

# On the convergence of the graph sequence $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ for a multipartite tournament $D$

JI-HWAN JUNG<sup>1</sup>, SUH-RYUNG KIM<sup>2</sup>, AND HYESUN YOON<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics Education, Chinju National University of Education, Jinju 52673*

<sup>2</sup>*Department of Mathematics Education, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826*

*jhjung@cue.ac.kr, srkim@snu.ac.kr, magisakura@snu.ac.kr*

## Abstract

Given a positive integer  $m$ , the  $m$ -step competition graph of a digraph  $D$ , denoted by  $C^m(D)$ , has the same vertex set as  $D$  and has an edge between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  if and only if there exists a vertex  $w$  such that there exist directed walks of length  $m$  from  $u$  to  $w$  and from  $v$  to  $w$ , respectively. In this paper, we completely characterize the convergence of  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$  based on the last nontrivial strong component of  $D$ . Furthermore, not only do we determine the limit in the case of convergence, but also in the event of divergence, we specify how  $C^m(D)$  changes periodically depending on the value of  $m$ . Our results extend the work of Jung *et al.* [On the limit of the sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$ . *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 340:1–13, 2023] which addresses the case of the last strong component being nontrivial, thereby completing the convergence analysis of  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$ . Our results can also be expressed in terms of matrix sequence  $\{A^m(A^T)^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  for the adjacency matrix  $A$  of  $D$  and this part is also covered in the text.

*Keywords.*  $m$ -step competition graph, multipartite tournament, limit of a Boolean matrix sequence, limit of a graph sequence, index of imprimitivity, last nontrivial component

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 05C20, 05C75

## 1 Introduction

The underlying graph of each digraph in this paper is assumed to be simple unless otherwise mentioned.

Given vertex sets  $X$  and  $Y$  of a digraph, we use the notation  $X \rightarrow Y$  for “there is an arc  $(x, y)$  for each  $x \in X$  and for each  $y \in Y$ ” in the digraph. For simplicity, we omit the braces if  $X$  or  $Y$  is a singleton set.

Let  $D$  be a digraph and  $m$  be a positive integer. A vertex  $y$  is an  $m$ -step prey of a vertex  $x$  if and only if there exists a directed walk from  $x$  to  $y$  of length  $m$ . The  $m$ -step competition graph of a digraph  $D$ , denoted by  $C^m(D)$ , has the same vertex set as  $D$  and has an edge between vertices  $u$  and  $v$  if and only if there exists an  $m$ -step common prey of  $u$  and  $v$  in  $D$ . The notion of an  $m$ -step competition graph introduced by Cho *et al.* [3] is a generalization of the competition graph introduced by Cohen [5] (the competition graph of a digraph  $D$  is  $C^1(D)$ ). Since its introduction, an  $m$ -step competition graph has been extensively studied (see, for example, [1, 4, 6, 7, 9, 10]).

For the two-element Boolean algebra  $\mathcal{B} = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_n$  denotes the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $\mathcal{B}$ . Under the commutative Boolean operations ( $1 + 1 = 1$ ,  $0 + 0 = 0$ ,  $1 + 0 = 1$ ,  $1 \times 1 = 1$ ,  $0 \times 0 = 0$ ,  $1 \times 0 = 0$ ), matrix addition and multiplication are still well-defined in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . Throughout this paper, a matrix is Boolean unless otherwise mentioned.

We note that the adjacency matrix of  $C^m(D)$  for a digraph  $D$  is the matrix  $A_m^*$  obtained from  $A^m(A^T)^m$  by replacing each of the diagonal elements with 0 where  $A$  is the adjacency matrix of  $D$ . To see why, we take two distinct vertices  $u$  and  $v$  of  $D$  corresponding to the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th row of  $A$ , respectively. Then

- $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $C^m(D)$
- $\Leftrightarrow u$  and  $v$  have an  $m$ -step common prey in  $D$
- $\Leftrightarrow$  the inner product of the  $i$ th row and the  $j$ th row of  $A^m$  is 1
- $\Leftrightarrow$  the  $(i, j)$ -entry of  $A_m^*$  is 1.

It is easy to check that  $A_i^* = A_j^*$  if and only if  $A^i(A^T)^i = A^j(A^T)^j$  for a  $(0, 1)$  Boolean matrix  $A$  of order  $n$  and any positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore, for a digraph  $D$  and its adjacency matrix  $A$ ,

$$C^i(D) = C^j(D) \Leftrightarrow A^i(A^T)^i = A^j(A^T)^j \quad (1)$$

for any positive integers  $i$  and  $j$ .

The greatest common divisor of the lengths of the closed directed walks of a strongly connected nontrivial digraph  $D$  is called the *index of imprimitivity* of  $D$  and denoted by  $\kappa(D)$ . If  $\kappa(D) = 1$ , then  $D$  is said to be *primitive*. The vertex set of  $D$  can be partitioned into  $\kappa(D)$  nonempty subsets  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{\kappa(D)}$  where each arc of  $D$  goes out from  $U_i$  and enters  $U_{i+1}$  (identify  $U_{\kappa(D)+1}$  with  $U_1$ ) for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, \kappa(D)\}$  (see [2]). We call the sets  $U_1, U_2, \dots, U_{\kappa(D)}$  the *sets of imprimitivity* of  $D$ .

A  $k$ -partite tournament is an orientation of a complete  $k$ -partite graph for a positive integer  $k$ . We call a  $k$ -partite tournament a *multipartite tournament* if  $k \geq 2$ .

Jung *et al.* [8] showed the following.

The index of imprimitivity of a strongly connected multipartite tournament can only be 1, 2, 3, or 4. (S1)

They also presented the sets of imprimitivity of a strongly connected multipartite tournament  $D$  according to  $\kappa(D)$  as follows.




Figure 1: A tripartite tournament  $D$  and its adjacency matrix  $A$  where  $V_1 = \{v_1\}$ ,  $V_2 = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ , and  $V_3 = \{v_5, v_6\}$  are the partite sets of  $D$ .

