



# Climate Change Expert Group (CCXG) Global Forum on the Environment and Climate Change

21-22 March 2023

OECD Headquarters and Conference Centre, 2 Rue André Pascal, 75016 Paris

In-person Forum with option for remote participation

The Global Forum, organised by the OECD-IEA Climate Change Expert Group (CCXG)<sup>1</sup>, aims to promote dialogue and enhance understanding among a range of countries on key issues relevant to the international climate change negotiations and implementation of the Paris Agreement. The CCXG develops analytical papers and holds regular Global Forums and other events that bring together practitioners and negotiators from developed and developing countries, as well as delegates from inter-governmental organisations, the private sector, research organisations and other relevant institutions.

This Global Forum will focus on the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement, the mitigation work programme, and issues related to finance for loss and damage. There will also be discussions on issues related to authorisation under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement and on recent OECD and IEA work on hydrogen. This meeting will take place under the Chatham House Rule, meaning that remarks made during the discussions are not to be attributed to individuals.

Please note **in-person participants** should **arrive at the OECD well in advance** of the start of sessions, as security checks may take some time. Please bring an **official photo ID** to retrieve your conference badge, as well as the **QR code** that will be sent to your email address (please check your junk folder if needed).

---

<sup>1</sup> The CCXG Secretariat would like to thank Australia (Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade), Belgium (Federal Public Service Health, Food Chain Safety, Environment), Canada (Environment and Climate Change Canada), the European Commission, Finland (Ministry of the Environment), Germany (Federal Foreign Office), Italy (Ministry of the Environment and Energy Security), Japan (Ministry of the Environment), Netherlands (Ministry of Economic Affairs and Climate Policy), New Zealand (Ministry for the Environment), Norway (Ministry of Climate and Environment), Republic of Korea (Ministry of Foreign Affairs), Sweden (Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and Swedish Energy Agency), Switzerland (Federal Office for the Environment), the United Kingdom (Cabinet Office) and the United States (Department of State) for their direct funding of the CCXG in 2022-2023, and Belgium, the OECD and IEA for their in-kind support of the CCXG in 2022-2023.



## Draft overview agenda

21 March 2023		
09:00 – 9:30 CET	Registration	
09:30 – 10:45 CET	Welcome and opening plenary [CC1]	
10:45 – 11:15 CET	Coffee break	
11:15 – 12:45 CET	A1: Mitigation work programme (MWP) - Learning from previous exchanges including lessons from investment-focused events [CC5]	B1: What is loss & damage (L&D)? [CC7]
12:45 – 13:30 CET	Lunch	
13:30 – 15:00 CET	A2: MWP – What does a focused exchange of views mean? [CC5]	B2: Understanding the scope of funding for L&D [CC7]
15:00 – 15:30 CET	Coffee break	
15:30 – 17:00 CET	A3: Potential outputs or outcomes of the MWP [CC5]	B3: Understanding the current landscape of institutions and funding arrangements for L&D [CC7]
17:00 – 19:00 CET	Reception and family photo	
22 March 2023		
09:30 – 10:45 CET	C1: Towards a successful outcome of the first global stocktake (GST1) [CC1]	
10:45 – 11:15 CET	Coffee break	
11:15 – 12:45 CET	C2: Organisation and design options for the consideration of outputs phase of GST1 [CC1]	
12:45 – 13:30 CET	Lunch	
13:30 – 15:00 CET	C3: Structuring impactful technical and political outputs for a successful GST1 [CC1]	D1: Authorisation under Article 6 [CC7]
15:00 – 15:30 CET	Coffee break	
15:30 – 16:30 CET	OECD and IEA information session: recent work on hydrogen [CC1]	
16:30 – 17:30 CET	Looking ahead to COP28: Wrap up and closing remarks [CC1]	



