

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA  
MARICOPA COUNTY

July 17, 2024

CLERK OF THE COURT  
A. Parmar  
Deputy

SPECIAL WATER MASTER  
SHERRI ZENDRI

In Re The General Adjudication of  
All Rights to Use Water in the Gila  
River System and Source  
W-1, W-2, W-3, W-4 (Consolidated)

FILED: July 17, 2024

In re: Ruth M. Ryan  
Contested Case No. W1-11-0384

**MINUTE ENTRY**

Courtroom 301 – Central Court Building

10:00 a.m. This is the time set for Status Conference to receive an update from the landowners before Special Water Master Sherri Zendri.

The following parties/attorneys appear virtually:

- Terry Filloon, landowner, present on her own behalf
- Robert Manteufel, landowner, present on his own behalf
- Thomas Manteufel, landowner, present on his own behalf
- Katrina Wilkinson observing on behalf of Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Maggie Woodward and Laura Boyer on behalf of the U.S. Proprietary Agencies
- Karen Nielsen on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”)
- Alexandra Corcoran-Shannon (paralegal for Susan Montgomery) on behalf of the Yavapai Apache Nation and observing for the Pascua Yaqui Tribe
- Sunshine Manuel on behalf of the Gila River Indian Community
- Joe Sparks and Alex Ritchie on behalf of the San Carlos Apache Tribe

- Katya Lancero observing on behalf of the Navajo Nation

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

**LET THE RECORD REFLECT** that all participants appear virtually through Court Connect.

**LET THE RECORD FURTHER REFLECT** that this matter is held at the same time of W1-11-0381 In Re: T.W. Manteufel as the issues and parties in the case are the same.

The Court inquires how the landowners would like to proceed.

Ms. Filloon addresses the Court. She indicates that if she paid the \$1,000.00 application fee she is still not guaranteed resolution in a timely manner. She reflects that she would not like to proceed with this matter and requests a dismissal.

Mr. Robert Manteufel addresses the Court. He states that he and his Father, Mr. Thomas Manteufel also do not wish to move forward and request a dismissal.

The Court reminds the parties that if the cases are dismissed today, the parties will not be able to re-open the case at a later date. The landowners affirm that they would still like to move forward with the dismissals.

Therefore,

**IT IS ORDERED** dismissing case W1-11-0384.

10:08 a.m. Matter concludes.

A copy of this order is mailed to all persons listed on the Court-approved mailing list.

**LATER:**

The parties have confirmed that they no longer wish to pursue any potential water rights connected to Statements of Claimant Nos. 9-11856, 39-175987, 39-175988, and 39-175992. Therefore,

**IT IS ORDERED** that no more action will be taken with respect to Watershed File Report No 111-20-CA-006.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** dismissing Statements of Claimant Nos. 9-11856, 39-175987, 39-175988, and 39-175992.