

U.S. ELECTION ASSISTANCE COMMISSION

Fiscal Year 2020 Annual Report to Congress on the Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act of 2002

Fiscal Year 2020

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) is an independent federal agency under the Executive Branch of the United States government. The agency was established by the Help America Vote Act of 2002 (HAVA) and is a bipartisan commission charged with developing guidance to meet HAVA requirements, adopting voluntary voting system guidelines, and serving as a national clearinghouse of information on election administration. EAC also accredits testing laboratories and certifies voting systems, as well as audits the use of HAVA funds. Other responsibilities include maintaining the national mail voter registration form developed in accordance with the National Voter Registration Act of 1993. The agency's mission is to help election officials improve the administration of elections and to help Americans participate in the voting process.

Building and maintaining a diverse workforce that thrives within an equitable and inclusive work environment is essential to EAC's mission. Leadership recognizes the intrinsic relationship between diversity and excellence in all EAC endeavors and reaffirms its commitment to employment equity, affirmative action and workforce diversity. Actively recruiting a qualified and diverse workforce, as well as making every effort to provide an inclusive work environment that is free of discrimination and harassment, are essential steps toward fulfilling moral, ethical and legal obligations.

Looking back on 2020, EAC assisted state and local election officials as over 150 million Americans voted. The successful administration of the 2020 election provided reassurance that the foundation of America's democracy thrived amid record voter participation and despite a global pandemic. This was in no small part because of the herculean efforts by state and local election officials. Many of the successful measures they developed this year should improve the voting experience in future elections, like increasing the pool of poll workers and improving absentee/mail ballot operations.

EAC is organized into six divisions (Research, Communications, Testing and Certification, Cyber Security, Information Technology, and Administration). As of September 30, 2020, the EAC had a total workforce of 38 employees.

This report, which covers FY 2020, is prepared and provides its Annual Report to Congress as required by Title II, Section 203 of the Notification and Federal Employee Antidiscrimination and Retaliation Act of 2002 ("No FEAR Act" or "Act"), Public Law 107-174. The No Fear Act aims to reduce the incidents of workplace discrimination within the federal government by holding agencies and departments accountable for violations of antidiscrimination and whistleblower protection laws, and requires an annual report.

The agency's Administrative Officer, who reports to the Executive Director, took on the collateral duty of EEO Official in FY 2020 and continued the process of engaging stakeholders, strengthening leadership commitment, exploring additional resources and advocating for further change.

Specifically, the EEO Official:

- Advised senior leadership on EEO and diversity-related matters.
- Continued to refine a diversity management program, which includes onboarding, employee engagement, and training.
- Searched for an applicant flow system for statistical analysis of diversity and inclusion metrics to identify barriers, prioritize initiatives, set program goals, assign accountability and measure the impact of initiatives.
- Assisted and encouraged Human Resources Programs and Services (HR) to utilize targeted recruitment to maintain a skilled, diverse, and effective workforce in order to ensure that it has a variety of perspectives involved in decision making.

EAC continues to be diligent in fully utilizing the shared services of the General Services Administration's (GSA) Office of Civil Rights (OCR) to process EEO complaints, investigations and Final Agency Decisions. Again, the agency had no formal complaints due to the diligent work of t HR. With an in-house EEO representative, we expect this trend to continue due to an ongoing increased effort to actively seek resolution during the initial intake and/or the informal counseling process.

II. INTRODUCTION

During FY 2020, EAC was not a party to any Federal district court cases, and as a result, the agency was not required to reimburse the Judgment Fund during FY 2019. No EAC employees were disciplined for discrimination, retaliation, harassment, or other infractions of a provision of law cited in the *No FEAR Act* stemming from Federal district court actions. There were no Equal Opportunity (EO) complaints filed against EAC during FY 2020.

The *No FEAR Act* requires Federal agencies to submit an annual report to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, the President *pro tempore* of the Senate, the Committee on Homeland Security & Governmental Affairs of the Senate, the Committee on Government Reform of the House of Representatives, each committee of Congress with jurisdiction relating to the agency, the Attorney General, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC). Additionally, the U.S. Office of Personnel Management's final regulation on the *No FEAR Act* issued on December 28, 2006, requires that the Office of Personnel Management receive a copy of the report. This report is submitted by EAC to satisfy these reporting requirements.

III. BACKGROUND

The *No FEAR Act* was signed into law by President George W. Bush on May 15, 2002, and became effective on October 1, 2003. The *Act* requires Federal agencies to be accountable for violations of anti-discrimination and whistleblower protection laws and to post certain statistical data relating to Federal sector EEO complaints filed with the agency. Section 203 of the *No FEAR Act* requires that each Federal agency submit an annual Report to Congress not later than one-hundred eighty (180) days after the end of each fiscal year. Agencies must report on the

number of Federal district court cases arising under each of the respective areas of law specified in the *Act* in which discrimination was alleged; the status or disposition of cases; the amount of money required to be reimbursed; the number of employees disciplined; any policies implemented related to appropriate disciplinary actions against a Federal employee who discriminated against any individual or committed a prohibited personnel practice; and an analysis of the data collected with respect to trends, causal analysis, etc. The President delegated responsibility to the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) for the issuance of regulations governing implementation of Title II of the *No FEAR Act*. The OPM published final regulations on May 10, 2006, concerning the reimbursement provisions of the *Act*; final regulations to carry out the notification and training requirements of the *Act*, on July 20, 2006; and the final regulations to implement the reporting and best practices provisions of the *Act* on December 28, 2006. EAC has prepared this report based on the provisions of the *No FEAR Act*, along with the final regulations issued by the OPM and the EEOC.

