IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

W1-11-3121

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

or a computer racsimile, is required	Objections must be received of	n or before May 18, 199	<u>. </u>	
This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.	114 04 BAC (please insert no.)	019	or Catalogued Well No. (please insert no.)	
Objector's Name: Objector's Address: Objector's Telephone No.: Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 W Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the	257 - 745 /ell Report No. (if the Objector's //4 - 04	RDON, S RIZONA claimed water rights are 3AB - 119 appear only in Volume 8	within the San Pedro River Wate	FILED FILED
Address: SAFFORD DISTR	VERIFICATION f required, a copy of the wing Claimant(s) by Jady of and addressed as follows: MANAGEMEN ICT OFFICE you object to another vell Report, or Catalogued of the port, or Catalogued of the port, Zone 2 Well Report,	(must be completed I declare under penalty or the duly- authorized re contents of this Objectio contents thereof; and the true based on my own pr Objection which are indi- tellef and, as to those pr Signature of Objector or	of perjury that I am a claimant in tepresentative of a claimant; that in (both sides and any attachments hat the information contained in tersonal knowledge, except those cated as being known to me on it portions. I believe them to be true. Objector's Representative SWORN to before me this 3	I have read the s) and know the the Objection is portions of the information and e.

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

(



The following the are main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.	es).
1. I object to the description of Land Ownership	
□ 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees	
□ 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees	
☐ 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)	
5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)	
☐ 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)	
7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)	
8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)	
9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)	
□ 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)	
□ 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)	
CATEGORY NUMBER A BCM has no right to our irrig well. This irrig, well is on our dieded land. BCM no longer has control ather land. Otes now under State Jand grazing re This land was traded in 1986	·

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003121

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate the HSR can be state before May 18, 1992.	objection for each Watershed F d on one objection form. Objection	ie Report, Zone 2 Well ns must be written. Us	Report or Catalogued	Well Report. Objections puter facsimile, is requir	to information contained in ed. Objections must be re	Volume 1 of BY ALL
This objection is direction File Report or Zone 2 11404BAC 019				or Catalogued Wel		TELL CLE
		OBJE	CTOR INFORMA	TION		3
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Community	l	•	o Apache Tribe; Yavapai	Apache Indian Community	, Camp Verde Reservation
	C/O Cox & Cox	1	parks & Siler, P.C.			
Objector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. B	óx 4245 7503 F	First Street			İ
	Phoenix, AZ 85030		dale, AZ 85251			
Objector's Telephone	: (602) 254-7207	(602) 9	949-1988			
Objector's Watershed	File Report or Zone 2 Well Report	ort No. (if the Objector's	s claimed water rights a	re within the San Pedro	River Watershed):	
Or Objector's Catalog	ued Well Number (if the Objecto	 r's claimed water rights	appear only in Volume	8 of the HSR):		
Or Objector's Stateme	ent of Claimant No. (if the Object	 or's claimed water right	ts are located outside th	ne San Pedro River Wate	ershed):	
39-11-054	78 39-05-41142	39-07-12652	39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169	1
39-U8-600	083 39-L8-36340	39-L8-37360	39-U8-63614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059	į
STATE OF ARIZ	ONA					
		FICATION (must)	be completed by object	or)		i I
COUNTY OF MA		(20 20p.2.22 27 22,220	,		'
			l declare under ne	eriusy that I am a claimar	nt in this proceeding or the	duly-authorized
I hereby make this Oh	jection. I certify that, if required,	a conv of the	·		ead the contents of this Ob	
•	as served upon the following Cla	''	•			nformation contained in the
	ct copies thereof on the 18 d	1	-	•		e portions of the Objection
	repaid and addressed as follows	1	•			and, as to those portions,
			I believe them to b	_		
Name: BLM - SA	FFORD DISTRICT		000	ed of C	x S	be Jan
Address: 425 E. 4T	H STREET		V	, Acade		
750 6, 71			Signature of Obie	ctor or Objector's Repre	sentative	-
SAFFORI	O AZ 85546		2.3			!
	•		SUBSCRIBEDAN	ND SWORN to before me	e this 14 day of	
			May 1992.	ም ለ		į
(The above section m	ust be completed if you object to	another	Va	mes K. Kr	ttul	!
•	File Report, Zone 2 Well Report		Notary Public for	the State of Arizona		
	ort. It does not need to be compl	1	,	A TOTAL	OFFICIAL SEAL JAMES ROBERT RITTER	RHOUSE
	your own Watershed File Repo			A PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY OF	Notary Public - State of	Arizona
•	ed Well report; or to information	T .			MARICOPA COU	ATY !
	ographic Survey Report.)				My Comm. Expires Jan	. 0, 1907