**Proposition 1.1** ([8]). *Let  $D$  be a strongly connected  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, V_2, \dots, V_k)$  for an integer  $k \geq 2$ . Then the following are true:*

- (i) *if  $\kappa(D) = 1$ , then  $k \geq 3$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $\kappa(D) = 2$ , then  $k = 2$  and the sets of imprimitivity of  $D$  are  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ ;*
- (iii) *if  $\kappa(D) = 3$ , then  $k = 3$  and the sets of imprimitivity of  $D$  are  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$ , and  $V_3$ ;*
- (iv) *if  $\kappa(D) = 4$ , then  $k = 2$  and there exists a partition  $\{X_i, Y_i\}$  of  $V_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $X_1, Y_1, X_2$ , and  $Y_2$  are the sets of imprimitivity of  $D$ .*

Suppose that  $D$  is a weakly connected digraph. Then the strong components of  $D$  can be arranged as  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  so that there are no arcs going from  $Q_i$  to  $Q_j$  whenever  $i > j$ . We call the strong components arranged in such a way *ordered strong components* of  $D$ . A nontrivial component  $Q_t$  is termed as the *last nontrivial component* of  $D$  if either (i)  $t = s$  or (ii)  $t < s$  and  $Q_i$  is trivial for each  $t < i \leq s$ . We denote the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_i$  by

$$U_1^{(i)}, U_2^{(i)}, \dots, U_{\kappa(Q_i)}^{(i)}$$

for each integer  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Throughout this paper, we let  $D_{\alpha \sim \beta}$  denote the subdigraph induced by  $\bigcup_{i=\alpha}^{\beta} V(Q_i)$  if  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and be ignored if  $\alpha > \beta$ .

The adjacency matrix of a  $k$ -partite tournament for  $k \geq 2$  can be represented as a block matrix  $A$  with blocks  $A_{ij}$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$  such that  $A_{ii}$  is a zero matrix and  $A_{ij} + A_{ji}^T$  is a matrix with all elements 1 but not both corresponding elements of  $A_{ij}$  and  $A_{ji}^T$  equal to 1 for  $i \neq j$  (see  $D$  and  $A$  in Figure 1 for an example). In this paper, we determine the limit of the matrix sequence  $\{A^m (A^T)^m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in the case of convergence. Further, in the event

of divergence, we specify how the matrix sequence  $\{A^m(A^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  changes periodically depending on the value of  $m$ . Our results extend the work of Jung *et al.* [8] which addresses the case of the last strong component of its digraph being nontrivial, thereby completing the convergence analysis of  $\{A^m(A^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ . To this end, we investigate the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  for the digraph  $D$  of  $A$ , which is a multipartite tournament, based upon the observation (1).

Given a  $k$ -partite tournament  $D$  with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some positive integers  $k$  and  $s$ , let  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component. Then  $Q_t$  is a strongly connected  $l$ -partite tournament for some positive integer  $l$ . Now, by Proposition 1.1, if  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2$  (resp.  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$ ), then  $l = 2$  (resp.  $l = 3$ ) and the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$  are the partite sets of  $Q_t$ ; if  $\kappa(Q_t) = 4$ , then  $l = 2$  and each partite set of  $Q_t$  can be partitioned into two subsets, which results in four subsets that make up the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$ . We also note that each partite set of  $Q_t$  is the intersection of  $Q_t$  and a partite set of  $D$ . Based on these observations, we may assume, unless otherwise mentioned, that the partite sets of  $D$  are labeled as  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  so that the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$  are represented in the following way:

$$V_i \cap V(Q_t) = \begin{cases} U_i^{(t)}, & \text{if } \kappa(Q_t) = 2 \text{ or } 3; \\ U_i^{(t)} \cup U_{i+2}^{(t)}, & \text{if } \kappa(Q_t) = 4, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$  if  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  and for each  $i = 1, 2$  if  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2$  or  $4$ .

For vertex sets  $X$  and  $Y$  of a multipartite tournament  $D$ , we say that  $X$  is *partite-related to*  $Y$  if  $X \subseteq W$  and  $Y \subseteq W$  for some partite set  $W$  of  $D$ .

Given a multipartite tournament  $D$  with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ , let  $X$  and  $Y$  be partite sets of  $Q_i$  and  $Q_j$ , respectively, for some  $1 \leq i < j \leq s$  such that  $X$  is not partite-related to  $Y$ . Then  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

Theorem 1.2 completely characterizes the convergence of  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$  based on the last nontrivial component of  $D$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$ . Then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  diverges if and only if (a)  $s \geq 2$ , (b)  $D$  has a nontrivial strong component, (c) for the last nontrivial component  $Q_t$ ,  $t < s$ , and (d) one of the following is true:*

- (i)  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  and  $\bigcup_{i=t+1}^s V(Q_i) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- (ii)  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  and there is an integer  $\alpha \in \{t+1, \dots, s-1\}$  such that  $V(D_{t+1 \sim \alpha})$  and  $V(D_{\alpha+1 \sim s})$  are partite-related to  $U_j^{(t)}$  and  $U_{j+1}^{(t)}$ , respectively, for some integer  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (identifying  $U_4^{(t)}$  with  $U_1^{(t)}$ );
- (iii)  $\kappa(Q_t) = 4$  and  $\bigcup_{i=t+1}^s V(Q_i) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ .




Figure 2: Solid-line boxes represent the converging cases, while dashed-line boxes represent the diverging cases.

Theorem 1.2 will be proven through the entire paper. The overview of this paper is given in Figure 2.

Furthermore, not only do we determine the limit in the case of convergence, but also in the event of divergence, we specify how  $C^m(D)$  changes periodically depending on the value of  $m$ . Refer to Theorems 2.1, 2.7, 2.8, 2.10 and Proposition 2.4. Our results extend the work of Jung *et al.* [8] which addresses the case of the last strong component being nontrivial (see Theorem 2.1), thereby completing the convergence analysis of  $\{C^m(D)\}$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$ .

By (1), the convergence of  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is consistent with that of the matrix sequence  $\{A^m(A^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  for a digraph  $D$  and its adjacency matrix  $A$ .




Figure 3: Tripartite tournaments  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and their ordered strong components  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$ .