## Draft Annotated Agenda

Tuesday 21 March 2023

09:30 - 10:45 CET	<b>Welcome and opening plenary</b> [Plenary room CC1]
	<b>Hyunsoo Yun</b> (CCXG Chair) <b>Jo Tyndall</b> (OECD) <b>Laura Cozzi</b> (IEA) <b>Scene-setting presentation by Tim Lenton</b> (University of Exeter)
10:45 - 11:15 CET	Coffee break
11:15 - 12:45 CET	<b>A1: Mitigation work programme (MWP) - Learning from previous exchanges including lessons from investment-focused events</b> [Breakout room CC5]
<b>Focus</b>	There are several events mandated under the MWP, and these could encompass a wide range of aims, foci, formats, and relevant stakeholders. Decisions on the aims, foci and structure of these MWP events are important as they will influence the effectiveness and impact of the MWP, as well as which stakeholders are likely to benefit most from MWP outputs. There is significant experience both inside and outside the UNFCCC framework in organising relevant events that the MWP could usefully build on. This session will highlight experiences relevant to global dialogues and investment-focused events, and how best to move the MWP forward to meet its ambitious aims.
<b>Relevant documents</b>	“Making the Mitigation Work Programme fit for purpose: Options for forms, focus and information that would lead to successful implementation”, J. Ellis, L. Lo Re, and S. Errendal (2023, draft)
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Emma Rachmawaty</b> (Indonesia)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Jane Ellis</b> (OECD/CCXG) <b>Paul Watkinson</b> (Advisor UAE/former SBSTA chair) <b>Chinwe Binitie</b> (World Bank) <b>Erika Yamada</b> (Japan)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. How could the multiple events under the MWP be focused, structured, and sequenced to meet the ambitious aims of the MWP?</li><li>2. How could the most relevant stakeholders be identified to ensure impactful MWP events?</li></ol>
11:15 - 12:45 CET	<b>B1: What is loss &amp; damage (L&amp;D)?</b> [Breakout room CC7]
<b>Focus</b>	The need for an adequate and effective response to loss and damage (L&D) associated with the adverse effects of climate change has attracted increasing attention in recent years. This has led to an agreement at COP27 to establish new funding arrangements for assisting particularly vulnerable developing countries in responding to L&D. Recommendations to operationalise the new funding arrangements and fund for responding to L&D are expected to be made by a Transitional Committee for consideration and adoption at COP28. Understanding potential types of L&D, i.e. economic and non-economic L&D, associated with the adverse effects of climate change, including extreme weather events and slow onset events, could help to inform on-going discussions. This session will explore different types of L&D countries are experiencing, to provide some context for subsequent discussions on the types of funding that could be useful for responding to L&D and the institutions/funding arrangements best suited to deliver this.



<b>Relevant documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <a href="#">“Building Financial Resilience to Climate Impacts: A Framework for Governments to Manage the Risks of Losses and Damages”</a>, OECD (2022)</li> <li>• <a href="#">“Managing Climate Risks, Facing up to Losses and Damages”</a>, OECD (2021)</li> </ul>
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Sierra Woodruff</b> (USA)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Pepetua Latasi</b> (Tuvalu) <b>Gunn-Britt Retter</b> (Saami Council) <b>Emily Boyd</b> (Lund University)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What are different types of L&amp;D currently being experienced / expected at the regional, national, local or community level?</li> <li>2. What are different types of support needed to respond to the economic and non-economic impacts of L&amp;D?</li> </ol>
12:45 - 13:30 CET	Lunch
13:30 - 15:00 CET	<b>A2: MWP – What does a focused exchange of views mean?</b> [Breakout room CC5]
<b>Focus</b>	The MWP is to be operationalised via i.a. two annual global dialogues and other in-person or hybrid dialogues that foster a “focused exchange of views, information and ideas”. The aim, scope, focus and format of the global dialogues will impact the ability of MWP stakeholders to have a “focused” exchange. This session will explore different design options for a “focused exchange of views” and discuss the implications of different options.
<b>Relevant documents</b>	“Making the Mitigation Work Programme fit for purpose: Options for forms, focus and information that would lead to successful implementation”, J. Ellis, L. Lo Re, and S. Errendal (2023, draft)
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Cristina Carreiras</b> (European Commission)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Luca Lo Re</b> (IEA/CCXG) <b>Nicole Makowski</b> (Argentina) <b>Itchell Sandy Guiney</b> (South Africa) <b>Ane Rostrup Gabrielsen</b> (Norway)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How can the organisers of the MWP global dialogues balance the different possible aims of the events with considerations of focus, representativeness, varying mitigation potentials and starting points of different countries?</li> <li>2. What trade-offs would need to be taken into account when making such decisions?</li> </ol>
13:30 - 15:00 CET	<b>B2: Understanding the scope of funding for L&amp;D</b> [Breakout room CC7]
<b>Focus</b>	At COP27, Parties agreed to establish new funding arrangements to assist developing countries that are particularly vulnerable to the adverse effects of climate change in responding to L&D. The type of activities to be covered by these new funding arrangements and the line between funding for activities to avert, minimise and address L&D and funding for climate action is currently unclear. This session will explore potential activities that could be covered by new funding arrangements for responding to L&D and how this relates to other types of funding for climate action.
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Benito Müller</b> (University of Oxford)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Walid Oueslati</b> (OECD) <b>Michai Robertson</b> (Antigua and Barbuda) <b>Angela Patricia Rivera Galvis</b> (Colombia) <b>Saleemul Huq</b> (International Centre for Climate Change and Development)



