BEFORE THE FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION

ROSEDALE HOLDINGS, LLC, H&H DEVELOPMENT, LLC, and BROOKESTONE I, LP,

Petitioners,	FHFC Case No. 2013-038BP
vs.	RFA 2013-001 DOAH Case No.
FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION	
Respondent.	

FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST AND PETITION FOR FORMAL ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING

Petitioners Rosedale Holdings, LLC, H&H Residential Development, LLC, and Brookestsone I, LP, by and through undersigned counsel, file this Formal Written Protest and Petition for Formal Administrative Hearing ("Petition") pursuant to Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes, and Rule 28-110.003, Florida Administrative Code. This Petition challenges the intended decision of Respondent, Florida Housing Finance Corporation ("Florida Housing" or the "Corporation") to award low-income housing tax credits ("Housing Credits") in response to the Request for Applications 2013-001 for Affordable Housing Developments Located in Medium and Small Counties (the "RFA").

Parties

1. Petitioner Brookestone I, LP, is a limited partnership organized under the laws of the State of Florida. Petitioners Rosedale Holdings, LLC and H&H Residential Development, LLC, are both authorized to transact business in Florida, and are specifically named in the Notice of

Intended Decision. All Petitioners are named in and submitted the application for the Brookestone I development to be located in Leon County (Application 2014-007C). For purposes of this proceeding, Petitioners' address and telephone number are those of its undersigned counsel.

2. Florida Housing is the agency affected by this Petition. Florida Housing's address is 227 N. Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301.

Statement of Ultimate Facts

Background

- 3. Florida Housing is designated as the housing credit agency for the State of Florida within the meaning of Section 42(h)(7)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code and has the responsibility and authority to establish procedures for allocating and distributing Housing Credits. § 420.5099, Fla. Stat. (2013).
- 4. On September 19, 2013, Florida Housing issued the RFA seeking to award an estimated \$11,166,425 of Housing Credits for proposed developments in Medium Counties and an estimated \$1,308,328 of Housing Credits in Small Counties.
- 5. The RFA provides for a lottery number to be randomly assigned to each Application.
- 6. The RFA also provides for the Applications to be evaluated and scored by a Review Committee. Each Application can receive a maximum of 27 points consisting of two different types of point items: (1) Proximity to Transit and Community Services, worth a maximum of 22 points; and (2) Local Government Contributions, worth a maximum of 5 points. [RFA § 5, p. 38]. These scores play a significant role in Florida Housing's funding decisions. [RFA § 4.B., pp. 36-37].

- 7. The Funding Selection process as described in the RFA limits the developments eligible for funding to those that meet certain eligibility requirements described throughout the RFA. [RFA § 4.B., p. 38]. Those Applications eligible for funding are then sorted and ranked in order from highest to lowest based on the following applied in this order, with eligible Small County Applications and eligible Medium County Applications to be listed separately:
 - a. Highest to lowest score (taking into consideration any Development Category Funding Preference);
 - b. The Applicant's eligibility for the Per Unit Construction Funding Preference, with Applications that qualify for the preference ranked above those that do not;
 - c. The Application's Leveraging Classification, with developments with a Classification of A as the top priority;
 - d. The Application's eligibility for the Florida Job Creation Preference, with Applications that qualify for the preference ranked above those that do not; and
 - e. Lottery number, with the lowest lottery number receiving the preference.

[RFA § 4.B., p. 38]. A Funding Test and County Test are also applied. Under the Funding Test, Small County Applications will be selected for funding only if there is enough Small County funding available to fully fund the Eligible Housing Credit Request Amount, and Medium County Applications will be selected for funding only if there is enough Medium County funding available to fully fund the Eligible Housing Credit Request Amount [RFA § 4.B., p. 38]. Under the County Test, funding is limited to one Application per county unless the only eligible unfunded Applications that can meet the Funding Test are located in a county where an Application has already been selected for funding. [RFA § 4.B., p. 38].

8. The RFA also establishes certain Goals. For the Applications located in Medium Counties, Florida Housing has a goal to fund one development that is eligible for the SunRail

Station TOD Funding Preference (as outlined in the RFA for developments located in Seminole County and Volusia County). Within the Medium County Application List, the first Application considered for funding will be the highest scoring eligible Application that qualifies for the SunRail Station TOD Funding Preference. For Applications located in Small Counties, Florida Housing has a goal to fund one Application located in the Florida Keys Area (as outlined in the RFA for developments located in Monroe County). Within the Small County Application List, the first Application that will be considered for funding will be the highest scoring eligible Application that qualifies for the Florida Keys Area goal. Once the goal is met, or if no eligible Applications meet the goal, then the highest scoring eligible unfunded Applications will be considered for funding subject to the County Test and the Funding Test. If there is remaining funding available and no unfunded Applications meet both the County Test and the Funding Test, then the highest scoring eligible unfunded Application that meets the Funding Test will be selected for funding. [RFA § 4.B. pp. 38-39].

- 9. The deadline for receipt of applications was 2:00 p.m. on October 17, 2013.
- 10. Florida Housing received 96 applications in response to the RFA, including Petitioners' application for the Brookestone I development to be located in Leon County (Application 2014-007C).
- 11. Florida Housing's Executive Director designated five Florida Housing staff members to serve as the Review Committee for the RFA.
- 12. The Review Committee met on November 5, 2013 to discuss the applications and answer any questions of the Review Committee members.

