# BEFORE THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

SAXON TRACE PARTNERS, LTD., 2003-153S,

Petitioner.

v	
v	

CASE NO.: **2003-049** FHFC Application No. 2003-153S

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION,

Respondent.	

# PETITION FOR FORMAL ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEEDINGS

Pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(1), Fla. Stat., and Rules 28-106.201 and 67-48.005(1), Fla. Admin. Code, Petitioner SAXON TRACE PARTNERS, LTD. ("Saxon Trace") hereby requests a formal administrative proceeding on Florida Housing Finance Corporation's proposed award of only 7.0 tie-breaker points to Saxon Trace's application for a State Apartment Incentive Loan ("SAIL"), Application No. 2003-153S, in the Year 2003 Universal Application cycle. In support of this petition, Saxon Trace states as follows:

# **Parties**

- 1. The agency affected is the Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("FHFC"), 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329. FHFC has assigned Application No. 2003-153S to this matter.
- 2. The Petitioner is Saxon Trace Partners, Ltd., ("Saxon Trace") whose address is 1551 Sandspur Road, Maitland, Florida 32751. For purposes of this proceeding, Saxon Trace's address is that of its undersigned attorney M. Christopher Bryant, Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.A., 301 S.

Bronough Street, 5th Floor, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (P. O. Box 1110, Tallahassee, Florida 32302-1110), Telephone: (850) 521-0700, Facsimile: (850) 521-0720.

# **Substantial Interests Affected**

- 3. Saxon Trace has proposed the construction of a 192-unit multi-family housing development in Volusia County, Florida. Saxon Trace has proposed to set aside 100% of the units for residents making 60% or less of Area Median Income for a period of 50 years, with 17% of such units to be set aside for persons making 50% or less of Area Median Income. Saxon Trace has projected its total development costs to be \$17,387,941. Saxon Trace proposes to finance a portion of these development costs with a SAIL loan of \$1.5 million, awarded by FHFC through a competitive process. SAIL loans are desirable to developers of affordable housing because they have more liberal repayment provisions and interest rates than conventional financing.
- 4. As explained more fully in this Petition, Saxon Trace's substantial interests are affected by FHFC's scoring of its application in this competitive application process, including the calculation and award of "tie-breaker" points in the event that Saxon Trace achieves a score on its application that is tied with other applicants. Currently, Saxon Trace's application has a score of 66 points, which, based on score alone, ties it with over 125 of the approximately 150 "Universal Application" applicants submitted and still pending this year (and ties it with all of the other three Developments proposed for Volusia County). Depending on the final ranking of Universal Applications in this cycle, Saxon Trace's tie-breaker score may be the deciding factor in whether the development is selected for receipt of its requested SAIL loan. If Saxon Trace does not receive its SAIL loan, it would have to substitute other, more expensive financing for that portion of the construction and permanent financing.

# **Background**

- 5. FHFC allocates several forms of financing for affordable housing, including federal low income housing tax credits ("Housing Credits"), State Apartment Incentive Loans ("SAIL"), and Multi-Family Mortgage Revenue Bonds ("MMRB"). Applicants compete for the award of these forms of financing, which provide more favorable financial terms than would be available through conventional financing sources. In exchange for the receipt of such financing from FHFC, applicants enter into long-term agreements to set aside all or a portion of the residential units within such developments to low income residents, and, depending on the requirements of the particular program, may also be required to limit the rents charged to such residents.
- 6. All three of the above-named forms of financing (Housing Credits, SAIL loans, and MMRB) were combined into a single "Universal Application Cycle" for 2003. Financing for any of these programs is sought through the use of a joint Universal Application form. SAIL and Housing Credit applicants are subject to FHFC Rule Chapter 67-48, Fla. Admin. Code, while MMRB applicants are subject to FHFC Rule Chapter 67-21, Fla. Admin. Code. The Universal Application form is incorporated by reference into FHFC's rules, as are exhibit forms to be used with the applications, and a 90-page document entitled Universal Application Instructions, designated UA1016 (revised 4-03).
- 7. Applicants in the Universal Application Cycle are scored on the various components of their applications, such as development features and amenities, greater numbers of units set aside, resident programs, and local government support. The maximum score that can be assigned to a Universal Application is 66 points. Because of the potential for so many applicants to achieve a "perfect" score of 66 points (and, as noted, over 125 of the 150 or so Universal Application

applicants currently pending have a "perfect score" of 66), FHFC designed its scoring and ranking system to include a series of "tie-breakers."

- 8. One of the tie-breakers used by FHFC in this year's Universal Application Cycle involves the assignment of points based on the proximity of the development to certain off-site features. All Universal Application Cycle applicants receive up to 1.25 tie-breaker points for being within specified distances from a grocery store, and up to 1.25 points for being close to a public bus stop or metro-rail stop. In addition, developments proposed as elderly housing can receive up to 1.25 proximity points for their proximity to medical facilities; and developments proposing to house any demographic group other than the elderly can receive up to 1.25 proximity points for location near a public school. Further, if a development is located in a Small or Medium county as designated in the Instructions, and is not an elderly housing development, the Application may receive up to 1.25 tie-breaker points for being close to a pharmacy or medical facility instead of a bus stop or metro-rail stop.
- 9. Generally, the closer a proposed Development is to these applicable off-site features (grocery store, medical facility, pharmacy, public school, and bus stop or metro-rail stop), the higher its tie-breaker score; Applicants who are farther away lose tie-breaker points incrementally, and at some specified distance receive no tie-breaker points for that feature. For example, an applicant whose proposed development is less than one mile from a public school receives 1.25 points; an applicant between one and two miles receives 1.0 points; and further distances yield fewer points until applicants more than 5 miles from a public school receive zero public school proximity points.
- 10. In addition, in order for FHFC to avoid concentration of affordable housing in specific areas, and to encourage geographic distribution of affordable housing, all Universal Application

Cycle applicants can receive up to 3.75 points for their distance from (rather than proximity to) other FHFC-financed developments serving the same demographic group as the applicant's proposed development, as identified on an FHFC Development Proximity List. Again, the actual number of points awarded depends on the applicant's distance from a listed Development. The total number of proximity tie-breaker points available is 7.5 points, including both distance from listed FHFC developments and proximity to off-site services and features described above.

11. At page 13 of the Universal Application Instructions, copy attached hereto as Exhibit A, FHFC has provided the following definition of "public school:"

Public School - For purposes of tie-breaker points, a Public School means a public elementary, middle, junior and/or high school, including a charter school, except for a charter school that is not generally available to appropriately aged children in the radius area and a public school open and available exclusively to children with exceptional needs. This service may not be selected if the Applicant selected and qualified for the Elderly Demographic Commitment in this Application.

Again, the Instructions in which this definition appears are incorporated by reference into FHFC's rules.

# Nature of the Controversy

12. Saxon Trace timely submitted its 2003 Housing Credit application to FHFC on April 8, 2003. FHFC preliminarily reviewed and scored the 2003 Universal Application Cycle applications, including Saxon Trace's. On or about May 13, 2003, FHFC notified all applicants of the preliminary threshold responsiveness, scoring, and tie-breaker score determinations on their applications. FHFC informed Saxon Trace that its application met the required "threshold" responsiveness requirements, allowing its application to continue to compete for a SAIL loan. FHFC also informed Saxon Trace,

by way of a document labeled Universal Scoring Summary, that its score was 66 points, and that its tie-breaker points totaled 7.5.