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

The second se

		STATEMENT OF	THE OBJEC	TION	ساورون د میاستاند. (۱۱) دار کارگر	Commence	1
The Ple	e foll ase	owing the are main catégories of the typical Watershed File Report (check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason	Zone 2 Well Report for the objection o	ts and some V	Vatershed Fil this form	e Reports lack cent	in categories)
0	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership .		manara ma	elle and die deltytere bleine bestellt. Geografie	TODAMED MARKETANISM	1
Ŗ.	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees		<u>, </u>	· · ·	,	
0	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Dec	crees				
Ø	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right	ht(s)				
	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)		- *		The second	135 J
	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed wat	ter right(s)	•		Company of the	managerine Francis Services
	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the c	daimed water right	(s)		•	
0	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the cla	simed water right(s)			
ğ	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed wa	ater right(s)			•	
Ø	10	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s	s)				
0		Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for					
an CA	d ac						
	IMBE	See Attached					
_							
_							
_	_			 			
						,	
_							
	_						
_							
_							
_							
_							

- 2. HSR does not show Water Rights Registration for each Zone 1 Potential Water Right. (420)
- 2. HSR does not show a quantity of water in AFA for pre-filing(s) (430)
- The HSR shows that locations of PODS from filing(s) and/or pre-filing(s) are inconsistent. (478) (430)
- The HSR shows that claim dates from filing(s) and/or pre-filing(s) are inconsistent. (478) (430)
- 4. Use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150)
- 9. HSR does not show claimed water use rate. (1000)
- 10. Claimed uses were not found by DWR. (830)

IN THE SPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003121

The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of

File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.		AC 019			1
	(plane)				ı
	(blease)	insert no.)	(please insert no.)		I
				ထ္	육년
		OBJECTOR INFO			₩ .
Objector's Name: Gila River Indi	an Community	San Carlos Apache Tr	ibe;Tonto Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian C	ommunity Cam	o Verde Regervati
C/O Cox & Co	×	C/O Sparks & Siler, F		ŧ_	= 3 =
Objector's Address: Suite 300 Luhi	s Tower, P.O. Box 4245	7503 First Street		750	E & E
Phoenix, AZ 8	5030	Scottsdale, AZ 85251			*
Objector's Telephone: (602) 254-720	7	(602) 949-1988		ထဲ	07:
• , , , ,	one 2 Wall Boned No. (# the	Objector's alaimed water	rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed	2.0	H A

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

39-11-05478 39-U8-60083 39-05-41142 39-L8-36340

39-07-12652 39-L8-37360 39-07-12676

39-05-50058

39-07-12169

39-05-50059 39-U8-63614 39-07-12675

STATE OF ARIZONA

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 1 6 day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name:

BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

Address: 425 E. 4TH STREET

SAFFORD AZ 85546

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, o Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I helieve them to be true

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this $_6$ day of

Public for the State of Arizo

DEFICIAL SEAL JAMES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY

My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

	STATEMENT OF THE OBJE		
The foll	owing are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and so y (ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.	me Watershed, File Reports lack certain categories	. Please check the
- 1. I c	object to the description of Land Ownership	Comment of the Commen	
X 2. I	object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees		
· 3. l c	object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees		
X 4. I	object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)		
- 5. l c	bject to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)		
· 6. I c	bject to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)		<i>.</i>
7. ic	bject to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)		
- 8. I c	bject to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)		
K 9. I	object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)		
10. 1	object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)		
11. 0	ther Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)		
		ed above; please attached supporting information ar	d additional pages
4	The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150).	•	
2	HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).		
9	HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000).		,
2	HSR does not show a quantity for pre-filing(s) (430).		-
·			

