RUTLEDGE ECENIA

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

MICHAEL J. BARRY STEPHEN A. ECENIA DIANA M. FERGUSON MARTIN P. McDONNELL J. STEPHEN MENTON CRAIG D. MILLER B. DAVID PRESCOTT POST OFFICE BOX 551, 32302-0551 119 SOUTH MONROE STREET, SUITE 202 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1841

> TELEPHONE (850 681-6788 TELECOPIER (850) 681-6515 www.rutledge-ecenia.com

MARSHA E. RULE GARY R. RUTLEDGE MAGGIE M. SCHULTZ GABRIEL F.V. WARREN

GOVERNMENTAL CONSULTANT JONATHAN M. COSTELLO

OF COUNSEL
HAROLD F. X. PURNELL

April 28, 2015

Hugh Brown, Esquire Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 N Bronough Street Suite 5000 Tallahassee, FL 32301

Re:

Madison Crossings II Petition for Administrative Hearing in

Small/Medium County RFA

Dear Hugh:

I wanted to confirm our telephone conversation yesterday, April 27, regarding the abovereferenced matter. During our conversation, I confirmed that my client's interest in submitting the Petition was to preserve its ability to challenge the responsiveness of applicants who failed to respond to mandatory questions in the RFA. In the settlement conference, and during subsequent discussions, you indicated that Florida Housing and, potentially, other applicants questioned the standing of my client to advance these arguments in the Small/Medium County RFA. My client contends that the applicable statutory provisions provide standing for a developer with affiliated entities applying for funding under the RFA. However, my client has determined that there is no need to litigate these standing issues so long as my client will have the ability to raise its substantive arguments in the Large County RFA. You have advised me that Florida Housing will not assert a collateral estoppel or res judicata argument to preclude my client from raising the responsiveness argument in the Large County RFA. It is not clear at this time whether the substantive arguments will even need to be raised in the Large County RFA. Consequently, in the interest of efficiency and economy, it makes sense to defer the substantive issues until the scoring and rankings on the Large County RFA are released. In doing so, we can obviate the need to address the standing issue in the Small/Medium RFA. Based upon this understanding, my client has directed me to advise that it does not intend to proceed with the Petition filed challenging the Small/Medium County RFA scoring and ranking results. Please give me a call if you would like to discuss or if the summary above does not comport with your recollection of our discussions.

RUTLEDGE ECENIA

Hugh Brown, Esquire April 28, 2015 Page 2

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Stephen Menton

JSM/rd

RUTLEDGE ECENIA

PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

POST OFFICE BOX 551 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32302-0551

> Hugh Brown, Esquire Florida Housing Finance Corporation 227 N Bronough Street Suite 5000 Tallahassee, FL 32301