

DATA BOOK FOR GUARANTEE FUND DEMOGRAPHIC RING STUDY

PREPARED FOR

FLORIDA HOUSING FINANCE CORPORATION. 227 NORTH BRONOUGH STREET, SUITE 5000 TALLAHASSEE, FLORIDA 32301-1329

ATTENTION: MS. NANCY MULLER, HOUSING POLICY COORDINATOR

DATE OF STUDY

MARCH 31, 2004

PREPARED BY
REALVEST APPRAISAL SERVICES, INC.

ROBERT VON, PRESIDENT STATE-CERTIFIED GENERAL APPRAISER RZ0001604

Introduction

The data book includes the following:

- An explanation of the methodology
- A description of the software utilized
- An example of how to rank the Large Counties
- A copy of the individual Levels of Effort for each Guarantee Fund Project and Sample Demographics

Explanation of the Methodology

For each of the 110 Guarantee Fund projects, we calculated the supply of affordable housing and the potential demand for affordable housing within three, five, and ten-mile rings. The supply of affordable housing was based on the "in-service" list provided to us by the Corporation. We subtracted a few categories of supply that were not relevant for this study, including Section 8 projects, FDIC, Rural Housing, and Demonstration projects.

The potential demand was estimated from demographics extracted from iXPRESS, which is a product developed by Claritas, Inc. At the time this study was begun, estimates for 2002 and projections for 2007 were available.

We made a couple of key assumptions in order to produce consistent results. The supply of units consists predominately of one, two and three-bedroom units, so we assumed this same unit mix for the Guarantee Fund projects. From our previous Small Area Data (SAD) case study we determined that the typical cost-burden for households living within affordable housing units was 40%, so we utilized this level for our analysis. The lower band of potential demand was based on a 40% cost-burden based on the gross rent for the one-bedroom unit of the county in which the project is located. The upper band of potential demand was based on HUD's assumption of 1.5 persons per bedroom. Based on the largest unit type, the three-bedroom, we interpolated between the four and five- person household maximum income on the charts produced by HUD for each Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) and county.

For each of the three rings we calculated the percentage of income-qualified households for each project. We then utilized tenure characteristic data from an American Household Survey, which indicates owner/renter percentages for three income groups: 0% to 30% of Area Median Income (AMI), 31% to 50% of AMI, and 51% to 80% of AMI. The best fit for

Explanation of Methodology (Cont'd)

our income range was combining the 31% to 50% and 51% to 80% ranges. We utilized this countywide data to estimate tenure characteristics for each project.

Utilizing the calculated tenure characteristic, we determined the number of Income-Qualified Renter Households (IQRH) within each of the three rings for each property. The supply within each of the rings was calculated within the MapInfo program. We received map coordinates for each of the "in-service" projects and plotted them within MapInfo. A program was developed that creates three, five and ten-mile rings around each of the Guarantee Fund projects. Then the program sums the number of units within each of the rings. A table was created to summarize the totals for each project. This data was then exported and utilized in our Levels of Effort calculations. For each ring the supply of affordable housing units was divided by the IQRH to indicate LOE. In addition, we calculated Capture Rate for each ring by dividing the number of subject units by the IQRH. This information was then copied to the Result spreadsheet, which formed the basis for the charts and correlation calculations.

Description of the Software Utilized

The two primary programs utilized for this assignment were iXPRESS and MapInfo. iXPRESS is a product of Claritas, Inc. and is designed to run in conjunction with MapInfo. We purchased all of the available demographics for the state of Florida from iXPRESS. This program allows us to generate demographic data one project at a time or by use of "batch" files. The Corporation provided a list of all of the Guarantee Fund projects and their map coordinates. This file was utilized in iXPRESS to generate all of the demographics in one pass. However, the export was too large for Excel, so the file had to be divided into four parts. These files contain all of the demographics available for each site and all of the demographics that we utilized for this study.

The ability to map the "in-service" and Guarantee Fund lists made this project feasible to complete. In addition, the MapInfo search tool that created all 330 rings (three rings for each of the 110 Guarantee Fund projects) and summed the number of units within those rings was also critical to the study. Manually calculating the supply of units within the 330 rings would not have been possible.

Explanation of Methodology (Cont'd)

The reliability of the map coordinates determines the level of accuracy of the calculated supply for each of the rings. Some of the coordinates are very accurate and some are not.

