# State of Missouri Office of Secretary of State

Case No. AP-10-02

IN THE MATTER OF:

R.C. TRANSPORT, LLC; ROBERT CORDER; MICHAEL A. SANTIAGO a/k/a MIKE SANTEE; and GENERAL INVESTMENTS, LLC,

#### Respondents.

Serve R.C. Transport, LLC, at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

General Investments, LLC c/o Robert Corder at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

Robert Corder at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee at: 826 S. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460-5032

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee at: 1425 S. Palmway Lake Worth, FL 33460-5711

# Order to Cease and Desist and Order to Show Cause Why Civil Penalties and Costs Should Not Be Imposed

On April 13, 2010, the Enforcement Section of the Securities Division of the Office of Secretary of State (the "Enforcement Section"), by and through Assistant Commissioner Mary S. Hosmer, submitted a Petition for Order to Cease and Desist and Order to Show Cause Why Civil Penalties and Costs Should Not Be Imposed (the "Petition"). After reviewing the Petition, the Commissioner issues the following findings of facts, conclusion of law, and order:

# I. FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. R.C. Transport, LLC ("RCT"), is a Missouri limited liability company that was organized in Missouri in December 2002. RCT has an address of 36840 State Highway WW, Campbell, Missouri 63933.
- 2. General Investments, LLC ('GI'), is a Nevada limited liability company that was organized in Nevada in September 2007. GI has an address of 36840 State Highway WW, Campbell, Missouri 63933.
- 3. Robert N. Corder ("Corder") is the organizer, owner, and operator of RCT and GI, and has an address of 36840 State Highway WW, Campbell, Missouri 63933.
- 4. Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee ("Santiago") is an agent of RCT and is a managing member of GI. Santiago is purported to have an address at 826 S. Lakeside Drive, Lake Worth, Florida 33460-5032 and at 1425 S. Palmway, Lake Worth, Florida 33460-5711.
- 5. As used in this Petition the term "Respondents" refers to RCT, GI, Corder and Santiago.
- 6. Sometime in 2007, Corder posted a message on a website for startup companies. In this message Corder stated, among other things, that he was seeking to raise capital for his trucking business.
- 7. Corder was subsequently contacted by Santiago. Santiago told Corder, among other things, that:

- a. Santiago could raise money through the capital markets;
- b. Santiago needed a limited liability company like RCT that had been in business for at least two years to raise money;
- c. the limited liability company could then enter into strategic alliances with other entities, who would assign assets to be held by this limited liability company; and
- d. the limited liability company would eventually qualify to engage in a bond offering that would reap high returns.
- 8. In September 2007, Santiago and Corder formed GI in Nevada to facilitate this investment program.
- 9. In December 2007, the Enforcement Section received an inquiry from an Arizona resident regarding an investment program being offered by Respondents.
- 10. The Enforcement Section initiated an investigation and found, among other things, that:
  - a. Santiago and Corder were not registered securities agents in Missouri; and
  - b. there was no registration, granted exemption or notice filing indicating status as a "federal covered security" for the investments offered by Respondents.
- 11. The Enforcement Section contacted Corder and Santiago in January 2008. Corder and Santiago stated, in part, that RCT had not sold any investments.
- 12. On or about August 5, 2008, Corder filed an affidavit with the Enforcement Section stating, among other things, that:
  - a. Corder was the sole member and organizer of RCT;
  - b. Santiago was also known as Mike Santee;
  - c. RCT would not engage in any business activities with Santiago;
  - d. RCT had not received investments from any person; and
  - e. RCT was not engaged in any further business activities.
- 13. On or about August 13, 2008, the Missouri Commissioner of Securities issued a Consent Order, *In the Matter of R.C. Transport*, *LLC*, *Case No. AP-08-19*, Missouri Commissioner of Securities 2008.
- 14. In the Consent Order, the Enforcement Section alleged that RCT participated in the offer of a security from Missouri. This order was signed by Corder. In this Consent Order, RCT agreed, among other things, to:
  - a. provide an affidavit that RCT had not received any funds from any investor (as described more fully above);
  - b. pay ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) to the Missouri Investor Education and Protection Fund; and
  - c. reimburse the Enforcement Section over one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for costs of the investigation.
- 15. On or about June 6, 2009, the Enforcement Section received a complaint from a Florida resident, ("FR"). FR is the owner of a Florida corporation ("FC"). FR stated that FR and four other Florida residents invested over one hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$180,000) with RCT in 2007, under the name of FC.
- 16. Prior to the investments in RCT, Corder told FR, among other things, that:
  - a. investors would receive seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) after forty-five (45) days and a total of six hundred eighty thousand dollars (\$680,000) in ninety (90) days;
  - b. the investment would be backed by three hundred forty thousand dollars (\$340,000) worth of U.S. Treasury bills purchased three to five business days from the date of deposit;
  - c. the investment was 100% secure; and
  - d. there was no risk.
- 17. FR was not given any prospectus or other background information on RCT, GI, Corder or Santiago.
- 18. Corder instructed FR to wire funds to RCTs bank account at USBank in Poplar Bluff, Missouri ("RCTs Bank Account"). The wire instructions were faxed to FR from Corder's office in Campbell, Missouri.
- 19. On or about October 2, 2007, FR was given a promissory note issued by RCT, signed by Corder and a stock certificate from GI signed by Santiago.

