subject: Public Psychiatric Hospital Overflow Policy and Purchase of Emergency Private Psychiatric Hospital Services for Youth in Louisiana

In accordance with the authority vested in the Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse (OMHSA) by Title 28 of the Revised Statutes of 1950 and in accordance with Section 2121 et seq. of Title 40, the following policies and procedures are adopted for management of the current grave situation of public psychiatric youth hospital overflow and purchase of emergency private psychiatric hospital services for youth in Louisiana.

I. Purpose

To provide acute psychiatric and substance abuse care and evaluation for children and adults who cannot be served by state OMHSA hospitals because of lack of appropriate bed space. Purchase of services will be accomplished with private Louisiana hospitals to provide mental health and substance abuse in-patient services when, and only when:

A. A psychiatrist, who confirms the emergency, has evaluated the individual and determined him to be gravely disabled or dangerous to self or others due to psychiatric illness or substance abuse, and

B. No bed is available in any state OMHSA hospital, and

C. No less restrictive alternative is feasible that would be appropriate, such as:

1. OMHSA emergency out-patient services for the youth and/or family, including day treatment

2. Residential treatment

3. Group home

4. Specialized foster care

D. Approval for use of private OMHSA hospital funds will

only be given prior to placement, and only when the individual at the time referred is actively suicidal or homicidal due to mental illness or substance abuse.

II. Monitoring

To be accomplished via continuation of OMHSA Youth and Adult Hospital Overflow Studies determining:

A. Need for mental health and substance abuse hospitalization for youth.

B. Utilization of funds: routine analyses will be made of all obligations committed to by OMHSA. If appropriated funds are fully allocated prior to the end of any fiscal year no further approvals for private care will be made except by direct approval of the Legislative Budget Committee and/or Interim Emergency Board.

III. Procedure for OMHSA FUNDING PRIVATE HOSPITAL PLACEMENTS

A. Current OMHSA Hospital Overflow procedures will be followed:

1. Upon receipt of a referral by a state OMHSA hospital, the hospital will determine if the referral is appropriate and whether admission is required. If a bed is available and the referral appropriate, the hospital will follow its usual and customary procedures to admit the patient, in accordance with the Mental Health Law.

2. If a bed is unavailable, the state OMHSA hospital will call OMHSA State Office to report the overflow situation.

3. OMHSA State Office will return the call to the referral source to determine:

a. That the youth or young adult has been seen by a psychiatrist who confirms the need for emergency hospital admission.

b. If the youth or young adult has been referred to the local OMHSA Center/Clinic, and if not an emergency, referral will be made in order to secure a second opinion as to the need for hospitalization.

c. Whether emergency out-patient services through the local OMHSA Centers/Clinics, including day treatment, can be utilized as an interim measure while the individual's name is placed on the state OMHSA hospital's waiting list.

4. Once the OMHSA Center/Clinic has established that immediate hospitalization is needed:

a. OMHSA State Office will contact all other appropriate state OMHSA hospitals for a vacancy. If a vacancy is found, immediate admission will occur.

b. If no vacancy is found, OMHSA will initiate efforts to determine Title XIX eligibility. If eligible, admission to a private Louisiana hospital accepting such payments will occur.

c. If ineligible for Title XIX, admission will occur at another appropriate Louisiana private hospital.

IV. Linkage with DHHR/Office of Human Development Client Placement System:

Immediate Referral of all appropriate overflow clients will be made to the appropriate Office of Human Development office to accomplish the following:

A. Referral for review by the Regional Review Committee within 60 days. This action will occur whether the individual has been referred for outpatient services and placed on a waiting list, or admitted to OMHSA hospital or private Louisiana Hospital and

B. Immediate action by the OHD Case Coordinator who will contact the family to complete the family assessment, including financial information:

1. The family's ability to contribute financially will be determined according to the Client Placement fee schedule. If private hospital placement is approved, the fee established will be paid by the individual or family to the hospital, and those deductions will be made from the state's liability.

2. Insurance payments to the hospital

3. Title XIX payments to the hospital

4. If either 2) or 3) are feasible, such financial sources will be the hospital's first reimbursement dollar. Further Title XIX shall be considered payment in full.

5. SSI eligibility and payment to Hospital.

C. The OHD Case Coordinator will be responsible for insuring that provisions for partial payment are made in the form of:

1. Family financial contribution to the private hospital

2. Insurance coverage to the hospital

3. Title XIX payments to the hospital

4. SSI payments to hospital

D. OMHSA State Office will be responsible for insuring that payment is made to the hospital for the remaining difference, or for the total cost if other contributions are not feasible.

V. Limitations to coverage of private hospital costs

A. Eligibility for funding must be established prior to the individual's entry into the private hospital. There will be no retroactive payment prior to establishing eligibility.

B. Funding will be approved initially for not more than 28 days. At the end of this period (or before):

1. The individual will be discharged to the home or other less restrictive setting.

2. The youth will be transferred to a state OMHSA hospital.

3. If for any reason continued private hospitalization is necessary past the 28-day limit, the hospital will be responsible for securing approval for continued funding from OMHSA State Office. Any such extension must be approved by OMHSA State Office:

a. Every 28 days thereafter

b. When and only when, documentation from the treating physician supports the need for the extension.

c. There is continued unavailability of an appropriate public OMHSA bed.

C. Once a placement in an appropriate OMHSA hospital is offered, funding for private hospitalization ceases as of designated date for admission to an OMHSA hospital, if confirmation is received by an OMHSA psychiatrist that transfer will not cause harm to the individual.

D. At any time OMHSA can request a second psychiatric opinion to confirm that hospitalization is needed for the individual's best interest.

E. Educational costs shall not be paid by OMHSA/DHHR. These costs are the responsibility of the local education authority.

F. At the time of the emergency placement, determination will be made for method of payment of psychiatrists fees. If psychiatric services are to be included in the hospital bill, payment for the psychiatrist's services will be made to the hospital. In all other situations, payment will be made directly to the treating psychiatrist.

VI. Agreement Provisions

A. Private provider must be willing to accept from OMHSA State Office referrals who meet the eligibility requirements for OMHSA emergency funding, provided an appropriate bed is available.

B. Private provider must be willing to abide by the 28-day maximum stay and accept responsibility for contacting OMHSA State Office if an extension is necessary.

C. Private provider must agree to submit copies of all evaluations, but a minimum of a social, psychiatric, psychological and medical, to OMHSA State Office within 10 days of admission. Thereafter, weekly progress reports must be submitted. Payment will be withheld until appropriate reports are submitted.

D. Private provider must be willing to make further treatment recommendations upon discharge of the youth, concerning:

1. Place of discharge

a. Home, or other independent living situation

b. Foster home

c. Group home

d. Residential treatment center

e. State psychiatric hospital

E. Private provider must be willing to allow OMHSA, through Headquarters staff, to have on-site review privileges.

F. Private providers shall not discriminate against any proposed admission due to color, race, religion, sex or national origin.

J. Rahn Sherman, MD

Assistant Secretary

RULE

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Mental Retardation

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Mental Retardation, is adopting the amended Minimum Standards for Adult Day Services. These standards shall apply to all agencies who receive funding through the Office of Mental Retardation's adult day services program and who provide services to the mentally retarded adults.

STANDARDS FOR ADULT DAY SERVICES

I. Introduction

"Adult day services" means services provided in the community for mentally retarded adults. Adult day services include, but are not limited to, structured work programs, prevocational

programs, socialization and self-help programs, and behavior management programs. Standards for Adult Day Services are applicable to all programs which provide remunerative work opportunities for program participants. Adult Day Services are designed for mentally retarded adults who are not presently able to participate in a less structured vocational environment. Adult Day Services should take the form of work production opportunities provided in a realistic work environment and with appropriate supervision. Such work opportunities may be provided in a day center, a work station in industry, or through supervised work provided away from the day center.

Adult Day Services may also take the form of prevocational skills training. Prevocational training is appropriate for individuals lacking the prerequisite skills which would enable them to participate in a work environment. For individuals in this category, individual program plans should be written that address existing skill deficits which preclude full participation in a work program. Prevocational skills training may include work adjustment training, self-help skills training, and other such appropriate training designed to assist each program participant in functioning successfully in a work environment.

The Adult Day Services Program may also address training experiences designed to enable individuals to progress toward normal living within his/her community. Persons planning individual program plans may look at nonwork needs of program participants and may wish to recommend training designed to help the individual function more independently at work, in the neighborhood around the day center and in getting to and from work. Examples of nonwork related training activities are how to use public transportation, money management, and how to access public recreation facilities.

II. Purposes

Adult Day Programs funded through the Office of Mental Retardation shall operate for the purpose of:

- a. Providing daily services to mentally retarded individuals in the community.
- b. Providing opportunity for and access to extended remunerative employment for individuals whose handicapping condition is a continuing barrier to competitive employment.
- c. Providing the habilitation services necessary for successful participation and employment in a work environment.
- d. Maximizing the opportunity for the individual to earn wages.
- e. Ensuring that program participants have access to and opportunity for participation in his/her least restrictive vocational environment.
- f. Providing the prevocational skills training which may be needed by program participants to enable them to function more independently and to participate to any degree in a remunerative work program.

III. Standards

A. Eligibility

1. The agency shall request a determination of Title XIX eligibility by the Office of Family Security for all applicants to the Adult Day Services Program.
2. All program participants in the Adult Day Services Program funded through the Office of Mental Retardation shall meet the following eligibility criteria:
 - a. Twenty-two years of age or older.
 - b. Diagnosis of mental retardation by a licensed psychologist.
 - c. Verification of a current physical examination.
 - d. Development by a multidisciplinary evaluation team of a generic services plan recommending placement in a day program.
 - e. Decision by an Admissions Committee that placement

in an Adult Day Services Program is an appropriate placement.

f. Agreement by the applicant to participate in the Adult Day Services Program.

B. Intake and Orientation

1. The agency shall have written criteria for admission of individuals to its Adult Day Services Program.
2. The agency shall have a written policy for constituting an admissions committee to review appropriateness of services.
3. The agency shall refer all applicants to its Adult Day Services Program to the Division of Vocational Rehabilitation for a vocational screening.
4. The agency shall have a written policy concerning orientation of applicants to the program goals and services available through the Adult Day Services Program.
5. The agency shall ensure that applicants agree in writing to participate in the Adult Day Services Program.
6. The agency shall have a written appeal procedure and shall ensure that individuals denied admission to or terminated from the Adult Day Services Program are notified in writing of such an appeal procedure.

C. Program Participant Records

1. The agency shall have a written policy concerning confidentiality of or access to program participant records and the time period for maintaining such records.
2. The agency shall maintain a file on each program participant in the Adult Day Services Program which contains the following:
 - a. Office of Mental Retardation (OMR) - approved Application for Services.
 - b. Integrated multidisciplinary evaluation report.
 - c. OFS Medical Evaluation Form (OFS Form 149-A).
 - d. Generic Services Plan.
 - e. Written statement of certification of appropriateness of services.
 - f. Mental Retardation Service Plan.
 - g. Program Participant performance reports.
3. The agency shall maintain additional information in the program participant record as required by the Office of Mental Retardation.

D. Evaluation and Assessment

1. Psychological evaluation reports shall not be more than two years old at the time of admission to the Adult Day Services Program.
2. The findings of the multidisciplinary evaluation shall be reviewed and updated, if necessary, at least every three years.
3. Assessment services shall be provided to each program participant to assess and gather information needed to develop the mental retardation service plan (see E-3). Information related to the individual's functioning in the following areas shall be obtained:

- a. Physical
- b. Behavioral
- c. Social
- d. Vocational
- E. Mental Retardation Service Plan

1. The agency, through its program staff, shall develop within 30 days of admission and by the anniversary date thereafter a mental retardation service plan for each program participant which is developed in accordance with requirements of the Office of Mental Retardation.

2. The agency shall notify each program participant of the time and date of the planning conference to develop the mental retardation service plan.

3. In developing the mental retardation service plan, the program planning committee shall review and consider assessment information related to the individual's functioning in the

following areas: physical, behavioral, social, and vocational.

4. The agency shall ensure that the program participant is involved in developing the mental retardation service plan.

5. The agency staff responsible for carrying out the mental retardation service plan shall be involved in developing the plan.

6. Written semi-annual performance reports shall be shared with each program participant.

F. Program Guidelines

1. When appropriate, the agency shall have a valid certificate issued by the Wage and Hour Division of the U.S. Department of Labor to operate a sheltered work program.

2. The agency shall ensure that program participants have daily access to a minimum of six hours of active programming.

3. Each program participant shall be paid at a rate equal to his productivity through either a piece rate or hourly wage system.

4. The agency shall provide each program participant a written statement for each pay period which indicates gross pay, hours worked, and deductions.

5. The agency shall retain current community wage rate information on all production operations available in its Adult Day Services Program.

6. The agency shall assess each program participant's performance on specific job tasks for the purpose of developing the mental retardation service plan.

7. The agency shall assess each program participant's strengths and limitations in the area of work-related behavior for the purpose of developing the mental retardation service plan.

8. The agency shall provide work skill training designed to assist each program participant in attaining his/her work skill goals thereby enabling him/her to perform specific job tasks.

9. The agency shall provide work adjustment training services designed to assist each program participant in functioning successfully in a work environment.

G. Reporting

1. The agency shall provide the Office of Mental Retardation with a monthly report which indicates the following:

- a. Days in attendance by each program participant.
- b. Total gross wages paid to each program participant.
- c. Total hours in work production by each program participant.

pant.

H. General Staffing Provisions

1. The agency shall have a staff organizational chart of the Adult Day Services Program which has been approved by the agency governing board.

2. The agency shall hire an individual to be responsible for the administration and direction of the Adult Day Services Program.

3. Agency staff in the Adult Day Services Program funded by the Office of Mental Retardation shall meet the qualifications for employment as established by the Office of Mental Retardation.

4. The agency shall have at least two full-time staff in the Adult Day Services Program and shall maintain a staff/program participant ratio as established by the Office of Mental Retardation.

5. The agency shall have written job descriptions for all staff in its Adult Day Services Program.

I. Inservice Training

1. The agency shall have on staff at least one employee who has successfully completed the Office of Mental Retardation Basic Production Practices training series.

2. The agency shall have at least one employee on duty at all times who holds a certificate for successful completion of an approved first aid course.

J. Monitoring

1. The agency shall make available to staff of the Office of Mental Retardation all records and information needed to monitor compliance with these standards for Adult Day Services.

K. Licensing

1. The agency shall have a valid license issued by the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Licensing and Regulation to operate an Adult Day Services Program.

L. Behavior Management

1. The agency shall conduct its behavior management programs in accordance with Department of Health and Human Resources policy on Behavior Management.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

La. Register 10-20-83

RULE

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of the Secretary**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of the Secretary, is adopting the guidelines for the Community Residential Development Fund as published in the September 20, 1982 *Louisiana Register*, as follows:

A. Definition of Community Residential Development Fund:

The Community Residential Development Fund was established by Act 770 of the 1981 Louisiana Legislature for the purpose of granting loans to eligible private, non-profit organizations to pay for the initial costs of development of community residential programs. Community residential programs, as defined by Act 770, are residential programs for not less than four nor more than six physically and/or mentally disabled persons at one program site and would include such programs as community (group) homes, supervised apartments, or out-of-home respite care. Funds for the loan program are located within the Office of the Secretary to be used by the appropriate program Offices (i.e., Mental Retardation, Human Development, and Mental Health and Substance Abuse). The maximum amount available to any one organization is \$45,000.

B. Eligible Organizations:

In order to be eligible to apply for funds under the Community Residential Development Fund, the applicant organizations must, at a minimum, meet the following criteria:

1. Must be classified non-profit according to the requirements of S. 501 (c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1954, 26 U.S.C. 501. (c)(3);

2. Must agree to serve clients of the Department of Health and Human Resources;

3. Must serve clients whose mental or physical disability is not a result of the aging process and whose impairment limits one or more major tasks: walking, seeing, hearing, speaking, or breathing; and is attributable to any physiological disorder or condition, cosmetic disfigurement, or loss of limbs affecting one or more of the body's systems: neurological, musculoskeletal, special sense organs, respiratory, including speech organs, cardiovascular; or any mental or psychological disorder such as mental retardation, organic brain syndrome, and emotional or mental illness; and

4. Must provide a ten percent match to the borrowed amount either in cash or in real property or in kind.

C. Allowable Costs:

The costs of development which can be paid by this loan would include the following categories:

1. The downpayment for the purchase and/or construction of a home, duplex, or apartment(s);

2. The modification/renovation of such facility;

3. The purchase of equipment, fire/safety devices, and/or

furniture for the facility; and

4. Costs incurred during the development phase of the program prior to and up to one month after admission of clients. Such costs could include:

- a. The payment of salaries of personnel connected with the development and/or first month of operation of the program;
- b. The payment of rent, utilities, food and other general operating expenses during the development phase and/or the first month of operation; and
- c. The purchase of insurance during the development and/or the first month of operation.

Line item changes may be made only with prior approval from the appropriate program office.

D. Application Process:

1. Notification of the availability of funds for establishing community residential programs will be given through the Department and its Offices of Mental Retardation, Human Development, and Mental Health and Substance Abuse. Each Office shall designate its own Community Residential Development Fund Coordinator.

2. Interested/potential applicants shall request application packets from the Community Residential Development Fund Coordinator of the appropriate program office. The determination of which program office is appropriate will be based on the client population the applicant plans to serve. Those serving the mentally retarded will apply to the Office of Mental Retardation, those serving the mentally ill/emotionally disturbed and the autistic through the Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, and those serving the physically handicapped through the Office of Human Development. These Offices can be contacted at the following addresses; Office of Mental Retardation, 721 Government Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802; Office of Mental Health and Substance Abuse, 655 North Fifth Street, Baton Rouge, LA 70802; Office of Human Development, 1755 Florida Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA 70802.

3. The application packet will be mailed or delivered within five working days of receipt of request.

4. The applications will be due into the appropriate program offices by the first working day in November.

5. If the service provider anticipates operating expenses to be reimbursed through the Title XIX (Medicaid) Program, a simultaneous application shall be made to the Department's Division of Health Planning and Development. This will place the provider in compliance with Section 1122 of the Social Security Act which provides for review of all new health care facilities which are reimbursed through Medicare, Medicaid, and Maternal and Child Health programs. Three copies of the application, along with a questionnaire enclosed in the application packet, shall go to the Division of Health Planning and Development. Policy and guidelines for Section 1122 are included in the application packet. The Division of Health Planning and Development will accept the same application form as the Community Residential Development Fund.

6. The evaluation process will include an on-site inspection for the proposed facility by the program office and the Office of Licensing and Regulation. The program offices will be responsible for evaluating the application and proposed budget. During the evaluation process, applicants may be called to review and negotiate the application and proposed budget by the appropriate program office.

7. Following the evaluation process, the program offices will prioritize the applications according to the stated criteria for evaluation. The prioritized applications will be forwarded to the Office of the Secretary of DHHR for final approval.

8. Applicants will be notified by the Office of the Secretary of DHHR as to the final decision by January 15.

9. The agreements will be signed and the funds obligated by January 31.

E. Criteria for Evaluating Applications:

The program offices of Mental Retardation, Mental Health and Substance Abuse, and Human Development will be responsible for the evaluation and prioritization of applications/proposals. Each Office shall review those applications/proposals for the client population they are legislatively charged to serve. The following criteria will be utilized in evaluating applications by each of the program offices:

1. The experience of the applicant with similar programs and populations;

2. Need for the program for the clientele/geographical area;

3. The adequacy of programmatic components and services to be offered;

4. The degree of coordination between the proposed program and the necessary support services;

5. Demonstration of understanding of the principles behind the development of community residential programs;

6. Documentation that the program is the least restrictive setting for the clients to be served (for example, substitute family care for infants would be a more appropriate least restrictive environment than a community home for infants);

7. The proposed site has been reviewed by the appropriate program office and the Office of Licensing and Regulation to assure that it is or can be brought into compliance with licensing and certification standards;

8. The facility (house, apartment, duplex, etc.) must fit into the neighborhood where it will be established. (Labels, signs, or other distinguishing features which could draw attention to the program and its clients are prohibited.);

9. The site is separated from the location of the day programs in which the clients engage;

10. The site is not within 1,000 feet of another facility serving handicapped persons or another congregate living setting;

11. Access to and from the site should be convenient for its residents, staff, and others;

12. The soundness, justifiability, and practicability of the applicant organizations' budget request;

13. Documented financial need based upon the submitted financial solvency statement;

14. Operational funds have been committed by DHHR or another appropriate source;

15. How the proposed facility would fit into DHHR's current program priorities (for example, the placement of Gary W. classmembers); and

16. The applicant's commitment to the project as evidenced through at least a 10 percent cash or real property or in kind match as well as the amount of funds requested under the Community Residential Development Fund.

F. Repayment Procedure:

1. Repayment of the loan shall commence upon completion of the first year of operation and shall be made in equal payments during each month thereafter for the next 60 months. Such payments are due by the last day of the month and are considered delinquent thereafter. Delinquent payments are subject to a monthly interest penalty computed in accord with the rate paid of the past previous sale of the U. S. Treasury Bills prior to but covering the same period of time as the delinquency. Loan payments that are delinquent by more than two months may cause the entire principal to be due and payable as outlined in Section F.2. of these Rules. The repayment checks should be made out to the Community Residential Development Fund. For purposes of rate setting, the Department of Health and Human Resources will allow costs met through the Community Residential Development Fund

to be considered as reimbursable costs.

2. If the service provider which has received a loan under this fund ceases to accept appropriate clients, provide adequate care and maintenance to clients, or files papers of bankruptcy, the remaining unpaid portion of the loan shall be due and payable within a one-year period from the date on which the Department has notified the provider that the program has ceased to provide care or that the provider has filed bankruptcy proceedings. In addition to the remaining unpaid portion of the loan, an interest penalty shall also be due and payable on that portion of the loan which has been repaid. The interest penalty shall be computed beginning with the month in which the loan was finalized. The rate shall be that of the last previous sale of U. S. Treasury Bills prior to but with the same length of maturity as the time over which the loan has been repaid. If repayment had taken place over a longer period of time than the maximum maturity date of U. S. Treasury Bills, the one year Bill rate shall be utilized. The amount of the principal and interest shall constitute a lien in favor of the state against all real and personal property of the organization. The lien shall be perfected by the appropriate officer of the department by executing and acknowledging a statement of the name of the organization and the amount due on the loan and a copy of the promissory note which shall be recorded by the department with the clerk of the district court in the parish where the organization is located. If the organization has filed a petition for bankruptcy, the department shall file and enforce the lien in the bankruptcy proceedings. Otherwise, the lien shall be enforced in a manner prescribed by law. All funds received by the department from the enforcement of this lien shall be deposited in the Community Residential Development Fund in the state treasury.

3. If the private, non-profit organization is unable to continue to provide the specified services due to the termination of their lease by the lessor or to natural disaster, they may be granted a period of grace of up to one year in order to reestablish their program. During this period of grace, the repayment formula as described in R.S. 46:2394 shall be suspended until such time as the program is reestablished. If after the maximum one-year grace period the organization is unable to reestablish the program, the defaulting provisions of this section, as outlined in R.S. 46:2395, will go into effect.

G. The Annual Statement:

The private, non-profit organizations receiving loans under this fund shall submit to the appropriate DHHR program offices an annual statement setting forth the residential services they have provided during the year together with such other information as the department shall require. Those requirements for each organization include:

1. An accounting of expenditures made during the year for both start-up and on-going operational expenses;
2. A listing of personnel connected with the program during that year of operation complete with resumes and job descriptions;
3. The number of clients served and the nature of their disabilities;
4. A listing of the types of day programs utilized by clients during the year (i.e., Day Developmental Training Services, Sheltered Workshops, Day Hospital, College, Competitive Employment, etc.);
5. A description of the coordination that occurred with other agencies and services in the community/area;
6. Identifying gaps in services in the organization's community for the client-type served;
7. Identifying problems encountered, if any, during the year (examples include such elements as zoning, neighborhood opposition, client difficulties with law enforcement, accessing existing resources, safety issues).

The annual statement (six in all for each organization) shall be submitted within 60 days upon completion of each year of service.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

RULE

Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs Environmental Control Commission

Under the authority of the Environmental Affairs Act, L.R.S. 30:1066 (1) and (7) and 1084 B (1) and in accordance with the provisions in L.R.S. 49:951 et seq., the Louisiana Environmental Control Commission adopted revisions to the Louisiana Air Quality Regulations at the September 23, 1982 hearing. The revisions were forwarded and found acceptable by the Joint Committee on Natural Resources prior to adoption by the Commission.

The Commission adopted revisions to Sections 22.22.1 (A) and 22.22.2 (A) which state the test criteria to be followed by gas trucks and terminals. The revisions state the identical test criteria but remove the reference to Federal regulations and reference instead the Air Division Source Test Manual.

Revise Section 22.22.1 (A) of the Air Quality Regulations to read as follows:

A. Gasoline trucks and their vapor collection systems shall not sustain a pressure change of more than three inches of water (0.75 k Pa) in five minutes when pressurized to 18 inches of water (4.5 k Pa) or evacuated to six inches of water (1.5 k Pa) using the test procedure described in Method A of the Division's Source Test Manual.