**Example 1.3.** Consider the matrix sequences  $\{A_1^m(A_1^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  and  $\{A_2^m(A_2^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  where

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

To determine whether or not they converge, we consider the digraphs  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $A_1$  and  $A_2$ , respectively. See Figure 3 for an illustration where  $v_i$  is the vertex corresponding to the  $i$ th row for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, 6$ . For the ordered strong components  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_3$ ,  $Q_1$  is

the last nontrivial component in each of digraphs  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . In addition,  $\kappa(Q_1) = 3$ ,  $U_1^{(1)} = \{v_1, v_2\}$ ,  $U_2^{(1)} = \{v_6\}$ , and  $U_3^{(1)} = \{v_4\}$  for  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ .

In the case of  $D_1$ ,  $V(Q_2)$  and  $V(Q_3)$  are partite-related to  $U_2^{(1)}$  and  $U_3^{(1)}$ , respectively. Thus (S9) in Theorem 2.10 is satisfied and so the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D_1)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  diverges by Theorem 1.2. Therefore the matrix sequences  $\{A_1^m(A_1^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  diverges by (1). Further,  $A_1^{3m+2}(A_1^T)^{3m+2}$ ,  $A_1^{3m+3}(A_1^T)^{3m+3}$ , and  $A_1^{3m+4}(A_1^T)^{3m+4}$  equal the first, the second, and the third matrix below, respectively, for any nonnegative integer  $m$ .

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

In the case of  $D_2$ ,  $V(Q_2)$  and  $V(Q_3)$  are partite-related to  $U_2^{(1)}$  and  $U_1^{(1)}$ , respectively. Thus  $D_2 \sim_3 \not\subseteq V_j$  for any  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and (S9) in Theorem 2.10 is not satisfied. Therefore the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D_2)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges by Theorem 1.2 and so the matrix sequences  $\{A_2^m(A_2^T)^m\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges by (1). Further, its limit is equal to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## 2 A Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be vertex-disjoint graphs. We call the graph having the vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and the edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$  the *union* of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and denote it by  $G_1 \cup G_2$ . We call the graph having the vertex set  $V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$  and the edge set  $E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{uv \mid u \in V(G_1), v \in V(G_2)\}$  the *join* of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  and denote it by  $G_1 \vee G_2$ .

Given a vertex set  $Z$  of a digraph  $D$ , we denote by  $K[Z]$  (resp.  $I[Z]$ ) the complete graph (resp. the empty graph) with vertex set  $Z$ . In particular, we write  $K[V(D)]$  and  $I[V(D)]$  as  $K[D]$  and  $I[D]$ , respectively.

In this paper, we study the convergence of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$  in terms of ordered strong components of  $D$ . Given a digraph  $D$ , if  $D$  has no nontrivial strong components, then  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to an empty graph. Therefore we only consider the multipartite tournament with at least one nontrivial strong component. The limit of  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  in the case where the last strong component is nontrivial is well computed by Jung *et al.* [8] and obtained as follows.

**Theorem 2.1** ([8]). *Let  $D$  be a multipartite tournament with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 1$ . If  $Q_s$  is nontrivial, then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to a graph*

$$G \cong \begin{cases} K[D], & \text{if } \kappa(Q_s) = 1; \\ G_1, & \text{if } \kappa(Q_s) = 2; \\ G_3, & \text{if } \kappa(Q_s) = 3; \\ G_2, & \text{if } \kappa(Q_s) = 4, \end{cases}$$

where  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and  $G_3$  are the graphs given in Figure 4.

In each graph given in Figure 4 or 5 or 6,

- $K^{(i)}$  either does not exist or stands for a clique;
- the line between two cliques  $K^{(i)}$  and  $K^{(j)}$  indicates that  $V(K^{(i)}) \cup V(K^{(j)})$  forms a clique while the absence of a line between  $K^{(i)}$  and  $K^{(j)}$  means that there are no edges joining a vertex in  $K^{(i)}$  and a vertex in  $K^{(j)}$ ;
- the matrix  $M_i = A(G_i) + I$  where  $A(G_i)$  is the adjacency matrix of  $G_i$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ ; (S2)
- $I$ ,  $J$ , and  $O$  are an identity matrix, a matrix of all 1s, and a zero matrix, respectively, of an appropriate order;
- the block matrix  $J^{(i)}$  represents the clique  $K^{(i)}$ .

According to (S1), Theorem 2.1 completes computing the limit of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$  having the nontrivial last strong component. Consequently, it remains to consider the multipartite tournaments with at least one nontrivial strong component that is not the last strong component of  $D$ . From now on,  $Q_s$  is trivial for ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  of  $D$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$ .

Let  $D$  be a multipartite tournament with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  and  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . Then

$$t < s$$

by our assumption,  $D_{1 \sim t}$  has the last strong component which is nontrivial, and  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  has only trivial strong components. As we mentioned in the introduction of this section,  $\{C^m(D_{t+1 \sim s})\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to an empty graph. We note that all the arcs goes from a strong component of lower index to that of higher index. Then the following is an immediate consequence of the above observations.






Figure 4: The graphs  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$ , and  $G_3$  in Theorem 2.1 (refer to (S2) for other notations).

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $D$  be a multipartite tournament with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  and  $Q_t$  ( $t < s$ ) be the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . Then there is a positive integer  $N$  such that the vertices in  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  are isolated in  $C^m(D)$  for any integer  $m \geq N$ .*

The following proposition is rather self-evident.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $D'$  be a subdigraph of a digraph  $D$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are adjacent in  $C^m(D')$  for a positive integer  $m$ , then  $u$  and  $v$  are also adjacent in  $C^m(D)$ .*

By Theorem 2.1, the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D_{1 \sim t})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges and its limit is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}]$  or one of the graphs given in Figure 4. Accordingly, Proposition 2.3 tells us that,

to investigate the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ , we only need to take a look at nonadjacent vertices in the graphs in Figure 4 corresponding to the limit of  $\{C^m(D_{1 \sim t})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ . (S3)

Furthermore, the following is true:

no two of nonadjacent vertices in the graphs corresponding to the limit of  $\{C^m(D_{1 \sim t})\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  in Figure 4 have a step common prey in  $D_{1 \sim t}$  (here, a step common prey of two vertices means an  $m$ -step common prey for some positive integer  $m$ ). (S4)

By Proposition 2.2, we may ignore the trivial strong components after the last nontrivial component when we study the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ . If the last nontrivial component is primitive, then we can obtain the limit given in the following proposition by using Theorem 2.1 and Propositions 2.2 and 2.3.

