

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

02/20/2020

CLERK OF THE COURT

SPECIAL WATER MASTER  
SUSAN WARD HARRIS

Lorrie Stogsdill  
Deputy

In re: Alex Pacheco and Christine Salazar Pacheco  
Contested Case No. W1-11-3378

FILED: February 26, 2020

In Re: The General Adjudication  
of all Rights to Use Water in the  
Gila River System and Source  
W-1, W-2, W-3 and W-4 (Consolidated)

In re: Status Conference

**MINUTE ENTRY**

Central Court Building – Courtroom 301

1:29 p.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference before Special Water Master Susan Ward Harris.

The following attorneys appear in person: Mark A McGinnis for the Salt River Project. Alex Pacheco is present on his own behalf.

The following attorneys appear telephonically: Carla A. Consoli for the Arizona Chapter of the Nature Conservancy, Kimberly R. Parks for Bradley A. Pew for ASARCO, Joe P. Sparks for the San Carlos Apache Tribe, Jay Tomkus for the Pascua Yaqui Tribe and Yavapai-Apache Nation.

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

Mr. Pacheco advises the Court that he has completed the abstract and mailed it to all parties on the mailing list late last week. He is claiming 3 acre feet annually. Mr. Pacheco has spoken to both Mr. McGinnis for SRP and ADWR.

Mr. Sparks advises the Court he received a copy of the abstract from Ms. Parks. It appears to him that it meets the necessary requirements, although he only had time for a cursory review of the abstract prior to this hearing.

Ms. Consoli questions the mechanism of the diversion of the water. Mr. Pacheco states it is a pump. He confirms that they are not going to use the Salazar-Tapia ditch.

At the request of the Court, Mr. Pacheco confirms that the well registration number will be removed from the basis of right in the abstract.

The Court questions Mr. McGinnis regarding the abstract and the references to documents listed in the abstract referring to the 1908 date. Mr. Pacheco confirms he used the 1908 date in the abstract.

Mr. McGinnis advises the Court that there were two differences between Mr. Pacheco's abstract and what SRP had suggested, SRP had the incorrect name of the claimant and remove the 55 from the basis of right section.

Mr. Sparks requests that the maps with latitude and longitude coordinates be attached to the abstract.

For the reasons stated on the record,

**IT IS ORDERED** that ADWR draft a map, similar to the one prepared on October 24, 2019 adding the latitude and longitude coordinates for the well.

The Court advises Mr. Pacheco that he needs to circulate and file a stipulation with the Court for all parties to sign reflecting their agreement. Mr. Sparks will prepare a form of stipulation indicating that all of the parties agree to the form of abstract.

The Court outlines the upcoming procedure to Mr. Pacheco.

Mr. McGinnis for SRP approves the abstract with the one change discussed on the record.

1:42 p.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this minute entry is provided to all parties on the Court approved mailing list.

A copy of this minute entry is provided to all parties on the Court approved mailing list.