# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHT TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. 6417

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT FILED NO. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_ DOCKETED EX

RECOMMENDED FORM
FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE
Hydrographic Survey Report for the
Silver Creek Watershed

MAY 28 1991

O'CLOCK

MICHARD DE LUPKE, CLERK

DEPUTY

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Repo	ort No. 033- <u>56-ACAD-004</u> (Please insert no.)
O)	BJECTOR INFORMATION
Objector's Name:	Salt River Project
Objector's Name: Objector's Address:	Post Office Box 52025
	Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025
Objector's Telephone No: $\overline{}$	(602) 236-2210
Objector's Watershed File Report No. (If the Object shed):	tor's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Water-
033	<u>-</u>
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Obj	jector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
39- 82193	<b>-</b> 82206
39- <u>87343</u>	

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

- 1. I object to the description of LAND CHNERSHIP
- 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- X 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
  - 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
  - 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

# Watershed File Report: 033-56-ACAD-004 PETERSON, JACK G. & KAREN

LAKESIDE, AZ 85929

PAGE: 2

please attach supporting information and additional page	s as necessary).
SEE ATTACHMENT 1	·
I hereby make this objection on this 14th day of May, 19	9 <u>1</u> .
	David C. Rhate
	Signature of Objector
	FOR: Salt River Project
	(if in a representative capacity)
STATE OF Arizona VERIFI	CATION
	ompleted by Objector)
	this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing
	ormation contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on
	ne Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information
and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be	true
	James de Volante
	Signature of Objector
	Signature of objector
SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to	before me this <u>14th</u> day of <u>May</u> , 199 <u>1</u> .
OFFICIAL SEAL	Margaret a. Siellevan
Matery Public - State of Arizona	Notary Public for the State of _Arizona
MARICOPA COUNTY	Residing at Maricopa County
My Comm. Express Sept. 17, 1993	My commission expires
CERTIFI	CATE OF MAILING
Albumb has a sent about 24 years at	Total No. of the St. Co. of the St. Co.
	Ject to another Claimant's watershed file report.
	ed if you file an Objection to your own watershed on contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey
Report.)	To contained in vocales to the of the nyurographic survey
·	
I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection wa	s served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct
copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991 postage prepai	d and addressed as follows:
Name: PETERSON, JACK G. & KAREN	
Address P.O. BOY 13	

Barra C. Khar

(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

#### ATTACHMENT 1

#### WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for agricultural, recreational and other irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for these types of PWRs, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference. (This objection applies to: IR001.)

\* \* \* \*

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include transportation losses from the point of diversion to the place of use. (This objection applies to: IR001.)

# EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SILVER CREEK HSR

### **IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES**

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

#### Introduction

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, there are several technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including estimates of relative humidity, wind, evapotranspiration (ET) for pine trees, pasture peak use and effective precipitation. Although these problems are relatively small, the effect of these errors is magnified since consumptive use is divided by irrigation efficiency to calculate the water duty for irrigated land.

Second, the efficiency estimates used by DWR are inappropriate for the reasons set forth below in that section of the objections. Again, the effect of even a small error in efficiency estimates can result in a larger error in the resulting water duty.

Third, the irrigation water duties computed by DWR are inaccurate as a result of the technical errors in consumptive use and efficiency estimates discussed above and, further, are inconsistent with Arizona water law. The "maximum annual" and "average efficient" quantification methods employed by DWR do not properly estimate actual historic beneficial use as required by statute.

These objections are more fully set forth in the following sections.

# Relative Humidity

## p. A-4, lines 23-25

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of relative humidity from Winslow when data for the Show Low, Snowflake and Snowflake 15W weather stations can be converted to mean minimum relative humidity through the use of the 6AM and 6PM estimates adjusted with the assistance of "Useful Arizona Climatic Graphs and Data, Series #7."

#### Wind

#### p. A-4, lines 26-32

The Salt River Project object's to DWR's use of <u>wind travel</u> data at a height of 2 feet (Snowflake #15) and windspeed data at a height of 10 meters (Winslow) without converting to a 2 meter height as required by FAO Paper 24.<sup>1</sup>

$$WT_2 = WR_{.61}(2/0.61)^2 = 1.27 WT_{.61}$$

The windspeed data for Winslow can be adjusted by use of the formula:

$$W_2 = W_{10}(2/10)^2 = 0.72 W_{10}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The wind travel data for Snowflake can be adjusted by use of the formula:

### **Evapotranspiration for Pine Trees**

### p. A-6, Table A-2; p. A-10, Table A-4

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of inexplicably high evapotranspiration (consumptive use) values for pine trees as compared to all other crops. DWR has reported Christmas tree or pine tree consumptive use in its various management plans for Active Management Areas at about one-half of the value shown in Table A-2.