- 20. The promissory note was faxed to FR from RCTs office in Campbell, Missouri. This note provided, in part, that:
  - a. within forty-five days from September 9, 2007, FR would receive a payment of \$70,000;
  - b. no later than ninety days from September 26, 2007, FR would receive a total of \$680,000; and
  - c. the note was backed by \$340,000.00 worth of US treasury bonds.
- 21. The GI stock certificate sent to FR provided, among other things, the following:
  - a. FC was being granted thirty-five units, at \$25,000 per unit, in GI;
  - b. it was dated September 26, 2007; and
  - c. it was signed by Santiago.
- 22. On or about April 13, 2004, the State of Texas issued an Emergency Cease and Desist *Order*, *No. 04-CDO-1559*, *In The Matter of B.H.T. Investments Inc.*, *Mike Santee*, *et al*, Texas State Securities Board 2004 ("Texas Order"). In this Texas Order Santee was order to cease and desist engaging in a fraudulent offering described as "U.S. T-Bill based contracts."
- 23. Information about this Texas Order was not provided to FR prior to FR's investments in RCT and GI.
- 24. The Enforcement Section's investigation revealed that funds from FR and the other four Florida investors' funds were deposited into RCT's Bank Account and were commingled with other funds in that account and were used to pay, among other things, the following:
  - a. more than eighty-three thousand dollars (\$83,000) to Corder;
  - b. sixty-seven thousand dollars (\$67,000) to Corder Trucking,
  - c. credit and/or debit card payments in excess of thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) for, among other things, the following:
    - i. airline tickets;
    - ii. hotels;
    - iii. restaurants;
    - iv. convenience stores; and
    - v. department stores.
- 25. Respondents did not disclose to FR, among other things, the following:
  - a. that Corder and Santiago were not registered to offer or sell securities from the State of Missouri;
  - b. the financial condition of RCT and GI;
  - c. the relationship between RCT, GI, Corder, and Santiago;
  - d. background information for Corder and Santiago and other directors, officers or other persons having similar status or performing similar functions for RCT and GI, including, but not limited to, their:
    - i. principal occupations for the previous five years;
    - ii. ownership or interest held in each entity; and
    - iii. remuneration received during the previous twelve months and estimated to be received during the next twelve months;
  - e. the risks involved with the investment;
  - f. that the State of Texas had issued an Emergency Cease and Desist Order against Santiago;
  - g. material contracts or relationships with third parties; or
  - h. how investors' money would be used.