Methodology for Ranking the Counties

The first criteria used to rank the counties is **Level of Effort (LOE)** which is measured by dividing the number of in-service units within your defined area by the number of incomequalified renter households within your defined area.

Income Qualified Households (IQH) is calculated by first determining the minimum and maximum incomes that are income-qualified within a given county and then calculating the percent of total households that fall within the qualifying range.

Minimum Income is the amount of income necessary to afford a one-bedroom unit within each county assuming a cost-burden of 40%. For example, in Broward County the gross rent for a one-bedroom unit, based on 60% of Area Median Income (AMI), is \$677 per month (rent plus utilities). The minimum income is calculated as follows:

677/.40 = 1,692.50 per month x 12 months = \$20,310 annual income

Therefore, the minimum qualifying income within Broward County is \$20,310.

Maximum Income is the amount of income a household may earn and still be income-qualified for affordable housing. Based on HUD's assumption of 1.5 persons per household and the typical unit mix of one, two and three-bedroom units, we have interpolated between the four and five person maximum allowable income for a 60%. In Broward County the maximum allowable income for a 4-person household is \$36,120 and the maximum allowable income for a 5-person household is \$39,000. Therefore, the maximum allowable income for Broward County for a 60% AMI unit is \$37,560.

The Claritas demographics estimate the distribution of households by income ranges for each county (see attached example). For example, in Broward County the number of income-qualified households is calculated by interpolating how many households have

Methodology for Ranking the Counties (Cont'd)

incomes between \$20,310 and \$37,560. The households earning \$20,310, or more, represent 46.9% of the range from \$15,000 to \$24,999. The households earning \$37,560, or less, represent 17.1% of the range from \$35,000 to \$49,999. Therefore, income-qualified households for 60% AMI units within Broward County is calculated as follows:

Income Range	% of Total Households	% of Band	% Indicated
\$15,000 to \$24,999	11.88%	46.9%	5.6%
(\$23,211 is 17.88% of this band)			
\$25,000 to \$34,999	11.99%	100.00%	11.99%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	16.18%	17.10%	<u>2.80%</u>
(\$41,880 is 45.87% of this band)			
Total % within band	20.4%		
Multiplied by Total Households			<u>681,636</u>
Equals Income Qualified Households			139,054
Multiplied by Renter Tenure Characte	ristics		<u>40%</u>
Equals Income-Qualified Renter Hous	eholds		55,622
In-Service Units			11,073
Level of Effort (In-Service Units/IQRI	H)		19.90%

After the LOE is calculated for each county, the lowest LOE receives a score of 1, the second lowest a score of 2, etc.

Remaining Potential Demand (RPD) is calculated by subtracting the in-service units from the income-qualified renter households. For example, in Broward County the remaining potential demand is calculated as follows:

Income-Qualified Renter Households	139,054
Less In-Service Units	(11,073)
Remaining Potential Demand	127,981

After the Remaining Potential Demand is calculated for each county, the highest RPD receives a score of 1, the second highest a score of 2, etc.

Growth in IQRH is the final criteria for ranking the counties. Based on demographic projections from Claritas we determine that median income within Broward County will increase by 13.6% over the next 5 years. We increase the previously calculated income qualifying range by 13.6% to indicate the new income qualifying range and then calculate the percentage of households that fall within that income band. The calculations are as follows:

Methodology for Ranking the Counties (Cont'd)

Previous Income-Qualifying Range	\$20,310 to \$37,560
2003 Median Income	\$46,403
2008 Median Income	\$52,713
Growth in Median Income	13.6%
Income-Qualifying Range 2008	\$23,072 tp \$42,668

Income Range	% of Total Households	% of Band	% Indicated					
\$15,000 to \$24,999	10.4%	19.2%	2.0%					
(\$23,072 is 19.2% of this band)								
\$25,000 to \$34,999	10.6%	100.00%	<u>10.6%</u>					
\$35,000 to \$49,999	15.0%	51.1%	<u>7.7%</u>					
(\$42,668 is 51.1% of this band)								
Total % within band								
Multiplied by Total Households			<u>730,063</u>					
Equals Income Qualified Households			148,203					
Multiplied by Renter Tenure Characte	ristics		<u>40%</u>					
Equals Income-Qualified Renter Hous	eholds 2008		59,281					
Minus Income-Qualified Renter House	55,622							
Equals Growth in Income-Qualified R	enter Households 2003 – 200	8	3,659					
Divided by 5 Equals Annual Growth in	n IQRH		732					

After the Growth in IQRH is calculated for each county, the highest Growth in IQRH receives a score of 1, the second highest a score of 2, etc.