- 13. At Part III, Section A, subsection 11.b.(2) of its application, Saxon Trace claimed that it was within 1.0 miles of a public school and was thus entitled to 1.25 proximity tie-breaker points for proximity to a public school. Saxon Trace identified the public school that was within 1.0 miles as Storefront West Public School, and provided the address of this public school as 290 Treemont Drive, Orange City, Florida 32763. A copy of this page (page 8 of the hard copy) of Saxon Trace's application identifying this public school and its location is attached hereto as Exhibit B.
- 14. As previously noted, on or about May 13, 2003, FHFC provided Saxon Trace a Universal Scoring Summary. On or about the same time that the information was provided to the applicant, it was also posted on FHFC's internet web site, at <a href="https://www.floridahousing.org">www.floridahousing.org</a>. A copy of that Universal Scoring Summary as posted on the web site, and dated 5/12/2003, is attached hereto as Exhibit C. The Scoring Summary stated that the Application met threshold requirements, that its substantive score was 66 points, and that its tie-breaker score was 7.5 points. As shown on Page 2 of Exhibit C, FHFC awarded Saxon Trace the full 1.25 tie-breaker points for its proximity to a public school.
- 15. Subsequent to the issuance of "preliminary" scores in May, 2003, all applicants were provided the opportunity to notify the FHFC of possible scoring errors in other applications. Another applicant filed a Notice of Possible Scoring Error ("NOPSE") against Saxon Trace, contending that Storefront West is not a public school. Among the arguments presented by the NOPSE filer against the Saxon Trace application was that Storefront West was a "correctional high school." A copy of the NOPSE against Saxon Trace raising this issue is attached hereto as Exhibit D. (The cover sheet accompanying the NOPSE indicates that three issues were raised, but the

NOPSE actually only raises two issues; FHFC did not agree with one of the issues raised, so only the public school issue is relevant to this proceeding.)

- 16. Following the submission of NOPSE's, FHFC issued a subsequent Universal Scoring Summary, on or about June 9, 2003. This Scoring Summary was also posted on FHFC's web site, and a copy of the posted Scoring Summary for Saxon Trace, dated 6/9/2003, is attached hereto as Exhibit E. The June 9 Scoring Summary again showed that the application received a score of 66.0, but also reflected an award of only 6.25 total tie-breaker points. As shown on Page 2 of Exhibit D, FHFC cited as its reason for Saxon Trace's failure to achieve proximity tie-breaker points for a public school that "School does not meet definition of public school as it is not generally available for use by the general public because it is a 'correctional' high school available exclusively to children with exceptional needs."
- 17. Pursuant to Rule 67-48.004(6), Fla. Admin. Code, Saxon Trace took the opportunity to provide additional documentation to FHFC to address its alleged failure to achieve full proximity tie-breaker points. This additional documentation is generally referred to as a "cure." The documentation submitted by Saxon Trace as a cure on the school issue is attached hereto as Exhibit F. In its cure, Saxon Trace provided information about Storefront West School, and an address for the School's web site (<a href="http://schools.volusia.k12.fl.us/deltonahigh">http://schools.volusia.k12.fl.us/deltonahigh</a>) and explained how Storefront West meets FHFC's definition of public school.
- 18. On or about July 21, 2003, FHFC released "final" Universal Scoring Summaries for all applicants. (Although designated "final," the scoring summaries are accompanied by points of entry to request formal or informal administrative hearings.) FHFC's final Universal Scoring Summary

for Saxon Trace, which was received by Saxon Trace via overnight delivery on July 22, 2003, is attached hereto as Exhibit G.

19. FHFC's final scoring summary for Saxon Trace reflects that the application meets FHFC's threshold requirements; and has a final substantive score of 66 points, which, based on score alone, ties it with about 125 of the approximately 150 Universal Cycle applications submitted and still pending this year. The final scoring summary also reflects a restoration of some tie-breaker points to Saxon Trace's application. Saxon Trace's "final" tie-breaker score is shown as 7.0 points, which includes .75 of a possible 1.25 points for proximity to a public school. Apparently, FHFC still maintains that Storefront West is not a public school, but FHFC appears to have awarded Saxon Trace 0.75 points for the proximity of an alternate public school identified in Saxon Trace's cure: DeBary Elementary School located at 88 West Highbanks Road, DeBary, Florida 32713, between 2 and 3 miles from the proposed development.

# **Inconsistent Treatment**

20. FHFC's proposed refusal to recognize the Storefront West School as a public school is also inconsistent with its "final" staff position on a public school designated by another Applicant in this cycle. Application No. 2003-010BS, Lake Shore Apartments in Palm Beach County, identified Bak Middle School of the Arts as its public school. FHFC initially proposed to award the applicant 1.25 proximity tie-breaker points for being within one mile of this school. However, a NOPSE filed against Lake Shore Apartments asserted that the Bak Middle School of the Arts did not meet the definition of "public school" because it was "available exclusively to applicants who pass an art audition," and thus was a school "not generally available to appropriately aged children

in the radius area." FHFC responded by proposing to not award Lake Shore Apartments any public school proximity points on the Scoring Summary for that development dated 6/09/03.

- 21. The Applicant, Lake Shore, responded with a "cure" that argued that the Bak Middle School of the Arts was "open to the general school population." The cure attached a letter from the Chief Counsel to the Palm Beach County School Board which included the same statement just quoted, as well as an invitation to review information from the School Board's web site, www.palmbeach.k12.fl.us.
- 22. Pursuant to the mechanism established by FHFC Rule 67-48.004(7), a Notice of Alleged Deficiency ("NOAD") was subsequently filed against the Lake Shore Development, challenging the acceptability of the Bak Middle School of the Arts, and including information printed out from the School Board's web site about that school. That information included statements that students were selected "using an application and audition process;" that eligibility for admission "is determined by the applicant's audition score;" and that "to be considered for admission to Bak Middle School of the Arts applicants must pass the audition." In response to a "Frequently Asked Question" concerning students without "extensive arts training," the web site states that "students with potential or undeveloped talent are sought as well as students with more developed technical skills." In other words, while the school is not limited to students with extensive arts training, all students must at least have "potential or undeveloped" artistic talent and pass an audition. The school is not open to all appropriately-aged students who may be desirous of attending. Despite this NOAD, FHFC, in its "final," pre-appeal scoring summary, rescinded the loss of public school tie-breaker points, and restored such tie-breaker points to Lake Shore Apartments.

- 23. Saxon Trace has been treated differently from Lake Shore Apartments. As explained in Saxon Trace's cure, its designated public school, Storefront West, is a public school operated by the School Board of Volusia County as a part of Deltona High School. It is not a charter school. It is not a school for "children with exceptional needs." It is not a "correctional" high school.
- 24. Students at Storefront West are required to apply for admission, the same as for Bak Middle School for the Arts. Students at Storefront West School are required to pass a "basic skills test," but this is no more burdensome (and even less selective) than the audition process required at Bak Middle School for the Arts. All of this information is available from the Storefront West School web site, schools.volusia.k12.fl.us/deltonahigh, which address was provided in Saxon Trace's cure. Information from the Storefront West School web page describing the school, its purpose, and its policies is attached hereto as Exhibit H.
- 25. FHFC's refusal to recognize Storefront West School as a public school, when it has recognized an audition-only, magnet school for the arts as a public school for another applicant is arbitrary, capricious, and irrational. If FHFC's rationale for rejecting Storefront West School is that it may not be available to all high school aged students who may reside in the Saxon Trace development, then FHFC has already rejected that rationale by accepting as a public school a magnet school for the arts that may not (and likely would not) accept all middle school aged residents of Lake Shore Apartments. Further, FHFC's adopted definition of "public school" does not require that the school be generally available to appropriately-aged children; rather, only charter schools (but not all public schools) are expressly excluded from the definition if they are "not generally available to appropriately-aged children in the radius area" (emphasis added).

