OASIS

₁

Web Services Security
UsernameToken Profile 1.0

OASIS Standard 200401, March 2004

Document identifier:

  *{WSS: SOAP Message Security }-{UsernameToken Profile }-{1.0} (*Word*) (*PDF*)*

Document Location:

  http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-username-token-profile-1.0

Errata Location:

  http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss

Editors:

Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Phil	Griffin	Individual
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun

Contributors:

Gene	Thurston	AmberPoint
Frank	Siebenlist	Argonne National Lab
Merlin	Hughes	Baltimore Technologies
Irving	Reid	Baltimore Technologies
Peter	Dapkus	BEA
Hal	Lockhart	BEA
Symon	Chang	CommerceOne
Srinivas	Davanum	Computer Associates
Thomas	DeMartini	ContentGuard
Guillermo	Lao	ContentGuard
TJ	Pannu	ContentGuard
Shawn	Sharp	Cyclone Commerce
Ganesh	Vaideeswaran	Documentum

Sam	Wei	Documentum
John	Hughes	Entegrity
Tim	Moses	Entrust
Toshihiro	Nishimura	Fujitsu
Tom	Rutt	Fujitsu
Yutaka	Kudo	Hitachi
Jason	Rouault	HP
Paula	Austel	IBM
Bob	Blakley	IBM
Joel	Farrell	IBM
Satoshi	Hada	IBM
Maryann	Hondo	IBM
Michael	McIntosh	IBM
Hiroshi	Maruyama	IBM
David	Melgar	IBM
Anthony	Nadalin	IBM
Nataraj	Nagaratnam	IBM
Wayne	Vicknair	IBM
Kelvin	Lawrence	IBM (co-Chair)
Don	Flinn	Individual
Bob	Morgan	Individual
Bob	Atkinson	Microsoft
Keith	Ballinger	Microsoft
Allen	Brown	Microsoft
Paul	Cotton	Microsoft
Giovanni	Della-Libera	Microsoft
Vijay	Gajjala	Microsoft
Johannes	Klein	Microsoft
Scott	Konersmann	Microsoft
Chris	Kurt	Microsoft

Brian	LaMacchia	Microsoft
Paul	Leach	Microsoft
John	Manferdelli	Microsoft
John	Shewchuk	Microsoft
Dan	Simon	Microsoft
Hervey	Wilson	Microsoft
Chris	Kaler	Microsoft (co-Chair)
Prateek	Mishra	Netegrity
Frederick	Hirsch	Nokia
Senthil	Sengodan	Nokia
Lloyd	Burch	Novell
Ed	Reed	Novell
Charles	Knouse	Oblix
Steve	Anderson	OpenNetwork (Sec)
Vipin	Samar	Oracle
Jerry	Schwarz	Oracle
Eric	Gravengaard	Reactivity
Stuart	King	Reed Elsevier
Andrew	Nash	RSA Security
Rob	Philpott	RSA Security
Peter	Rostin	RSA Security
Martijn	de Boer	SAP
Blake	Dournaee	Sarvega
Pete	Wenzel	SeeBeyond
Jonathan	Tourzan	Sony
Yassir	Elley	Sun Microsystems
Jeff	Hodges	Sun Microsystems
Ronald	Monzillo	Sun Microsystems
Jan	Alexander	Systinet
Michael	Nguyen	The IDA of Singapore

Don	Adams	TIBCO
John	Weiland	US Navy
Phillip	Hallam-Baker	VeriSign
Mark	Hays	Verisign
Hemma	Prafullchandra	VeriSign

14

15 **Abstract:**

16   This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the Web Services
17   Security (WSS) specification.

18 **Status:**

19   This is a technical committee document submitted for consideration by the OASIS Web
20   Services Security (WSS) technical committee. Please send comments to the editors.

21   If you are on the wss@lists.oasis-open.org list for committee members, send comments
22   there. If you are not on that list, subscribe to the wss-comment@lists.oasis-open.org list
23   and send comments there. To subscribe, send an email message to wss-comment-
24   request@lists.oasis-open.org with the word "subscribe" as the body of the message.

25   For patent disclosure information that may be essential to the implementation of this
26   specification, and any offers of licensing terms, refer to the Intellectual Property Rights
27   section of the OASIS Web Services Security Technical Committee (WSS TC) web page
28   at http://www.oasis-open.org/committees/wss/ipr.php. General OASIS IPR information
29   can be found at http://www.oasis-open.org/who/intellectualproperty.shtml.