IV. DATA

A. Cases

Section 203(1) of the *No FEAR Act* requires that agencies include in their annual Report to Congress "the number of cases arising under each of the respective provisions of law covered by paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 201 (a) in which discrimination on the part of such agency was alleged." Section 724.302 of OPM's final regulations issued on December 28, 2006, clarifies section 203 (1) of the *No FEAR Act*, stating that Federal agencies report on the "number of cases in Federal Court pending or resolved ... arising under each of the respective provisions of the Federal Anti-discrimination laws and Whistleblower Protection laws applicable to them ... in which an employee, former Federal employee, or applicant alleged a violation(s) of these laws, separating data by the provision(s) of law involved."

EAC reports that during FY 2020, there were no Federal district court discrimination cases resulting in payments from the Judgment Fund on behalf of the Agency.

B. Reimbursement to the Judgment Fund

OPM published final regulations in the Federal Register on January 22, 2004, and was finalized on May 10, 2006, to clarify the agency reimbursement provisions of Title II of the *No FEAR Act*. These regulations state, among other things, that the Financial Management Service, U.S. Department of the Treasury (FMS), will provide notice to an agency's Chief Financial Officer within 15 business days after payment from the Judgment Fund. The agency is required to reimburse the Judgment Fund within 45 business days after receiving the notice from FMS or must contact FMS to make arrangements, in writing, for reimbursement.

No discrimination cases were filed in Federal district court against EAC during FY 2020.

C. Disciplinary Actions

Section 203(a)(4) of the *No FEAR Act* requires that agencies include in the annual Report to Congress "the number of employees disciplined for discrimination, retaliation, harassment, or any other infraction of any provision of law referred to in paragraph (1)." Section 203(a)(l) requires that agencies report "the number of cases arising under each of the respective provisions of law covered by paragraphs (1) and (2) of section 201(a) in which discrimination on the part of such agency was alleged." OPM's final regulation issued December 28, 2006, provides that these cases refer to the number of discrimination cases for which the Judgment Fund paid on behalf of the agency. The regulations also define disciplinary actions to include any one or a combination of the following actions: reprimand, suspension without pay, reduction in grade or pay, or removal.

EAC reports that there were no disciplinary actions arising from any Federal district court discrimination cases.

D. Final Year End Data

The final year-end data posted pursuant to Section 301(c) (1) (B) of the *No FEAR Act* are included in Appendix A.

The final year-end data indicates that during FY 2020, there were no complaints of discrimination.

E. Policy Direction on Disciplinary Actions

Section 203(a)(6) of the *No FEAR Act* requires that agencies include in their Annual Report to Congress a detailed description of the policy implemented by the agency relating to disciplinary actions imposed against a Federal employee who discriminated against any individual in violation of any of the laws cited under section 201(a) (1) or (2), or committed another prohibited personnel practice that was revealed in the investigation of a complaint alleging a violation of any of the laws cited under section 201(a) (1) or (2). Further, the *Act* requires that, with respect to each such law, the Federal agency report on the number of employees who were disciplined in accordance with such policy and the specific nature of the disciplinary action taken.

The Executive Director issues primary policy statements that reinforce the Commission's commitment to establish a workplace free from discrimination, harassment, and retaliation. EAC's employees are accountable for their actions in accordance with EAC's Workforce Diversity Policy Statement (See Appendix B), and EAC's revised Anti-Harassment Policy (See Appendix C).

The first statement emphasizes EAC's determination to subject employees to appropriate disciplinary action for engaging in unlawful discriminatory practices or allowing discriminatory practices to exist. The second statement communicates EAC 's zero tolerance of harassment

against employees on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, sex, disability, gender identity or expression, sexual orientation, political affiliation, marital or parental status, military service, or engaging in a protected activity. Both of the statements caution that engaging in prohibited behavior will result in appropriate disciplinary actions.

F. No FEAR Training

Section 202(C) of the *No FEAR Act* requires Federal agencies to provide training to their employees on the rights and remedies under Federal Anti-discrimination laws and Whistleblower Protection laws. Under Section 724.203, Federal agencies were required to develop a written training plan and to have trained their employees by December 1, 2006, and every two years thereafter. Under implementing regulations, new employees are to receive *No FEAR Act* training within 90 days of appointment, which can be met through an agency orientation or training program.

EAC's Administrative Officer established a training plan that new onboarding staff must complete, and all employees must repeat every two years. EAC has a subscription for LRP Publications' No Fear Act e-learning course. Internet-based, the course is available to all EAC employees and enables the agency to ensure that employees complete the required training. Even though the requirement is biennial, due to staff turnover and the ease of implementing the training online, we have implemented the training annually.

V. ANALYSIS OF TRENDS, CAUSAL ANALYSIS AND PRACTICAL KNOWLEDGE GAINED THROUGH EXPERIENCE

Section 203(7) of the *No FEAR Act* requires that agencies undertake "an examination of trends, causal analysis, and practical knowledge gained through experience and any actions planned or taken to improve complaint or civil rights programs of the agency."

EAC has examined the information reported and finds that, since the effective date of the No FEAR Act, there has been only one EEO complaint filed and no Federal district court hearings. This statistic illustrates EAC's ongoing effort and commitment to maintaining a diverse and an inclusive workforce as well as a diverse workplace environment.

Conducted at least biennially, No FEAR Act training also contributes to EAC's low complaint total.

EAC's compliance and review under this section illustrates the diversity of our total workforce. As was reported in EAC's MD-715 Report to the EEOC for FY 2020, of the 3 employees at the Executive level (Pay band V) and 13 at the Senior-level (Pay band IV), 31 percent are male and 69 percent are female. Looking at race and ethnicity at those levels, 62 percent are White, 15 percent are Black, 8 percent are Hispanic or Latino and Asian representation is 15 percent. The Mid-level (Pay band III) has 7 male employees and 5 female employees. The race and ethnicity breakdown at that level is 42 percent White, 42 percent Black, 8 percent Hispanic or Latin and 8 percent Asian. Looking at disabled employment for FY 2020, EAC has a total workforce with 16

percent disability status (one of EAC employee has a targeted disability). EAC will continue its effort to recruit qualified disabled employees in FY 2021.