# **Notice**

26. Saxon Trace received notice via Federal Express delivery on Tuesday, July 22, 2003, of FHFC's scoring of the Saxon Trace SAIL application. This Petition is being accompanied by a completed Election of Rights form indicating its intention to file a Petition for Formal Administrative Proceedings within twenty-one days of its July 22 receipt of notice of the scoring of its application. A copy of the Election of Rights form is attached hereto as Exhibit I. Pursuant to Rule 67-48.005(1), Fla. Admin. Code, this Petition is being filed within twenty-one days of Saxon Trace's receipt of the July 22, 2003 memorandum forwarding its score.

# **Disputed Issues of Material Fact**

- 27. Saxon Trace has initially identified the following disputed issues of material fact, which it reserves the right to supplement as additional facts become known to it:
- (A) Whether Storefront West School is a public school. Saxon Trace contends that it is.
- (B) Whether Storefront West School is a charter school. Saxon Trace contends that is not.
- (C) Whether Storefront West School is a public school open and available "exclusively to children with exceptional needs." Saxon Trace contends that it is not.
- (D) Whether Storefront West School is a "correctional" high school. Saxon Trace contends that it is not.
- (E) Whether Storefront West School fully meets the definition of "public school" contained in FHFC's Universal Application Instructions. Saxon Trace contends that it does.

- (F) Whether FHFC's proposed rejection of Storefront West School as a public school constitutes a de facto revision of its adopted definition of a public school to add additional criteria not set out in the adopted definition. Saxon Trace contends that it does.
- (G) Whether FHFC's reliance on non-rule criteria to reject as a public school a school which otherwise meets the FHFC rule definition of "public school" is arbitrary, capricious, and unlawful. Saxon Trace contends that it is.
- (H) Whether FHFC's determination that Storefront West School does not meet its rule definition of "public school" had any reasonable basis in law and fact at the time that position was asserted by FHFC. Saxon Trace contends that it did not.
- (I) Whether FHFC has consistently evaluated and scored (for tie-breaker point purposes) all claimed public schools by all applicants in this cycle. Saxon Trace contends that it has not.

# **Concise Statement of Ultimate Facts**

28. Saxon Trace alleges as ultimate facts that Storefront West School identified in its application, is a public school as defined in FHFC rule; specifically, it is a public high school, is not a charter school, and is not open and available exclusively to children with exceptional needs. FHFC's proposed rejection of the Storefront West School as a qualifying public school under its rules should be overturned.

# Relief Sought and Law Entitling Applicant to Relief

29. Saxon Trace seeks entry of Recommended and Final Orders awarding it 1.25 proximity points for its proximity to a public school. Saxon Trace is entitled to this formulation of FHFC's action to award Saxon Trace full public school tie-breaker points by Chapter 120, Fla. Stat.,

including but not limited to Sections 120.569 and 120.57(1); and Rule Chapters 28-106 and 67-48, Fla. Admin. Code, including but not limited to the definition of "public school" from page 13 of the Universal Application Instructions, incorporated by reference into FHFC's rules.

- 30. Saxon Trace is also entitled to this relief by case law and by prior agency precedent concerning the force and effect of adopted rules, and particularly of adopted definitions in FHFC rules. Clearly, a state agency is obligated to follow its own rules until amended or abrogated. See, Cleveland Clinic Hospital v. Agency for Health Care Administration, 679 So.2d 1237 (Fla. 1st DCA 1996) and cases cited therein. FHFC has recognized by its own final orders that it is bound by its adopted definitions. Landings on Millennia Blvd. v. FHFC, FHFC Case No. 2002-0057, Application No. 2002-76S (Final Order entered October 10, 2002) (Applicant entitled to award of tie-breaker points for proximity to grocery store where the identified store met FHFC's rule-adopted definition of grocery store; FHFC could not enlarge, modify, or change that definition to the detriment of applicants who relied upon the definition provided); Newport Sound Partners, Ltd. v. FHFC, FHFC Case No. 2002-0058, Application No. 2002-130C (Final Order entered October10, 2002) (same holding).
- 31. Saxon Trace specifically requests that this petition be promptly forwarded to the Division of Administrative Hearings within fifteen days of its filing, as required by Section 120.569(2)(a), Fla. Stat., for assignment of an administrative law judge, and the conduct of formal administrative proceedings. Saxon Trace also seeks such other relief as is just and proper, including but not limited to the award of attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Section 57.111, Fla. Stat., in that FHFC's proposed rejection of Storefront West School as a public school has no reasonable basis in law and fact and is contrary to the clear and unambiguous definition of "public school" contained in its rules.

FILED and SERVED this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August, 2003.

M. CHRISTOPHER BRYAN

Fla. Bar I.D. No. 434450

OERTEL, FERNANDEZ & COLE, P.A.

Post Office Box 1110

Tallahassee, FL 32302-1110

Telephone: (850) 521-0700 Facsimile: (850) 521-0720

Attorneys for Petitioner

SAXON TRACE PARTNERS, LTD.

# **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original of the foregoing has been filed by HAND-DELIVERY with the Corporation Clerk, Florida Housing Finance Corporation, 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301-1329, this 12 day of August, 2003.

MCB/dg/2624-35

2624-35SaxonTracePetition.Pld

# INDEX OF EXHIBITS TO PETITION OF SAXON TRACE, 2003-1538

Exhibit A	Page 13 of FHFC's Universal Application Instructions
Exhibit B	Page 8 of Saxon Trace's application, submitted April 8, 2003
Exhibit C	Universal Scoring Summary for Saxon Trace, dated May 12, 2003
Exhibit D	Notice of Possible Scoring Error filed against Saxon Trace
Exhibit E	Universal Scoring Summary for Saxon Trace, dated June 9, 2003
Exhibit F	"Cure" submitted by Saxon Trace on public school issue on June 19, 2003
Exhibit G	"Final" Universal Scoring Summary for Saxon Trace, dated July 22, 2003
Exhibit H	Storefront West School Web Site Information
Exhibit I	Election of Rights form



- not a major retail function, based on allocated space or on gross sales, such as Eckerd Drug Stores, Walgreen Drug Stores, Dollar General Stores, Family Dollar Stores, etc.
- (2) Public School For purposes of tie-breaker points, a Public School means a public elementary, middle, junior and/or high school, including a charter school, except for a charter school that is not generally available to appropriately aged children in the radius area and a public school open and available exclusively to children with exceptional needs. This service may not be selected if the Applicant selected and qualified for the Elderly Demographic Commitment in this Application.
- (3) Medical Facility For purposes of tie-breaker points, a Medical Facility means a hospital, state or county health clinic or walk-in clinic (that does not require a prior appointment) that provides general medical treatment or general surgical services at least five days per week to any physically sick or injured person. This service can be selected only if the Applicant selected and qualified for the Elderly Demographic Commitment in this Application or if the proposed Development will be located in a Small or Medium County.
- (4) Pharmacy For purposes of tie-breaker points, a Pharmacy means a community pharmacy operating under a valid permit issued pursuant to s. 465.018, F.S., and open to the general public at least five days per week without the requirement of a membership fee. This service can only be selected if the proposed Development is in a Small or Medium County.