<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Exsley Taloiburi</b> (The Organisation of African, Caribbean and Pacific States)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What are the types of funding (i.e. bilateral, multilateral, public, private) needed to avert, minimise and address L&amp;D?</li> <li>2. What considerations need to be taken into account for L&amp;D funding and how does this relate to other types of funding for climate action?</li> </ol>
15:00 - 15:30 CET	Coffee break
15:30 - 17:00 CET	<b>A3: Potential outputs or outcomes of the MWP</b> [Breakout room CC5]
<b>Focus</b>	The MWP aims to “urgently scale up mitigation ambition and implementation”. However, it is unclear what the content of MWP outputs from the global dialogues and the investment-focused events would need to be for this to happen. Different outputs and outcomes can impact GHG mitigation uptake in different ways, and over different time periods. Potential outputs or outcomes could, for instance, focus on identifying enabling environments for increased levels of investments (in general, or for a specific sector/activity), on tools to increase investment along the mitigation activity lifecycle, or on “matchmaking” between investors and activity participants. This session will explore what potential output(s) and outcome(s) could be expected from the MWP, and what is needed to achieve these.
<b>Relevant documents</b>	“Making the Mitigation Work Programme fit for purpose: Options for forms, focus and information that would lead to successful implementation”, J. Ellis, L. Lo Re, and S. Errendal (2023, draft)
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Veronica N. Gundu Jakarasi</b> (Zimbabwe)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Sofie Errendal</b> (OECD/CCXG) <b>Colin Mattis</b> (Belize) <b>Geert Fremout</b> (Belgium)
<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Asger Garnak</b> (CONCITO)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What would the most important outputs or outcomes from the MWP be?</li> <li>2. How could these MWP outputs or outcomes be effective in scaling up mitigation ambition and implementation while accounting for differing national starting points and circumstances?</li> </ol>
15:30 - 17:00 CET	<b>B3: Understanding the current landscape of institutions and funding arrangements for L&amp;D</b> [Breakout room CC7]
<b>Focus</b>	There are currently several institutions at the global, regional and national level that fund activities related to addressing L&D. At COP27, Parties recognised there are gaps in the current funding landscape which may vary depending on the challenge faced (i.e. addressing climate-related emergencies, sea level rise, displacement, relocation, migration) and the type of support needed on the ground. This session will explore how institutions at different levels are currently funding activities related to addressing L&D, identify potential overlaps and gaps in their activities/support, and potential areas a new fund for responding to L&D could most usefully focus on.
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Richard Sherman</b> (South Africa)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Charlene Watson</b> (ODI) <b>Andrés Mogro</b> (Ecuador) <b>Sinead Walsh</b> (Ireland) <b>Sönke Kreft</b> (Munich Climate Insurance Initiative)



<b>Respondents</b>	<b>Cathrine Wenger</b> (Wenger Law)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. What types of L&amp;D could be covered by existing funding arrangements and how could this coverage be enhanced?</li><li>2. How could a new fund responding to L&amp;D most usefully complement the existing mosaic of funding arrangements for addressing L&amp;D?</li></ol>
17:00 – 19:00 CET	Reception and family photo