### Pasture Peak Use

### p. A-5, lines 30-31; p. A-7, Fig. A-1; p. A-8, Fig. A-2

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of pasture peak use that exceeds corn peak use. Corn peak use should be higher than pasture since it is taller and has a crop coefficient (kc) that is higher than that of pasture at peak use.

# **Effective Precipitation**

## p. A-9, lines 1-31

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to report how it estimates effective precipitation during the non-growing season. The Salt River Project also objects to the use of a 3-inch rather than 4-inch depth of irrigation water application in its estimation of growing season effective precipitation for alfalfa. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

### **Efficiency Estimates**

## pp. A-10 through A-13; pp. A-31 through A-65

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimates of efficiencies for water uses served by irrigation districts and major surface water diverters where average rates of diversion from a few measurements are used to calculate total deliveries and no consideration is given to supplemental supplies obtained by individual users. The Salt River Project also objects to the failure of DWR to include conveyance losses where appropriate in efficiency estimates in the "second procedure," which employs categories of systems.

## **Irrigation Water Duties**

#### pp. 101 through 125; pp. A-3 through A-65

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum annual" and "average efficient" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141.(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum annual" or "average efficient" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate actual historic beneficial use as required by law.

**Maximum Annual Quantification** 

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimates of maximum annual water duty since inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, low consumptive use crops or overly high efficiency estimates are used to calculate maximum annual water duty. An accurate estimate of maximum annual water duty is essential since that value will closely approximate the quantity of actual historic beneficial use. This objection applies to all irrigation (IR) and most recreation (RC) PWRs.

In addition, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to report maximum annual water duties at all for other (OT) and some recreation-related (RC) irrigation uses. The maximum annual water duties for these uses must be reported by DWR for consideration by the Master in determining entitlements.

Average Efficient Quantification

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's reporting of average efficient water duties in WFRs for irrigation uses since the methodology and results are inconsistent with Arizona law. In determining average efficient water duties, DWR uses the Arizona Groundwater Code Method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC). The ASFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historic information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. The use of the ASFC method to calculate water entitlements is objectionable for the following reasons.

First, the ASFC concept is entirely inconsistent with Arizona's doctrine of prior appropriation, which requires that the extent of an appropriator's water right be measured according to actual, rather than average, water use. Under the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet his needs.

Additionally, under the ASFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged between appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA. IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

DOCKETED 🔀

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

# RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed MAY 28 1997

NO. 1647

O'CLOCK

MAY 28 1997

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033-56 - ACAD - 004

(please insert no.)

#### **OBJECTOR INFORMATION**

Objector's Name:

United States of America

Objector's Address:

P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Objector's Telephone No.:

(505) 766 - 1060

Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver

Creek Watershed):

033- 42 - 088

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

# Please check appropriate box(es)

- [ ] 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- [xx] 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- [xx] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- [ ] 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER

## SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)

I hereby make this Objection on this 28TH day of	May, 1991. Signature of Objector
FOR:	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
	(If in a representative capacity)
know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in t	
SÜBSCRIBED AND SWORN to I	pefore me this <u>28th_day of May</u> , 199 <u>1</u> .
{SEAL}	Notary Public for the State of New Mexico Residing at Albuquerque  My commission expires 7-21-9.2
	TE OF MAILING

(Must be completed if you object to another Claimant's watershed file report. Does not need to be completed if you file an Objection to your own watershed file report or to information contained in Volumes 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name:

PETERSON, JACK G. & KAREN

Address:

P.O. BOX 13

LAKESIDE, AZ 85929

03356ACAD004

(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

2. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.

The amount of water identified by the claimant exceeds the normal quantity of water for a domestic claim established by the Arizona Department of Water Resources.

- 3. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.
- 8. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.
- 9. The use of water from this well or wells is challenged because the water withdrawn is sub-flow under state law and therefore must be administratively authorized or recognized in a judicial decree. Alternatively, the use is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. 6417

MAY 28 1991

DOCKETED.