Applicant must provide both the name and Address as assigned by the United States Postal Service for the selected service(s).

- (5) Location of the closest Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop Public Bus Stop or Metro Rail Stop means a fixed location
  provided by a public transportation entity at which passengers may
  access regularly scheduled public transportation on a year-round
  basis. The latitude and longitude coordinates must be stated (in
  degrees, minutes and seconds truncated after one decimal place) on
  the Surveyor Certification Form and provided behind a tab labeled
  "Exhibit 25".
- c. Proximity to the closest Development Address or location coordinates identified on the List. (Maximum 3.75 tie-breaker points):



Vooi					
Stree		2556 Enterpris	se ka	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
City:	:	Orange City		State: FL	Zip Code: 32763
plicar	ni select	ed and qualified	vill serve any den d for any Demog of a Public Schoo	raphic Commitment	er than Elderly, i.e., the at Part III.D. other than Elder
<b>@</b> Y	es (che	ck only ONE a	ppilcable distar	nce) (	O No
Dev		nity of Proposed Int to a Public S		P	roximity Tie-Breaker Points
<b>@</b> >	0 and <	or equal to 1.0	mile		1.25
<b>O</b> >	1.0 and	< or equal to 2	2.0 miles		1
_		< or equal to 3			.75
_		< or equal to 4			.5
Q>	4.0 and	< or equal to 5	i.0 miles		.25
Nam	e of Pul	olic School;	Storefront Wes	st Public School	
Addr Stree		Public School: 290 Treemont	Drive	•	
City:		Orange City		State: FL	Zlp Code: 32763
eny c dium	or Sma	aphic Commitm Il County, will it	ent at Part III.D.	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic	ant selected and qualified for the Development will be located in all Facility?
eny c dium Qy	or Sma es (che Proxin	aphic Commitm Il County, will it ck only ONE a nity of Propose	ent at Part III.D. be located within pplicable distant	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?
Deve	Oemogri or Sma es (che Proxin elopmer	aphic Commitm Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Propose nt to a Medical	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant d Facility	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  DiNo  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points
dium O Yo	Oemogra or Sma es (che Proximelopmer 0 and <	aphic Commitm Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Propose It to a Medical or equal to 1.0	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant d Facility orile	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  Di No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25
Deve	Demogra or Sma fes (che Proxim elopmer 0 and <	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed It to a Medical if or equal to 1.0 I < or equal to 2	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility mile 2.0 miles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in cal Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25
Deva	Proximal Pro	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical cor equal to 1.0 cor equal to 3 cor equal to 3	ent at Part III.D. be located within pplicable distant facility mile 2.0 miles 8.0 miles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  Di No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25
Deve	Proximelopmer 0 and < 1.0 and 2.0 and 3.0 and	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed It to a Medical if or equal to 1.0 I < or equal to 2	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility onlie comilee doniles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in cal Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75
Deve	Proxime Proxim	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Propose it to a Medical cor equal to 1.0  < or equal to 2   < or equal to 3   < or equal to 4	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility onlie comilee doniles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75
Deve O> O> Nam	Proxing each che Proxing elopmer 0 and < 1.0 and 3.0 and 4.0 and we of Me	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical is or equal to 1.0 is or equal to 2 is or equal to 3 is or equal to 4 is or equal to 5	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility mile 2.0 miles 6.0 miles 6.0 miles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75
Deve O > O > Nam	Proximelopmer 0 and < 1.0 and 2.0 and 4.0 and the of Me test	aphic Commitre II County, will it can only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical it or equal to 1.0 is or equal to 3 is or equal to 4 is or equal to 4 is or equal to 5 is or equal to 6 is or e	ent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility mile 2.0 miles 6.0 miles 6.0 miles	or if the proposed I n 5 miles of a Medic nce)	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75
Device C> C> Name Adding Street City:	Province of Meet:	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical it or equal to 1.0 i < or equal to 2 i < or equal to 4 i < or equal to 5 id < or equal to 6 id < or equal to 6 id < or equal to 5 id < or equal to 6 id < or equ	pent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant Facility of mile 2.0 miles 6.0 miles 6.0 miles	or if the proposed In 5 miles of a Mediance)  F  State:	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75 .5 .25
erry Codium  O You  Deve O >  O >  Nam  Address of	Proximelopmer  O and <  1.0 and  2.0 and  4.0 and  4.0 and  be of Me  The set of Me	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Propose at to a Medical cor equal to 1.0 cor equal to 2 cor equal to 3 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 5 dical Facility: Medical Facility: Development v macy?	pent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant Facility of mile 2.0 miles 6.0 miles 6.0 miles	or if the proposed In 5 miles of a Medicince)  State:	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75 .5 .25
erry Codium  O You  Deve O >  O >  Nam  Address of	Proxing es (che Proxing elopmer 0 and < 1.0 and 3.0 and 4.0 and ee of Me ress of Met.	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Propose at to a Medical cor equal to 1.0 cor equal to 2 cor equal to 3 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 5 dical Facility: Medical Facility: Development v macy?	pent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility mile 2.0 miles 3.0 miles 5.0 miles 6.0 miles	or if the proposed In 5 miles of a Mediance)  State:  a Medium or Small	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breeker Points  1.25  1 .75 .5 .25  Zip Code:  County, will it be located within
Devis O > O > Nam Addin Streec City:	Proxing and	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical cor equal to 1.0 cor equal to 2 cor equal to 3 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 4 cor equal to 5 dical Facility: Medical Facility: Development v macy? ck only ONE a mity of Propo	pent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility of mile and miles an	or if the proposed In 5 miles of a Mediance)  State:  a Medium or Small	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breaker Points  1.25  1 .75 .5 .5 .25  Zip Code:  County, will it be located within
Devs O > O > O > O > O > O > O > O > O > O >	Proxime es (che Proxime of Me es of Me es (che Proxime of Me es of	aphic Commitre Il County, will it ck only ONE a mity of Proposed it to a Medical is or equal to 1.0 is or equal to 2 is or equal to 3 is or equal to 4 is or equal to 5 dical Facility: Medical Facility: Development v macy? ck only ONE a minity of Propo- pment to a Pha-	pent at Part III.D. be located within applicable distant facility mile comiles d.0 miles	or if the proposed In 5 miles of a Mediance)  State:  a Medium or Small	Development will be located in all Facility?  D No  Proximity Tie-Breeker Points  1.25  1 .75 .5 .25  Zip Code:  County, will it be located within the content of the conte

·

# 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

**As of:** 05/12/2003

File # 2003-153S	Develo	pment Name: Sa	Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments			
As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points	Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit	SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost	Is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost?
05 - 12 - 2003	99	<b>&gt;</b>	7.5	\$57,291.67	10.83%	Z
Preliminary	99	>	7.5	\$57,291.67	10.83%	Z
NOPSE	0	>	0		0	
Final	0	<b>\</b>	0		0	
Post-Appeal	0	>	0		0	

Scores:

	;								
Item #	# Par	t Section	Subsection	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Available   Points	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Post-Appeal Points	NOPSEFI	inal Post-A	ppeal
	-			Optional Features & Amenities					
15	=	18	2.a.	New Construction	6	6	0	0	0
15	≡	8	2.b.	Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation	6	0	0	0	0
25	≡	8	2.c.	All Developments Except SRO	12	12	0	0	0
28	Ē	8	2.d.	SRO Developments	12	0	0	0	0
38	E	8	2.e.	Energy Conservation Features	6	6	0	0	0
				Set-Aside Commitments					
48	E	E	1.b.	Conmitment to Serve Lower AMI	5	5	0	0	0
58	=	E	1.c.	Total Set-Aside Commitment	3	3	0	0	0
S9	=	ш	3.	Affordability Period	5	5	0	0	0
				Resident Programs					
7.5	=	F	1	Programs for Non-Elderty & Non-Homeless	9	9	0	0	0
7.5	=	L.	2.	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	9	0	0	0	0
7.5	=	L.	3.	Programs for Elderly	9	0	0	0	0
S8	Ξ	<u></u>	4.	Programs for All Applicants	8	8	0	0	0
				Local Government Support	-				
S6	≥		a.	Contributions	9	9	0	0	0
10S	<u>≥</u>		b.	Incentives	4	4	0	0	0

# 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

**As of:** 05/12/2003

File # 2003-153S

Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments

Item #         Part Section Subsection Description           1P         III         A         11.b.(1)         Grocery Store           2P         III         A         11.b.(2)         Public School           3P         III         A         11.b.(3)         Medical Facility           4P         III         A         11.b.(4)         Pharmacy           5P         III         A         11.b.(5)         Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop			1000		10000
A   11.b.(1)     A   11.b.(2)     A   11.b.(3)     A   11.b.(4)	Availa	Available   Preliminary   NOPSE Final Post-Appeal	NOPSE	rinai ros	st-Appear
A   11.b.(2)     A   11.b.(3)     A   11.b.(4)		1.25 1.25	0	0	0
A   11.b.(2)     A   11.b.(3)     A   11.b.(4)		125	C	0	0
A   11b.(3)     A   11b.(4)     A   11b.(5)					
A   11.b.(4)		0 62.	2	>	
A   (11.b.(5)		0 0	0	0	0
2000		1.25 1.25	0	0	0
ED III A 11.0 Developments on FHFC Developments	nent Proximity List	3.75 3.75	0	0	0



# UNIVERSAL APPLICATION NOTICE OF POSSIBLE SCORING ERRORS REQUEST FOR REVIEW FORM

Part/Section/Subsection	Number of Issues	
<u>III A 11.a</u>	For Review	
III A 11.b.(2)		
III E 1.c.(3)	1	
	1	
	P N	
	TRACKING NO	
	(7)	
	Audiness attresses	
Total Number of Issues For Review		

Print Name: Becky T. Edwards

All notices must be submitted in accordance with Rule Chapters 67-48.004(4) and 6721.003(4) and should contain enough information for staff to evaluate them. This will include, but may not be limited to, a detailed description of the issue being identified and the action requested, such as reduction of score or rejection of the Application. Attach additional pages if necessary. All notices should be submitted in typewritten form.

# 2003 UNIVERSAL APPLICATION CYCLE APPEAL STATEMENT

FHFC File # 2003 - 1538

Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments

# Part III. Section A., Subsection 11.a.

# Issue 1.

Pursuant to Part III, Section A., Subsection 11.a. of the 2003 Universal Application General Instructions, "To determine proximity, the Applicant must first identify a Tie-Breaker Measurement Point on the proposed Development site and provide the latitude and longitude coordinates determined in degrees, minutes and seconds truncated after one decimal place.

The proposed Development submitted the Application with an address of "Southwest corner of Harley Strickland Boulevard and Threadgill Place". The Surveyor Certification was submitted providing latitude and longitude coordinates that do not match the above referenced address.

Although the Applicant submitted a Surveyor Certification, DeLorme failed to identify the noted latitude and longitude coordinates at the same address.

Result: The Applicant did not meet threshold and should be rejected.

# Part III, Section A., Subsection 11.b.(2)

# Issue 1.

The Applicant submitted the Public School as "Storefront West Public School" indicating the school is located within 0 - 1.0 miles of the proposed Development. Storefront West Public School is listed as a "correctional" high school not open to regular admission. The closest "public" school located on DeLorme is Orange City Elementary located outside the 1 mile indicator.

Result: The Application should receive a reduction of .50 points.

TRACKING NO:



# 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

**As of:** 06/09/2003

File # 2003-153S	Develo	pment Name: Sa	Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments			7
As Of:	Total Points	Met Threshold?	Proximity Tie- Breaker Points	Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit	SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost	is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost?
				\$57 201 67	10.83%	z
06 - 09 - 2003	99	>	6.25	10.1 62,100		
, accimilate	99	>	7.5	\$57,291.67	10.83%	z
Tellinary	3				/000	Z
NOPSE	99	<b>&gt;</b>	6.25	\$57,291.67	10.83%	-
NOI OF					0	
Final	0	>	0			
					C	
Post Appeal	0	>	0			
i cartopodi						

ທ
Ö
_
0
Ü
Ñ

Scores:			Available   Preliminary   NOPSE Final   Post-Appeal	NOPSE Final Post-	Appeal
Item # Part Section	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	uo	Points		:
	Optional F	Optional Features & Amenities	6 6	0 6	0
16 III B	New Construction	uction	0	0 0	0
		Rehabilitation/Substantial Rehabilitation		12 0	0
= =		All Developments Except SRO		L	0
= =		pments		$\downarrow$	0
		Energy Conservation Features			
		Set-Aside Commitments	5 5	5 0	0
18	11 b Commitment	Cornritment to Serve Lower AMI		3 0	0
		Total Set-Aside Commitment		5 0	0
=	3 Affordability Period	Period			
=	Resident Programs	Programs	9	0 9	0
7S III F	1. Programs fo	Programs for Non-Elderly & Non-Homeless		0 0	0
7S III F	2. Programs fo	Programs for Homeless (SRO & Non-SRO)	0 9	0 0	0
7S III F	3. Programs for Elderly	ır Elderly	8 8	8	0
8S III F	4. Programs fo	Programs for All Applicants			
	Local Gov	Local Government Support	5 5	5 0	0
All S6	a. Contributions	8	4 4	4 0	0
	b. Incentives				

EXHIBIT E

# 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

As of: 06/09/2003

File # 2003-153S

Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments

roximity Tie-Breaker Points

Proxin	ity T	ie-Breake	Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:						
Item #	Part	Section	Subsection	Item # Part Section Subsection Description	Available Preliminary	_	NOPSEL	inal Po	NOPSE Final Post-Appeal
6		▼ ▼	11.b.(1)	Grocery Store	1.25	1.25	1.25	0	0
g.		A		Public School	1.25	1.25	0	0	0
<sup>1</sup> (5	=	<b>A</b>		Medical Facility	1.25	0	0	0	0
φ Q	=	.   4		Pharmacy	1.25	0	0	0	0
9				Public Bus Stop or Metro-Rail Stop	1.25	1.25	1.25	0	0
. Q	: =	.		Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List	3.75	3.75	3.75	0	0.
5	=								

Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Item #	Reason(s)	Created As Result   R	Rescinded as Result of
2P	School does not meet definition of public school as it is not generally available for use by the general public because it is a "correctional" high school NOPSE available exclusively to children with exceptional needs.	VOPSE	



# 2003 SUMMARY CURE FORM

and pertains to the Application parts, sections, subsections, and exhibits listed below (please list the parts, sections, and subsections and exhibits in the order they appear in the 2003 Applicant's Scoring Summary): This Summary Cure Form is submitted with regard to Application No. 2003- 153S