- 13. The Review Committee met again on November 21, 2013 to submit their scores with respect to each application and to develop a recommendation to submit to Florida Housing's Board.
- 14. At the Board's December 13, 2013 meeting, the Review Committee presented its funding recommendation to the Board along with an RFA 2013-001 Sorting Order Chart showing the Applications listed in order from highest to lowest total score as well as identifying Applications deemed ineligible for funding.
- 15. On December 13, 2013, Florida Housing's Board approved the recommendation of the Review Committee to select 11 applications for funding and invite the recommended applicants to enter credit underwriting. Those recommended include (among others): Paradise Point Senior Housing (Monroe County), Arbours at Tumblin Creek (Alachua County), and Madison Crossing (Osceola County).
- 16. At 11:33 a.m. on December 13, 2013, Florida Housing posted on its website its Notice of Intended Decision, consisting of two documents: (1) a document entitled "RFA 2013-001 Medium-Small RFA Received Applications" (the "Received Applications Posting") showing the scores awarded to the applications, the preferences for which they qualify, and their lottery number, and (2) a document entitled "RFA 2013-001 Medium-Small County Geographic RFA Recommendations." showing those Applications recommended for funding. A copy of the Intended Decision (consisting of both documents) is attached as Exhibit "A."
- 17. On December 17, 2013, Petitioners timely filed a notice of intent to protest Florida Housing's Intended Decision.
- 18. In accordance with Section 120.57(3)(b), Florida Statutes, this Petition is being filed within 10 days of the date on which the notice of intent to protest was filed.

19. The Received Applications Posting (included in Exhibit "A") indicates that the Brookestone I development received the maximum 27 points and a lottery number of 17. Other applications for development also received the maximum score of 27 points, and qualify for the same preferences as Brookestone I, but have lower lottery numbers than Brookestone I, including Arbours at Tumblin Creek (Application 2014-046C), with a lottery number of 2; Madison Crossing (Application 2014-010C), with a lottery number of 5; and Summerset Apartments (Application 2014-008C). Of these applications, Arbours at Tumblin Creek and Madison Creek have been recommended for funding. In addition, Paradise Point Senior Housing (Application 2014-080C) was recommended for funding to meet the Florida Keys Goal. However, Brookestone I should instead be recommended for funding because none of these four referenced applications is eligible for funding under the terms of the RFA.

Madison Crossing is Not Eligible for Funding Under the RFA

- 20. Madison Crossing (Application 2014-010C) is not eligible for funding because the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed the uses, as required by the RFA.
- 21. The RFA provides that the application will be scored based on certain mandatory items that expressly require the application to show that sources must equal or exceed uses. RFA §. 5 at p. 41 ("Development Cost Pro Forma (listing expense or uses) and Construction/Rehab. Analysis and Permanent analysis (listing sources)—Sources must equal or exceed uses"). See also RFA §. 4.9.c at p. 33 ("the sources must equal or exceed the uses"), and RFA at p 27 ("The total amount of monetary funds determined to be in funding proposals must equal or exceed uses.").
- 22. The Madison Crossing application fails to show sufficient sources to cover uses, in part because: (a) The application contains no documentation of an HC Bridge Loan, so it cannot

be counted as a source of funding; and (b) in order to consider the full amount available from the Construction Loan (\$14,124,091), the commitment fee amount (one percent (1%) of the Loan per Attachment 13 at p. 2) shown on the Pro Forma would be increased from \$85,620.45 to \$141,240.91, an amount that would cause the sources to be insufficient to cover the uses.

23. Accordingly, Madison Crossing (Application 2014-010C) is not eligible for funding.

Arbours at Tumblin Creek is Not Eligible for Funding Under the RFA

- 24. Arbours at Tumblin Creek (Application 2014-046C) is not eligible for funding because the submitted equity proposal fails to "state the anticipated dollar amount of Housing Credit allocation to be purchased," as required by the RFA. And without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA.
- 25. For the purposes of the RFA, to be counted as a source an equity proposal must meet certain requirements. Among other things, it must "state the anticipated dollar amount of Housing Credit allocation to be purchased." [RFA § 4, A.9.d.(2)(b)].
- 26. The equity proposal submitted with this application (see pages 49-51 of the application) does not include this required information. The equity proposal therefore may not be considered a source of funding.
- 27. Without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA.
- 28. Accordingly, Arbours at Tumblin Creek (Application 2014-046C) is not eligible for funding.

¹ The application submitted by Arbours at Ambassador Place (Application 2014-117C) in response to RFA 2013-002 contains a similar equity proposal; and this application was determined to be not eligible for funding.

Paradise Point Senior Housing is Not Eligible for Funding Under the RFA

- 29. Paradise Point Senior Housing (Application 2014-080C) is not eligible for funding because the Housing Credit Request Amount is less than the anticipated amount of credit allocation stated in the equity proposal, and the equity proposal therefore may not be considered a source of funding. And without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA.
- 30. The RFA states that "if the Eligible Housing Credit Request Amount is less than the anticipated amount of credit allocation stated in the equity proposal, the equity proposal will not be considered a source of funding." See RFA § 4.A.9.d.(2)(a) at p. 36.
- 31. The Equity Proposal attached to the application shows the Eligible Housing Request Amount is \$11,750,000 (\$1,175,000 annually) and the anticipated amount of credit allocation to be purchased as \$11,778,825.
- 32. Because the Housing Credit Request Amount (\$11,750,000) is less than the anticipated amount of credit allocation stated in the equity proposal (\$11,778,825), the equity proposal therefore may not be considered a source of funding.
- 33. Without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA.
- 34. Accordingly, Paradise Point Senior Housing (Application 2014-080C) is not eligible for funding.