30 **Table of Contents**

44

1 Introduction

This document describes how to use the UsernameToken with the WSS: SOAP Message Security specification [WSS]. More specifically, it describes how a web service consumer can supply a UsernameToken as a means of identifying the requestor by "username", and optionally using a password (or shared secret, or password equivalent) to authenticate that identity to the web service producer.

This section is non-normative.

2 Notations and Terminology

This section specifies the notations, namespaces, and terminology used in this specification.

2.1 Notational Conventions

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119].

When describing abstract data models, this specification uses the notational convention used by the XML Infoset. Specifically, abstract property names always appear in square brackets (e.g., [some property]).

When describing concrete XML schemas [XML-Schema], this specification uses the notational convention of WSS: SOAP Message Security. Specifically, each member of an element's [children] or [attributes] property is described using an XPath-like [XPath] notation (e.g., /x:MyHeader/x:SomeProperty/@value1). The use of {any} indicates the presence of an element wildcard (`<xs:any/>`). The use of @{any} indicates the presence of an attribute wildcard (`<xs:anyAttribute/>`).

Commonly used security terms are defined in the Internet Security Glossary [SECGLO]. Readers are presumed to be familiar with the terms in this glossary as well as the definition in the Web Services Security specification.

2.2 Namespaces

Namespace URIs (of the general form "some-URI") represents some application-dependent or context-dependent URI as defined in RFC 2396 [URI]. This specification is designed to work with the general SOAP [SOAP11, SOAP12] message structure and message processing model, and should be applicable to any version of SOAP. The current SOAP 1.1 namespace URI is used herein to provide detailed examples, but there is no intention to limit the applicability of this specification to a single version of SOAP.

The namespaces used in this document are shown in the following table (note that for brevity, the examples use the prefixes listed below but do not include the URIs – those listed below are assumed).

Prefix	Namespace
S11	http://schemas.xmlsoap.org/soap/envelope/

S12	http://www.w3.org/2003/05/soap-envelope
wsse	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-secext-1.0.xsd
wsu	http://docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-wssecurity-utility-1.0.xsd

81   The URLs provided for the *wsse* and *wsu* namespaces can be used to obtain the schema files.

82   2.3 Acronyms and Abbreviations

83   The following (non-normative) table defines acronyms and abbreviations for this document.

Term	Definition
SHA	Secure Hash Algorithm
SOAP	Simple Object Access Protocol
URI	Uniform Resource Identifier
UCS	Universal Character Set
UTF8	UCS Transformation Format, 8-bit form
XML	Extensible Markup Language

84   3 UsernameToken Extensions

85   3.1 Usernames and Passwords

86   The `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element is introduced in the WSS: SOAP Message Security
87   documents as a way of providing a username.

88   Within `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element, a `<wsse:Password>` element may be specified.
89   Passwords of type `wsse:PasswordText and wsse:PasswordDigest` are not limited to
90   actual passwords, although this is a common case. Any password equivalent such as a derived
91   password or S/KEY (one time password) can be used. Having a type of `wsse:PasswordText,`
92   `wsse:PasswordDigest` merely implies that the information held in the password is "in the
93   clear", as opposed to holding a "digest" of the information. For example, if a server does not have
94   access to the clear text of a password but does have the hash, then the hash is considered a
95   *password equivalent* and can be used anywhere where a "password" is indicated in this
96   specification. It is not the intention of this specification to require that all implementations have
97   access to clear text passwords.

98   Passwords of type `wsse:PasswordText and wsse:PasswordDigest` are defined as being
99   the Base64 [XML-Schema] encoded, SHA-1 hash value, of the UTF8 encoded password (or
100   equivalent). However, unless this digested password is sent on a secured channel or the token is
101   encrypted, the digest offers no real additional security over use of `wsse:PasswordText and`
102   `wsse:PasswordDigest.`

103 Two optional elements are introduced in the `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element to provide a
104 countermeasure for replay attacks: `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>`. A nonce is a
105 random value that the sender creates to include in each UsernameToken that it sends. Although
106 using a nonce is an effective countermeasure against replay attacks, it requires a server to
107 maintain a cache of used nonces, consuming server resources. Combining a nonce with a
108 creation timestamp has the advantage of allowing a server to limit the cache of nonces to a
109 "freshness" time period, establishing an upper bound on resource requirements. If either or both
110 of `<wsse:Nonce>` and `<wsu:Created>` are present they MUST be included in the digest value
111 as follows:

112

113 Password_Digest = Base64 (SHA-1 (nonce + created + password))

114

115 That is, concatenate the nonce, creation timestamp, and the password (or shared secret or
116 password equivalent), digest the combination using the SHA-1 hash algorithm, then include the
117 Base64 encoding of that result as the password (digest). This helps obscure the password and
118 offers a basis for preventing replay attacks. For web service producers to effectively thwart replay
119 attacks, three counter measures are RECOMMENDED:

120   1.   It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers reject any UsernameToken *not*
121   using *both* nonce *and* creation timestamps.