VI. ADJUSTMENT TO BUDGET

Section 203(a) (8) of the *No FEAR Act* requires that agencies include in their annual report to Congress information about "any adjustment (to the extent the adjustment can be ascertained in the budget of the agency) to comply with the requirements under section 201."

EAC did not provide any adjustment.

VII. EAC'S ACTIONS PLANNED/TAKEN TO IMPROVE CIVIL RIGHTS PROGRAMS

- A. EAC continually seeks to improve its processes for preventing and addressing discrimination. This includes offering training for staff and executive/senior level management, and improving the agency's EEO policies and procedures.
- B. Managers and supervisors are instructed to take the legislation seriously and are held accountable for their actions or inactions in accordance with established disciplinary policies and procedures and performance evaluations.
- C. EAC will continue to encourage a healthy EEO/diverse and inclusive environment by including employee engagement, retention, and focus on corporate culture, mentoring, and management skills training in the overall employee training plan. Training will be provided to all managers and senior leaders on unconscious bias, soft skills, conflict resolution, and mentoring.
- D. EAC will explore using alternate dispute resolution methods to resolve workplace conflicts so that they can be resolved swiftly and at a high rate.
- E. EAC will acknowledge that changes and growth in the workplace tend to trigger more complaints. Therefore, better communication from leadership to all employees about changes to policies, practices, and ongoing reorganizations, will be utilized to mitigate any future complaints. When employees are potentially affected by change, timely and candid communication from all levels is essential to avoiding workplace conflict and/or complaints.
- F. Continue to partner with organizations that have and/ or represent a large population of qualified PWTD's, such as local colleges and universities, local Vocational Rehabilitation service providers and the Employer Assistance & Recruiting Network (EARN).

- G. Utilize OPM's Bender List and local Vocational Rehabilitation centers as sources to recruit Schedule A applicants.
- H. In collaboration with HR, meet with each program area quarterly to provide information on EEO Program plans and updates, workforce demographics, recommendations to increase participation rates of underrepresented groups and to discuss projected vacancies and recruitment strategies.
- I. Review of policies, procedures and practices to identify any barriers.
- J. Finalize EAC's performance management system and reinstate Awards program.
- K. Enlist the assistance of an EEO contractor to conduct a thorough barrier analysis to identify existing or perceived barriers to equal employment and to further develop EEO plans to eliminate identified barriers.
- L. Conduct Anti-Harassment, Reasonable Accommodation, and Diversity training.
- M. In collaboration with human resources, recruitment to develop recruitment, outreach and retention strategies for people with disabilities, vets, Hispanics, Native Hawaiians/Pacific Islanders, and American Indians.

VIII. ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Mission critical positions in Communications, Finance, Grants, and the Office of the Chief Information Officer were filled as well as several critical support positions throughout the agency.
- A robust intern program for current students interested in elections was launched. Twelve interns from across the nation were hired.
- The pandemic necessitated the agency's first virtual New Employee Orientation and oath of office for new employees. The orientation includes an Agency-wide orientation for new hires to introduce them to each Division's roles, responsibilities and connection to the EAC mission.
- The reviewing and updating of policies and operating procedures to best serve the expanded workforce of the agency began during the reporting period.
- Increase in information technology staff
- Completed reorganization of workforce to reduce duplication of efforts and strengthen the depth of knowledge of functions for succession planning efforts. Reassignments will continue in FY 2020.

- On-line training for managers was purchased.
- EEO Official reporting directly to the executive director began overseeing EEO efforts and activities.

Other Noteworthy Activities

The EAC and election officials work to make elections more accessible to the public. HAVA continues to reform the voting process throughout the U.S by requiring the secure, private, and independent casting of ballots for voters with disabilities. EAC has assumed the lead in this area.

During the FY 2020 reporting period, EAC hosted the "2020 Elections: Disability, Accessibility, and Security Forum" bringing together state and local election officials, people with disabilities, disability advocates, and election security experts to discuss concerns regarding accessibility and security and to advance solutions. The event featured discussions on pressing issues to voters with disabilities and election officials amidst growing security needs. Following the conclusion of the primary election season, EAC staff also created best practices for empowering voters with disabilities to ensure shifts in election administration undertaken to combat the spread of COVID-19 did not inadvertently prevent voters with disabilities from meaningfully and independently participating in the 2020 election.

EAC worked closely with a team of experienced researchers from Rutgers University to organize an accessibility survey, which launched immediately after the 2020 general election. Results will be available in early 2021.

APPENDIX A

EEO Data Posted Pursuant to the No Fear Act

Table 1: 29 CFR §1614.704 (a		29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years									
Complaint Activity	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014				
Number of Complaints Filed in FY 1614.704(a)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0				
Number of Complaints 1614.704(b)	0	0	1	0	0	0	0				
Repeat Filers 1604.704(c)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 2: 29 CFR §1614.704 (29 CFR §1614.704 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years										
Complaint by Basis	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014				
Race	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Color	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Religion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
National Origin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Sex (including complaints filed under Equal Pay Act)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Disability	0	0	1	0	0	0	0				
Age	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Reprisal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 3: 29 CFR §1614.704 (e	29 CFR §1614.704 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years									
Complaint by Issue	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014			
Appointment/Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Assignment of Duties	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Awards	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Conversion to Full-time	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Disciplinary Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Demotion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Removal	0	1	0	0	0	0	0			
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Duty Hours	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Evaluation Appraisal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Examination/Test	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Harassment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Non-Sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Medical Examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Pay (Including Overtime)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Promotion/Non-Selection	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Reassignment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Denied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Directed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Reasonable Accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Reinstatement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Termination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Terms/Conditions of	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Employment										
Time and Attendance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Training	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Conversion to Full-time	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			