Summerset Apartments is Not Eligible for Funding Under the RFA

35. Summerset Apartments (Application 2014-008C) is not eligible for funding because it fails to include the required evidence of site control.

- 36. The RFA requires the Applicant to demonstrate site control by providing, as Attachment 8 to Exhibit A of the Application, certain documentation in the form of: (a) an Eligible Contract, (b) a Deed or Certificate of Title or (c) a Lease. RFA §.4.A.7.
- 37. For the purposes of the RFA, an eligible contract is one that has a term that does not expire before a date that is six (6) months after the Application Deadline or that contains extension options exercisable by the purchaser and conditioned solely upon payment of additional monies which, if exercised, would extend the term to a date that is not earlier than six (6) months after the Application Deadline. RFA §. 4.A.7.a.
- 38. The Application Deadline was October 17, 2013, and the date six months thereafter is April 17, 2014.
- 39. The application includes as Attachment 8 to Exhibit A, a "Real Estate Purchase Agreement" dated August 28, 2013. This agreement provides for a 120-day due diligence period (Paragraph 5.f at p. 4), which expires on December 26, 2013. The agreement also gives the purchaser the right to extend the closing for three 30-day extension periods (Paragraph 5.g. at p. 4), for a total of 90 days; however, the agreement states that closing is to occur no later than April 1, 2013 (second Paragraph 5.g. at p. 4). As such, the agreement is not an eligible contract because it does not have a term that does not expire before April 17, 2014.
- 40. Because it fails to include the required evidence of site control, Summerset Apartments (Application 2014-008C) is not eligible for funding.

Disputed Issues of Material Fact

41. The disputed issues of material fact include, but are not limited to:²

² Petitioners reserve the right to amend or supplement this Petition, including but not limited to, the disputed issues of material fact, to the extent that they learn of additional issues of material fact in the course of discovery and preparation for final hearing in this matter.

- (a) Whether Madison Crossing (Application 2014-010C) failed to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA;
- (b) Whether Arbours at Tumblin Creek (Application 2014-046C) submitted an equity proposal that fails to "state the anticipated dollar amount of Housing Credit allocation to be purchased," as required by the RFA, and the equity proposal therefore may not be considered a source of funding; and whether without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA;.
- (c) Whether Paradise Point Senior Housing (Application 2014-080C) submitted a Housing Credit Request Amount that is less than the anticipated amount of credit allocation stated in the equity proposal, and the equity proposal therefore may not be considered a source of funding; and whether without consideration of the equity proposal as a source of financing, the application fails to show that the sources equal or exceed uses, as required by the RFA;
- (d) Whether Summerset Apartments (Application 2014-008C) is not eligible for funding because it failed to include the required evidence of site control, including whether the "Real Estate Purchase Agreement" has a term that does not expire before April 17, 2014, or contains extension options exercisable by the purchaser and conditioned solely upon payment of additional monies which, if exercised, would extend the term to a date that is not earlier than April 17, 2014;
 - (e) Whether Florida Housing's Intended Decision is contrary to the RFA; and
- (f) Whether Florida Housing's Intended Decision is clearly erroneous, contrary to competition, arbitrary or capricious.

Notice of Florida Housing's Proposed Action

42. The Notice of Intended Decision was posted on Florida Housing's website at 11:33 a.m. on December 13, 2013.

Substantial Interests Affected

43. Petitioners are adversely affected by Florida Housing's Intended Decision. The application for the Brookestone I development complies with all of the requirements of the RFA, received the maximum number of points available, and has a lottery number of 17. But for the erroneous decisions described above, Brookestone I instead would be recommended for funding. Accordingly, Petitioners will be adversely affected if Florida Housing awards funding as proposed in the Notice of Intended Decision.

Statutes and Rules that Entitle Petitioners to Relief

44. Petitioners are entitled to relief pursuant to Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, Chapters 28-106, 28-110, 67-48 and 67-60, Florida Administrative Code; and the established decisional law of Florida courts, the Division of Administrative Hearings, and Florida administrative agencies.

Demand for Relief

WHEREFORE, Petitioners respectfully request that Florida Housing:

- a. Provide an opportunity to resolve this Petition by mutual agreement within seven (7) business days, as provided in Section 120.57(3), Florida Statutes;
- b. Transfer this Petition to the Division of Administrative Hearings for a formal hearing conducted before an Administrative Law Judge pursuant to

Sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes, if this Petition cannot be resolved within seven (7) business days; and

Ultimately issue a Final Order withdrawing the Intended Decision and instead awarding funding to the Brookestsone I development.

Respectfully submitted this 27th day of December, 2013.