## II. STAUTORY PROVISIONS

25. Section 409.6-601(a), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), provides that the Missouri Securities Act of 2003 "shall be administered

by the commissioner of securities . . . . "

- 26. Section 409.1-102(1), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), defines "Agent" as "an individual, other than a broker-dealer, who represents a broker-dealer in effecting or attempting to effect purchases or sales of securities or represents an issuer in effecting or attempting to effect purchases or sales of the issuer's securities. But a partner, officer, or director of a broker-dealer or issuer, or an individual having a similar status or performing similar functions is an agent only if the individual otherwise comes within the term. The term does not include an individual excluded by rule adopted or order issued under this act."
- 27. Section 409.1-102(26), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), defines "Sale" to include: "every contract of sale, contract to sell, or disposition of, a security or interest in a security for value." That same section defines "offer to sell" as "every attempt to offer to dispose of, or solicitation of an offer to purchase, a security or interest in a security for value."
- 28. Section 409.1-102(28), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), defines "security" as "a note; stock; treasury stock; security future; bond; debenture; evidence of indebtedness; certificate of interest of participation in a profit-sharing agreement; collateral trust certificate; preorganization certificate or subscription; transferable share; investment contract; voting trust certificate; certificate of deposit for a security; fractional undivided interest in oil, gas, or other mineral rights; put, call, straddle, option, or privilege on a security, certificate of deposit, or group or index of securities, including an interest therein or based on the value thereof; put, call, straddle, option, or privilege entered into on a national securities exchange relating to foreign currency; or in general, an interest or instrument commonly known as a "security"; or a certificate of interest or participation in, temporary or interim certificate for, receipt for, guarantee of, or warrant or right to subscribe to or purchase, any of the foregoing."
- 29. Section 409.3-301, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), states:

It is unlawful for a person to offer or sell a security in this state unless:

- (1) The security is a federal covered security;
- (2) The security, transaction, or offer is exempted from registration under Sections 409.2-201 to 409.2-203; or
- (3) The security is registered under this act. The conduct described in the above findings of fact constitutes a violation of this section.
- 30. Section 409.4-402(a), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), states:

it is unlawful for an individual to transact business in this state as an agent unless the individual is registered under this act as an agent or is exempt from registration as an agent under subsection (b).

The conduct of Corder and Santiago, described in the above findings of fact constitutes a violation of this section.

31. Section 409.4-402(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), states:

it is unlawful for a broker-dealer, or an issuer engaged in offering, selling, or purchasing securities in this state, to employ or associate with an agent who transacts business in this state on behalf of broker-dealers or issuers unless the agent is registered under subsection (a) or exempt from registration under subsection (b).

32. Section 409.5-501, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), states:

It is unlawful for a person, in connection with the offer, sale, or purchase of a security, directly or indirectly:

- (1) employ a device, scheme, or artifice to defraud;
- (2) To make an untrue statement of a material fact or to omit state a material fact necessary in order to make the statement made, in the light of the circumstances under which it is made, not misleading; or
- (3) To engage in an act, practice, or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon another person.

The conduct of Corder, described in the above findings of fact constitutes a violation of this section.

33. Section 409.5-505, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), states:

it is unlawful for a person to make or cause to be made, in a record that is used in an action or proceeding or filed under this act, a statement that, at the time and in the light of the circumstances under which it is made, is false or misleading in a material respect, or, in connection with the statement, to omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statement made, in the light of the circumstances under which it was made, not false or misleading.

- 34. Section 409.6-602(a), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2009), provides in part that the commissioner may:
  - (1)

Conduct public or private investigations within or outside of this state which the commissioner considers necessary or appropriate to determine whether a person has violated, is violating, or is about to violate this act or a rule adopted or order issued under this act, or to aid in the enforcement of this act or in the adoption of rules and forms under this act;

(2)

Require or permit a person to testify, file a statement, or produce a record, under oath or otherwise as the commissioner determines, as to all the facts and circumstances concerning a matter to be investigated or about which an action or proceeding is to be instituted.