122   2.   It is RECOMMENDED that web service producers provide a timestamp "freshness"
123   limitation, and that any UsernameToken with "stale" timestamps be rejected. As a
124   guideline, a value of five minutes can be used as a minimum to detect, and thus
125   reject, replays.

126   3.   It is RECOMMENDED that used nonces be cached for a period at least as long as
127   the timestamp freshness limitation period, above, and that UsernameToken with
128   nonces that have already been used (and are thus in the cache) be rejected.

129 Note that the nonce is hashed using the octet sequence of its decoded value while the timestamp
130 is hashed using the octet sequence of its UTF8 encoding as specified in the contents of the
131 element.

132 Note that `wsse:PasswordDigest` can only be used if the plain text password (or password
133 equivalent) is available to both the requestor and the recipient.

134 Note that the secret is put at the end of the input and not the front. This is because the output of
135 SHA-1 is the function's complete state at the end of processing an input stream. If the input
136 stream happened to fit neatly into the block size of the hash function, an attacker could extend
137 the input with additional blocks and generate new/unique hash values knowing only the hash
138 output for the original stream. If the secret is at the end of the stream, then attackers are
139 prevented from arbitrarily extending it -- since they have to end the input stream with the
140 password which they don't know. Similarly, if the nonce/created was put at the end, then an
141 attacker could update the nonce to be nonce+created, and add a new created time on the end to
142 generate a new hash.

143 The countermeasures above do not cover the case where the token is replayed to a different
144 receiver. There are several (non-normative) possible approaches to counter this threat, which
145 may be used separately or in combination. Their use requires pre-arrangement (possibly in the
146 form of a separately published profile which introduces new password type) among the
147 communicating parties to provide interoperability:

148   •   including the username in the hash, to thwart cases where multiple user accounts
149   have matching passwords (e.g. passwords based on company name)

150	•	including the domain name in the hash, to thwart cases where the same
151		username/password is used in multiple systems
152	•	including some indication of the intended receiver in the hash, to thwart cases where
153		receiving systems don't share nonce caches (e.g., two separate application clusters
154		in the same security domain).

155   The following illustrates the XML syntax of this element:

156

```
157  <wsse:UsernameToken wsu:Id="Example-1">
158     <wsse:Username> ... </wsse:Username>
159     <wsse:Password Type="..."> ... </wsse:Password>
160     <wsse:Nonce EncodingType="..."> ... </wsse:Nonce>
161     <wsu:Created> ... </wsu:Created>
162  </wsse:UsernameToken>
```

163

164   The following describes the attributes and elements listed in the example above:

165   /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password

166   This optional element provides password information (or equivalent such as a hash). It is
167   RECOMMENDED that this element only be passed when a secure transport (e.g.
168   HTTP/S) is being used or if the token itself is being encrypted.

169   /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@Type

170   This optional URI attribute specifies the type of password being provided. The table
171   below identifies the pre-defined types (note that the URI fragments are relative to the URI
172   for this specification).

173

URI	Description
#PasswordText (default)	The actual password for the username, the password hash, or derived password or S/KEY. This type should be used when hashed password equivalents that do not rely on a nonce or creation time are used, or when a digest algorithm other than SHA1 is used.
#PasswordDigest	The digest of the password (and optionally nonce and/or creation timestame) for the username using the algorithm described above.

174

175   /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Password/@{any}

176   This is an extensibility mechanism to allow additional attributes, based on schemas, to be
177   added to the element.

178   /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce

179   This optional element specifies a cryptographically random nonce. Each message
180   including a `<wsse:Nonce>` element MUST use a new nonce value in order for web
181   service producers to detect replay attacks.

182   /wsse:UsernameToken/wsse:Nonce/@EncodingType

183	This optional attribute URI specifies the encoding type of the nonce (see the definition of
184	`<wsse:BinarySecurityToken>` for valid values). If this attribute isn't specified then
185	the default of Base64 encoding is used.