Revise Section 22.22.2 (A) of the Air Quality Regulations to read as follows:

A. Loading and unloading operations at gasoline terminals shall not produce a reading equal to or greater than 100 percent of the lower explosive limit (LEL, measured as propane) at 2.5 centimeters around the perimeter of a potential leak source as detected by a combustible gas detector using the test procedure described in Method B of the document referenced in Section 22.22.1 (A).

The Commission also established the Air Quality Division Source Test Manual, Methods A and B, which are actual test procedures based on the EPA guidance document Control of Volatile Organic Compound Leaks from Gasoline Tank Trucks and Vapor Collection Systems. These procedures will be incorporated into the Air Quality Regulations.

AIR QUALITY DIVISION SOURCE TEST MANUAL

METHOD A PRESSURE-VACUUM TEST PROCEDURES FOR LEAK TIGHTNESS OF TRUCK TANKS

1. PRINCIPLE

Pressure and vacuum are applied to the compartments of gasoline truck tanks and the change in pressure/vacuum is recorded after a specified period of time.

2. APPLICABILITY

This method is applicable to determining the leak tightness of gasoline truck tanks in use and equipped with vapor collection equipment.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1 **Truck tank.** Any container, including associated pipes and fittings, that is used for the transport of gasoline.

3.2 **Compartment.** A liquid-tight division of a truck tank.

3.3 **Truck tank vapor collection equipment.** Any piping, hoses, and devices on the truck tank used to collect and route the gasoline vapors in the tank to the bulk terminal, bulk plant, or service station vapor control system.

4. APPARATUS

4.1 **Pressure source.** Pump or compressed gas cylinder of air or inert gas sufficient to pressurize the truck tank to 6250 pascals (25 inches H₂O) above atmospheric pressure.

4.2 **Regulator.** Low pressure regulator for controlling pressurization of the truck tank.

4.3 **Vacuum source.** Vacuum pump capable of evacuating the truck tank to 2500 pascals (10 inches H₂O) below atmospheric pressure.

4.4 **Manometer.** Liquid manometer, or equivalent, capable of measuring up to 6250 pascals (25 inches H₂O) gauge pressure with ± 25 pascals (± 0.1 inch H₂O) precision.

4.5 **Test cap for vapor recovery hose fittings.** This cap should have a tap for manometer connection and a fitting with shut-off valve for connection to the pressure/vacuum supply hose.

4.6 **Pressure/vacuum relief valves.** The test apparatus shall be equipped with an in-line pressure/vacuum relief valve set to activate at 7000 pascals (28 inches H₂O) above atmospheric pressure or 3000 pascals (12 inches H₂O) below atmospheric pressure, with a capacity equal to the pressurizing or evacuating pumps.

4.7 **Caps for liquid delivery line.**

4.8 **Pressure/Vacuum supply hose.**

5. PRETEST CONDITION

5.1 **Purging of vapor.** The truck tank shall be purged of gasoline vapors and tested empty. The tank may be purged by any safe method such as flushing with diesel fuel or heating fuel.

5.2 **Location.** The truck tank shall be tested where it will be protected from direct sunlight.

6. TEST PROCEDURE

6.1 The dome covers are to be opened and closed.

6.2 Connect static electrical ground connections to tank. Attach the delivery and vapor hoses, remove the delivery elbows, and plug the liquid delivery fittings.

6.3 Attach the test cap to the vapor recovery line of the truck tank.

6.4 Connect compartments of the tank internally to each other if possible. (If not possible, each compartment must be tested separately.)

6.5 Connect the pressure/vacuum supply hose and the pressure/vacuum relief valve to the shut-off valve. Attach the pressure source to the hose. Attach a manometer to the pressure tap.

6.6 Open the shut-off valve in the vapor recovery hose cap. Applying air pressure slowly, pressurize the tank, or alternatively the first compartment, to 4500 pascals (18 inches H₂O).

6.7 Close the shut-off valve and allow the pressure in the truck tank to stabilize, adjusting the pressure if necessary to maintain 4500 pascals (18 inches H₂O). When pressure stabilizes, record the time and initial pressure.

6.8 At the end of five minutes, record the time and final pressure.

6.9 Disconnect the pressure source from the pressure/vacuum supply hose, and slowly open the shut-off valve to bring the tank to atmospheric pressure.

6.10 Connect the vacuum source to the pressure/vacuum supply hose.

6.11 Slowly evacuate the tank, or alternatively the first compartment, to 1500 pascals (6 inches H₂O).

6.12 Close the shut-off valve and allow the pressure in the truck tank to stabilize, adjusting the pressure if necessary to main-

tain 1500 pascals (six inches H₂O) vacuum. When the pressure stabilizes, record the time and initial pressure.

6.13 At the end of five minutes, record the time and final pressure.

6.14 Repeat steps 6.5 through 6.13 for each compartment if they were not interconnected.

7. ALTERNATIVE TEST METHODS

Techniques, other than specified above, may be used for purging and pressurizing the truck tanks, if prior approval is obtained from the Assistant Secretary, with the Administrators' concurrence. Such approval will be based upon demonstrated equivalency with the above method.

METHOD B

GASOLINE VAPOR LEAK DETECTION PROCEDURE BY COMBUSTIBLE GAS DETECTOR

1. PRINCIPLE

A combustible gas detector is used to indicate any incidence of leakage from gasoline truck tanks and vapor control systems. This qualitative monitoring procedure is an enforcement tool to confirm the continuing existence of leak-tight conditions.

2. APPLICABILITY

This method is applicable to determining the leak-tightness of gasoline truck tanks during loading without taking the truck tank out of service. The method is applicable only if the vapor control system does not create back-pressure in excess of the pressure limits of the truck tank compliance leak test. For vapor control systems, this method is applicable to determining leak-tightness at any time.

3. DEFINITIONS

3.1 **Truck tank.** Any container, including associated pipes and fittings, that is used for the transport of gasoline.

3.2 **Truck tank vapor collection equipment.** Any piping, hoses, and devices on the truck tank used to collect and route the gasoline vapors in the tank to the bulk terminal, bulk plant, or service station vapor control system.

3.3 **Vapor control system.** Any piping, hoses, equipment, and devices at the bulk terminal, bulk plant, or service stations, which is used to collect, store, and/or process gasoline vapors.

4. APPARATUS AND SPECIFICATIONS

4.1 **Manometer.** Liquid manometer, or equivalent, capable of measuring up to 6250 pascals (25 inches H₂O) gauge pressure with ± 25 pascals (0.1 inch H₂O) precision.

4.2 **Combustible gas detector.** A portable hydrocarbon gas analyzer with associated sampling line and probe.

4.2.1 **Safety.** Certified as safe for operation in explosive atmospheres.

4.2.2 **Range.** Minimum range of 0-100 percent of the lower explosive limit (LEL) as propane.

4.2.3 **Probe diameter.** Sampling probe internal diameter of 0.625 cm (¼ inch).

4.2.4 **Probe length.** Probe sampling line of sufficient length for easy maneuverability during testing.

4.2.5 **Response time.** Response time for full-scale deflection of less than eight seconds for detector **with** sampling line and probe attached.

5. TEST PROCEDURE

5.1 **Pressure.** Place a pressure tap in the terminal, plant, or service station vapor control system, as close as possible to the connection with the truck tank. Record the pressure periodically during testing.

5.2 **Calibration.** Calibrate the combustible gas detector with 2.2 percent propane by volume in air for 100 percent LEL response.

5.3 **Monitoring procedure.** During loading or unload-

ing, check the periphery of all potential sources of leakage of the truck tank and of the terminal, plant, or service station vapor collection system with a combustible gas detector.

5.3.1 Probe distance. The probe inlet shall be 2.5 cm from the potential leak source.

5.3.2 Probe movement. Move the probe slowly (2.0 cm/second). If there is any meter deflection at a potential leak source, move the probe to locate the point of highest meter response.

5.3.3 Probe Position. As much as possible, the probe inlet shall be positioned in the path of (parallel to) the vapor flow from a leak.

5.3.4 Wind. Attempt as much as possible to block the wind from the area being monitored.

5.4 **Recording.** Record the highest detector reading and location for each incidence of leakage.

Persons requesting copies and/or further information concerning the revisions listed below may contact Gus Von Bodungen, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066, or phone (504) 342-1206.

B. Jim Porter
Assistant Secretary

RULE

Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs Environmental Control Commission

Under the authority of the Environmental Affairs Act, L.R.S. 30:1066 (1) and (7) and 1084 B (1) and in accordance with the provisions in L.R.S. 49:951 et seq., the Louisiana Environmental Control Commission adopted a revision to the Louisiana State Implementation Plan for Ozone Abatement at the September 23, 1982 hearing. Preceding final adoption of the revision by the Commission, it was forwarded and found acceptable by the Joint Committee on Natural Resources.

The revisions to Page 21A should read as follows:

The plan submitted between March and November 1979 and approved by the Environmental Protection Agency, projects attainment of the ozone standard by December 31, 1982. This is being accomplished without the additional hydrocarbon reductions resulting from the installation of secondary seals on volatile organic compound storage tanks with external floating roofs. Consequently, since the plan shows attainment by December 31, 1982 and the plan is approved, the Air Quality Division intends to allow the emissions abated by the use of secondary seals to be used in the growth allowance for the parish where reduction occurs.

Persons requesting copies and/or further information concerning the revision may contact Gus Von Bodungen, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066, or phone (504) 342-1206.

B. Jim Porter
Assistant Secretary

RULE

Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs Environmental Control Commission

Under the authority of the Environmental Affairs Act,

L.R.S. 30:1066 (1) and (7) and 1084 B (1) and in accordance with the provisions in L.R.S. 49:951 et seq., the Louisiana Environmental Control Commission adopted revisions to the Louisiana Air Quality Regulations at the August 26, 1982 hearing. Preceding final adoption of the revisions by the Commission, they were forwarded and found acceptable by the Joint Committee on Natural Resources.

The Commission adopted a revision to Section 6.10.2 which relaxes the reporting requirements for facilities on a compliance scheduled from semi-annual to annual.

The Commission also adopted a revision to Section 5.1 which refers to the booklet entitled "Rules of Procedure, Louisiana Environmental Control Commission." As these latest regulations supercede those in the Air Quality Regulations, Sections 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 will be deleted.

Persons requesting copies and/or further information concerning the revisions listed below may contact Gus Von Bodungen, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066, or phone (504) 342-1206.

LOUISIANA AIR QUALITY REGULATIONS REVISIONS

Revise Section 6.10.2 of the Air Quality Regulations to read as follows:

6.10.2 Any compliance schedule extending over 18 or more months from the date of its adoption shall provide for annual reports indicating increments of progress towards compliance with Commission regulations and standards.

Delete Sections 5.2, 5.3 and 5.4 of the current regulations.

Revise Section 5.1 to read as follows:

5.1 For details on meetings of the Commission, see the booklet entitled "Rules of Procedure, Louisiana Environmental Control Commission."

B. Jim Porter
Assistant Secretary

RULE

Department of Natural Resources Office of the Secretary Coastal Management Section

The Secretary hereby adopts the following Rule, in accordance with Louisiana Revised Statutes 49:951 et seq., the Administrative Procedure Act and Louisiana Revised Statutes 49:213.11 and 49:213.18 of the Louisiana Coastal Zone Management Act, and pursuant to Notice of Intent published in the March 20, 1982 issue of the *Louisiana Register*, and following public hearing and a meeting of the Coastal Commission held on April 19, 1982:

Appendix c1

Rules and Procedures for Coastal Use Permits
Part III. Permit Application, Issuance and Denial

H. Decisions on Permits

(2) If the staff of the permitting body recommends issuance of the permit, the permitting body will forward two copies of the proposed permit to the applicant. A letter of transmittal to the applicant shall include the recommendations to the Secretary and the anticipated date on which the application shall be presented to him for action. Unless good cause is then presented in support of changes to the permit and the conditions therein, the permit will be presented to the Secretary for action in such form.

Part IV. Stay of Activities Under Permits Pending Appeal

A. General

(1) A request to stay activities under the permit may be

filed with the Commission. In the interest of justice, the commission may stay all or a portion of the activities authorized under the permit. The stay order shall terminate upon disposition of the appeal or earlier if so ordered by the Commission.

Part IV, Modification, Suspension or Revocation of Permits, is renumbered and identified Part V, Modification, Suspension or Revocation of Permits; Part V, General Permits is renumbered and identified, Part VI, General Permits; Part VI, Determinations as to Whether Uses are of State Concern or Local Concern is renumbered and identified Part VII, Determinations as to Whether Uses are of State Concern or Local Concern; and Part VII, Determination as to Whether a Coastal Use Permit is required is renumbered and identified Part VIII, Determination as to Whether a Coastal Use Permit is Required.

Appendix c5

Procedural Rules for the Hearing of Appeals By
The Louisiana Coastal Commission

A. Meetings

(4) Upon Notification that an appeal and request to stay activities authorized by a permit has been timely filed, the chairman shall call a meeting to be held within seven days, unless later because of force majeure, to consider the request for a stay.

Frank P. Simoneaux
Secretary

RULE

**Department of Natural Resources
Resource Recovery and Development Authority**

The Louisiana Resource Recovery and Development Authority hereby amends its Rules of Procedure by revising Section 7.1 to read as follows:

7.1 COMPETITIVE NEGOTIATIONS: As authorized by R.S. 30:1150.7A(19), the following procedures shall be used by the Authority to conduct competitive negotiations for the procurement of full-service arrangements for the design, construction and operation of resource recovery facilities and systems as deemed necessary, desirable or convenient by the Authority. Use of this competitive negotiation procedure shall exempt the Authority from the provisions of R.S. 39:1551 - 39:1736, R.S. 39:1481 - 39:1526, R.S. 38:2310 - 38:2316, and R.S. 38:2181 - 38:2225. Procurements not related to full-service arrangements for design, construction and operation of resource recovery facilities and systems shall be accomplished under the provisions of Section 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4 of these Rules and other applicable law.

7.1.1 Definitions: In accordance with Section 1.5 of these Rules, the following special definitions are provided:

(a) Full-Service Arrangement - means an arrangement wherein a single vendor contracts with the Authority to be responsible for, as a minimum, the design, construction, operation and maintenance of a resource recovery facility or system.

(b) Request for Proposal - means an official solicitation in writing prepared pursuant to this Section for the purpose of inviting two or more vendors to submit detailed proposals to supply services for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of a resource recovery facility or system.

(c) Request for Qualifications - means an official solicitation in writing prepared pursuant to this Section for the purpose of inviting two or more vendors to submit a qualifications statement to be used in evaluating the capabilities of each vendor to successfully supply services for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of a resource recovery facility or system.

(d) Vendor - a person who proposes to provide or provides services for the design, construction, operation and maintenance of a resource recovery facility or system.

7.1.2 Records; Public Access: Except as required by R.S. 30:1076, R.S. 30:1150.7D and Section 7.5 of these Rules, all data, documents, and other materials prepared by or for the Authority or supplied to the Authority for purposes of this Section shall be treated by the Authority as public records in accordance with the Public Records Act (R.S. 44:1 - 44:42). Proposals and qualifications statements received from vendors which contain technical data, financial information, overhead rates, and trade secrets submitted by a person under this Section shall not be disclosed to any member of the public without prior written consent of said person. The Authority may assess charges for copies of records furnished to the public in accordance with R.S. 39:241.

7.1.3 Competitive Negotiation Procurement Procedures; Summary: The competitive negotiation procurement process shall consist of the following steps:

- (a) Issuance of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ)
- (b) Receipt of Qualification Statements
- (c) Evaluation of Qualification Statements
- (d) Issuance of draft Request for Proposals (RFP)
- (e) Receipt of Comments on draft RFP
- (f) Issuance of Formal RFP
- (g) Receipt of Proposals
- (h) Proposal Evaluation
- (i) Selection of Vendors for Negotiations
- (j) Negotiations
- (k) Award of Contract(s)
- (l) The Authority may, at its sole discretion, utilize one of the following modifications to this process:

(1) Dispense with steps (a) - (c) of this process and begin with step (d), "Issuance of a draft Request for Proposal."

(2) Initiate steps (a) and (d) simultaneously, with only those vendors found qualified under steps (a) - (c) to receive a formal RFP.

Should the Authority decide to begin the process with modification (1) or (2), the reason for this decision shall be stated in the written notice required under Paragraphs (a) and/or (d) of Subsection 7.1.4 of these Rules, as applicable.

7.1.4 Competitive Negotiation Procurement Procedures
(a) Issuance of a Request for Qualifications (RFQ); Contents, Notice, Questions, Charges:

(1) Contents - If the Authority determines that a Request for Qualifications (RFQ) is desirable, it shall prepare or cause to be prepared an RFQ. The purpose of the RFQ shall be to solicit information from vendors demonstrating the ability of the vendor, its employees, and any subcontractors to perform the services required. The contents of the RFQ shall include, but not be limited to, a general description of the project and the services desired, required vendor qualification data to be provided such as financial information, experience in design of resource recovery facilities or systems, experience in construction and/or operation and maintenance of resource recovery facilities or systems and/or public works or other facilities of similar size and complexity to the resource recovery facility or system being procured, and availability of any proprietary equipment or processes which may be required for the project, whether through direct ownership of rights or through licensing or similar arrangements. The contents of the RFQ shall also include the procedures and criteria (including weights, if any) to be used for evaluating the qualifications statements, and a statement that vendors who do not submit qualifications statements will be precluded from participation in procedures for changes specified in Paragraphs 7.1.5(b) and (c), and procedures for questions regarding the RFQ.

(2) Notice - Once the RFQ has been approved by the Authority for issuance, the Authority shall announce the availability of the RFQ through a written public notice. The written public notice shall contain, as a minimum, a general description of the project, the name and address of the Authority, where and how the RFQ may be obtained, the price to be charged for the RFQ in accordance with Subparagraph (a) (4) of this Subsection, where qualifications statements are to be sent, the deadline for receipt of qualification statements, the date, time and place that vendors found to be qualified will be announced, and a statement that vendors who do not submit qualifications statements will be precluded from participation in procedures for changes specified in Paragraphs 7.1.5(b) and (c). The public notice shall be advertised in the official journal of the state and in one or more newspapers of general circulation in the state at least once a week for three different weeks, the last advertisement appearing not less than thirty days prior to the last day for receipt of statements. The notice may also be placed in those national trade journals which serve potential full-service vendors. In addition, on or before the first day the notice is published in the Official Journal of the state, the notice shall be mailed to vendors who are known by the Authority to be in a position to furnish such services.

(3) Questions - Questions from vendors regarding the RFQ must be in writing and must be received by the Authority at least fifteen days prior to the deadline for receipt of qualifications statements. All responses by the Authority shall be provided to all vendors who requested the RFQ from the Authority at least seven days prior to the deadline for receipt of qualifications statements. A question-and-answer meeting may be held by the Authority in lieu of this written process, at least twenty-one days prior to the last day for receipt of qualifications statements. If such a meeting is held, a taped or written record of the meeting will be made available for inspection at the office of the Authority until the deadline for receipt of qualifications statements.

(4) Charges - The Authority may charge and collect from each person who requests an RFQ a fee established in accordance with R.S. 39:241.

(b) Receipt of Qualifications Statements: The Authority shall accept qualifications statements up to the day and time specified as a deadline in the Notice of Availability of the RFQ, or specified as a deadline by the Authority pursuant to a change authorized under Subsection 7.1.5 of these Rules.

(c) Evaluation of Qualifications: The Authority shall evaluate the qualifications statements received in accordance with the evaluation procedures and criteria specified in the RFQ and shall determine, based on the information presented, whether each vendor is qualified to offer the services required. After all statements have been evaluated, each vendor shall be mailed a list of all vendors found to be qualified. Each vendor found not to be qualified shall be so notified and, if requested by the vendor, shall be provided with a statement of the reason or reasons therefor.

(d) Issuance of a Draft Request for Proposals (RFP); Contents, Notice; Charges:

(1) Contents - Prior to the issuance of a formal Request for Proposals (RFP) for services on a project authorized under this Section, the Authority shall prepare or have prepared a draft Request for Proposals. The contents of the draft RFP shall include, but not be limited to, a summary of the procurement process, background data on the project, an anticipated time schedule for initiation and completion of construction, required vendor qualifications, qualifications evaluation procedures and criteria (including weighting, if any), performance standards for the proposed resource recovery facility or system, details of financing and contractual conditions, and details of the proposal evaluation and selection process, the specified length of time the Authority will require vendor proposals to remain in effect, and a statement that

vendors who do not submit proposals will be precluded from participation in procedures for changes specified in Paragraphs 7.1.5(b) and (c) unless the Authority has qualified vendors under Paragraphs 7.1.4(a) - (c), in which case the provisions of Paragraph 7.1.4(a) shall apply. The information on vendor qualifications and qualifications evaluation shall not be required in the draft RFP if the Authority has qualified vendors under Paragraphs (a) - (c) of this Subsection.

Notice - Once the draft RFP has been approved by the Authority for issuance to receive comments, the Authority shall announce the availability of the draft RFP through a written public notice unless Paragraphs (a) - (c) of this Subsection. If the Authority has qualified vendors, the Authority shall send the draft RFP to all qualified vendors as well as to consultants and advisors of the Authority, governmental entities involved in the project, and others deemed appropriate by the Authority. Other persons may obtain an informational copy of the draft RFP upon request. If the Authority has not qualified vendors, then the written public notice shall contain, as a minimum, general description of the project, the name and address of the Authority, where and how the draft RFP may be obtained, the price to be charged for the draft RFP in accordance with Subparagraph (d) (3) of this Subsection, where comments are to be sent and the deadline for receipt of comments. The public notice shall be advertised once in the official journal of the state and in one or more newspapers of general circulation in the state at least thirty days prior to the last day comments will be accepted. The notice also may be placed in those national trade journals which serve potential full-service vendors. In addition, on or before the day the notice is published in the official journal of the state, the notice shall be mailed to vendors who are known by the Authority to be in a position to furnish such services.

(3) Charges - The Authority may charge and collect from each person who requests a draft RFP a fee established in accordance with R.S. 39:241.

(e) Receipt of Comments on the Draft RFP: A period of at least thirty days will be allowed for receipt of written comments after the draft RFP has been made available for review. At the discretion of the Authority, a question-and-answer meeting regarding the draft RFP may be held during the thirty-day comment period. Comments made to the Authority at any such meeting must be reduced to writing and submitted to the Authority by the deadline for receipt of comments in order to be considered.

(f) Issuance of a Formal Request for Proposals:

(1) Contents - The formal RFP shall consist of the draft RFP as modified, changed or altered by the Authority solely at its discretion, based on the comments received or in accordance with Subsection 7.1.5 of these Rules.

(2) Notice - Once the formal RFP has been approved by the Authority for issuance, the Authority shall announce the availability of the formal RFP through written public notice unless the Authority has qualified vendors under Paragraphs (a) - (c) of this Subsection. If the Authority has qualified vendors, the formal RFP shall be sent to all qualified vendors, as well as to consultants and advisors of the Authority, governmental entities involved in the project, and others deemed appropriate by the Authority. Other persons may obtain an informational copy of the formal RFP upon request. If the Authority has not qualified vendors, then the written public notice shall contain, as a minimum, a general description of the project, the name and address of the Authority, where and how the formal RFP may be obtained, the price to be charged in accordance with Subparagraph (f) (4) of this Subsection, where proposals are to be sent, the deadline for receipt of proposals, the date, time and place that the vendor or vendors selected for negotiations will be announced, and a statement that vendors who do not submit proposals will be precluded from participation in procedures for changes specified in Paragraphs 7.1.5(b) and (c).

The public notice of availability shall be advertised in the official journal of the state and in one or more newspapers of general circulation in the state at least once a week for the three different weeks, the first advertisement appearing not less than ninety days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals. The notice also may be placed in those national trade journals which serve potential full-service vendors. In addition, on or before the first day notice is published in the official journal of the state, the formal RFP shall be mailed to those vendors who are known by the Authority to be in a position to furnish such services.

(3) Questions - Questions from vendors regarding the RFP must be in writing and must be received by the Authority at least forty-five days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals. All responses to such questions by the Authority will be provided to all vendors who received an RFP from the Authority at least thirty days prior to the deadline for receipt of proposals.

(4) Charges - The Authority may charge and collect from each person requesting an RFP a fee established in accordance with R.S. 39:241.

(g) Receipt of Proposals: The Authority shall accept proposals up to the day and time specified as a deadline in the formal RFP. At the time specified, the Authority shall make public the names of the vendors who submitted proposals. The Authority may reject any proposal which is incomplete or otherwise does not conform to the requirements for submission specified in the RFP.

(h) Proposal Evaluation: Proposals shall be evaluated by the Authority in accordance with the evaluation procedures and criteria specified in the formal RFP. During the evaluation period each vendor shall be given the opportunity to meet with the Authority's evaluation team to explain and clarify the proposal. The proposal may not be modified in any manner except in response to a change issued by the Authority under Subsection 7.1.5 of these Rules. The meetings with each vendor shall be confidential and during any such meeting the Authority shall not disclose any information derived from proposals submitted by competing vendors. The evaluation process will result in a ranking of vendors to be selected for negotiations.