The three indicators are summed with the lowest total score ranked first, the second highest score ranked second, etc. This weighs each indicator equally. If you want to give greater weight to one of the indicators, I would recommend the level of effort be weighted the greatest.

Level of Effort 2003 Large County Rankings

Income Band

1-bed Rent Min Inc. @ 40% C-B Broward Duval Hills. \$677 \$636 \$576 \$20,310 \$19,080 \$17,280

Maximum - Income Limit for Largest Unit Assuming 1.5 Persons/Bedroom

\$37,560 \$35,310 \$31,950

Maximum - Income Limit for Largest Unit Assuming 1.5 Persons/Bedroom \$57,500 \$										φ31,300 ·	300,010	ψ01,000	
Household Income		Browa	ard			Duv	al			Hill	s.		
Total HH in Market Are	ea 2003	681,636				321,634				419,473		i	
[% in	% of	Inc.		% in	% of	Inc.		% in		Inc.		
Household Income	Band	нн	Qual.	Cum.	Band	HH	Qual.	Cum.	Band		Qual.	Cum.	
Less than \$15,000	0.0%	13.84%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	
\$15,000 to \$24,999	46.9%	11.88%	5.6%	5.6%	59.2%	11.5%	6.8%	6.8%	77.2%		9.0%	9.0%	
\$25,000 to \$34,999	100.0%	11.99%	12.0%	17.6%	100.0%	12.7%	12.7%	19.5%	69.5%		8.7%	17.7%	
\$35,000 to \$49,999	17.1%	16.18%	2.8%	20.4%	2.1%	17.8%	0.4%	19.9%	0.0%		0.0%	17.7%	
\$50,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	18.59%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	20.2%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	19.4%	0.0%	17.7%	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	11.07%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	11.0%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	17.7%	
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0.0%	10.26%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	8.9%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	9.7%	0.0%	17.7%	
\$150,000 to \$249,999	0.0%	4.28%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	17.7%	
\$250,000 to \$499,999	0.0%	1.33%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	17.7%	
\$500,000 or more	0.0%	0.60%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	17.7%	
% of Households in Inc	ome Band	I		20.4%				19.9%				17.7%	
Multiplied by Total Hou	seholds			<u>681,636</u>			3	321 <u>,634</u>			4	<u>119,473</u>	
Income-Qualified Hous	eholds			139,054		64,005					74,247		
Prop. for Renter-Occ. F for HH @ 60% or less				<u>40%</u>		<u>52%</u>					<u>47%</u>		
Income-Qualified, Rent	er HH in 2	003		55,622				33,283				34,896	
Existing and Funded, C Affordable Apartment)		11,073				12,456				17,427	
Indicated Levels of Effort 19.9% (Total Supply/Income-Qualified Renter HH)				19.9%				37.4%				49.9%	
Remaining Potential Demand 44,549						20,827				17,469			
Annual Increase in IQR	н			732				617				757	

Level of Effort 2003 Large County Rankings

Income Band

1-bed Rent Min Inc. @ 40% C-B Dade Orange P.B. \$593 \$615 \$706 \$17,790 \$18,450 \$21,180

Maximum - Income Limit for Largest Unit Assuming 1.5 Persons/Bedroom

\$32,880 \$34,140 \$39,180

Household Income	Ţ	Dade	ə			Oran	_i ge	ļ	f '	P.E	3.	
Total HH in Market Are	ea 2003	804,566		ļ		365,194		ľ	1 '	502,038		
. Otal I ii I ii	% in		Inc.		% in			ı _!	% in		Inc.	
Household Income	Band		Qual.	Cum.	Band	НН	Qual.	Cum.	Band	НН	Qual.	Cum
ess than \$15,000	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%		11.9%	0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	
15,000 to \$24,999	72.1%		9.4%	9.4%		1		7.7%		I	4.2%	4.2%
25,000 to \$34,999	78.8%	12.28%	9.7%	19.1%				19.6%			11.7%	
35,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	ł .	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%			19.6%			4.4%	20.39
50,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	16.73%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%			19.6%			0.0%	
75,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	9.12%	0.0%	19.1%				19.6%			0.0%	20.39
100,000 to \$149,999		8.00%	0.0%	19.1%			0.0%	19.6%			0.0%	20.39
150,000 to \$249,999		3.62%	0.0%	19.1%			0.0%	19.6%			0.0%	20.39
250,000 to \$499,999			0.0%	19.1%			0.0%	19.6%			0.0%	20.39
500,000 or more	0.0%	0.76%	0.0%	19.1%	0.0%		0.0%	19.6%	0.0%		0.0%	20.39
6 of Households in Inc	ome Band	99.99% d		19.1%		100.0%		19.6%		100.0%		20.39
Multiplied by Total Hou	seholds		<u> </u>	804,566			3	<u>365,194</u>			<u> </u>	<u>502,03</u>
ncome-Qualified Hous	eholds		1	153,672				71,578			1	101,91
Prop. for Renter-Occ. F for HH @ 60% or less	•			<u>60%</u>				<u>54%</u>				<u>35%</u>
ncome-Qualified, Rent	er HH in 2	.003		92,203				38,652				35,67
existing and Funded, C Affordable Apartment	•	}		23,694				26,157				11,77
ndicated Levels of Effo (Total Supply/Income		Renter HH))	25.7%				67.7%				33.09
Remaining Potential De	∍mand			68,509				12,495				23,89
nnual Increase in IQR	ŧΗ			959				950				88

		-			-							
Level of Effort 20												
Large County Rankin	ıgs									Pinellas		
ŀ				1-bed Re						\$576		
Income Band				Min Inc.	in Inc. @ 40% C-B					\$17,280		
Maximum - Income I	Maximum - Income Limit for Largest Unit Assur									\$31,950		
Household Income		Pinell	as			0				0		i
Takal I II I in Mankat Ana		406 707				0				0		ļ
Total HH in Market Are		426,797 % of	Inc.		% in	% of	inc.		% in	% of	Inc.	
Household Income	% in Band	76 OI	Qual.	Cum.	Band	HH	Qual.	Cum.	Band	нн	Qual.	Cum.
Less than \$15,000	0.0%	14.23%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	77.2%	13.80%	10.7%	10.7%	65.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999 \$25,000 to \$34,999	69.5%	13.95%	9.7%	20.4%	91.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	0.0%	17.35%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	27.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$49,999 \$50,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	18.29%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	9.51%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
			0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0.0% 0.0%	7.91% 3.29%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$249,999	1					0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$250,000 to \$499,999	0.0%	1.15%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$500,000 or more	0.0%	0.52%	0.0%	20.4%	0.0%	0.076	0.076	0.0 /6	0.076	0.070	0.078	0.076
% of Households in Inc	ome Ranc	ı		20.4%								
% of Flouseriolds in the	one Danc	•		20.470								
Multiplied by Total Hou	seholds		4	126,797								
Maniphed by Total Floa	30110103		-	120,101								
Income-Qualified Hous	eholds			87,067								
moonie Quamica neac	0.10100			0.,00.								
Prop. for Renter-Occ. H	Housing											
for HH @ 60% or less				39%								
101 1 11 1 6 22 12 21 12 21												
Income-Qualified, Rent	er HH in 2	003		33,956								
				,								
Existing and Funded, C	Competitive	•										
Affordable Apartment				2,972								
,	7 moraable 7 sparamente											
Indicated Levels of Effo	ort			8.8%								
(Total Supply/Income-Qualified Renter HH)												
		,										
Remaining Potential De	emand			30,984								
· ·												
Annual Increase in IQR	Н			362								
												ł

Household Growth Through 2008 Large County Rankings Hillsborough County, FL

Household Income		Broward				Duval				Hills.		
Total HH in Market Area	2008	730,063	•			351,456				464,981		
	% in	% of	Inc.		% in		Inc.		% in		Inc.	
Household Income	Band	HH	Qual.	Cum.	Band	НН	Qual.	Cum.	Band	НН	Qual.	Cum
Less than \$15,000	0.0%	11.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	19.2%	10.4%	2.0%	2.0%	32.5%	9.7%	3.2%	3.2%	49.1%	9.6%	4.7%	4.7%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	100.0%	10.6%	10.6%	12.6%	100.0%	11.0%	11.0%	14.2%	100.0%	10.7%	10.7%	15.4%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	51.1%	15.0%	7.7%	20.3%	35.0%	16.2%	5.7%	19.9%	14.3%	15.8%	2.3%	17.7%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	18.6%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	20.7%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	19.6%	0.0%	17.7%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	12.3%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	12.2%	0.0%	17.7%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0.0%	12.9%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	12.1%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	12.7%	0.0%	17.7%
\$150,000 to \$249,999	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	5.7%	0.0%	17.7%
\$250,000 to \$499,999	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%	17.7%
\$500,000 or more	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	20.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	19.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	17.7%
Totals		100.0%	20.3%			100.0%	19.9%			100.0%	17.7%	

