

# Faces of the Mind: Unveiling Mental Health States Through Facial Expressions in 11,427 Adolescents

Xiao Xu<sup>1</sup>, Keyin Zhou, Yan Zhang, Yang Wang, Fei Wang<sup>2</sup> and Xizhe Zhang<sup>3</sup>

**Abstract**—Mood disorders, including depression and anxiety, often manifest through facial expressions. While previous research has explored the connection between facial features and emotions, machine learning algorithms for estimating mood disorder severity have been hindered by small datasets and limited real-world application. To address this gap, we analyzed facial videos of 11,427 participants, a dataset two orders of magnitude larger than previous studies. This comprehensive collection includes standardized facial expression videos from reading tasks, along with a detailed psychological scale that measures depression, anxiety, and stress. By examining the relationships among these emotional states and employing clustering analysis, we identified distinct subgroups embodying different emotional profiles. We then trained tree-based classifiers and deep learning models to estimate emotional states from facial features. Results indicate that models previously effective on small datasets experienced decreased performance when applied to our large dataset, highlighting the importance of data scale and mitigating overfitting in practical settings. Notably, our study identified subtle shifts in pupil dynamics and gaze orientation as potential markers of mood disorders, providing valuable information on the interaction between facial expressions and mental health. This research marks the first large-scale and comprehensive investigation of facial expressions in the context of mental health, laying the groundwork for future data-driven advancements in this field.

**Index Terms**—Facial Expressions, Mood Disorder, Machine Learning, Large Benchmark Dataset.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**M**ENTAL health issues have significantly increased in contemporary society, impacting all age groups due to factors such as family dynamics, work stress, and societal norms. The COVID-19 pandemic worsened these issues, leading to a notable rise in anxiety and depression rates - approximately 24.4% and 22.9% in the general population, with even higher rates among vulnerable groups [2], [3]. These findings highlight the urgency of addressing mental health

concerns more effectively and underscore the importance of developing efficient, cost-effective, and accessible severity estimation methods. Yet, identifying individual-level informative biomarkers for conditions such as Major Depressive Disorder proves challenging with current methods [4]. The growing prevalence of mental health issues emphasizes the need for comprehensive mental health screenings and the importance of understanding the complex interplay between emotional disorders and various factors in the general population.

In light of this, the assessment methods for mental disorders, such as SCID (Structured Clinical Interview for DSM Disorders) [5] or HAMD (Hamilton Depression Rating Scale) [6], become particularly relevant. These traditional tools, reliant on the psychiatrists' experience, entail lengthy processes and carry a substantial degree of subjectivity in their application. While they serve as the cornerstone for assessing the severity of mental disorders, the inherent subjectivity and time-intensive nature of these tools underscore the need for supplementary objective methods to evaluate symptom severity. The reliance on these conventional measures, despite their clinical importance, highlights a critical gap in the assessment process - the need for objective, reliable, and time-efficient tools that can complement the existing methodologies and enhance the accuracy and consistency of mood disorder severity assessments.

One promising approach for estimating the severity of mental disorders is through the analysis of human facial expressions. Facial expressions provide invaluable insights into the complex realm of human emotions, making them an essential tool for detecting mental health conditions [7], [8]. The complex relationship between facial expressions and underlying emotions has long been of curiosity to psychologists and researchers. Traditional psychiatric evaluations consider not only the spoken interactions of patients but also the nuances of their emotional expressions, including body gestures and intentions. However, a significant limitation in this area has been the dependence on the personal interpretations of psychiatrists, which can lead to inconsistencies and potentially compromise the accuracy of assessments [9]. Therefore, clarifying the correlation between facial expressions and emotional conditions, remains a primary focus in mental health research.

Deep learning methods have made significant advances in automatic depression detection based on facial expressions in recent years, evolving from analyzing spatial features in single images to incorporating temporal dynamics from videos. The expressive features were extracted from facial images using 2D-CNNs [10], providing a foundational understanding of affective states from static visuals. As research advanced,

This study was funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (62176129 to Xizhe Zhang), National Science Fund for Distinguished Young Scholars (81725005 to Fei Wang), NSFC-Guangdong Joint Fund (U20A6005), Jiangsu Provincial Key Research and Development Program (BE2021617 to Fei Wang). (Corresponding authors: Xizhe Zhang: zhangxizhe@njmu.edu.cn; Fei Wang: fei.wang@yale.edu)

Xiao Xu, Yan Zhang and Xizhe Zhang are with the School of Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, P.R. China. (e-mail: xuxiaooo1111@gmail.com)

Keyin Zhou, Yang Wang and Fei Wang are with the Early Intervention Unit, Department of Psychiatry, The Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University, Nanjing, P.R. China.

The approval was granted by the Medical Research Ethics Committee of the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University (2022-KY095-02). Informed consent was obtained from the custodial parent for students who were minors. All facial images used in this paper were generated by Stable Diffusion [1] and do not represent real individuals.

understanding the temporal dimension of expressions became crucial, prompting the integration of sequential image analysis. This included adopting models like LSTMs [11] and 3D-CNNs [12] to capture nuanced facial movements and changes over time, providing a more comprehensive perspective. Among the contributions, Zhou et al. [13] proposed *DepressNet* and its multi-region variant, *MR-DepressNet*, which leveraged both spatial and temporal features to improve depression recognition. He et al. [14] introduced the *DLGA-CNN* architecture, which incorporated attention mechanisms for focused feature extraction. End-to-end systems, such as the proposed [12], began emerging to streamline the learning process directly from video data. Despite these advancements, the field continues to evolve, with ongoing research aimed at refining these models for more accurate and robust performances.

While facial expression analysis shows promise as a tool for assessing mental health conditions, it faces significant challenges, particularly with respect to dataset limitations. Deep learning models, which are important in analyzing subtle variances in facial expressions, require large and diverse datasets to ensure robust generalization. However, existing datasets such as *DAIC-WOZ* [15] and *AVEC* [16], [17] typically include only a few hundred subjects. This small scale hampers the ability of models to capture and generalize the complex array of facial expressions associated with mental health conditions, often leads to overfitting. Overfitting results in deceptively high performance in controlled test environments, with reported accuracies up to 90% in some studies [18]–[20], but these models struggle to perform similarly in real-world applications. This discrepancy raises concerns about the practical usability of such technologies outside of controlled settings. The lack of large, diverse datasets makes it difficult to adequately detect and interpret crucial facial features indicative of mental health issues, further complicating the deployment of these models in clinical environments.

Another significant issue is the oversimplification involved in estimating severity of mental disorder using single self-reported scales. Previous works trained and validated models using single self-report scales such as the *PHQ* (Patient Health Questionnaire) or *BQI* (Beck Depression Inventory) as labels. However, mental disorder such as depression are notably heterogeneous and complex. For instance, depression includes various subtypes and often coexists with other conditions such as anxiety [21]. The *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM* (*SCID*) is the gold standard for clinical diagnosis, typically requiring one to two hours and potentially longer depending on the complexity of the symptoms. In contrast, publicly available datasets often contain only single self-assessment scales that assess a specific emotional state and are inadequate to capture the multifaceted nature of depression. Human facial expressions integrate complex characteristics that a single scale cannot adequately represent, leading to biases in the assessment of depressive states. Consequently, designing models that take into account multiple emotional states represents a viable approach to addressing these limitations and enhancing the accuracy of depression assessments through facial expression analysis. This multifaceted modeling approach is essential to better mirror the complexity of mental health conditions and

improve the generalizability of these technologies in clinical settings.

To address these challenges, we present *FACES* (Facial Analysis for Clinical Emotional States), a comprehensive dataset comprising high-quality facial videos specifically tailored for assessing mental health in adolescents. The initial phase of *FACES* has already collected data from 11,427 participants, with plans to expand to over 100,000 individuals in subsequent phases. *FACES* is part of the *SEARCH* cohort study [22], which collects facial videos, audio recordings, and diverse data scales. This large-scale longitudinal study involves students, caregivers, and teachers across 11 schools in Jiangsu, China, focusing on emotional well-being, sleep patterns, risk behaviors, family environment, trauma exposure, and academic performance. The *FACES* dataset is unique as it collects and tracks facial features from students aged 10–18 years at baseline and every six months thereafter, aiming to facilitate the development of screening tools and interventions. To our knowledge, *FACES* represents the largest and most standardized facial dataset available for adolescent mental health. As such, it is poised to set a benchmark in the field, providing both baseline and longitudinal data to significantly enhance its research utility.

Building on the *FACES* dataset, this paper presents extensive machine learning experiments focused on the automated detection of mental health conditions through facial expression. We initiated our research with a detailed examination of the complex correlational relationships between three critical factors: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress. This investigation led to the identification of two novel emotional subgroups that encapsulate a combination of these emotional states. Following the identification of these subgroups, we then conducted a series of rigorous machine learning experiments aimed at predicting severity scores for depression, anxiety, and stress. These experiments also sought to differentiate between normal and abnormal psychological states as defined by the *DASS-21* (Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scale) categories and the newly identified subgroups. The results reveal limitations of machine learning models based on small datasets. Furthermore, our analysis highlighted that critical emotional indicators are often subtly present in facial movements, particularly around the eyes, suggesting that gaze direction and attention shifts are key to understanding emotional states in adolescents.

Considering the discussion above, the contributions of this research are:

- 1) **Large Benchmark Dataset:** To the best of our knowledge, the *FACES* stands as the most expansive facial feature dataset curated explicitly for the study of mental health, including data from over 10 thousand participants. Our dataset’s design and methodology are conducted by rigor, precision, and uniformity, ensuring data consistency and reliability across all entries. The detailed planning and execution make the *FACES* a standout in the field of mental health datasets, both in its large size and superior quality.
- 2) **Comprehensive Facial Feature Mapping:** A notable aspect of our research is our thorough investigation of the complex connections between specific mental health

problems and the resulting changes in most comprehensive facial characteristics. Through this approach, we have successfully identified unique facial markers that act as signals for particular mental health conditions.

- 3) **Novel Emotional Subgroups:** Our study introduces two novel emotional subgroups based on the characteristics of the DASS-21 (Depression, Anxiety, and Stress Scales). This approach is crucial as it allows for a more nuanced representation of mental health states, which are often interrelated and complex, rather than isolated symptoms. By clustering multiple symptoms into distinct subgroups, our research improves the precision and depth of the facial expression analysis. This not only validates the robustness of our experimental findings, but also marks a substantial advancement in understanding and detecting diverse mental health conditions through facial expressions.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 offers a concise overview of related literature. Section 3 describes the FACES dataset, facial features, and machine learning methodologies. Section 4 presents detailed results. Finally, Section 5 discusses concluding remarks and outlines future research directions.

