

SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
MARICOPA COUNTY

11/04/2021

SPECIAL WATER MASTER SUSAN WARD HARRIS

In re: The General Adjudication of All Rights To Use Water in the Gila River System
and Source

Contested Case No. W1-11-3374

Contested Case Name In Re Kaibab Industries

In Re: Status Conference

CLERK OF THE COURT

L. Brown

Deputy

FILED: 11/29/2021

MINUTE ENTRY

Central Court Building- Courtroom 301

3:47 p.m. This is the time set for a Status Conference In Re Kaibab Industries
before Special Master Susan Ward Harris.

The following attorneys and parties appear via Court Connect/ Microsoft Teams
and via telephone:

- Mark McGinnis on behalf of Salt River Project (“SRP”)
- Carla Consoli on behalf of Arizona Chapter The Nature Conservancy
- Kimberly Parks, on behalf of Arizona Department of Water Resources (“ADWR”)
- Lauren Mulhearn observing on behalf of the Tonto Apache Tribe
- Joseph Sparks on behalf of San Carlos Apache Tribe

- Kevin Crestin on behalf of the Arizona State Land Department
- Anna Magoffin on behalf of the Matthew Magoffin and Magoffin Family Trust
- Leroy Zatchek on behalf of the Hughes Zatchek Trust
- David Brown and Garrett Perkins observing on behalf of Michael and Susan Cavender
- Brad Pew on behalf of ASAARCO
- Robin Interpreter on behalf of the Yavapai Apache Nation
- Tom Murphy on behalf of Gila River Indian Tribe
- John Burnside on behalf of BHP Cooper

A record of the proceedings is made digitally in lieu of a court reporter.

The Court states this case which involves WFR 115-05-055 and Frog Pond

Mrs. Magoffin states we have the certificate of water right on that now.

The Court asks Mrs. Magoffin what needs to be done on this claim.

Mrs. Magoffin states it is complete.

The Court address Mr. Crestin about the approved abstract issued on January 11, 2021. Do you have a problem with that abstract which names that Matthew and Anna Magoffin as Trustees in the Magoffin Family Trust as the owner of water right to Frog Pond?

Mr. Crestin states he agrees with that abstract and that he believes the original issue was that Mrs. Magoffin did not receive the order that approved that abstract, but believes that she has since received it.

The Court asks Mrs. Magoffin if she has received the approved abstract.

Mrs. Magoffin states yes she has.

This matter is concluded and no further action is to be taken in this case.

3:49 p.m. Matter concludes.

NOTE: All court proceedings are recorded digitally and not by a court reporter. The parties or counsel may request a CD of the proceedings. For copies of hearings or trial proceedings recorded previously, please call Electronic Records Services at 602-506-7100.

Ex parte communications with the court about this case are forbidden.

A copy of this order is mailed to all parties on the Court-approved mailing list for this contested case.