### Wednesday 22 March 2023

9:30 - 10:45 CET	<b>C1: Towards a successful outcome of the first global stocktake (GST1)</b> [Plenary room CC1]
<b>Focus</b>	The first global stocktake (GST1) of the Paris Agreement will conclude at COP28 in December 2023. GST1 marks a key milestone in the UNFCCC process as the first official opportunity to take stock of collective efforts towards the long-term goals of the Paris Agreement. If designed correctly, GST1 could deliver concrete results on the ground and help to set a foundation for improved approaches over time that can feed into subsequent GST cycles. GST1 thus provides an important opportunity for Parties and the international community to “course correct” so that collective efforts are in line with the goals of the Paris Agreement. Realising this outcome is, however, not straightforward. This session will explore what a successful GST1 would look like and what is needed to reach this outcome in the lead up to COP28 and beyond.
<b>Relevant documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• “Towards a successful outcome of the first global stocktake of the Paris Agreement”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2023, draft)</li><li>• “<a href="#">Translating outputs to outcomes under the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement</a>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2022)</li><li>• “<a href="#">Adaptation in the global stocktake</a>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo, S. Errendal, I. Kotani (2022)</li></ul>
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Kaveh Guilanpour</b> (Center for Climate and Energy Solutions)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Sirini Jeudy-Hugo</b> (OECD/CCXG) <b>Adriano Santhiago de Oliveira</b> (Brazil) <b>Rueanna Haynes</b> (Trinidad and Tobago) <b>Aaron Kirby</b> (Australia)
<b>Respondent</b>	<b>Ambassador Mohamed Nasr</b> (Egypt)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. What would a successful outcome of GST1 look like?</li><li>2. What is needed at COP28 to reach a successful outcome of GST1 and how can this momentum be carried forward in future years?</li></ol>



10:45 - 11:15 CET	Coffee break
11:15 - 12:45 CET	<b>C2: Organisation and design options for the consideration of outputs phase of GST1</b> [Plenary room CC1 – breakout groups in Plenary room CC1 and Breakout room CC5]
<b>Focus</b>	<p>How the final phase of GST1 is organised can influence the success of the process. The take-up of GST1 recommendations by relevant actors in the 2024-2025 period will determine the success of GST1 in informing national actions and enhancing international co-operation. Who is involved, and how active their engagement has been throughout the process is another key factor affecting subsequent follow-up. This session will explore how to design the consideration of outputs component of GST1 to achieve a successful outcome. It will also discuss the potential role of different actors, including non-party stakeholders, in the final phase of GST1, and key political moments that could help build broad and high-level momentum for GST1 in the lead up to COP28 and beyond. This session will begin with brief ice-breaker presentations to set the scene followed by discussions in parallel breakout groups. The session will conclude with a report back in plenary of key takeaways from discussions in the breakout groups.</p>
<b>Relevant documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Towards a successful outcome of the first global stocktake of the Paris Agreement”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2023, draft)</li> <li>• “<u>Translating outputs to outcomes under the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement</u>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2022)</li> <li>• “<u>Adaptation in the global stocktake</u>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo, S. Errendal, I. Kotani (2022)</li> </ul>
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Manjeet Dhakal</b> (Advisor to the LDC Chair)
<b>Speakers</b>	<p><b>Leon Charles</b> (Independent consultant)  <b>Brittany Croll</b> (USA)  <b>Anushree Tripathi</b> (Advocate, Supreme Court of India)</p> <p><u>11:45-12:30</u>  <i>Breakout groups to explore how to organise and design the consideration of outputs component and the potential role of different actors including non-party stakeholders</i></p> <p><u>12:30-12:45</u>  <i>Reporting back key takeaways from breakout groups</i></p>
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How can the consideration of outputs phase of GST1 be designed to build broad and high-level momentum for GST1 in the lead up to COP28 and beyond?</li> <li>2. What is the role of different actors in the consideration of outputs phase of GST1?</li> </ol>
12:45 - 13:30 CET	Lunch
13:30 - 15:00 CET	<b>C3: Structuring impactful technical and political outputs for a successful GST1</b> [Plenary room CC1]
<b>Focus</b>	<p>Translating the findings from the technical assessment of GST1 into strong political signals that can drive action on the ground is not straightforward. One key issue is how to ensure the final phase of GST1 captures the findings of the technical assessment to provide strong, clear political messages and recommendations that can be carried forward by different actors. Who is involved, how the final outputs are structured, how issues such as equity are operationalised, and what follow-up processes are established to monitor progress, will also be important. This session will explore how to structure the technical and political outputs of GST1 to be most useful for informing national actions and enhancing international co-operation. It will discuss options for the potential focus, structure, and format of technical outputs from GST1 to be fit for purpose. It will also explore potential elements of a package of political outputs, comprising both negotiated and non-negotiated elements, that could be put forward at COP28 to support subsequent action by different actors.</p>





