IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

)
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Plaintiff,)) Civil Action No.
V.) Jury Trial Demanded
ROBERTSON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, MISSOURI)
Defendant.)
	ý

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, United States of America, alleges:

- 1. This action is brought by the United States to enforce the provisions of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, et seq. ("Title VII").
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the action under 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(f) and 28 U.S.C. § 1345.
- 3. Defendant, Robertson Fire Protection District ("Robertson"), is a governmental agency and a political subdivision of the State of Missouri, established pursuant to the laws of the State of Missouri.
- 4. Robertson is situated in St. Louis County, Missouri, within the jurisdiction of this Court.
- 5. Robertson is a "person" within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(a), and an employer within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).
 - 6. Steve Wilson is a firefighter employed by Robertson.

Case: 4:12-cv-01232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 07/11/12 Page: 2 of 10 PageID #: 2

7. Wilson filed a timely charge of discrimination and retaliation (Charge No. 28E-2008-00929) against Robertson with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") on or around February 28, 2008. Wilson filed an amended charge on August 22, 2008.

- 8. Pursuant to Section 706 of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5, the EEOC investigated the charge of discrimination and retaliation filed by Wilson, issued a Letter of Determination finding that there is reasonable cause to believe Robertson violated Title VII by retaliating against Wilson, and unsuccessfully attempted to conciliate the charge. The EEOC subsequently referred the matter to the United States Department of Justice.
 - 9. All conditions precedent to the filing of suit have been performed or have occurred.

RETALIATION COUNT

- 10. Wilson, who is white, was retaliated against by Robertson for refusing to participate in discrimination against two African American firefighters and for providing truthful testimony regarding those acts of discrimination in response to a United States' subpoena.
- 11. Wilson started working as a firefighter for Robertson in 1980 shortly after his 21st birthday. Over ten years, he rose through the ranks at Robertson until he reached the rank of Battalion Chief. As one of the three Robertson Battalion Chiefs, Wilson was responsible for supervising the operation of an entire shift of Robertson firefighters.
- 12. On September 6, 2006, Robertson demoted Wilson from his Battalion Chief rank to a Private's rank with a corresponding reduction in pay and benefits.
 - 13. On September 11, 2006, Robertson suspended Wilson.
 - 14. On September 21, 2006, Robertson terminated Wilson.

- 15. Through his local union representation, Wilson grieved his demotion, suspension and termination. To resolve that grievance, on October 3, 2006, Robertson and Wilson entered into a Last Chance Agreement ("LCA").
- 16. Under the LCA, Wilson returned to work at Robertson, but accepted his demotion and suspension.
- 17. The LCA also provided that Wilson was reemployed only in a probationary status that prevented him from being promoted into any position above Backup Engineer or to use his seniority to bid for an Engineer position. The Engineer position is the firefighter (still a Private's rank) assigned to drive the fire truck and is normally decided by seniority at Robertson. The LCA provided that this probationary status would continue until October 2007 (one year), at which time it would be reviewed to determine whether the restrictions on advancement would be removed.
- 18. From that date forward, Robertson has only employed Wilson as a Private in a Backup Engineer position without his being promoted above Private or allowed to use his seniority to bid for a position as an Engineer.
- 19. On July 17, 2007, the United States filed a lawsuit in this Court against Robertson for discriminating against two former firefighters, Ephraim Woods, Jr. and Lamont Downer, on the basis of their race (black) and retaliating against them for having filed discrimination charges with the EEOC ("Woods and Downer suit").
- 20. In late summer 2007, Robertson Fire Marshall Charles Braband told Robertson that he would soon want to retire. Robertson proposed a "Fire Marshall Mentoring Program" to allow Braband to mentor and train his successor.