RICHARD D. LOPKE CLERI

# RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE vdrographic Survey Report for the

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for **each** watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be **received** on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033- 56- ACAD- 004

(picase insert no.)

#### **OBJECTOR INFORMATION**

Objector's Name:

United States of America

Objector's Address:

P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Objector's Telephone No.: ( 505 ) 766 - 1060

Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek

Watershed):

033- 42 - 088

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(les) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

Please check

- [ ] 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- [XX]2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- [ ] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- [ ] 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- [·] 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ]10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ]11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER

## SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)

I hereby m	ake this Objection on this 28TH	day of May, 1991.  Signature of Objector
		FOR: UNITED STATES OF AMERICA (If in a representative capacity)
know the con	DF Bernallilo }(Must be er penalty of perjury that I am a claiman tents thereof; and that the information cose portions of the Objection which are in to be true.	FICATION  completed by Objector) t in this proceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing Objection and ontained in the foregoing Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, dicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions,  Signature of Objector  /ORN to before me this28th_day ofMay, 199_1.  Notary Public for the State ofNew Mexico  Residing at Albuquerque
	facur}	My commission expires 7-21-92
I hereby certification the Name: Address:	(Must be completed if you object to completed if you file an Objection to yo 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey Rep	n was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies
	LAKESIDE AZ 85929	(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)
Objections Courthouse	must be filed with the Clerk one, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 8	f the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County 5936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection

must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

## 033-56-ACAD-004 39-86832

2. The claimant for this stockpond (39-86832) failed to register the stockpond under the terms set forth in the Arizona Stockpond Registration Act (1977). Further, the claimant failed to file for use of surface water as mandated by the Arizona Surface Water Act (1974). Thus, the claimant has not complied with the legally enacted procedures for registering this stockpond, therefore the potential water right should be denied.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONIE CO. SUPERIOR COURT IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHENO.

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

# RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed

No. 6417 X 8 199	l
AT 9 GEORGE	A = 11.
RICHARD D. LUPKE, C	LERK Deputy

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This Objection is directed to Watershed File Report No.

033-56 - ACAD - 004

(please insert no.)

#### **OBJECTOR INFORMATION**

Objector's Name:

United States of America

Objector's Address:

P.O. Box 607, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103

Objector's Telephone No.:

(505) 766 - 1060

Objector's Watershed File Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver

Creek Watershed):

033- 42 - 088

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):

39-

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these categories). Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the following page.

# Please check appropriate box(es)

- [xx] 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- [xx] 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- [xx] 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- [xx] 4. I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 5. I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- [xx] 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [ ] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked and please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY NUMBER

SEE ATTACHED SHEET(S)

I hereby make	this Objection on this 28 day of	May 199 l
		FOR: United States of America (If in a representative capacity)
		(п пт в тертезеппавие сараску)
Objection and kno personal knowledge	Bernalilio (Must be completed by the control of perjury that I am a claimant in this with the contents thereof; and that the information of the contents the	
·· · .	SUBSCRIBED AND SWO	PRN to before me this $28$ day of $May$ , 1991.
	SEAL}	Notary Public for the State of New Mexico Residing at Man Albuquerque My commission expires 10/3/93
	(Must be completed if you object to anot	ATE OF MAILING  ther Claimant's watershed file report. Does not ction to your own watershed file report or to of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

copies thereof on the 28th day of May, 1991, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct

PETERSON, JACK G. & KAREN P.O. BOX 13 LAKKSIDE AZ 85929

(Signature of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf)

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache County Courthouse, P.O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

#### WFR #: 033-56-ACAD-004

1. Multiple claims are made for the same tracts of land. Lakeside Irrigation Company has claimed water rights for a service area covering this tract of land, but it does not own the land or have any right to serve it.

The claimant is not the landowner. There is no documentation presented that the claimant has the legal right to provide water to this property.

2. There is no legal basis for current water use since the landowners did not file their own Statement of Claimant.

The adjudication and pre-adjudication filings (made by others) do not claim Peterson Tank as a point of diversion. Therefore, water cannot legally enter the irrigation conveyance system to serve this property.

Filing 39-86832 covers Flag Hollow Lake which is not located on this property, and no use of water is made from this facility on this property.