## II. LITERATURE SURVEY

### A. Facial Expressions as Mental Disorders Biomarkers

Facial expressions have emerged as significant indicators in understanding and diagnosing mental disorders, reflecting the deep interconnection between observable emotional expressions and underlying psychological states. Davies et al. [23] proposed a comprehensive review that identified consistent alterations in facial emotional displays across a variety of non-psychotic disorders. Their findings pointed to potential universal changes in emotional presentation, emphasizing the diagnostic importance of facial expressions. A further work [24] expanded the understanding by proposing novel facial expressions related to the subjective experience of sadness, advocating for a more nuanced comprehension of emotional facial expressions that extends beyond traditional classifications. Gupta et al. [25] connected these insights to younger populations, noting early alterations in facial expression in psychosis development, highlighting the potential of precise metrics for early detection and intervention. Recent studies in eye movements have paralleled the research on facial expressions. Investigations into schizophrenia patients uncovered abnormalities in fixational saccades as promising biomarkers for cognitive and positive symptoms [26], [27]. Additionally, research on depression revealed eye movement features as potential diagnostic markers, underlining the value of these metrics [28]. These collective findings demonstrate the promising application of facial and eye movement metrics in clinical practice, especially for enhancing early diagnosis and deepening our understanding of psychiatric disorders.

### B. Mental Disorder Detection based on Facial Expressions

Recent progress in automatic depression analysis has been significantly propelled by the synergistic application of com-

puter vision and machine learning technologies. Initial endeavors utilized traditional machine learning models such as Support Vector Machine Regression (SVR) [29], [30], decision trees [31], and logistic regression [32] alongside manually engineered features including Local Binary Patterns (LBP) [33], [34], Low-Level Descriptors (LLD) [31], and Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG) for analyzing facial behavior as indicators of depression [17].

Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)-based approaches marked a significant advancement, with Williamson et al [35], [36], using formant frequencies and delta-mel-cepstra to depict vocal tract shape and dynamics, using a Gaussian Staircase Model for regression. Cummins et al. introduced a GMM-UBM model to amalgamate audio and visual information [37], and Jain et al. utilized GMM (Fisher Vector) to merge features extracted from multiple video segments [38].

The advent of deep learning heralded a new era, with Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) and Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) becoming central to contemporary approaches [39], [40]. Al Jazaery et al. employed the C3D network to derive short-term dynamic features, which were then analyzed using an RNN to model temporal information [41]. Building upon this, Song et al. proposed a method utilizing automatically detected human behavior primitives, introducing spectral heatmaps and spectral vectors to represent multi-scale temporal dynamics of expressive behavior, feeding these into CNNs and Artificial Neural Networks (ANNs) for depression analysis [42].

[43] presented an approach for automatic depression diagnosis through facial dynamic analysis and sparse coding, while another work [34] proposed an automated depression diagnosis system based on Deep Convolutional Neural Networks (DCNNs). He et al. introduced a multi-modal deep learning framework, utilizing a 3D-CNN for spatial-temporal feature learning from facial videos and a Bidirectional LSTM (BiLSTM) for audio sequence contextual information capture, with the fusion of these features through a DNN resulting in state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance [44].

Despite these advancements, challenges persist in translating research into clinical practice. Future efforts should focus on enhancing model robustness and interpretability, considering the impact of context and content on depression prediction, and validating approaches in real-world applications. Integrating multi-modal data and leveraging advancements in vision-language models and visual models based on large language models may further enhance performance, paving the way for more effective depression analysis technologies in healthcare.

### C. Psychiatric-related Facial Datasets

A number of datasets have been established for the study of emotions and mental disorders in recent years, reflecting the growing interest and advancements in this domain. These datasets encompass a wide range of subjects and modalities, which allow researchers to explore multifaceted dimensions of emotion and clinical states.

**AVEC2013** [45]: This corpus includes 340 videos from 292 participants, consisting of individuals with depression

and healthy controls, engaged in human-computer interactions. Out of these, 150 audio and video clips have been provided across three partitions: training, development, and testing. The labeling system was facilitated by the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) scale.

**AVEC2014** [17]: An extension of the AVEC2013 database, the AVEC2014 corpus introduced two tasks, Freeform and Northwind, resulting in a total of 300 data samples. BDI-II was also employed for labeling.

**BD** [46]: Comprising 46 patients and 49 healthy controls, the data were collected from the mental health service of a hospital. The participants underwent semi-structured interviews, and their depressive and manic features were evaluated and recorded over specific intervals. This database was later employed as challenge data in AVEC2018.

**DAIC-woz** [15]: This U.S.-based database features 189 sessions of interactions captured in multiple modes like Face-to-Face, Teleconference, and Wizard-of-Oz, among others. It not only comprises audiovisual cues but also includes physiological data like GSR, ECG, and respiration. It was used as the AVEC2017 dataset. The DAIC has been further expanded with an extended version known as the DAIC-woz-extend, and employed as challenge data in AVEC2019, currently being one of the most popular open-access databases in the domain.

**CHI-MEI** [47]: Curated by the CHI-MEI Medical Center in Taiwan, this dataset captured facial expressions and speech responses of participants as they viewed six discrete emotion-inducing videos. The database incorporated responses from 15 individuals with Bipolar Disorder (BDs), 15 individuals with Unipolar Depression (UDs), and 15 healthy controls.

**Pittsburgh** [48]: This dataset consists of data from 57 participants (34 females and 23 males) undergoing clinical treatment for depression. The subjects, aged between 19 and 65 years, were assessed over a duration of 21 weeks using clinical interviews. The data includes facial video recordings from these interviews, providing a rich resource for analyzing expressions and behaviors related to depression. The severity of symptoms was evaluated using the Hamilton Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD).

These datasets, offering diverse modalities and demographics, are invaluable for researching emotional states and mental disorders. Some of these datasets serve as widely used public datasets, providing a research foundation for the introduction of new deep learning methods. However, they also face limitations due to small sample sizes and the reliance on single assessment scales. To better support new methodologies and facilitate the clinical application of effective methods, future research will require larger datasets and more comprehensive assessment tools.

### III. MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this section, we introduce the principal methodologies employed in our study. Initially, we provide a detailed description of our dataset. This is followed by a description of the preprocessing techniques applied to video data, aimed at achieving facial alignment, detection, and tracking. These processes utilize OpenFace 2.0 [49] for facial analysis, coupled

with statistical methods, to construct a robust feature matrix and label vector. Subsequently, to identify a salient set of landmark features, we perform regression analyzes on the full feature set, as well as specific subsets. This approach enables us to ascertain the predictive efficacy of various combinations of facial features under differing conditions. To evaluate the performance of classification and regression tasks, we apply a suite of conventional machine learning algorithms alongside SOTA deep learning techniques. The Repeated Random Sub-Sampling Validation (RRSSV) method is employed to gauge model performance across a variety of factors and their interactions. Upon obtaining effective classification results, we conduct a significance analysis of the features to discern their influence on the performance of models. This analysis helps to pinpoint critical facial features associated with the factors, offering valuable insight and recommendations for future research. **Figure 1** shows the detailed workflow.

#### A. The FACES Dataset

1) *Study Design and Recruitment*: The dataset is part of the ‘School-based Evaluation Advancing Response for Child Health (SEARCH)’ [22], a comprehensive, mixed-method longitudinal cohort study designed to meet the growing needs of individuals seeking access to mental health care services. Our study focuses on the mental and emotional well-being of primary and secondary school students aged 10 to 18, spanning grades 4 to 12. This collaboration serves to foster a comprehensive approach to studying children and adolescent mental well-being within a school-based framework. Ultimately, 11,427 students were successfully recruited between September 28, 2022, and November 1, 2022.

2) *Data Collection Methodology*: Data collection was carefully made consistent to maintain uniformity. Specially trained investigators managed and oversaw the digital platform designed for this task. At first, students were guided to fill out scales on a dedicated website in the school’s computer classrooms. Later on, students moved to a quieter setting, where local investigators helped gather facial and audio samples using a specific app on Android tablets.

The main psychological state assessment tool for FACES was the DASS-21. This simplified version of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales is segmented into three categories, Depression, Anxiety, and Stress, each consisting of seven specific items. The response to each item is measured on a four-point Likert scale, which facilitates the categorization of the severity of the symptoms in the mental health spectrum of interest. The scoring criteria for the DASS-21 are delineated as follows: For depression, a score range of 0-9 signifies a Normal state, 10-13 Mild, 14-20 Moderate, 21-27 Severe, and scores exceeding 27 indicate an Extremely Severe condition. In the domain of anxiety, score intervals are set from 0-7 for Normal, 8-9 for Mild, 10-14 for Moderate, 15-19 for Severe, with scores beyond 19 reflecting an Extremely Severe state. For stress, the score is classified from 0-14 as Normal, 15-18 as Mild, 19-25 as Moderate, 26-33 as Severe, and any score above 33 as Extremely Severe.

3) *Video Recording Protocol*: To ensure uniformity in the video recording segment, Lenovo Xiaoxin Pad TB-J606 tablets were used. We also developed a facial video recording software tailored for our research needs. Recognizing potential data variance due to environmental factors, elaborate preparations were implemented. Students were guided into quiet rooms with optimal lighting conditions. The students sat about 20 cm from the device and read a neutral story, ‘The North Wind and the Sun,’ which took about 5 minutes to record. Any recordings compromised by clarity or lighting inconsistencies were rigorously filtered out. The finalized data set comprised h264 encoded videos, running at 30 fps, with a resolution of 1280 \* 720 pixels.

4) *Data Quality Control*: First, we exclude videos that are shorter than 20 seconds. Next, we exclude videos with stuttering lasting more than 5 seconds. Additionally, through manual screening, we eliminate videos where a complete face cannot be detected, such as when the person is wearing a mask, or the face is on the edge or outside the video frame.

Furthermore, the overall trend in response times to scale questions reflects the validity of the data. Response time is the duration between when a question is displayed and when a participant selects their answer, recorded by the system with timestamps for each question [50]. We exclude responses with an average time of less than 0.5 seconds or more than 20 seconds. Such criteria are applied because exceedingly brief response times can indicate a lack of thoughtful engagement, while excessively long times might point to confusion or indecision. By filtering based on these response times, we aim to ensure the collected responses are reflective and reliable, thereby enhancing the quality of the dataset.

5) *Population Distribution*: In total, we obtained complete information on 8,281 individuals after data cross-checking and quality screening. The distribution by grade, gender, age, and the DASS-21 are illustrated in **Table I** and **Table II**.