- 21. At that time in 2007, on information and belief, Wilson was the only Robertson internal candidate with the required state certifications to qualify for the Fire Marshal position.
- 22. On August 30, 2007, Wilson sent Robertson a letter asking to be considered for the mentoring program. On September 3, 2007, Robertson responded by letter that Wilson could not be considered under the terms of the LCA.
- 23. On October 8, 2007, Robertson extended Wilson's LCA until June 2008. Robertson stated in its notice to Wilson of the extension that it had not had sufficient time to evaluate his performance to allow him off the probationary status.
- 24. On January 30, 2008 and on February 14, 2008, during the course of discovery in the Woods and Downer suit, the United States served on Robertson notice for Wilson's deposition.
- 25. On February 28, 2008, Wilson filed an EEOC charge complaining about race discrimination and retaliation based on his allegation that in 2004-2005 the Robertson Fire Chief David Tilley had directed him to engage in discrimination and retaliation against Woods and Downer, and when he refused to cooperate, Robertson retaliated against him by demoting, suspending, and terminating him. Wilson also alleged that when Robertson rehired him, it further retaliated against him by only rehiring him into a probationary status position as a Backup Engineer that prevented his advancement, promotion or seniority rights, and then continued in its pattern of retaliation by extending that probationary status in October 2007.
- 26. On March 10, 2008, the United States deposed Wilson in the Woods and Downer suit.
- 27. Under oath at his March 10, 2008 deposition, Wilson testified that in 2004 or 2005 Tilley called Wilson into his office and told him to go "through the two nigger computers and dig up dirt." At that time, Wilson was Robertson's designated computer administrator and

worked with Robertson's outside computer consultant to maintain Robertson's computer system. According to his deposition testimony, Wilson told Tilley "I'm not doing it, I don't get paid for this, I'm not doing it, I'm not doing it, you have to go somewhere else if you want that done." Wilson testified that when Tilley asked him "why not?" Wilson responded, "It just don't sound right, it ain't right."

- 28. Under oath at his March 10, 2008 deposition, Wilson also testified that in retaliation for his opposition and refusal to cooperate with Tilley's directive to discriminate and retaliate against Woods and Downer, Robertson demoted, suspended, terminated and then subjected him to a probationary status. Wilson testified that Tilley admitted to him that Robertson's actions against him were caused by his refusal to cooperate with his directives regarding Woods and Downer.
- 29. On May 23, 2008, this Court entered a consent decree in the Woods and Dower suit. Agreed to by both the United States and Robertson, that consent decree resolved the Woods and Downer suit by requiring Robertson to pay Woods and Downer a monetary award, by requiring Robertson to conduct general remedial relief requested by the United States.
- 30. On information and belief, the parties would not have reached that settlement in the absence of Wilson's deposition testimony.
- 31. On June 30, 2008, Robertson again extended Wilson's LCA. This time, Robertson's extension of the LCA did not provide any reason for the extension. This extension also did not propose any duration for the extension or provide for a future date for a review of Wilson's probationary status.
- 32. On August 22, 2008, Wilson filed an amended charge with the EEOC alleging additional acts of retaliation. In the amended charge, Wilson alleged that: (1) on May 20, 2008,

when responding to a call, he found his boots were filed with dirt and pebbles; (2) in May 2008, when Wilson signed up for a test to qualify for an Assistant Chief/Training Officer position, he was never contacted about taking the qualifying test; (3) in June 2008, someone left a copy of Wilson's deposition in an open area of the firehouse until Wilson complained to Tilley that it could create a hostile work environment; and (4) on June 30, 2008, Robertson again extended the LCA, this time without stating any basis for the extension or establishing a new review date.

Wilson alleged that because Robertson extended his probationary employment status under the LCA and deprived him of opportunities to serve as the Engineer (*i.e.*, driver) of the fire truck, he was again deprived of the opportunity for advancement in his career.

- 33. On March 13, 2009, Robertson removed Wilson from the LCA.
- 34. In December 2009, Robertson denied Wilson's request that Robertson allow him to exercise his seniority rights to "bump" one of the lower-seniority Engineers so that he could become an Engineer.
- 35. On information and belief, the Engineer position at Robertson has previously been open for bidding based on seniority.
- 36. On information and belief, the Engineer position at Robertson and the opportunity to drive the fire truck is more prestigious and therefore more likely to lead to future promotions through the ranks at Robertson than the Backup Engineer position occupied by Wilson.
- 37. Because Wilson opposed and refused to participate in Tilley's directive to collude in race discrimination against Woods and Downer, Robertson retaliated against him, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), by subjecting him to a probationary status, and repeatedly continuing that probationary status, that prevented him from being promoted or using his seniority rights to obtain an Engineer position.

