

(d) A copy of the provider's plan for complying with paragraph (c) must be made available to all employees by giving each employee a copy or by posting it in an area of the provider's operation to which all employees have access. If an employee does not receive the adjustment described in the plan and is unable to resolve the problem with the provider, the employee may contact the employee's union representative. If the employee is not covered by a collective bargaining agreement, the employee may contact the commissioner at a phone number provided by the commissioner and included in the provider's plan.

Sec. 44. [APPROPRIATION.]

\$30,000,000 is appropriated from the cash flow account for the purposes of sections 32, 33, and 43. This is a onetime appropriation."

Renumber the sections in sequence and correct internal references

Amend the title accordingly

A roll call was requested and properly seconded.

Abeler moved that H. F. No. 3166, as amended, be continued on the Calendar for the Day. The motion prevailed.

There being no objection, the order of business reverted to Petitions and Communications.

PETITIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS

The following communication was received:

STATE OF MINNESOTA

OFFICE OF THE GOVERNOR

SAINT PAUL 55155

March 26, 2002

The Honorable Steve Sviggum

Speaker of the House of Representatives

The State of Minnesota

Dear Speaker Sviggum:

The purpose of this letter is to inform you that I am returning H. F. No. 2570, Chapter No. 283. I am vetoing the bill because it does not support consumers and consumer choice.

If the cost of auto glass replacement is the only consideration used to determine the local market price, several other cost factors that heavily impact small volume glass replacement operations will be ignored. If factors such as advertising and service costs are ignored, we will lose the small glass replacement

operators. If the small operators are lost, glass replacement choices will be limited to those large operators with high volumes that have a more direct relationship with insurers. This will hurt the consumer by limiting flexibility and choice.

Journal of the House - 91st Day - Tuesday, March 26, 2002 - Top of Page 7504

H. F. No. 2570 also fails to make a major improvement in the methods available to resolve disputed claims. Many small glass replacement operators have a significant number of disputed claims with insurers. H. F. No. 2570 does not remedy this situation.

Finally, no evidence exists that insurance premiums will decline if this bill is enacted into law.

Sincerely,

Jesse Ventura

Governor

MOTION TO OVERRIDE VETO

Wolf moved that H. F. No. 2570, Chapter No. 283 be now reconsidered and repassed, the objections of the Governor notwithstanding, pursuant to Article IV, Section 23, of the Constitution of the State of Minnesota.

The question was taken on the Wolf motion to reconsider and repass H. F. No. 2570, Chapter No. 283, the objections of the Governor notwithstanding, pursuant to Article IV, Section 23, of the Constitution of the State of Minnesota. and the roll was called. There were 107 yeas and 24 nays as follows:

Those who voted in the affirmative were: