# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

FILED	BY_	- BY		4-4- <b>D</b> 000-1074	[],(	٠ ,
71.03	AUG	25	PM	3:	52	

CLÉRK U.S. MIST. CT. S.D. OF FLA - MIA
vil Action No. 08 - 14309 CIV-GRAHAM MAGISTRATE JUDGE LYNCH

### **COMPLAINT**

Plaintiff, United States of America, alleges:

- 1. This action is brought on behalf of the United States to enforce the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII").
- 2. This court has jurisdiction over the action under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f) and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 3. Defendant, City of Fort Pierce (the "City"), is a corporate, governmental body, and a political subdivision of the State of Florida, established pursuant to the laws of the State of Florida.
- 4. The City is a person within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(a), and an employer within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).
- 5. Shirley Kirby ("Kirby"), an African-American woman, lives within this judicial district in Fort Pierce, Florida. She has been employed by the City since November 1981.
  - 6. Kirby filed a timely charge of discrimination and retaliation (Charge Number 510-

2006-03182) against the City with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on or about August 14, 2006.

- 7. Pursuant to Section 706 of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, the EEOC investigated the charge of discrimination and retaliation filed by Kirby, issued a Determination finding that there is reasonable cause to believe the City violated Title VII with respect to Kirby, and unsuccessfully attempted to conciliate the charge. The EEOC subsequently referred the matter to the United States Department of Justice.
  - 8. All conditions precedent to the filing of suit have been performed or have occurred.

#### **RETALIATION COUNT**

- 9. Because Kirby complained in May and June 2006 of racially discriminatory treatment against her and other African-American Code Enforcement Officers ("Officers") by her supervisors, the City retaliated against her, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), by, among other ways, moving her to "inside duty," assigning her to work in an isolated cubicle and subsequently transferring her to a less desirable Data Entry Clerk position that constituted an effective demotion.
- 10. In 2006, Kirby was a twenty-five year veteran Code Enforcement Officer employed by the City.
- 11. On or about May 24, 2006, and on or about June 2, 2006, Kirby and fellow African-American Officers Ricky Duncan ("Duncan"), Fritz Burlinson ("Burlinson") and Geneva Hasranah ("Hasranah") complained to City management about what they reasonably believed to be racially disparate treatment in the handling and content of their written annual performance evaluations compared to white Officers.

- 12. On those same dates, Kirby, Duncan, Burlinson and Hasranah also complained to City management about what they reasonably believed to be racially disparate treatment by the City in paying newly hired, African-American Officers (not including Kirby) lower wages than similarly situated, newly hired, white Officers, and in maintaining the newly hired, African-American Officers in a probationary status while their newly hired, white counterparts were made permanent employees.
- 13. On those same dates, Kirby complained to City management about what she reasonably believed to be racially discriminatory treatment of her by the City's Director of the Code Enforcement Department, John Alcorn ("Alcorn"), based on an incident in the workplace when Alcorn referred to Kirby as a "monkey."
- 14. On or about July 17, 2006, Kirby complained to City management that she was being harassed by her white coworkers based on a newspaper report regarding Kirby's allegations of race discrimination that had been published on or about July 10, 2006.
- 15. On or about July 18, 2006, the City took Kirby off the "outside duty" of inspecting structures for code violations and reassigned her to "inside duty" handling data entry for the other Officers. The City ordered Kirby to work in a specially constructed, isolated cubicle that was unlike that of any of her coworkers, and that limited her ability to communicate with other employees. Kirby's white coworkers openly joked about her banishment to a "cell."
- 16. On July 27, 2006, despite Kirby having informed Alcorn that she never spoke to the press, Alcorn gave Kirby a document that purported to reprimand her and suspend her without pay for two days for "making or causing to be made the publishing of false, vicious or malicious statements concerning any employee, supervisors, the City or its operations." City management

later rescinded this discipline before it took effect.

- 17. Also on July 27, 2006, Alcorn requested in a memorandum directed to the Deputy City Manager, David Recor ("Recor"), that the City transfer Kirby out of the Code Enforcement Department.
- 18. Recor proposed to Kirby that she transfer to an available position in the Human Resources Department as an Executive Assistant/Secretary to the Human Resources Director, Mazella Smith ("Smith"). Kirby told Recor that she did not want to transfer to that position because she did not meet the typing requirements of the position and was concerned that she would be unable to perform the duties of that position. Smith also objected to Recor transferring Kirby to the position because Smith believed Kirby could not perform the job.
- 19. On August 7, 2006, Recor nevertheless transferred Kirby from her position as a Code Enforcement Officer to the Executive Assistant/Secretary position in the Human Resources Department. After Kirby proved unable to perform the duties as Smith's Executive Assistant/Secretary, Kirby was reassigned to a Data Entry Clerk position in the Human Resources Department.
- 20. Kirby's reassignment to the Data Entry Clerk position was an adverse action and constituted an effective demotion. Although Kirby's pre-existing salary was not altered, the Data Entry Clerk position to which she was transferred normally had a lower salary than her Officer position, had little opportunity for advancement compared to the Officer position, and did not have the flexibility, public interaction, independence or the prestige of the Officer position.

#### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court grant the following relief:

- enjoin the City from further retaliation against Kirby and other African-American (a) employees in violation of Title VII;
- order the City to offer Kirby reinstatement in her former position of Code (b) Enforcement Officer, on "outside duty," together with back pay, interest and retroactive seniority as if she had not been transferred from the position;
- award compensatory damages to Kirby to fully compensate her for the pain and (c) suffering caused by the City's retaliatory conduct as alleged in this Complaint, pursuant to and within the statutory limitations of Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a;
- (d) order the City to remove from Kirby's personnel files and any other City files any negative references pertaining to Kirby's racial discrimination and retaliation complaints, the attempted retaliatory suspension of Kirby, and her retaliatory transfers;
- order the City to take remedial steps to ensure a non-discriminatory workplace for (e) Code Enforcement Officers, including providing adequate training to all employees and officials responsible for making determinations regarding complaints of discrimination and retaliation; and
- award such additional relief as justice may require, together with the United (f) States' costs and disbursements in this action.

## **JURY DEMAND**

The United States hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.

Respectfully submitted,

GRACE CHUNG BECKER Acting Assistant Attorney General Civil Rights Division

BY:

M. GADZICHOWSKI (WI Bar No. 1014294)

Acting Chief

(MM B. DANIS (DC Bar No. 453493)

Deputy Chief

JEFFREY G. MORRISON (MO Bar No. 44401)

Senior Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

rdi Danio

Civil Rights Division

**Employment Litigation Section** 

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Patrick Henry Building, Room 4613

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 353-1845

Facsimile: (202) 353-8961

Email: jeffrey.morrison@usdoj.gov

R. ALEXANDER ACOSTA

BY:

ONICA HARRELL-JAMES (Florida Bar No.: 644791)

Assistant United States Attorney

99 N. E. 4th Street, 3rd Floor

Miami, Florida 33132

Telephone: (305) 961-9327 Facsimile: (305) 530-7139

Attorneys for the United States of America

SJS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		DEFENDANTS	10-	1200			
	CITY OF FORT	CITY OF FORT PIERCE 8 - 14309 CIV-GRAHAM					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF C.	A CTO	County of Residence	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant ST. LUCIE				
(c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Numb		NOTE: IN LA TRACT OF LA	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ND CONDEMNATION CASES, ND INVOLVED.	USE THE LOCATION OF THE			
Please see attached.		Attomeys (If Ki Robert V. Schwere P.O. Box 1480 Fort Pierce, Fl 349	r, City Attorney's Office	AGISTRATE HUDGE RELYNCH RESERVED RESERV			
				COOKE CHOOSE HIGHLANDS			
*D 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff		(For Diversity Cases Only) Citizen of This State	PTF DEF  1 0 1 Incorporated or 1 of Business In TI  2 0 2 Incorporated ana	his State			
P-08-14309-CV-GRAHAM.	LYNCH	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	□ 3 Foreign Nation	<b>□</b> 6 <b>□</b> 6			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Or CONTRACT TO	nly) DRTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES			
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property □ 246 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other □ 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 550 Civil Rights	☐ 690 Other  LABOR ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent ☐ 840 Trademark  SOCIAL SECURITY ☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) FEDERAL TAX SUITS ☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV  810 Selective Service □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 875 Customer Challenge □ 12 USC 3410 □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 894 Energy Allocation Act □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes			
V. ORIGIN  (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  (Place an "S" in One Box Only)	Remanded from Appellate Court	Reinstated or anoth Reopened (spec	Dieigacioi	1 Judgment			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Title VII, 42 U.S.  LENGTH OF TRIAL via	C. 2000e, et seq. emp.  _ days estimated (for both sides to tr	<u> </u>					
VII. REQUESTED IN ☐ CHECK IF THIS COMPLAINT: UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION . 23	DEMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	r if demanded in complaint: :			
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):	INDOR	1/2	DOCKET NUMBER				
S-25-08 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF ATTOR	NEYOF LECORD					