Case: 4:12-cv-01232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 07/11/12 Page: 7 of 10 PageID #: 7

38. Robertson's subjection of Wilson to a probationary status under the LCA, and its decision to continue that status in October 2007 and again in June 2008, would not have occurred in the absence of his opposition and refusal to participate in Tilley's directive to collude in race discrimination against Woods and Downer.

- 39. Because Wilson participated in the Woods and Downer suit by providing deposition testimony supporting the United States' claims against Robertson, Robertson retaliated against him, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), by subjecting him to a probationary status, and repeatedly continuing that probationary status, that prevented him from being promoted or using his seniority rights to obtain an Engineer position.
- 40. Robertson's subjection of Wilson to a probationary status under the LCA, and its decision to continue that status in October 2007 and again in June 2008, would not have occurred in the absence of his participation in the Woods and Downer suit through his deposition testimony supporting the United States' claims against Robertson.
- 41. On information and belief, despite officially removing Wilson from the LCA on March 13, 2009, Robertson still maintains Wilson on a *defacto* probationary status that prevents or limits his ability to fairly seek promotions or advancement at Robertson, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), in retaliation for his opposition and refusal to participate in Tilley's directs to discriminate or retaliate against Woods and Downer.
- 42. On information and belief, despite officially removing Wilson from the LCA on March 13, 2009, Robertson still maintains Wilson on a *defacto* probationary status that prevents or limits his ability to fairly seek promotions or advancement at Robertson, in violation of Section 704(a) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-3(a), in retaliation for his participation in the

Woods and Downer suit through his deposition testimony supporting the United States' claims against Robertson.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the United States prays that the Court grant the following relief:

- (a) order Robertson to offer Wilson promotion to any position to which he should have been eligible to apply, but was denied due to Robertson's retaliation against him, and award him any difference in back pay and benefits as if he had received that position,
- (b) enjoin Robertson, its officers, agents, employees, successors and all persons in active concert or participation with it, from further retaliation against Wilson in violation of Title VII;
- (c) award compensatory damages to Wilson to fully compensate his pain and suffering caused by Robertson's retaliatory conduct as alleged in this Complaint, pursuant to and within the statutory limitations of Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a;
- (d) order Robertson to remove from Wilson's personnel files and any other employer files any negative references pertaining to Wilson's retaliation complaints, and his retaliatory probationary status;
- (e) order Robertson to take remedial steps to ensure a non-discriminatory workplace for its employees, including providing adequate training to all employees and officials responsible for making determinations regarding complaints of discrimination and retaliation; and

Case: 4:12-cv-01232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 07/11/12 Page: 9 of 10 PageID #: 9

(f) award such additional relief as justice may require, together with the UnitedStates' costs and disbursements in this action.

JURY DEMAND

The United States hereby demands a trial by jury of all issues so triable pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Section 102 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991, 42 U.S.C. § 1981a

Respectfully submitted,

THOMAS E. PEREZ

Assistant Attorney General

Civil Rights Division

BY:

DELORA L. KENNEBREW (GA Bar No. 414320)

Chief

Employment Litigation Section

Civil Rights Division

AUDREY WIGGINS (DC Bar No. 461662)

Deputy Chief

Employment Litigation Section

Civil Rights Division

DEFFREY/G. MORRISON # 44401

Senior Trial Attorney

U.S. Department of Justice

Civil Rights Division

Employment Litigation Section

950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW

Patrick Henry Building, Room 4613

Washington, DC 20530

Telephone: (202) 353-1845 Facsimile: (202) 353-8961

Email: jeffrey.morrison@usdoj.gov

Case: 4:12-cv-01232 Doc. #: 1 Filed: 07/11/12 Page: 10 of 10 PageID #: 10

RICHARD G. CALLAHAN

U.S. Attorney

Eastern District of Missourie

BY:

NICHOLAS Q. LLEWELLYN#52836

Assistant United States Attorney

Chief, Civil Division 111 South 10th Street, Room 20.333

St. Louis, MO 63102

Telephone: (314) 539-2200

Facsimile: (314) 539-2777

Email: Nicholas.llewellyn@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI				
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA , Plaintiff, v. ROBERTSON FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT, MISSOURI , Defendant)))) Case No.)))			
		L FILING FORM			
THIS FORM MUST BE COMPLE WHEN INITIATING A NEW CAS		FIED BY THE FILING	PARTY		
THIS SAME CAUSE, OR A PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THIS CO AND ASSIGNED TO THE HONOR THIS CAUSE IS RELATED PREVIOUSLY FILED COMPLAIN THAT CASE WAS ASSIGNED TO THEREFORE, BE OPENED AS AN	OURT AS CASE NO RABLE JUDGE D, BUT IS NOT SO OTHERELATE THE HONORAB	UMBERUBSTANTIALLY EQUIV D CASE NUMBER IS 4: LE Catherine D. Perry	VALENT TO ANY 07CV1292CDP AND		
NEITHER THIS SAME CA COMPLAINT, HAS BEEN PREVIO MAY BE OPENED AS AN ORIGIN	OUSLY FILED IN	THIS COURT, AND TH			
The undersigned affirms that the i	information provi	ded above is true and co	rrect.		
Date: 07/11/2012	-	A Chilling	d Party		

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS The United States of America (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)			DEFENDANTS Robertson Fire Protection District, Missouri						
				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant St. Louis (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.					
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A. Jeffrey G. Morrison, US D. 950 Pennsylvania Avenue Washington, DC 20530 (e, NW PHB, Rm 4613 202-353-1845)			Attorneys (If Known) Charles Billings, E 1735 S. Big Bend St. Louis. MO 631	Bruntranger Blvd 17 (314-64	6-0065)			
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	[CTION (Place an "X" in	One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF I	PRINCIPA	L PARTIES	Place an "X" in 0) and One Box fo		
∇ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government N	ot a Party)		T I	PTF DEF	Incorporated or Pri	incipal Place	PTF 0 4	DEF 1
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship	o of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	en of Another State	2 0 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		o 5	□ 5
				en or Subject of a Creign Country	3 0 3	Foreign Nation		 6	1 6
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Or		FC	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER	STATUT	ES
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY ☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability ☐ 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR 365 Personal Injury Product Liability Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damag Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 375 Other Personal Property Damag Toduct Liability PRISONER PETITIO 510 Motions to Vaca Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty	al	25 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 20 Other LABOR To Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 21 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application 31 Habeas Corpus Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition)	422 Appe	al 28 USC 158 drawal SC 157 RTY RIGHTS rights tt emark SECURITY (1395f) 6 Lung (923) C/DIWW (405(g)) 1 Title XVI	375 False Cl 400 State Re 410 Antitrus 430 Banks a 450 Comme 460 Deporta 470 Rackete Corrupt 480 Consum 490 Cable/S 850 Securiti Exchan 890 Other 891 Agricul 893 Environ 895 Freedor Act 896 Arbitrus 899 Admini Act/Rev	laims Act laims Act lapportions it and Bankin rece tion ter Influenc Organizat ter Credit art er Credit art er Credit art toton ter Influenc Organizat ter Credit art ter Credit art ter Credit art ter Credit art ter Influenc ter Influence t	ment g ced and ions dities/ ctions atters nation ocedure
Ø1 Original □ 2 Re	ate Court	Appellate Court	□ 4 Rei	nstated or D 5 anot pened <u>(spec</u>		□ 6 Multidist Litigation			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Brief description of ca employment disc	iuse: rimination/retaliati	on	(Do not cite jurisdictional		CHECK YES only	, if demonded in	comple	nt'
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTIO 23	N I	DEMAND \$		URY DEMAND		omplai No	
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE Catherine	e-D. Per	ry	DOCKI	ET NUMBER 4	:07CV1292 (DDP	
DATE 07/11/2012		SIGNATURE OF A	ATOMET A	OF RECORD					
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				MO 43839		MAG III	IDGE		