				18	Submitted in Response to	18 to:		Created by:
Description of the second	OCOCCOSTONAL AND	***************************************	**************************************	Daniel Com	D. C. C.	Daniel for Eastward	N. C	3:
<b>200</b> ,0	****		-	Acason ocore	KEASUI	Keason for Failure to	Mark this column	Mark inis column ii
•			****	Not Maxed	Threshold Failed	Achieve Proximity	if Item No.	Item No. indicated in
Part		~~~	~~~	(Provide Item	Provide Item	Tie-Breaker Points	indicated in	previous 3 columns
(c.g., I,	Section	_		Number from	Number from	Selected (Provide	previous 3 columns	resulted from NOPSE
III, IIII,	(e.g., A.	****		Application	Application	Item Number from	resulted from	scoring. Also, please
₹, ۷,	B, C, D,	_	2, 3, 49, 50,	Scoring	Scoring	Application Scoring	Preliminary	state the Tracking No.,
	etc.)			Summary)	Summary)	Summary)	Scoring	if known.
Ш	A	11.b.(2)		S	L	2 P		X
				S	Ţ	Р		
				S	F	Ъ		THE RESERVE STATEMENT OF THE STATEMENT O
				S	įi	a.		
				S	F	D,		
				S	F	Ь		
				S	I	a.		
				Ω	F	P		
				οα	Ξ	P		
				S	<u>-</u>	Ъ		
				S	Ţ	Ъ		
				တ	T	P		
				S	<u></u>	a		
				S	Ţ.	ď		
				S	1	ď		
				S	T	d		~~~
			,	S	L	a.		
				S.	Ţ	ď		
				S	-1	d,		

TRACKING

EXHIBIT F

# 2003 CURE FORM

# (Submit a SEPARATE form for EACH reason relative to EACH Application Part, Section, Subsection and Exhibit)

			coring Summary
reliminary Scoring a chieve maximum poi nd/or failure to achi- elow:	nd/or NOPSE scoring ints, a failure to achie eve threshold relative	resulted in the im ve proximity tie-b to this form. Ch	position of a failt breaker points sel- teck applicable it
	2003 Universal	Cre	ated by:
	Scoring Summary	Preliminary Scoring	NOPSE Scoring
Reason Score Not Maxed	Item NoS		
Reason Threshold Failed	Item NoT		
Reason for Failure to Achieve Proximity Tie- Breaker Points Selected (MMRB/SAIL/HC Applications Only)	Item No. 2 P		
s revision or addition	al documentation is suf	hmitted to add	an issue resulting
	reliminary Scoring as chieve maximum poind/or failure to achievelow:  Reason Score Not Maxed  Reason Threshold Failed  Reason for Failure to Achieve Proximity Tie-Breaker Points Selected (MMRB/SAIL/HC Applications Only)  her changes are neces	reliminary Scoring and/or NOPSE scoring chieve maximum points, a failure to achieve threshold relative elow:    Reason Score Not Maxed	Reason Score Not Maxed  Reason Threshold Failed  Reason for Failure to Achieve Proximity Tie-Breaker Points Selected (MMRB/SAIL/HC  Reason Score Not Item No T

# Brief Statement of Explanation regarding Application 2003 – <u>1538</u>

Provide a separate brief statement for each Cure or NOAD

**************************************
In its 2003 MMRB, SAIL and HC Scoring Summary dated 06/09/2003 for Saxon
Trace Apartments, Application No. 2003-153S, Florida Housing staff has
proposed awarding no points for proximity to a public school at Part III, Section A,
Subsection 11.b.(2). The stated reason for not achieving those tie-breaker points is
as follows:
School does not meet definition of public school as it is not generally
available for use by the general public because it is a "correctional"
high school available exclusively to children with exceptional needs.
The applicant contests this loss of proximity points.
The Applicant claimed proximity of less than one mile to Storefront West School at
290 Treemont Drive in Orange City, Florida. Storefront West School is operated by
the Volusia County School Board as a part of Deltona High School. Information
concerning Storefront West School, be available from the School's web site at
http://schools.volusia.k12.fl.us/deltonahigh/ (under the table of contents on the left,
click Storefront West School).
As explained on the Storefront West School web site, Storefront West School is
designed for at-risk students who are not succeeding in the traditional high school

, increase	setting or those who have dropped out and want to return to earn their high school
	diploma. Requirements for enrollment include the student passing a basic skills
	test, completing an application and essay, and being employable, as employment
	preparation is a significant focus of the school.
	"Public school" is not a term that is defined in either Rule Chapter 67-48 or 67-21,
	Fla. Admin. Code. However, it is defined at Page 13 of the Universal Application
	Instructions which are incorporated by reference into FHFC rules. The definition
	reads as follows:
	(2) Public School – For purposes of tie-breaker points, a public
	school means a public elementary, middle, junior and/or high
er e	school, including a charter school, except for a charter school
	that is not generally available to appropriately aged children
	in the radius area and a public school open and available
	exclusively to children with exceptional needs. This service
	may not be selected if the applicant selected and qualified for
	the elderly demographic commitment in this application.
	Storefront West School is a public high school. It is not a charter school, so it is
	irrelevant whether the school is generally available to children in the radius area.
	The requirement that a school be "generally available to appropriately aged
	children in the radius area" only applies to charter schools, according to FHFC's

definition of public school. It is not, as stated in the NOPSE Scoring Summary, a "correctional high school", if that term is intended to imply a "correction facility" or other institution for students with criminal histories. While some students at Storefront may have had problems involving law enforcement and the courts, the san e could be said of some students at many or most high schools, and prior trouble with the law is not a precondition or an indicator for attendance at Storefront West. The students at Storefront are not "exceptional needs" students. That term, although used in FHFC's definition of public schools, is not a defined term. However, a similar term, "exceptional students," appears in statute at Section 1003.01(3)(a), Fla. Stat. That definition is as follows: "Exceptional student" means any student who has been determined eligible for a special program in accordance with rules of the State Board of Education. The term includes students who are gifted and students with disabilities who are mentally handicapped, speech and language impaired, deaf or hard of hearing, visually impaired, dual sensory impaired, physically impaired, emotionally handicapped, specific learning disabled, hospital and home bound, autistic. developmentally delayed children, ages birth through 5 years, or children, ages birth through 2 years, with established

tules nurenout t	o s. 1003.21(1)(e).		
. ares parauant i	9 s. 1005.21(1)(e).	····	

Students in Storefront West School are neither gifted nor students with disabilities.

While there may be students enrolled in Storefront West who happen to be gifted or happen to have disabilities, the Storefront West School was not created for the purpose of educating students with such gifts or disabilities; in that sense,

Storefront West would be like any other public school which might happen to have gifted or disabled students enrolled in its student body.

Storefront West School thus mets FHFC's definition of "public school"

If FHFC now seeks to impose additional, undisclosed criteria for determining what constitutes a "public school", then this Applicant is significantly disadvantaged by such a late introduction of new criteria. Had the Applicant known that a definition existed which would have excluded Storefront West, the Applicant could have identified DeBary Elementary School, located at 88 West Highbanks Road,

DeBary, FL 32713, a distance of between 2 and 3 miles from the Applicant's Tie-Breaker Measurement Point, which would have resulted in .75 points towards this Applicant's Tie-Breaker Score. In the event FHFC rejects the Storefront West School, then the Applicant should at least receive .75 point for proximity to a public school.