7 0 11

Lawrence E. Sellers, Jr. Florida Bar No. 300241

Karen D. Walker

Florida Bar No. 0982921

HOLLAND & KNIGHT LLP

315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 600

Tallahassee, Florida 32301

Telephone: (850) 224-7000

Facsimile: (850) 224-8832

<u>larry.sellers@hklaw.com</u> karen.walker@hklaw.com

Attorneys for Petitioners

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the original of the foregoing Formal Written Protest and Petition for Formal Administrative Hearing was filed by hand-delivery with Ashley Black, Agency Clerk, and that a true and correct copy was provided by hand-delivery to Wellington Meffert, General Counsel, Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 North Bronough Street, Suite 5000, Tallahassee, Florida 32301 all on this 27th day of December, 2013.

Lawrence E. Sellers, Jr.

#26888114 v5

EXHIBIT A

Lottery Number		34	30	26	21	17	13	6	S	92	88	88	89	63	55	47	43	38	18	89
Preference		>-	>	>	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>-	>-	>	>-	>	>	>	>	>
Classification Florida Job Creation		4	٨	4	4	4	٨	4	4	4	4	4	4	⋖	4	∢	4	٩	4	4
Leveraging	ŀ										1200									
Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside		\$65,049.50	\$66,538.46	\$101,042.74	\$59,495.19	\$70,974.36	\$108,263.73	\$77,112.18	\$79,011.63	\$60,576.92	\$71,778.23	\$96,794.87	\$72,582.46	\$85,249.76	\$75,597.74	\$61,730.77	\$110,204.33	\$96,197.63	\$88,652.71	\$93,663.28
NC or R List for Leveraging?		œ	œ	N	α	NC	NC	NC	NC	œ	NC	NC	NC	S	NC	œ	NC	NC	NC	N O
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference		z	z	>	z	>	>	>	>	z	>	>	>	>	>	z	>	>	>	>
stnio9 lesoT		27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	22
8 avaidas OOT biO Fernior fieres		z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
lis Anu Sun Baili Sleo D OOT		z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>	z
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?		Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Figible For Fundings		*	>	>	>	>	>	\	>	>	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	*	>
JnuomA gnibnu3 DH		\$730,000.00	\$865,000.00	\$1,182,200.00	\$550,000.00	\$1,280,000.00	\$1,501,257.00	\$856,802.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$700,000.00	\$1,078,748.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$848,427.00	\$1,423,567.00	\$883,673.00	\$535,000.00	\$1,325,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,155,000.00
stinU sbisA ta2 lstoT	. 8	101	96	81	79	108	96	100	98	80	06	108	70	100	70	09	72	94	102	96
Signologics of Developers		Southport Development Services, Inc.	Southport Development Services, Inc.	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	Southport Development Services, Inc.	Rosedale Holdings, LLC; H&H Residential Development, LLC	Beneficial Development 13 LLC	TVC Development, Inc.	ARD Madison Crossing, LLC	Southport Development Services, Inc.	TVC Development, Inc.	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	Picerne Affordable Development, LLC; Halifax Development II, LLC	Picerne Affordable Development, LLC	TVC Development, Inc.	Southport Development Services, Inc.	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC	Picerne Affordable Development, LLC	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC
Vame of Contact nos199		Brianne E. Heffner	Brianne E. Heffner	William T. Fabbri	Brianne E. Heffner	Stewart W. Rutledge	M Donald W. Paxton	M Stephen A. Frick	M Katie A. Breslow	M Brianne E. Heffner	Stephen A. Frick	William T. Fabbri	M Jorge A. Aguirre	Jorge A. Aguirre	Stephen A . Frick	Brianne E. Heffner	Brian J. Parent	Brian J. Parent	M Jorge A. Aguirre	Brian J. Parent
County Size	order		Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
YinuoO	n Number	Alachua	Seminole	Leon	Polk	Leon	Pasco	Okaloosa	Osceola	ee	Hernando	Pasco	Volusia	Leon	Osceola	St. Lucie	Pasco	Leon	Seminole	Sarasota
ło ame <i>l</i> V JnamqolavaC		400 Apartments A		The Mystic	Harbour Court		Summerset Apartments P		ssing	Jones Walker	Caroline Oaks	Park at Wellington Apartments	alifax II	Sessions at Parkside		Orangewood Village	at	9	erritt	sst Apartments
Poplication Number	Eligible Applicat	2014-003C	2014-004C	2014-005C	2014-006C	2014-007C	2014-008C	2014-009C	2014-010C	2014-012C	2014-013C	2014-015C	2014-018C	2014-019C	2014-021C	2014-023C	2014-024C	2014-025C	2014-027	2014-029C