Table 4: 29 CFR §1614.704 ((f)						
Processing Time	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014
Number of days in Investigation Stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of days in Final Action Stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints pending (for any during fiscal year	length of t	ime) duri	ing fiscal	year whe	ere hearin	ng was re	quested
Number of days in investigation stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of days in Final Action Stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Complaints pending (for any requested during fiscal year	length of t	ime) duri	ing fiscal	year whe	ere hearin	ng was no	t
Number of days in investigation stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Number of days in Final Action Stage	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 5: 29 CFR §1614.704 (g)			29 CFR §1614.704 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years									
Complaints Dismissed to Agency Pursuant to §1614.704 (a)	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014					
Total complaints dismissed	0	0	1	0	0	0	0					
Average days pending prior to dismissal	0	22	0	0	0	0	0					

Table 6: 29 CFR §1614.704 (h)				29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years										
Total Final Agency Actions Finding Discrimination	FY 202		2019		2018		2017		2016		2015		201	4
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Number Findings 704(h)(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Without Hearing 704(h)(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
With Hearing 704(h)(3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 7: 29 CFR §1614.704 (i)		29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years												
Findings of Discrimination Rendered by Basis	FY 2020		20	19	2018		2017		2016		2015		201	4
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Number Findings 704(i)(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Without Hearing 704(i)(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
With Hearing 704(i)(3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Race	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Color	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Religion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
National Origin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sex (including Equal Pay Act)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disability	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Age	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reprisal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 8: 29 CFR §1614.704 (j)		29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous												
	1		Fis	cal Y	ears	S			ı				1	
Findings of Discrimination	FY	1 7		2019		2018		2017		2016		15	201	4
Rendered by Issue	202													
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
Total Number Findings 704(j)(1)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Without Hearing 704(j)(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
With Hearing 704(j)(3)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Appointment/Hire	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Assignment of Duties	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Awards	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Conversion to Full-time	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Disciplinary Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Demotion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reprimand	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Suspension	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Removal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Duty Hours	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Evaluation Appraisal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Examination/Test	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harassment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sexual	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Medical Examination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pay (Including Overtime)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Promotion/Non-Selection	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Reassignment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Table 9: 29 CFR §1614.704 (j)		29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous												
			Fis	cal Y	Years	5								
Findings of Discrimination	FY	,	201	10	201	Q	201	17	201	16	201	15	201	1
Rendered by Issue	202	20	201	1)	201	.0	201	. /	201	10	201	13	201	. •
Denied	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Directed	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reasonable Accommodation	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Reinstatement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Termination	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Terms/Conditions of Employment	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Time and Attendance	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 10: 29 CFR §1614.704 (k) 29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years											
Complaints Pending from Previous Fiscal Years by Status	FY 2020	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014				
Total Complaints from Fiscal	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Years											
1614.704(k)(1)											
Total Complaints 1614.704(k)(2)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Number of complaints pending as of	of 9/30:	704(k)(3))				•				
Investigations)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
ROI issued, pending	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Complainant's action											
Hearing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Final Agency Action	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Appeal with Administrative	0	0	0	0	0	0	0				
Judge											

Table 11: 29 CFR §1614.704 (l)	29 CFR §1614.705 Comparative Data Previous Fiscal Years											
Complaints Pending from	FY	2019	2018	2017	2016	2015	2014					
Previous Fiscal Years by Status	2020	2019	2010	2017	2010	2013	2014					
Number of Pending Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
of Investigation												
Pending Investigations over	0	0	0	0	0	0	0					
Required Time Frames												

APPENDIX B

Workforce Diversity Policy Statement

The U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) is committed to fostering, cultivating and preserving a culture of diversity and inclusion. Key to developing this is developing and maintaining effective leaders, managers, and employees who treat all persons with dignity and respect, without regard to non-merit factors such as race, color, national origin, gender, age, religion, sexual orientation, disability, gender identity, political affiliation, marital or parental status, or military service. We strive to provide a work environment free of sexual, racial, ethnic, religious or other harassment,

EAC's human capital is its most valuable asset. The collective sum of the individual differences, life experiences, knowledge, inventiveness, innovation, self-expression, unique capabilities and talent that our employees invest in their work represents a significant part of not only our culture, but our reputation and agency's achievement as well. I am firmly committed to promoting a climate of mutual respect and appreciation for the strengths of, and differences between, all our employees.

We embrace and encourage our employees' differences. Every EAC manager, supervisor, and employee is expected to carry out this policy. Implicit in each employee's "successful" work performance, and explicit in each supervisor's performance rating, is support of EACs workforce diversity and civil rights policies and programs, and the fostering of an inclusive workplace where diversity and individual differences are valued. Any person who violates this policy will be subject to appropriate disciplinary action, up to and including termination.

EAC's diversity initiatives are applicable—but not limited—to our practices and policies on recruitment and selection; compensation; professional development and training; promotions; reassignments; reduction in force; terminations; and the ongoing development of a work environment built on the premise of gender and diversity equity that encourages and enforces:

- Respectful communication and cooperation between all employees.
- Teamwork and employee participation, permitting the representation of all groups and employee perspectives.
- Work/life balance through flexible work schedules to accommodate employees' varying needs.
- Employer and employee contributions to the communities we serve to promote a greater understanding and respect for the diversity.

All employees have a responsibility to treat others with dignity and respect at all times. All employees are expected to exhibit conduct that reflects inclusion during work, at work functions on or off the work site, and at all other EAC-sponsored and participative events. All employees are also required to attend and complete annual diversity awareness training to enhance their knowledge to fulfill this responsibility.

U. S. Election Assistance Commission Annual Report to Congress on the No FEAR Act

Employees who believe they have been subjected to any kind of discrimination that conflicts with the EAC's diversity policy and initiatives or in retaliation for opposition to discrimination or participation in discrimination complaint proceedings (e.g., as a complainant or witness), should seek assistance from a supervisor or the Office of Human Resources. Discrimination claims not brought to the attention of OHR within 45 days of their occurrence may not be accepted in a formal complaint of discrimination.