% of Households in Income Band	20.3%	19.9%	17.7%
Multiplied by Total Households	730,063	<u>351,456</u>	<u>464,981</u>
Income-Qualified Households	148,203	69,940	82,302
Propensity for Renter-Occupied Housing for HH @ 60% or less of AMI	<u>40%</u>	<u>52%</u>	<u>47%</u>
Income-Qualified, Renter Households in 2008	59,281	36,369	38,682
Less: Income-Qual. Renter HH in 2003	(55,622)	(33,283)	(34,896)
Inc. Demand from HH Growth over next 5 yrs. Annual Increase in IQRH	3,659 732	3,086 617	3,786 757

Income	Band - Increased fron	n Current Levels Based on Pr	oj. Median HH Income (Growth
			Projected	
ĺ		2003 Inc. Limit	Growth Rate	2008 Inc.Limit
!	Minimum	\$20,310	13.6%	\$23,072
Broward	Maximum	\$37,560	13.6%	\$42,668
	Minimum	\$19,080	14.0%	\$21,752
Duval	Maximum	\$35,310	14.0%	\$40,254
	Minimum	\$17,280	16.3%	\$20,093
Hills.	Maximum	\$31,950	16.3%	\$37,151

Household Growth Through 2008 Large County Rankings Palm Beach County, FL Dade Orange P.B. Household Income 550,877 Total HH in Market Area 2008 850,859 412,192 % in % of Inc. % in Inc. % in % of Inc. % of Cum. Band HH Qual Cum. Band HH Qual. Cum. Household Income Band HH Qual. 0.0% 0.0% Less than \$15,000 0.0% 17.4% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 10.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 10.2% 4.0% 6.8% 9.5% 0.6% 0.6% 5.9% 5.9% 40.4% 9.9% 4.0% 50.8% 11.7% \$15,000 to \$24,999 10.4% 10.4% 100.0% 11.0% 15.3% \$25,000 to \$34,999 100.0% 11.3% 11.3% 17.2% 100.0% 11.3% 11.3% 19.0% 25.3% 16.7% 4.2% 19.5% 66.6% 14.8% 9.8% 20.8% \$35,000 to \$49,999 12.2% 14.9% 1.8% 0.0% 18.6% 0.0% 20.8% 0.0% 19.5% 19.0% 0.0% 20.6% 0.0% \$50,000 to \$74,999 0.0% 17.1% \$75,000 to \$99,999 0.0% 10.1% 0.0% 19.0% 0.0% 12.1% 0.0% 19.5% 0.0% 11.9% 0.0% 20.8% 13.0% 0.0% 20.8% 19.0% 0.0% 12.0% 0.0% 19.5% 0.0% \$100,000 to \$149,999 10.2% 0.0% 0.0% 7.0% 0.0% 20.8% 4.8% 19.5% 0.0% \$150,000 to \$249,999 0.0% 4.7% 0.0% 19.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 1.7% 0.0% 19.0% 0.0% 1.5% 0.0% 19.5% 0.0% 2.8% 0.0% 20.8% \$250,000 to \$499,999 0.0% 0.8% 0.0% 19.5% 0.0% 2.0% 20.8% 19.0% 0.0% 0.0% \$500,000 or more 0.0% 1.0% 100.0% 20.8% Totals 100.0% 19.0% 100.0% 19.5% 20.8% 19.5% % of Households in Income Band 19.0% 550,877 412,192 Multiplied by Total Households 850,859 161,663 80,377 114,582 Income-Qualified Households Propensity for Renter-Occupied Housing <u>60%</u> 54% 35% for HH @ 60% or less of AMI Income-Qualified, Renter Households in 2008 96,998 43,404 40,104 (35,670) Less: Income-Qual. Renter HH in 2003 (92,203) (38,652) 4,434 4,752 Ind. Demand from HH Growth over next 5 yrs. 4,795 887 Annual Increase in IQRH 959 950

