6417-033-02093

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT FILED

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

DOCKETED T

RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed

RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 42- 019

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

United States of America

Objector's Address:

P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Objector's Telephone No.: (505) 766 - 1060

Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Watershed):

> 033- 42 - 088

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check appropriate	(m)
	object to the description of Land Ownership
[XX]2.	object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
[]3.	object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
[] 4.	object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
[] 5.	object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
[] 6.	object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
[] 7.	object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
[XX]8.	object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
[]9.	object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
[]10.	object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
[]11.	Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)

I hereby ma	ake this Objection on this 28TH o	day of May, 1991.
		FOR: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (If in a representative capacity)
know the cont	DF Bernallilo }(Must be co er penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in ents thereof; and that the information cont se portions of the Objection which are indice	CATION Impleted by Objector) In this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and tained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, cated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions,
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWO	Notary Public for the State of New Mexico Residing at Albuquerque My commission expires 7-21-92
	(Must be completed if you object to an	RTIFICATE OF MAILING nother Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes rt.)
I hereby certification thereof on the	fy that a copy of the foregoing Objection e 28th day of May, 1991, postage prepaid	was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies and addressed as follows:
Name: Address:	FLAKE, FOST W. (TRUSTEE) P O BOX 147 SNOWPLAKE AZ 85937	(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)
Objections	must be filed with the Clerk of	the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County

Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection

must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

- The claimant for this stockpond (39-81263) failed to register the stockpond under the terms set forth in the Arizona Stockpond Registration Act (1977). Thus, the claimant has not complied with the legally enacted procedures for registering this stockpond, therefore the potential water right should be denied.
- The claimant indicated on their adjudication pre-filing (#36-35478) that they were using the water source for domestic purposes. ADWR found no domestic use during their field investigation. Therefore, domestic portion of the claim should be rejected.
- 8. The Arizona Department of Water Resources (ADWR) assigned this stockpond a potential water right despite the failure of the claimant to properly register the pond as required under the terms of the 1977 Stockpond Registration Act. Further, ADWR has recognized the claimant's use of surface water even though the claimant did not file under the terms of the Arizona Surface Water Act (1974). ADWR should rescind the potential water right assigned to this stockpond.

- The claimant's priority date of 1886 cited in their adjudication filing (#39-81266) is unsubstantiated. The Stockpond Registration form (#38-58227) identified by the claimant in their filing and submitted with their claim indicates that the stockpond was not built until 1937. Therefore the priority date for this claim should be no earlier than 1937.
- The claimant indicated on their adjudication pre-filing (#36-35481) that they were using the water source for domestic purposes. ADWR found no domestic use during their field investigation. Therefore, domestic portion of the claim should be rejected.
- 8. The Arizona Department of Water Resources assigned an 1886 priority date to this claim. This date is unsubstantiated. The claimant filed this stockpond under the terms of the Stockpond Registration Act (#38-58227) and stated that the pond was built in 1937. Therefore the priority date for the stockpond should be no earlier than 1937.

- The claimant's priority date of 1886 cited in their adjudication filing (#39-81267) is unsubstantiated. The Stockpond Registration form (#38-58226) identified by the claimant in their filing and submitted with their claim indicates that the stockpond was not built until 1947. Therefore the priority date for this claim should be no earlier than 1947.
- The claimant indicated on their adjudication pre-filing (#36-35482) that they were using the water source for domestic purposes. ADWR found no domestic use during their field investigation. Therefore, domestic portion of the claim should be rejected.
- 8. The Arizona Department of Water Resources assigned an 1886 priority date to this claim. This date is unsubstantiated. The claimant filed this stockpond under the terms of the Stockpond Registration Act (#38-58226) and stated that the pond was built in 1947. Therefore the priority date for the stockpond should be no earlier than 1947.

- The claimant's priority date of 1886 cited in their adjudication filing (#39-81269) is unsubstantiated. The Stockpond Registration form (#38-58224) identified by the claimant in their filing and submitted with their claim indicates that the stockpond was not built until 1973. Therefore the priority date for this claim should be no earlier than 1973.
- The claimant indicated on their adjudication pre-filing (#36-35484) that they were using the water source for domestic purposes. ADWR found no domestic use during their field investigation. Therefore, domestic portion of the claim should be rejected.
- 8. The Arizona Department of Water Resources assigned an 1886 priority date to this claim. This date is unsubstantiated. The claimant filed this stockpond under the terms of the Stockpond Registration Act (#38-58224) and stated that the pond was built in 1973. Therefore the priority date for the stockpond should be no earlier than 1973.

- The claimant's priority date of 1886 cited in their adjudication filing (#39-81270) is unsubstantiated. The Stockpond Registration form (#38-58223) identified by the claimant in their filing and submitted with their claim indicates that the stockpond was not built until 1937. Therefore the priority date for this claim should be no earlier than 1937.
- The claimant indicated on their adjudication pre-filing (#36-35485) that they were using the water source for domestic purposes. ADWR found no domestic use during their field investigation. Therefore, domestic portion of the claim should be rejected.
- 8. The Arizona Department of Water Resources assigned an 1886 priority date to this claim. This date is unsubstantiated. The claimant filed this stockpond under the terms of the Stockpond Registration Act (#38-58223) and stated that the pond was built in 1937. Therefore the priority date for the stockpond should be no earlier than 1937.

Stanley M. Pollack (S.B. No. 011046) Navajo Nation Department of Justice P.O. Drawer 2010 Window Rock, AZ 86515 Attorneys for THE NAVAJO NATION Reid Peyton Chambers Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse & Endreson 5 | 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20005 Attorneys for THE HOPI TRIBE Jeanne S. Whiteing Whiteing & Thompson 1136 Pearl Street, Suite 203 Boulder, CO 80302 Attorneys for THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE

NO. 4 SUPERIOR COURT DOCKETED ET MAY 2 8 1991

AT O'CLOCK 4:509 M.

RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK
DEPUT/

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION

OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN

THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER

SYSTEM AND SOURCE

OF THE NAVAJO NATION, THE

HOPI, TRIBE, THE SAN JUAN

SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE WITH

ALL OBJECTIONS SUBMITTED

BY THE UNITED STATES TO THE

HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT

FOR THE SILVER CREEK

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

<u>Descriptive Summary</u>: The Navajo Nation, the Hopi Tribe, and the San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe (Tribes) join in, concur with, and adopt the Statements of Objection for all Watershed File Reports submitted by the United States.

WATERSHED

Statement of Claimant Numbers: Not Applicable.

Date of Filing: May 29, 1991.

Number of Pages: 2 (Excluding Exhibit).

THE NAVAJO NATION, THE HOPI TRIBE, and THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE join in, concur with, and adopt the

1 Statements of Objection for all Watershed File Reports submitted 2 by the United States, as though each Tribe had submitted said bbjection on its own behalf. Respectfully submitted this 28th day of 4 5 6 Stanley M./ Follack, Attorney for THE NAVAJO NATION Navajo Nation Department of Justice 7 P.O. Drawer 2010 8 Window Rock, AZ 86515 (602) 871-6931 9 10 Reid Peyton Chambers, Attorney for 11 THE HOPI TRIBE Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse & Endreson 12 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20005 13 (202) 682-0240 14 15 Jeanne S. Whiteing, Attorney for THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE 16 Whiteing & Thompson 1136 Pearl Street, Suite 203 17 Boulder, CO 80302 (303) 444-2549 18 Copies of the foregoing were served upon each claimant to 20 which an objection was filed by the United States. Service was made by attaching a copy of this pleading to the objections served on each claimant by the United State 23

24

25

26

27

28

2