186 /wsse:UsernameToken/wsu:Created

187 The optional `<wsu:Created>` element specifies a timestamp used to indicate the
188 creation time. It is defined as part of the `<wsu:Timestamp>` definition.

189 All compliant implementations MUST be able to process the `<wsse:UsernameToken>` element.
190 Where the specification requires that an element be "processed" it means that the element type
191 MUST be recognized to the extent that an appropriate error is returned if the element is not
192 supported.

193 Note that `<wsse:KeyIdentifier>` and `<ds:KeyName>` elements as described in the WSS:
194 SOAP Message Security specification are not supported in this profile.

195 The following example illustrates the use of this element. In this example the password is sent as
196 clear text and therefore this message should be sent over a confidential channel:

197

```
198  <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="...">
199     <S11:Header>
200        ...
201        <wsse:Security>
202           <wsse:UsernameToken>
203              <wsse:Username>Zoe</wsse:Username>
204              <wsse:Password>IloveDogs</wsse:Password>
205           </wsse:UsernameToken>
206        </wsse:Security>
207        ...
208     </S11:Header>
209     ...
210  </S11:Envelope>
```

211

212 The following example illustrates using a digest of the password along with a nonce and a
213 creation timestamp:

214

```
215  <S11:Envelope xmlns:S11="..." xmlns:wsse="..." xmlns:wsu= "...">
216     <S11:Header>
217        ...
218        <wsse:Security>
219           <wsse:UsernameToken>
220              <wsse:Username>NNK</wsse:Username>
221              <wsse:Password Type="...#PasswordDigest">
222                 weYI3nXd8LjMNVksCKFV8t3rgHh3Rw==
223              </wsse:Password>
224              <wsse:Nonce>WScqanjCEAC4mQoBE07sAQ==</wsse:Nonce>
225              <wsu:Created>2003-07-16T01:24:32Z</wsu:Created>
226           </wsse:UsernameToken>
227        </wsse:Security>
228        ...
229     </S11:Header>
230     ...
231  </S11:Envelope>
```

232

233 3.2 Token Reference

234 When a UsernameToken is referenced using `<wsse:SecurityTokenReference>` the
235 `ValueType` attribute is not required. If specified, the value of `<wsse:UsernameToken>` MUST
236 be specified.

237 The following encoding formats are pre-defined (note that the URI fragments are relative to the
238 URI for this specification):

239

URI	Description
#UsernameToken	UsernameToken

240

241

242 When a UsernameToken is referenced from a `<ds:KeyInfo>` element, it can be used to derive
243 a key for a message authentication algorithm using the password. This profile considers specific
244 mechanisms for key derivation to be out of scope. Implementations should agree on a key
245 derivation algorithm in order to be interoperable.

246 There is no definition of a KeyIdentifier for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyIdentifier
247 references MUST NOT used when referring to a UsernameToken.

248 Similarly, there is no definition of a KeyName for a UsernameToken. Consequently, KeyName
249 references MUST NOT be used when referring to a UsernameToken.

250 All references refer to the *wsu:Id* for the token.

251 3.3 Error Codes

252 Implementations may use custom error codes defined in private namespaces if needed. But it is
253 RECOMMENDED that they use the error handling codes defined in the WSS: SOAP Message
254 Security specification for signature, decryption, and encoding and token header errors to improve
255 interoperability.

256 When using custom error codes, implementations should be careful not to introduce security
257 vulnerabilities that may assist an attacker in the error codes returned.

---

258 4 Security Considerations

259 The use of the UsernameToken introduces no additional threats beyond those already identified
260 for other types of SecurityTokens. Replay attacks can be addressed by using message
261 timestamps, nonces, and caching, as well as other application-specific tracking mechanisms.
262 Token ownership is verified by use of keys and man-in-the-middle attacks are generally
263 mitigated. Transport-level security may be used to provide confidentiality and integrity of both the
264 UsernameToken and the entire message body.

265 When a password (or password equivalent) in a `<UsernameToken>` is used for authentication,
266 the password needs to be properly protected. If the underlying transport does not provide enough
267 protection against eavesdropping, the password SHOULD be digested as described in this
268 document. Even so, the password must be strong enough so that simple password guessing
269 attacks will not reveal the secret from a captured message.