### B. Facial Feature Extraction

We employed OpenFace2.0 [49], a facial behaviour analysis toolkit, for a comprehensive extraction of facial features from video sequences. The methodology is structured as follows: by adhering to this method, we extracted a total of 8,508 features from each video, setting the foundation for subsequent analysis and modeling. The methodology is structured as follows:

#### 1) Video Preprocessing:

- **Face Detection**: OpenFace 2.0 employs a face detection algorithm based on Multi-task Convolutional Neural Networks (MTCNN) [51]. This algorithm, trained on many large face datasets, enhances the accuracy of face detection, particularly excelling in handling side faces and highly occluded faces.
- **Facial Landmark Detection and Tracking**: The system then utilizes the Convolutional Experts Constrained Local Model (CE-CLM) [52] for detecting and tracking facial landmarks. CE-CLM combines a Point Distribution Model (PDM) with local appearance models to accurately capture the variations in facial landmarks. Optimizations for real-time performance, incorporating techniques like

TABLE I  
GRADE DISTRIBUTION.

Characteristics	No. of Samples	Percentage
<i>Gender</i>		
male	4367	52.74
female	3914	47.26
<i>Age</i>		
Mean age (SD)	13.47	2.35
10	1157	13.97
11	957	11.56
12	1119	13.51
13	958	11.57
14	992	12.00
15	1031	12.45
16	1050	12.68
17	875	10.57
18	142	1.71
<i>Grade</i>		
4	200	2.42
5	1145	13.83
6	968	11.69
7	1170	14.13
8	944	11.40
9	939	11.34
10	916	11.06
11	1055	12.74
12	944	11.40

TABLE II  
DETAIL OF FACES ON DASS-21.

Factor	Normal	Mild	Moderate	Severe	Extremely Severe
Depression	6316	734	795	229	207
Anxiety	5358	643	1256	466	558
Stress	6807	668	494	243	69

model simplification, intelligent multi-hypothesis selection, and sparse response map calculation.

2) *Feature Extraction*: To begin with, we extracted image features by downsampling the preprocessed videos from 30 fps to 1 fps. Subsequently, we extracted frame-by-frame sequences of face-aligned images, which served as the input data for the subsequent deep learning models.

Next, we start extracting statistical numerical features from the preprocessed video as follows:

- *Eye Movement Features*:

**Direction of Gaze**: This captures the focus of the person’s vision. For instance, an upward direction might indicate contemplation or recall, while a downward gaze could suggest submissiveness or deep thought.

**Angle of Eye Gaze**: This represents the precise angle at which the eyes are turned. A direct forward gaze might indicate attention, whereas a sideward angle might represent distraction or evasion.

- *Head Pose Estimation*:

**Translation**: This describes how the head moves in the XYZ coordinates – front-to-back, side-to-side, and up-and-down. For example, a forward tilt might be seen when someone is trying to understand a topic or get a closer look, while a backward tilt could suggest confidence or relaxation.

**Rotation**: This captures the tilt (side-to-side), pan (up-




Fig. 1. **Main Workflow.** In the data collection phase, we collected questionnaire and video data, followed by stringent quality control procedures. We began by extracting facial features using the OpenFace tool, calculating their statistical values, and concatenating them to create a comprehensive dataset, denoted as  $X$ . We then conducted regression analyses on  $X$ . During the data preprocessing stage, we first determined the applicability of the U-test based on a normality assessment of  $X_1$ . This was followed by dimensionality reduction through Principal Component Analysis (PCA) to form  $X_2$ . For  $X_2$ , various classifiers were employed to assess classification performance. For  $X_1$ , tree-based classifiers were utilized to identify significant features. Concurrently, we performed face alignment centered on the video data, downsampled the sequential image frames on a frame-by-frame basis, and inputted them into a deep learning model for classification and regression evaluation.




Fig. 2. **Introduction of feature labels related facial images.**

and-down), and roll (twisting) of the head. A side tilt could express curiosity, while a twist might represent confusion.

- **Facial Landmark Localization:**

**Eyebrow Points (X, Y, Z):** A raised eyebrow could signify surprise or skepticism.

**Mouth Corners (X, Y, Z):** Uplifted mouth corners might indicate happiness or amusement, whereas downturned corners could suggest sadness or disapproval.

**Nose Tip and Bridge (X, Y, Z):** The position of the nose can help in understanding the overall face orientation.

- **Facial Action Units (AUs):**

AUs are fundamental elements of facial movements, identified in the Facial Action Coding System (FACS) and widely used in emotion recognition research. In Appendix, **Table IX** describes detailed information of

AUs.

Each of these primary features provides us with a wealth of information about an individual's emotions, intentions, and reactions. By holistically interpreting them, we can gain a better understanding of underlying sentiments and behavioral nuances. Detailed association with facial images of them is illustrated in **Figure 1**.

3) **Post-processing and Feature Aggregation:** To achieve a consistent feature representation across videos with varied frame counts, we aggregated features from sequential frames into lists. For every list, we computed:

(1) **Central Tendency:** Mean and Median; (2) **Dispersion:** Maximum, Minimum, Standard Deviation, Variance, Range, 25th percentile, 75th percentile, and Interquartile Range; (3) **Shape:** Skewness and Kurtosis; (4) **Derivative:** Delta and Delta-Delta (Delta is differentiation).

These metrics offered insights into the dynamic variations of facial features. We finally got 8508 statistical features.

### C. Emotional State Analysis

In this section, to gain a deeper understanding of the interconnections among various emotional states such as depression, anxiety, and stress, we implemented advanced statistical analyses on the DASS-21 questionnaire data. We also introduced a novel factor that integrates these three emotional states and provided a data-driven categorization of emotional conditions.

1) **Correlation analysis:** The DASS-21 questionnaire utilized in our dataset consists of three self-report scales designed to assess the emotional states of depression, anxiety, and stress. It is important to note that these emotional states do not exist in

isolation but often co-occur. To lay the groundwork for further analysis, we initiated our study with a correlational analysis to investigate the interrelations among depression, anxiety, and stress. Understanding the interplay among these factors is important to our research, as it can illuminate the complexities of emotional well-being. A detailed statistical analysis of these factors has been conducted to extract deeper insights into their dynamics.

To explore the predictive dynamics among these emotional states, we initially examined the relationships between depression, anxiety, and stress using Pearson's correlation, aiming to determine the extent of linear association among these variables. Next, we used linear regression analysis to demonstrate how variations in depression, anxiety, and stress levels can predict each other, unveiling directional interactions among these emotional states. This approach reveals their intricate interdependencies and illuminates the mechanisms underpinning their relationships, providing deeper insights into their interconnectedness. The regression equation was simplified as follows:

$$Y_i = \alpha_0 + \sum_{j=1}^3 \alpha_j X_{ij} + \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1, k \neq j}^3 \beta_{jk} X_{ij} X_{ik} + \epsilon_i \quad (1)$$

Here,  $Y_i$  represents the dependent variable corresponding to one of the factors.  $X_{ij}$  denotes the influence of the  $j$ -th factor on the  $i$ -th factor.  $\alpha_0$  is the intercept of the model, indicating the baseline level when all factors are zero.  $\alpha_j$  are the coefficients that reflect the direct impact of the  $j$ -th factor on the  $i$ -th factor.  $\beta_{jk}$  are the interaction coefficients, capturing the combined effect of the  $j$ -th and  $k$ -th factors on the  $i$ -th factor.  $\epsilon_i$  is the error term, accounting for the variability not explained by the model.

2) *Clustering analysis*: The traditional approach to analyzing the DASS-21 scale, by categorizing depression, anxiety, and stress as separate entities, fails to acknowledge their intrinsic interrelation, potentially leading to distorted interpretations. Moreover, the application of arbitrary thresholds to separate emotional states inadequately reflects the spectrum of individual variations, thus diminishing the scale's applicability to a broad array of demographic groups. Therefore, we employed clustering methods as an innovative solution, facilitating a data-driven examination of the DASS-21 dataset. This approach uncovers organic clusters that reflect the complex relationships among emotional states, overcoming the constraints of traditional analysis and generic thresholds.

We employed Agglomerative Clustering to identify subgroups within the DASS-21 scales dataset. This method adopts a bottom-up approach to data analysis, initiating with each observation as a distinct cluster, and progressively merges pairs of clusters to ascend the hierarchy. The method aims to partition a set of  $n$  observations  $X = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  into  $k$  clusters by minimizing the total within-cluster variance, defined as:

$$\min \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{x \in C_i} \|x - \mu_i\|^2 \quad (2)$$

Here,  $C = \{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k\}$  represents the set of clusters,  $\mu_i$  is the centroid of the points in  $C_i$ , and  $x$  denotes the data points within cluster  $C_i$ .

The method begins by treating each observation as its own cluster, then iteratively merges clusters based on the "ward" linkage criterion, using "euclidean" as the default distance metric, until the desired number of clusters  $k$  is achieved.

3) *Cluster Evaluation*: To ensure the effectiveness of clustering, The following evaluation methods are used to assess the quality of the clusters formed:

**Silhouette Coefficient** serves as an indicator of the congruence of an object to its cluster relative to other clusters, it ranges from -1 to 1, with values closer to 1 signifying well-delineated clusters:

$$s(i) = \frac{b(i) - a(i)}{\max(a(i), b(i))} \quad (3)$$

Where  $s(i)$  is the silhouette value for data point  $a(i)$  is the average distance from the  $i^{th}$  data point to the other data points in the same cluster, and  $b(i)$  is the smallest average distance from the  $i^{th}$  data point to data points in a different cluster.

**Davies-Bouldin Index** assesses the average similarity between each cluster and its most similar counterpart, where lower values indicate superior partitioning:

$$DB = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \max_{i \neq j} \left( \frac{S_i + S_j}{d(c_i, c_j)} \right) \quad (4)$$

Where  $k$  is the number of clusters,  $S_i$  is the average distance of all points in cluster  $i$  to centroid  $c_i$ , and  $d(c_i, c_j)$  is the distance between centroids  $c_i$  and  $c_j$ .

**Calinski-Harabasz Index** also known as the variance ratio criterion, it evaluates the quality of clustering by comparing the ratio of between-cluster dispersion to within-cluster dispersion, with higher values indicating more distinct cluster separation:

$$CH = \frac{B/(k-1)}{W/(n-k)} \quad (5)$$

Where  $B$  is the between-group dispersion, calculated as the sum of squared differences between each cluster centroid and the overall centroid,  $W$  is the within-group dispersion, calculated as the sum of squared distances of each data point to its respective cluster centroid,  $k$  is the number of clusters, and  $n$  is the number of data points.