**Proposition 2.4.** *Let  $D$  be a multipartite tournament with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  and  $Q_t$  ( $t < s$ ) be the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . If  $Q_t$  is primitive, then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges to the graph isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ .*

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $D$  be a multipartite tournament with the ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  and  $Q_t$  ( $t < s$ ) be the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . Suppose that there exists a trivial component  $Q_j$  for some  $j \in \{t+1, \dots, s\}$  such that no partite sets of  $Q_t$  are partite-related to the partite set of  $Q_j$ . Then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  converges to the graph isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ .*

*Proof.* Take a vertex  $v$  in  $D_{1 \sim t}$  and fix a positive integer  $m$ . Since  $Q_t$  is nontrivial, there are at least two partite sets in  $Q_t$  and so there is an arc from  $v$  to a vertex  $x$  in  $Q_t$ . Then, since  $Q_t$  is a nontrivial strong component, there is an  $(x, y)$ -directed walk of length  $m - 1$  for some vertex  $y$  in  $Q_t$  and therefore we obtain a  $(v, y)$ -directed walk of length

$m$ . By the hypothesis,  $y \rightarrow w_j$  where  $V(Q_j) = \{w_j\}$ . Hence  $w_j$  is an  $(m + 1)$ -step prey of each vertex in  $D_{1 \sim t}$  since  $v$  was arbitrarily chosen. Since  $m$  was chosen arbitrarily, we can conclude that the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to the graph isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  by Proposition 2.2.  $\square$

Let  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  be the graph sequence for a multipartite tournament  $D$  with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  where  $Q_t$  ( $t < s$ ) is the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . By (S1),  $\kappa(Q_t) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . By Proposition 2.4, in order to compute the limit of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ , it remains to consider the multipartite tournaments with the last nontrivial component being imprimitive, that is,  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2, 3, 4$ . We will take care of the cases  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2$  and  $\kappa(Q_t) = 4$  first.

We need to introduce the notion of *head completing index* of  $D$ , which is defined in the following way. If there is an integer  $r$  less than  $t$  such that there is a partite set of  $Q_r$  which is not partite-related to any partite set of  $Q_t$ , then we take the largest  $r$  as the head completing index of  $D$ , that is, each partite set of  $Q_j$  is partite-related to some partite set of  $Q_t$  for each  $j \in \{r + 1, \dots, t - 1\}$ . Otherwise, we say that the head completing index of  $D$  equals 0. For example, the head completing index of a bipartite tournament equals 0.

In addition, for notational convenience, we let

$$A_1 = V_1 \cap \bigcup_{i=r+1}^t V(Q_i) \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = V_2 \cap \bigcup_{i=r+1}^t V(Q_i) \quad (3)$$

where  $r$  is the head completing index of  $D$  and  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  are partite sets of  $D$ .

Now we consider a multipartite tournament  $D$  with ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integer  $s \geq 2$  where  $Q_t$  ( $t < s$ ) is the last nontrivial component with  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2$  or 4. We will compute the limit of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$ . To do so, it is necessary to first examine the limit when the last strong component is nontrivial, which is described in the proofs of Theorems 3.2 and 3.4 of Jung *et al.* [8] as follows.

**Proposition 2.6** ([8]). *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$  and let  $Q_s$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$ . Then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to the graph isomorphic to*

(i) *the graph  $G_1$  in Theorem 2.1 if  $\kappa(Q_s) = 2$  where*

$$K^{(1)} = K[D_{1 \sim r}], K^{(2)} = K[A_1], \quad \text{and} \quad K^{(3)} = K[A_2];$$

(ii) *the graph  $G_2$  in the same theorem if  $\kappa(Q_s) = 4$  where*

$$K^{(1)} = K[D_{1 \sim r}], \quad K^{(2)} = K[A_1 - V(Q_s)], \quad K^{(3)} = K[A_2 - V(Q_s)],$$

$$K^{(4)} = K[U_1^{(s)}], \quad K^{(5)} = K[U_3^{(s)}], \quad K^{(6)} = K[U_2^{(s)}], \quad \text{and} \quad K^{(7)} = K[U_4^{(s)}]$$

where  $r$  is the head completing index of  $D$  and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given in (3).

**Theorem 2.7.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$  and let  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$  with  $\kappa(Q_t) = 2$  for  $t < s$ . Then the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  converges to the graph isomorphic to*

$$\begin{cases} (K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2])) \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}], & \text{if } V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2; \\ K[D_{1\sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}], & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $r$  is the head completing index of  $D$  and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given in (3).

*Proof.* Suppose  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \not\subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then there exists a trivial component  $Q_i$  for some integer  $i \in \{t+1, \dots, s\}$  such that no partite sets of  $Q_t$  are partite-related to the partite set of  $Q_i$  by (2). Thus, by Lemma 2.5, the limit of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1\sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$ .

Now suppose  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then  $D_{r+1\sim s}$  is a bipartite tournament. We note that, by Proposition 2.2, there is a positive integer  $N_1$  such that the vertices in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  are isolated in  $C^m(D)$  for any integer  $m \geq N_1$ . By Proposition 2.6(i), there exists a positive integer  $N_2$  such that  $C^m(D_{1\sim t})$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2])$  for any integer  $m \geq N_2$ .

By (S3) and Proposition 2.3, we only need to check the adjacency between a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$ . Since  $D_{r+1\sim s}$  is a bipartite tournament, if there is a directed walk from a vertex in  $A_1$  to a vertex in  $V(D_{r+1\sim s}) \cap V_1$  (resp.  $V(D_{r+1\sim s}) \cap V_2$ ), then its length is even (resp. odd) and if there is a directed walk from a vertex in  $A_2$  to a vertex in  $V(D_{r+1\sim s}) \cap V_1$  (resp.  $V(D_{r+1\sim s}) \cap V_2$ ), then its length is odd (resp. even). Thus a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$  do not have any  $m$ -step common prey in  $D$  for any positive integer  $m$  and so there are no edges joining a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$  in  $C^m(D)$ . Therefore  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2]) \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$  for any integer  $m \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$  and so the limit of the graph sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^\infty$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2]) \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $s \geq 2$  and let  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$  with  $\kappa(Q_t) = 4$  for  $t < s$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that, for any  $m \geq N$ ,  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to*

- $K[D_{1\sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$ , if  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \not\subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ ;
- $(K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2])) \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$ , if  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ ,  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ ;
- $G_4 \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$  for even  $m$  and  $G_5 \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$  for odd  $m$ , if  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_1$ ;

- $G_5 \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  for even  $m$  and  $G_4 \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  for odd  $m$ , if  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \subseteq V_2$ ;

where  $r$  is the head completing index of  $D$  and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given in (3) and  $G_4$  and  $G_5$  are the graphs given in Figure 5.