APPENDIX C

EAC'S ANTI-HARASSMENT POLICY

Purpose

It is the U.S. Election Assistance Commission (EAC) policy to ensure that every employee enjoys a non-hostile work environment free of discrimination or harassment of any kind. All employment decisions; such as hiring, promoting, training and rewarding, will be made exclusively on the basis of job-related criteria; e.g., employees' knowledge, skills, abilities and performance. Disciplinary actions will be taken solely on the bases of employees' behavior and performance. Every effort must be made to prevent discrimination of any kind based on race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy, sexual orientation or gender identity), genetic information, sexual orientation, national origin, age (40 or older), disability (mental or physical), marital status, political affiliation, parental status, retaliation or non-job-related conduct is forbidden and subject to appropriate disciplinary action. The policy is designed to address unwelcome, hostile and/or abusive conduct before it rises to a level of harassment that creates a hostile work environment. EAC is committed to fostering a model workplace free of conduct that negatively impacts employee engagement and productivity.

Scope

All employees, regardless of their positions, are covered by and are expected to comply with this policy and to take appropriate measures to ensure that prohibited conduct does not occur. Appropriate disciplinary action will be taken against any employee who violates this policy. Based on the seriousness of the offense, disciplinary action may include verbal or written reprimand, suspension, or termination of employment.

Prohibited Conduct Under This Policy

EAC, in compliance with all applicable anti-discrimination and harassment laws and regulations, enforces this policy in accordance with the following definitions and guidelines:

Discrimination

It is a violation of EAC's policy to discriminate in the provision of employment opportunities, benefits or privileges; to create discriminatory work conditions; or to use discriminatory evaluative standards in employment if the basis of that discriminatory treatment is, in whole or in part, the person's race, color, religion, sex, genetic information, sexual orientation, national origin, age, disability, marital status, political affiliation, parental status, retaliation or non-job-related conduct.

• Race: Discrimination based on race is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Racial discrimination occurs when persons are treated differently than others who are similarly situated because they are members of a specific race; e.g., White, Black, Asian, etc. Examples of employees who are similarly situated may be those working in the same position and pay band, or under the same line of supervision.

Racial discrimination also occurs when persons are treated differently because of unalterable characteristics; e.g., physical features indigenous to their race. Courts have held that racial discrimination in employment can also occur when employees are treated differently because of their interracial dating or marriage, or their membership in racially oriented groups.

- Color: Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination based on color. This type of discrimination occurs when persons are treated differently than others who are similarly situated because of the color of their skin. Color discrimination can occur together with race discrimination, but may also occur between members of the same race.
- Religion: Discrimination based on religion is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. In defining religious discrimination, the United States Supreme Court held that religion is not limited to Orthodox or well-recognized denominations; e.g., Catholic, Baptist or Judaism. All that is required is a sincere and meaningful belief equivalent to the belief in God held by the more well-recognized religions. Atheists are also protected.

Religious discrimination can occur in two ways. The first is by treating employees or applicants for employment differently because of their religion. The second occurs when an employment rule or policy violates a fundamental belief, principle or practice of one's religion and management fails to provide an accommodation. Religious practices are not limited to worship, but may include attendance at meetings and retreats, or the wearing of certain attire.

Management's obligation to accommodate begins when the employee notifies them of the need for an accommodation. Once notified, management should consider alternatives and offer one which would not create an undue hardship for the agency or disadvantage other employees. Undue hardships are determined on a case-by-case basis.

• **Sex:** Discrimination based on sex is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. It involves treating someone (an applicant or employee) unfavorably because of that person's sex. The term includes, but is not limited to, discrimination on the basis of sex; pregnancy, childbirth, or related conditions; harassment; and sex stereotyping.

Sex discrimination may occur in two ways. The first is a policy or practice which treats similarly situated men or women differently from the opposite gender. The second occurs when a gender-neutral policy or practice has a disproportionate adverse effect on one of the genders.

O Pregnancy: It is unlawful to harass a woman because of pregnancy, childbirth, or a medical condition related to pregnancy or childbirth. Harassment is illegal when it is so frequent or severe that it creates a hostile or offensive work environment or when it results in an adverse employment decision (such as the victim being fired or demoted). The harasser can be the victim's supervisor, a supervisor in another area, a

co-worker, or someone who is not an employee of the employer, such as a client or customer.

- O Sexual Orientation: Discrimination based on sexual orientation is directed at persons who are gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgender, who are perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgender or who associate with persons who are gay, lesbian, bisexual or transgender. This may take the form of harassment or treatment that is different than that afforded similarly situated employees or applicants.
- O Gender Identity: The EEOC has held that discrimination against an individual because that person is transgender is discrimination because of sex and therefore is covered under Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. See Bostock vs Clayton County decision, (June 15, 2020), https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/19pdf/17-1618 hfci.pdf.
- **Genetic Information:** Discrimination based on genetic information is prohibited by Title II of the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act of 2008 (GINA). For example, an employer may not use genetic information in making decisions regarding hiring, promotion, terms or conditions, privileges of employment, compensation, or termination.
- National Origin: Discrimination based on national origin is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. National origin discrimination includes that based on an individual's or his or her ancestors' place of origin, or physical, cultural or linguistic characteristics. Other examples include discrimination based on marriage to, or association with, persons of a national origin group; attendance or participation in schools or religious organizations used by a national origin group; and an individual's or spouse's name which is associated with a national origin group. Requiring employees to speak English at all times, including breaks and lunch periods, is an example of an employment practice that discriminates against persons whose primary language is not English.
- Age: Discrimination based on age is prohibited by the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967. For Federal employees, the protected age group is age 40 and above, with no upper age limit. Age discrimination also occurs among age groups who are over age 40; e.g., the selection of a 45-year old candidate may appear to be discriminatory to 55-year old candidates if it can be shown that management has never selected a candidate at or above age 55.

Excluding older employees from training opportunities, denying them special work assignments which would give them experience for promotions or awards, and attempting to persuade or influence them to retire are other examples of age discrimination.

• **Disability Status:** Discrimination based on disability is prohibited by the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Disability discrimination can occur in two ways. The first occurs when employees or applicants are treated differently on the basis of their physical or mental disabilities. The second occurs when management fails to make reasonable accommodation for the disabling condition(s).

A person with a disability is defined as one who has a physical or mental impairment which substantially limits one or more major life functions; e.g., walking, speaking, breathing, learning, etc.; one who has a record of such, or one who is regarded as having a disability.

Management must make reasonable accommodation to the known physical or mental limitations of qualified applicants or employees with disabilities unless the accommodation would impose an undue hardship upon the agency. Qualified persons with disabilities are those who, with or without accommodation, can perform the essential elements of the job. Otherwise qualified applicants with disabilities may not be rejected for employment on the grounds that reasonable accommodation would have to be made.

Reasonable accommodation can include modifying job-related procedures, tasks or requirements (other than critical generic job tasks), adjusting the employee's work schedule, altering the physical work space, providing special equipment, providing readers for the visually impaired, interpreters for the hearing impaired, etc. Medical proof of disability is usually required when an employee requests an accommodation. The reasonableness of the accommodation and the creation of undue hardships are determined on a case-by-case basis.

See EAC's reasonable accommodation policy for the criteria to evaluate requests and the procedures to obtain equipment, readers, interpreters and personal assistants.

- Marital Status: Discrimination based on marital status is prohibited by the Code of Federal Regulations, 5 CFR 720.901. This type of discrimination occurs when management demonstrates a preference for employees or applicants who are married or single. An example would be assuming that married employees have family responsibilities which limit their ability to travel, and hiring only those applicants who are known to be single for a job requiring much travel.
- **Political Affiliation:** The United States Code, 5 USC 2302, prohibits discrimination based on political affiliation. Discrimination based on political affiliation occurs when management demonstrates a preference for, or aversion to, employees or applicants belonging to a particular political party or having associates with connections to a particular political party. An example might be hiring only those applicants, and promoting only those employees, known to be members of a given party during a period when that party heads the administration.
- Parental Status: Executive Order 13152 states explicitly that discrimination based upon an individual's status as a parent is prohibited within the Executive Branch of the Federal Government. The Executive Order adds parental status to the list of categories for which discrimination is prohibited. The other categories are race, color, religion, sex, national origin, handicap, age, sexual orientation, marital status, political affiliation and conduct not adversely affecting employee performance.

The Executive Order is designed to prevent intentional discrimination against employees solely because they are parents. It is not designed to place other employees at a disadvantage or to give parents preference.

The Executive Order refers to "status as a parent" as the status of an individual who, with respect to an individual who is under the age of 18, or who is 18 or older but is incapable of self-care because of a physical or mental disability, is: a biological parent; an adoptive parent; a foster parent; a stepparent; a custodian of a legal ward; in loco parentis to such an individual; or actively seeking legal custody or adoption of such an individual.

A person stands "in loco parentis" when he or she has day-to-day responsibility to care for and financially support a child. A biological or legal relationship is not necessary. The Executive Order does not cover a person who simply provides daily childcare to a family.

If you believe you have been discriminated against on the basis of parental status, under certain circumstances, you may seek assistance from the Merit Systems Protection Board, Office of Special Counsel; negotiated grievance procedure; equal employment opportunity (EEO) discrimination complaints process; or your first-line supervisor or someone higher in your chain of management.

• Retaliation: Retaliation involves taking an adverse action, e.g., firing, demoting, harassing, or otherwise "retaliating" against someone, because they engaged in a protected activity, i.e., filed a charge of discrimination, complained to the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) or other entity about discrimination on the job, or participated in an employment discrimination proceeding (such as an investigation or lawsuit).

Harassment

EAC prohibits discriminatory harassment, a form of unlawful discrimination. Such harassment includes, but is not limited to, unwelcome verbal, non-verbal, or physical behavior directed towards an individual because of his or her membership in a legally protected class, when such conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with an individual's ability to perform his or her assigned duties.

This policy prohibits harassment by or of any employee, manager, executive, commissioner, contractor, vendor, applicant, or other individual with whom EAC employees come into contact by virtue of their work for EAC. This policy covers conduct that occurs on duty, off duty, face-to-fact, or remotely via electronic means such as telephone, email, social media, and chat application. Moreover, EAC will not tolerate other forms of harassment, disruptive behavior, or bullying in the workplace. Such behaviors go against EAC's core values and negatively impact mission effectiveness.

Sexual Harassment

Sexual harassment is a form of sex discrimination and is prohibited by Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. In 1980, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission issued guidelines defining sexual harassment as unwelcome sexual advances, requests for sexual favors, and other verbal or physical conduct of a sexual nature when any of three criteria are met: Submission to the conduct is made either explicitly or implicitly a term or condition of employment;

Submission to or rejection of the conduct is used as a basis for employment decisions; or The conduct has the purpose or effect of unreasonably interfering with work performance or creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive working environment.

Sexual harassment may be verbal or physical, exhibited by a man to a woman, by a woman to a man, or within the same gender. Examples of behaviors which could constitute sexual harassment are touching, sexual innuendo, suggestive comments, threats, and nude or sexual pictures, cartoons or calendars, etc.

Non-Job-Belated Conduct Which Does Not Adversely Affect the Performance of Employees and Applicants for Employment

The Civil Service Reform Act of 1978 prohibits discrimination on the basis of non-job-related conduct which does not adversely affect the performance of employees or applicants for employment. EAC's policy prohibits employees who are authorized to take, direct others to take,

recommend, or approve any personnel action from discriminating on the basis of non-job-related conduct. Authorized employees are held responsible for the prevention of prohibited personnel practices, as well as for compliance with, and enforcement of, all applicable civil service laws, rules and regulations.

Applicants and employees are protected from inquiries into, or actions based upon, non-job-related conduct; e.g., religious, community or social affiliations, or sexual orientation. They are also protected from any infringement of due process, self-incrimination or other constitutional rights. However, applicants competing for positions may receive credit for paid or unpaid religious, civic, welfare, service and organizational work which demonstrates possession of the knowledge, skills or abilities needed to perform the duties of the positions being filled. In determining the suitability or fitness of an employee or applicant, this policy does not prohibit

EAC managers from taking into account the employee's or applicant's conviction for any crime under the laws of any state or the District of Columbia.

Maintaining A Non-Hostile Workplace Free Of Discriminatory Harassment

EEOC regulation 29 CFR, Part 1614, Section 102 (a) (3) requires agencies to remove every form of prejudice or discrimination from personnel policies, practices and working conditions. A hostile work environment allows ridicule, abuse, insults or derogatory comments that are directly or indirectly based on race, color, religion, sex (including pregnancy, sexual orientation or gender identity), genetic information, sexual orientation, national origin, age (40 or older), disability (mental or physical), marital status, political affiliation, parental status, retaliation or non-job-related conduct. It is further defined as an offensive or intimidating environment that unreasonably interferes with work performance or that otherwise adversely affects employment opportunities. Personal conversations that can be overheard by other employees who consider the conversation offensive can also create a hostile environment.

Management is responsible for maintaining a non-hostile work environment and can be held accountable for, not only their behavior, but that of their employees. If an employee makes abusive or derogatory comments of the type noted above to another employee and the matter comes to management's attention, management must take prompt action.

Such allegations should be confirmed with the employees directly involved in the incident along with any witnesses who might have firsthand information. It is very important to demonstrate to concerned employees that the allegations are taken seriously and that management will not condone offensive behavior. Disciplinary or other remedial action should reflect management's findings during the course of the inquiry.

EAC will follow guidance regarding harassment established by the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) and standards regarding harassment set by the Supreme Court in two landmark decisions: Burlington Industries, Inc. v. Ellerth 118 S. Ct. 2257 (1998) and

Faragher v. City of Boca Raton, 118 S. Ct. 2275 (1998). In these decisions, the Supreme Court made clear that employers are subject to vicarious liability for unlawful harassment by supervisors. Liability is premised on two principles: 1) an employer is responsible for the acts of its supervisors; and 2) employers should be encouraged to prevent harassment and employees should be encouraged to avoid or limit the harm from harassment.

Employees are responsible to come forward and report any behavior they view as harassment before it becomes severe or pervasive. While isolated incidents of harassment generally do not violate federal law, a pattern of incidents may be unlawful. Employees are also responsible to take advantage of any preventive or corrective opportunities provided by EAC or to otherwise avoid harm.

Managers have a responsibility to maintain a workforce environment that is free from harassment. When an employee complains to management about alleged harassment, management is obligated to investigate the allegation regardless of whether the complaint conforms to a particular format or is made in writing.

Confidentiality and Reprisal

All complaints and investigations are treated confidentially to the extent possible, and information is disclosed strictly on a need-to-know basis. The identity of the complainant is usually revealed to the parties involved during the investigation, and the Equal Employment Opportunity Official will take adequate steps to ensure that the complainant is protected from retaliation during and after the investigation. All information pertaining to a complaint or investigation under this policy will be maintained in secure files within the EEOO's office..

EAC does not tolerate retaliation against any individuals for reporting harassment or assisting another individual in reporting harassment, for providing information related to such a report, for filing an EEO complaint, or for opposing conduct that they believe is unlawfully discriminatory or harassing. Any person who believes he or she has been subject to retaliation reports the conduct using the same reporting procedures as for complaints of harassment.

Procedures and Responsibilities

Procedures Applicable to All EAC Employees

A. Each EAC employee shall be responsible for:

- Acting professionally and refraining from harassing conduct;
- Becoming familiar with the provisions of this policy, complying with all requirements of the policy, and cooperating with any inquiry under this policy; and
- Promptly reporting, pursuant to procedures found below, any incident of harassing conduct that he or she experiences before it becomes a pattern of misconduct so pervasive and offensive as to constitute a hostile environment.

EAC cannot correct harassing conduct if the conduct is not known. When an employee unreasonably fails to take advantage of this procedure and does not promptly report an incident

of harassing conduct as set forth herein, EAC reserves the right to raise this failure to report as a defense against a suit for harassment, in accordance with Faragher and Ellerth.

B. Responsibilities of Supervisors and Managers

All supervisors and managers shall be responsible for:

- Acting promptly and appropriately to prevent harassment in the workplace, and retaliation against those who complain of harassment;
- Reporting, pursuant to procedures found below, any incident of harassing conduct that they witness or is otherwise brought to their attention;
- Receiving and handling allegations of harassing conduct promptly and appropriately, utilizing the procedures found below;
- In consultation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Official, providing interim relief to alleged victims of harassment pending the outcome of the investigation to ensure that further misconduct does not occur; and
- Using the procedures set forth below, in consultation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Official, taking prompt and appropriate corrective and disciplinary action, up to and including removal, against personnel who have engaged in harassing conduct or who have not carried out their responsibilities under this policy.

C. Responsibilities of the Equal Employment Opportunity Official (EEOO)

The Equal Employment Opportunity Official, shall be responsible for:

- Disseminating the policy statement annually to all employees. Distributing this procedures document to all EAC offices and posting it on the EAC website;
- Ensuring that employees are informed of this policy and the procedures to follow in connection with reporting harassing conduct;
- Conducting or overseeing fair and impartial inquiries into allegations of harassing conduct;
- Providing technical assistance and support, to assure compliance with this policy and providing other assistance as requested;
- Receiving allegations of harassment under this policy and promptly notifying the Executive Director of the allegation; and
- Providing advice to managers and supervisors on taking disciplinary actions for conduct that violates this policy, as consulted.

D. Responsibilities of General Counsel

The General Counsel shall be responsible for:

• Providing legal advice to management concerning the implementation and interpretation of this policy.