(i) Selection of Vendors for Negotiations: Negotiations with vendors may be conducted by one of two methods.

(1) Single Vendor Method - The vendor ranked highest after the evaluation process will be requested to enter into negotiations for a contract to perform the services required for the project and notified of the timeframe for the negotiations. If a satisfactory contract cannot be negotiated with the highest ranked proposer within the timeframe specified by the Authority, negotiations with that vendor will be terminated and negotiations with the next highest ranked vendor will be initiated. This process may continue until either a contractual agreement is finalized or all vendors have been eliminated.

(2) Multiple Vendor Method - The Authority may initially negotiate simultaneously with two or more of the potential vendors. In this event, the vendors will be ranked a second time on the basis of the results of the initial negotiations. Final negotiations will take place with the then highest ranked vendor and will proceed as in Subparagraph (i) (1) of this Subsection until a contractual agreement is reached or all vendors have been eliminated.

(j) Negotiations: Negotiations shall be conducted in closed meetings. Attendance shall be limited to a negotiating team, comprised of Authority members, Authority staff, and consultants to the Authority, representatives of the vendor, and any others who are mutually acceptable to both the vendor and the Authority including, but not limited to, members of the legislature or other representatives of parishes and municipalities to be served by the facility or system. Negotiations shall be confidential, except for the final contract or contracts which result from the process. The Authority shall have the right to make changes to the project which

the Authority deems advantageous as provided in Subsection 7.1.5 of these Rules.

(k) Award of Contract(s): Once an agreement has been reached with a vendor as to satisfactory contractual terms and conditions, the contract(s) shall be prepared by the Authority. The contract(s) shall be formally executed by both parties only after official ratification by a two-thirds majority of the Authority; however, no full-service contract shall be executed with a corporation formed under the laws of any jurisdiction other than Louisiana until such corporation has qualified to do business in the State of Louisiana pursuant to R.S. 12:301.

7.1.5 Changes:

(a) Prior to the deadline for submission of qualifications, comments or proposals the Authority may at its discretion alter the contents of an RFQ, a draft RFP or a formal RFP, the procedures and /or deadline for submitting qualifications statements, comments, and/or proposals or the procedures for evaluating such. The changes shall be sent by certified mail to each person to which a copy of the RFQ, draft RFP or formal RFP has been sent.

(b) The Authority shall have the right to make changes to the project during the course of proposal evaluation and/or negotiations as provided in Paragraphs (h) and (j) of Subsection 7.1.4 of these Rules. Notice and explanation of any such changes to the project shall be furnished to all vendors who have submitted proposals or who have been selected for negotiations.

(c) If the Authority determines that a change to an RFQ, a draft RFP or a formal RFP would affect the ability of vendors to qualify pursuant to Subsection 7.1.4(c) of these Rules, or would affect the ranking of vendors developed pursuant to Subsection 7.1.4(h) of these Rules, it shall notify the appropriate vendors that a material alteration has been made, and shall allow said vendors a reasonable time to submit a revised qualifications statement and/or new or revised proposal. Vendors shall be limited to revising those portions of their original qualifications statement and/or proposal which address the material alteration. If no proposal was submitted due to disqualification of the vendor by the Authority prior to the material alteration, a complete proposal may be submitted. The Authority shall evaluate any revised qualifications statements in accordance with Subsection 7.1.4(c) of these Rules, and any new or revised proposals in accordance with Subsection 7.1.4(h) of these Rules.

(d) Vendors who do not submit qualifications statements or proposals in accordance with Subsection 7.1.4 shall be precluded from participation in the procedures set forth in this Subsection.

7.1.6 Cancellation of RFQs, RFPs and Negotiations: The Authority shall have the right to cancel outstanding RFQs, RFPs, negotiations or any other part of the competitive negotiation process at any time and without obligation except to notify in a timely manner all participants of such cancellation and provide in such notice a statement of the reason for the cancellation.

7.1.7 Type and Term of Contracts: Any type of contract which will promote the best interests of the state as determined by the Authority may be used unless specifically prohibited by any Law or other Rule. The term of any contract shall not exceed 25 years.

Frank P. Simoneaux
Secretary
Chairman, LRRDA

RULE

**Department of Public Safety
Office of State Fire Marshal**

EDUCATION OCCUPANCIES

The Fire Marshal for the State of Louisiana does hereby adopt the following administration Ruling:

L.A.C. 17-4:24 Education Occupancies

L.A.C. 17-4:24.1 In those educational facilities for which plans were approved prior to January 1, 1982 and in which deficiencies have been noted because of inadequate corridor separation, lack of smoke barriers, and lack of sprinkler protection in windowless classroom buildings, the State Fire Marshal will accept as equivalent compliance to the aforementioned requirements installation of a complete smoke detection system in the corridors and hazardous areas. This system must be capable of and properly connected to sound the general fire alarm and shut down all central air handling systems.

L.A.C. 17-4:24.2 Within 45 days after service on the owner and/or operator of an inspection report and order of correction citing the deficiencies listed in paragraph 24.2, the owner and/or operator of the school must submit to the Fire Marshal a proposed plan of correction in accordance with paragraph 24.2. The approved plan of correction then must be completed within the time specified by the Fire Marshal which shall not exceed 48 months.

Carrol L. Herring
State Fire Marshal

RULE

**Department of Public Safety
Office of State Fire Marshal**

OBSERVATION OF CONSTRUCTION

The Fire Marshal for the State of Louisiana does hereby adopt the following amendment to administrative ruling:

L.A.C. 17-4:6 Observation of Construction

L.A.C. 17-4:6.1 For structures which by law may only be constructed with plans prepared and certified by a licensed architect or civil engineer, it shall be the duty of the owner of such a structure to provide for periodic observation of the construction of the structure to determine if the work is proceeding in accordance with the plans and specifications as approved by the Fire Marshal. The observations shall be performed by a registered architect or a registered civil engineer.

L.A.C. 17-4:6.2 Upon completion of such work, the owner shall furnish to the Fire Marshal a certificate signed by a registered architect or registered civil engineer stating that the periodic observations have been made and that to the best of the architect's or engineer's knowledge, information and belief, the work was completed in accordance with those fire safety standards and regulations stipulated in the plans and specifications previously approved by the Fire Marshal.

[L.A.C. 17-4:6.3 remains unchanged]

L.A.C. 17-4:6.4 In order to comply with the requirements of Paragraph 6.2, the owner must submit to the Fire Marshal the following certificate completed by the observer:

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

Date _____

TO: The Louisiana State Fire Marshal
9131 Interline Avenue, Bldg. C
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809

This is to certify that the _____

(name of project by title for type or use)

located at _____

(Street/Number/Town)

was periodically observed by me, by my consultants, and/or by others in my employ during construction and, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, has been completed in accordance with the safety provisions which were shown in the plans and specifications previously approved by the Fire Marshal.

Under penalty of law for false statements, I, _____

(Name of Architect/Civil Engineer)

License No. _____ certify that all statements contained herein are, to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, are true and correct.

Carrol L. Herring
State Fire Marshal

RULE

**Department of Public Safety
Office of State Fire Marshal**

**AMENDMENT TO L.A.C. 17-4:16 -
UPDATE SUB PARAGRAPH 16.11**

The Fire Marshal for the State of Louisiana hereby adopts the following amendment to administrative ruling:

L.A.C. 17-4:16 Unattended Gasoline Dispensing Systems

L.A.C. 17-4:16.11 Except as otherwise provided herein, in addition to the above regulations, such systems shall comply with the applicable provisions of the National Fire Code, National Fire Protection Association (N.F.P.A.) Pamphlet No. 30, regarding the handling and use of flammable liquids as follows:

A. Those facilities for which the plans and specifications for the construction and/or remodeling have been submitted to the State Fire Marshal for approval on or before January 1, 1983 shall comply with the 1973 edition of N.F.P.A. Pamphlet No. 30.

B. Those facilities for which plans and specifications for the construction and/or remodeling are submitted to the State Fire Marshal's Office for approval on or after January 1, 1983 shall comply with the 1981 edition of N.F.P.A. Pamphlet No. 30.

Carrol L. Herring
State Fire Marshal

RULE

**Department of the Treasury
Bond Commission**

The following Rule and Regulation of the Louisiana State Bond Commission, Office of the Treasurer, was adopted pursuant to the Administrative Procedure Act of Louisiana (R.S. 49:951, et seq.) after a public hearing held thereon on September 14, 1982.

Rule 12 of the State Bond Commission's Rules and Reg-

ulations as originally adopted on November 20, 1976, and amended as of October 20, 1978, November 20, 1979, January 20, 1981 and February 20, 1981, is hereby amended to read:

12. Approval of the Department of Commerce and Industry must be obtained for projects to be funded by industrial revenue bonds, including industrial development board and public trust projects, which approval shall certify compliance with the provisions of Rule 1 adopted by the State Board of Commerce and Industry. If such approval is not obtained prior to submission of the application to the Commission, then any approval of the Commission will be made subject to approval of the Department of Commerce and Industry.

Thomas D. Burbank, Sr.
Secretary - Director

RULE

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The Wildlife and Fisheries Commission at its regular meeting on September 28, 1982 adopted the following Rule:

WHEREAS, the driving of vehicles, motorbikes, and three-wheelers, on the levee of Bundick Lake Dam have caused ruts, holes, elimination of grass, and washing away of the levee, and

WHEREAS, the Beauregard Parish Police Jury and concerned citizens of Beauregard Parish have requested the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission to prohibit motorized vehicles on the dam levee in order to prevent damage to the levee.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission does hereby prohibit the driving of motorized vehicles on the Bundick Lake Dam Levee, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that signs be erected denoting this prohibition and that agents of the enforcement division of the Department of Wildlife and Fisheries enforce this regulation.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

RULE

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The Wildlife and Fisheries Commission at its regular meeting on September 28, 1982 adopted the following Rule:

WHEREAS, the department biologists and the Chief of the Seafood Division have recommended the fishing of the oysters in Calcasieu Lake with the exception of the Calcasieu River and Ship Channel, East Fork, West Fork, and Oyster Bayou, and

WHEREAS, the Department of Health and Human Resources will examine the growing oysters of this aforementioned area and approve the waters for fishing oysters if the health standards are met, and

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission that the Calcasieu Lake Oyster season for 1982-83 be set in accordance with the following Rules and Regulations:

(1) That the oyster season in Calcasieu Lake be fixed to extend from one-half hour before sunrise on Monday, November 1, 1982, through one-half hour after sunset on Thursday, March

31, 1983, with the right being reserved to close said season sooner if biologically justifiable.

(2) That oyster fishing be limited only to the use of tongs and to daylight hours.

(3) The open areas shall be confined to the area of Calcasieu Lake with the exception of Calcasieu River and Ship Channel, East Fork, West Fork, and Oyster Bayou which shall be closed.

(4) The three-inch culling law shall be observed by all fishermen fishing the area and the culls shall be scattered around the perimeter of the reefs to provide for expansion of future harvesting.

(5) The taking of oysters for commercial purposes shall be limited to 15 sacks per boat per day.

(6) All oysters shall be put into sacks before leaving the oyster fishing area in Calcasieu Lake. Oysters not in sacks leaving the fishing area in Calcasieu Lake shall be confiscated and violator subject to penalty set forth in Title 56, Section 115.

(7) The taking of oysters for home consumption shall be limited to three bushels (two sacks per boat per day).

(8) All commercial fishing of oysters shall be done only with proper licenses, and the sacks of oysters be properly tagged before leaving fishing vessel. All sacks entering into commerce shall be tagged.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Secretary be and is hereby authorized and empowered to change the limit or close said season, if biologically sound.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

RULE

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission at its regular meeting held in Baton Rouge, Louisiana, August 24, 1982 adopted the following Rule:

WHEREAS, a petition containing 170 signatures of Caddo Parish residents requesting the prohibition of gill, trammel and flagg nets in Caddo Lake, Caddo Parish, Louisiana, was submitted to the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission, and

WHEREAS, state legislators of Caddo Parish, as a result of numerous requests from their constituents, have also asked the Commission to prohibit gill, trammel, and flagg nets in Caddo Lake, and

WHEREAS, the most important commercial species in Caddo Lake is catfish and is primarily harvested with hoop nets, and can still be harvested adequately using hoop nets, slat traps, and set lines, and

WHEREAS, past research conducted by the Department has demonstrated detrimental effects of gill, trammel, and flagg nets in gamefish species in similar type impoundments as Caddo Lake.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission hereby prohibits the use of gill, trammel and flagg nets in Caddo Lake, Caddo Parish, Louisiana; and for this prohibition to become effective January 1, 1983.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

RULE

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The Wildlife and Fisheries Commission at its regular meeting on September 28, 1982 adopted the following Rule:

WHEREAS, the fur industry of Louisiana represents a major resource of economy and income for many of the citizens of our state; and

WHEREAS, this resource is a renewable natural one, which has proven under wise management to increase in importance in our state; and

WHEREAS, an annual harvest of the surplus animals is in keeping with wise wildlife management techniques based on scientific management; and

WHEREAS, fur prices at the trapper level were depressed during the 1981-82 trapping season resulting from the world economic situation; and

WHEREAS, the depressed price level combined with lowered abundance of nutria and muskrat yielded a moderate decrease in trapping success and effort; and

WHEREAS, additional federal restrictions imposed by the Endangered Species Office concerning out-of-state shipment for otter and bobcat furs now require placement of a possession tag by trappers or buyers to insure state origin; and

WHEREAS, the zonation concept during the past season has proved workable and beneficial in reducing late caught unprime furs and has produced favorable comments generated within the fur industry; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission does hereby establish the 1982-83 fur bearers trapping season for the northern zone as being November 20, 1982 through February 15, 1983 and the southern zone as being December 1, 1982 through February 28, 1983; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the attached regulations governing the buying, tagging and shipment of bobcat and otter pelts are adopted for the 1982-83 trapping season.

BOBCAT AND OTTER TAGGING REQUIREMENTS

In order to obtain federal approval to export bobcat and otter out of the United States, the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries is required to insure that only Louisiana trapped otter and bobcat are tagged with Louisiana export tags.

In order to accomplish this, a special possession tag will be made available to fur buyers.

A blue tag for otter and a red tag for bobcat must be filled out by the trapper at the time the pelt is sold.

The information required includes trapper name, trapper license number, parish caught in and date trapped.

No bobcat or otter pelt shall be purchased from a trapper or be in the possession of a fur buyer without a possession tag.

Dealers shall not purchase bobcat or otter pelts without a possession tag attached.

No bobcat or otter pelt shall be shipped from the state without an export tag attached.

Dealers will obtain export tags for bobcat and otter by providing to the department one completed possession tag for each pelt to be shipped from the state.

It shall be illegal to falsify possession tags or attach Louisiana export tags to out-of-state bobcat or otter pelts.

Once possession tags have been received and counted by department personnel export tags will be mailed immediately.

Trappers shipping bobcat or otter out-of-state must provide

completed possession tags to the department in order to receive export tags.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

Notices of Intent

NOTICE OF INTENT

Commissioner of Agriculture and Dairy Stabilization Board

In accordance with the provisions of LSA 49:951, et seq., the Administrative Procedure Act, and LSA 40:931.8 B, the Dairy Stabilization Law, notice is hereby given that the Commissioner of Agriculture and the Dairy Stabilization Board will conduct a public hearing on Wednesday, December 1, 1982, at 10 a.m., Department of Natural Resources Building, Mineral Board Hearing Room, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

The purpose of the hearing will be to consider the adoption of comprehensive Rules and Regulations for administration of the provisions of R.S. 40:931.1-931.19, including, but not by way of limitation, the following: definitions; administration of the affairs of the Board; licenses; assessments; volume discounts; disruptive trade practices; below-cost sales; delinquent retail accounts; prohibitions, penalties, amounts; suspension/revocation of licenses; hearings under Administrative Procedure Act; and confidentiality.

Interested persons may secure a copy of the full text of the said Rules and Regulations by telephone, personal, or written request to Bob Simon, Executive Director, Dairy Stabilization Board, 4432 Florida Boulevard, Baton Rouge, LA 70806, phone 504/925-4870. Written comments will be accepted by him up to and including November 29, 1982.

Preliminary public hearings will also be conducted at the following dates, times, and locations:

New Orleans
October 29, 1982, 10 a.m.
Library, 3rd Floor Meeting Room 1
219 Loyola Avenue
Lake Charles
November 4, 1982, 10 a.m.
McNeese University - Gayle Hall
Room 104 - Section A
Shreveport
November 18, 1982, 10 a.m.
Louisiana State Exhibit Museum
Fairgrounds - West Wing
3015 Greenwood Road
Monroe
November 19, 1982, 10 a.m.
State Office Building, Room 242
122 St. John Street

All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments, orally or in writing, at all of the above hearings.

Bob Odom
Commissioner

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Dairy Board**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There will be no implementation costs (savings) associated with this Rule change.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There will be no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
No additional costs. All users of the Rule (i.e., milk processors and distributors, retail grocers) will find the proposed new Rule easier to understand than the existing Rules.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There will be no effect on competition and employment.

John Compton
Deputy Commissioner

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Culture, Recreation and Tourism
Office of the State Library**

The Office of the State Library intends to amend Rule IV-D of the State Aid to Public Libraries Grant which was published on page 407 of the August 20, 1981, *Louisiana Register* (Volume 7, No. 8).

Interested persons may obtain copies of this amended Rule or additional information from the address listed below. Written comments and suggestions will be accepted through November 5, 1982, at the following address: Ben Brady, Associate State Librarian, Louisiana State Library, Box 131, Baton Rouge, LA 70821-0131.

The proposed amendment is as follows:

IV. Maintenance of local effort

D. For each item of library material purchased and added to the library's shelf-list, up to two dollars in local funds is allowable to use in covering processing costs of shelf-listed items. Local funds, for the purpose of this paragraph, are those which are used to "maintain local effort" through the purchase of library materials.

Mrs. Lawrence H. Fox
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: State Aid to Public Libraries Grant**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
No anticipated increase in either cost or savings to the Office of the State Library since this affects only use of "local funds" of public libraries. Cost of approximately \$20 for duplication and postage to disseminate amendment of grant Rules to public libraries.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections as a result of amending Rule IV D.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

This amendment will enable public libraries which receive state aid grant funds the option to use up to two dollars in local funds in covering the costs of processing library materials which are shelf-listed as a part of their "maintenance of effort".

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There will be no impact on competition and employment.

Mrs. Lawrence H. Fox
Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Board of Elementary and Secondary Education

The State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education intends to adopt the following as policy:

1. 1982 Revision of Bulletin 1213, *Minimum Standards for School Buses in Louisiana*.

2. Amend Bulletin 746, page 78, relative to certification requirements for school nurses to specify that the requirements for a Type A certificate shall include: "... a baccalaureate degree in nursing or a health related field from a regionally accredited college or university. . .".

3. The Board approved the composition of the Teacher Certification Appeals Council to include two deans and either one teacher or one administrator.

4. Regulations for Operation of the Food Preservation Program.

5. Amend Board Policy 3.01.70V(38) regarding Criteria for the Establishment and Operation of a Paraprofessional Training Unit as follows:

II. CRITERIA FOR UNIT PARAPROFESSIONALS

1. Unit paraprofessionals must complete training as specified in the Office of Special Educational Services approved instructional paraprofessional curriculum. Unit attendants must complete training as specified in the Office of Special Educational Services approved non-instructional paraprofessional curriculum. Unit paraprofessionals and unit attendants must complete training as specified in the approved curriculum within one calendar year of their assignment to the unit. Plans for a minimum of 10 hours of inservice training per year for the entire unit educating staff must be submitted annually describing content, presenters, and projected dates.

2. Unit paraprofessionals must have a minimum of one year of experience working with exceptional students. NOTE: Preferably severely/profoundly handicapped students or non-categorical preschoolers (depending on the area for which application is being submitted).

3. A unit may be established only if all needed paraprofessional positions are filled by qualified personnel.

4. A current list of qualified teachers and paraprofessionals to be used as substitutes must be on file.

Interested persons may comment on the proposed policy change and/or additions, in writing, until 4:30 p.m., November 3, 1982, at the following address: State Board of Elementary and Secondary Education, Box 44064, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

James V. Soileau
Executive Director

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Bulletin 1213**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
The cost of printing 200 copies of Bulletin 1213 is approximately \$342 which will be absorbed in the current operating budget of the agency.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
No effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There will be no cost involved for the 66 Parish and City School Systems. The updating of Bulletin 1213 will enable each system or private contractor to purchase school buses equipped with the new equipment available in the school bus industry.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
No effect on competition and employment.

Geo. B. Benton, Jr.
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: School Nurse Certification**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There are no implementation costs associated with this change.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There is no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There are no costs or benefits (economically) to affected groups. This change will strengthen the requirement for Type A certification for school nurses by specifying that the baccalaureate degree be earned in nursing or a health-related field.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There is no effect on competition and employment.

Geo. B. Benton, Jr.
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Changes in Composition of Teacher
Certification Appeals Council**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
With two fewer members being reimbursed for travel expenses, it is expected that an approximate annual savings of \$1,224 will be realized.

- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There is no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There are no costs or benefits to the affected groups.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There is no effect on competition and employment.

James V. Soileau
Executive Director

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Operation of Food
Preservation Program**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There will be no costs (savings) to the Board of Elementary and Secondary Education or the Department of Education - Vocational Education. The Office of Health Services and Environmental Quality and the State Fire Marshal already inspect these facilities.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
This will have no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There will be no costs to affected groups. There will be no monetary benefits to affected groups.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
This will have no effect on competition and employment.

Geo. B. Benton, Jr.
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Revision to the Criteria for Establishment
and Operation of a Paraprofessional
Training Unit**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There is no anticipated cost or savings to the agency.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
Adoption of this Rule would not effect revenues.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
Adoption of this Rule would not affect any groups outside the agency.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
It is not anticipated that approval of the change in the Criteria for the Establishment and Operation of a Paraprofes-

sional Training Unit have a direct effect on competition and employment.

Geo. B. Benton, Jr.
Deputy Superintendent

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Southern University Board of Supervisors

The Southern University Board of Supervisors does hereby give notice in accordance with law that it intends to adopt as a permanent Rule the following schedule of fees for Summer School at the Southern University School of Law at its meeting on November 20, 1982 at 10 a.m. in the Education Building on the Southern University at New Orleans campus, 6400 Press Drive, New Orleans, LA.

Three credit hours: Tuition \$80; Law Library Fee \$5; Out-of-State Fee \$202 for a total of \$287. Six credit hours: Tuition \$140; Law Library Fee \$5; Out-of-State Fee \$202 for a total of \$347.

A copy of the proposed Rule may be reviewed at the Office of the Board of Supervisors, Joseph Samuel Clark Administration Building, Southern University at Baton Rouge. The Office of the Board will be open from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The Board of Supervisors shall accept written comments until 5 p.m., November 8, 1982 at the following address: Mrs. Henrietta Vessel, Administrative Secretary, Southern University Board of Supervisors, Box 10870, Baton Rouge, LA 70813.

Jesse N. Stone, Jr.
President

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules

Rule Title: Establish Summer fees for Law Students

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There are no estimated implementation costs associated with the establishing of fees.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
Projected self-generated income from the collection of student fees is \$15,875 in Summer, '82; \$17,462 in Summer, '83; and, \$19,208 in Summer, '85.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
Cost is to students enrolled only; no other groups or agencies are affected.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There is no estimated effect on competition or employment.

Jesse N. Stone, Jr.
President

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Southern University Board of Supervisors

Southern University Board of Supervisors does hereby give notice in accordance with law that it intends to consider for adoption an increase in tuition at its meeting on November 20, 1982 at 10 a.m. in the Education Building on the Southern University in New Orleans campus, 6400 Press Drive, New Orleans, LA.

The proposed changes include an increase of \$50 per semester for Southern University at Baton Rouge and Southern University at New Orleans and \$30 per semester for Southern University at Shreveport. Tuition for Summer school will be increased by \$25 on the Southern University at Baton Rouge and Southern University at New Orleans campuses and \$15 on the Southern University at Shreveport campus. The increase in part-time fees will be assessed on a pro-rata basis.

A copy of the proposed increases may be reviewed at the Office of the Board of Supervisors, Joseph Samuel Clark Administration Building, Southern University at Baton Rouge. The Office of the Board will be open from 8 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Friday.

The Board of Supervisors of Southern University shall accept written comments until 5 p.m., November 8, 1982 at the following address: Mrs. Henrietta Vessel, Administrative Secretary, Southern University Board of Supervisors, Box 10870, Baton Rouge, LA 70813.

Jesse N. Stone, Jr.
President

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Tuition Increase

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There will be no estimated implementation costs or savings to agency.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
Projected self-generated income from tuition will increase by approximately 26 percent on the Baton Rouge and New Orleans Campuses and 18 percent on the Shreveport Campus.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
Students will be affected to the extent of the increase as it impacts them individually. No groups are affected.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
These factors are not involved.