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 W1-11-003121

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

	The San Teuro River	vvatcisited	
information contai	rate objection for each Watershed File Report, and in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on or simile, is required. Objections must be received	ne objection form. Objections must be written	
This objection is directed to	Watershed	or Catalogued Well No.	
File Report or Zone 2 Well I			T FILE
	(please insert no.)	(please insert no.)	
	OBJECTOR INFO	RMATION	=
Objector's Name:	Magma Copper Company (1267) ASARCO Incorporated (12	
Objector's Address:	7400 North Oracle Rd	P.O. Box 8	.00)
Objector a Address.	Suite 200	Hayden, Arizona 85235	
	Tucson, Arizona 85704	. 14, 46.1, 7 11.26.14 66.266	
Objector's Telephone No.:	(602) 575-5600	(602) 356-7811	
	resses and telephone numbers of Objectors' a		
•	ell Number (if the Objector's claimed water righ NOT APPLICA Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water right	ABLE phts are located outside the San Pedro River	Watershed):
STATE OF ARIZONA	<u> </u>		
71112011	VERIFICATION	ON (must be completed by objector)	
COUNTY OF MARIO		, , , , ,	
I hereby make this Objection forgoing Objection was serve true and correct copies there May , 199 2 , postage	n. I certify that, if required, a copy of the d upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing eof on the 111th day of e prepaid and addressed as follows: ORD DISTRICT	I declare under penalty of perjury that I an proceeding or the duly-authorized replacement; that I have read the contents (both sides and any attachments) and with the theory, and that the information contains is true based on my own personal knowled portions of the Objection which are in known to me on information and belief portions, I believe them to be true. Signature of Objector's Representative (oresentative of a of this Objection know the contents and in the Objection edge, except those adicated as being

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report)

Major user code:

Signature of Objector's Representative (ASARIO)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 11th day

Marianue Turisan Shippee



OFFICIAL SEAL

MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPEE Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires July 17, 1994 The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(les) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership
	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
⊠ ×	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
	5.	l object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
	6.	t object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
₩ ×	8.	1 object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
⊠ ×	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

REASON FOR OBJECTION

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415)
Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468)
Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672)
SNELL & WILMER
One Arizona Center
Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001
(602) 382 - 6000

Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1, W2, W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-003121

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for eact to information contained in Volume 1 of this form, or a computer facsimile, is r	the HSR can be st	tated on one objection form. Objec	tions must be written. Use of
This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.	<u>114-04-BAC -01</u> (please insert n	<u>9</u>	or Catalogued Watt No.
	OBJECTOR	INFORMATION	₹ C
Objector's Name:	Salt	River Project	
Objector's Address:		Office Box 52025	- Ama
		nix, Arizona 85072-20	25 ~ (7)
Objector's Telephone No:			6
Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone River Watershed):			r rights are within the San Pedro
Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (i	f the Objector's	claimed water rights appear only in	n Volume 8 of the HSR):
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No.	(if the Objector	's claimed water rights are located	d outside the San Pedro Watershed):
39-07 <u>010</u>	040 // 01041,	01206, 01207, 01998	
39-05 <u>500</u>	50054,	50055	
39-L8 <u>35</u> 2	212, 35213		
STATE OF Arizona COUNTY OF Maricopa	VERI	FICATION (must be completed	by objector)
I hereby make this Objection. I certify required, copy of the foregoing Objection upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing correct copies thereof on the 14th day of postage prepaid and addressed as follows Name: BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT Address: 425 E. 4TH STREET SAFFORD, AZ 85546	on was served ng true and of <u>May</u> , 199 <u>2</u> , s:	I declare under penalty of perjury proceeding or the duly-authorized that I have read the contents of t sides and any attachments) and known and that the information contained based on by own personal knowledge of the Objection which are indicat on information and belief and, as I believe them to be true. Signature of Objector or Objector	representative of a claimant; this Objection (both ow the contents thereof; d in the Objection is true e, except those portions ted as being known to me to those portions,
(The above section must be completed if to another claimant's Watershed File Rep Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report, need to be completed if you file an obje own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well R Catalogued Well Report, or to information in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey R	oort, Zone 2 It does not ection to your Report, on contained	Notary Public for the State of Residing at Maricopa County	pperson

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

My commission expires

Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

PAGE: 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

				the	desc	ripti	on of	f LAND OWNERSHIP
[]	1.	1 obje	ct to			•	- 1	LAND GWILLOTT
()	2.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on of	f APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
[]	3.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on of	f DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
[]	4.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on of	f the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
[]	5.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on of	f the USES for the claimed water right(s)
()	6.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on o	f RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
[]	7.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on o	f SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
[]	8.	I obje	ct to	the	PWR	(POTE	IA CTN	L WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
[X]	9.	I obje	ct to	the	desc	ripti	on of	f the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
[]	10.	I obje	ct to	the	EXP	ANAT	ОМ рі	rovided for the claimed water right(s)
[]	11.	Other	Objec	tion	s (pl	ease	state	e volume number, page number and line number for each objection)
	The	reasor	for	ام دست				REASON FOR OBJECTION
	ple	ase att	ach s	uppo	bjec1 rting	ion i	s as rmat	follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; ion and additional pages as necessary):
Ci	ple ATEGOR NUMBE	ase att	ach s	suppo	bjec1 rting	ion i	s as	follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; ion and additional pages as necessary):
Ci	ple ATEGO	ase att	ach s	uppo	rting	j info	orma't	follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; ion and additional pages as necessary): NT 1
C	ple ATEGO	ase att	ach s	EE	AT	TAC	HME	ion and additional pages as necessary):
Ci	ple ATEGO	ase att	ach s	EE n t	AT	TAC	HME	NT 1
C	ple ATEGO	ase att	sch s	EE n t	AT	TAC s a	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the
C/	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management
C	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each
	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each
C/	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each
C	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each
C/	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT:hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each
C	ple ATEGO	ase att	S C	EE n t	AT:hi	TAC s a l M	HME tta	NT 1 chment the uniform code designated by the er in accordance with Case Management is shown in parenthesis following each