- 35. Section 409.6-604(a), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009) states:
  - (a)

If the commissioner determines that a person has engaged, is engaging, or is about to engage in an act, practice, or course of business constituting a violation of this act or a rule adopted or order issued under this act or that a person has materially aided . . . an act, practice, or course of business constituting a violation of this act . . . the commissioner may:

(1)

Issue an order directing the person to cease and desist from engaging in the act, practice, or course of business or to take other action necessary or appropriate to comply with this act....

(b)

An order under subsection (a) is effective on the date of issuance. Upon issuance of the order, the commissioner shall promptly serve each person subject to the order with a copy of the order and a notice that the order has been entered. The order must include a statement whether the commissioner will seek a civil penalty or costs of the investigation, a statement of the reasons for the order, and notice that, within fifteen days after receipt of a request in a record from the person, the matter will be scheduled for a hearing. If a person subject to the order does not request a hearing and none is ordered by the commissioner within thirty days after the date of service of the order, the order becomes final as to that person by operation of law. If a hearing is requested or ordered, the commissioner, after notice of and opportunity for hearing to each person subject to the order, may modify or vacate the order or extend it until final determination . . . .

(d)

In a final order under subsection (c), the commissioner may:

(1)

Impose a civil penalty up to one thousand dollars for a single violation or up to ten thousand dollars for more than one violation;

(2)

Order a person subject to the order to pay restitution for any loss, including the amount of any actual damages that may have been caused by the conduct and interest at the rate of eight percent per year from the date of the violation causing the loss or disgorge any profits arising from the violation;

(3)

In addition to any civil penalty otherwise provided by law, impose an additional civil penalty not to exceed five thousand dollars for each such violation if the commissioner finds that a person subject to the order has violated any provision of this act and that such violation was committed against an elderly or disabled person. For purposes of this section, the following terms mean:

(A)

"Disabled person", a person with a physical or mental impairment that substantially limits one or more of the major life activities of such individual, a record of such impairment, or being regarded as having such an impairment;

(B)

Elderly person', a person sixty years of age or older.

(e)

In a final order, the commissioner may charge the actual cost of an investigation or proceeding for a violation of this act or a rule adopted or order issued under this act. These funds may be paid into the investor education and protection fund.

#### III. CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

#### Multiple Violations of Offering and Selling Unregistered, Non-Exempt Securities

- 36. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 37. Respondents offered and sold a security as those terms are defined in Sections 409.1-102(26) and (28), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009).
- 38. At all times relevant, records maintained by the Commissioner of Securities contained no registration, granted exemption or notice filing indicating status as a "federal covered security" for the investments offered and sold by Respondents.
- 39. Respondents violated Section 409.3-301, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), when they offered and sold securities in Missouri without these securities being (1) a federal offered security, (2) exempt from registration under Sections 409.2-201 or 409.2-202, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), or (3) registered under the Missouri Securities Act of 2003.
- 40. Respondents' actions in offering or selling securities that were not registered, exempt or a federal covered security constitute an illegal act, practice, or course of business and thus such actions are subject to the commissioner's authority under Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009).

# Multiple Violations of Transacting Business as an Unregistered Agent

- 41. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 42. At all times relevant, records maintained by the Missouri Commissioner of Securities contained no registration or granted exemption for Respondents Corder and Santiago to transact business as an agent in the State of Missouri.
- 43. Respondent Corder and Respondent Santiago's actions in transacting business as an unregistered agent constitute an illegal act, practice, or course of business and this such actions are subject to the commissioner's authority under Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009).

# Multiple Violations of Employing an Unregistered Agent

- 44. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 45. RCT and GI employed Corder and Santiago who transacted business on behalf of RCT and GI. These activities constitute transacting business in the State of Missouri.
- 46. RCT and GI have not registered any agents in the State of Missouri.
- 47. RCT and GI violated Section 409.4-402(d) RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), when they employed unregistered agents who transacted business in the State of Missouri.
- 48. Respondent RCT and Respondent GI's actions in employing an unregistered agent constitute an illegal act, practice, or course of business and thus such actions are subject to the commissioner's authority under Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009).