Jesse N. Stone, Jr.
President

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Office Of The Governor Division of Administration

Notice is hereby given that the Office of the Governor, Division of Administration, under authority of Revised Statutes

Titles 39 and 49, intends to amend the Rules for Conduct of Hearing previously published in the *Louisiana Register*, Vol. 8, No. 7, Page 326. The text of the proposed Rules is as follows:

CONDUCT OF HEARING

In accordance with the
LOUISIANA PROCUREMENT CODE
(Revised Statutes: Title 39)

DEFINITIONS

1. **Hearing Officer:** The hearing officer shall be the chief procurement officer or his designee who shall exercise such authority as is granted for the conduct of protests in accordance with the provisions of the Louisiana Procurement Code. (Title 39:1551, et seq., Section 1671B)

2. **Commissioner:** The commissioner is the Commissioner of the Division of Administration.

3. **Aggrieved person:** An aggrieved person is a person who files a written protest in connection with the solicitation or award or the issuance of a written notice of intent to award a contract under the Louisiana Procurement Code and has or may have a pecuniary or other property interest in the award of the contract.

4. **Interested person:** An interested person is any person who has submitted a bid in response to an invitation for bids, a request for proposals, or other solicitation issued under the Louisiana Procurement Code who has or may have a pecuniary or other property interest which may be affected by a determination made in a protest hearing.

5. **Candidate for suspension or debarment:** A candidate for suspension or debarment is a person, who in the opinion of the chief procurement officer has committed an action giving cause for suspension or debarment pursuant to R.S. 39:1672(c).

6. **Contractor:** A contractor is a person who has been awarded a contract.

7. **Party:** A party as used herein, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise, is either a "contractor" or a "candidate for suspension or debarment" or both.

APPLICATION

The following Rules shall apply to all hearings held in accordance with Sections 1601, 1671, 1672, and 1673 of Title 39 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes.

INITIATION OF HEARING

1. **Responsibility of bidders and offerors:** A hearing held to consider the disqualification of a bidder or offeror shall be commenced with the giving of written notice issued by the chief procurement officer, the commissioner or head of a governmental body.

2. **Protest of aggrieved person in connection with the solicitation, award, or issuance of written notice of intent to award:** Any person who is aggrieved in connection with the solicitation, award, or issuance of written notice of intent to award may protest to the chief procurement officer. Protests with respect to a solicitation shall be submitted in writing prior to the opening of bids. Protests with respect to the award of a contract or the issuance of written notice of intent to award a contract shall be submitted in writing within sixty days after bid opening or fourteen days after contract award, whichever is later.

The written protest must state the issue(s) protested. The protest hearing is limited to the issues contained in the written protest unless there is a showing that an issue not mentioned ought to be examined in order to properly dispose of the matter, or, in the public interest, there is other good ground for consideration of other issues and evidence.

Upon receipt of a written protest in conformity with the preceding paragraph, the chief procurement officer shall cause to

issue a written notice to the aggrieved person and shall also, issue a written notice to all interested persons.

3. **Suspensions and debarments:** A hearing for a candidate for suspension or debarment for cause from consideration for award of contracts or a suspension from such consideration during an investigation where there is probable cause for such debarment shall be initiated by issuance of written notice thereof.

4. **Contract and breach of contract controversies:** Hearings on controversies between the state and a contractor based upon breach of contract, mistake, misrepresentation, or other cause for contract modification or rescission shall commence with issuance of written notice by the chief procurement officer on his motion for reasons set forth in the notice or at the request of the contractor communicated in writing to the chief procurement officer and the head of the governmental body of the state utilizing the supplies, services, or major repairs under the contract.

NOTICE

The written notice required to be sent in order to commence a hearing within the foregoing section of these Rules for the adjudicatory hearings provided for to parties, aggrieved persons, or interested persons who do not waive their rights shall include:

A statement of the time, place, and nature of the hearing;

A statement of the legal authority and jurisdiction under which the hearing is to be held;

A reference to the particular sections of the statutes and Rules involved;

A short and plain statement of the matters asserted.

If the chief procurement officer is unable to state the matters in detail at the time notice is served, the initial notice may be limited to a statement of the issues involved. Thereafter, the chief procurement officer shall issue a more detailed notice prior to the date set for the hearing.

In addition to the requirements of the notice set forth above, the notice may contain a statement advising all parties, aggrieved persons, or interested persons as applicable that failure to participate in the noticed hearing shall serve to waive any and all further administrative remedies.

Whenever practical, the notice shall be served by return receipt certified mail. Where time or other factors render mail service impractical, the chief procurement officer may effect service by any other means reasonably calculated to communicate the written notice.

HEARING; RECORD

1. **Hearing:** An opportunity shall be afforded all parties, aggrieved persons, or interested persons to respond and present evidence on all issues of fact involved and argument on all issues of law and policy involved and to conduct such cross-examination as may be required for a full and true disclosure of the facts.

The hearing officer may, in his discretion, request written views from a governmental body which will be directly affected by the outcome of the adjudicatory hearing and give such weight to the submission as the facts and the law require. A copy of such written submission shall be provided to all parties, aggrieved persons, or interested persons participating in the adjudicatory proceeding.

Informal disposition may be made of any case of adjudication by stipulation, agreed settlement, consent order, or default.

2. **Record:** The record shall contain:

All pleadings, motions, intermediate rulings;

Evidence received or considered or a resume thereof if not transcribed;

A statement of matters officially noticed except matters so obvious that statement of them would serve no useful purpose;

Offers of proof, objections, and rulings thereon;

Proposed findings and exceptions;

Any decision, opinion, or report by the officer presiding at the hearing.

The hearing officer shall have all proceedings before him recorded electronically and may in his discretion, or shall upon written request of any party, aggrieved person, or interested person, cause to be made a full transcript of said proceedings.

The cost of a transcript shall be paid by the Division of Administration when the hearing officer elects upon his motion to transcribe the proceedings. In such event, any party, aggrieved person, or interested person requesting a copy shall be given a copy upon first paying the actual cost thereof or upon payment of the cost of a portion of the transcript if the request is for a particular portion of the transcript.

The cost of a transcript shall be paid by the party, aggrieved person, or interested person when a transcript is made at their request. Copies requested shall be paid for by the party, aggrieved person, interested person, or the hearing officer as the case may be.

Findings of fact made by the hearing officer shall be based exclusively on the evidence and on matters officially noticed.

RULES OF EVIDENCE; OFFICIAL NOTICE; OATHS AND AFFIRMATIONS; SUBPOENAS; DEPOSITIONS AND DISCOVERY; AND CONFIDENTIAL PRIVILEGED INFORMATION

1. **Rules of evidence:** The hearing officer (a) may admit and give probative effect to evidence which possesses probative value commonly accepted by reasonable prudent persons in the conduct of their affairs. He shall give effect to the Rules of privilege recognized by law. He may exclude incompetent, irrelevant, immaterial, and unduly repetitious evidence. Objections to evidentiary offers may be made and shall be noted in the record. Subject to these requirements, when a hearing will be expedited and the interests of the parties, aggrieved person, or interested persons will not be prejudiced substantially, any part of the evidence may be received in written form. (b) All evidence, including records and documents in the possession of the governmental agency of which the hearing officer desires to avail himself, shall be offered and made a part of the record, and all such documentary evidence may be received in the form of copies or excerpts, or by incorporation by reference. In case of incorporation by reference, the materials so incorporated shall be available for examination by the parties before being received in evidence.

2. **Official notice:** Notice may be taken of judicially cognizable facts. In addition, notice may be taken of generally recognized technical or scientific facts within a governmental agency's specialized knowledge. Parties, aggrieved persons, or interested persons shall be notified either before or during the hearing, or by reference in preliminary reports or otherwise, of the material noticed, including any staff memoranda or data, and they shall be afforded an opportunity to contest the material so noticed. A governmental agency's experience, technical competence, and specialized knowledge may be utilized in the evaluation of the evidence.

3. **Oaths and affirmations:** The hearing officer shall have the power to administer oaths and affirmations, regulate the course of the hearings, set the time and place for continued hearings, fix the time for filing of briefs and other documents, and direct the parties to appear and confer to consider the simplification of the issues. The hearing officer shall also have authority to raise issues not otherwise raised by persons party to a hearing where such an issue is pertinent to a proper disposition of the matter.

4. **Subpoenas:** The hearing officer shall have power to sign and issue subpoenas requiring attendance and giving of testimony by witnesses and the production of books, papers, and other documentary evidence. No subpoena shall be issued until the

party, aggrieved person, interested person, or governmental agency who wishes to subpoena the witness first deposits a sum of money sufficient to pay all fees and expenses to which a witness in a civil case is entitled pursuant to R.S. 13:3661 and R.S. 13:3671. Witnesses subpoenaed to testify only to an opinion founded on special study or experience in any branch of science, or to make scientific or professional examinations, and to state the results thereof, shall receive such additional compensation from the party, aggrieved person, interested person, or governmental agency who wishes to subpoena such witness as may be fixed by the hearing officer with reference to the value of the time employed and the degree of learning or skill required. Whenever any person summoned neglects or refuses to obey such summons, or to produce books, papers, records, or other data, or to give testimony, as required, the hearing officer may apply to the judge of the district court for the district within which the person so summoned resides or is found, for an attachment against him as for a contempt.

5. **Depositions and discovery:** The hearing officer, governmental agency, or any party, aggrieved person, or interested person may take the depositions of witnesses, within or without the state, in the same manner as provided by law for the taking of depositions in civil actions in courts of record. Depositions so taken shall be admissible in the record of the hearing. The admission of such depositions may be objected to at the time of hearing and may be received in evidence or excluded from the evidence by hearing officer in accordance with the rules of evidence provided in these Rules.

6. **Confidential and privileged information:** Records and documents, in the possession of a governmental body, the hearing officer, or any officer or employee, including conclusions drawn therefrom which are deemed confidential and privileged shall not be made available for adjudication proceedings and shall not be subject to subpoena by any person or other state or federal agency.

Such records or documents shall only include any private contracts, geological and geophysical information and data, trade secrets and commercial or financial data, which are obtained by an agency through a voluntary agreement between the agency and any person, which said records and documents are designated as confidential and privileged by the parties when obtained, or records and documents which are specifically exempt from disclosure by statute.

DECISIONS AND ORDERS OF THE HEARING OFFICER

If the subject matter of the hearing is not resolved, the hearing officer shall, within fourteen days of the conclusion of a protest hearing, or within a reasonable time of the conclusion of a hearing to determine responsibility, suspension or debarment, or a controversy between the state and a contractor, issue a written decision stating the reasons for the action taken and informing the party, aggrieved person, or interested person of the right to administrative review and thereafter judicial review where applicable.

A copy of the decision or order shall be mailed or otherwise furnished the party, aggrieved person, or interested person immediately.

The decision of the hearing officer shall become final and conclusive unless the decision is fraudulent or the party, aggrieved person, or interested person adversely affected by the decision or order has timely appealed administratively to the commissioner.

The final decision of the hearing officer shall not be subject to the review of the commissioner when the decision is rendered in a proceeding to determine responsibility of a bidder or offeror. Notice of the right to judicial review of the final decision shall accompany service of the final decision.

A bidder or offeror who is disqualified shall have the right to request a rehearing before the hearing officer. This right must be exercised within ten days of the date of receipt of the decision of

disqualification. The grounds for rehearing shall be limited to the following:

The decision or order is clearly contrary to the law and the evidence;

The party has discovered since the hearing evidence important to the issues which he could not have with due diligence obtained before or during the hearing;

There is a showing that issues not previously considered ought to be examined in order to properly dispose of the matter; or

There is other good ground for further consideration of the issues and the evidence in the public interest.

The request for rehearing on behalf of a bidder or offeror disqualified after hearing on his responsibility shall be in writing and shall set forth the grounds which justify a rehearing. In the event a rehearing is granted by the hearing officer, it shall be confined to the grounds upon which the rehearing was granted.

ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL TO THE COMMISSIONER

The commissioner shall have authority to review and determine any appeal by a party, aggrieved person or interested person who has intervened in a hearing before the hearing officer from a determination by the hearing officer from an adjudication on a protest of a solicitation, award, or intent to award, a suspension or debarment, or a controversy between the state and a contractor.

1. Scope of appellate review by the commissioner: An appeal to the commissioner authorized by R.S. 39:1681 and the foregoing provision shall be limited to a review of the record of the proceedings before the hearing officer and written briefs submitted by or on behalf of persons who have appealed.

A person seeking review by the commissioner of a decision by the hearing officer may, within the time limitations fixed herein-below for appeals, raise by separate written documents: (a) the existence and discovery since hearing of new evidence important to the issues which he could not have with due diligence obtained before or during trial; or (b) the existence of issues not previously considered which ought to be examined in order to properly dispose of the matter. Upon receipt of such separate written document, the commissioner, should he deem the assertions well founded, may either remand the matter to the hearing office or grant a hearing to consider the assertions himself. In either event, whether the assertions are heard by the hearing officer or the commissioner, the evidence or submissions of said hearing shall be incorporated into the record and considered in the administrative appeal.

2. Appeal of protest hearing: An aggrieved person or an interested person who has participated in the proceedings before the hearing officer appealed from shall file an appeal to the commissioner within seven days of receipt of the decision of the hearing officer. The commissioner shall decide within fourteen days whether the solicitation or award or intent thereof was in accordance with the constitution, statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the solicitation. A copy of the decision of the commissioner on the appeal shall be mailed or otherwise furnished immediately to the aggrieved person or interested person who has appealed or otherwise participated in the appeal from the decision of the hearing officer. The decision of the commissioner on the appeal shall be final and conclusive unless: (a) the decision is fraudulent; or (b) the person adversely affected by the decision of the commissioner has timely appealed to the court in accordance with R.S. 39:1691(A).

3. Appeal of suspension or debarment hearing: A party shall file his appeal with the commissioner from a suspension or debarment hearing within fourteen days of the receipt of the decision of suspension or debarment from the hearing officer. The commissioner shall decide within fourteen days whether, or the

extent to which, the debarment or suspension was in accordance with the constitution, statute, regulations, and the best interests of the state and was fair. A copy of the decision shall be mailed or otherwise furnished immediately to the debarred or suspended person or any other party interviewing. The decision of the commissioner on the appeal shall be final and conclusive unless: (a) the decision is fraudulent; or (b) the debarred or suspended party has timely appealed to the court in accordance with R.S. 39:1691(B). The filing of a petition in the Nineteenth Judicial District Court shall not stay the decision of the Commissioner except as is provided under the section entitled "Procedure Upon Judicial Review" of this Rule.

4. Appeal of contractor controversy: A party shall file his appeal with the commissioner within fourteen days of the receipt of the determination under R.S. 39:1673(C). The commissioner shall decide within fourteen days the contractor or breach of contract controversy. A copy of the decision shall be mailed or otherwise furnished immediately to the contractor. The decision of the commissioner on appeal shall be final and conclusive unless: (a) the decision is fraudulent; or (b) the contractor has timely appealed to the court in accordance with R.S. 39:1691(C). The filing of a petition in the Nineteenth Judicial District Court shall not stay the decision of the Commissioner except as is provided under the section entitled "Procedure Upon Judicial Review" of this Rule.

JUDICIAL APPEAL FROM ADMINISTRATIVE DECISIONS

1. Solicitation and award of contracts: The Nineteenth Judicial District Court shall have exclusive venue over an action between the state and a bidder, offeror, or contractor, prospective or actual, to determine whether a solicitation or award of a contract is in accordance with the constitution, statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the solicitation. Such actions shall extend to all kinds of actions, whether for monetary damages or for declaratory, injunctive, or other equitable relief. Any action under R.S. 39:161(A) shall be commenced within fourteen days after receipt of the decision of the commissioner under R.S. 39:1683(C).

2. Debarment or suspension: The Nineteenth Judicial District Court shall have exclusive venue over an action between the state and a person who is subject to a suspension or debarment proceeding, to determine whether the debarment or suspension is in accordance with the constitution, statute, and regulations. Such actions shall extend to actions for declaratory, injunctive, or other equitable relief. Any action under R.S. 39:1691(B) shall be commenced within six months after receipt of the decision of the commissioner under R.S. 39:1684(C).

3. Actions under contracts or for breach of contract: The Nineteenth Judicial District Court shall have exclusive venue over an action between the state and a contractor who contracts with the state, for any cause of action which arises under or by virtue of the contract, whether the action is on the contract or for a breach of the contract or whether the action is for declaratory, injunctive, or other equitable relief. Any action under R.S. 39:1691(C) shall be commenced within six months after receipt of the decision of the commissioner under R.S. 39:1685(C).

4. Disqualification of bidders or offerors: A bidder or offeror disqualified after a hearing conducted pursuant to R.S. 39:1601 shall have a right of appeal to the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Any action for review of a hearing conducted pursuant to R.S. 39:1601 shall be commenced within thirty days after receipt of the hearing officer or within thirty days of the receipt of a decision on an application for rehearing.

PROCEDURE UPON JUDICIAL REVIEW

An appeal to the Nineteenth Judicial District Court for review of a decision of the commissioner shall be instituted within the time delays established in the preceding section entitled

“Judicial Appeal from Administrative Decisions” by the filing of a petition. An appeal to the decision of a hearing officer in a hearing involving the responsibility of a bidder or offeror shall likewise be filed within the delay provided in the preceding section and shall be instituted by the filing of a petition.

The filing of the petition does not stay enforcement of a decision in proceedings involving responsibility of a bidder or offeror, suspension or debarment, or controversies between the state and a contractor. The commissioner may grant, or the Nineteenth Judicial District Court may order, a stay upon appropriate terms.

The filing of a petition shall stay progress of a solicitation or award of a contract unless the chief procurement officer makes a written determination that the awarding of the contract is necessary without delay to protect the substantial interests of the state. Upon such determination, no court shall enjoin progress under the award except after notice and hearing.

1. **Review:** The review shall be conducted by the Nineteenth Judicial District Court without a jury and shall be confined to the record. In case of alleged irregularities in procedure before the agency, not shown in the record, proof thereon may be taken in the court. The court, upon request, shall hear oral argument and receive written briefs. There shall be no right of review by a trial de novo.

2. **Judgment on Review:** The court may affirm the decision of the commissioner or chief procurement officer, as the case may be, or remand the case for further proceedings. The court may reverse or modify the decision if substantial rights of the appellant have been prejudiced because the administrative findings, inferences, conclusions, or decisions are:

In violation of constitutional or statutory provisions;

In excess of the statutory authority of the agency;

Made upon lawful procedure;

Affected by other error of law;

Arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion or clearly unwarranted exercise of discretion; or

Manifestly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record. In the application of the Rule, where the agency has the opportunity to judge of the credibility of witnesses by first hand observation of demeanor on the witness stand and the reviewing court does not, due regard shall be given to the agency’s determination of credibility issues.

APPEALS

Review of a final judgment of the district court to the Court of Appeal for the First Circuit shall be taken as in other civil cases.

Interested persons may submit in writing comments concerning the amendment of the Rule to Linda Alwood, Division of Administration, P.O. Box 44095, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804, on or before November 3, 1982.

Linda E. Alwood
Assistant Commissioner

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Conduct of Hearing

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

There will be no estimated implementation costs (savings) to the agency as a result of this Rule change.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections as a

result of this Rule change.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

There are no estimated costs or benefits to affected groups as a result of this Rule change.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There is no estimated effect on competition and employment as a result of this Rule change.

Edgar C. Jordan, III
Assistant Commissioner

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Office of the Governor Division of Administration Office of Contractual Review

Regulations for the Procurement of
Professional, Personal, and Consulting Services

Address all inquiries and written comments to: Bonita B. Brown, Office of Contractual Review, Box 44095, Baton Rouge, LA, 70804, telephone - (504) 342-7097. Comments must be in writing and received by November 4, 1982.

All Contracts for Personal, Professional
or Consulting Services

I

Delegation of Authority

The Director of Contractual Review may delegate in writing certain responsibilities set forth herein; however, he shall review any actions taken by his designee.

II

Definitions and Classes of
Contractual Services

The following services shall be contracted out in accordance with these regulations:

A. “Personal Service” means work rendered by an independent contractor which requires the use of creative or artistic skills, such as, but not limited to, graphic artists, sculptors, musicians, photographers, and writers, or which requires the use of highly technical or unique individual skills or talents, such as, but not limited to, paramedicals, therapists, handwriting analysts, and expert witnesses for adjudications or other court proceedings.

B. “Professional Service” means work rendered by an independent contractor who has a professed knowledge of some department of learning or science used by its practical application to the affairs of others or in the practice of an art founded on it including, but not limited to lawyers, doctors, dentists, veterinarians, architects, engineers, landscape architects, and accountants. A profession is a vocation founded upon prolonged and specialized intellectual training which enables a particular service to be rendered. The word “professional” implies professed attainments in special knowledge as distinguished from mere skill.

C. “Consulting Service” means work, other than professional or personal service, rendered by an independent contractor who possesses specialized knowledge, experience, and expertise to investigate assigned problems or projects and to provide counsel, review, design, development, analysis, or advice in formulating or implementing programs or services or improvements in programs or services, including, but not limited to, such areas as management, personnel, finance, accounting, planning and feasibility studies, data processing, advertising and public relations.

D. Interagency contracts between state departments,

agencies, boards, commissions, colleges or universities for any of the services enumerated in A, B, or C above shall be governed by these regulations, except that contracts between boards of higher education and their respective institutions shall be exempt.

III

Contracts for \$5,000 or Less

A. The Director of the Office of Contractual Review may, in accordance with R.S. 39:1488, 1490B(3), and 1508, delegate to other state using agencies certain responsibilities in the review and approval process of professional, personal and consulting service contracts, to specifically include contracts for professional, personal and consulting services under \$5,000. Such delegations of authority may be made upon written request by the head of the using agency and shall be provided for in a written Memorandum of Agreement between the Office of Contractual Review and each using agency receiving such a delegation. All provisions of law and of these regulations not delegated remain applicable. Upon execution of the Memorandum of Agreement as herein provided, such delegation of authority shall remain in full force and effect, until it may be cancelled in writing, by the Director of the Office of Contractual Review.

B. A contract meeting the definition of "small purchase" under R.S. 39:1508 may be approved by the agency director without the necessity of forwarding a copy to the Office of Contractual Review. The agency shall maintain a file for all small purchase contracts. This file shall be available for inspection by the Director of the Office of Contractual Review or his designee upon request.

C. The using agency shall submit a quarterly report to the Office of Contractual Review and to the Division of Administration Budget Office. This report shall contain a listing of all small purchase contracts to include: the name of contractor, amount of contract, specific nature of services rendered, date of contract, and total dollar amount of all small purchase contracts entered into by the using agency for that quarter. If no such contracts have been entered into during this period, a report still shall be submitted notifying the Office of Contractual Review of same. See Attachment E for format of report.

IV

Contract Contents

A. Each contract for professional, personal, and consulting services shall follow the provisions of R.S. 39:1498.1.

B. Contracts funded fully or in part by Federal funds, in addition to meeting all the requirements of these guidelines and R.S. 39:1428-1473, shall meet all applicable Federal standards and shall contain all necessary clauses required by Federal statutes, Rules or Regulations. The burden of complying with Federal regulations shall rest with the using agency.

C. Travel expenses shall be reimbursed in accordance with Division of Administration Policy and Procedure Memorandum 49 (the State General Travel Regulations).

D. When a contract is to include travel and/or other reimbursable expenses, it shall contain language to effect the following:

1. Travel and other reimbursable expenses shall constitute part of the total maximum payable under the contract; or
2. No more than (a certain sum) of the total maximum amount payable under this contract shall be paid or received as reimbursement for travel and other reimbursable expenses.

V

Modification of Contract

All amendments to contracts for professional, personal and consulting services shall be submitted to the Office of Contractual Review and shall become effective only upon approval by the Director of the Office of Contractual Review. If an amendment extends a contract beyond one year, justification for a multi-year contract must be submitted with said amendment in accordance with Part XII, and if an amendment increases the amount of a

contract to \$25,000 or more, an extra copy of the contract and amendment must be submitted in accordance with Part VII.

VI

Termination of Contract

Whenever a contract is terminated prior to the termination date stated in the contract, the Office of Contractual Review shall be notified in writing by the using agency of such prior termination, and the reasons therefor.

VII

Submission of Contracts

The original contract and two copies of said contract and attachments shall be submitted to the Office of Contractual Review for contracts less than \$25,000. Contracts for \$25,000 or more must be submitted with three copies (the extra copy will be forwarded to the Legislative Fiscal office). The Office of Contractual Review will not accept for review and approval any contract that is not accompanied by the necessary attachments and copies as required herein. (Attachments being submittal letters, R.S. 39:1497 certification, BA-22, etc.)

VIII

Contractual Review Process

A. Contracts arriving in the Office of Contractual Review will be date stamped and logged in. Contracts should be submitted prior to their effective dates and no contract shall be approved which has been submitted 60 days after its effective date, unless written justification is provided by the using agency and approval granted by the Director of Contractual Review or his designee. All submittals will be required to have a cover letter attached thereto in conformity with Attachment D.

B. If a contract does not appear to be out of the ordinary and appears to have the necessary attachments and inclusions, it will be routed to the appropriate Budget Analyst for the submitting agency. A BA-22, or its equivalent, shall be submitted with every contract submitted to the Office of Contractual Review.

C. Contracts that are incomplete as to form may be returned to the submitting agency. If a contract is merely missing an attachment then the necessary attachment may be secured from the submitting agency.