PAGE: 1

ATTACHMENT 1

WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The maximum observed and regional methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for certain agricultural irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. The maximum potential method used by DWR for determining quantities of use is consistent with Arizona law; however, several technical corrections are necessary. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for this type of PWR, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies to: IR003.

* * * *

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: IR003.

EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum observed" and "regional" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum observed" or "regional" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

The Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

Second, DWR's method to compute maximum observed water duty does not accurately estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use since it incorporates inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, deficit irrigation, five years or less of crop history, or overly high efficiency estimates.

Third, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. | Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Fourth, there are a number of technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

Five Year Crop History

pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

Adjusted Weather Data pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro River.

Relative Humidity

pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C+29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used minimum relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for Arizona Climate, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

Growing Season pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating nongrowing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent; probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation This means that in years of below-average precipitation, requirement. irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

Crop Coefficients

p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kc1 and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

Alfalfa Stand Establishment p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

Deficit Irrigation

pp. C-4, C-5, C-54 through C-68

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of deficit irrigation values for the maximum observed quantification for water right entitlements. As noted above, maximum actual historical beneficial use is the proper measure of a water right entitlement, not current practice.

Efficiency Estimates

pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111003121

MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this formation a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992. Objections must be filed with the Clerk o the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.

114-04-BAC-019

or Catalogued Well No.

(please insert no.)

(please insert no.)

OBJECTOR INFORMATION

Objector's Name:

United States Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management

Objector's Address: Arizona State Office 3707 North 7th Street P.O. Box 16563 Phoenix, AZ 85011

Objector's Telephone No.:

(602) 640-5512

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed);

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

STATE OF ARIZONA COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the 18th day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

114-04-BAC-019

Name:

BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

Address:

425 E. 4TH STREET SAFFORD AZ 85546

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

VERIFICATION(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this effection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except these portions of the Objection which are indicated wn to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I to be true.

My Commission Expires April 23, 1993

WFR No.: 114-04-BAC-019 Contested Case File: W111003121

Page 2

STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[XX]	1.	l object to the description of Lend Ownership.
[XX]	2.	l object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees.
[XX]	3.	l object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees.
[]	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	5.	l object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s).
[]	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s).
[]	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	8.	l object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	9.	l object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	10.	I object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s).

REASON FOR OBJECTION

Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

- 1. The Bureau of Land Management objects to inaccurate ownership status reported by ADWR in this Watershed File Report. If the court determines that the entitlement must be held by the landowner, the United States respectfully requests the court to substitute the Bureau of Land Management as the owner of the entitlement so the priority date is not forfeited and a hardship is not worked on the lessee or permittee claimant. (310, 320)
- 2. Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

The quantity of water use listed by the claimant and or evaluated by ADWR under this Watershed File Report is inaccurate and should be adjusted prior to the final adjudication. (1010)

3. The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's incomplete or inaccurate creation or preparation of Potential Water Rights (PWR's). (220)

The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's inaccurate analysis of information provided in the pre-filings and/or filings listed in this Watershed File Report. (410, 471)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

- 5. ADWR failed to identify and/or associate filings and/or prefilings with water uses under this Watershed File Report. (410)
- 8. The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's incomplete or inaccurate creation or preparation of Potential Water Rights (PWR's). (220)

WFR No.: 114-04-BAC-019
Contested Case File: W111003121

Page 3

Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

ADWR failed to identify and/or associate filings and/or prefilings with water uses under this Watershed File Report. (410)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

The priority date assigned to some of the uses listed under this Watershed File Report are inaccurate. (920)

9. Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)

The quantity of water use listed by the claimant and\or evaluated by ADWR under this Watershed File Report is inaccurate and should be adjusted prior to the final adjudication. (1010)

10. The Bureau of Land Management objects to the fact that ADWR has inaccurately or incompletely explained information related to this Watershed File Report. (210)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)