- 49. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 50. In connection with the offer, sale or purchase of a security, Respondents omitted to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, including, but not limited to, the following:
  - a. In connection with the offer and/or sell of these securities in Missouri, Respondents *omitted* to disclose:
    - i. that Corder and Santiago were not registered to offer or sell securities from the State of Missouri;
    - ii. the financial condition of RCT and GI;
    - iii. the relationship between RCT, GI, Corder, and Santiago;
    - iv. background information for Corder and Santiago and other directors, officers or other persons having similar status or performing similar functions for RCT and GI, including, but not limited to, their:
      - 1. principal occupations for the previous five years;
      - 2. ownership or interest held in each entity; and
      - 3. remuneration received during the previous twelve months and estimated to be received during the next twelve months;
    - v. the risks involved with the investment:
    - vi. that the State of Texas had issued an Emergency Cease and Desist Order against Santiago;
    - vii. material contracts or relationships with third parties; or
    - viii. how investors' money would be used.
  - In connection with the offer and/or sale of these securities in Missouri, Respondents made the following untrue statements of material fact:
    - i. that the securities were 100% secure; or
    - ii. that there was no risk with the investment.
- 51. Respondents' actions in making untrue statements of material fact or in omitting to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in connection with the offer, sale or purchase of a security, constitute a violation of Section 409.5-501, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), and constitute illegal acts, practices, or courses of business and thus such actions are subject to the commissioner's authority under Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009).

# Making a False of Misleading Statement or Omitting to State a Material Fact in a Record Filed Under this Act

- 52. Paragraphs 1 through 35 are incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- 53. Pursuant to Section 409.6-602(a)(2), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), the commissioner may require or permit a person to file a statement concerning a matter to be investigated.
- 54. On or about August 5, 2008, Corder and RCT filed an affidavit with the Enforcement Section. This affidavit was filed during an investigation of RCT's unregistered activities in the State of Missouri.
- 55. In this affidavit RCT, through Corder, stated that RCT had not received any funds from any person, in or outside the State of Missouri, for the purpose of investing in any security offering.
- 56. On or about June 6, 2009, the Enforcement Section received information that RCT had offered and sold securities to FR and three other Florida residents in 2007.
- 57. Corder and RCT filed in the affidavit, during an investigation by the Enforcement Section, information that was false or misleading in a material respect and this filing constitutes a violation of Section 409.5-505, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), and constitute an illegal act, practice, or course of business and thus such actions are subject to the commissioner's authority under Section 409.6-604, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009).
- 58. This order is in the public interest and is consistent with the purposes intended by the Missouri Securities Act of 2003. See Section 409.6-605(b), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009).

**NOW THEREFORE**, it is hereby ordered that Respondents, their agents, employees and servants, and all other persons participating in or about to participate in the above-described violations with knowledge of this order are prohibited from:

