

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA**  
7 **IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA**  
8

9 **IN RE THE GENERAL**  
10 **ADJUDICATION OF ALL**  
11 **RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN**  
12 **THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND**  
13 **SOURCE**

W-1 (Salt)  
W-2 (Verde)  
W-3 (Upper Gila)  
W-4 (San Pedro)  
Consolidated

Contested Case No. W1-11-0381

14 **ORDER GRANTING SALT RIVER**  
15 **PROJECT'S MOTION FOR**  
16 **CLARIFICATION OF PARTY STATUS**  
17 **AND TO BE ADDED TO COURT-**  
18 **APPROVED MAILING LIST**

19 **CONTESTED CASE NAMES:** *In re T.W. Manteufel*

20 **HSR INVOLVED:** San Pedro River Watershed Hydrographic Survey Report.

21 **DESCRIPTIVE SUMMARY:** Order granting the Salt River Project's motion for  
22 clarification and adding Salt River Project to the Court-approved mailing list.

23 **NUMBER OF PAGES:**

24 On March 18, ~~2024~~ the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power  
25 District and the Salt River Valley Water Users' Association (collectively "SRP") filed a  
26 motion requesting the Court clarify SRP's party status in this contested case, or  
27 alternatively to intervene, and be added to the Court-approved mailing list.

28 This case was initiated March 6, 2024, after the Arizona Department of Water

1 Resources (“ADWR”), upon request of the Court, filed a report indicating the claims on  
2 Cochise County Parcel 106-47-032D (owned by Thomas W. Manteufel) should be a  
3 separate case from the claims on Parcel 106-47-032H (owned by Terry Filloon). Arizona  
4 Department of Water Resources’ Notice of Filing Map and Report, October 25, 2023.  
5 Contested case W1-11-0384 was subsequently initiated to consider the claims to water  
6 rights on land investigated in Watershed File Report (“WFRs”) 111-20-CA-003.

7 WFR 111-20-CA-003 is only referenced in “Volume 8 – Catalogued Wells,” of the  
8 San Pedro River Watershed Hydrographic Survey Report, therefore SRP was not able to  
9 file a specific objection for WFR 111-20-CA-003 or the associated statements of claimant  
10 (SOC) 39-2997 and 39175991. However, SRP did file a general objection to the  
11 “Catalogued Wells” section of the HSR, opposing ADWR’s decision not to create a formal  
12 WFR for each catalogued well.

13  
14 **IT IS ORDERED** clarifying that Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and  
15 Power District and the Salt River Valley Water Users’ Association (collectively “SRP”)  
16 are parties to this contested case based upon their objection to ADWR’s decision not to  
17 create a formal WFR for each catalogued well.

18  
19 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** amending the mailing list in this contested case to

20 include SRP’s counsel: Mark A. McGinnis  
21 Michael K. Foy  
22 Katrina L. Wilkinson  
23 Kathryn M. Ust  
24 Salmon, Lewis & Weldon, P.L.C.  
25 2850 East Camelback Road, Suite 200  
26 Phoenix, AZ 85016  
27  
28

1 Signed this 21<sup>st</sup> day of March, 2024.  
2  
3

4 

5 Sherri L. Zendri  
6 Special Water Master

7 The original of the foregoing was delivered to the  
8 Clerk of the Maricopa County Superior Court on  
9 March 21, 2024, for filing and distributing a  
10 copy to all persons listed on the Court approved  
11 mailing list for W1, W-2, W-3, W-4, W1-11-  
12 0381, and W1-11-0384.

13 

14 Cheryl Kee  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28