<b>Relevant documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• “Towards a successful outcome of the first global stocktake of the Paris Agreement”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2023, draft)</li> <li>• “<u>Translating outputs to outcomes under the global stocktake of the Paris Agreement</u>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo and L. Charles (2022)</li> <li>• “<u>Adaptation in the global stocktake</u>”, S. Jeudy-Hugo, S. Errendal, I. Kotani (2022)</li> </ul>
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Thomas Vergna</b> (Netherlands)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Sebastian Oberthür</b> (Brussels School of Governance and University of Eastern Finland) <b>Paula Sanmiguel</b> (Colombia) <b>Helen Mountford</b> (ClimateWorks) <b>Vicente Paolo Yu</b> (Independent consultant)
<b>Respondents</b>	<b>Patrick Spicer</b> (Canada) <b>Emmanuelle Pinault</b> (UN High-Level Climate Champions team)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. How can the technical and political outputs from GST1 be designed to best inform national actions and enhance international co-operation?</li> <li>2. How can GST1 operationalise cross-cutting issues such as equity to strengthen ownership and facilitate subsequent follow-up by different actors?</li> </ol>
<b>13:30 - 15:00 CET</b>	<b>D1: Authorisation under Article 6</b> [Breakout room CC7]
<b>Focus</b>	Authorisation represents the “birth” of an internationally transferred mitigation outcome (ITMO), and also the latest point in the lifecycle of an ITMO that the initial report of a co-operative approach can be submitted. Article 6.2 guidance from COP26 provides for “changes to authorisations”. Such changes could range from those that are immaterial (e.g. a change of name of an authorised entity) to those that could have financial and reputational consequences for the Parties involved as well as on the level of international transfers under Article 6 more broadly (e.g. if ITMOs are revoked). This session will discuss implications of different possible changes of authorisations by Parties.
<b>Relevant documents</b>	“ <u>The birth of an ITMO: authorisation under Article 6 of the Paris Agreement</u> ”, L. Lo Re, J. Ellis, and S. Greiner (2022)
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Simon Fellermeier</b> (Switzerland)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Jacqueline Ruesga</b> (New Zealand) <b>El Hadji Mbaye Diagne</b> (Senegal) <b>Martin Hession</b> (European Commission) <b>Maria Al-Jishi</b> (Saudi Arabia) <b>Marina Carrilho Soares</b> (Brazil)
<b>Discussion questions</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What elements of an authorisation could be changed, and at which point in the lifecycle of an ITMO?</li> <li>2. What are the implications and impacts of different types of possible changes?</li> </ol>
<b>15:00 - 15:30 CET</b>	<b>Coffee Break</b>
<b>15:30 - 16:30 CET</b>	<b>OECD and IEA information session: recent work on hydrogen</b> [Plenary room CC1]
<b>Focus</b>	During this session participants will hear insights from recent OECD and IEA work focusing on hydrogen including the OECD’s work on innovation and industrial policies for green hydrogen and hydrogen support in post-Covid recovery packages as well as insights from the latest IEA Global Hydrogen Review.





<b>Relevant documents</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• "<a href="#">Innovation and industrial policies for green hydrogen</a>", OECD Science, Technology and Industry Policy Papers (2022)</li><li>• <a href="#">Global Hydrogen Review 2022</a>, IEA (2022)</li></ul>
<b>Facilitator</b>	<b>Hyunsoo Yun</b> (CCXG Chair)
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Antoine Dechezleprêtre</b> (OECD) <b>Timur Guel</b> (IEA)
<b>16:30 - 17:30 CET</b>	<b>Looking ahead to COP28: Wrap up and closing remarks</b> [Plenary room CC1]
<b>Speakers</b>	<b>Hana AlHashimi</b> (UAE, incoming COP28 Presidency) <b>Hyunsoo Yun</b> (CCXG Chair)