#### D. Machine Learning Models

In order to thoroughly evaluate the statistical numerical features and sequential image features of the dataset, we selected some suitable models, including some influential models that utilize facial expressions to predict mental health issues. We conducted classification and regression tasks for comprehensive evaluation. The detailed definitions of these models and the experimental design are as follows:

### 1) Regression Task:

#### a. Model Definition

- **SVR:** SVR (Support Vector Regression) [53] is based on the principles of Support Vector Machines. It aims to fit as many data points as possible with a hyperplane in high-dimensional space while keeping the prediction errors within a certain threshold.
- **Random Forest Regressor:** Random Forest is an effective ensemble learning method for regression tasks. It constructs a multitude of decision trees and averages their individual predictions to produce a final output. By introducing randomness in both the training data and feature subsets used at each split, it reduces overfitting and improves generalization performance. Random Forest can handle high-dimensional data, capture complex non-linear relationships, and provide feature importance measures [54]. We set the tree number as 1000.
- **XGBoost:** XGBoost [55] is a powerful gradient boosting framework widely used for regression tasks. It iteratively trains an ensemble of decision trees, with each tree learning to predict the residuals of the previous trees. By minimizing the objective function that includes a regularization term, XGBoost controls model complexity and prevents overfitting. It can handle missing values, supports parallel computing, and offers feature importance measures.
- **FTTransformer:** FTTransformer (Feature Tokenizer Transformer) [56] is an elegant adaptation of the Transformer architecture for tabular data. It effectively captures both categorical and numerical features by transforming them into embeddings, which are then processed through a series of Transformer layers. This approach allows the model to learn complex interactions at the feature level, making it particularly suitable for tabular datasets where such interactions are crucial for accurate predictions. The FTTransformer leverages the self-attention mechanism to focus on relevant features and employs LayerNorm and Dropout for regularization, ensuring robust performance across diverse tabular tasks.
- **LI-FPN:** LI-FPN is designed for the automatic detection of depression and anxiety disorders from facial video frames [57]. It consists of two primary components: the Learning and Imitation Module (LIM) and the Spatio-temporal Feature Pyramid Network (STFPN). LIM uses an attention mechanism to enhance feature extraction through “learning” and “imitation” phases. The attention map  $AM_i$  is created as follows:

$$AM_i = \text{Sigmoid} \left( \sum t = 1^T \text{Sigmoid}(\text{Conv}(\phi t^{\text{BB}i})) \right) \quad (6)$$

STFPN integrates spatial and temporal features using a pyramid structure. The fused features  $\phi^{\text{DC}}$  are obtained by:

$$\phi^{\text{DC}} = \text{DownSample}(\text{Conv}k(\phi m - 1^f)) + \phi_{m+1}^{\text{LI}} \quad (7)$$

LI-FPN demonstrates high accuracy in detecting depression and anxiety, leveraging complex interactions between spatial and temporal data.

- **MSN:** MSN (Multiscale Spatiotemporal Network) is a deep learning model designed for automatic depression recognition from facial videos [58]. It employs a 3D Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) architecture to capture both spatial and temporal information. The core of MSN is composed of multiple parallel convolutional layers with varying temporal depths and receptive fields, enabling the model to effectively capture a wide range of facial dynamics associated with depressive behaviors. The output of the basic building block is given by:

$$\hat{y} = \sigma(\text{BN}(H(x, \{H_i\}_{i=1}^M)) + x) \quad (8)$$

where  $H(x)$  represents the residual mapping function, and  $H_i$  are the parameters of the convolutional layers. Experimental results show that MSN outperforms state-of-the-art methods in automatic depression recognition.

#### b. Experimental Setup

We initially assess the regression performance of our dataset, using both statistical and sequential facial images, with suitable machine learning and state-of-the-art deep learning models. For the statistical features, we employ Support Vector Regression (SVR), Random Forest (RF), XGBoost, and FTTransformer. For sequential frame image features, we use LI-FPN and MSN. This process incorporates a ten-fold cross-validation methodology, wherein each fold is systematically allocated into training, validation, and test segments following a 7:2:1 proportion. Concerning the multifaceted statistical features, we partition the feature combinations into subsets including Eye, Pose, Facial Landmarks, Action Units, and Gaze. Regression analyses are then performed on both these subsets of features as well as the complete feature set.

In the evaluation of all regression models under consideration, two primary metrics were employed [59], they are Mean Absolute Error (MAE)

$$\text{MAE} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \hat{y}_i| \quad (9)$$

Root Mean Square Error (RMSE)

$$\text{RMSE} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2} \quad (10)$$

Where  $n$  is the number of observations in the dataset,  $y_i$  and  $\hat{y}_i$  are the actual and predicted value for the  $i$ -th observation, respectively.

#### c. Loss Functions

For deep learning models, we use L1 Loss (Mean Absolute Error Loss), due to its robustness against outliers, it is computed as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i - \hat{y}_i| \quad (11)$$

Where  $n$  is the number of observations in the dataset,  $y_i$  and  $\hat{y}_i$  are the actual and predicted value for the  $i$ -th observation, respectively.



## 2) Classification Task:

### a. Model Definition

- **Random Forest:** Random Forest also excels at classification problems [60], building an ensemble of decision trees to predict class labels. Each tree is trained on a bootstrap sample of the data and uses a random subset of features at each split. The final prediction is determined by majority voting among the trees. This randomization helps to decorrelate the trees and increase diversity, leading to improved classification accuracy and robustness against noise and outliers
- **ExtraTrees:** Extra Trees (Extremely Randomized Trees) [61] can be perceived as an enhanced version of Random Forest, further introducing randomness by completely randomizing the split thresholds for features during node construction. This typically amplifies model diversity.
- **XGBoost:** XGBoost is also effective for classification tasks, employing gradient boosting to build an ensemble of decision trees. It uses a regularized objective function to balance model complexity and classification accuracy. It can handle imbalanced datasets, supports multi-class classification, and provides probability estimates
- **LI-FPN and MSN.**

### b. Experimental Setup

Predicting mental health disorders through facial expressions and scale divisions is a popular task in the domain. Therefore, based on the division criteria of the DASS-21 scale and our new factor Cluster, totaling four factors, we have designed four classification tasks. Each classification task includes two parts: Normal & Abnormal, and Normal & Moderate-to-Severe (disregard Mild). For this purpose, we have selected some machine learning and domain-specific deep learning models based on different backbone architectures. The models are RandomForest, ExtraTree, XGBoost, LI-FPN and MSN.

For all classification tasks, we employed a ten-fold cross-validation approach to ensure the robustness and generalizability of our models. We utilized several standard evaluation metrics, including Accuracy, F1 score, Precision, Recall, and AUC, to provide a comprehensive assessment of the model performance. To further improve the accuracy and robustness of our machine learning models, we applied Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating) [62], [63], which involves training individual models on different subsets of the original dataset (created through resampling) and then combining their outputs.

### c. Loss Function

We use Cross-Entropy Loss function for deep learning models, defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{c=1}^C y_{i,c} \log(\hat{y}_{i,c}) \quad (12)$$

Where  $N$  is the number of samples,  $C$  is the number of classes,  $y_{i,c}$  is the true label for sample  $i$  and class  $c$ , and  $\hat{y}_{i,c}$  is the predicted probability for sample  $i$  and class  $c$ .

3) *Implementation Details:* In the training of deep learning models, all models utilized the Adam optimizer [64] and were run on a Tesla A100 GPU with 40GB of memory. The

implementation of the experiments was carried out using the Python scikit-learn toolbox [65] and the PyTorch toolbox [66]. Other specific differences are as follows:

For the regression task, we configured the deep learning model with a learning rate of 0.0001, a batch size of 8, and trained it for up to 100 epochs. An early stopping mechanism with a patience of 7 epochs was employed to halt training if no improvement in validation loss was observed.

For the classification task, we employed grid search for hyperparameter tuning, including the learning rate, batch size, and number of epochs, to achieve optimal performance. Specifically, the learning rate was adjusted within a range of 0.0001 to 0.1, the batch size varied from 8 to 128, and the number of epochs ranged from 30 to 500. Upon completion of the classification tasks, we fully leveraged the features of the tree-based machine learning models to calculate and analyze the importance of features in classification decision-making tasks.

### E. Key Feature Analysis

In this section, we employed a combination of statistical significance testing and tree-based classifiers to systematically evaluate and select features that are crucial for predicting emotional and psychological states.

1) *Statistical Analysis:* To ensure the relevance and significance of the features used in our study, we initiated our analysis by employing the Mann-Whitney U-test. This non-parametric test is designed to analyze the differences in features between two independent samples. It operates by iterating over each feature in the dataset, comparing the distributions of feature values between two categories to determine if there is a statistically significant difference. The primary purpose of this test was to identify features that have a statistically significant relationship with the target outcomes, particularly focusing on emotional and psychological states. By setting a significance threshold at 0.01, we aimed to filter out features that are less likely to contribute to the predictive accuracy of our models. If a feature's p-value is less than the set significance level, it is considered to have a statistically significant difference between the two categories, and its index is recorded. In this way, the Mann-Whitney U-test helps to identify features that exhibit significant differences between the two categories under study.

2) *Feature Importance Analysis:* Following the identification of statistically significant features, we utilized the tree-based classifier Random Forest to evaluate the importance of each feature. We employed the 'feature\_importances\_' method to calculate the contribution of each feature in reducing impurity at the decision nodes within the trees. Specifically, whenever a feature is used at a node to split the data, it helps in dividing the node into purer child nodes (i.e., the data in each child node is more homogeneous). The amount by which the impurity is reduced is accumulated and averaged across all trees to assess the importance of each feature. By calculating the total reduction in impurity for each feature across all trees and normalizing these values, we obtain the importance scores for each feature. These scores reflect the relative importance

of features in the model’s predictive performance, helping us understand which features have the most significant impact on the decision-making process of the model.

3) *Visual Analytics Methodology*: We conducted decision boundary analysis by creating decision surface maps to illustrate how different features influence classification decisions in a multidimensional space. The decision boundary map classifies each point in the feature space according to the trained model and visualizes different categories through variations in color or symbols. Specifically, a grid is generated to cover the entire feature space, and the model is used to predict the category of each point on the grid. These predictions are then filled with different colors to draw the decision boundaries. The purpose of the decision boundary map is to provide an intuitive way to understand how the model distinguishes between different categories. It helps us evaluate the model’s classification performance, identify whether the model is overfitting or underfitting, and gain a visual understanding of the importance of features and the distribution of data.

Finally, based on the analysis of feature importance, we selected the most critical features and created violin plots to visually display the distribution and density of the data. Additionally, we generated a word cloud based on the importance of each feature, allowing us to easily see which features have the greatest impact on the model.

#### IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section, we present the experimental results obtained using FACES. We begin by conducting a thorough analysis to examine the complex correlational relationships between three key factors: depression, anxiety, and stress. Following this, we carry out a clustering analysis with the DASS-21 scale, which identifies two subgroups that represent a combination of these three emotional states. We then proceed to conduct two types of machine learning tasks: regression and classification, using facial features as input data. A variety of widely used regression models are employed to predict the severity scores for depression, anxiety, and stress. Subsequently, we train several traditional and SOTA classification models to distinguish between normal and abnormal psychological states, as defined by the DASS-21 categories and the subgroups we identified. Finally, we analyze the importance of facial features within the classification models to identify potential indicators of psychological distress. The aim of these results is to demonstrate the effectiveness of our extensive facial video dataset and machine learning techniques in estimating mental health status through facial expressions.

##### A. Emotional State Analysis

Our initial analysis investigated the relationship among depression, anxiety, and stress. **Table III** providing a comprehensive account of the statistical correlations and regression analyzes for these mental health considerations.

The results revealed pronounced positive correlations among depression, anxiety, and stress in the adolescent cohort. Notably, a substantial correlation was observed between depression and anxiety ( $r = 0.780$ ), with depression accounting for

60.9% of the variance observed in anxiety ( $R^2$ ). Moreover, a regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) of 0.816 suggests that an increase in depression is closely associated with a corresponding increase in anxiety levels. The bond between anxiety and stress proved even stronger ( $r = 0.825$ ), with anxiety elucidating a significant 68.0% of the stress variance ( $R^2$ ), and the regression coefficient of 0.750 indicating that anxiety is a significant predictor of stress. In addition, depression and stress shared a robust correlation ( $r = 0.777$ ) and a regression coefficient ( $\beta$ ) of 0.739, confirming that an increase in depression is closely related to elevated stress, explaining 60.4% of its variance ( $R^2$ ).

The data collectively infer that these symptoms are interconnected within a complex matrix of mental health dynamics, rather than occurring in isolation, signifying that the presence of one symptom is a reliable indicator of the potential presence of others within this demographic.

TABLE III  
CORRELATION AND REGRESSION ANALYSIS OF INTER-FACTORS.

Factor	Correlated With	$r$	$R^2$	$\beta$
Depression	Anxiety	0.780	60.9%	0.816
Anxiety	Depression			0.746
Anxiety	Stress	0.825	68.0%	0.750
Stress	Anxiety			0.907
Depression	Stress	0.777	60.4%	0.739
Stress	Depression			0.817

\*  $r$ ,  $R^2$ ,  $\beta$  represent Correlation, Variance Explained and Regression Coefficient, respectively.

To gain deeper insight into emotional states, we performed a cluster analysis of depression, anxiety, and stress. In **Figure 3**, the results indicated that classifying these states into two categories provides a more distinct and cohesive grouping. The optimal performance of the two-cluster model is underscored by a Silhouette Coefficient of 0.541, a Davies-Bouldin Index of 0.766, and a Calinski-Harabasz Index of 10837.905, all of which collectively signify sharper delineation and separation of the clusters as compared to the three-cluster configuration. Detailed data are shown in **Table IV**.

For the two-group solution, Cluster 0, comprising 2,487 participants, is characterized by a statistically balanced prevalence of reported symptoms within the domains of depression, anxiety, and stress. Conversely, Cluster 1, encompassing 5,794 participants, is primarily defined by a notable absence of symptoms related to depression, anxiety, and stress, suggesting a comparative state of psychological well-being. Cluster 1 represents a substantial demographic exhibiting negligible symptomatology, indicative of a relatively healthier psychological profile, whereas Cluster 0 encompasses a smaller yet significant fraction of the population with reported emotional distress. The proportional representation of these groups is consistent with the absence rates of symptoms reported on individual scales for depression, anxiety, and stress, demonstrating a harmonious pattern across both the allocation to clusters and the self-reported symptomatology.

Therefore, the results of the clustering analysis synthesize multiple dimensions of emotional well-being, offering a com-

prehensive overview that potentially improves the understanding of the connection between individuals' emotional states and their facial expressions.

TABLE IV  
CLUSTER ANALYSIS SUMMARY.

N-Clusters	SC	DB	CH
$N = 2$	0.541	0.766	10837.905
$N = 3$	0.508	0.794	8982.790

\* SC, DB, CH represent Silhouette Coefficient, Davies-Bouldin Index and Calinski-Harabasz Index, respectively.




Fig. 3. **The Results of Factor Analysis.** In A, we initially analyzed the correlation between the three factors. In B(1), the upper-right triangular matrix displays the Jaccard coefficients between the four factors under the distinction of two categories of individuals, while the lower-left triangular matrix presents the Jaccard coefficients under the distinction of three categories of individuals. B(2) and B(3) provide silhouette scores, along with Davies-Bouldin and Calinski-Harabasz Indexes, for two different cluster categorizations. C illustrates the specific clustering results for both two-category and three-category divisions of individuals.

### B. Regression Performance

In the regression analysis, we analyzed the efficacy of various regression models, denoted as SVM, RF, XGBoost, FTTransformer, LI-FPN and MSN, across three psychological factors: Depression, Anxiety, and Stress. The evaluation employed MAE and RMSE metrics across five feature subsets (Eye, Pose, FL, AU, Gaze), complete feature set and raw image frames. The results are shown in Table V and Table VI.

For statistical features, the SVR demonstrated strong performance in predicting Depression and Anxiety, particularly when using the ‘AU’ subset, achieving the lowest MAE of 4.998 and 5.041, respectively. For Stress, the SVR performed best with an MAE of 5.867 using the complete feature set, underscoring the benefits of comprehensive feature analysis.

FTTransformer showed a robust capability in capturing complex patterns across various feature subsets, with particularly low RMSE values for Depression using the ‘AU’ subset, which achieved a score of 7.091. Generally, the ‘AU’ subset yielded the most precise predictions for conventional machine learning models, emphasizing its effectiveness.

When evaluating the complete set of statistical features, performance generally improved across all models. FTTransformer consistently yielded stable and favorable outcomes with the complete feature set, suggesting its strong potential for further application in this area.

In addition to numerical features, we also analyzed the regression performance using raw image frames with models LI-FPN and MSN. These models used deep learning approaches to process the image data. LI-FPN achieved notable results with an MAE of 5.249 for Anxiety, while MSN performed well with an RMSE of 6.769 for Depression. These results indicate that image frames can provide valuable information for predicting psychological conditions and should be considered alongside numerical features.

### C. Classification Performance

We then thoroughly explored the classification performance of the models. For clarity, we’ve adopted the following abbreviations: RandomForest as RF, ExtraTree as ET, XGBoost as XGB, and Bagging as B. We also used two deep learning SOTA models, LI-FPN and MSN.

Central to our investigation are three base factors. Each of these factors is categorically divided into three distinct classifications based on scores: ‘Normal’, ‘Mild’, and ‘Moderate-Severe’. Concurrently, the Cluster factor, an integral component of our study, is subjected to both two-category and three-category clustering.

Our experimental design is divided into two approaches:

**Binary Classification (BC):** We classify between ‘Normal’ and ‘Abnormal’, where ‘Abnormal’ encompasses ‘Mild’, ‘Moderate’, ‘Severe’, and ‘Extremely Severe’ categories. Correspondingly, for the Cluster factor, we employ a two-category clustering.

**Refined Binary Classification (RBC):** We sharpen our focus by eliminating the transitional ‘Mild’ category, aiming solely at distinguishing between the ‘Normal’ and ‘Moderate-Extremely Severe’ groups. In alignment with this, for the Cluster factor, we employ a three-category clustering but intentionally omit the intermediate transition category for binary classification.

By structuring our experiments in this manner, we aim to uncover the inherent relationships and predictive accuracies of our chosen classifiers, especially when transitional data points are either included or excluded.

Our results are detailed in Table VII and VIII. Notably, in the BC task, all classifiers maintained accuracy levels between 0.5 and 0.6. This suggests that reaching definitive classification conclusions is challenging without the exclusion of ‘Mild’ cases. This difficulty primarily stems from the subjective nature of the scores used to determine classification labels based on self-assessment scales. The subjectivity of these scores makes it hard to distinctly categorize samples near the threshold, which is one of the key challenges in the classification of psychiatric disorders.

Next, we evaluated the performance of the models on RBC tasks. The Extra Trees models demonstrated consistent and balanced performance across all tasks, particularly notable

TABLE V  
FEATURE SUBSET REGRESSION RESULTS OF MULTI-MODEL EVALUATION

Algorithm		Depression					Anxiety					Stress				
		Eye	Pose	FL	AU	Gaze	Eye	Pose	FL	AU	Gaze	Eye	Pose	FL	AU	Gaze
SVM	MAE	5.103	5.098	5.073	<b>4.998</b>	5.101	5.178	5.159	5.139	<b>5.041</b>	5.169	6.071	6.066	6.014	<b>5.919</b>	6.095
	RMSE	7.859	7.843	7.831	<b>7.728</b>	7.863	7.382	7.350	7.337	<b>7.269</b>	7.357	7.928	7.921	7.886	<b>7.804</b>	7.941
RF	MAE	5.775	5.767	5.768	<b>5.682</b>	5.743	5.659	5.608	5.615	<b>5.545</b>	5.605	6.322	6.310	6.245	<b>6.198</b>	6.308
	RMSE	7.564	7.539	7.548	<b>7.510</b>	7.512	7.204	7.158	7.151	<b>7.111</b>	7.136	7.833	7.837	7.754	<b>7.724</b>	7.811
XGBoost	MAE	6.067	6.053	6.046	<b>5.877</b>	6.003	5.946	5.928	5.887	<b>5.833</b>	5.905	6.700	6.693	6.633	<b>6.496</b>	6.693
	RMSE	8.132	8.104	8.086	<b>7.984</b>	8.055	7.757	7.742	7.677	<b>7.633</b>	7.691	8.490	8.469	8.372	<b>8.300</b>	8.482
FTT	MAE	5.347	<b>5.270</b>	5.368	5.340	5.321	5.245	5.228	5.237	<b>5.213</b>	5.304	6.274	6.280	6.284	6.298	<b>6.260</b>
	RMSE	7.108	7.275	<b>7.091</b>	7.113	7.397	6.782	6.797	6.794	6.741	<b>6.686</b>	7.609	7.601	7.597	<b>7.524</b>	7.566

\* FL and AU represent Facial Landmarks and Action Units, respectively. Red and blue colors represent the minimum error values of the different models for MAE and RMSE, respectively.