These tracts are also within the service area claimed by the Lakeside Irrigation Company, which does not own the land or have any right to serve it.

There is no direct breakout between storage rights and direct flow rights relative to priority date and quantity of use.

3. The pre-adjudication and adjudication filings made by others do not provide a basis for delivering water directly to IR1. Further, these landowners did not submit their own Statement of Claimant, so their current uses are invalid.

This use area is also within the service area claimed by the Lakeside Irrigation Company, which does not own the land and has no right to serve it.

Storage rights must be separated out from direct flow rights.

4. Source of supply is not defined in sufficient detail. The claimed water source below the noted springs is not supported by historic use.

The use of domestic water from this well is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.

5. There is no detailed legal description of the actual irrigated use areas associated with this ownership compared to the service areas identified in filing 39-86831. These owners did not submit their own Statement of Claimant specifically for this property, so the current uses have no legal foundation.

There is no distinction made as to what lands are served from direct flow or storage.

WFR #: 033-56-ACAD-004

6. These owners made no adjudication filing for water out of the springs or Peterson Tank, no claim is made for Flag Hollow Lake by these owners, and this facility is not on this property. Therefore, no water right should be awarded from these facilities.

8. The owners did not make their own adjudication filings. Current uses are, therefore, invalid. Applicable pre-adjudication filings (made by others) do not support a water right or point of diversion for Peterson Tank. In any event, Peterson Tank's priority date is not before 1970.

There is no distinction between storage rights and direct flow rights for each tract of land. Priority dates should also be differentiated by source of supply.

The use of water from this domestic well is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal Indian rights and is contrary to state and federal law.

9. The average efficient water duty of 5.5 acre-ft/acre estimated by ADWR is unreasonable. The maximum annual water duty estimated for individual landowner by ADWR is too high. Water duty should be 2.8 acre-ft/acre.

No allocation of storage may be assigned to these owners from Peterson Tank or Flag Hollow Lake.

APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT
FILED
DOCKETED

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

MAY 29 1991

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

	ΔΤ .	O'CLOCK _	.4A	_M.
	RICHA	RD D. LUPKE	CLERK	
No.	6417	3P	_ DEI	YTUS

# RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

=	nis Obje	tion is directed to Watershed File Report No. 033- <u>56</u> - <u>A C A D</u> - <u>O O Y</u> (please Insert no.)
0	biecto	OBJECTOR INFORMATION  S Name:  Leterson, Jack G. E' Karen
	•	s Address: P.O. Box 131
<u></u>	hiector	S Telephone No.: (602) 368-5501
	•	s Watershed File Report No .(if the Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Water-
sh	ied):	033- <u>56</u> - <u>ACAD-004</u>
Or	Objec	or's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
	n ().	39
	5 35	STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION
Th eg	ories):	ving are the main categories of the typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these cat Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection
eg on Ple	ories): the fo ase che propriate	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k  cox(es)
eg on Ple	ories): the fo ase che	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.
eg on Ple	ories): the fo ase che propriate	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k  cox(es)
eg on Ple	ories): the fo ase che propriate	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k  cox(es)  lobject to the description of Land Ownership
eg on Ple Ple	ories): the for ase che propriate 1.	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  (k
eg on Ple Print I	ories): the forest chest of the forest chest o	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  (k
eg on Ple Print I	ories): the for ase che propriate 1. 2. 3.	Please check the category (ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  (k
	ories): the formula the formul	Please check the category (ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  (c) (c) (c) (c) (d) (d) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e) (e
	ories): the formula the formul	Please check the category (ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k cox(es)  I object to the description of Land Ownership  I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees  I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees  I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
	ories): the for ase che propriate 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k
	ories): the for ase che propriate  2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	Please check the category(ies) of the watershed file report to which you object, and state the réason for the objection lowing page.  k pox(es)  I object to the description of Land Ownership  I object to the description of Applicable Fillings and Decrees  I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Fillings and Decrees  I object to the description of the Diversions for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the description of the Uses for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)  I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)

		•			
-					
.ddress: _					
opies thered lame:	of on theda	y of	,199, postaç	ge prepaid and address	sed as follows:
hereby certi	fy that a copy of the fo	oregoing Objection was s	served upon the foll	owing Claimant(s) by m	ailing true and correct
	Report.)	nformation contained in V	Olulites   Ul Z Ol IN8	r rydrographic Survey	
	Does not need	to be completed if you t	file an Objection to	your own watershed	
	/Must be comp	CERTIFICATE	OF MAILING	vatershed file report	
	(SEAL)	•	Residing My com	at <u>Boucko</u> A nmission expires <u>4</u>	plan Co-
	÷		Notary F	Public for the State of	hyone
r	SUBSCRIBED A	ND SWORN to before a	me this <u>29</u> day	of May , 199	- 7
	<i>7</i> -		- ,	ı.	
	_		Signatu	re of Objector	son_
		ot for those portions of the ns, I believe them to be		indicated as being know	vn to me on information
objection an	d know the contents	that I am a claimant in thereof; and that the inf	formation contained	i in the foregoing Obje	ction is true based on
	Marajo	(Must be comple	eted by Objector)		Annah of 11
_	arenona	VERIFICATION	ON.		
			,		
			FOR:	In a representative capaci	y)
			F05		
	٠		Signature	allu Titus of Objector	
nereby ma	ke this ubjection on t	this <u>29</u> day of <u>W</u>	<u>ay</u> ,199 <u>1</u> .		
handler .	ha abla Official	00 1	1	1	
				<del></del>	<del></del>
				·	
<del>7</del>	()				
83119113	think you	r estimates	are extrem	uly low.	au asusy u
<del>5</del> 100 m	To do not feel	that there is		age describe	I in your rep
IUMBER .					
ple: TEGORY ( ) JUMBER	ase attach supporting 학교자	information and additio	nai pages as nece	ssary):	



# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA APACHE CO. SUPERIOR COURT

FILED

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

\_ DOCKETED 🗵 ND.

No. 6417 MAY 29 1991

# RECOMMENDED FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO THE

Hydrographic Survey Report for the Silver Creek Watershed

JULUCK LEGO M. RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK DEPUTY

Please file a separate objection for each watershed file report. Objections to information contained in Volumes 1 & 2 can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form is suggested. Objections must be received on or before May 29, 1991.

This O	Objection	on is directed to Watershed File Report No.	033- <u>56</u> - <u>ACAD</u> - <u>004</u> (please (neert no.)
-			OBJECTOR INFORMATION
Objector's Name:			Arizona State Land Department
Objec	ctor's	Address:	1616 West Adams
Ohier	ctor's	Telephone No.:	<u>Phoenix, Arizona 85007</u> ( 602 ) 542-3500
	ctor's	•	Objector's claimed water rights are located within the Silver Creek Water-
31160)	<b>)·</b>	033	•
Or Ob	bjecto		Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the Silver Creek Watershed):
egorion th	es). F e foll	ring are the main categories of the Please check the category(ies) of the lowing page.	TATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION  typical watershed file report (not all watershed file reports have all these cate watershed file report to which you object, and state the reason for the objection
Please approp		-	
	1.	I object to the description of Lan	nd Ownership
X	2.	I object to the description of App	olicable Filings and Decrees
	3.	I object to the description of DW	R's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
	4.	I object to the description of the	Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
	5.	I object to the description of the	
	_		Uses for the claimed water right(s)
	6.	I object to the description of Res	Uses for the claimed water right(s) servoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
_	6. 7.		~ , ,
		I object to the description of Sha	servoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
	7.	I object to the description of Sha I object to the PWR (Potential Wat	pervoirs used for the claimed water right(s)  ared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
	7. 8.	I object to the description of Sha I object to the PWR (Potential Wat I object to the description of Qua	pervoirs used for the claimed water right(s)  pred Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)  pler Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)