*Proof.* Suppose  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \not\subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then, since  $\kappa(Q_t) = 4$ , no partite sets of  $Q_t$  are partite-related to the partite set of  $Q_j$  for any  $j \in \{t+1, \dots, s\}$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.5, there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  for any  $m \geq N$ .

Suppose  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ . We note that, by Proposition 2.2, there is a positive integer  $N_1$  such that the vertices in  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  are isolated in  $C^m(D)$  for any integer  $m \geq N_1$ . By Proposition 2.6(ii), there exists a positive integer  $N_2$  such that  $C^m(D_{1 \sim t})$  is isomorphic to a graph  $G_2$  given in Theorem 2.1 for any integer  $m \geq N_2$  where

$$K^{(1)} = K[D_{1 \sim r}], \quad K^{(2)} = K[A_1 - V(Q_t)], \quad K^{(3)} = K[A_2 - V(Q_t)],$$

$$K^{(4)} = K[U_1^{(t)}], \quad K^{(5)} = K[U_3^{(t)}], \quad K^{(6)} = K[U_2^{(t)}], \quad \text{and} \quad K^{(7)} = K[U_4^{(t)}].$$

Now we fix an integer  $m \geq \max\{N_1, N_2\}$ . By (S3) and Proposition 2.3, we only need to check the adjacency between a vertex in  $K^{(4)} = K[U_1^{(t)}]$  and a vertex in  $K^{(5)} = K[U_3^{(t)}]$ ; a vertex in  $K^{(6)} = K[U_2^{(t)}]$  and a vertex in  $K^{(7)} = K[U_4^{(t)}]$ ; a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$  (note that  $A_1 = V(K^{(2)}) \cup V(K^{(4)}) \cup V(K^{(5)})$ ,  $A_2 = V(K^{(3)}) \cup V(K^{(6)}) \cup V(K^{(7)})$ , and  $V(A_1 \cup A_2) = V(D_{r+1 \sim t})$ ).

Since  $V(D_{r+1 \sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ ,  $D_{r+1 \sim s}$  is a bipartite tournament. Thus, if there is a directed walk from a vertex in  $A_1$  to a vertex in  $V(D_{r+1 \sim s}) \cap V_1$  (resp.  $V(D_{r+1 \sim s}) \cap V_2$ ), then its length is even (resp. odd) and if there is a directed walk from a vertex in  $A_2$  to a vertex in  $V(D_{r+1 \sim s}) \cap V_1$  (resp.  $V(D_{r+1 \sim s}) \cap V_2$ ), then its length is odd (resp. even). Thus there are no step common prey of a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$  and so there are no edges joining a vertex in  $A_1$  and a vertex in  $A_2$  in  $C^m(D)$ .

Fix  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ . Take two vertices  $u_i \in U_i^{(t)}$  and  $v_i \in U_{i+2}^{(t)}$ . We note that  $U_1^{(t)}, U_2^{(t)}, U_3^{(t)}, U_4^{(t)}$  are the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$ . Then the following are true:

if  $i$  and  $m$  have different parities, then each of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  has an  $(m-1)$ -step prey belonging to  $U_2^{(t)} \cup U_4^{(t)}$ ; (S5)

if  $i$  and  $m$  have the same parities, then each of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  has an  $(m-1)$ -step prey belonging to  $U_1^{(t)} \cup U_3^{(t)}$ . (S6)

Further, for each  $j = 1, 2$ , if  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_j \neq \emptyset$ , then

$$U_{3-j}^{(t)} \cup U_{5-j}^{(t)} \rightarrow V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_j. \quad (S7)$$





Figure 5: The graphs  $G_4$  and  $G_5$  in Theorem 2.8 where  $K^{(1)} = K[D_{1 \sim r}]$ ,  $K^{(2)} = K[A_1 - V(Q_t)]$ ,  $K^{(3)} = K[A_2 - V(Q_t)]$ ,  $K^{(4)} = K[U_1^{(t)}]$ ,  $K^{(5)} = K[U_3^{(t)}]$ ,  $K^{(6)} = K[U_2^{(t)}]$ , and  $K^{(7)} = K[U_4^{(t)}]$  (refer to (S2) for other notations). Here,  $r$  is the head completing index of  $D$  and  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  are given in (3).

If  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ , then  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_{3-j} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, for any  $j = 1, 2$ ,

if  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_j$ , then there are no arcs from any vertex in  $U_j^{(t)} \cup U_{j+2}^{(t)}$ , which (S8) is a subset of  $V_j$ , to any vertex in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$ .

If  $i$  and  $m$  have different parities and  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$ , then each vertex in  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_1$  is an  $m$ -step common prey of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  by (S5) and (S7). If  $i$  and  $m$  have the same parities and  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then each vertex in  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_2$  is an  $m$ -step common prey of  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  by (S6) and (S7).

Based on this observation, we may conclude that if  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_2 \neq \emptyset$ , then  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  have an  $m$ -step common prey in  $D$  and so  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $(K[D_{1\sim r}] \vee (K[A_1] \cup K[A_2])) \cup I[D_{t+1\sim s}]$ .

Consider the case  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_1$ . Then,  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_2 = \emptyset$ . Thus, by the above observation,  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  have an  $m$ -step common prey in  $D$  if  $i$  and  $m$  have different parities. If  $i$  and  $m$  have the same parities, then, by (S4), (S6), and (S8),  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  do not have any  $a$ -step common prey in  $D$  for any positive integer  $a$ . Hence  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_4$  for even  $m$ ;  $G_5$  for odd  $m$ .

In the case  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_2$ , we may apply the same argument as for the previous case by interchanging  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  to conclude that  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_5$  for even  $m$ ;  $G_4$  for odd  $m$ .  $\square$

Now we consider the case  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$ .