## 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

As of: 07/18/2003

Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments

Is SAIL Request Amount Equal to or Greater than 10% of Total Development Cost? z z z z 10.83% 10.83% SAIL Request Amount as Percentage of Development Cost 10.83% 10.83% \$57,291.67 \$57,291.67 \$57,291.67 \$57,291.67 Corporation Funding per Set- Aside Unit Proximity Tie-Breaker Points 7.5 6.25 0 Met Threshold? > > > > > Total Points 0 99 99 99 99 File # 2003-153S 07 - 18 - 2003 Post-Appeal Preliminary NOPSE As Of: Final

Scores:

0000				Accelera	:	LOCOL	A to Colour	1000
# mo#	Dart Soct	form # Part Section Subsection Description	Description	Available	Preliminary	TO TO T	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Fost-Appear	рреа
	) ) , iii			Follits				
			Optional Features & Amenities				0	6
1	9	10.0	New Construction	D .	5	ם כ	B (	
2	0	2.0.	The beat litterion (Culterion in Debahilitation	6	0	0	0	<b>-</b>
15	B ■	2.b.	Ket labilitation to be a construction of the c	12	12	12	12	0
25	8	2.c.	All Developments Except SRO	12	С	0	0	0
28	8	2.d.	SRO Developments	7 0		0	0	c
SE	8	2.e.	Energy Conservation Features	B .	D	9	>	
			Set-Aside Commitments		3	4	2	C
140	3 111	114	Commitment to Serve Lower AMI	C	C	0	0 0	
ç v	<u>u</u>	<u>.</u>	Total Set-Aside Commitment	3	3	2 (	2	
3	J LL	5 6	Affordability Period	5	G.	2	0	
3	<u> </u>	5	Resident Programs			ļ	·	6
		1	Drograms for Non-Fiderty & Non-Homeless	9	9	9	9	- -
8	<u>-</u>	-	Frequency of the CDO & Non CDO)	9	0	0	0	0
7.8	<u>u.</u>	2.	Programs to monteless (SNO a not SNO)	g	0	0	0	0
7.8	<u></u>	3.	Programs for Elderly	α	α	8	8	0
88		4.	Programs for All Applicants		>	,	-	
	-		Local Government Support	4	4	4	ď	c
S6		ė	Contributions	0 4	2	2	2 4	
108	≥	þ.	Incentives	*	+	-	-	
			_					

## 2003 MMRB, SAIL & HC Scoring Summary

**As of:** 07/18/2003

File # 2003-153S

Development Name: Saxon Trace Apartments

Proximity 11e	Proximity 11e-Breaker Points.	18:	Available	Preliminary	NOPSE	Available Preliminary NOPSE Final Post-Appeal
Item # Part 5	Section Subse	Item # Part Section Subsection Description				•
			1.25	1.25	1.25 1.25	1.25 0
10	11.b.(1)	Grocery Store	125	1.25	0	0.75 0
1 dc	11.b.(2)	Public School	1.05	C	C	0
de de	(11.b.(3)	Medical Facility	22.			0
	44 1 (3)	Dhamaw	1.25	0	>	
4P	4).0.(4)		1.25	1.25	1.25   1.25	1.25
<i>y</i> ■ dS	11.b.(5)		3.75	3.75	3.75 3.75	3.75 0
d9	11.c.	Proximity to Developments on FHFC Development Proximity List	2			

Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:

Reas	Reason(s) for Failure to Achieve Selected Proximity Tie-Breaker Points:	Crosted As Result	Crosted As Result   Rescinded as Result
Item #	Reason(s)	of of	of
	NOPSE	JOPSE	
2p	School does not meet delinition of public school school does not meet delinition of public school does not meet de		
	available exclusively to children with exceptional recus.		

Partial As Doenly   Rescinded as Result	lealed As Nesday Nesday at 1	la l	
	Reason(s)	Applicant attempted to cure item 2P, by making an argument as to why the school previously provided in the application should receive points. However, that Public School is not available for use by the general public as of the Application Deadline. Applicant was awarded points for the Public School provided as an atternative.	
·6	Description	Public School	
in Comments	Subsection	11.b.2.	-
Additional Application Comments:	Item # Part Section Subsection	1C ■	_





(Click on Pictures to View the Pages)



Message from the Director



**SWS Mission Statement** 



Personnel



Information About Storefront West



Calendar

## Store Front School West

#### Home

# STOREFRONT WEST SCHOOL

## MISSION STATEMENT

"Our mission is to provide a quality educational program for at-risk students that will enable them to be successful contributors in a changing world."

# **VOLUSIA COUNTY SCHOOLS**

## VISION STATEMENT

knowledge, skills, and values to be successful contributors to our democratic society." "Through the individual commitment of all, our students will graduate with the

Table of Contents

Next

# INFORMATION ABOUT STOREFRONT WEST

## Royal Oaks Professional Centre

290 Treemonte Drive

Orange City, Florida 32763

(386)775-5265

#### Introduction

Storefront West School is a performance based, standard high school diploma program. It is designed for at-risk students who are not succeeding in the traditional high school setting or those who have dropped out and want to return to earn their high school diploma.

Storefront West is also a school of choice in that students must meet the enrollment criteria and complete our enrollment process to be considered for admission.

#### Enrollment

## Enrollment Criteria

- 1. Student must be at least 16 years of age.
- 2. Student must have earned at least 5 credits toward graduation.
- 3 Student must have suitable employable.

### **Enrollment Process**

- 1. Student's guidance counselor must submit a referral form to Storefront West with a copy of their GRDV, Attendance History and Discipline History. (Students who have dropped out must come in and complete an information form)
- Student must pass a basic skills test.
- . Student must complete an application and essay.
- Student must make an appointment to tour our facility and pick up a Tour Packet.
- 5. Upon completion of the Tour Packet, parent and student must make an appointment for an interview with the Storefront West Director (approximately 2 hours).
- Student file is presented to the Admissions Committee for acceptance/denial. Committee meets monthly. 9
- . Student/Parent are notified of acceptance/denial.

## SCHOOL FOCUS

The Storefront West School utilizes a storefront education alternative site in the DeBary-Deltona-Orange City Area. vocational training, employability skill training, academic and career assessment, life skills, counseling, and family complete their high school program and prepare for employment. This setting incorporates dual enrollment for support services. There is a close coordination with parents and outside agencies. Students meeting graduation This computer assisted instructional program offers at-risk students and returning dropouts the opportunity to requirements at Storefront West will graduate from Deltona High School

Storefront West is also a mastery learning center in that the students are required to earn a "c" or better on all

Storefront West emphasizes three areas of student development.

Academic	Academic Advisement/Counseling	Employment
Learning Teams	Individualized Counseling	Career Assessment
Certified Teachers	Group Counseling	Development of Career Goals
Individualized Instruction	Home Visits	Employability Skills
Computer-Assisted Instruction	Self-Discipline Development	Emphasis on Work Ethic
Students Work to Master Sunshine State Standards for Graduation	Financial Aid Counseling for Post-Secondary Training	On-the-Job Training
Students Work to Pass Standardized Tests (FCAT/HSCT) Required for Graduation	Inter-Agency Linkages	Dual Enrollment in Vocational Courses at DBCC
Tutoring Assistance		
Parent Involvement Encouraged		

## SCHOOL STRUCTURE

Storefront West creates a different environment from which students can learn. The school is run much like a business. Students are treated like the young adults they are. We, in turn, expect them to assume young adult responsibilities.