2 of 6

Florida Job Creation Preference Lottery Number	٧ 85) V	7 35	γ 31	>	٧ 81	77 Y	y 56	۲ 52	γ 2	٧ 94	۸ کو	۸ 69	٧ 65	۲ 44	٧ 40	٧ 19	γ 15
Leveraging Classification	٥	4	4	4	4	٩	A	4	∢	4	4	٨	œ	∢	٨	٨	ø	4
Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	\$93,663.28	\$88,505.45	\$96,189.66	\$103,349.26	\$87,847.45	\$87,997.12	\$87,312.37	\$63,326.63	\$96,294.46	\$88,493.12	\$97,811.54	\$98,381.87	\$118,349.02	\$112,406.95	\$112,406.95	\$112,406.95	\$135,764.24	\$112,406.95
אכ סר R List for Leveraging?	N	N O	N N	N O	Ŋ.	NC	NC	Ů,	Ŋ	NC	N O	ñ	N	NC	NC	NC	N	N
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	*	>	>	>	>-	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference	*	*	>	>	>	Υ .	٨	>	>-	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
stnioq letoT	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	72	27	22	27	72	27	22	27
Did TOD achleve 6 Fernit Points	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
lis Ann S 101 sldigil3 Slso	z	Z	z	z	Z	z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Eligible For Funding?	>	>	>	>	٨	>	٨	٨	¥	٨	\	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
JunomA gnibnuT JH	\$1,155,000.00	\$1,477,933.00	\$1,285,000.00	\$1,070,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,058,000.00	\$1,458,010.00	\$1,398,295.00	\$1,206,000.00	\$1,042,127.00	\$980,000.00	\$1,380,000.00	\$1,383,399.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00
stinU əbisA tə2 lstoT	96	100	80	62	119	72	100	120	27	64	09	84	70	93	93	93	77	93
Name of Developers	The Paces Foundation, Inc.	DLTB Development, LLC	Bass Development, LLC	The Paces Foundation, Inc.	Forest Ridge SD GM Dev, LLC	Norstar Development USA, LP; Renaissance Preserve Developers, LLC	Roundstone Development, LLC	HTG Leon 1 Developer, LLC	The Michaels Development Company I, LP, Sarasota Housing Authority	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	Norstar Development USA, LP; Punta Gorda Developers, L.L.C.	The Michaels Development Company I, LP, Tallahassee Housing Professionals, LLC	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.					
Name of Contact Person	Rick Haymond	Deion R. Lowery	Bowen A. Arnold	Rick Haymond	Oscar Sol	Paula M. Rhodes	Clifton E Phillips	Matthew Rieger	M Joe J. Chambers	Samuel T. Johnston	Paula M. Rhodes	Joe J. Chambers	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P. Brock	M Jay P Brock
Size Size	Σ	Σ	Σ	s	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
County	Escambia	Osceola	Citrus	Gulf	Citrus	lee	Brevard	Leon	arasota	Alachua	Charlotte	Leon	Volusia	Hernando	ake	Osceola	Volusia	Osceola
omeN Development	Nine Mile Commons	Arbor Bend Apartments	Madison Ridge	Gateway Estates	Forest Ridge	The Homes of Renaissance Preserve III	The Willows on Clearlake	Seminole Landings	Orange Avenue Phase 1 Sarasota	Arbours at Tumblin Creek	Punta	Orange Avenue Redevelopment Phase 4	The Cove at Forest Glen	Central Village - Phase	Eustis Park Apartments Lake	Heritage Park Apartments	partments	The Loop - Phase I
Application Number	2014-030C	2014-032C	2014-034C	2014-035C	2014-038C	2014-039C	2014-040C	2014-041C	2014-042C		2014-047C	2014-048C	2014-050C	2014-051C	2014-052C	2014-053C	2014-054C	2014-055C

Lottery Number	11	86	82	61	57	53	36	32	28	7	ю	95	29	49	46	42	91	78
Preference	>	Α.	>	*	Α.	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Classification Florida Job Creation	4	A	80	4	8	4	4	4	∢	4	4	٩	4	4	4	4	٩	4
gnibnu-l Gord Funding Per 5et-Aside	\$112,406.95	\$110,040.49	\$135,764.24	\$112,088.26	\$118,564.59	\$112,406.95	\$112,406.95	\$112,406.95	\$117,211.54	\$112,088.26	\$112,406.92	\$112,406.92	\$108,212.55	\$102,461.54	\$104,538.46	\$77,197.28	\$104,632.45	\$92,812.50
MC or R List for Leveraging?	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	ñ	ñ	NC NC	Š	NC NC	Ñ	NC	NC	Ŋ	NC	S
Per Unit Construction Freference	>	>	>	>	*	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference	>	>	*	٨	٨	*	>	>	>	>	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
total Points	27	27	22	27	22	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27
6 avaids aOT bid Feanist Points	z	z	z	z	Z	Z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Eligible for SunRail FleoD GOT	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	Z	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?	z	Z	Z	z	N	Z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z
Sgnibnu Tro 1 eldigil3	>	>	٨	٨	γ	*	٨	٨	Υ.	Å	٨	>	*	>	>	>	>	>
JnuomA gnibnui DH	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$824,995.00	\$1,484,913.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$609,500.00	\$824,995.00	\$811,430.00	\$811,430.00	\$1,030,000.00	\$1,480,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,349,450.00	\$1,380,315.00	\$1,394,870.00
stinU sbisA 192 lstoT	93	95	77	52	75	93	93	93	36	52	51	51	57	100	100	95	79	90
Name of Developers	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC; Tallahassee Housing Professionals, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Sunshine Development Group, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Arbour Valley Development, LLC
Jaedno Of Contact nosted	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P. Brock	Jay P Brock	Jay P Brock	Brian J. Parent	David O Deutch	David O. Deutch	Megan S. Carr	David O. Deutch	M Samuel T. Johnston
County Size	Σ	Σ	Σ	v	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	v	v	v	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
County	Hernando	Osceola	Volusia	Flagler	Volusia	Hernando	St. Lucie	Pasco	Osceola	Flagler	Flagler	Flagier	Pasco	Leon	Polk	Pasco	Polk	Leon
Vame of Development	tments	Heritage Park Apartments - Phase II	Beachside Apartments - Phase II	Brookhaven Apartments - Phase II	The Cove at Forest Glen - Phase II	The Fountains at Central Village - Phase I Hernando	Grove Park Apartments St. Lucie	Lakeshore Apartments Pasco	The Loop - Phase II	Brookhaven Cove Apartments	Palm Breeze Apartments	Palm Coast Town Center Apartments	Huntington Place Apartments	Springfield Revitalization l		Sawgrass Creek Estates Pasco	Canova Palms	Arbours at Live Oak
Application Mumber	Ų	2014-057C	2014-058C -	2014-059C	Z014-060C	2014-061C	2014-062C	2014-063C	2014-064C	2014-065C	2014-066C	2014-067C	2014-070C	2014-071C	2014-072C	2014-073C	2014-075C	