D. Contract returned from Budget.

1. Not Recommended for Approval - If a contract is not recommended for approval, the Office of Contractual Review shall discuss the reason with the Budget Analyst. If the problem cannot be resolved the contract shall be returned to the submitting agency with a letter explaining the problem.

2. Recommended for Approval - If a contract is recommended for approval the review process shall continue.

E. Legal and content review. There are a number of different types of contracts, and content requirements may vary a little. All contracts shall contain the following:

1. Signatures of both the head of the using agency or his designee and the contractor. At least one submitted copy of each contract shall bear an actual, nonfacsimile signature of each party.

2. Scope of services that clearly and completely identifies the work to be performed and products to be delivered.

3. Beginning and termination dates for the contract. Normally, such contracts should be for a term no longer than one year, although the Director of Contractual Review may approve contracts with terms up to three years. Contracts shall not include a clause permitting automatic renewal or extension of the original beyond a three year period.

4. The maximum amount of compensation to be paid under the contract. This maximum must be inclusive of all payment, fees, travel expenses, etc. When applicable the amounts shall be stated by category and then given as a comprehensive total.

5. A statement giving the Legislative Auditor authority to

audit the financial records of the contractor relative to work done under the contract.

6. A clause providing that the contractor shall not assign any interest in this contract, and shall not transfer any interest in the same (whether by assignment or novation), without the prior written consent of the submitting agency thereto, provided, however, that claims for money due or to become due to the contractor from the using agency under this contract may be assigned to a bank, trust company, or other financial institution without such approval. Notice of any such assignment or transfer shall be furnished promptly to both the using agency and the Director of the Office of Contractual Review.

7. A statement giving the contractor the responsibility for paying any taxes which may be due as a result of the contract. The taxes could include state or federal income taxes or payroll taxes.

F. Each contract submitted for approval shall be accompanied by a certification letter as described in R.S. 39:1497, signed by the using agency's representative (See Attachment B).

G. Proof of review and approval by other agencies shall accompany submitted contracts as follows; or contracts will be returned to the submitting agency without final approval:

1. Civil Service - All contracts must have Civil Service approval except agreements between state agencies. If a non-state agency is involved, the contract must have Civil Service approval.

2. Attorney General - contracts for legal services that are not consulting work and that do involve or lead to litigation must be reviewed by the Attorney General for approval of the fee structure. Approval of the Attorney General can be evidenced by the signature on the contract documents or by a letter from the Attorney General. Contracts with Louisiana district attorneys do not require this approval.

3. Legislative Auditor - Contracts for financial auditing of state agencies must have prior written approval of the Legislative Auditor.

4. Office of Data Processing - The Office of Data Processing shall review and recommend any contract containing data processing elements before returning it to Contractual Review for completion of the review process.

5. If the contractor is a corporation not incorporated under the laws of the State of Louisiana, then the contractor must secure a certificate of authority pursuant to R.S. 12:301 from the Secretary of State of Louisiana and a copy of such certificate must be attached to the contract.

6. The Office of Telecommunications Management shall review and recommend any contract containing elements of telecommunication services before returning it to the Office of Contractual Review for completion of the analysis.

H. Consulting Services Contracts for \$75,000 or more. If a contract is for services defined as consulting in R.S. 39:1484(4) and is for an amount equal to or exceeding \$75,000, it must have been awarded pursuant to the requirements of R.S. 39:1503, unless exempt by Section XV(A) or (B). Failure to so comply shall result in the using agency having to reconduct the process. A statement in accordance with R.S. 39:1503 C as to why the award was made must be submitted with the contract.

I. When a contractor is a corporation, a formal, dated, Board Resolution must be secured and attached to the contract indicating that the signatory is a corporate representative and authorized to sign said contract.

J. When it has been determined that a contract is complete, the contract shall be returned to the submitting agency with an approval letter attached and signed by the Director of Contractual Review.

IX

Exempt Occupations

The following list of occupations shall be construed as

falling within the definition of medical, nursing or allied health fields given in R.S. 39:1498.2. Personnel employed in these fields therefore would be exempt from the prohibition contained in R.S. 39:1498(4) which disallows personal, professional or consulting service contracts between the State of Louisiana and state employees:

Audiologist
Clinical Psychologist
Dental Assistant
Dentist
Electroencephalograph Technician
Inhalation Therapist
Medical Laboratory Technologist
Nurse Anesthetist
Occupational Therapist
Optometrist
Osteopath
Pharmacist
Physical Therapist
Physician
Podiatrist
Practical Nurse
Professional Dietitian
Psychiatrist
Radiologic Technologist
Radioisotope Technologist
Registered Nurse
Respiratory Therapy Technician
Respiratory Therapy Technologist
Social Worker
Speech Pathologists
Ultrasonography Technologist
Other Specialists as may be included later by the Director of the Office of Contractual Review.

X

Delegation of Signature Authority

R.S. 39:1502 requires that the head of the using agency or his designee shall sign all contracts for personal, professional or consulting services. All delegations of signature authority by the head of the using agency must be in writing and must be approved by the Office of Contractual Review. Normally, delegations of signature authority to the level of Assistant Secretary or equivalent will be approved if circumstances warrant the delegation. Delegations of signature authority to a level below that of Assistant Secretary may be granted in unusual situations - for example, where the volume of contracts is very heavy.

In addition, autonomous or semi-autonomous boards or commissions may sign their own contracts if such authority is granted them by their enabling legislation or by the heads of the agency in which they are placed.

XI

Confidentiality of Technical Data or Trade Secrets

The using agency shall be responsible for protecting technical data, financial information, overhead rates, and trade secrets which may come into their possession from individuals and businesses doing business with the State. Any such information received by the Office of Contractual Review shall be returned to the using agency upon completion of said review.

XII

Multi-Year Contracts

All contracts in excess of one year shall be submitted to the Office of Contractual Review with written reasons why a multi-year contract is needed. Justification of multi-year contracts shall be submitted in accordance with R.S. 39:1514 in compliance with the Delegation of Authority from the Commissioner of Administration.

XIII

Determination of Responsibility

A. In order to qualify as responsible, an offerer must meet the following standards as they relate to the particular procurement under consideration:

1. Has adequate financial resources for performance, or has the ability to obtain such resources as required during performance.

2. Has the necessary experience, organization, technical qualifications, skills, and facilities, or has the ability to obtain them (including probable subcontractor arrangements).

3. Is able to comply with the proposed or required time of delivery or performance schedule.

4. Has a satisfactory record of integrity, judgment, and performance (contractors who are seriously delinquent in current contract performance, considering the number of contracts and the extent of delinquencies of each, shall in the absence of evidence to the contrary or evidence of compelling circumstances, be presumed to be unable to fulfill the requirement).

5. Is otherwise qualified and eligible to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.

B. An offerer shall present acceptable evidence of financial resources, experience, organization, technical qualifications, skills, and facilities, to perform the service called for by the contract.

C. No contract for consulting services for \$75,000 or more shall be awarded to any person or firm unless the head of the using agency has first determined that such person or firm is responsible within the meaning of Sections A and B.

D. In any case where a contract for consulting services is for \$75,000 or more, the head of the using agency shall prepare, sign, and place in the contract file a statement of the facts on which a determination of responsibility was based. Any supporting documents or reports and any information to support determinations of responsibility of the offerer or potential subcontractors should be kept on file with the agency, subject to inspection upon the request by the Director of Contractual Review or his designee.

E. Before making a determination of responsibility, the head of the using agency shall have sufficient current information to satisfy himself that the prospective contractor meets the standards in Sections A and B. Information from the following sources shall be utilized before making a determination of responsibility:

1. Information from the prospective contractor, including representations and other data contained in proposals, or other written statements or commitments, such as financial assistance and subcontracting arrangements.

2. Other existing information within the agency, including financial data, the list of debarred and ineligible bidders and records concerning contractor performance.

3. Publications, including credit ratings and trade and financial journals.

4. Other sources, including banks, other financial companies, and State departments and agencies.

F. To the extent that a prospective contractor cannot meet the standard in Section A.2 except by means of proposed subcontracting, the prospective prime contractor shall not be considered to be responsible unless recent performance history indicates an acceptable subcontracting system or prospective major subcontractors are determined by the head of the using agency to satisfy that standard.

XIV

Suspension, Debarment and Reinstatement

A. Authority. After reasonable notice to the person involved and reasonable opportunity for that person to be heard, the Director of the Office of Contractual Review shall have authority to suspend or debar a person for cause from consideration for a contract, provided that doing so is in the best interest of the State.

B. Suspension. The Director of the Office of Contractual Review may suspend a person from consideration for a contract if he determines in writing that there is probable cause to believe that such person has engaged in any activity which might lead to debarment. Said suspension shall not exceed 60 days if debarment is not forthcoming.

C. Causes for Debarment. The causes for debarment include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Conviction for a criminal offense as an incident to obtaining or attempting to obtain a public or private contract or subcontract, or in the performance of such contract or subcontract.

2. Conviction under state or federal statutes of embezzlement, theft, forgery, bribery, falsification or destruction of records, receiving stolen property, or any other offense indicating a lack of business integrity or business honesty which currently, seriously, and directly affects responsibility as a state contractor:

3. Conviction under state or federal antitrust statutes arising out of the submission of bids or proposals:

4. Violation of contract provisions, or a recent record of failure to perform, or of unsatisfactory performance in accordance with the terms of one or more contracts; provided that failure to perform or unsatisfactory performance caused by acts beyond the control of the contractor shall not be considered to be a basis for debarment; and

5. Any other cause the Director of Contractual Review determines to be so serious and compelling as to affect responsibility as a state contractor, including debarment by another governmental entity for any cause listed in regulations.

D. Decision. The Director of the Office of Contractual Review shall issue a written decision stating his reasons and findings therein.

E. Notice of decisions. A copy of the decisions under Subsection D of this Section shall be mailed or otherwise furnished immediately to the debarred or suspended person and any other party intervening.

F. Finality of decision. A decision under Section D of this Part shall be final and conclusive, unless appealed as provided for in Section G.

G. Appeal. The Contractor or business who is directly affected by the decision of the Director of Contractual Review may appeal in writing to the Commissioner of Administration within ten days of the receipt of said decision.

H. Reinstatement. If the Commissioner finds that the Director of Contractual Review was in error, then he may reinstate said individual or business. If the Commissioner affirms the decision of the Director of Contractual Review that decision is final and conclusive.

1. The Director of Contractual Review, upon request of a debarred contractor, shall review the requesting debarred contractor's file on an annual basis, and may reinstate said contractor for future consideration if he believes the circumstances warrant reinstatement and it would be in the best interest of the state. A list of debarred contractors shall be kept by the Office of Contractual Review and made available upon request to our state agencies.

Contracts for Consulting Services Where Compensation equals or exceeds \$75,000

XV

Source Selection Methods

Pursuant to R.S. 39:1496, professional or personal services contracts for any amount, and consulting services contracts less than \$75,000 may be awarded without competitive negotiation or bidding, therefore this Part shall be applicable to consulting services contracts for \$75,000 or more.

A. Emergency Purchases. An emergency situation must be determined in writing by the Director of Contractual Review or his designee. The using agency which requests an emergency

procurement must indicate in writing the basis of the emergency.

B. Sole Source Procurement. A determination in writing, supported by using agency documentation, must be made by the Director of Contractual Review or his designee that only one source exists for the services requested by the using agency.

C. A determination by the Director of Contractual Review that contracts are necessary under Sections A and B above will dispense with the requirement of a Request for Proposal pursuant to 39:1496(B).

D. Record. A record of emergency procurements and Sole Source Procurements shall be maintained by the Office of Contractual Review, and shall contain:

1. Contractor's name
2. The amount of contract
3. Services to be rendered
4. Reason for the emergency or sole source procurement

XVI

Request for Proposals

A. Prequalification of Offerers. A using agency which intends to issue a Request for Proposal (RFP) shall request the Prequalified Offerers list, as described below, prior to issuing an RFP. A using agency shall forward a request for proposals to those businesses on said list who offer the services requested in the RFP.

1. The Office of Contractual Review shall prepare and maintain a prequalified list of offerers to be used in the Request for Proposal procedure as provided for in R.S. 39:1506.

2. Contractors who are interested in being placed on this list shall submit a statement of qualifications to the Office of Contractual Review. This statement must describe the potential contractor's current qualifications by subject area and include key personnel currently employed or associated, and be accompanied by a resume of each. Additionally, a list should be provided describing previous work done (by subject area), with whom (governmental agency or private business) and the names of contact persons for each client listed.

3. Each statement of qualifications shall have attached to it a financial statement or other evidence of financial solvency.

4. Finally, any other current information or material which would further describe a potential contractor's qualifications will be accepted.

B. Advertisements. Written notices shall contain a general description of the consulting services desired and state the name and address of the using agency desiring to contract for consulting services; where and how the Request for Proposal may be obtained and where proposals are to be sent; in the event of a proposer's conference, the date, time and place it will be held; the date and time not later than which proposals must be received; and the date, time, and place that a proposal may be accepted.

C. Questions to be received from potential contractors must be in writing and all responding answers must be provided by the using agency to all potential contractors participating in the selection process. A proposer's conference may be provided in lieu of the above question-and-answer process. However, copies of the proceedings shall be made available to all those who are participating in the selection process.

D. Written or oral discussions shall be conducted by the using agency with all responsible offerers who submit proposals determined in writing to be reasonably susceptible of being selected for award. Discussions shall not disclose any information derived from proposals submitted by competing offerers. Discussions need not be conducted:

(1) With respect to prices, where such prices are fixed by law or regulation, except that consideration shall be given to competitive terms and conditions; or

(2) Where time of delivery or performance will not permit discussions, or

(3) Where it can be clearly demonstrated and documented from the existence of adequate competition or accurate prior cost experience with that particular service that acceptance of an initial offer without discussion would result in fair and reasonable prices, and the Request for Proposals notifies all offerers of the possibility that an award may be made on the basis of the initial offers.

e. In addition to the requirements of R.S. 39:1503(B) and these regulations, a Request for Proposals shall:

1. Specifically define the task and desired results of project;

2. Identify agency liaison personnel and resources available to the consultant, both in preliminary studies and the project itself;

3. State approximately when the consultant can begin the study, plus an estimate of the time necessary to accomplish the work;

4. Specify applicable procedures concerning billing, documentation requirements, progress reports, and final reports;

5. Specify that a minimum of two copies of the proposal be submitted; and

6. Inform the potential contractors of the criteria and the selection methodology and the weight which will be applied to each significant evaluation criteria to be used in evaluating the proposals' responsiveness to the RFP.

7. Require potential contractors to include the following information in their proposals:

a. A description of the consultant firm's qualifications to include a specific list of personnel to be used in this project and their qualifications (at least list the number and the qualifications of each position). However, a resume will be required on each of the key personnel. Additionally, consultant must stipulate that these personnel will not be removed from the contract without prior approval of the using agency.

b. A list of the agencies with names and contact persons, for whom similar work has been done.

c. The length of time needed for the project, broken down by phases, if phasing is necessary.

d. The proposed methodology for accomplishing the project with a precise statement of what the State will receive as an end product of the project (this is sometimes referred to as the technical section of the proposal).

e. An itemized cost statement showing various classes of man-hours at appropriate rate, delineated by phases, if phasing is used, and an itemized listing of all other expenses or fees that are expected to be paid by the State and a complete breakdown of consultant overhead rate.

F. The final selection of a contractor shall be made by the using agency in accordance with the selection criteria established in the RFP. However, no contract can be awarded until final approval of the selection has been granted by the Director of the Office of Contractual Review. When a final selection has been made by the using agency, the contract file containing that information outlined in Sections A through E including the Request for Proposals, and the proposed contract, along with a selection memorandum justifying the final selection shall be sent to the Office of Contractual Review for final concurrence (R.S. 39:1503C). The selection memorandum shall include, but not be limited to:

1. A list of criteria used along with the weight assigned each criteria.

2. Scores of each proposal considered in each of the categories listed above along with overall scores of each proposal considered.

3. A narrative justifying selection.

G. Right to Protest. Any Contractor who is aggrieved in connection with the request for proposal or award may protest to the head of the agency issuing the proposal, at which time the

agency shall notify the Office of Contractual Review that a protest has been lodged. Said protest shall be in writing and state fully the reason(s) for the protest. A protest of a solicitation must be filed at least 14 days prior to the date for receipt of proposals. Protests with respect to an award shall be submitted within 14 days after the award has been announced by the agency.

H. Stay of Award during protest. If a person protests the proposal, then an award shall not be made until said protest is resolved. If a person protests an award, then work on the contract shall not be commenced until the protest is resolved administratively.

I. Decision. The head of the agency must notify the protesting party within ten days after receipt of said protest whether or not the protest is denied or granted. If granted as to the proposal, the request for proposal may be amended if possible or cancelled and reissued. If the protest is granted as to the award then the contract will be voided and the remaining proposals may be re-evaluated for another selection. If another selection can not be made or if it appears to be in the best interest of the state, a new request for proposal shall be issued.

J. Appeal. If an aggrieved party is not satisfied with the agency's decision, then that party may appeal said decision in writing to the Commissioner of Administration. Such appeals must be made within 14 days of receipt of the agency's decision by the protesting party. The protesting party should fully explain the basis of his appeal. The Commissioner then must render a decision in writing within ten days of receipt of the appeal or the date of the hearing. The Commissioner's decision is final and an aggrieved party must bring judicial action within six months from receipt of said decision; an agency may proceed with an award after the Commissioner so decides.

K. Delays. The delays provided for in this Part may be extended only with the concurrence of the using agency, the protesting party and the Commissioner of Administration.

XVII

Revised Statutes

A. These regulations shall be read and interpreted jointly with Louisiana R.S. 39:1481-1526.

B. A Rule or Regulation shall not change any contract commitment, right, or obligation of the state or of a contractor under a state contract in existence on the effective date of that Rule or Regulation (R.S. 39:1491 D).

Attachment A

Sample Contract adaptable for use by state agencies. (This sample contract contains the minimum language required in a state contract. Additional items may be added as required by the individual agency's needs and applicable federal requirements.)

STATE OF LOUISIANA

PARISH OF _____

CONTRACT

Be it known, that on this _____ day of _____, 19____, the _____ (Agency Name) _____ (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "State") and _____ (Contractor's name and legal address) _____ (hereinafter sometimes referred to as "Contractor") do hereby enter into contract under the following terms and conditions.

1.

Contractor hereby agrees to furnish the following services:

(If the Scope of Services is more lengthy than will fit here, it may be attached separately as an addendum.)

2.

In consideration of the services described above, state hereby agrees to pay to Contractor a maximum fee of _____. Payment will be made only on approval of _____. If progress

and/or completion to the reasonable satisfaction of the agency is obtained, payments are scheduled as follows:

3.

This contract may be terminated by mutual consent of both parties upon _____ days written notice.

(Other conditions for termination may be stated here.)

4.

Upon completion of this contract, or if terminated earlier, all records, reports, worksheets or any other materials related to this contract shall become the property of the State.

5.

Contractor hereby agrees that the responsibility for payment of taxes from the funds thus received under this agreement and/or legislative appropriation shall be said contractor's obligation and identified under Federal tax identification number _____.

6.

The contractor shall not assign any interest in this contract and shall not transfer any interest in same (whether by assignment or novation), without prior written consent of the State, provided however, that claims for money due or to become due to the Contractor from the State may be assigned to a bank, trust company, or other financial institution without such prior written consent. Notice of any such assignment or transfer shall be furnished promptly to the State.

7.

It is hereby agreed that the Legislative Auditor of the State of Louisiana shall have the option of auditing all accounts of contractor which relate to this contract.

8.

This contract shall begin on _____ and shall terminate on _____.

THUS DONE AND SIGNED AT Baton Rouge, Louisiana, on the day, month and year first written above.

CONTRACTOR

STATE AGENCY

Attachment B

Sample Certification as required by R.S. 39:1497

Ms. Bonita B. Brown, Director
Office of Contractual Review
Division of Administration
State Capitol Annex
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

Dear Ms. Brown:

In reference to the attached contract we do certify the following:

1. Either no employee of our agency is both competent and available to perform the services called for by the proposed contract or the services called for are not the type readily susceptible of being performed by persons who are employed by the state on a continuing basis.

2. The services are not available as a product of a prior or existing professional, personal or consulting service contract.

3. When applicable, the requirements for consultant service contracts, as provided for under R.S. 39:1503-1507, have been complied with.

4. The Department of _____ has developed and fully intends to implement a written plan providing for:

A. The assignment of specific Agency personnel to a monitoring and liaison function.

B. The periodic review of interim reports or other indicia of performance to date; and

C. The ultimate use of the final product of the service.

Sincerely,

Attachment C

Suggested checklist for review of personal, professional and consulting contracts

1. Minimum Contract Content:

- | | | |
|-------|-------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes | No | |
| _____ | _____ | 1. Contains a date upon which the contract is to begin and upon which the contract will terminate. |
| _____ | _____ | 2. Contains a description of the work to be performed and objectives to be met. |
| _____ | _____ | 3. Contains an amount and time of payments to be made. |
| _____ | _____ | 4. Contains a description of reports or other deliverables to be received, when applicable. |
| _____ | _____ | 5. Contains a date of reports or other deliverables to be received, when applicable. |
| _____ | _____ | 6. When a contract includes travel and/or other reimbursable expenses, it contains language to effect the following: |
| | | a. Travel and other reimbursable expenses constitute part of the total maximum payable under the contract; or |
| | | b. No more than (a certain sum) of the total maximum amount payable under this contract shall be paid or received as reimbursement for travel or other reimbursable expenses; and |
| | | c. Travel expenses shall be reimbursed in accordance with Division of Administration Policy and Procedure Memorandum 49 (The State General Travel Regulation). |
| _____ | _____ | 7. Contains the responsibility for payment of taxes, when applicable. |
| _____ | _____ | 8. Contain the circumstances under which the contract can be terminated either with or without cause and contains the remedies for default. |
| _____ | _____ | 9. Contains a statement giving the Legislative Auditor the authority to audit records of the individual(s) or firm(s). |
| _____ | _____ | 10. Contains an Assignability clause. |
| _____ | _____ | 11. Budget Form BA-22 P.S. fully completed and attached to the contract. |

2. Determination of Responsibility of Contractor:

- | | | |
|-------|-------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Yes | No | |
| _____ | _____ | 1. Has adequate financial resources for performance, or has the ability to obtain such resources as required during performance. |
| _____ | _____ | 2. Has the necessary experience, organization, technical qualifications, skills, and facilities, or has the ability to obtain them (including probable subcontractor arrangements). |
| _____ | _____ | 3. Is able to comply with the proposed or required time of delivery or performance schedule. |

4. Has a satisfactory record of integrity, judgment and performance (contractors who are seriously delinquent in current contract performance, considering the number of contracts and the extent of delinquencies of each, shall, in the absence of evidence to the contrary or compelling circumstances, be presumed to be unable to fulfill this requirement).

5. Is otherwise qualified eligible to receive an award under applicable laws and regulations.

6. If a contract for consulting services is for \$75,000 or more, the head of the submitting agency has prepared, signed and placed in the contract file a statement of the facts on which a determination of responsibility was based.

7. On subcontracting, it has been established that contractor's recent performance history indicates acceptable subcontracting systems; or, major subcontractors have been determined by the head of the submitting agency to satisfy standard.

3. Consulting Contract for \$75,000 or more:

- Contract file attached and this includes:
- Criteria for Selection.
- Proposals.
- Pertinent Documents.
- Selection Memorandum.
- Request for Proposals.
- Contract.

Attachment D

Agency Transmittal Letter

Ms. Bonita B. Brown, Director
Office of Contractual Review
Division of Administration
Fifth Floor - Capitol Annex
P. O. Box 44095
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

Ms. Brown:

The following contract(s) is/are being submitted to your office this date for review and approval in accordance with R.S. 39:1481 et seq. and the Rules and Regulations adopted pursuant thereto:

Submitting Agency	Contractor	Amount
Upon approval of said contract(s) please return to:		
(List Return Address)		

Your cooperation in this regard is greatly appreciated.

Attachment E

Mrs. Bonita B. Brown, Director
Office of Contractual Review
Division of Administration
Fifth Floor - Capitol Annex
P. O. Box 44095
Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804

Ms. Brown:

During the quarter ending ____ the following contracts for \$5,000 or less were approved by the Department of _____.

Contract Date	Contractor	Purpose or Service Rendered	Contract Amount
			Total

cc: Budget Analyst

Bonita B. Brown
Director, Office of Contractual Review

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules**

Rule Title: Regulations for the Procurement of Professional, Personal, and Consulting Services

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

While contracts ranging from \$1,000 to \$5,000 account for only 1 percent of the total dollar value of contracts reviewed, they amount to over 33 percent of the number reviewed. With these changes, a savings in review time should be accomplished as well as an improvement in effectiveness.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections if these changes are approved.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Agencies and other entities affected by these Rule changes should benefit by the clarification of previous ambiguous regulations and the reduction in paperwork associated with the raising of the contract amount over which approval must be obtained.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There will be no effect on competition or employment as a result of these proposed changes.