TABLE VI  
COMPLETE FEATURE SET REGRESSION RESULTS OF MULTI-MODEL EVALUATION

Feature	Algorithm		Depression	Anxiety	Stress
Statistical	SVM	MAE	<b>4.961</b>	<b>5.004</b>	<b>5.867</b>
		RMSE	7.719	7.246	7.748
	RF	MAE	5.697	5.550	6.155
		RMSE	7.546	7.117	<b>7.679</b>
	XGBoost	MAE	5.875	5.755	6.400
		RMSE	7.981	7.565	8.099
	FTT	MAE	5.327	5.253	6.282
		RMSE	7.121	<b>6.766</b>	7.599
Image Frames	LI-FPN	MAE	5.401	5.249	6.188
		RMSE	7.334	7.077	8.156
	MSN	MAE	5.494	5.668	6.489
		RMSE	<b>6.769</b>	6.870	7.841

for an accuracy of 0.65 in the clustering factor, indicating robustness in handling various mental health classifications. In contrast, the deep learning models such as LI-FPN and MSN, while achieving high recall, faced challenges with precision, suggesting a tendency to overfit or prioritize recall at the cost of accuracy. These models showed generally lower performance in precision metrics across various mental health classifications but were more effective in terms of F1 and Recall metrics. This indicates that while they are efficient in identifying true positive cases, they may still compromise on precision, resulting in a higher number of false positives. This nuanced performance of the deep learning models highlights the need for further tuning and adaptation to enhance their precision without sacrificing recall, aiming for a balanced approach that can effectively handle the complexities of larger and more diverse datasets.

A key insight from the comparison between the results of **BC** and **RBC** was the improved performance that followed the omission of the 'Mild' category. Removing this category helped minimize potential overlaps or ambiguities, creating clearer distinctions between the 'Normal' and 'Moderate-Extremely Severe' categories, which in turn enhanced classifier accuracy. However, challenges emerged when testing the SOTA deep learning models. Despite their strong performance

on standard public datasets, their effectiveness significantly dropped on our larger dataset. Multiple tuning attempts yielded a maximum accuracy of only 0.64. This indicates that while current deep learning models are effective on smaller datasets, they may not generalize well to larger, more diverse datasets, highlighting a need for further research in this area.

TABLE VII  
RESULTS OF MULTI-ESTIMATORS ON BINARY CLASSIFICATION.

Factor	Model	ACC	F1	Recall	Precision	AUC
Cluster	RF	0.51±0.05	0.51±0.07	0.49±0.10	0.50±0.05	0.50±0.05
	ET	0.52±0.05	0.51±0.07	0.60±0.10	0.55±0.05	0.52±0.05
	XGB	0.51±0.05	0.51±0.07	0.52±0.10	0.52±0.05	0.52±0.05
	RF_B	0.52±0.05	0.52±0.07	0.53±0.10	0.52±0.05	0.53±0.05
	ET_B	0.52±0.05	0.52±0.07	0.57±0.10	0.54±0.05	0.52±0.05
	XGB_B	0.51±0.05	0.51±0.07	0.53±0.10	0.53±0.05	0.52±0.06
Depression	RF	0.56±0.06	0.56±0.07	0.53±0.08	0.54±0.07	0.55±0.07
	ET	0.54±0.05	0.54±0.07	0.52±0.09	0.53±0.06	0.54±0.06
	XGB	0.54±0.05	0.54±0.06	0.52±0.10	0.53±0.05	0.56±0.06
	RF_B	0.55±0.06	0.55±0.07	0.55±0.09	0.55±0.06	0.57±0.08
	ET_B	0.56±0.05	0.56±0.07	0.53±0.09	0.54±0.06	0.57±0.05
	XGB_B	0.55±0.05	0.56±0.06	0.53±0.08	0.54±0.05	0.57±0.06
Anxiety	RF	0.57±0.04	0.57±0.05	0.54±0.07	0.55±0.05	0.58±0.04
	ET	0.52±0.03	0.52±0.05	0.45±0.06	0.48±0.04	0.53±0.04
	XGB	0.53±0.04	0.52±0.05	0.62±0.07	0.56±0.05	0.52±0.05
	RF_B	0.53±0.05	0.53±0.06	0.53±0.08	0.53±0.05	0.53±0.05
	ET_B	0.52±0.02	0.52±0.01	0.51±0.06	0.51±0.05	0.53±0.05
	XGB_B	0.53±0.04	0.53±0.05	0.52±0.07	0.52±0.05	0.53±0.05
Stress	RF	0.58±0.07	0.59±0.08	0.53±0.10	0.56±0.07	0.60±0.08
	ET	0.55±0.06	0.56±0.08	0.49±0.09	0.52±0.08	0.57±0.07
	XGB	0.56±0.05	0.57±0.07	0.52±0.10	0.54±0.06	0.58±0.07
	RF_B	0.56±0.04	0.57±0.05	0.55±0.08	0.56±0.04	0.59±0.06
	ET_B	0.56±0.04	0.57±0.04	0.51±0.07	0.54±0.04	0.59±0.06
	XGB_B	0.57±0.05	0.57±0.06	0.50±0.08	0.53±0.05	0.59±0.06

#### D. Results of Key Feature Analysis

We show the results in **Figure 4**. In the initial phase of feature evaluation using the Mann-Whitney U-test with a significance threshold of 0.01, eye-related features were found to be significantly associated with emotional and psychological states, such as gaze direction and eye landmarks, particularly pupil behavior, showed notable variance and importance. Features like `gaze_0_z`, `gaze_angle_x`, and `eye_lmk_x_*` were identified as having the highest significance.

TABLE VIII  
RESULTS OF MULTI-ESTIMATORS ON REFINED BINARY CLASSIFICATION.

Factor	Model	ACC	F1	Recall	Precision	AUC
Cluster	ET_B	<b>0.65±0.08</b>	<b>0.66±0.11</b>	<b>0.61±0.16</b>	<b>0.63±0.09</b>	<b>0.68±0.09</b>
	LI-FPN	0.64±0.05	0.68±0.03	0.74±0.02	0.63±0.04	0.71±0.03
	MSN	0.61±0.04	0.69±0.03	0.82±0.02	0.60±0.03	0.75±0.02
Depression	RF_B	0.60±0.07	0.60±0.08	0.57±0.10	0.59±0.08	0.63±0.09
	LI-FPN	0.57±0.02	0.61±0.05	0.64±0.04	0.58±0.03	0.63±0.04
	MSN	0.56±0.04	0.60±0.05	0.65±0.03	0.56±0.04	0.67±0.03
Anxiety	RF_B	0.53±0.06	0.53±0.06	0.53±0.06	0.53±0.07	0.54±0.06
	LI-FPN	0.58±0.04	0.64±0.04	0.71±0.03	0.58±0.03	0.69±0.04
	MSN	0.58±0.03	0.58±0.03	0.56±0.04	0.61±0.03	0.65±0.04
Stress	RF_B	0.58±0.07	0.58±0.09	0.58±0.13	0.58±0.08	0.61±0.08
	LI-FPN	0.58±0.03	0.59±0.02	0.75±0.01	0.48±0.05	0.66±0.02
	MSN	0.56±0.05	0.43±0.02	0.37±0.03	0.51±0.04	0.61±0.05

Following the U-test analysis, Random Forest was used to further evaluate feature importance for each psychological factor. First, we selected the top two most important features for each factor and visualized their distributions using box plots (4.A). The shaded areas represent the standard deviation, and we observed clear differences in the distribution patterns among the important features of each factor.

Next, we used the top two features to plot the decision boundaries using random forests (4.B). The decision boundary analysis, through decision surface plots, illustrated the classification capabilities of the models for each factor. The Cluster category exhibited a smooth Gaussian-shaped curve with few misclassifications, indicating an ideal performance. The Depression category also displayed a Gaussian shape but with a slightly less smooth curve and more misclassifications. The Anxiety category had an irregular boundary with more misclassifications, and the Stress category showed the most scattered and irregular shape, suggesting the poorest performance among the four factors.

Furthermore, we focused on the importance of individual sub-features by summing the importance values of all statistical measures for each sub-feature and ranking them. We then selected the top five features for each factor (4.C). This analysis provided insights into how specific ocular metrics relate to emotional and psychological categories. For instance, the depth of the eye (*eye\_lmk\_Z*) was most indicative in the Clustering category, suggesting its crucial role in differentiating general moods. In Depression, the vertical position of the eye (*eye\_lmk\_Y*) was prominent, possibly reflecting a downward gaze associated with depressive symptoms. The horizontal position of the eye (*eye\_lmk\_X*) was significant in Anxiety, potentially indicating increased lateral eye movements. For Stress, vertical eye movement patterns (*eye\_lmk\_Y*) were identified as a significant feature, which could be a response to emotional strain.

In conclusion, our comprehensive analysis highlights the importance of eye movements and gaze direction as key indicators of emotional and psychological states. Subtle changes in the eyes, which are often difficult to disguise, can reveal true emotions. For example, rapid eye movement may be a sign of anxiety, while a downward gaze could suggest sadness or introspection. These findings underscore the potential of

using eye-tracking data and machine learning techniques to develop non-invasive methods for assessing emotional and psychological well-being.

## V. CONCLUSION

Adolescence is a distinct period marked by profound psychological changes, which often leads to various mental health issues. The World Health Organization reports that one in seven adolescents aged 10-19 suffers from a mental disorder, representing 13% of the global disease burden in this demographic [67]. With the increasing prevalence of mental health issues among adolescents, prompt and efficient screening for psychological disorders in this age group is a crucial area of research focus.

Facial expressions, which are closely linked to adolescent psychological disorders, have been understudied due to a lack of comprehensive datasets and rigorous methodologies. Our research addresses these gaps by employing the Facial Affect Collection for Emotional States (FACES), a large-scale, standardized dataset that markedly surpasses previous efforts characterized by their limited scope and diversity. The breadth and granularity of the FACES enable a thorough investigation of the complex interplay between facial expressions and mental health, substantially mitigating the risk of overfitting prevalent in smaller-scale studies and enhancing the generalizability of our findings.

We leveraged advanced machine learning techniques, including tree-based classifiers and SOTA deep learning models, to analyze this large-scale dataset, which has revealed novel insights. Specifically, we have successfully identified two new emotional subgroups that represent complex combinations of depression, anxiety, and stress. This identification allows for more targeted and effective assessment strategies, which are crucial for the nuanced nature of mental health conditions. Furthermore, our research has shown that subtle facial indicators, such as shifts in pupil dynamics and gaze orientation, can serve as reliable markers of mood disorders. These findings pave the way for the development of noninvasive, objective tools for early detection and continuous monitoring of mental health conditions, offering the potential to significantly impact clinical practices.

The significance of this work lies not only in its scientific and methodological advancements but also in its potential societal impact. By improving the accuracy and reliability of mental health assessments, our findings could lead to earlier interventions and better outcomes for individuals suffering from mental health disorders. Moreover, the methodologies and insights derived from this study set a new standard in the field and provide a robust foundation for future research.