Lottery Mumber	70	99	45	41	37	20	12	87	83	62	54	33	59	00	4	96	79	75	20	25
Preference	*		>	· -	>	*	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Classification Florida Job Creation										_	4	4	A	4	4	4	4	4	4	4
Leveraging	4	۷ ۸	δ.	8	7 A	Ψ π	1 B	S	2 A	φ V				_						
Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	\$99,919.84	\$102,530.77	\$100,523.38	\$119,769.23	\$94,804.77	\$110,204.33	\$118,311.51	\$117,373.85	\$104,789.52	\$108,894.23	\$95,648.96	\$93,032.26	\$92,955.40	\$105,163.04	\$108,733.35	\$75,285.19	\$69,492.19	\$77,253.57	\$80,037.60	\$75,354.81
NC or R List for Leveraging?	NC	N	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	NC	SC	SC	S	NC	N O
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	>	>	>	*	>	>	>	>	Υ	\	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference	>	>	*	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	٨
stnio9 letoT	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	72	72	27	27	27
Did TOD achieve 6 Transit Points?	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	>
Eligible for SunRail TOD Goal?	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	Y
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?	z	z	z	٨	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	z	N
Sgnibnu Tro Feligible	>	>-	>	*	>	>-	>-	*	>	*	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	>	٨
JnuomA gnibnu1 OH	\$1,485,000.00	\$1,481,000.00	\$929,696.00	\$1,175,000.00	\$1,232,462.00	\$1,325,000.00	\$1,155,000.00	\$784,000.00	\$1,377,400.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$766,666.00	\$1,369,477.00	\$1,117,614.00	\$1,075,000.00	\$1,271,000.00	\$905,163.00	\$1,299,687.00	\$670,821.00	\$1,283,069.00	\$1,510,000.00
stinU sbizA 192 lstoT	58	100	72	47	06	72	76	52	91	96	48	80	72	92	70	72	112	52	96	120
Name of Developers	Norstar Development USA, LP; FPHA Development, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC; Tallahassee Housing Professionals, LLC	The Paces Foundation, Inc.	Gorman & Company, Inc.	Roundstone Development, LLC	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC	JPM Development LLC; Westbrook Housing Development LLC	Norstar Development USA, LP; Venetian Walk Developers, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	Arbour Valley Development, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	Pendelton Commons Developer, Inc.	Bratton Manor Developer, Inc.	Denton Cove Developer, Inc.	Westbury Commons Developer, Inc.	Heritage Village Commons Developer, Inc.
Name of Contact nos199	and the second	David O. Deutch	Rick Haymond	Hana K. Eskra	Clifton E Phillips	Brian J. Parent	Brian J. Parent	Paula M. Rhodes	David O. Deutch	David O. Deutch	Samuel T. Johnston	M Samuel T. Johnston	Samuel T. Johnston	David O Deutch	David O. Deutch	M Jonathan L. Wolf	M Jonathan L. Wolf	Jonathan L. Wolf	M Jonathan L. Wolf	M Jonathan L. Wolf
County Size	Σ	Σ	Σ	v	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	v	Σ	Σ
County	St. Lucie	Leon	Escambia	Monroe	Osceola	Pasco	Volusia	Sarasota	Polk	Osceola	Martin	Osceola	Indian River	Вау	Polk	Lake	Leon	Franklin	Osceola	Seminole
omeV Development	ghts	Springfield Revitalization II		Paradise Point Senior Housing	Mallard Bay	Residences at Fort King Pasco	Osprey Landings	Venetian Walk II	Sterling Pointe	Caladium Flats	Arbours at Central Parkway	Arbours at Kissimmee	Arbours at Sunnyside Up	Pinnacle at Hammock Crossings	Tupelo Vue	Pendelton Commons	Bratton Manor		Westbury Commons	Heritage Village
nedmuM noitsoilqqA	Ų	2014-078C	2014-079C	2014-080C	2014-081C	2014-082C	2014-084C	2014-085C	2014-086C	2014-087C	2014-089C	2014-090C		2014-092C	2014-093C	2014-094C	2014-095C	2014-096C	2014-097C	2014-098C

