

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF APACHE

IN RE THE GENERAL
ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS
TO USE WATER IN THE LITTLE
COLORADO RIVER SYSTEM AND
SOURCE

CV6417-33-7108

**ORDER TO CORRECT MAP FOR
ABSTRACT**

CONTESTED CASE NAME: *In re Arizona Game & Fish Department-Pinetop Fish Hatchery*
HSR INVOLVED: Silver Creek Hydrographic Survey
DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY: Order requesting Arizona Game & Fish Department to file corrections to the map for proposed water right 033-56-DAB-001-SR001.
NUMBER OF PAGES: 5

On November 20, 2023, the Arizona Game & Fish Department (“AGFD”) filed Motion for Approval of Stipulation for Proposed Water Right Abstract for the proposed water right 033-56-DAB-001-SR001. It is understood the proposed abstract and

1 accompanying map were reviewed by all parties to the case and there were no further
2 objections to the abstract or map. However, the map provided may cause confusion in
3 the event the water right needs to be enforced.

4 **IT IS ORDERED** that prior to final approval of the proposed water right 033-
5 56-DAB-001SR001 the following edits must be made:


- 6 1. Fully Shade or hatch the wildlife stockponds to denote they contain water.
- 7 2. The blue line through the center of the map does not appear to be relevant to the
8 PWR so it should be removed. Otherwise, the relevance of the line should be
9 explained in the legend.
- 10 3. The three "NW" in the lower left corner of the map do not appear relevant to the
11 PWR therefore they should be removed, or their relevance explained.
- 12 4. The differentiation in the shading does not show up well. If the different
13 landowners surrounding the PWR are not relevant, then do not include.
- 14 5. The Arizona Game and Fish Department boundary looks good on the map but
15 does not show up in the printed legend. A heavier line or darker color would
16 improve readability. Additionally, ensure that all text in the legend is within the
17 printable margins.

18 The map, including the comments discussed above, is attached as Attachment A.


19 None of the edits ordered are substantive, however all parties shall have an
20 opportunity to review the edits prior to filing with the Court. Accordingly,
21
22
23

1 **IT IS FUTHER ORDERED** that the updated map will be circulated to all parties
2 prior to final filing with Court. The updated map shall be filed with Court no later than
3 **December 22, 2023.**

4
5 Signed this 1 day of December 2023

6 
7 _____
8 Sherri L. Zendri
9 Special Water Master

10
11
12
13 On December 1, 2023, the original of the foregoing
14 was delivered to the Clerk of the Apache County Superior
15 Court for filing and distributing a copy to all persons listed
16 on the Court-approved mailing list for this contested case.

17 
18 _____
19 Emily Natale
20
21
22
23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

ATTACHMENT A

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23



0 0.010.03 0.05

Map created 11/21/22 by AZGFD

The boundary looks good on the map, but does not show up in the printed legend. A heavier line or darker color would improve readability. It appears the text is outside of the printable margins.

Legend

- Streams
- Land Ownership Category**
- National Park Servi
- Private
- State Trust Land
- State and Regional Parks
- Arizona Game and Fish

The differentiation in the shading does not show up well. If the different landowners surrounding the PWR are not relevant then don't include.

Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/ NASA, USGS, Bureau of Land Management, EPA, NPS, USDA, Esri, NASA, NGA, USGS, FEMA