Our policies and procedures are reflective of what students might encounter in the world of work, including punching We have no rules at Storefront West School. Instead, we have policies and procedures we insist on being followed. a time clock, following an ordered process toward completion of coursework for credit, and meeting deadlines, to name a few.

applying here must be prepared to accept the session in which they are placed. Student preferences are considered Students attend Storefront West for one of two sessions, either at 8 AM to 12 Noon or 12 Noon to 4 PM. Students

prior to deciding on a session.

Students must also work at a job between 15 and 30 hours per week, for which they receive elective credit toward graduation. Student schedules alternate subjects day to day. Students work on two courses per day for two hours each. Students earn credit when coursework is completed. They do not have to wail until the end of the grading period. As soon as one course is complete, they begin the next course and so on until they complete requirements for graduation. Students who attend Storefront West will receive a diploma from Deltona High School.

#### FACILITY

Storefront West is a small facility with a reception area, one classroom (lab), two offices, a multi-purpose room, and restrooms. The classroom contains 24 computer stations from which students do the majority of their classwork.

#### LOCATION

Storefront West is located in the Royal Oaks Professional Centre at 290 Treemonte Drive, Orange City, Florida, FL

Directions to the school are as follows:

From Orange City: Take Highway 17-92 south, toward DeBary. Treemonte Drive is the first street to the left after the light at Enterprise Road. Turn left onto Treemonte Drive to Royal Oaks Professional Centre, Suite 290 is in the rear of the complex.

From Deltona: Take Saxon Blvd. to Highway 17-92. Turn right onto 17-92 about 1/4-1/2 mile to Treemonte Drive. Turn right onto Treemonte Drive to Royal Oaks Professional Centre, Suite 290 is in the rear of the complex. From DeBary: Take Highway 17-92 north toward Orange City. Treemonte Drive is on mile on the right, across from the Jade Garden Restaurant. Take Treemonte Drive to Royal Oaks Professional Centre, Suite 290 is in the rear of the complex.



#### **ELECTION OF RIGHTS**

Applica	ation Number: 2003	- <u>1538</u>	Devel	opment Name: Saxon Trace	
1.[]	I do not desire a pro	ceeding	g.		
2. [ ]	I elect an informal p 120.57(2), Florida S	oroceedi Statutes.	ng to be co In this re	onducted in accordance with Sections 120.569 and gard I desire to (Choose one):	
	[ ] submit a	a writter	n statemen	at and documentary evidence; or	n of the second
	[ ] attend a	n inforn	nal hearing	g to be held in Tallahassee.	
	Note: Rule 28-106 petition in a	.301, Fl prescrit	orida Adn oed format	ninistrative Code, requires Applicant to submit a t. (attached)	
3. [X]	I elect a formal pro available only if th	ceeding ere are o	at the Div	vision of Administrative Hearings. This option is ssues of material fact.	
	Note: Applicant n 106.201, Flo	nust sub orida Ad	mit an app ministrativ	propriate petition in accordance with Rule 28- ve Code. (attached)	
sched	luling my informal h	nt prefer earing.	ences, in o	order from 1-8 (with 1 being my first choice, etc.) for all hearings will be scheduled by the Division of	
Admi	inistrative Hearings.				
Admi		A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.	
Admi	Hearing Dates:	A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M. September 8, 2003	
Admi		A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M. September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003	
Admi	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003	A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M. September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003	
Admi	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003	A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M. September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003	
Admi	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003	A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M. September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003	
	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003			Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003	
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003	
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003	
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003 Signature of Petitioner	
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September:  (include Area Code)	. A .
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003  Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.	
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003 Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant	h Floor
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003 September 11, 2003 Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.  Address: 301 S. Bronough Street, 5t	h Floor
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003  Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.  Address: 301 S. Bronough Street, 5t P. O. Box 1110 (32302-1110) Tallahassee, FL 32301	h Floor
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003  Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.  Address: 301 S. Bronough Street, 5t P. O. Box 1110 (32302-1110) Tallahassee, FL 32301  Fax: (850) 521-0720	h Floor
Plea	Hearing Dates: August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003 September 3, 2003 September 4, 2003 September 5, 2003 September 5, 2003	edule to	me at this	Hearing Dates: A.M. P.M.  September 8, 2003 September 9, 2003 September 10, 2003 September 11, 2003  Signature of Petitioner  Name: M. Christopher Bryant Oertel, Fernandez & Cole, P.  Address: 301 S. Bronough Street, 5t P. O. Box 1110 (32302-1110) Tallahassee, FL 32301  Fax: (850) 521-0720	h Floor

TO PRESERVE YOUR RIGHT TO A PROCEEDING, YOU MUST RETURN THIS FORM WITHIN TWENTY-ONE (21) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE TO THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION AT THE ADDRESS INDICATED IN THE NOTICE OF RIGHTS. TO FACILITATE THE SCHEDULING OF HEARINGS, THIS FORM MAY BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO FILING A PETITION.

#### **ELECTION OF RIGHTS**

Applic	ation Number: 2003	- <u>1538</u>	_ Develo	pment Name:	Sax	on Trace		
1.[]	I do not desire a pro	ceeding	<u>,</u>					
2. [ ]	I elect an informal p 120.57(2), Florida S	oroceedi Statutes.	ng to be co In this reg	onducted in acgard I desire to	cordance (Choose	with Sections one):	120.569 and	
	[ ] submit	a writter	statement	and documer	ntary evide	ence; or	e de la companya de La companya de la co	4 <b>Ç</b>
	[ ] attend a	n inforn	nal hearing	to be held in	Tallahass	ee.		
	Note: Rule 28-106 petition in a	.301, Fl prescrib	orida Admi oed format.	inistrative Co (attached)	de, requir	es Applicant t	to submit a	
3. [X]	I elect a formal pro available only if th	ceeding ere are	at the Divi	sion of Admi sues of materi	nistrative al fact.	Hearings. Th	nis option is	
	Note: Applicant n 106.201, Flo	nust sub orida Ad	mit an appr ministrativ	ropriate petitic e Code. (atta	on in acco	ordance with F	Rule 28-	
sche	owing are my top eigh duling my informal h iinistrative Hearings.	nt prefer earing.	ences, in or All formal	rder from 1-8 hearings will	(with 1 b be sched	eing my first uled by the D	choice, etc.) for ivision of	•
	TT : Datas	A.M.	P.M.	Hearing Date		A.M. P.M.	7	
	Hearing Dates:	A.M.	1.171.	September 8,				
	August 28, 2003 September 2, 2003			September 9,				
	September 3, 2003			September 10	, 2003			
	September 4, 2003			September 11	, 2003			
	September 5, 2003							
Plea	ase fax a Hearing Sch	edule to	me at this	number:	(;	Area Code)		
	TE: August 12,			Signature o	bristo	em B	yat	
						topher Br	•	P.A.
				Address: _			Street, (32302-11	
					Tallah	assee, F	և 32301	
				Fax:	(850)	521-0720		
				Phone:		521-0700		<del></del>
					ude Area Cod	e)		

TO PRESERVE YOUR RIGHT TO A PROCEEDING, YOU MUST RETURN THIS FORM WITHIN TWENTY-ONE (21) DAYS OF RECEIPT OF THIS NOTICE TO THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION AT THE ADDRESS INDICATED IN THE NOTICE OF RIGHTS. TO FACILITATE THE SCHEDULING OF HEARINGS, THIS FORM MAY BE SUBMITTED PRIOR TO FILING A PETITION.