- A. violating or materially aiding in any violation of Section 409.3-301, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), by offering or selling any securities as defined by Section 409.1-102(28), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), in the State of Missouri unless those securities are registered with the Securities Division of the Office of the Secretary of State in accordance with the provisions of Section 409.3-301;
- B. violating or materially aiding in any violation of Section 409.4-402(a), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), by transacting business as an unregistered agent;
- C. violating or materially aiding in any violation of Section 409.4-402(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), by employing an unregistered agent;
- D. violating or materially aiding in any violation of Section 409.5-501, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), by, in connection with the offer or sale of securities, making an untrue statement of a material fact or omitting to state a material fact necessary in order to make the statement made, in light of the circumstances under which it is made, not misleading or engaging in an act, practice, or course of business that operates or would operate as a fraud or deceit upon another person; and
- E. violating or materially aiding in any violation of Section 409.5-505, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), by, making misstatements of material fact in a record that is filed under this act; or making or causing to be made, in a record that is filed under the Act, a statement that, at the time and in the light of the circumstances under which it is made, false or misleading in a material respect.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an imposition of a civil penalty of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) against each Respondent for multiple violations of Section 409.3-301, RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondents request a hearing and show cause why the penalty should not be imposed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an imposition of civil penalties of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) against Respondent Corder and Respondent Santiago for multiple violations of Section 409.4-402(a), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondent Corder and Respondent Santiago request a hearing and shows cause why the penalty should not be imposed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an imposition of civil penalties of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) against Respondent RCT and Respondent GI for multiple violations of Section 409.4-402(d), RSMo. (Curn. Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondent RCT and Respondent GI request a hearing and shows cause why the penalty should not be imposed.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an imposition of civil penalties of up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) against each Respondent for multiple violations of Section 409.5-501(2), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondents request a hearing and show cause why the penalty should not be imposed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an imposition of civil penalties of up to one thousand dollars (\$1,000) against Respondent RCT and Respondent Corder for a violation of Section 409.5-505, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondent RCT and Respondent Corder request a hearing and shows cause why the penalty should not be imposed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(d), RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), the Commissioner will determine whether to grant the Enforcement Section's petition for an order to pay restitution for any loss, including the amount of any actual damages that may have been caused by the conduct, and interest at the rate of eight percent per year from the date of the violation causing the loss or disgorge any profits arising from the violation of Sections 409.3-301, 409.4-402, 409.5-501, and 409.5-505, RSMo. (Cum. Supp. 2009), in a final order, unless Respondents request a hearing and show cause why this restitution or disgorgement should not be imposed.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as the Enforcement Section has petitioned for an award for the costs of the investigation against Respondents in this proceedings, the commissioner will issue a final order, pursuant to Section 409.6-604(e), RSMo. (Cum Supp. 2009), awarding an amount to be determined after review of evidence submitted by the Enforcement Section, unless Respondents request a hearing and show cause why such award should not be made.

# SO ORDERED:

WITNESS MY HAND AND OFFICIAL SEAL OF MY OFFICE AT JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI THIS  $30^{\mathrm{TH}}$  DAY OF APRIL, 2010.

ROBIN CARNAHAN SECRETARY OF STATE

(Signed/Sealed)
MATTHEW D. KITZI
COMMISSIONER OF SECURITIES

State of Missouri Office of Secretary of State

Case No. AP-10-02

IN THE MATTER OF:

R.C. TRANSPORT, LLC; ROBERT CORDER; MICHAEL A. SANTIAGO a/k/a MIKE SANTEE; and GENERAL INVESTMENTS, LLC,

### Respondents.

Serve R.C. Transport, LLC, at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

General Investments, LLC c/o Robert Corder at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

Robert Corder at: 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, MO 63933

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee at: 826 S. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, FL 33460-5032

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee at: 1425 S. Palmway Lake Worth, FL 33460-5711

# **NOTICE**

### TO: Respondents and any unnamed representatives aggrieved by this Order:

You may request a hearing in this matter within thirty (30) days of the receipt of this Order pursuant to § 409.6-604(b), RSMo Supp. 2005, and 15 CSR 30-55.020.

Within fifteen (15) days after receipt of a request in a record from a person or persons subject to this order, the Commissioner will schedule this matter for a hearing.

A request for a hearing must be mailed or delivered, in writing, to:

Matthew D. Kitzi, Commissioner of Securities Office of the Secretary of State, Missouri 600 West Main Street, Room 229 Jefferson City, Missouri, 65102.

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 30th day of April, 2010, copies of the foregoing Order and Notice in the above styled case was **mailed** by certified U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, to:

R.C. Transport, LLC 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, Missouri 63933

General Investments, LLC c/o Robert Corder 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, Missouri 63933

Robert Corder 36840 State Highway WW Campbell, Missouri 63933

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee 826 S. Lakeside Drive Lake Worth, Florida 33460-5032

Michael A. Santiago a/k/a Mike Santee 1425 S. Palmway Lake Worth, Florida 33460-5711

### And hand delivered to:

Roumen Manolov Deputy Chief Counsel Securities Division

> John Hale Specialist