y Number	Lottery
noiteation ence	Florida
	2000 1000
ging ication	Levera
9bisA-1	Per Se
gnibnu4 q103) letoT
Sanig	Levera
P List for	NC or
g Preference	uipun
it Construction	
əsuə	Prefere
Sulpun Linding	
pment	Develo
stnio	9 letoT
Soints?	Transit
achieve 6	
JIPO	10D G
lis Anuč 101 g	
s for Florida Sal?	Keys G
- 61-013 101	1712113
For Funding?	eligible
JnuomA gnib	HC Fun
et Aside Units	2 letoT
of Developers	yame N
JoshnoD to	o amsN nos199
Size	County
	County
55	Name o
tion Number	epiidd

ineligible Applications	cations		1			1		1	-	1	-	1	ŀ	-	-			ŀ
			- 2	Renneth G. 0	Prestwick Development Company, LLC; Okaloosa Community Development													
2014-011C	Palm Village	Okaloosa	M	Blankenship	Corporation	38	\$420,421.00	z	z	z	z	22	>	>	NC	\$99,573.39	>	-
2014-014C	Fort King Colony Apartments Phase II	Pasco	Σ	William T. Fabbri	The Richman Group of Florida, Inc.	118	\$1,510,000.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	NO O	\$88,591.92	>	84
2014-016C	Labelle Senior Village	Hendry	S	Eric J Buffenbarger R	Rea Ventures Group, LLC	52	\$653,806.00	z	z	z	z	27	٨	>	NC	\$75,294.08	*	92
2014-017C	Abbington Commons	Bay	Σ	William J. Rea	William J. Rea, Jr.; Eric J. Buffenbarger	96	\$1,123,860.00	z	z	z	z	27	*	·	N O	\$105,361.88	>-	72
2014-020C	Creekside Townhomes Baker		S Z	Gary R. Hammond, C	Creekside Townhomes Development, LLC	39	\$545,516.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	N O	\$96,837.16	>	59
2014-022C	Brookside Village	Lee	Σ	S V V Brianne E. Heffner	Southport Development, Inc., a Washington corporation, doing business in Florida as Southport Development Services, Inc.	90	\$500,000.00	z	z	z	z	27	z	>	α	\$69,230.77	*	51
2014-026C	Royal Oaks Apartments Suwannee		S S	Gary R. Hammond, Jr.	Royal Oaks Development, LLC	99	\$633,827.00	z	z	z	z	27	*	>	N O	\$78,357.73	>	22
2014-028C	Lake Butler Garden Apartments	Union	S	Stacy L. McKenna	Still Deep Water Development, LLC	48	\$523,823.00	z	z	z	z	0	>	>	S	\$84,957.54	>	93
2014-031C	The Preserve at Osceola Apartments	Osceola	Σ	M Deion R. Lowery	DLTB Development, LLC	100	\$1,477,933.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	NC	\$88,505.45	>	64
2014-033C	Apartments	Seminole	Σ	M Deion R. Lowery	DLTB Development, LLC	100	\$1,477,933.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	NC	\$88,505.45	>	39
2014-036C	Sunswept Village	Putnam	S	James Dale. Lancaster	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	26	\$987,797.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	S S	\$105,631.86	>	14
2014-037C	Grandview Village	Columbia	S J	James Dale. Lancaster	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	49	\$1,070,000.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	S _C	\$100,119.59	>	9
2014-043C	Janie's Garden Phase 3	Sarasota	Σ	Joe J. Chambers	The Michaels Development Company I, LP; Sarasota Housing Authority	72	\$0.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	Ų.	\$0.00	z	48
2014-044C	Rocktide Crossing	Brevard	Σ	James Dale. M Lancaster	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	8	\$1,379,683.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	Ŋ	\$103,277.23	>	27
2014-045C	Shadowbrook Pointe	Pasco	Σ	James Dale. M Lancaster	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	74	\$1,287,042.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	Ñ	\$104,154.07	>	23
2014-049C	Orange Avenue Redevelopment Phase 1	Leon	Σ	M Joe J. Chambers	The Michaels Development Company I, LP; Tallahassee Housing Professionals, LLC	06	\$1,460,000.00	z	z	z	z	27	>	>	Ŋ.	\$97,146.15	z	73

			Т	— Т	
Lottery Number	74	71	24	16	88
Florida Job Creation Preference	>	>	>	>	>
Leveraging Classification					
Total Corp Funding Per Set-Aside	\$100,523.70	\$104,574.11	\$83,228.35	\$96,544.34	\$79,846.15
NC or R List for Leveraging?	NC	NC	NC	N	NC
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	*	*	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference	٧	Y	*	>	>
stnio9 letoT	27	27	27	27	27
Did TOD achieve 6 Transit Points?	Z	z	z	z	z
lisAnuĉ 101 eligill SlsoÐ GOT	z	z	z	z	z
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?	z	Z	z	z	z
Fligible For Funding?	z	z	z	z	z
JnuomA gnibnu1 JH	\$929,699.00	\$698,504.00	\$1,069,086.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$960,000.00
ztinU sbizA ts2 lstoT	72	40	100	85	72
Name of Developers	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	Arrington Developers of Florida, LLC	Sunshine Development Group, LLC	Frenchtown Square Developer, LLC; Big Bend Community Development Corporation; RUDG, LLC	Globe-Op Development, LLC
foetnoD to 9meV nos199	James Dale. Lancaster	James Dale. M Lancaster	M Megan S. Carr	Alberto Milo, Jr.	Jason H. Larson
Sziż ytnuo	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	v
Conuţλ	Volusia	Polk	Leon	Leon	Levy
o ameV Jevelopment	Windgate Lodge	Parker Commons	Callaway Estates	Frenchtown Square	Pine Ridge Manor Seniors Apartments
Application Number	2014-068C	2014-069C	2014-074C	2014-083C	2014-088C

