IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4 W111000185

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please use a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. The of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

111-19-DAC-001

Well No. or satalogued

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

City of Phoenix

Objector's Address:

Suite 800

251 W. Washington St.

Phoenix, AZ 85003

Objector's Telephone: (602)-262-6761

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed): N/A

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 or the HSR): N/A

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-07-7927; 39-05-50153 through 39-05-50155; 39-L8-37666 through 39-L8-37691

STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA **VERIFICATION**

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows: BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

STAR ROUTE 1 BOX 50

GILA BEND

AZ 85337



OFFICIAL SEAL CHARLENE ZAVALA

Notary Public - Arizona Principal Offices in **Maricopa County**

My Commission Expires May 24, 1999

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 18th day of May, 1992

Notary Public for the State of Arizona

Residing at: Phoenix, Maricopa County, Arizona My commission expires: MAY 24, 1992

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- 4. I object to the description of **Diversions** for the claimed water right(s)
- X 5. I object to the description of USES for the claimed water right(s)
 - 6. I object to the description of **Reservoirs** used for the claimed water right(s)
 - 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversion for the claimed water right(s)
- 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
 - 10. I object to the **Explanation** provided for the claimed water right(s)
- X 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category

Numbers

4

5

0

11

Attachment to Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC-001

PHOENIX OBJECTS TO CATEGORIES 4, 5, 8 AND 9 FOR THE REASON THAT: DWR IMPROPERLY EVALUATES CLAIM 39-0004934 FOR IRRIGATION USE BY FAILING TO CREATE A POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT IN ADDITION TO DM001 AND DM002. DWR'S FAILURE TO CREATE AN IRRIGATION POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT RESULTS IN THE ASSIGNMENT OF A QUANTITY OF USE FOR DM001 AND DM002 WHICH IS TOO SMALL TO SUPPLY BOTH THE IRRIGATION AND THE DOMESTIC USE (710, 1010) PHOENIX OBJECTS TO CATEGORY 11 FOR THE REASON THAT: A SIMILAR OBJECTION IS MADE BY PHOENIX TO VOLUME 1, PAGES C-1, F-10 AND F-11. (146)

Attachment to Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC-001

PHOENIX OBJECTS TO CATEGORIES 5, 8, AND 9 FOR THE REASON THAT: DWR FAILS TO INDICATE WHETHER THE QUANTITY ASSIGNED TO DM001 AND DM002 FOR ITS "PRIMARY" USE WILL BE ENLARGED IF DWR CHARACTERIZES THE IRRIGATION USE CLAIMED AS "INCIDENTAL" TO THE PRIMARY USE; FOR EXAMPLE, WILL THE .5 ACRE-FEET DEPLETION FOR A DOMESTIC USE IN THE WATER BUDGET BE ENLARGED OR REMAIN STATIC IF AN IRRIGATION USE IS IDENTIFIED BY DWR AS "INCIDENTAL" TO THAT DOMESTIC USE? (820) PHOENIX OBJECTS TO CATEGORY 11 FOR THE REASON THAT: A SIMILAR OBJECTION IS MADE BY PHOENIX TO VOLUME 1, PAGES 570, 571, AND F-10. (144)

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111000185

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

and the appears abjection for each Wetershoot Elle Deport. Zone 2 Well Deport or Catalogued Well Deport. Objections to information contained in Veters 4 of

•	l on one objection form. Object	•	•	•					
before May 18, 1992.	ron one objection form. Object	iono mast bo wit	aton. Coo or the form,	or a compa	tor reconnie, is requi	od. Objections must be	92	0	m 4 ≺ 4
This objection is direct	ed to Watershed				or Catalogued We	ill No.			3 0
File Report or Zone 21	Well Report No.	11119DAC	001						⊘ `.
		(please in:	ise insert no.)		(please insert no.)	1	ယ	_ ;	
							-	<u> </u>	<u>, i, </u>
		•	OBJECTOR INF	ORMAT	ION			£	> :
							င္ပာ	Ş	200 =
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Community		San Carlos Apache T	ribe;Tonto A	pache Tribe; Yavapai	i-Apache Indian Commu	inity, C ath ip∨	erde Res	ervalio
	C/O Cox & Cox		C/O Sparks & Siler,	P.C.				ح	,
Objector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O.	Box 4245	7503 First Street					- .'.	
	Phoenix, AZ 85030		Scottsdale, AZ 8525	1					
Objector's Telephone:	(602) 254-7207		(602) 949-1988						
Objector's Watershed	File Report or Zone 2 Well Re	port No. (if the O —	bjector's claimed wate	er rights are	within the San Pedro	River Watershed):			
Or Objector's Catalog	ued Well Number (if the Object	tor's claimed wat	er rights appear only i	n Volume 8	of the HSR):				
Or Objector's Stateme	ent of Claimant No. (if the Obje	ctor's claimed wa	ater rights are located	outside the	San Pedro River Wa	lershed):			
39-11-054	78 39-05-41142	39-07-126	39-07-1	2676	(39-05-50058)	39-07-12169			
39-U8-60083 39-L8-36340		39-L8-373	39-U8-6	3614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059)		

STATE OF ARIZONA

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 13 day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

Address: STAR ROUTE 1 BOX 50

GILA BEND AZ 85337

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions,

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this $\underline{6}$ day of

Public for the State of Ariz

OFFICIAL SEAL JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY

My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form. - 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership X 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees - 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees X 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s) - 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s) - 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s) - 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s) - 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s) X 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s) - 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s) - 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection) **REASON FOR OBJECTION** The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attached supporting information and additional pages as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief: CATEGORY NUMBER The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150). 2 HSR does not show a well registration filing (420). 9 HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000). 2 HSR does not show a quantity for pre-filing(s) (430). 2 Claim date from filing(s) and/or pre-filing(s) are inconsistent (478)(430). 2 Quantities from filing(s) and/or pre-filing(s) are inconsistent (478)(430).

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1.W2.W3 & W4 W1-11-000185

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Repo	rt. Objections 🌶
information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written.	
or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.	<i>7</i> 0 ;

This objection is directed to Watershed

File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No

<u>111</u> - <u>19</u> - <u>DAC</u> - <u>001</u> (please insert no.)

or Catalogued Well No.

ASARCO Incorporated (1263)

Hayden, Arizona 85235

(please insert no.)

P.O. Box 8

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name: Objector's Address:

Objector's Telephone No.:

Magma Copper Company (1267)

7400 North Oracle Rd

Suite 200

Tucson, Arizona 85704

(602) 575-5600

(602) 356-7811

* The names, addresses and telephone numbers of Objectors' attorneys are on the back of this form.

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed);

Magma Copper Company: 113-08-XXXX-022, et al. ASARCO Incorporated: 114-01-XXXX-005, et al. V

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

NOT APPLICABLE

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39 - NOT APPLICABLE

STATE OF ARIZONA

VERIFICATION

(must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the forgoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 11th day of

May , 199 2 , postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

and

STAR ROUTE 1 BOX 50

Address GILA BEND, AZ 85337

Major user codo:

1264

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portione

Signature of

Signature of Objector's Representative (ASARCO SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me



Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership
	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
⊠×	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
	6.	t object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
0	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
⊠ ×	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
	.· 10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
⊠x	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

(Robert B. Hoffman (004415)
Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468)
Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672)
SNELL & WILMER
One Arizona Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
(602) 382 - 6000

Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258)
Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637)
APKER, APKER, HAGGARD
& KURTZ, P.C.
2111 E. Highland, Suite 230
P.O. Box 10280
Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280
(602) 381 - 0085

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-000185

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed

File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

111-19-DAC -001 (please insert no.) or Catalogued Well No.

92

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

Salt River Project

Objector's Address:

Post Office Box 52025

Phoenix, Arizona 85072-2025

Objector's Telephone No:

(602) 236-2210

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (If the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro Watershed):

39-(07<u>01040</u>) 01041, 01206, 01207, 01998

39-05 50053, 50054, 50055

39-L8 35212, 35213

STATE OF Arizona

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF Maricopa

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 14th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name:

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

Address: STAR ROUTE 1 BOX 50

GILA BEND, AZ 85337

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on by own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 1st day of

May, 1992.