E. Office of Inspector General (OIG)

The Office of Inspector General may investigate allegations of harassment in connection with fraud, waste, abuse, or mismanagement. The OIG may also investigate allegations of conflicts of interest or impropriety within EAC's antiharassment program and coordinate with the Equal Employment Opportunity Official as needed. Because the OIG is an independent office, any investigations into harassment by or of OIG staff will be coordinated with the OIG or an appropriate body, depending upon the allegations.

F. Responsibilities of the Executive Director

The Executive Director shall be responsible for:

- Taking appropriate action to enforce this policy; and
- Working closely with the Director of Human Resources to ensure that this policy is properly implemented.

Reporting Harassment and Misconduct

It is EAC's goal and intention to stop any harassment as soon as possible. However, EAC cannot stop conduct it is not aware of. Therefore, you are strongly encouraged to report harassing conduct.

The procedures for reporting incidents of harassing conduct are as follows:

- a. Any person who believes that he or she has been the subject of an incident of harassing conduct in violation of this policy should report this matter: to anyone in the complainant's supervisory chain; or to the Equal Employment Opportunity Official, Robin Sargent, rsargent@eac.gov. May also contact EAC's Office of Inspector General (OIG), eacoig@eac.gov; the Office of Special Counsel (OSC), osc.gov; or Merit System Protection Board, mspb.gov.
- b. Supervisors and management officials must immediately—usually within 48 hours of becoming aware of it—report harassing conduct, or allegations of harassing conduct by others, to the Equal Employment Opportunity Official. Failure to report an incident of harassment may result in administrative action, including disciplinary action.
- c. All information will be maintained on a confidential basis to the greatest extent possible. The maintenance of records and any disclosures of information from these records shall be in complete compliance with the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a. Such information, however, may have to be disclosed to defend EAC in any litigation which the information may be relevant and necessary. Further, information may need to be disclosed to those officials and employees within the agency with a need to know in order to carry out the purpose and intent of this policy.

Inquiries into Allegations of Harassing Conduct

A supervisor or manager who receives an allegation or witnesses harassing conduct shall immediately:

- Inform the Equal Employment Opportunity Official and seek guidance as to further actions.
- In consultation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Official and the General Counsel, take action to stop any harassing conduct and prevent further harassment while the allegations are being investigated, including granting of appropriate interim relief to the alleged victim of harassing conduct;
- In consultation with the Equal Employment Opportunity Official and General Counsel, document the allegation received and his or her efforts to address it.
- When the Equal Employment Opportunity Official receives an allegation of harassing conduct, either directly by the complainant or through a supervisor, manager or other sources, he or she shall: Ensure that a prompt, thorough, impartial and appropriate inquiry is conducted within 10 days of receiving notice of such claim;
- In consultation with EAC's leadership, determine appropriate action to stop any harassing conduct and prevent further harassment, including granting appropriate interim relief to the alleged victim of harassing conduct while the allegations are being investigated.
- Where an investigation is necessary, a written summary of the investigation shall be prepared by the individual conducting the inquiry, in consultation with the Director of Human Resources. (The summary may be brief, depending on the complexity and seriousness of the case.) The summary shall be prepared promptly after completion of the inquiry and shall be submitted to the supervisor who would be responsible for taking disciplinary action against the alleged harasser, if the allegations are true.

The summary of the investigation or other documentation prepared under this procedure shall be kept confidential, to the extent possible. The maintenance of records and any disclosures of information from these records shall be in complete compliance with the Privacy Act, 5 U.S.C. 552a. Such information, however, may have to be disclosed to defend EAC in any litigation to which the information may be relevant and necessary. Further, information may need to be disclosed to those officials and employees within the agency with a need to know in order to carry out the purpose and intent of this policy.

Action To Be Taken upon Completion of the Inquiry

• Upon completion of the inquiry, and in consultation with the General Counsel, agency management shall promptly evaluate the evidence and determine the appropriate action to take. This responsibility normally shall rest with the first line supervisor of the employee

alleged to have engaged in the harassing conduct unless such supervisor is involved in the allegation. In cases of complex or egregious alleged harassing conduct, the supervisor and the Equal Employment Opportunity Official should seek the counsel of the Office of the Inspector General.

- If a General Counsel (GC) employee is involved in the allegation, the Equal Employment Opportunity Official will solicit assistance from GSA, a shared service provider, for legal advice to GC management. Immediate corrective action occurs within 60 calendar days of receiving notice of a harassment allegation.
- Where the inquiry establishes that an employee did engage in harassing conduct under this policy, he or she shall be subject to appropriate corrective action, disciplinary or otherwise, in accordance with Chapter 75 of the Civil Service Reform Act, up to and including removal.
- Where the inquiry establishes that a manager or supervisor did not properly carry out the
 responsibilities provided for under this policy, he or she shall be subject to appropriate
 corrective action, disciplinary or otherwise, in accordance with Chapter 75 of the Civil
 Service Reform Act, up to and including removal.

How does this policy relate to the Equal Employment Opportunity program, EAC's grievance systems, OIG complaints, and other avenues for reporting harassment?

- a. Reporting harassment to a supervisor Equal Employment Opportunity Official does not stop an employee from also raising harassment in a different forum (i.e. EEO, OIG, Office of Special Counsel (OSC), if applicable.
- b. The anti-harassment process in this policy differs from the EEO complaint process because it is broader and faster: it allows non-employees to file a harassment complaint, it addresses more kinds of harassment than the EEO statutes allow, and it allows employees to also file complaints in other processes, such as EEO, or (the EEO complaint process has some restrictions on what else you may do if you have an EEO complaint).
- c. EAC has a number of avenues available to employees and non-employees to address concerns about harassment, such as:
 - 1. The anti-harassment process described in this policy (for employees & non-employees);
 - 2. The EEO complaint process, beginning with an informal EEO complaint. Employees need to file an EEO complaint alleging discrimination with the EEOO within 45 calendar days of the date of incident(s). See EAC's EEO Policy, for guidance.

- 3. Contacting EAC's Office of Inspector General (OIG);
- 4. Utilizing EAC's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) program.