Bonita B. Brown
Director

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Office of the Governor
Louisiana State Planning Office**

The Office of the Governor, Louisiana State Planning Office, intends to adopt Rules for the administration of a Planning

and Development District Assistance Program. Funds in the amount of \$320,000 were appropriated to State Planning (SPO) by Act No. 13 of the 1982 Louisiana Legislature, which the office is distributing among the state's regional planning and development districts, or regional planning commission districts. These Rules are intended to insure that these funds will be expended in accordance with SPO's legislative mandates for developing sound planning within the various regions of the state.

The Louisiana State Planning Office was created by Act No. 288 of the 1968 Legislature. The revised statutes citation for this legislation is R.S. 49:1051 et seq. To carry out its primary responsibility to the Governor for a coordinated program of comprehensive statewide planning, SPO is charged with these specific responsibilities in the area of regional planning: "Section 1054. Louisiana State Planning Office; powers; duties; and functions

The office shall have the authority and where appropriate to the context shall be required, to:

- ... (3) Review current programming and future planning of all municipal and regional commissions. All municipal and regional planning commissions shall file certified copies of all plans or amended plans with the State Planning Office.
- (4) Publish a program of expected planning standards on the state level and suggested planning standards at the regional levels and encourage the development of planning programs within and by state departments and local governmental agencies.
- ... (15) Cooperate and assist in the development, current programming and future planning of metropolitan and regional planning commissions within the state of Louisiana."

These Rules are proposed to insure that state funds will be spent to meet planning standards acceptable to the state. State Planning, through its review of state policies and commonly accepted planning principles and practices, has determined that the following standards, or objectives, should be met by the districts:

- A. An orderly planning process for the development of any plans;
- B. SPO approval of any such plans before any program or project implementation begins;
- C. Plans, programs, or projects addressing at least one of the following policies — more decent and affordable housing, better living environments, greater employment opportunities, improved social services, or provision of adequate infrastructure to meet community needs; and
- D. The observance of professional planning standards in meeting the other above objectives.

The proposed Rules cover the various requirements for meeting the program objectives, including a required planning process, proposal submissions, proposal approvals, submission of documents, payment policies and procedures, approvals for acceptable prior work, professional performance standards, and SPO access to materials and meetings. Finally, the Rules detail the eligible recipients, and the total amounts they are eligible to receive, upon compliance with the program requirements.

Any questions relative to the proposed Rules should be submitted to the Executive Director, Louisiana State Planning Office, by November 4, 1982.

**PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT
ASSISTANCE PROGRAM PROPOSED RULES**

GOALS AND OBJECTIVES. The Louisiana State Planning Office (SPO), in accordance with its mandates (R.S. 49:1051 et seq.),

supports sound regional planning for the effective promotion of the economic and social welfare of the people within the various regions of the state. To that end, SPO will disburse funds to the state's regional planning and development districts (district or districts), or regional planning commissions, to meet the following program objectives:

- A. An orderly planning process for the development of any plans;
- B. SPO approval of any such plans before any program or project implementation begins;
- C. Plans, programs, or projects addressing at least one of the following policies — more decent and affordable housing, better living environments, greater employment opportunities, improved social services, improved transportation services, or provision of adequate infrastructure to meet community needs; and
- D. The observance of professional planning standards in meeting the other above objectives.

PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS FOR MEETING PROGRAM OBJECTIVES:

A. **Required Planning Process.** Each district will carry out, step by step, the following planning process:

Step 1. Seeking citizens' and public officials' views on carrying out policy(ies) to be addressed, which may include more decent and affordable housing, better living environments, greater employment opportunities, improved social services, improved transportation services, or provision of adequate infrastructure to meet community needs.

2. Determining goals and objectives.
3. Collection of relevant data and other information.
4. Design of criteria and standards for meeting goals and objectives.
5. Developing alternative plans.
6. Selection of an alternative plan by the appropriate regional or local planning commission, district board of directors or other public body.
7. Detailed design of program or project implementation plans.
8. Implementation of program or project plans.
9. Feedback from the public, with adjustments made, if deemed necessary, on the results of the implemented plans.

B. **Proposal Submission.** Each district will submit a proposal to SPO outlining:

1. The policy or policies to be addressed.
2. A listing of each planning process step, and, under each planning process step, a description of each work task sufficiently detailed to determine whether that work task will allow for the accomplishment of the next work task or for the completion of that step in the planning process.
3. Under each work task description, an estimate of the number of hours to be worked by each staff person involved in the work task, a description of each person's responsibility for the work task, each such person's hourly rate of pay, his/her total estimated pay for that task, an itemization of other costs for the task, the total estimated staff and other costs for each work task, a listing of the parish(es) and/or municipality(ies) impacted by each work task, a listing of any other persons, agencies, or other entities involved in each work task and a description of the nature of their responsibilities for that task, total estimated costs for each planning process step, and a grand total of estimated costs not to exceed the total allowable disbursement.
4. Through a scheduled chart, a depiction of the completion dates for each work task and planning process step, and for the end of each quarter for the remainder of the fiscal year, the percentage of work expected to be completed.

C. **Proposal Approval.** All proposals must be received by SPO prior to the close of business on December 31, 1982. SPO will evaluate each proposal within three weeks of such receipt and, if necessary, will consult during that time with the respective district to determine any required modifications to the proposal. Written approvals or disapprovals, with any required modifications, will then be sent to each district no later than the aforementioned three weeks. Work may begin upon any written approval.

D. **Documents Submission.** On or before March 31, 1983, and June 30, 1983, each district will be expected to submit all those plans, studies, reports and/or other pertinent documents, which will provide evidence sufficient to prove that the percentage of work expected to be completed, as shown by the schedule chart required by A. (4), has indeed been completed.

E. **Payments.** Payments will be made within six weeks after the quarterly dates stated in (D), on a percentage of completion basis, if there is evidence sufficient as stated in (D), to show that the work has been completed. When that percentage of work expected to be completed for that quarter has been completed tardily, then payments will be made within six weeks of the first quarterly date after documents submission, or, if submission is after June 30, 1983, within six weeks of August 15, 1983. No payments will be made for any submissions made after August 15, 1983. Written reasons for any non-payment will be sent to any subject district within three weeks of the dates stated above and in (D). Consultations to prevent or to correct such problems are strongly encouraged.

F. **Prior Work Approvals.** If any district has reason to believe that through prior work efforts, it has already complied with certain planning process steps, then as part of the proposal required by section B, it shall submit written reasons, along with any pertinent documents, justifying its belief in such compliance. Any waiver of compliance with any planning process steps will be sent to the district as part of SPO's written approval, as noted in section C.

G. **Professional Performance.** The chief executive officer of each district shall insure that all district employees exercising responsibilities under this program shall perform their work in accordance with the *Canons and Rules of Discipline of the Code of Professional Responsibility* for the American Institute of Certified Planners. Those Canons and Rules state:

Canons. The Canons are statements of axiomatic norms expressing in general terms the standards of professional conduct expected of planners.

(a) A planner primarily serves the public interest and shall accept or continue employment only when the planner can insure accommodation of the client's or employer's interest with the public interest.

(b) A planner shall seek to expand choice and opportunity for all persons, recognizing a special responsibility to plan for the needs of disadvantaged groups and persons, and shall urge the alteration of policies, institutions and decisions which militate against such objectives.

(c) A planner shall exercise independent professional judgment on behalf of clients or employers and shall serve them in a competent manner.

(d) A planner shall preserve the secrets and confidences of a client or employer.

(e) A planner shall assist in maintaining the integrity and competence of the planning profession.

(f) A planner shall avoid even the appearance of improper professional conduct.

Rules of Discipline. The following Rules of Discipline express the minimum level of conduct below which no member may fall without being subject to disciplinary action. The severity of action taken against a member found blameworthy of violating a

Rule of Discipline shall be determined by the character of the offense and the circumstances surrounding it.

(a) A planner shall not engage in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

(b) A planner shall not give compensation in any form to a person or organization to recommend or secure employment or as a reward for having made a recommendation resulting in employment.

(c) Except with the consent of the client or employer after full disclosure, or except as required by law, court or administrative order or subpoena, a planner shall not reveal, use to personal advantage or to the advantage of a third person, information gained in the professional relationship or employment that the client or employer has requested be held inviolative or the disclosure of which would likely be detrimental to the client or employer.

(d) Except with the consent of the client after full disclosure, a planner shall not accept or continue employment if the exercise of the planner's professional judgment on behalf of the client or employer will be, or reasonably may be adversely affected by the planner's own financial, business, property or personal interest, or the planner's relationship, with another client or employer.

(e) Except with the consent of the planner's client or employer, after full disclosure, a planner shall not accept compensation for planning services rendered the client or employer from one other than that client or employer.

(f) A planner shall not permit a person who recommends, employs or pays him or her to render planning services for another to direct or regulate his or her professional judgment in rendering such services.

(g) A planner shall not accept employment to perform planning services which the planner is not competent to perform.

(h) A planner shall not neglect planning services which the planner has agreed to perform; nor shall the planner render services without research and preparation adequate in the circumstances.

(i) A planner shall not give, lend or promise anything of value to a public official in order to influence or attempt to influence the official's judgment or actions.

(j) A planner who holds public office or employment shall not use the public position to obtain or attempt to obtain a special advantage in legislative or administrative matters for a client, an employer, or the planner personally under circumstances where the planner knows or it is obvious that such action is not in the public interest.

(k) A planner who holds public office or employment shall not accept anything of value or the promise of anything valuable, including prospective employment, from any person when the planner knows, or it is obvious, that the offer is for the purpose of influencing the planner's action as a public official or employee.

(l) A planner shall not state or imply that he or she is able to influence improperly any public official, legislative or administrative body.

(m) A planner shall not participate in violations of the Rules of Reference to AICP membership.

(n) A planner shall not directly or indirectly discriminate against any person because of said person's race, color, creed, sex or national origin in any aspect of job recruitment, hiring, conditions or employment, training, advancement or termination of employment.

For the purpose of this requirement, the word "planner", as used in the above code, shall be interchangeable with the aforementioned employees exercising responsibilities under this program. Conduct in violation of the code's canons and Rules shall be grounds, after due process, for non-payment or demand for repayment of funds.

H. Access to Materials and Meetings. Each district's books, records, minutes, plans, studies, documents, papers, and effects shall be subject to SPO inspection at any time during normal working hours. Each district's board or commission meetings and meetings of such bodies' executive committees and other committees and subcommittees shall be open to SPO employees, except for cases of personnel employment, promotion, demotion, raises, discipline, and termination, or for cases of setting strategy to be employed in existing litigation against the district. The same above Rules shall apply to the Louisiana Association of Planning and Development Districts, its successors or assigns.

FUND RECIPIENTS. Recipients of these funds, appropriated by Act No. 13 of the 1982 Louisiana Legislature and the total amounts they are eligible to receive, upon compliance with the aforementioned requirements are:

NAME	AMOUNT
Regional Planning Commission for Jefferson, Orleans, St. Bernard, and St. Tammany Parishes (New Orleans, La.)	\$40,000
Capitol Economic Development District (Baton Rouge, La.)	\$40,000
South Central Planning and Development Commission (Thibodaux, La.)	\$40,000
Evangeline Economic and Planning District (Lafayette, La.)	\$40,000
Imperial Calcasieu Regional Planning Commission (Lake Charles, La.)	\$40,000
Kisatchie-Delta Regional Planning and Development District, Inc. (Alexandria, La.)	\$40,000
Coordinating and Development Corporation (Shreveport, La.)	\$40,000
North Delta Regional Planning and Development District, Inc. (Monroe, La.)	\$40,000
TOTAL	\$320,000

Interested persons may comment on the proposed regulations, in writing through November 4, 1982, at the following address: Wallace Walker, Executive Director, Louisiana State Planning Office, Office of the Governor, Box 44426, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. Wallace Walker is the person responsible for responding to inquiries about the proposed Rules.

Wallace L. Walker
Executive Director

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Planning and Development
Assistance Program**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

There will be no savings or additional costs to the agency.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections as a

result of these regulations.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

The planning districts previously were required to adhere to Federal regulations when they received Federal funds for this same function, now funded with state dollars. However, last year there were no Rules or Regulations associated with their appropriation. The proposed Regulations will require the districts to meet specific requirements; however, the marginal costs associated with meeting these requirements should be minimal.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

No impact on competition is anticipated, since the districts are already by law charged to coordinate all regional planning activities within their respective regions in the state. Effective economic development planning will yield greater employment within the various regions of the state.

Wallace L. Walker
Executive Director

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Health and Human Resources

Board of Examiners

for

Speech Pathology and Audiology

In accordance with applicable provisions of the Administrative Procedure Act, LRS 49:951 et seq., the Louisiana Board of Examiners for Speech Pathology and Audiology has scheduled a public hearing to consider the adoption of certain Rules regarding the issue of restricted licenses to practice speech pathology to certain individuals. The proposed Rule change is set forth below:

A Restricted License will be granted to individuals described as the following Interns in Section 2653, (3) of La. R.S. 37:2651 through R.S. 37:2665:

Intern A. Master's level individual who has not completed the necessary requirements for Teacher Certification.

Intern B. Master's level individual with successful score on NTE Area Exam but minus one year of professional experience.

Intern C. Bachelor's level individual who has a Type E Louisiana Teaching Certificate and has not accomplished the exam requirement.

The following stipulations govern the granting of the Restricted License:

1. The Intern must complete six graduate hours in Speech Pathology or Audiology and present official transcripts to the Board (LBESPA) within the one year period of issuance of the restricted license. (Does not apply to Intern A or B)

2. The Intern A must pass the NTE Area Exam within the one year period of issuance of the restricted license and submit passing score to the Board (LBESPA) for review.

3. The Intern C must pass the NTE Commons Exam within the one year period of issuance of the restricted license and submit passing score to the Board (LBESPA) for review.

4. The Interns will be supervised during the one year period by an individual holding a Full Valid Louisiana License. The Intern will have to conform to the Rules and Regulations as designated by the Louisiana Board of Examiners For Speech Pathology and Audiology. (See Supervision Forms)

The Louisiana Board of Examiners for Speech Pathology and Audiology is considering this policy, in regard to Intern A and

C, to be effective for a one year period, August 15, 1982 through August 15, 1983. No exceptions or extensions will be considered after August 15, 1983. The Restricted License issued to Intern A and C will not be renewed after the one year period (one year from date of issuance) unless the Intern has met all of the above stipulations and submitted official verification to the Board (LBESPA).

Interested persons may voice their opinions concerning the proposed Rule at the meeting of the Louisiana Board of Examiners for Speech Pathology and Audiology scheduled for November 9, 1982 at the Ramada Inn, Room 168, 5116 Monkhouse Drive, Shreveport, Louisiana, or may write directly to the Chairperson of the Board, Dr. Pamela L. Handy, at Box 355, Prairieville, LA 70769.

Pamela L. Handy, Ed. D.
Chairperson

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules**

Rule Title: Restricted Licenses to Practice

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

Implementation costs are estimated at \$10,000. Based on previous clerical and professional expenses to administer present policy, and considering the number of new licenses this will create, increased costs were estimated by an approximate pro-rata increase of this Board's present expenses.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

It is anticipated that about 60 new licenses will be issued in FY 82-83, generating fees of \$50 each, for a total of \$3,000. In succeeding years, no additional revenues will be generated as a result of this proposed Rule, since the Rule is to be effective for one year, by its terms.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

It is anticipated that about 60 new licenses will be issued in FY 82-83, at \$50 each, for a total of \$3,000.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

Competition is expected to increase, because of the greater number of licensees who will participate in the search for employment; however, there may be a present shortage of competent speech therapists.

Pamela L. Handy
Chairperson

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to amend its definition of resources under Medical Assistance (Title XIX) Program, to specify that burial plots or prepaid burial contracts are not resources for the purposes of determining eligibility for Medical Assistance. This policy applies only to a burial plot or prepaid burial contract

intended for the use of an applicant for, or recipient of, Medical Assistance; or to such plots or contracts for the use of persons whose resources, if any, are deemed to the Medical Assistance applicant/recipients.

The definition of income is being amended in regard to burial plots or prepaid burial contracts as follows:

1) The receipt of a burial plot or prepaid burial contract as a gift or inheritance shall not be counted as income;

2) Installment payments on burial plots or contracts made by a third party directly to the provider of funeral services and burial items on behalf of the Medical Assistance applicant/recipient shall not be counted as income. However, if money is given directly to the applicant/recipient, it is counted as income;

3) Any increase in the value of a burial plot or contract or any interest derived from funds paid toward the cost of a burial contract shall not be counted as income. However, if interest is paid directly to the Medical Assistance applicant/recipient, rather than made a part of the contract, it shall be considered under the policies applicable to interest income;

4) The proceeds from the sale of a burial plot or contract shall be counted as income in the month received and if retained, shall be considered a resource in the following month.

These Rule changes will bring the Medical Assistance Program into compliance with Interim Final regulations published in the August 17, 1982 issue of the *Federal Register* (Volume 47, No. 159, 35948-35949) and in teletype message from the Social Security Administration in Baltimore, Maryland received August 23, 1982.

Interested persons may submit written comments through November 3, 1982 at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules

Rule Title: Policy Changes Regarding Burial Plots and Prepaid Burial Contracts

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

Estimated implementation costs for FY 82-83 include \$58 for printing costs for Chapter XIX revisions and \$212,012 for additional vendor payments for 20 applicants/recipients who would become or remain eligible for Title XIX Assistance. Projected costs for payments for these recipients in FY 83-84 and 84-85 are \$231,286 each.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

No effect on revenue collections is anticipated as a result of the proposed Rule.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Applicants and recipients in the Medical Assistance (Title XIX) Program will be able to purchase or retain burial plots or prepaid burial contracts without losing eligibility for Title XIX assistance.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOY- MENT - (Summary)

No effect on competition and employment is anticipated as a result of the proposed Rule.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to implement a Rule which modifies earned income policy for Title XIX recipients in public and private Intermediate Care Facilities for the Handicapped (ICF-H) who have earned income. Effective December 1, 1982, the SSI earned income disregard of the first \$65 and one-half of the remaining amount of the gross monthly earnings of a recipient shall be deducted from the total monthly gross earnings reported to determine the net earned income to be applied towards the determination of patient liability for long term care facility payment.

This proposed Rule applies only to private and public ICF-H recipients with earned income. All other intermediate care facility recipients with earned income shall continue to be subject to all existing policy related to earned income disregards.

This change is being implemented by special provision of Title XIX Regulations (42 CFR 435.725) which permit income disregards to special classes of recipients. Title XIX recipients in private or public ICF-Hs are recognized as a special class who have a need for a greater amount of protected earned income to encourage habilitation through employment.

Interested persons may submit written comments through November 3, 1982, at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries about this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules

Rule Title: Earned Income Disregard for Recipients in Private and Public ICF-Hs

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

Implementation costs for the proposed Rule include the cost of increased facility vendor payments which were previously met by patient liability, and the cost of additional Optional State Supplementation (OSS) payments to meet personal care needs which were previously met by the earned income of the recipient. The total cost of facility vendor payments is \$154,652 for FY 82-83; \$296,627 for FY 83-84; and \$328,122 for FY 84-85. The total cost of additional OSS payments is \$33,242 for FY 82-83; \$58,711 for FY 83-84 and \$60,281 for FY 84-85. The total costs for both facility vendor payments and OSS payments are \$187,894 for FY 82-83; \$355,338 for FY 83-84; and \$388,403 for FY 84-85.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

No effect on revenue collections as a result of the proposed action is anticipated.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Private and public ICF-H recipients with earned income will be allowed to retain a greater proportion of their earnings to encourage the habilitative function of employment.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOY- MENT - (Summary)

No effect on competition and employment as a result of the proposed Rule is predicted as the affected class of recipients are primarily employed in sheltered employment for a limited number of hours and wages.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to require that all applicants and recipients provide the Office of Family Security with a Social Security account number for each person for whom he is requesting General Assistance.

If the applicant is unable to produce the account number for any individual applying for General Assistance benefits, the applicant shall be assisted in securing the account number.

Interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed Rule through November 4, 1982 at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: General Assistance Enumeration**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
The estimated cost to the agency in FY 82-83 is \$11 in state funds.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There is no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There is no cost or benefits to affected groups.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There is no effect on competition and employment.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security proposes to provide reimbursement to providers for hyperalimentation therapy (parenteral). The adoption of

this Rule will enable the Medical Assistance Program to prevent imminent peril to the health and welfare of those individuals with severe pathology of the alimentary tract, which precludes normal oral feeding. Parenteral hyperalimentation therapy is necessary to adequately meet the nutritional requirements of such individuals.

The adoption of this Rule is in concurrence with federal regulation 42 CFR 440.120.

Interested persons may submit written comments through November 3, 1982 at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Title XIX Reimbursement for
Hyperalimentation Therapy**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
Implementation costs include the costs for reimbursement to providers for hyperalimentation therapy received by Title XIX eligible recipients. Total projected cost of \$272,422 for six months in FY 82-83 includes \$22 for printing costs and \$272,400 for vendor payments; projected costs for FY 83-84 and FY 84-85 were \$554,800 each.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
No effect on revenue collections is anticipated as a result of the proposed Rule.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
Individuals requiring hyperalimentation therapy would be able to receive such treatment under Title XIX providing all eligibility requirements are met. An average monthly cost for such treatment is \$4,350 for each individual.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
No effect on competition and employment is anticipated as a result of the proposed Rule.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to amend the Title XIX State Plan for the Medical Assistance Program concerning provision of non-emergency medical transportation services as follows:

- 1. To change the designation of "regular" provider to "profit" provider.
- 2. To distinguish between profit and non-profit organizations and individuals who provide non-emergency medical transportation to Medicaid recipients.

3. To incorporate rates and manner of reimbursement to profit and non-profit organizations and individuals who provide non-emergency medical transportation to Medicaid recipients, as follows:

Payment for taxis, non-profit organizations, and individuals who are providers of non-emergency medical transportation services shall be at the provider's usual rate not to exceed the maximums established for each class of providers, as follows:

1) Taxis

Usual and customary charge to the public not to exceed the maximums established for profit providers.

2) Non-Profit Organization

All non-profit organizations may be reimbursed at a rate equal to the amount currently paid state employees for mileage traveled on official business. Any non-profit provider who would like to have their rate set based on cost may complete a cost reporting document which will be audited by this Agency.

3) Individuals

All individuals who provide transportation for one specific recipient may be reimbursed at a rate equal to the amount currently paid state employees for mileage traveled on official business.

Interested persons may submit written comments on this proposed policy change through November 3, 1982, at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries about this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissing
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Profit and Non-Profit
Non-Emergency Transportation Providers**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
 - Fiscal Year 82-83 \$22
 - Fiscal Year 83-84 -0-
 - Fiscal Year 84-85 -0-

The only costs to the Agency will be \$22 for printing costs to incorporate this change into the Chapter XIX Medical Assistance Manual.

- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections.

- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Recipients and providers of non-emergency medical transportation services will benefit from implementation of this proposed Rule because the Title XIX State Plan will distinguish between provider types and the rates at which reimbursement will be provided.

- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There is no effect on competition and employment.

Rod Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, proposes to increase nursing home rates, to the following amounts:

I. Private LTC Facilities:

Level of Care	Daily Rate	Monthly Rate
Skilled Nursing Facilities	\$34.80	\$1,058.50
Intermediate Care Facilities I	29.76	905.20
Intermediate Care Facilities II	23.87	726.05

II. New Orleans Home & Rehabilitation Center:

Level of Care	Daily Rate	Monthly Rate
Skilled Nursing Facility	\$45.74	\$1,391.26
Intermediate Care Facility I	40.57	1,234.00
Intermediate Care Facility II	34.68	1,054.85

III. Villa Feliciana

Level of Care	Daily Rate	Monthly Rate
Skilled Nursing Facility	\$59.84	\$1,820.13
Intermediate Care Facility I	55.28	1,681.43
Intermediate Care Facility II	42.60	1,295.75

This action will allow the Medical Assistance Program to increase payments to nursing homes on a timely basis.

Federal Regulation 42 CFR 447.273 and the Title XIX State Plan specify that the Medicaid agency must pay for long term care facility services on a reasonable cost-related basis. The rate is set based on the sixtieth percentile by level of care.

Interested persons may submit written comments through November 3, 1982 at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries regarding this proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissing
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules**

Rule Title: Increase in LTC Reimbursement Rates

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

Implementation costs involve an increase in expenditures for LTC facility vendor payments beginning with August, 1982 payments. Projected costs for eleven months in FY 82-83 are \$5,809,635; and costs of \$6,401,619 for FY 83-84 and \$6,465,635 for FY 84-85. The above costs include a one percent increase in utilization for FY 83-84 and FY 84-85. Sufficient funds were appropriated in FY 82-83 to implement the payment increase in FY 82-83.

- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

No effect on revenue collections is anticipated as a

result of the proposed Rule.
III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

An increase in LTC reimbursement rates will allow LTC facilities to maintain the quality of care provided recipients in long term care facilities.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

No effect on competition and employment is anticipated as a result of the proposed Rule.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Family Security**

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security proposes Refugee Resettlement recipients shall not receive an earned income disregard of \$30 plus one third of the remainder of the earnings when determining the grant amount.

This is mandated by federal regulations as published in the *Federal Register* of March 12, 1982, Vol. 47, No. 49, page 10849.

Interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed Rule through November 4, 1982, at the following address: R.K. Banks, Assistant Secretary, Office of Family Security, Box 44065, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Refugee Resettlement Consideration
of Income**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

The cost to the agency in FY 82-83 is \$11 (Federal). The savings are \$3979.50 (Federal) in FY 82-83 and \$8180 (Federal) in FY 82-83 and FY 84-85.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There is no effect on revenue collections.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Five Refugee Resettlement households would be affected by this change. The net effect would be loss of eligibility for this assistance, which currently averages \$136.35 per month per household.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There is no effect on competition and employment.

R.K. Banks
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Health and Human Resources
Office of Human Development**

As provided for in the Appropriations Act of the 1982 Louisiana Legislature, the Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Human Development proposes to adopt the following Rule changes which increase (as shown) the fees and rates paid for the following Foster Care services:

1. Monthly board rate for a foster child in Foster Family Care under age six - from \$6.03 per day (\$186.93 per month) to \$6.33 per day (\$196.23 per month).

2. Monthly board rate for a foster child in Foster Family Care between the ages of six years and 12 years - from \$7.03 per day (\$217.93 per month) to \$7.38 per day (\$228.78 per month).

3. Monthly board rate for a foster child in Foster Family Care ages 13 or older - from \$8.03 per day (\$248.93 per month) to \$8.43 per day (\$261.33 per month).

Interested persons may submit written comments on the proposed changes through November 5, 1982 at the following address: Arthur J. Dixon, Assistant Secretary, Office of Human Development, Box 44367, Baton Rouge, LA 70821. He is the person responsible for responding to inquiries about the proposed Rule.

Roger P. Guissinger
Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Foster Care Board Rate Increases**

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

The total cost of implementing this Rule effective 12-1-82 is \$276,000. This \$276,000 is the cost of a \$10 increase in the average board payment from \$219 per month to \$229 per month, or \$10 per month for 4,600 for 6 months. The funds for this increase are included in the FY 1982-83 appropriation for foster family board payments.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

There will be no effect on revenue collections.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

These rate increases will benefit both foster parents and foster children by bringing the payments for these services more in line with the actual cost of providing the service.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

These increases have no effect on competition and employment.

Arthur J. Dixon
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

**Department of Natural Resources
Office of Environmental Affairs
Environmental Control Commission**

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:953, the Environmental Control Commission (ECC) gives notice that it initiated

rulemaking procedures at the August 26, 1982 hearing to revise Sections 17.14, 17.14.1 and 17.14.2 and add Section 17.14.3 of the Air Quality Regulations. These proposed revisions will be forwarded to the Joint Committees on Natural Resources for their approval. Upon their approval, the ECC will then consider adoption at the November hearing.

The proposed revisions to Section 17.14 limit the stack height which can be used in determining an emission limitation.

The proposed revisions should read as follows:

17.14.1 Definitions - For the purpose of this Section, the terms below will have the meaning herein given.

(a) Emission limitation and emission standard - a requirement established by a State, or the Administrator which limits the quantity, rate, or concentration of emissions of air pollutants on a continuous basis, including any requirements which limit the level of opacity, prescribe equipment, set fuel specifications or prescribe operation or maintenance procedures for a source to assure continuous emission reduction.

(b) Stack - any point in a source designed to emit solids, liquids, or gases into the air, including a pipe or duct but not including flares.

(c) A stack in existence - the owner or operator had (1) begun, or caused to begin, a continuous program of physical on-site construction of the stack or (2) entered into binding agreements or contractual obligations, which could not be cancelled or modified without substantial loss to the owner or operator, to undertake a program of construction of the stack to be completed in a reasonable time.

(d) Dispersion technique - any technique which attempts to affect the concentration of a pollutant in the ambient air by using that portion of a stack which exceeds good engineering practice stack height, varying the rate of emission of a pollutant according to atmospheric conditions or ambient concentrations of that pollutant or by addition of a fan or reheater to obtain a less stringent emission limitation. The preceding sentence does not include: (1) The reheating of a gas stream, following use of a pollution control system, for the purpose of returning the gas to the temperature at which it was originally discharged from the facility generating the gas stream; (2) the use of smoke management in agricultural or silvicultural programs; or (3) combining the exhaust gases from several stacks into one stack.

(e) Good engineering practice (GEP) stack height - the greater of:

(1) 65 meters;

(2) (i) For stacks in existence on January 12, 1979 and for which the owner or operator had obtained all applicable preconstruction permits or approvals required under these regulations $H_g = 2.5H$

(ii) For all other stacks,

$H_g = H + 1.5L$, where

H_g = good engineering practice stack height, measured from the ground-level, elevation of the base of the stack,

H = height of nearby structure(s) measured from the ground-level elevation at the base of the stack,

L = lesser dimension (height or projected width) of nearby structure(s);

(3) The height demonstrated by a fluid model or a field study approved by the Assistant Secretary, which ensures that the emissions from a stack do not result in excessive concentrations of any air pollutant as a result of atmospheric downwash, wakes, or eddy effects created by the source itself, structures, or terrain obstacles.

(f) Nearby - as used in 17.14 (e) (2) is that distance up to five times the lesser of the height or the width dimension of a structure but not greater than 0.8 km (one-half mile). The height of the structure is measured from the ground-level elevation at the

base of the stack.

(g) Excessive concentrations - for the purpose of determining good engineering practice stack height in a fluid model or field study means a maximum concentration due to downwash wakes, or eddy effects.

(h) Plume impaction - concentrations measured or predicted to occur when the plume interacts with elevated terrain.

(i) Elevated terrain - terrain which exceeds the elevation of the good engineering practice stack as calculated under Paragraph (e) of this Section.

17.14.2 The degree of emission limitation required of any source for control of any air pollutant must not be affected by so much of any source's stack height that exceeds good engineering practice or by any other dispersion technique, except as provided in 17.14.1 (a) and (b). The Division will notify the public of the availability of any stack height demonstration study and will provide opportunity for public hearing on it. This Section does not restrict, in any manner, the actual stack height of any source.

(a) The provisions of 17.14.2 and 17.14.3 shall not apply to (1) stack heights in existence, or dispersion techniques implemented prior to December 31, 1970, or (2) coal-fired steam electric generating units, subject to the provisions of Section 118 of the Clean Air Act, which commenced operation before July 1, 1975 and whose stacks were constructed under a construction contract awarded before February 8, 1974.

(b) The good engineering practice (GEP) stack height for any source seeking credit because of plume impaction which results in concentration in violation of national ambient air quality standards or applicable prevention of significant deterioration increments can be adjusted by determining the stack-height necessary to predict the same maximum air pollutant concentration associated with the emission limit which results from modeling the source using the GEP stack height as determined in 17.14.2(e) and assuming the elevated terrain features to be equal in elevation to the GEP stack height. If this adjusted GEP stack height is greater than the stack height the source proposes to use, the source's emission limitation and air quality impact shall be determined using the proposed stack height and the actual terrain heights.

17.14.3 Review of new sources and modifications - The degree of emission limitation required of any source for control of any air pollutant must not be affected by so much of any source's stack height that exceeds good engineering practice or by any other dispersion technique, except as provided in 17.14.2(a) and (b). When the Division issues a permit to a source based on a good engineering practice stack height that exceeds the height allowed by 17.14.1(e) (1) or (2), the Division will notify the public of the availability of the demonstration study and will provide opportunity for public hearing on it. This Section does not restrict, in any manner, the actual stack height of any source.

The person within the agency responsible for responding to inquiries about the proposed revisions is Gus Von Bodungen, Program Administrator, Air Quality Division, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066; telephone (504) 342-1206.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments, speak at the public hearing, or both, about any of the actions proposed above. Comments, received in person or by mail, before the public hearing will be considered by the Commission before final decision on any of the proposed actions is made. All comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be submitted to B. Jim Porter, Assistant Secretary, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066. All documents relating to the actions on this notice are available for inspection at the following locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.

Room 409, State Office Building, 325 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, LA

Reception area, 8th Floor, State Land and Natural Re-

sources Building, 625 North Fourth Street, Baton Rouge, LA
Office of Environmental Affairs, 804 31st Street, Monroe,
LA
State Office Building, 1525 Fairfield Avenue, Shreveport,
LA
Office of Environmental Affairs, 1155 Ryan Street, Lake
Charles, LA

B. Jim Porter
Assistant Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: Section 17.14**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There are no estimated implementation costs or savings to the agency.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There is no estimated effect on revenue collection.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There is no estimated cost effect or change in benefits.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There will be no effect on competition or employment.

Jerry D. Hill
Undersecretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

**NOTICE OF INTENT
Department of Natural Resources
Office of Environmental Affairs
Environmental Control Commission**

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:953, the Environmental Control Commission (ECC) gives notice that it initiated rulemaking procedures at the August 26, 1982 hearing to revise the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Louisiana. The proposed revision will be forwarded to the Joint Committees on Natural Resources for approval. Upon their approval, the ECC will then consider adoption at the November hearing.

The proposed revision is as follows:

Revision to the
State Implementation Plan
for the U.S. Clean Air Act

KAISER ALUMINUM AND CHEMICAL CORPORATION
Norco, Louisiana

This action proposes to revise the State Implementation Plan (SIP) for Louisiana by allowing the particulate emissions from the Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation's Norco, Louisiana coke calciner to exceed the current emission limitation of 40 lb/hr.

Louisiana submitted a "bubble" proposal to the U.S. E.P.A. on May 13, 1981, proposing that Kaiser's coke calciners at Gramercy and Norco be considered together and that excess reductions at Gramercy, Louisiana should be applied against ex-

cess emissions at Norco. Learning that this proposal was inappropriate due to the 17 mile distance between the sites, Louisiana withdrew the proposal on April 7, 1982.

The Air Quality Division still believes, however, that application of the provisions of the process weight rate allowance of Reg. 19 to the Norco coke calciner would be unreasonable considering the excess reductions made by the company at its Gramercy, Louisiana site.

Kaiser Aluminum and Chemical Corporation has made particulate emissions reductions at its Gramercy, Louisiana coke calciner to a level of 29 lb/hr., which is 83 lb/hr. below the 112 lb/hr. allowable. In light of this, the Air Quality Division believes that Kaiser's Norco, Louisiana coke calciner should be allowed to exceed its allowable particulate emission rate of 40 lb/hr. (based on its full capacity process weight rate of 38.4 tons per hour) by 56 lb/hr., to a new allowable of 96 lb/hr.

According to dispersion calculations prepared by an independent consulting company, Teleneckron, Incorporated, as part of the original "bubble" application, the higher emission limitation of 96 lb/hr. for the Norco, Louisiana facility will not cause or contribute to violations of the 24-hour secondary particulate standard of 150 ug/m³.

The person within the agency responsible for responding to inquiries about the proposed revisions is Gus Von Bodungen, Program Administrator, Air Quality Division, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066; telephone (504) 342-1206.

All interested persons are invited to submit written comments, speak at the public hearing, or both, about any of the actions proposed above. Comments, received in person or by mail before the public hearing, will be considered by the Commission before final decision on any of the proposed actions is made. All comments and requests to speak at the hearing should be submitted to B. Jim Porter, Assistant Secretary, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4066. All documents relating to the actions on this notice are available for inspection at the following locations from 8 a.m. until 4:30 p.m.

Room 409, State Office Building, 325 Loyola Avenue, New Orleans, Louisiana.

Reception area, 8th Floor, State Land and Natural Resources Building, 625 North Fourth Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

B. Jim Porter
Assistant Secretary

**Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement
For Administrative Rules
Rule Title: State Implementation Plan**

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There will be no implementation costs or savings incurred by the Air Quality Division.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There will be no effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
Kaiser Aluminum will save approximately \$4.5 million since a waste heat boiler will not have to be installed at the Norco Facility.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOY-

MENT - (Summary)

There will be no effect on competition and employment.

Jerry D. Hill
Undersecretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Natural Resources Office of Forestry and Department of Revenue and Taxation Tax Commission

The Louisiana Forestry Commission and Tax Commission, as required by L.R.S. 56:1543, intends to adopt the following timber stumpage values based on current average stumpage market values to be used for severance tax computations for 1983:

- | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Pine Sawtimber | \$164.00 per M bd.ft. |
| 2. All Hardwoods & Cypress Sawtimber | 60.00 per M bd.ft. |
| 3. Pine Pulpwood | 15.00 per cord |
| 4. Hardwood Pulpwood | 4.00 per cord |

Interested persons may submit written comments on these proposed stumpage values through November 30, 1982, to D. L. McFatter, State Forester, Office of Forestry, Box 1628, Baton Rouge, LA 70821.

D. L. McFatter, State Forester
Office of Forestry

J. Reginald Coco, Jr., Chairman
Tax Commission

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Timber Stumpage Values 1983

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

There are no implementation costs involved. All severance taxes are collected by Department of Revenue.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

Revenue collections for 1982 and 1983 should be approximately \$5,200,000 which will be \$500,000 (9 percent) less than the 1981 level (\$5,700,000). Improved economic conditions would directly affect timber production. Revenues would be increased proportionally.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

Timber severance taxes, by law, are distributed as follows:

- 75% to the parish where timber was cut
- 25% to State Treasurer

Timber severance taxes to be distributed in 1982 and 1983 should be approximately \$500,000 less than the 1981 level (\$5,700,000).

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

There is no anticipated effect on competition or employment.

H. H. Meng
Administrative Officer

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Urban and Community Affairs Office of Planning and Technical Assistance

The Department of Urban and Community Affairs intends to refine the Rules published in the April 20, 1982, issue of the *Louisiana Register*. These Rules govern the administration of the Louisiana Community Development Block Grant (LCDBG) Program.

These Rules are scheduled for revision for the FY 1983 Program. It is expected that the refinements will be restricted to mechanical and technical aspects of the program. The revised Rules will govern the administration of the FY 1983 LCDBG Program. They will be published in the December 20 issue of the *Register* following a public hearing which will be held in Baton Rouge on November 18, 1982, written comments and a meeting of the Governor's Community Development Advisory Committee and the Interagency Review Panel Members.

Interested persons may comment on the proposed regulations, in writing, through December 1, 1982, at the following address: Ms. Gayle Joseph, Assistant Secretary, Office of Planning and Technical Assistance, Box 44455, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

Ms. Joseph is the person responsible for responding to inquiries about the Notice of Intent.

Gayle Joseph
Assistant Secretary

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules Rule Title: Block Grant Program

I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)

None.

II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)

None.

III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)

None.

IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)

None.

Gayle Joseph
Assistant Secretary

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The following resolution was presented to the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission at its regular meeting held in Baton Rouge, Louisiana August 24, 1982:

WHEREAS, the State Representative of Allen and Beauregard Parishes, as a result of numerous requests from his constituents, has asked the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission to prohibit netting in Bundick Lake, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, and WHEREAS, Bundick Lake is a relatively small impound-

ment of approximately 1700 acres, with an average depth of about 5 feet making gamefish populations extremely vulnerable to netting, and

WHEREAS, commercial fish populations are very low except for a moderate catfish population which is harvested primarily and adequately with slat traps and trotlines.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission hereby prohibits the use of netting in Bundick Lake, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana.

Interested persons may submit their views in writing to Bennie Fontenot, Chief, Fish Division, Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, Box 15570, Baton Rouge, LA, 70895.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules

Rule Title: Netting in Bundick Lake

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
Beauregard Parish Enforcement Agents are presently employed to patrol Bundick Lake as part of their routine duty in Beauregard Parish. Whether or not netting is banned will not affect their present job description, duties and cost.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There will be no estimated effect on revenue collections.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There will be little effect on commercial fishermen since the commercial fisheries in the lake is nil and the main species sought after and most highly priced is catfish which can still be harvested by other means such as slat traps and trotlines.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There will be no estimated effect on competition and employment.

Mary Mitchell
Fiscal Officer

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

NOTICE OF INTENT

Department of Wildlife and Fisheries Wildlife and Fisheries Commission

The Wildlife and Fisheries Commission intends to adopt the following seismic right-of-way fees at its November 23, 1982 meeting to be held in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Seismic Fees:

- 1) \$200 per shot point
- 2) \$2,000 per mile for reflective or refractive cable

Right-of-way:

- 1) 1 to 50 ft. (width), not less than \$100 per rod
- 2) 51 to 100 ft. (width), not less than \$200 per rod
- 3) Over 100 ft. (width), special considerations and fees will be charged based on each individual request

4) Small public utility rights-of-way (telephone, water, gas, etc.), \$1 per linear foot up to a maximum of 15 feet (width)

5) Oil or gas "gathering lines" not to exceed 4 inch diameter, 1 to 50 ft. (width), not less than \$50 per rod

All fees are to be paid in advance of the requested work.

Interested persons may submit written comments to Joe Herring, Chief, Game Division, Box 15570, Baton Rouge, LA 70895 or he can be reached at (504) 342-5868.

Jesse J. Guidry
Secretary

Fiscal and Economic Impact Statement For Administrative Rules

Rule Title: Proposed fee changes for seismic and right-of-way fees of GMA

- I. ESTIMATED IMPLEMENTATION COSTS (SAVINGS) TO AGENCY - (Summary)
There will be no implementation costs to this Department over and above that currently required to administer seismic permits program and grant rights-of-way.
- II. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON REVENUE COLLECTIONS - (Summary)
There will be potential increases in revenue collections depending on the degree of mineral exploration activity and requests for rights-of-way across department owned property especially in the eastern and coastal zone of the state.
An estimated \$500,000 was generated in 1981-82 from those fees. During the first full year of implementation of the new fees, collections will be approximately twice what would be collected under the existing fee structure.
- III. ESTIMATED COSTS AND BENEFITS TO AFFECTED GROUPS - (Summary)
There will be direct fee increases only and no change in Rules or Regulations, required paper work or work load adjustments.
- IV. ESTIMATED EFFECT ON COMPETITION AND EMPLOYMENT - (Summary)
There will be no effect on competition and employment.

Mary Mitchell
Fiscal Officer

Mark C. Drennen
Legislative Fiscal Officer

Committee Reports

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of

Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on September 16, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, for which Notice of Intent was published in the August 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Regulations proposed by the Environmental Control Commission to adopt the Air Quality Division Source Test Manual and to amend 22.22.1(A) and 22.22.2(A) to change references therein from federal regulations to the Air Quality Division Source Test Manual.

Approved by a vote of 6-0.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on September 16, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, for which Notice of Intent was published in the April 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Proposed adoption of the State of Louisiana Construction Grants Priority System, pursuant to the Federal Clean Water Act.

Approved by a vote of 6-0.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on September 16, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, for which Notice of Intent was published in the August 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Regulations proposed by the Environmental Control Commission to amend the Louisiana State Implementation Plan for Ozone Abatement to allow the emissions abated by the use of secondary seals to be used in the growth allowance for the parish where reduction occurs.

Approved by a vote of 6-0.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of Representatives, Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on October 7, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, for which Notice of Intent will be published in the October 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Regulations proposed by the Louisiana Forestry Commission setting timber stumpage values for purposes of 1983 severance taxes computations.

Approved by a vote of 5-0.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of Representatives, Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on October 7, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, for which Notice of Intent was published in the August 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Regulations proposed by the Louisiana Resource Recovery and Development Authority establishing procedures for conducting competitive negotiations for the procurement of full service contracts for the design, construction and operation of resource recovery systems.

Approved by a vote of 4-1.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

COMMITTEE REPORT

House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight Review of Wildlife and Fisheries

Pursuant to the provisions of R.S. 49:968, the House of Representatives Natural Resources Subcommittee on Oversight met on September 16, 1982, and reviewed certain changes in state regulations proposed by the Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries, for which Notice of Intent was published in the August 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* with the following results:

1) Setting of the 1982-83 trapping season.

Approved by a vote of 6-0.

2) Banning of vehicular traffic on Bundick Lake Dam.

Approved by a vote of 5-0.

3) Setting of the 1982-83 oyster season for Calcasieu Lake.

Approved by a vote of 5-0.

4) Prohibition of the use of nets in Bundick Lake.

Approved by a vote of 6-0.

Arthur W. Sour, Jr.
Chairman

Potpourri

POTPOURRI

Department of Natural Resources Fishermen's Gear Compensation Fund Claims

In accordance with the provisions of the Fishermen's Gear Compensation Fund, R.S. 56:700.1 through R.S. 56:700.5 and in particular Section 700.4 thereof; regulations adopted for the fund as published in the *Louisiana Register* on August 20, 1980; and also the Rules of the Secretary of this Department, notice is hereby given that 23 completed claims were received during the month of September, 1982, amounting to \$27,189.08. Four claims amounting to \$6,082.65 were paid during the month of September, 1982.

Public hearings to consider completed claims have been scheduled as follows:

Wednesday, November 3, 1982 at 11 a.m. in the Lafitte City Hall, Lafitte, Louisiana to consider payment of the following claims against the fund:

Claim No. 82-538 (Rescheduled)

Allen Wiseman, while trawling on the vessel "Cajun Power" in the Gulf of Mexico at LORAN-C Coordinates of 27,800.0 and 46,870.0 near Oyster Bayou, Terrebonne Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 20, 1982, at approximately 10 p.m. causing damage to his two trawls and related gear. Amount of claim: \$1,430.

Claim No. 82-552

Wilbert E. Landry, Jr., while trawling on the unnamed vessel LA 600 NJ in Bayou Rigoletes north of the Harvey Canal, Jefferson Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on July 3, 1982, at approximately 5:30 a.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$971.60.

Claim No. 82-566

Delone Williams while trawling on the vessel, "Captain D" in Bayou St. Denis, Jefferson Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on July 8, 1982, at approximately 8 a.m., causing damage to his 42 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$410.

Claim No. 82-609

James Arabie, while trawling on the vessel "Lady Evelyn" in the Main Pass Area at LORAN-C Coordinates of 29,111.0 and 46,835.1, Plaquemines Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction, on August 5, 1982 at approximately 2:10 p.m., causing damage to his vessel, try net, boards and chain. Amount of claim: \$4,255.06.

Claim No. 82-611

Gerald E. LeBlanc, while trawling on the vessel "Lydia Maria" in the Gulf of Mexico out of Barataria Pass, at approximate LORAN-C Coordinates of 28,571.0 and 46,860.3, Jefferson Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 6, 1982, at approximately 10 a.m., causing damage to his trawl. Amount of claim: \$453.60.

Claim No. 82-615

Alan Cheramie, while returning from trawling on the vessel "Alan Michele" in the Intercoastal Waterway east of Houma, Terrebonne Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 16, 1982, at approximately 3 p.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$3,897.01.

Claim No. 82-648

Clifton Creppel, while trawling on the vessel "Captain Kojack" in Stump Lagoon, St. Bernard Parish, encountered a

submerged tree stump on September 1, 1982, at approximately 6 a.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$630.49.

Thursday, November 4, 1982 at 10:30 a.m. in the Police Jury Chambers, 8201 West Judge Perez Drive in Chalmette, Louisiana to consider payment of the following claims against the fund:

Claim No. 82-513 (Rescheduled)

Kenneth A. Fox, while traveling on the vessel "Captain Fox" in Lake Grande Ecaille, Plaquemines Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on May 22, 1982, at approximately 6 p.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$996.54.

Claim No. 82-526

Jack T. Styron, of Plaquemines Bunkers, Inc. while menhaden fishing on the vessel "Sea Bee" in Breton Sound near Light Number 114, Bayou Bio, Plaquemines Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 10, 1982, causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$2,201.36.

Claim No. 82-546

Bernard John Welb, while trawling on the vessel "Miss Judy" in Lake Pontchartrain, northwest of South Point, St. Tammany Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 30, 1982, at approximately 8 a.m., causing loss of his 50-foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$492.55.

Claim No. 82-580

Bernard John Welb, while trawling on the vessel "Miss Judy" in Lake Pontchartrain, west-northwest of Goose Point, St. Tammany Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on July 21, 1982, at approximately 7 a.m., causing damage to his 49 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$217.72.

Claim No. 82-555

Jack T. Styron of W. M. Webb, Inc., while menhaden fishing on the vessel, "Sea Falcon" in Breton Sound east of Battledore Reef, Plaquemines Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 29, 1982, at approximately 6:30 p.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$5,000.

Claim No. 82-572

Mark E. Barbe, while trawling on the unnamed vessel, LA 71XY in Lake Pontchartrain north of South Point, Orleans Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on July 1, 1982, at approximately 3:30 p.m., causing damage to his 40 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$490.

Claim No. 82-588

Wilson Assavedo, while trawling on the vessel "Mitzi Lynne" in Lake Borgne at approximate LORAN-C Coordinates of 28,880.9 and 47,618.0, St. Bernard Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 28, 1982, at approximately 10 p.m., causing loss of his 50 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$800.

Claim No. 82-589

Wilson Assavedo, while trawling on the vessel "Mitzi Lynne", in Lake Pontchartrain, west-southwest of South Point, Orleans Parish, encountered a submerged tree on July 6, 1982, at approximately 10:30 a.m., causing loss of his 50 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$720.

Claim No. 82-634

Warren J. Thibodeaux, while trawling on the vessel "Honey-sucker" in Lake Pontchartrain, three fourths of a mile north of South Point, Orleans Parish, encountered a cluster of submerged pilings on June 30, 1982, at approximately 11 a.m., causing loss of his 60 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$875.