In summary, this study enriches the field of mental health through pioneering large-scale data analysis and machine learning applications. It shifts the paradigm from traditional, subjective assessments to more precise, data-driven approaches, marking a substantial step forward in the domain of psychiatric research. Future efforts will focus on analyzing this large-scale dataset and exploring their implementation in clinical settings to enhance the personalization and effectiveness of mental health care.




Fig. 4. **Results of Key Feature Analysis.** **A** displays box plots for all data related to the top two most important features identified by the classifier for each factor, highlighting differences in feature distributions, the plots contain the distribution of all points and the shaded areas represent the kurtosis of the data distribution. **B** illustrates the decision boundaries of the classifier, demonstrating how the classifier performs. **C** shows the ranking of the top five major feature groups in a random forest, emphasizing the importance of regional features based on their combined importance scores. **D** uses all major feature groups and their importance to create a word cloud, providing a clearer visual representation of the feature areas that are more relevant to mental health issues.

#### DATA AVAILABILITY

Updated data policies and codes are available at <https://github.com/xuxiaoooo/FACES>.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. Rombach, A. Blattmann, D. Lorenz, P. Esser, and B. Ommer, “High-resolution image synthesis with latent diffusion models,” 2021.
- [2] S. Nochaiwong, C. Ruengorn, K. Thavorn, B. Hutton, R. Awiphan, C. Phosuya, Y. Ruanta, N. Wongpakaran, and T. Wongpakaran, “Global prevalence of mental health issues among the general population during the coronavirus disease-2019 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Scientific reports*, vol. 11, no. 1, p. 10173, 2021.
- [3] M. Bower, S. Smout, A. Donohoe-Bales, S. O’Dean, L. Teesson, J. Boyle, D. Lim, A. Nguyen, A. L. Calear, P. J. Batterham *et al.*, “A hidden pandemic? an umbrella review of global evidence on mental health in the time of covid-19,” *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, vol. 14, 2023.
- [4] N. R. Winter, J. Blanke, R. Leenings, J. Ernsting, L. Fisch, K. Sarink, C. Barkhau, D. Emden, K. Thiel, K. Flinkenflügel *et al.*, “A systematic evaluation of machine learning–based biomarkers for major depressive disorder,” *JAMA psychiatry*, 2024.
- [5] D. R. Glasofer, A. J. Brown, and M. Riegel, “Structured clinical interview for dsm-iv (scid),” *Encyclopedia of feeding and eating disorders*, pp. 1–4, 2015.
- [6] R. M. Bagby, A. G. Ryder, D. R. Schuller, and M. B. Marshall, “The hamilton depression rating scale: has the gold standard become a lead weight?” *American Journal of Psychiatry*, vol. 161, no. 12, pp. 2163–2177, 2004.
- [7] L. S. Chen and T. S. Huang, “Emotional expressions in audiovisual human computer interaction,” in *2000 IEEE International Conference on Multimedia and Expo. ICME2000. Proceedings. Latest Advances in the Fast Changing World of Multimedia (Cat. No. 00TH8532)*, vol. 1. IEEE, 2000, pp. 423–426.
- [8] H. A. Elfenbein, A. Marsh, and W. Ambady, “Emotional intelligence and the recognition of emotion from facial expressions,” *The Wisdom in Feeling: Psychological Processes in Emotional Intelligence*; The Guilford Press: New York, NY, USA, 2002.
- [9] H.-J. Rumpf, C. Meyer, U. Hapke, and U. John, “Screening for mental health: validity of the MHI-5 using DSM-IV Axis I psychiatric disorders as gold standard,” *Psychiatry research*, vol. 105, no. 3, pp. 243–253, 2001, publisher: Elsevier.
- [10] W. C. De Melo, E. Granger, and A. Hadid, “Depression detection based on deep distribution learning,” in *2019 IEEE international conference on image processing (ICIP)*. IEEE, 2019, pp. 4544–4548.
- [11] M. A. Uddin, J. B. Joolee, and Y.-K. Lee, “Depression level prediction using deep spatiotemporal features and multilayer bi-itsm,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 864–870, 2020.
- [12] L. He, C. Guo, P. Tiwari, H. M. Pandey, and W. Dang, “Intelligent system for depression scale estimation with facial expressions and case study in industrial intelligence,” *International Journal of Intelligent Systems*, vol. 37, no. 12, pp. 10 140–10 156, 2022.
- [13] X. Zhou, K. Jin, Y. Shang, and G. Guo, “Visually interpretable representation learning for depression recognition from facial images,” *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 542–552, 2018, publisher: IEEE.
- [14] L. He, J. C.-W. Chan, and Z. Wang, “Automatic depression recognition using cnn with attention mechanism from videos,” *Neurocomputing*, vol. 422, pp. 165–175, 2021.
- [15] J. Gratch, R. Artstein, G. M. Lucas, G. Stratou, S. Scherer, A. Nazarian, R. Wood, J. Boberg, D. DeVault, S. Marsella, and others, “The distress

- analysis interview corpus of human and computer interviews.” in *LREC*. Reykjavik, 2014, pp. 3123–3128.
- [16] M. Valstar, B. Schuller, K. Smith, F. Eyben, B. Jiang, S. Bilakhia, S. Schnieder, R. Cowie, and M. Pantic, “Avec 2013: the continuous audio/visual emotion and depression recognition challenge,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2013, pp. 3–10.
- [17] M. Valstar, B. Schuller, K. Smith, T. Almaev, F. Eyben, J. Krajewski, R. Cowie, and M. Pantic, “Avec 2014: 3d dimensional affect and depression recognition challenge,” in *Proceedings of the 4th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2014, pp. 3–10.
- [18] A. Pampouchidou, O. Simantiraki, C.-M. Vazakopoulou, C. Chatzaki, M. Padiaditis, A. Maridaki, K. Marias, P. Simos, F. Yang, F. Meriaudeau *et al.*, “Facial geometry and speech analysis for depression detection,” in *2017 39th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society (EMBC)*. IEEE, 2017, pp. 1433–1436.
- [19] F. Yin, J. Du, X. Xu, and L. Zhao, “Depression detection in speech using transformer and parallel convolutional neural networks,” *Electronics*, vol. 12, no. 2, p. 328, 2023.
- [20] S. Gupta, G. Agarwal, S. Agarwal, and D. Pandey, “Depression detection using cascaded attention based deep learning framework using speech data,” *Multimedia Tools and Applications*, pp. 1–39, 2024.
- [21] E. I. Fried and R. M. Nesse, “The impact of individual depressive symptoms on impairment of psychosocial functioning,” *PLoS one*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. e90311, 2014.
- [22] R. Zhang, Y. Wang, F. Womer, W. Yang, X. Wang, X. Xu, Z. Su, L. Wang, J. Zhou, S. Qin, K. Zhou, F. Liu, X. Zhang, Y. Lin, X. Zhang, J. Yang, and F. Wang, “School-based evaluation advancing response for child health (search): a mixed longitudinal cohort study from multifaceted perspectives in jiangsu, china,” vol. 26, no. 1, 2023.
- [23] H. Davies, I. Wolz, J. Leppanen, F. Fernandez-Aranda, U. Schmidt, and K. Tchanturia, “Facial expression to emotional stimuli in non-psychotic disorders: A systematic review and meta-analysis,” *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, vol. 64, pp. 252–271, 2016, publisher: Elsevier.
- [24] S. Namba, T. Kagamihara, M. Miyatani, and T. Nakao, “Spontaneous facial expressions reveal new action units for the sad experiences,” *Journal of Nonverbal behavior*, vol. 41, pp. 203–220, 2017, publisher: Springer.
- [25] T. Gupta, C. M. Haase, G. P. Strauss, A. S. Cohen, and V. A. Mittal, “Alterations in facial expressivity in youth at clinical high-risk for psychosis,” *Journal of abnormal psychology*, vol. 128, no. 4, p. 341, 2019, publisher: American Psychological Association.
- [26] X. Liu, Y. Li, L. Xu, T. Zhang, H. Cui, Y. Wei, M. Xia, W. Su, Y. Tang, X. Tang *et al.*, “Spatial and temporal abnormalities of spontaneous fixational saccades and their correlates with positive and cognitive symptoms in schizophrenia,” *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, vol. 50, no. 1, pp. 78–88, 2024.
- [27] K. Okazaki, K. Miura, J. Matsumoto, N. Hasegawa, M. Fujimoto, H. Yamamori, Y. Yasuda, M. Makinodan, and R. Hashimoto, “Discrimination in clinical diagnosis between patients with schizophrenia and healthy controls using eye movement and cognitive functions,” *Psychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 2023.
- [28] M. Gao, R. Xin, Q. Wang, D. Gao, J. Wang, and Y. Yu, “Abnormal eye movement features in patients with depression: Preliminary findings based on eye tracking technology,” *General Hospital Psychiatry*, vol. 84, pp. 25–30, 2023.
- [29] J. F. Cohn, T. S. Krueger, I. Matthews, Y. Yang, M. H. Nguyen, M. T. Padilla, F. Zhou, and F. De la Torre, “Detecting depression from facial actions and vocal prosody,” in *2009 3rd international conference on affective computing and intelligent interaction and workshops*. IEEE, 2009, pp. 1–7.
- [30] H. Meng, D. Huang, H. Wang, H. Yang, M. Ai-Shuraifi, and Y. Wang, “Depression recognition based on dynamic facial and vocal expression features using partial least square regression,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2013, pp. 21–30.
- [31] M. Nasir, A. Jati, P. G. Shivakumar, S. Nallan Chakravarthula, and P. Georgiou, “Multimodal and multiresolution depression detection from speech and facial landmark features,” in *Proceedings of the 6th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2016, pp. 43–50.
- [32] S. Alghowinem, R. Goecke, M. Wagner, G. Parkerx, and M. Breakspear, “Head pose and movement analysis as an indicator of depression,” in *2013 Humaine Association Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction*. IEEE, 2013, pp. 283–288.
- [33] A. Jan, H. Meng, Y. F. B. A. Gaus, and F. Zhang, “Artificial intelligent system for automatic depression level analysis through visual and vocal expressions,” *IEEE Transactions on Cognitive and Developmental Systems*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 668–680, 2017.
- [34] Y. Zhu, Y. Shang, Z. Shao, and G. Guo, “Automated depression diagnosis based on deep networks to encode facial appearance and dynamics,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 9, no. 4, pp. 578–584, 2017, publisher: IEEE.
- [35] J. R. Williamson, T. F. Quatieri, B. S. Helfer, R. Horwitz, B. Yu, and D. D. Mehta, “Vocal biomarkers of depression based on motor incoordination,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2013, pp. 41–48.
- [36] J. R. Williamson, T. F. Quatieri, B. S. Helfer, G. Ciccarelli, and D. D. Mehta, “Vocal and facial biomarkers of depression based on motor incoordination and timing,” in *Proceedings of the 4th international workshop on audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2014, pp. 65–72.
- [37] N. Cummins, J. Joshi, A. Dhall, V. Sethu, R. Goecke, and J. Epps, “Diagnosis of depression by behavioural signals: a multimodal approach,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2013, pp. 11–20.
- [38] V. Jain, J. L. Crowley, A. K. Dey, and A. Lux, “Depression estimation using audiovisual features and fisher vector encoding,” in *Proceedings of the 4th International Workshop on Audio/Visual Emotion Challenge*, 2014, pp. 87–91.
- [39] W. Yang, J. Liu, P. Cao, R. Zhu, Y. Wang, J. K. Liu, F. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Attention guided learnable time-domain filterbanks for speech depression detection,” *Neural Networks*, 2023, publisher: Elsevier.
- [40] X. Xu, Y. Wang, X. Wei, F. Wang, and X. Zhang, “Attention-Based Acoustic Feature Fusion Network for Depression Detection,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2308.12478*, 2023.
- [41] M. Al Jazaery and G. Guo, “Video-based depression level analysis by encoding deep spatiotemporal features,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 262–268, 2018.
- [42] S. Song, S. Jaiswal, L. Shen, and M. Valstar, “Spectral representation of behaviour primitives for depression analysis,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 829–844, 2020, publisher: IEEE.
- [43] L. Wen, X. Li, G. Guo, and Y. Zhu, “Automated depression diagnosis based on facial dynamic analysis and sparse coding,” *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security*, vol. 10, no. 7, pp. 1432–1441, 2015.
- [44] L. He, M. Niu, P. Tiwari, P. Marttinen, R. Su, J. Jiang, C. Guo, H. Wang, S. Ding, Z. Wang, and others, “Deep learning for depression recognition with audiovisual cues: A review,” *Information Fusion*, vol. 80, pp. 56–86, 2022, publisher: Elsevier.
- [45] M. Valstar, B. Schuller, K. Smith, F. Eyben, B. Jiang, S. Bilakhia, S. Schnieder, R. Cowie, and M. Pantic, “Avec 2013: the continuous audio/visual emotion and depression recognition challenge,” in *Proceedings of the 3rd ACM international workshop on Audio/visual emotion challenge*, 2013, pp. 3–10.
- [46] E. Çiftçi, H. Kaya, H. Güleç, and A. A. Salah, “The turkish audio-visual bipolar disorder corpus,” in *2018 First Asian Conference on Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII Asia)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 1–6.
- [47] K.-Y. Huang, C.-H. Wu, Y.-T. Kuo, and F.-L. Jang, “Unipolar Depression vs. Bipolar Disorder: An Elicitation-Based Approach to Short-Term Detection of Mood Disorder,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2016*, 2016, pp. 1452–1456.
- [48] H. Dibeklioğlu, Z. Hammal, and J. F. Cohn, “Dynamic multimodal measurement of depression severity using deep autoencoding,” *IEEE journal of biomedical and health informatics*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 525–536, 2017.
- [49] T. Baltrusaitis, A. Zadeh, Y. C. Lim, and L.-P. Morency, “Openface 2.0: Facial behavior analysis toolkit,” in *2018 13th IEEE international conference on automatic face & gesture recognition (FG 2018)*. IEEE, 2018, pp. 59–66.
- [50] Z. Su, R. Liu, K. Zhou, X. Wei, N. Wang, Z. Lin, Y. Xie, J. Wang, F. Wang, S. Zhang *et al.*, “Exploring the relationship between response time sequence in scale answering process and severity of insomnia: a machine learning approach,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2310.08817*, 2023.
- [51] K. Zhang, Z. Zhang, Z. Li, and Y. Qiao, “Joint face detection and alignment using multitask cascaded convolutional networks,” *IEEE signal processing letters*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 1499–1503, 2016.
- [52] A. Zadeh, Y. Chong Lim, T. Baltrusaitis, and L.-P. Morency, “Convolutional experts constrained local model for 3d facial landmark detection,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision Workshops*, 2017, pp. 2519–2528.
- [53] A. J. Smola and B. Schölkopf, “A tutorial on support vector regression,” *Statistics and computing*, vol. 14, pp. 199–222, 2004.