Given a digraph  $D$  and subdigraphs  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  of  $D$ , we write  $D_1 \xrightarrow{\ell} D_2$  if there is a directed walk of length  $\ell$  in  $D$  from every vertex in  $D_1$  to every vertex in  $D_2$  for some positive integer  $\ell$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integers  $k \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 2$  and let  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$  with  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  for  $t < s$ . Then  $D_{1\sim t-1} \xrightarrow{\ell} D_{t+1\sim s}$  for any integer  $\ell \geq 2$ .*

*Proof.* Fix  $\ell \geq 2$  and take a vertex  $u$  in  $D_{1\sim t-1}$  and a vertex  $v$  in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$ . Suppose that  $v \notin V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ . Then  $Q_t \rightarrow v$ . Obviously,  $u \notin V_a$  for some  $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Since  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$ ,  $Q_t \cap V_a \neq \emptyset$  by (2) and so  $u \rightarrow Q_t \cap V_a$ . Since  $Q_t$  is nontrivial and strongly connected,  $u$  can reach some vertex in  $Q_t$  by traversing a directed walk of length  $\ell - 1$ . By the fact  $Q_t \rightarrow v$ ,  $v$  is an  $\ell$ -step prey of  $u$ .

Now suppose  $v \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ . In the case  $v \in V_\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we consider the following three cases:  $u \in V_{\alpha+1}$ ;  $u \in V_{\alpha+2}$ ;  $u \notin V_{\alpha+1} \cup V_{\alpha+2}$  where all the subscripts are reduced to modulo 3. In each of the above three cases corresponding to  $v \in V_\alpha$ , there is a directed walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of each of lengths  $3a - 1$ ,  $3a$ , and  $3a + 1$  for each positive integer  $a$ , which is justified by Table  $\alpha$  for each  $\alpha = 1, 2, 3$ . The ordered pair  $(i, j)$  in the

table means that a desired directed walk can be obtained by  $u$  entering  $U_i^{(t)}$ , rotating the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$  for  $a - 1$  revolutions and then moving further on to  $U_j^{(t)}$  to leave it. Therefore  $v$  is an  $\ell$ -step prey of  $u$ .

	$3a - 1$	$3a$	$3a + 1$
$u \in V_2$	(3, 3)	(1, 2)	(3, 2)
$u \in V_3$	(2, 2)	(2, 3)	(1, 3)
$u \notin V_2 \cup V_3$	(2, 2)	(2, 3)	(3, 2)

Table 1:  $v \in V_1$

	$3a - 1$	$3a$	$3a + 1$
$u \in V_1$	(3, 3)	(2, 3)	(2, 1)
$u \in V_3$	(1, 1)	(2, 3)	(2, 1)
$u \notin V_1 \cup V_3$	(1, 1)	(3, 1)	(1, 3)

Table 2:  $v \in V_2$

	$3a - 1$	$3a$	$3a + 1$
$u \in V_1$	(2, 2)	(3, 1)	(3, 2)
$u \in V_2$	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(3, 2)
$u \notin V_1 \cup V_2$	(1, 1)	(1, 2)	(2, 1)

Table 3:  $v \in V_3$

Since  $u$ ,  $v$ , and  $\ell$  were arbitrarily chosen, we have shown that every vertex in  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  is an  $\ell$ -step prey of each vertex in  $D_{1 \sim t-1}$  for any integer  $\ell \geq 2$ .  $\square$

Now we are ready to take care of the case  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  and eventually prove Theorem 1.2.

**Theorem 2.10.** *Let  $D$  be a  $k$ -partite tournament with  $k$ -partition  $(V_1, \dots, V_k)$  and ordered strong components  $Q_1, \dots, Q_s$  for some integers  $k \geq 3$  and  $s \geq 2$  and let  $Q_t$  be the last nontrivial component of  $D$  with  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$  for  $t < s$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $N$  such that, for any  $m \geq N$  and any  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  satisfying  $i \equiv m - j + 1 \pmod{3}$ ,  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to*

- $G_{1,i} \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ , if  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ ;
- $G_{2,i} \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ , if  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  satisfies the following property;
  - there is an integer  $\alpha \in \{t + 1, \dots, s - 1\}$  such that  $V(D_{t+1 \sim \alpha})$  and  $V(D_{\alpha+1 \sim s})$  are partite-related to  $U_j^{(t)}$  and  $U_{j+1}^{(t)}$ , respectively, for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (identifying  $U_4^{(t)}$  with  $U_1^{(t)}$ ) (S9)
- $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ , otherwise



where  $G_{1,i}$  are the graphs given in Figure 6,  $V(G_{2,i}) = V(G_{1,i})$ ,  $E(G_{2,1}) = E(G_{1,1}) \cup E(K^{(5)} \vee (K^{(4)} \cup K^{(7)}))$ ,  $E(G_{2,2}) = E(G_{1,2}) \cup E(K^{(7)} \vee (K^{(2)} \cup K^{(6)}))$ , and  $E(G_{2,3}) = E(G_{1,3}) \cup E(K^{(6)} \vee (K^{(3)} \cup K^{(5)}))$ .

*Proof.* We take a positive integer  $N$  such that  $C^m(D_{1 \sim t})$  is isomorphic to a graph  $G_3$  given in Theorem 2.1 for any integer  $m \geq N$  and satisfies the property described in Proposition 2.2. We may assume  $N \geq 4$ . Now we fix an integer  $m \geq N$ . It is sufficient to examine the adjacency between the vertices in  $D_{1 \sim t}$ .

Suppose  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \not\subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3$ . Then, since  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$ , no partite sets of  $Q_t$  are partite-related to the partite set of  $Q_j$  for any  $j \in \{t+1, \dots, s\}$ . Thus  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  by Lemma 2.5.