270 When a password is encrypted, in addition to the normal threats against any encryption, two
271 password-specific threats must be considered: replay and guessing. If an attacker can
272 impersonate a user by replaying an encrypted or hashed password, then learning the actual
273 password is not necessary. One method of preventing replay is to use a nonce as mentioned
274 previously. Generally it is also necessary to use a timestamp to put a ceiling on the number of
275 previous nonces that must be stored. However, in order to be effective the nonce and timestamp
276 must be signed. If the signature is also over the password itself, prior to encryption, then it would
277 be a simple matter to use the signature to perform an offline guessing attack against the
278 password. This threat can be countered in any of several ways including: don't include the
279 password under the signature (the password will be verified later) or sign the encrypted
280 password.

281 The reader should also review Section 13 of WSS: SOAP Message Security document for
282 additional discussion on threats and possible counter-measures.

283 This section is non-normative.

284 5 References

285 The following are normative references:

286   **[SECGLO]**   *Informational RFC 2828, "Internet Security Glossary," May 2000.*
287   **[RFC2119]**   *S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels,"*
288   *RFC 2119, Harvard University, March 1997*
289   **[WSS]**   *OASIS standard, "WSS: SOAP Message Security," TBD.*
290   **[SOAP11]**   *W3C Note, "SOAP: Simple Object Access Protocol 1.1," 08 May 2000.*
291   **[SOAP12]**   *W3C Working Draft, "SOAP Version 1.2 Part 1: Messaging Framework",*
292   *26 June 2002.*
293   **[URI]**   *T. Berners-Lee, R. Fielding, L. Masinter, "Uniform Resource Identifiers*
294   *(URI): Generic Syntax," RFC 2396, MIT/LCS, U.C. Irvine, Xerox*
295   *Corporation, August 1998.*
296   **[XML-Schema]**   *W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 1: Structures,"2 May*
297   *2001.*
298   *W3C Recommendation, "XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes," 2 May 2001.*
299   **[XPath]**   *W3C Recommendation, "XML Path Language", 16 November 1999*

300 The following are non-normative references included for background and related material:

301   **[WS-Security]** *OASIS,"Web Services Security: SOAP Message Security" 19 January*
302   *2004, http://www.docs.oasis-open.org/wss/2004/01/oasis-200401-wss-*
303   *soap-message-security-1.0*
304   **[XML-C14N]**   *W3C Recommendation, "Canonical XML Version 1.0," 15 March 2001*
305   **[EXC-C14N]**   *W3C Recommendation, "Exclusive XML Canonicalization Version 1.0," 8*
306   *July 2002.*
307   **[XML-Encrypt]** *W3C Working Draft, "XML Encryption Syntax and Processing," 04 March*
308   *2002*
309   *W3C Recommendation, "Decryption Transform for XML Signature", 10 December 2002.*
310   **[XML-ns]**   *W3C Recommendation, "Namespaces in XML," 14 January 1999.*
311   **[XML Signature]**   *W3C Recommendation, "XML Signature Syntax and*
312   *Processing," 12 February 2002.*
313   **[XPointer]**   *"XML Pointer Language (XPointer) Version 1.0, Candidate*
314   *Recommendation", DeRose, Maler, Daniel, 11 September 2001.*

315

316 # Appendix A. Revision History

Rev	Date	By Whom	What
Wd-1.0	2002-12-16	Phil Griffin	Initial version cloned from the WSS core specification
Wd-1.1	2003-01-26	Anthony Nadalin	Bring in line with WSS-Core Update
Wd-1.2	2003-02-23	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Wd-1.3	2003-06-30	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Wd-1.4	2003-08-11	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates
Cd-1.5	2003-12-09	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Issue List #30
Cd-1.5	2003-12-15	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.6	2003-12-22	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd-1.7 & 1.8	2003-12-29	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial Updates based on Editorial feedback
Cd- 1.8	2004-01-19	Anthony Nadalin, Chris Kaler	Editorial corrections for name space and document name
Cd 1.9	2004-02-17	Anthony Nadalin	Editorial corrections per Karl Best

317 **Appendix B. Notices**

318 OASIS takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights
319 that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this
320 document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available;
321 neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on
322 OASIS's procedures with respect to rights in OASIS specifications can be found at the OASIS
323 website. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses
324 to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission
325 for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification, can be
326 obtained from the OASIS Executive Director.

327 OASIS invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent
328 applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to
329 implement this specification. Please address the information to the OASIS Executive Director.