Notary Public for the State bf/At/Izona

Residing at Maricopa County

My commission expires _

OFFICIAL SEAL LINDA JEPPERSON Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC -001 Vol-Tab-Pg 3-2-015

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

PAGE: 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[]	1.	I obje	t to	the	description of	LAND OWNERSHIP
[]	2.	I obje	t to	the	description of	APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
[]	3.	I obje	t to	the	description of	DWR'S ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
[X]	4.	I obje	t to	the	description of	the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
[]	5.	I obje	ct to	the	description of	the USES for the claimed water right(s)
[]	6.	I obje	ct to	the	description of	RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
[]	7.	I obje	ct to	the	description of	SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
[X]	8.	I obje	ct to	the	PWR (POTENTIAL	. WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
(X)	9.	I obje	ct to	the	description of	f the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)

[] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)

[] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

CATEGORY Number	
	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
	objection statement.

PAGE: 1

Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC -001 Vol-Tab-Pg 3-2-015 BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 4 - DIVERSIONS

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to identify a point of diversion and determine a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). For purposes of administration, all PWRs must be assigned a point of diversion, as well as a diversion rate. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (0610). This objection applies to: DM001.

WFR CATEGORY 8 - PWR SUMMARY

The Salt River Project objects to the absence of an apparent date of first use for this Potential Water Right (PWR). Previous filings, where available, are the evidentiary foundation for the date of priority associated with any appropriative right. This PWR has been matched to a notice of appropriation. The date evidenced by the notice should form the basis for the apparent date of first use, unless sufficient historical evidence indicates a contrary date.

The Watershed File Report fails to articulate sufficient historical evidence to refute the priority date evidenced by the notice of appropriation matched to this PWR. In the absence of such evidence, the apparent date of first use assigned to this PWR should be the date evidenced by the notice (0910). This objection applies to: DM001.

* * * *

Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC -001 Vol-Tab-Pg 3-2-015

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

PAGE: 2

WFR CATEGORY 8 - PWR SUMMARY (continued)

The Salt River Project objects to the absence of an apparent date of first use for this Potential Water Right (PWR). Previous filings are the evidentiary foundation for the date of priority associated with any water right. This PWR has been matched to a notice of appropriation and a certificate of water right. The date of priority evidenced by the notice should form the basis for the apparent date of first use, unless sufficient historical evidence indicates a contrary date.

The Watershed File Report fails to articulate sufficient historical evidence to refute the date of priority evidenced by the notice of appropriation matched to this PWR. In the absence of such evidence, the apparent date of first use should be the date evidenced by the notice (0910). This objection applies to: DM002.

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the lack of specificity of the quantity of use assigned to this storage Potential Water Right (PWR). The Watershed File Report fails to indicate whether the volumetric quantity assigned to this PWR implies a continuous fill, one fill per year, or one fill only. Unless evidence from previous filings, or other sufficient historic evidence, indicates a clear intention to the contrary, the quantity of use assigned to a storage PWR should be sufficient to permit continuous filling of the storage reservoir (1050). This objection applies to: SR001.

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The maximum observed and regional methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for certain agricultural irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. The maximum potential method used by DWR for determining quantities of use is consistent with Arizona law; however, several technical corrections are necessary. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for this type of PWR, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies to: IR001.

* * * *

Watershed File Report: 111-19-DAC -001 Vol-Tab-Pg 3-2-015 BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY PAGE: 3

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE (continued)

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to assign a quantity of use to this Potential Water Right (PWR). All water rights subject to the court's jurisdiction must be quantified in accordance with A.R.S. § 45-257(B). This PWR is no exception (1010). This objection applies to: DM001 and DM002.

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: DM002, IR001 and SR001.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum observed" and "regional" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. §45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum observed" or "regional" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

The Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

Second, DWR's method to compute maximum observed water duty does not accurately estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use since it incorporates inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, deficit irrigation, five years or less of crop history, or overly high efficiency estimates.

Third, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). Under the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Fourth, there are a number of technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

Five Year Crop History pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro River.