Suppose

$$V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3.$$

Let

$$\nu = |\{i \mid V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

Then  $\nu \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . We first handle the case  $\nu = 3$ , which is rather simple to take care of. Then  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Take  $u, v$  in  $D_{1 \sim t}$ . Since  $\kappa(Q_t) = 3$ ,  $u$  and  $v$  can reach some vertices in  $Q_t$ , say  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, in  $D_{1 \sim t}$  by traversing directed walks of length  $m - 1$ . Then, for some  $a \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , none of  $x$  and  $y$  belongs to  $V_a$ . By the case assumption,  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_a \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $x \rightarrow V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_a$  and  $y \rightarrow V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_a$  and so  $u$  and  $v$  have an  $m$ -step common prey in  $V(D_{t+1 \sim s}) \cap V_a$ . Since  $u$  and  $v$  were arbitrarily chosen, the subgraph of  $C^m(D)$  induced by  $V(D_{1 \sim t})$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}]$ . Hence  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$ .

In the following, we take care of the cases  $\nu = 1$  or 2. By (S3),

we only need to check the adjacency among  $K^{(5)}$ ,  $K^{(6)}$ , and  $K^{(7)}$ ; between  $K^{(2)}$  and  $K^{(7)}$ ; between  $K^{(3)}$  and  $K^{(6)}$ ; between  $K^{(4)}$  and  $K^{(5)}$  in the graph  $G_3$  in (S10) Figure 4.

We note that  $G_3$  is the same as  $G_3$  in [8] in which it is shown that

$$V(K^{(1)} \cup K^{(2)} \cup K^{(3)} \cup K^{(4)}) \subseteq V(D_{1 \sim t-1}) \quad (4)$$

and

$$K^{(5)} = K[U_1^{(t)}], \quad K^{(6)} = K[U_2^{(t)}], \quad \text{and} \quad K^{(7)} = K[U_3^{(t)}] \quad (5)$$

(see the proofs of Theorems 4.4 and 4.5 in [8]). For any pair of cliques mentioned in (S10), any vertex in one clique and any vertex in the other clique have potential step common prey in  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$  by (S4). By Lemma 2.9,  $D_{1 \sim t-1} \overset{\ell}{\rightsquigarrow} D_{t+1 \sim s}$  for any integer  $\ell \geq 2$ . We note that  $V(Q_t) = V(K^{(5)}) \cup V(K^{(6)}) \cup V(K^{(7)})$  by (5). Then, by (4) and the observation in (S10), we just need to see if there is a directed walk of a certain length from a vertex in  $Q_t$  to a vertex in  $D_{t+1 \sim s}$ .






Figure 6: The graphs  $G_{1,1}$ ,  $G_{1,2}$ , and  $G_{1,3}$  in Theorem 2.10 (refer to (S2) for other notations).

*Case 1.*  $\nu = 1$ , i.e.,  $V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \subseteq V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Take a positive integer  $a$ . Then, in the table given in (6), O (resp. X) in the  $(p, q)$ -entry indicates the existence (resp. non-existence) of a directed walk of the length at the top of the  $q$ th column from a vertex in the set at the leftmost of the  $p$ th row to a vertex in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  (given in the header row) for each  $p, q = 1, 2, 3$ . For example, the first row tells us that any vertex in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  is a  $(3a + j - 2)$ -step prey and a  $(3a + j - 1)$ -step prey of any vertex in  $U_1^{(t)}$  while no vertices in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  are a  $(3a + j)$ -step prey of a vertex in  $U_1^{(t)}$ .

	$D_{t+1\sim s}$		
	$3a + j - 2$	$3a + j - 1$	$3a + j$
$U_1^{(t)}$	O	O	X
$U_2^{(t)}$	O	X	O
$U_3^{(t)}$	X	O	O

(6)

Let  $\{K^{(p)}, K^{(q)}\}$  with  $p < q$  be a pair taken from the cliques whose adjacency in  $G_3$  given in Figure 4 has to be checked based upon (S10). Then

$$(p, q) \in \{(2, 7), (3, 6), (4, 5), (5, 6), (5, 7), (6, 7)\}.$$

Based on (5), (6) and Lemma 2.9, we may conclude the following. If a pair  $(p, q)$  is contained in  $\{(3, 6), (4, 5), (5, 6)\}$ , then any vertex in  $K^{(p)}$  and any vertex in  $K^{(q)}$  are adjacent in  $C^{3a+j-2}(D)$ . For any vertex in  $K^{(7)}$ , it cannot have a vertex in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  as a  $(3a + j - 2)$ -step prey and so cannot be adjacent to any vertex of  $K^{(2)}$  or  $K^{(5)}$  or  $K^{(6)}$  in  $C^{3a+j-2}(D)$ . Thus we have shown that  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_{1,2}$  given in Figure 6 if  $m = 3a + j - 2$ . Note that  $m - j + 1 \equiv (3a + j - 2) - j + 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  and so  $i = 2$ . Similarly, one may show that if  $m = 3a + j$  (resp.  $m = 3a + j - 1$ ), then  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_{1,1}$  (resp.  $G_{1,3}$ ) given in Figure 6.

*Case 2.*  $\nu = 2$  and  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  satisfies the property (S9), that is, there is an integer  $\alpha \in \{t + 1, \dots, s - 1\}$  such that  $V(D_{t+1\sim \alpha})$  and  $V(D_{\alpha+1\sim s})$  are partite-related to  $U_j^{(t)}$  and  $U_{j+1}^{(t)}$ , respectively, for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (identifying  $U_4^{(t)}$  with  $U_1^{(t)}$ ). Take a positive integer  $a$ . Now, to see the presence of a directed walk from a vertex in  $Q_t$  to a vertex in  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  based on the length, we refer to the following tables. We note that, except for the header row, the table given in (7) is identical to the table given in (6). Therefore  $C^m(D)$  contains a subgraph isomorphic to  $G_{1,i}$  where  $i \equiv m - j + 1 \pmod{3}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ .

	$D_{t+1\sim \alpha}$		
	$3a + j - 2$	$3a + j - 1$	$3a + j$
$U_1^{(t)}$	O	O	X
$U_2^{(t)}$	O	X	O
$U_3^{(t)}$	X	O	O

(7)

	$D_{\alpha+1\sim s}$		
	$3a + j - 2$	$3a + j - 1$	$3a + j$
$U_1^{(t)}$	X	O	O
$U_2^{(t)}$	O	O	X
$U_3^{(t)}$	O	X	O

(8)

For the table given in (8), O in the (2,1)-entry and O in the (3,1)-entry indicate that every vertex in  $K^{(6)}$  and every vertex in  $K^{(7)}$  have a common  $(3a + j - 2)$ -step prey in  $D_{\alpha+1\sim s}$ . Therefore every vertex in  $K^{(6)}$  and every vertex in  $K^{(7)}$  are adjacent in  $C^{3a+j-2}(D)$ . Furthermore, every vertex in  $K^{(2)}$  and every vertex in  $K^{(7)}$  are adjacent in  $C^{3a+j-2}(D)$  by Lemma 2.9. On the other hand, no vertices in  $K^{(5)}$  and no vertices in  $K^{(7)}$  have a  $(3a + j - 2)$ -step common prey in  $D_{t+1\sim\alpha}$  or  $D_{\alpha+1\sim s}$  as evident from the tables in (7) and (8). Therefore  $C^{3a+j-2}(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_{2,2}$  (recall  $E(G_{2,2}) = E(G_{1,2}) \cup E(K^{(7)} \vee (K^{(2)} \cup K^{(6)}))$ ). For similar reasons, if  $m = 3a + j$  (resp.  $m = 3a + j - 1$ ), then  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $G_{2,1}$  (resp.  $G_{2,3}$ ).

*Case 3.*  $\nu = 2$  and  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  does not satisfy the property (S9). Since  $D_{t+1\sim s}$  does not satisfy the property (S9), there is an arc from a vertex  $z \in V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_{j+1}$  to a vertex  $w \in V(D_{t+1\sim s}) \cap V_j$  for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  (identifying  $V_4^{(t)}$  and  $V_5^{(t)}$  with  $V_1^{(t)}$  and  $V_2^{(t)}$ , respectively).

Starting at any arbitrary vertex of  $U_i^{(t)}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , it is possible to create a directed walk of any length greater than or equal to 2 toward  $w$  by traversing the necessary number of sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$ . For example, we consider the case  $i = j$  for  $j$  given above. To create a directed walk from a vertex  $v$  in  $U_j^{(t)}$  to  $w$  of length  $3a - 1$  (resp.  $3a$ ) for a positive integer  $a$ ,

- we start from  $v$ ;
- rotate the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$  for  $a - 1$  revolutions;
- move by one unit (resp. two units) further on to  $U_{j+1}^{(t)} (\subseteq V_{j+1})$  (resp.  $U_{j+2}^{(t)} (\subseteq V_{j+2})$ ) where  $U_4^{(t)}$  and  $U_5^{(t)}$  are identified with  $U_1^{(t)}$  and  $U_2^{(t)}$ , respectively;
- we can reach  $w$  by passing through an arc (note that  $w \in V_j$ ).

Therefore, there exists a directed walk from each vertex of  $U_j^{(t)}$  to  $w$  having a length of  $3a - 1$  or  $3a$ . To create a directed walk from a vertex  $v$  in  $U_j^{(t)}$  to  $w$  of length  $3a + 1$  for a positive integer  $a$ ,

- we start from  $v$ ;
- rotate the sets of imprimitivity of  $Q_t$  for  $a - 1$  revolutions;
- move by two units further on to  $U_{j+2}^{(t)} (\subseteq V_{j+2})$  where  $U_4^{(t)}$  and  $U_5^{(t)}$  are identified with  $U_1^{(t)}$  and  $U_2^{(t)}$ , respectively;

- we can reach  $z$  by passing through an arc (note that  $z \in V_{j+1}$ ) and then  $w$  by passing through an arc  $z \rightarrow w$ .

Since there is an arc from  $z$  to  $w$ , there exists a directed walk from each vertex of  $U_j^{(t)}$  to  $w$  with a length of  $3a + 1$ . Similarly, one can show that there is a directed walk from a vertex in  $U_{j+1}^{(t)}$  or a vertex in  $U_{j+2}^{(t)}$  to  $w$  of any length greater than or equal to 2. By doing so, we can come to the conclusion that  $Q_t \overset{m}{\rightsquigarrow} w$  for any integer  $m \geq 2$ .

Furthermore, by Lemma 2.9,  $D_{1 \sim t-1} \overset{\ell}{\rightsquigarrow} D_{t+1 \sim s}$  for any integer  $\ell \geq 2$ . Therefore  $w$  is an  $m$ -step common prey of the vertices in  $D_{1 \sim t}$  and so  $C^m(D)$  is isomorphic to  $K[D_{1 \sim t}] \cup I[D_{t+1 \sim s}]$  for each integer  $m \geq N$ .  $\square$

### 3 Acknowledgement

This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) (NRF-2022R1A2C1009648 and NRF-2017R1E1A1A03070489) funded by the Korea government(MSIP).

### References

- [1] E. Belmont. A complete characterization of paths that are  $m$ -step competition graphs. *Discrete Appl Math*, 159(14):1381–1390, 2011.
- [2] R. A. Brualdi, H. J. Ryser, et al. *Combinatorial matrix theory*, volume 39. Springer, 1991.
- [3] H. H. Cho, S.-R. Kim, and Y. Nam. The  $m$ -step competition graph of a digraph. *Discrete Appl Math*, 105(1):115–127, 2000.
- [4] M. Choi and S.-R. Kim. Digraphs whose  $m$ -step competition graphs are trees. *Discrete Appl Math*, 338:234–246, 2023.
- [5] J. E. Cohen. Interval graphs and food webs: a finding and a problem. *RAND Corporation Document*, 17696, 1968.
- [6] G. T. Helleloid. Connected triangle-free  $m$ -step competition graphs. *Discrete Appl Math*, 145(3):376–383, 2005.
- [7] W. Ho. The  $m$ -step, same-step, and any-step competition graphs. *Discrete Appl Math*, 152(1):159–175, 2005.
- [8] J.-H. Jung, S.-R. Kim, and H. Yoon. On the limit of the sequence  $\{C^m(D)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$  for a multipartite tournament  $D$ . *Discrete Appl Math*, 340:1–13, 2023.
- [9] B. Park, J. Y. Lee, and S.-R. Kim. The  $m$ -step competition graphs of doubly partial orders. *Appl Math Lett*, 24(6):811–816, 2011.

- [10] Y. Zhao and G. J. Chang. Note on the  $m$ -step competition numbers of paths and cycles. *Discrete Appl Math*, 157(8):1953–1958, 2009.