

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

Date 12/12/2019

CLERK OF THE COURT  
FORM V000

SPECIAL WATER MASTER  
SUSAN HARRIS

A. Hatfield

Deputy

In re: Arizona State Land Department  
Contested Case No. W1-11-2806

FILED: 12/19/2019

In Re: The General Adjudication  
of All Rights to Use Water in the  
Gila River System and Source  
W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re: Status Conference

**MINUTE ENTRY**

**Courtroom: CCB 301**

1:58 p.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference to consider the claims and resolve objections to Watershed File Report (“WFR”) 114-01-CCD-011.

The following attorneys appear in-person: Michael Foy on behalf of Salt River Project (“SRP”); Kevin Crestin on behalf of the Arizona State Land Department (“ASLD”); and Rhett Billingsley on behalf of ASARCO.

The following attorneys and parties appear telephonically John Burnside on behalf of BHP Copper; Lucas Christian on behalf of Tonto Apache Tribe; Laurel Herrmann on behalf of San Carlos Apache Tribe; Susan Montgomery on behalf of Yavapai-Apache Nation; and Kimberly Parks on behalf of AZ Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”).

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Mr. Crestin reports that ASLD has reviewed Statement of Claimant (“SOC”) 39-6728 and will be withdrawing SOC 39-6728.

IT IS ORDERED that SOC 39-6728 is dismissed.

LET THE RECORD REFLECT that a representative for Claimant Paul L. Sale Investment Company is not present or represented by counsel.

The Court states that notice was sent to the last known address for Claimant Paul L. Sale Investment Company and the notice has been returned as undeliverable.

IT IS ORDERED removing Paul L. Sale Investment Company from the Court-approved mailing list.

The Court notes that SOC 39-2224 filed by Paul L. Sale Investment Company has not been assigned and is inclined to dismiss it.

Mr. Foy requests that SOC 39-2224 not be dismissed as that SOC is the subject of one of the other Paul Sale cases, W1-11-2805, in which SRP is the claimant. He states that the order that came out of the last status conference in that case was that SRP was to amend the underlying 36 filing and after doing so, SRP would either amend SOC 39-2224 or file a new SOC. SRP has not made a decision at this point on which direction to proceed.

Mr. Foy further states the SRP is not asserting any claims with respect to this contested case.

The Court will not dismiss SOC 39-2224. There will be no water rights determined that were the subject of WFR 114-01-CCD-011 and no further action will be taken in this case.

2:01 p.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.