	The reason for my objection is as follows (please number please attach supporting information and additional page)	your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above;
CATEGORY NUMBER		, to to mossocia, <b>,</b> ,
		•
_2_	The amount of water claimed is excessive	e.
		M M
Lhereby	make this Objection on this <u>24th</u> day of <u>May</u> ,	199 1 . /// Janel
. 1101009	make this Objection on this <u>Z4111</u> day of <u>May</u> ,	199 1.
		STATE LAND COMMISSIONER
		Signature of Objector
		CHAMP OF ADIZONA (Chate Inch Deportment
		FOR: STATE OF ARIZONA (State Land Department (if in a representative capacity)
		(
STATE	OF ARIZONA VERIFICATION	
COUNT	Y OF MARICOPA (Must be completed by O	Objector)
I declare		roceeding; that I have read the contents of the foregoing
Objectio	in and know the contents thereof; and that the information	on contained in the foregoing Objection is true based on
my own i	personal knowledge, except for those portions of the Objecti	ion which are indicated as being known to me on information
and Dell	ef and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.	CMARIE TAND COMMISSIONED
		STATE LAND COMMISSIONER Signature of Objector
	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this	24thday of May 1991
	OFFICIAL SEAL	margaret L. Broceto
	Margaret L. Brocato	Notary Public for the State of ARIZONA
	(SEAL) Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY	Residing at 1616 W. Adams, Phoenix, Arizona 85007
	My Comm. Expires April 14, 1995	My commission expires
	The second secon	
	CERTIFICATE OF M	IAII ING
	(Must be completed if you object to another	
	Does not need to be completed if you file an (	
	file report or to information contained in Volumes	s 1 or 2 of the Hydrographic Survey
	Report.)	
l hereby	certify that a copy of the foregoing Objection was served in	upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct
	nereof on the <u>24th</u> day of <u>May</u> ,199 1	, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:
Name:	Jack G. & Karen Peterson	,
Address:	P.O. Box 13 Lakeside, Arizona 85929	
	Lakeside, Arizona 65929	
	$\wedge$	$\lambda$
	( 0	pol B. Jan
	(Clampatore	o of Objector or person mailing in Objector's behalf
	iolonature	o ol objector of detson mailing in udiector's behain

i ...

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Apache County, Apache, County Courthouse, P. O. Box 365, St. Johns, AZ 85936, on or before May 29, 1991. This means that the Objection must be received at the Clerk's office no later than 5:00 p.m. on Wednesday, May 29, 1991.

Stanley M. Pollack (S.B. No. 011046) Navajo Nation Department of Justice P.O. Drawer 2010 Window Rock, AZ 86515 Attorneys for THE NAVAJO NATION Reid Peyton Chambers Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse & Endreson 5 | 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20005 Attorneys for THE HOPI TRIBE Jeanne S. Whiteing Whiteing & Thompson 1136 Pearl Street, Suite 203 Boulder, CO 80302 Attorneys for THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE

NO. 4 SUPERIOR COURT DOCKETED ET MAY 2 8 1991

AT O'CLOCK 4.509 M.
RICHARD D. LUPKE, CLERK

FOR THE SILVER CREEK

WATERSHED

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

#### IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION
OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN
THE LITTLE COLORADO RIVER
SYSTEM AND SOURCE

THE NAVAJO NATION, THE
HOPI, TRIBE, THE SAN JUAN
SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE WITH
ALL OBJECTIONS SUBMITTED
BY THE UNITED STATES TO THE
HYDROGRAPHIC SURVEY REPORT

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

<u>Descriptive Summary</u>: The Navajo Nation, the Hopi Tribe, and the San Juan Southern Paiute Tribe (Tribes) join in, concur with, and adopt the Statements of Objection for all Watershed File Reports submitted by the United States.

Statement of Claimant Numbers: Not Applicable.

Date of Filing: May 29, 1991.

Number of Pages: 2 (Excluding Exhibit).

THE NAVAJO NATION, THE HOPI TRIBE, and THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE join in, concur with, and adopt the

1 Statements of Objection for all Watershed File Reports submitted 2 by the United States, as though each Tribe had submitted said bbjection on its own behalf. Respectfully submitted this 28th day of 4 5 6 Stanley M./ Follack, Attorney for THE NAVAJO NATION Navajo Nation Department of Justice 7 P.O. Drawer 2010 8 Window Rock, AZ 86515 (602) 871-6931 9 10 Reid Peyton Chambers, Attorney for 11 THE HOPI TRIBE Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse & Endreson 12 1250 Eye Street, N.W., Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20005 13 (202) 682-0240 14 15 Jeanne S. Whiteing, Attorney for THE SAN JUAN SOUTHERN PAIUTE TRIBE 16 Whiteing & Thompson 1136 Pearl Street, Suite 203 17 Boulder, CO 80302 (303) 444-2549 18 Copies of the foregoing were served upon each claimant to 20 which an objection was filed by the United States. Service was made by attaching a copy of this pleading to the objections served on each claimant by the United State 23

24

25

26

27

28

2