



BRB No. 20-0390  
Case Nos. 2019-LHC-00689 and 2019-LHC-00691  
OWCP Nos. 6-309822 and 6-325516

|                               |   |                         |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
| JERALD LYNN                   | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| Claimant-Respondent           | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| v.                            | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| REEFER EXPRESS, LLC           | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| and                           | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| SIGNAL MUTUAL INDEMNITY       | ) | DATE ISSUED: 11/24/2020 |
| ASSOCIATION, LTD              | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| Employer/Carrier-             | ) |                         |
| Petitioners                   | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF WORKERS'  | ) |                         |
| COMPENSATION PROGRAMS, UNITED | ) |                         |
| STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR    | ) |                         |
|                               | ) |                         |
| Party-in-Interest             | ) | ORDER                   |

Employer and Claimant have filed a joint motion to remand this case to the district director for consideration of their petition for modification and proposed Order of Modification under 33 U.S.C. §922.

We grant the parties' motion and dismiss Employer's appeal without prejudice. 20 C.F.R. §802.301(c); *see* 20 C.F.R §702.315. If the parties' petition for modification is denied, Employer may have its appeal reinstated by filing a request for reinstatement within 30 days of the date of the denial. 20 C.F.R. §802.301(c).

Accordingly, we dismiss Employer's appeal without prejudice and remand the case to the district director for consideration of the parties' petition for modification.<sup>1</sup>

SO ORDERED.

JUDITH S. BOGGS, Chief  
Administrative Appeals Judge

GREG J. BUZZARD  
Administrative Appeals Judge

MELISSA LIN JONES  
Administrative Appeals Judge

---

<sup>1</sup> Thus, Claimant's motion for an enlargement of time in which to file his response brief is denied as moot.