

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY**8 CFR Parts 216 and 245**

[CIS No. 2484-09; Docket No. USCIS-2009-0029]

RIN 1615-AA90

Treatment of Aliens Whose Employment Creation Immigrant (EB-5) Petitions Were Approved After January 1, 1995 and Before August 31, 1998; Correction**AGENCY:** U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, DHS.**ACTION:** Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: The Department of Homeland Security corrects an inadvertent error contained in the proposed rule titled Treatment of Aliens Whose Employment Creation Immigrant (EB-5) Petitions Were Approved After January 1, 1995 and Before August 31, 1998 published in the **Federal Register** on September 28, 2011. The docket number referenced in the proposed rule should read "DHS Docket No. USCIS-2009-0029".

DATES: You must submit written comments on or before November 28, 2011.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Alexandra Haskell, Adjudications Officer, Business, Employment and Trade Services, Service Center Operations, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW., Mailstop 2060, Washington, DC 20259-2060, telephone (202) 272-8410.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**Need for Correction**

On September 28, 2011, the Department of Homeland Security published a proposed rule in the **Federal Register** at 76 FR 59927 proposing to amend its regulations governing the employment creation (EB-5) immigrant classification. There was an inadvertent error in the document. The docket number referenced should be changed to read "DHS Docket No. USCIS-2009-0029" instead of "DHS Docket No. DHS-2009-0029".

Dated: September 28, 2011.

Sunday Aigbe,

Chief Regulatory Products Division, Office of the Executive Secretariat, U.S. Citizenship and Immigration Services, Department of Homeland Security.

[FR Doc. 2011-25463 Filed 10-3-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9111-97-P**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY****10 CFR Part 431**

RIN 1904-AC62

Efficiency and Renewables Advisory Committee, Appliance Standards Subcommittee Negotiated Rulemaking Subcommittee/Working Group for Liquid-Immersed and Medium- and Low-Voltage Dry-Type Distribution Transformers**AGENCY:** Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.**ACTION:** Notice of open meeting.

SUMMARY: This document announces an open meeting of two Negotiated Rulemaking Working Groups; one concerning Liquid Immersed and Medium-Voltage Dry-Type Distribution Transformers and the second addressing Low-Voltage Dry-Type Distribution Transformers. The Liquid Immersed and Medium-Voltage Dry-Type Group (MV Group) and the Low-Voltage Dry-Type Group (LV Group) are working groups within the Appliance Standards Subcommittee of the Efficiency and Renewables Advisory Committee (ERAC). The purpose of the MV and LV Groups is to discuss and, if possible, reach consensus on a proposed rule for regulating the energy efficiency of distribution transformers, as authorized by the Energy Policy Conservation Act (EPCA) of 1975, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6313(a)(6)(C) and 6317(a).

DATES:

Wednesday, October 12, 2011; 9 a.m.–5 p.m.

Thursday, October 13, 2011; 9 a.m.–5 p.m.

Friday, October 14, 2011; 9 a.m.–5 p.m.

ADDRESSES: National Rural Electric Cooperative Association, 4301 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22203.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Cymbalsky, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Building Technologies (EE-2J), 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121. Phone (202) 287-1692 or *e-mail:* John.Cymbalsky@ee.doe.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background: The Department of Energy (DOE) has decided to use the negotiated rulemaking process to develop proposed energy efficiency standards for distribution transformers. The primary reasons for using the negotiated rulemaking process for developing a proposed Federal standard is that stakeholders strongly support a consensual rulemaking effort and DOE believes such a regulatory negotiation

process will be less adversarial and better suited to resolving the complex technical issues raised by this rulemaking. An important virtue of negotiated rulemaking is that it allows expert dialog that is much better than traditional techniques at getting the facts and issues right and will result in a proposed rule that will effectively reflect Congressional intent.

A regulatory negotiation will enable DOE to engage in direct and sustained dialog with informed, interested, and affected parties when drafting the proposed regulation that is then presented to the public for comment. Gaining this early understanding of all parties' perspectives allows DOE to address key issues at an earlier stage of the process, thereby allowing more time for an iterative process to resolve issues. A rule drafted by negotiation with informed and affected parties is more likely to maximize benefits while minimizing unnecessary costs than one conceived or drafted without the opportunity for sustained dialog among interested and expert parties. DOE anticipates that there will be a need for fewer substantive changes to a proposed rule developed under a regulatory negotiation process prior to the publication of a final rule.

To the maximum extent possible, consistent with the legal obligations of the Department, DOE will use the consensus of the advisory committee or subcommittee as the basis for the rule the Department proposes for public notice and comment.

Purpose of the Meeting: To continue the process of seeking consensus on a proposed rule for setting standards for the energy efficiency of liquid immersed and medium- and low-voltage dry type distribution transformers, as authorized by the Energy Policy Conservation Act (EPCA) of 1975, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6313(a)(6)(C) and 6317(a).

Tentative Agenda: The MV Group will meet at 9 a.m. and will conclude at 5 p.m. on Wednesday, October 12, 2011, and reconvene from 9 a.m. through 12 p.m. on Thursday, October 13, 2011. The LV Group will meet from 2 p.m. through 5 p.m. on Thursday, October 13, 2011, and reconvene on Friday, October 14, 2011, from 9 a.m. through 5 p.m. The tentative agenda for the meetings includes continued discussion regarding the analyses of alternate standard levels and negotiation efforts to address the perceived issues.

Public Participation: Members of the public are welcome to observe the business of the meetings and to make comments related to the issues being discussed at appropriate points, when called on by the moderator. The