1 of 2

			Lottery Number		41		т		25	F	2	4	Ŋ	9
			Florida Job Creation Preference		>		>		>		>	>	>	>
			noitesificselD gnigeseved		ω		4		٩		٩	∢	٩	4
			Fotal Corp Funding Per Set-		\$119,769.23		\$112,406.92		\$75,354.81		\$88,493.12	\$108,733.35	\$79,011.63	\$87,847.45
			Per Unit Construction Funding Preference		>		>		>		>	>	>	>
			Development Category Funding Preference		*		>		>		>	>	>	>
		Ì	stnio9 letoT		27		27		27	Į	27	27	27	27
			Did TOD achieve 6 Transit Painio9		z		z		>		z	z	z	z
			Eligible for SunRail TOD Goal?		z		z		>		z	z	z	z
			Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?		>		z		z		z	z	z	z
			Fanibnu For Funding?		*		>		>		>	>	>	>
			JnuornA gnibnu1 JH		\$1,175,000.00		\$133,328.00		\$1,510,000.00		\$1,042,127.00	\$1,271,000.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$1,510,000.00
		Ì	stinU sbisA ts2 lstoT		47		51		120	Ì	49	2	98	119
		ł	Demo. Commitment		ш		ш		п	ł	ш	ш	ш	ш
12,474,753	114,830		s adolava O fo ams N		Gorman & Company, Inc.	ther than Monroe	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.		Heritage Village Commons Wolf Developer, Inc.		Arbour Valley Development, LLC	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	ARD Madison Crossing, LLC	Forest Ridge SD GM Dev, LLC
			Name of Contact Person		S Hana K. Eskra	ation in a county o	Jay P Brock		M Jonathan L. Wolf		Samuel T. Johnston	David O. Deutch	Katie A. Breslow	M Oscar Sol
			Size County Size		v	Applica	S		Σ		Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
m Geographic			County	ea Goal	Monroe	nd small county A	Flagler	TOD Goal	Seminole		Alachua	Polk	Osceola	Citrus
Total HC Available for Small and Medium Geographic RFA Total HC Allocated	naining		InamqolavaG io ameN	Application selected to meet the Florida Keys Area Goal	Paradise Point Senior Housing	Application selected to meet goal to fund a second small county Application in a county other than Monroe	Palm Breeze Apartments	Application selected to meet the SunRail Station TOD Goal	Heritage Village Commons	Other Medium County Applications selected	Arbours at Tumblin Creek	Tupelo Vue	Madison Crossing	Forest Ridge
Total HC Available RFA Total HC Allocated	Total HC Remaining		andmuM noitesilqqA	Application sele	2014-080C	Application sele	2014-066C	Application selv	2014-098C	Other Medium	2014-046C	2014-093C	2014-0100	2014-038C

RFA 2013-001 Medium-Small County Geographic RFA

Recommendations

			न	4]
Lottery Number	00	σ	11	54
Florida Job Creation Preference	>	>	>	>
Leveraging Classification	4	4	4	٨
-fac 199 gnibnu q Per Set- Aside	\$105,163.04	\$77,112.18	\$112,406.95	\$95,648.96
Per Unit Construction Funding Preference	>	>	>	>
Development Category Funding Preference	>	>	>	>
stnio9 letoT	72	27	27	27
Did TOD achieve 6 Transit Points?	z	z	z	z
Eligible for SunRail TOD	z	z	z	z
Eligible for Florida Keys Goal?	z	z	z	z
Fanibnu For Funding?	>	>	>	>
JnuomA gnibnu4 JH	\$1,075,000.00	\$856,802.00	\$1,510,000.00	\$766,666.00
stinU əbisA təč letoT	92	100	93	48
Demo. Commitment	щ	п	ш	ш
Name of Developers	Pinnacle Housing Group, LLC	TVC Development, Inc.	Atlantic Housing Partners, L.L.L.P.	Arbour Valley Development, LLC
Name of Contact Person	David O Deutch	M Stephen A. Frick	M Jay P Brock	Samuel T. Johnston
Szič Yinuo	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ
Ajuno ⊃	Вау	Okaloosa	Hernando	Martin
JnamqolavaQ to ameN	Pinnacle at Hammock Crossings	Katie Manor	Vista Park Apartments	Arbours at Central Parkway
19dmuM noitesilgqA	20	2014-009C	2014-056C	2014-089C

2014-066C will receive a Binding Commitment in the amount of \$678,102.

On December 13, 2013, the Board of Directors of Florida Housing Finance Corporation approved the Review Committee's motion to select the above Applications for funding and invite the Applicants to enter credit underwriting.

Any unsuccessful Applicant may file a notice of protest and a formal written protest in accordance with Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat., Rule Chapter 28-110, F.A.C., and Rule 67-60.009, F.A.C. Failure to file a protest within the time prescribed in Section 120.57(3), Fla. Stat.