- [54] L. Breiman, "Random forests," *Machine learning*, vol. 45, pp. 5–32, 2001.
- [55] T. Chen and C. Guestrin, "Xgboost: A scalable tree boosting system," in *Proceedings of the 22nd acm sigkdd international conference on knowledge discovery and data mining*, 2016, pp. 785–794.
- [56] Y. Gorishniy, I. Rubachev, V. Khruikov, and A. Babenko, "Revisiting deep learning models for tabular data," *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 34, pp. 18932–18943, 2021.
- [57] X. Li, L. Lu, X. Yi, H. Wang, Y. Zheng, Y. Yu, and Q. Wang, "Lifpn: Depression and anxiety detection from learning and imitation," in *2023 IEEE International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedicine (BIBM)*. IEEE, 2023, pp. 567–573.
- [58] W. C. De Melo, E. Granger, and A. Hadid, "A deep multiscale spatiotemporal network for assessing depression from facial dynamics," *IEEE transactions on affective computing*, vol. 13, no. 3, pp. 1581–1592, 2020.
- [59] T. Chai and R. R. Draxler, "Root mean square error (rmse) or mean absolute error (mae)?—arguments against avoiding rmse in the literature," *Geoscientific model development*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 1247–1250, 2014.
- [60] A. Liaw, M. Wiener, and others, "Classification and regression by randomForest," *R news*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 18–22, 2002.
- [61] P. Geurts, D. Ernst, and L. Wehenkel, "Extremely randomized trees," *Machine learning*, vol. 63, pp. 3–42, 2006, publisher: Springer.
- [62] L. Breiman, "Bagging predictors," *Machine learning*, vol. 24, pp. 123–140, 1996, publisher: Springer.
- [63] S. Mahato, N. Goyal, D. Ram, and S. Paul, "Detection of depression and scaling of severity using six channel EEG data," *Journal of medical systems*, vol. 44, pp. 1–12, 2020, publisher: Springer.
- [64] D. P. Kingma and J. Ba, "Adam: A method for stochastic optimization," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1412.6980*, 2014.
- [65] F. Pedregosa, G. Varoquaux, A. Gramfort, V. Michel, B. Thirion, O. Grisel, M. Blondel, P. Prettenhofer, R. Weiss, V. Dubourg *et al.*, "Scikit-learn: Machine learning in python," *the Journal of machine Learning research*, vol. 12, pp. 2825–2830, 2011.
- [66] A. Paszke, S. Gross, S. Chintala, G. Chanan, E. Yang, Z. DeVito, Z. Lin, A. Desmaison, L. Antiga, and A. Lerer, "Automatic differentiation in pytorch," 2017.
- [67] World Health Organization, "Mental health of adolescents," <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/adolescent-mental-health>, 2021.


## APPENDIX

TABLE IX  
DETAILED DESCRIPTION TABLE FOR AUs.

AU	Full Name	AU	Full Name
AU1	INNER BROW RAISER	AU14	DIMPLER
AU2	OUTER BROW RAISER	AU15	LIP CORNER DEPRESSOR
AU4	BROW LOWERER	AU17	CHIN RAISER
AU5	UPPER LID RAISER	AU20	LIP STRETCHED
AU6	CHEEK RAISER	AU23	LIP TIGHTENER
AU7	LID TIGHTENER	AU25	LIPS PART
AU9	NOSE WRINKLER	AU26	JAW DROP
AU10	UPPER LIP RAISER	AU28	LIP SUCK
AU12	LIP CORNER PULLER	AU45	BLINK



**Xiao Xu** received his B.Eng degree in Software Engineering from the School of Artificial Intelligence and Information Technology at Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine (2017). He is currently pursuing a M.Eng degree at the School of Biomedical Engineering and Informatics, Nanjing Medical University, China. His main research interests lie at the intersection of audio-visual technology, artificial intelligence, and psychiatry.



**Keyin Zhou** a current master's student at Nanjing Medical University, she possesses substantial clinical experience and research skills. Her research primarily focuses on child and adolescent mental health, the digital representation of psychotherapy, and the correlation between facial expressions and mental disorders.




**Yan Zhang** received her Bachelor's degree in Medical Information Engineering from Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine in China in 2019. He is currently pursuing a master's degree in biomedical engineering at Nanjing Medical University in China. His research interests focus on the application of brain image preprocessing and analysis workflow to the study of mental disorders.



**Yang Wang** obtained her Bachelor's degree in Applied Psychology from Inner Mongolia Medical University (2017), her Master's degree in Applied Psychology from China Medical University (2020), and her Ph.D. in Applied Psychology from Inner Mongolia Normal University (2023). She currently serves as a Psychotherapist in the Early Intervention Department of Psychiatry at the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University. Her research focuses on child and adolescent mental health, digital presentation of psychotherapy, and brain imaging studies.

She has accumulated extensive experience in psychotherapy and psychological counseling, and is committed to providing diverse and precise psychotherapy and psychological counseling to individuals.



**Fei Wang** holding M.D. and Ph.D. degrees from China Medical University and Peking University, serves as the Director of the Early Intervention Department at the Affiliated Brain Hospital of Nanjing Medical University and leads the Brain Function Research Institute at Nanjing Medical University. She received the NARSAD and Klingenstein Young Investigator Awards, was honored with a K01 award from the National Institute of Mental Health, and was awarded the Distinguished Young Scholars Fund by the National Natural Science Foundation of

China. Dr. Wang's research primarily focuses on clinical cohort studies aimed at the precise diagnosis and personalized interventions for adolescents with mood disorders, utilizing AI-driven brain imaging. Additionally, she specializes in the management of mental health and the implementation of precise interventions for high-risk groups, which include students from colleges as well as high and middle schools.



**Xizhe Zhang** received his Ph.D. in Computer Science and Technology from Jilin University, China, in 2006. That same year, He secured a position as an Associate Professor at School of Computer Science and Engineering of Northeastern University. In 2020, he became a Full Professor at Nanjing Medical University and Nanjing Brain Hospital, concentrating on the interdisciplinary field of artificial intelligence and brain science. He has received prestigious recognitions, including being named a Jiangsu Special Medical Expert and Distinguished Membership in

the China Computer Federation. His research endeavors are primarily focused on machine learning, artificial intelligence, complex network analysis, and their medical applications.