Wednesday, November 10, 1982 at 10:30 a.m. in L.S.U. Cooperative Extension Service Office, Greater Lafourche Port Commission Building, Highway 308, Galliano, Louisiana to consider payment of the following claims against the fund:

Claim No. 82-479 (Rescheduled)

Webb Cheramie, Jr., while trawling on the vessel "Master Wayne" in the Gulf of Mexico out of Caminada Pass, Jefferson Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on May 16, 1982, at approximately 2 p.m., causing loss of his 50 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$652.

Claim No. 82-491

Bobby R. Wilson, while trawling on the vessel, "Mr. B" in Caminada Pass at LORAN-C Coordinates of 28,492.0 and 46,851.0, Jefferson Parish, encountered a sunken tug boat on May 11, 1982, at approximately 1 p.m., causing loss of his vessel. Amount of claim: \$5,000.

Claim No. 82-536

Antoine Chauvin, while trawling on the vessel "Lady Rowena" in the Gulf of Mexico at LORAN-C Coordinates of 28,438.0 and 46,842.9, Lafourche Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 22, 1982, at approximately 8 a.m., causing damage to his 60 foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$820.63.

Claim No. 82-553 (Rescheduled)

Autry Guidry, while trawling on the vessel "Joey and Judy" in Lake Borgne at LORAN-C Coordinates of 29,008.2 and 47,042.4, St. Bernard encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 17, 1982, at approximately 6 p.m., causing loss of his 50-foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$577.50.

Claim No. 82-554

Welton Kiff, of Kiff and Son, Inc., while traveling to trawling area on the vessel "Welton Kiff" in Bayou Lafourche, south of Gulf Shrimp Company, Lafourche Parish encountered a submerged piling on May 31, 1982, at approximately 9 a.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$758.26.

Claim No. 82-560

Donald Cheramie, while trawling on the unnamed vessel, LA 3380 A D in the Gulf of Mexico near Bird Island, Lafourche Parish, encountered a piece of concrete on July 5, 1982, at approximately 11 a.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$458.89.

Claim No. 82-565

Jean Authement, while trawling on the vessel "Captain Jeris" in the Gulf of Mexico at LORAN-C Coordinates of 27,426.4 and 46,923.3, Vermilion Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on July 6, 1982, at approximately 8:30 a.m., causing damage to his vessel, 40-foot trawl, try net and doors. Amount of claim: \$1,409.26.

Claim No. 82-570 (Rescheduled)

Calvin Cheramie, while trawling on the vessel "Mr. Fox" in Lake Borgne near the entrance to The Rigolets, St. Bernard Parish, encountered a submerged anchor on July 8, 1982, at approximately 4:30 p.m., causing damage to his trawl. Amount of claim: \$394.06.

Claim No. 82-576

Freddie Badeaux, while trawling on the vessel "Albert B." in Lake Borgne east of The Rigolets, St. Bernard Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on June 11, 1982, at approximately 2:30 p.m., causing loss of his 50-foot trawl. Amount of claim: \$653.12.

Claim No. 82-584

Johnny J. Cantrell, while trawling on the vessel "Liberty Bell" in the Gulf of Mexico at approximate LORAN-C Coordinates of 27,249.1 and 46,940.2, Vermilion Parish, encountered a submerged menhaden net on July 11, 1982, at approximately 1 p.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$581.30.

Claim No. 82-607

Van Joseph Boudreaux, while trawling on the vessel "Jaime Lyn" in Timbalier Bay near the Gulf Oil Field north of Bird Island, Lafourche Parish, encountered a submerged section of

seismographic casing on June 9, 1982, at approximately 8:30 p.m., causing damage to his vessel. Amount of claim: \$378.19.

Claim No. 82-610

Douglas Lafont, while trawling on the vessel "Tee Tigre" in Barataria Pass, approximately one fourth mile from Fort Livingston, Jefferson Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 6, 1982, at approximately 3:30 p.m., causing damage to his trawl and related gear. Amount of claim: \$728.

Claim No. 82-613

Hank Kiff, while trawling on the vessel "Monica Kiff" in the Gulf of Mexico south of Bay Champagne, Lafourche Parish, encountered a submerged telephone post on August 7, 1982, at approximately 4:00 p.m., causing loss of his 45 foot balloon trawl. Amount of claim: \$606.

Claim No. 82-617

John William Armbruster, III, while trawling on the vessel "Wendy Lynn" in the Gulf of Mexico at LORAN-C Coordinates of 27,082.0 and 46,943.4, Vermilion Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 3, 1982, approximately 3 p.m., causing loss of try net, doors and cable. Amount of claim: \$376.74.

Claim No. 82-618

John William Armbruster, III, while trawling on the vessel "Wendy Lynn" in the Gulf of Mexico at LORAN-C Coordinates of 27,053.0 and 46,944.5, Vermilion Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 6, 1982, at approximately 1:30 p.m., causing loss of his try net and rope. Amount of claim: \$161.04.

Claim No. 82-621

Lawrence Charpentier, while trawling on the vessel "Thunder Bay" in the Main Pass Channel at LORAN-C Coordinates of 29,044.0 and 46,880.7, Plaquemines Parish, encountered an unidentified submerged obstruction on August 18, 1982, at approximately 6:30 p.m., causing loss of his trawl. Amount of claim: \$940.36.

Any written objections to these claims must be received by the close of business November 1, 1982 by the Secretary whose address is: Frank P. Simoneaux, Secretary, Department of Natural Resources, Box 44396, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, LA 70804.

At the hearings, any person may submit evidence on any phase of the claims.

Frank P. Simoneaux
Secretary

POTPOURRI

Department of Natural Resources Office of Conservation Underground Injection Control Division

Docket Number UIC 82-12

In accordance with the laws of the State of Louisiana, and with particular reference to the provisions of LRS 30:4, notice is hereby given that the Commissioner of Conservation will conduct a public hearing at 1 p.m., Monday, November 22, 1982, in the Police Jury Meeting Room of the Beauregard Parish Police Jury Building, located on Second Street., DeRidder, Louisiana.

At such hearing the Commissioner of Conservation or his designated representative will hear testimony relative to the application of Petroglen Company, Inc. The applicant intends to operate a commercial saltwater disposal well and facility in Section 3, Township 7 South, Range 9 West, Beauregard Parish, Louisiana, and inject into the subsurface saltwater generated from

oil and gas production.

Prior to authorizing the use of this well for disposal of saltwater, the Commissioner of Conservation must find that the applicant has met all the requirements of Statewide Order No. 29-B (August 1, 1943, as amended).

The application is available for inspection by notifying Fritz L. Spencer, Jr., Office of Conservation, Underground Injection Control (UIC) Division, Room 228, of the Natural Resources Building, 625 North Fourth Street, Baton Rouge, Louisiana, or by contacting the Beauregard Parish Police Jury in the Beauregard Parish Police Jury Building, DeRidder, Louisiana.

All interested persons will be afforded an opportunity to present data, views or arguments, orally or in writing, at said public hearing. Written comments which will not be presented at the hearing must be received no later than 5 p.m., November 22, 1982, at the Baton Rouge Office. Comments should be directed to: Commissioner of Conservation, Box 44275, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804; Re: Docket No. UIC 82-12, Commercial Salt Water Disposal Well, Claiborne Parish.

Patrick H. Martin
Commissioner

POTPOURRI

Department of Natural Resources Office of Environmental Affairs

The Department of Natural Resources, Office of Environmental Affairs, will conduct a public hearing to present, for public review and comment, the proposed FY1983 Construction Grants Project Priority List. This list is a ranking of communities that request federal assistance for the construction of wastewater treatment facilities and will determine which applicants may receive federal assistance in FY1983. The proposed list is prepared in accordance with provisions of 40CFR 35.2015-2025.

The public hearing will be held on Thursday, December 2, 1982 at 10 a.m. in the Conservation Hearing Room, in the lobby of the State Lands and Natural Resources Building, 625 North 4th Street, Baton Rouge, LA. Interested persons may submit written comments to J. Dale Givens, Administrator, Water Pollution Control Division, Office of Environmental Affairs, Box 44066, Baton Rouge, LA 70804. Written comments will be received until December 20, 1982.

Copies of the proposed FY1983 Construction Grants Project Priority List will be available for public review at least 30 days prior to the hearing at the Department of Natural Resources, Water Pollution Control Division, 625 North 4th Street, Baton Rouge, LA and in the Regional Planning Offices throughout the state at the following locations:

- District 1 333 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 900
New Orleans, LA
- District 2 333 North 19th Street
Baton Rouge, LA
- District 3 110 Burns Plaza
Thibodaux, LA
- District 4 501 St. John Street
Lafayette, LA
- District 5 328 Tujo Street, 4th Floor
Lake Charles, LA
- District 6 1220 MacArthur Drive
Alexandria, LA
- District 7 3305 Mansfield Road
Shreveport, LA

District 8 2115 Justice Street
Monroe, LA

J. Dale Givens, Administrator
Water Pollution Control Division

Errata

ERRATA

Department of Health and Human Resources Office of Family Security

The Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, in the September 20, 1982, *Louisiana Register* (Volume 8, No. 9, page 465) had exercised the emergency provision of the Administrative Procedure Act to add an exception to the Medical Assistance Program's policy for payment for in-patient hospital care.

The exception which appeared in September 20, 1982 *Louisiana Register* (Volume 8, No. 9, page 465) is hereby rescinded.

The rescission is necessary as Louisiana Department of Health and Human Resources, Office of Family Security, has been advised by the Department of Health and Human Services, Dallas Regional office that the implementation of such an exception is not in compliance with Federal Regulations and would subject the State of Louisiana to a loss of Federal financial participation.

Roger P. Guissing
Secretary

The following chart shows where in the *Louisiana Register* a particular page cited in the index falls:

1982

1 - 53	Jan.
57 - 130	Feb.
135 - 170	Mar.
173 - 216	Apr.
223 - 263	May
269 - 319	June
323 - 387	July
393 - 459	August
463 - 505	Sept.
509 - 554	Oct.

**CUMULATIVE INDEX
(Volume 8, Number 10)**

- Accountants (see Commerce Department, Certified Public Accountants)
- Agriculture Department:
 - Agriculture and Environmental Science, Office of, 23N, 59R
 - Dairy Stabilization Board, 51P, 525N
 - Horticulture Commission, 1ER, 150N, 183R, 262P, 456P
 - Market Commission, 193N, 193N, 194N, 269R, 269R, 271R, 272R
 - Livestock Sanitary Board, 23N, 60R, 243N, 244N, 273R, 273R
 - Seed Commission, 364N, 419N, 463ER
 - State Entomologist, 2R, 127P, 213P, 244N, 274R, 286N, 364N
 - Structural Pest Control Commission, 486N, 510R
 - Warehouse Commission, 397ER
- Block Grants (see Health and Human Resources Department, Secretary's Office)
- Civil Service Department:
 - Board of Ethics for Elected Officials, 245N, 420N, 487N
 - Commission on Ethics for Public Employees, 151N, 227R, 420N, 488N
- Commerce Department:
 - Cemetery Board, 103N, 195N, 288N, 421N, 467R, 505E
 - Certified Public Accountants, Board of, 2CR
 - Commerce and Industry, Board of, 24N, 195N, 229R, 230R, 287N
 - Contractors, Licensing Board for, 135R
 - Cosmetology, Board of, 174ER, 245N, 263E
 - Financial Institutions, Office of:
 - Adjustable-Rate Mortgages, 246N, 365N, 468R, 470R, 500CR
 - Balloon, Reverse Annuity Mortgages, 103N, 138R
 - Bank mergers, 25N
 - Cashier's Checks, 61R
 - Conversion to stock, 288N, 470R, 500CR
 - Loans to One Borrower, 61R
 - Nationwide Lending, 61R
 - New bank applications, 421N
 - Remote Service Units, 62R
 - Service corporation, 289N, 471R, 500CR
 - Variable rate rules, 26N, 196N, 232R, 459E
 - Racing Commission:
 - Administering drugs, 151N, 233R
 - Bribes, 152N, 233R
 - Chemical analysis, 247N, 405R

- Claiming horses, 63R
- Conflict of interest, 233R, 316CR, 471R, 501CR
- Ejection from racegrounds, 152N, 152N, 224ER, 233R, 234R, 317CR, 464ER, 471R, 501CR
- Employee licensing, 63R
- Limits of medication, 28N, 1ER, 139R
- Prohibited medication, 29N, 140R
- Use of Whips, 63R
- Workmen's compensation, 247N
- Corrections Department:
 - Secretary, Office of:
 - Assignment of inmates, 247N, 274R
 - Furloughs and temporary releases, 248N, 274R
 - Inmate rules and regulations, 248N, 275R
 - Internal Assignment and Review Board, 249N, 275R
 - Parole hearings, 249N, 276R
 - Student rules and regulations, 249N, 276R
- Culture, Recreation and Tourism Department:
 - Cultural Development, Office of, 488N, 510R
 - Library, Office of the State, 153N, 187R, 526N
 - Parks, Office of State, 140R, 141R, 142R
 - Secretary's Office, 3R
- Education Department:
 - Elementary and Secondary Education, Board of:
 - Buses, 526N
 - Compliance, accreditation standards, 290N, 406R
 - Driving schools, 153N, 234R
 - Exceptional children, 323R, 459E
 - Foreign language, 269ER, 367N, 472R
 - GED policy, 276R
 - High school credit, 29N
 - Migrant education, 153N, 234R
 - Minimum standards, 63R
 - Nonpublic testing, 197N
 - Nutrition plan, 427N
 - Paraprofessionals, 526N
 - Remedial programs, 510R
 - Second grade skills, 105N, 188R
 - Summer school, 197N, 276R
 - Supervisor certification revision, 464ER, 488N, 509ER
 - Textbook adoption, 7R
 - Transportation reimbursement, 142R
 - Tuition exemption, 397ER, 488N
 - Vo-Tech attendance, 250N, 323R
 - Evaluation, Bureau of, 502P
 - PIP guidelines, 58ER, 105N, 472R
 - NTE Validation Task Force, 502P
 - Southern University Board of Supervisors, 224ER, 253N, 528N, 528N
 - Supervisors, Board of for L.S.U., 154N, 277R
 - Teaching Professions Practices Commissions, 253N, 324R
 - Trustees, Board of for State Colleges and Universities, 155N, 214P, 291N, 406R
- Ethics (see Civil Service Department)
- Executive Orders:
 - DCT 81-11 — Continue Thrift Industry Council, 51
 - DCT 82-1 — Committee on Alcoholism and Drug Abuse, 57

CR—Committee Report
E—Errata EO—Executive Order ER—Emergency Rule
L—Legislation N—Notice of Intent P—Potpourri
PPM—Policy and Procedure Memorandum R—Rule

- DCT 82-2 — Task Force on Drinking and Driving, 57
- DCT 82-3 — Membership on Task Force on Drinking and Driving, 135
- DCT 82-4 — Advisory Committee on Educational Block Grants, 135
- DCT 82-5 — Vessel access to lower Mississippi, 173
- DCT 82-6 — Add members to Task Force on Drinking and Driving, 173
- DCT 82-7 — Insurance Study Committee, 223
- DCT 82-8 — Task Force on Cancer, 223
- DCT 82-9 — Task Force on Municipal Civil Service Laws, 224
- DCT 82-10 — Add member to Task Force on Drinking and Driving, 269
- DCT 82-11 — Student Loan Marketing Association, 393
- DCT 82-12 — Add member to Task Force on Drinking and Driving, 393
- DCT 82-13 — Small purchases regulations, 393
- DCT 82-14 — Create Commission on Medical Malpractice, 394
- DCT 82-15 — Create Task Force on Rape, 395
- DCT 82-16 — Declare emergency in City of Kenner, 395
- DCT 82-17 — Council of Ozarks Governors, 395
- DCT 82-18 — Create Advisory Committee on Community Services Block Grant, 396
- DCT 82-19 — Recreate Task Force on Rape, 463
- DCT 82-20 — Tropical Storm Chris emergency, 509
- DCT 82-21 — Revenue shortfall, 509
- Food stamps (see Health and Human Resources Department, Office of Family Security)
- Governor's Office:
- Administration, Division of:
 - Conduct of hearing, 291N, 326R, 528N
 - Consulting services, 532N
 - Office of Data Processing, 253N
 - Property Control, 106N, 144R, 254N, 277R
 - Purchasing Rules, 293N, 328R
 - Travel Regulations, 367N, 406R
 - Uniform copy fees, 31N, 156N, 411R
 - Elderly Affairs, Office of, 106N
 - Facility Planning and Control, Department of, 428N, 473R
 - Law Enforcement and Criminal Justice Commission, 63R
 - State Planning Office, 539N
- Health and Human Resources Department:
- Embalmers and Funeral Directors, Board of, 31N, 32N, 188R
 - Examiners for Nursing Home Administrators, Board of, 64R
 - Examiners of Psychologists, Board of, 107N, 110N
 - Examiners for Speech Pathology and Audiology, Board of, 542N
- Family Security, Office of:
- Abortion payments, 58ER, 159N, 189R
 - AFDC policies, 8R, 307N, 341R
 - Cuban/Haitian Program, 58R, 156N, 189R
 - Definition of "Physicians Services", 9R
 - Drugs, 35N, 67R, 435N, 479R, 491N, 492N, 544R, 544R
 - Earned income disregard, 543N
 - Energy Assistance, 76R
 - Enforcement of support, 437N, 481R
 - Eyeglasses deletion, 307N, 385P
 - Exclude burial funds, 464ER, 542N
 - Food stamp policies, 9R, 32N, 75R, 157N, 214P, 342R
 - Foster care requirements, 202N, 234R
 - GA enumeration, 544N
 - GA resource policy, 308N, 342R
 - Hyperalimentation therapy, 544N
 - Income limits, 323ER, 372N, 411R
 - Income exclusion, 397ER, 491N, 543R
 - Independent laboratories, 111N, 144R
 - Long term care facility costs, 11R
 - Low Income Energy Assistance, 481R
 - MAP programs, 111N, 145R, 189R, 308N, 343R, 465ER, 491N, 492N, 511R, 511R, 554E
 - Maximum costs for drugs, 11R, 398ER, 491N, 510R
 - Medicaid for children, 509ER
 - Medicare reimbursement, 158N, 261CR
 - Mentally retarded facility, 308N
 - Non-emergency transportation, 544N
 - Nursing home increase, 465ER, 545N
 - Optional state supplementation, 158N, 189R
 - Outpatient services, 43N, 76R
 - Patient liability for care, 11R
 - Podiatry services, 159N, 190R
 - Rate increases in programs, 33N, 75R
 - Refugee, Cuban/Haitian eligibility, 58ER
 - Refugee Resettlement income, 546N
 - Reimbursement for therapy, 465ER
 - Reimbursement limit on services, 465ER
 - Reporting requirements, 309N, 343R
 - Retrospective budgeting, 202N, 235R, 309N, 343R
 - Tax refund offset, 490N, 520R
 - Testing costs limited, 43N, 75R
 - Title XIX personal care, 58ER
 - Withholding of unemployment, 437N, 481R
- Health Services and Environmental Quality, Office of:
- Family planning fees, 12R, 492N, 512R
 - Oyster closure, 44N, 76R, 373N, 411R
 - Sewage plants, 160N, 235R, 343R
 - Trihalomethane standards, 12R
 - Wastewater fee increase, 310N
- Human Development, Office of:
- Adoption program rules, 13R
 - Blind Services manual, 13R
 - Client placement, 47N, 76R, 182ER, 254N, 277R
 - Cuban/Haitian program, 66R
 - Foster care increases, 546N
 - Rehabilitation program manual, 14R
 - Shelter costs reimbursement, 47N, 76R, 203N, 235R
- Licensing and Regulation, Office of:
- Disposal of fetal remains, 182ER, 255N, 278R
 - Nursing, Board of, 65R, 311N, 417R, 438N
 - Practical Nurse Examiners, Board of, 65R
 - Section 1122, Capital Expenditure Reviews, 59ER, 112N, 224ER, 376N, 412R
 - State Health Plan, 493N

CR—Committee Report

**E—Errata EO—Executive Order ER—Emergency Rule
L—Legislation N—Notice of Intent P—Potpourri
PPM—Policy and Procedure Memorandum R—Rule**

Medical Examiners, Board of, 180ER
 Mental Health and Substance Abuse, Office of, 438N, 512R
 Mental Retardation, Office of, 494N, 513R
 Secretary, Office of:
 Block grants, 14R, 203N, 278R, 343R
 Civil Rights Bureau, 256N, 279R
 Community Residential Development Fund, 47N, 77R, 496N, 515R
 Energy assistance, 2ER, 381N
 Gary W. Project, 257N, 280R
 Hearing scheduled, 51P
 Hospital fee scale, 418R
 Veterinary Medicine, Board of, 51P, 65R, 110N, 135ER, 144R, 490N, 502P

Insurance Department:
 Life and Health Division, 311N, 481R, 501CR
 Property and Casualty, Division of, 50N, 235R, 440N

Labor Department:
 Community Services Block Grant, 258N, 344R
 Employment Security, Office of, 456P
 Plumbing Board, 52P

Natural Resources Department:
 Conservation, Office of:
 Committee Report, 82CR
 Definitions for Natural Resources and Energy Act of 1973, 15R
 Gather information for Commissioner, 115N
 Underground injection wells, 79R, 83R, 167P, 168P, 168P, 168P, 169E, 319P, 386P, 456P, 504P, 504P, 553P

Environmental Affairs, Office of:
 Air quality revision, 161N, 169E, 259N, 315N, 384CR, 445N, 517R, 519R, 519R, 550CR
 Committee Report, 100CR
 Construction Grants Priority System, 205N, 551CR, 554P
 Opacity Standards, 100R
 Ozone abatement, 444N, 519R
 Rules of procedure, 382N, 485R, 501CR
 Section 17.14, stacks, 546N
 State Implementation Plan, 548N, 551CR
 Fishermen's Gear claims, 52P, 127P, 167P, 214P, 318P, 385P, 456P, 503P, 552P
 Forestry, Office of, 260N, 285R, 318CR, 383N, 384CR, 419R, 549N, 551CR
 Resource Recovery and Development Authority, 162N, 213CR, 236R, 447N, 520R, 551CR
 Secretary, Office of, 162N, 384CR, 519R

Public Safety Department:
 Alcoholic Beverage Control, Office of, 315N, 502CR
 Fire Marshal, Office of the:
 Educational occupancies, 498N, 523R
 Emergency generators, 15R, 170E
 Fireworks, 129E
 Inspection requirements, 119N, 145R, 217E
 Insulation standards, 260N, 485R
 Insurance for gas stations, 316N, 385CR, 523R
 Mattress specifications, 116N, 166CR
 Mobile home standards, 16R
 Observation of construction, 499N, 523R
 Prison inspection, 383N, 485R
 Smoke detection, 206N, 236R

Liquefied Petroleum Gas Commission, 53E
 Municipal Police Officers Supplemental Pay, Board of, 53P, 129P, 169P, 263P
 State Police, Office of, 163N, 190R
 Racing Commission (see Commerce Department)
 Revenue and Taxation Department:
 Excise Tax Section, 450N
 Petroleum, Beverage and Tobacco Tax Section, 101R
 Tax Commission, 53P, 102R, 129P, 215P, 387P, 458P, 504P, 549N

State Department:
 Election Supervisors, Board of, 504P
 Transportation and Development Department:
 General Counsel, Office of, 19R
 Land Surveyors and Engineers, Board of Registration for Professional, 190R, 217E
 Soil and Water Conservation Committee, 124N
 Undersecretary, Office of, 120N, 145R, 207N, 352R
 Travel regulations (see Governor's Office, Division of Administration)

Treasury Department:
 Board of Trustees, State Employees
 Group Benefits Program:
 Amendment, 163N, 101R
 Billing resolution, 164N, 285R
 Fifty percent participation, 451N, 486R
 HMO rules, 20R
 Life medical insurance, 50N, 150R, 183ER, 285R
 Open enrollment for schools, 22R
 Bond Commission, 452N, 499N, 523R

Urban and Community Affairs, Department of:
 Governor's Commission on Indian Affairs, 164N
 Office of Planning and Technical Assistance, 208N, 237R, 549N

Veterinary medicine (see Department of Health and Human Resources)

Wildlife and Fisheries, Department of:
 Alligator season, 398ER
 Bundick Lake netting, 549N, 551CR
 Bundick Lake vehicles, 453N, 524R, 551CR
 Caddo Lake netting, 384N, 524R
 Calcasieu Lake oysters, 453N, 524R, 551CR
 Hunter Safety Training, 102R
 Hunting seasons, 260N, 318CR, 353R, 466ER, 467ER
 Lake Claiborne, 261N, 318CR, 419R
 Netting prohibited in Black, Clear Lakes, 59ER, 125N
 Oyster applications, 126N, 192R
 Oyster seed reservations, 405ER
 Seismic fees, 550N
 Shrimp season, 126N, 243R, 405ER
 Slat traps, 454N
 Trapping season, 455N, 525R, 551CR
 Waterfowl seasons, 467ER

CR—Committee Report
E—Errata EO—Executive Order ER—Emergency Rule
L—Legislation N—Notice of Intent P—Potpourri
PPM—Policy and Procedure Memorandum R—Rule