Relative Humidity pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating nongrowing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that <u>average</u> effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation requirement. This means that in years of below-average precipitation, irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kcl and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

Deficit Irrigation

pp. C-4, C-5, C-54 through C-68

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of deficit irrigation values for the maximum observed quantification for water right entitlements. As noted above, maximum actual historical beneficial use is the proper measure of a water right entitlement, not current practice.

Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111000185

Major User No. 1264

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form. a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Gerk the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

111-19-DAC-001

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

Co-Objector's Name:

Co-Objector's Name:

United States of America

Gila River Indian Community

San Carlos Apache Tribe; Tonto

c/o Cox & Cox

Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian

Community; Camp Verde Reservation

c/o Sparks & Siler, P.C.

Co-Objector's Address:

Scottsdale, AZ 85251 Co-Objector's Telephone No.:

7503 First Street

Objector's Address:

601 Pennsylvania Ave.

Washington, D.C. 20004

Objector's Telephone No.: (202) 272-4059 / 272-6978 Suite 300 Luhrs Tower

Phoenix, AZ 85003

Co-Objector's Address:

Co-Objector's Telephone No.: (602) 254-7207

(602) 949-1998

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed-water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478

39-05-41142

39-07-12652

39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-U8-60083

39-L8-36340

39-L8-37360

39-U8-63614

39-07-12675

39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

111-19-DAC-001

Name:

BABOCOMARI RANCH COMPANY

Address: STAR ROUTE 1 BOX 50 GILA BEND AZ 85337

> (The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)



VERIFICATION(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I

or Co-Objector's Representative Signature of Co-Obje

day of May, 1992.

WFR No.: 111-19-DAC-001 Contested Case File: W111000185 Major User No. 1264 Page 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[1	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).		
[]	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s).		
[Χ	X]	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s).		
[X	X]	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s).		
ſ)	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s).		
ĺ	1	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s).		
ſ	1	б.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s).		
[X	X]	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s).		
ſ	1	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees.		
[Χ	X]	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees.		
[1	1.	l object to the description of Land Ownership.		

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

2. The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the pre-filings. (SM 430) (IR001)

The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the adjudication filings. (SM 478) (IR001)

The statement of claimant lists a use not verified by DWR. (SM 478)

The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

One or more of the filings or pre-filings as reported in this WFR is missing a point of diversion legal description. (SM 623) (1002034671100; 3600218910000; 3900049060000)

One or more of the POD legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 623) (3900049340000; 3900049350000)

One or more of the filings or pre-filings as reported in this WFR is missing a place of use legal description. (SM 720) (1002210211100; 1012094611100; 3600218910000; 3900049060000)

One or more of the POU legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 720) (1002034671100; 3R00011420000; 3900049340000; 3900049350000; 4A00031440000)

There is no quantity amount listed for a pre-filing and/or filing under this WFR. (SM 1000) (1002034671100; 1002210211100; 1012094611100; 3600218910000; 3900049060000; 3900049340000)

Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and

WFR No.: 111-19-DAC-001 Contested Case File: W111000185

Major User No. 1264

Page 3

regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

- 4. According to ADWR, the Point of Diversion (POD) identified as serving the Places of Use (POU) under this WFR is currently inactive. The claimant and/or ADWR need(s) to provide information regarding the POD that provides water to the POUs. (SM 500)
- 8. The use of water listed under this Watershed File Report is challenged because it interferes with downstream federal reserved rights and is contrary to state and federal law. (SM 560)

One or more of the POD legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 623) (3900049340000; 3900049350000)

One or more of the POU legal descriptions listed in the WFR is too general. (SM 720) (1002034671100; 3R00011420000; 3900049340000; 3900049350000; 4A00031440000)

The available historical record does not support the priority date listed in the ADWR analysis of Apparent First Use Date. (SM 920) (IR001)

Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

9. Applicable or potentially applicable filings indicate a volume of actual or claimed use less than the volume estimated by ADWR (both maximum observed and regional use for irrigation PWR's). The claimant is not entitled to more than actually used or claimed. (SM 1000)

ADWR uses a methodology that overestimates crop water requirements. (SM 1020)