Income	e Band - Increased fr	om Current Levels Based on F	Proj. Median HH Income	e Growth								
	Projected 2000 In Limit											
l		2003 Inc. Limit	Growth Rate	2008 Inc.Limit								
	Minimum	\$17,790	12.0%	\$19,926								
Dade	Maximum	\$32,880	12.0%	\$36,828								
	Minimum	\$18,450	13.6%	\$20,962								
Orange	Maximum	\$34,140	13.6%	\$38,787								
	Minimum	\$21,180	14.8%	\$24,317								
P.B.	Maximum	\$39,180	14.8%	\$44,984								

Household Growth	1 Through	2008										
Large County Ranking												
Household Income		Pinellas				0				0		
						_				•		- 1
Total HH in Market Area	a 2008	447,348				0				0		l
ļ	% in	% of	Inc.		% in	% of	Inc.		% in	% of	Inc.	
Household Income	Band	нн	Qual.	Cum.	Band	нн	Qual.	Cum.	Band	НН	Qual.	Cum.
Less than \$15,000	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$15,000 to \$24,999	48.3%	11.7%	5.6%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$25,000 to \$34,999	100.0%	12.4%	12.4%	18.0%	91.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	91.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$35,000 to \$49,999	15.2%	16.6%	2.5%	20.5%	63.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	63.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$50,000 to \$74,999	0.0%	19.0%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	0.0%	10.8%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$100,000 to \$149,999	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$150,000 to \$249,999	0.0%	4.5%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$250,000 to \$499,999	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
\$500,000 or more	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	20.5%	0.0%	0.0%_	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Totals		100.0%	20.5%			0.0%	0.0%			0.0%	0.0%	
% of Households in Inco	ome Band			20.5%				0.0%				0.0%
Multiplied by Total Hous	eholds		:	<u>447,348</u>				<u>0</u>				<u>0</u>
Income-Qualified House	holds			91,706				0				0
Propensity for Renter-Office HH @ 60% or less		ısing		39%				0%				<u>0%</u>
Income-Qualified, Rente	r Household	ds in 2008		35,765				0				0
Less: Income-Qual. Rer	nter HH in 2	003	1	33,956)				<u>0</u>				<u>0</u>
Ind. Demand from HH G		next 5 yrs. ease in IQRH	ł	1,809 362				0 0				0 0
F	Income Ba	nd - Increase	ed from Ci	urrent Lev	els Basec	on Proj. Me	edian HH li	ncome Gi	rowth			Į.
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						Pro	jected h Rate		2009 Inc	. I imit	
		Minimum Maximum			2003 11	nc. Limit \$17,790 \$32,880	Growt	n Rate 13.4% 13.4%	2008 Inc.Limit \$20,174 \$37,286			
<u>u</u>	monus	MAXIMUMIII				,					,	

				LARG	E COUNT	LARGE COUNTY RANKINGS								
COUNTY	1 DADE	R	2 BROWARD	PIN	3 PINELLAS	PALM BEACH	F	۵	5 DUVAL	포	6 HILLSBOROUGH	OR4	7 ORANGE	
Households	804,566		681,636	•	426,797	502,038	038	32	321,634		419,473	36	365,194	
IQRH '03 In-Service Units Remaining Potential Demand	92,203 -23,694 68,509	←	55,622 -11,073 44,549	2	33,956 -2,972 30,984	35,670 -11,776 3 23,894	35,670 11,776 23,894	ω ∰ α	33,283 -11,776 21,507	5	34,896 -17,427 17,469	6 ع الی ع	38,652 -26,157 12,495	_
Level of Effort	25.7%	က	19.9%	2	8.8%	1 33.	33.0%	4	35.4%	2		9		7
Growth IQRH '03-'08	4,795	-	3,659	2	1,809	7 4,	4,434	က	3,086	9	3,786	4	4,752	2
Total Score		2		တ		11	_	7		16	•	16	~	16
RPD + Growth	73,304		48,208		32,793	28;	28,328	7	24,593		21,255	~	17,247	
% of Total (RPD + Growth)	29.8%		19.6%		13.3%	1	11.5%	·	10.0%		8.65%		7.0%	
LOE 50% Weight		∞	_	7.		12	_	15		21	2	22	7	23
Ranking		-		8		ю		4		ro		9		7
RPD/LOE	266,596		223,779	.,	354,002	72,	72,376	0	982'09		34,980	~	18,464	



GUARANTEE FUND PROJECTS WITH DEMOGRAPHIC RINGS

