PWDFT-SW: Extending the Limit of Plane-Wave DFT Calculations to 16K Atoms on the New Sunway Supercomputer

Qingcai Jiang† , Zhenwei Cao† , Junshi Chen, Xinming Qin, Wei Hu[∗], Hong An[∗] and Jinlong Yang^{*}

Abstract—First-principles density functional theory (DFT) with plane wave (PW) basis set is the most widely used method in quantum mechanical material simulations due to its advantages in accuracy and universality. However, a perceived drawback of PW-based DFT calculations is their substantial computational cost and memory usage, which currently limits their ability to simulate large-scale complex systems containing thousands of atoms. This situation is exacerbated in the new Sunway supercomputer, where each process is limited to a mere 16 GB of memory. Herein, we present a novel parallel implementation of plane wave density functional theory on the new Sunway supercomputer (PWDFT-SW). PWDFT-SW fully extracts the benefits of Sunway supercomputer by extensively refactoring and calibrating our algorithms to align with the system characteristics of the Sunway system. Through extensive numerical experiments, we demonstrate that our methods can substantially decrease both computational costs and memory usage. Our optimizations translate to a speedup of 64.8x for a physical system containing 4,096 silicon atoms, enabling us to push the limit of PW-based DFT calculations to large-scale systems containing 16,384 carbon atoms.

Index Terms—Quantum mechanics, Plane-wave density functional theory, New Sunway supercomputer, Parallel implementation.

I. INTRODUCTION

A MONG the major applications in high-performance computing (HPC), the first-principles density functional theory (DFT) related applications are the most important ones MONG the major applications in high-performance computing (HPC), the first-principles density functional thein quantum mechanical material simulations [\[5\]](#page-10-0). The DFT calculation is essential in many fields because it can provide a direct link between atomic structure and corresponding physical properties [\[17\]](#page-10-1). Considering the gross importance of DFT calculations, there have been a number of works that aimed to develop accurate and efficient approaches for solving the Kohn-Sham DFT problem $[2]$, $[6]$, $[15]$, $[25]$, $[29]$, $[31]$, $[33]$, $[35]$. There are several ways to numerically discretize the wavefunctions in DFT calculations to solve the Kohn-Sham DFT problem, such as numerical atomic orbitals (NAO) basis sets $[16]$, $[20]$, $[23]$ and Gaussian-type orbital (GTO) basis sets [\[10\]](#page-10-6). However, a perceived drawback of these types of basis sets is that they are not systematically convergent for generic material systems. In contrast, the plane wave (PW) basis set $[12]$, $[13]$, $[19]$ is preferred for its systematic convergence and high accuracy, even in strongly correlated physical systems. This preference stems from the PW basis set's completeness, orthogonality, and nonlocality. These unique advantages have resulted in the arisen of PWbased DFT software in different fields, such as commercial software VASP [\[14\]](#page-10-9), [\[28\]](#page-11-8) and open source code Quantum Espresso [\[11\]](#page-10-10), [\[12\]](#page-10-7).

Despite the popularity of plane wave basis set, the ultra high computation and memory cost of plane wave based DFT software remain a main stumbling block to the mainstream adoption of this highly accurate method. Conventional DFT calculations with plane wave basis set take $\mathcal{O}(N^3)$ floating point operations and cost $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ memory footprint regarding the number of electrons in the studied physical system N. For example, the number of basis N_{PW} in PW-based DFT calculations for a moderate-scale physical system with less than 5K atoms is approximately above 10^8 , which takes at least 10^{16} bytes of memory footprint and 10^{24} floating point operations. Therefore, it is hard for state-of-the-art PW-based DFT software to simulate large systems containing thousands of atoms even on modern supercomputers [\[3\]](#page-10-11), [\[41\]](#page-11-9). As a result, exploring the physical properties of systems with thousands of atoms within PW-based DFT framework remains challenging.

Hence, a lot of research focuses on expanding the system size of PW-based DFT calculations on modern highperformance computing facilities [\[22\]](#page-11-10), [\[26\]](#page-11-11). The new Sunway supercomputer is gaining popularity due to its ultra-high floating point performance (1.5 EFLOPS in total of the theoretical peak performance), which provides a promising way to push the limits of PW-based DFT calculations if we can fully leverage this supercomputer. However, there has been very little work on massively parallel PW-based DFT calculations, particularly on the new Sunway supercomputer, due to several challenges:

- Very limited 16 GB memory available for each process in Sunway supercomputer,
- Massive all-to-all memory accessing and communications in PW-based DFT software
- The complex programming scheme in Sunway supercomputer.

Plane Wave Density Functional Theory (PWDFT) [\[19\]](#page-11-7) is one of the most scalable PW-based parallel DFT calculation frameworks to date. Based on our comprehensive characterization of PWDFT on the new Sunway supercomputer, we make

[†] Equal contributions.

[∗] Corresponding authors. E-mail: {whuustc, han, jlyang}@ustc.edu.cn.

two key observations: (1) A significant portion of the memory cost (e.g., temporary buffers and redundant pseudopotential information) in traditional PW-based DFT calculations can be alleviated with negligible communication cost. (2) Excessive small messages in PW-based DFT calculations incur heavy penalties due to traffic overload in communications and memory access.

Based on our observations, we revamp PWDFT on the new Sunway supercomputer in the following aspects: (1) We significantly reduce memory usage by efficiently refactoring the calculation and application of pseudopotentials with negligible communication cost. (2) We pack elements and use inplace data transformation to eliminate many temporary buffers and frequent small data transfers, leading to up to a 14x speedup. (3) We establish a multistage Allreduce operator, which leverages the characteristics of both hardware and the underlying communication library, enabling more comprehensive exploitation of network bandwidth performance. (4) We propose granularity-aware parallel mechanisms that consider the computation and communication patterns of different steps in PWDFT to select the most suitable parallel scale, further boosting the execution of PWDFT. (5) We establish a SWUCassisted parallel programming framework to reduce the cumbersome programming handwork on the new Sunway platform. Additionally, we make some minor contributions to PWDFT, such as optimizing the data structure to make data access for CPEs more friendly and implementing a C++ library in PWDFT to monitor memory occupation at runtime.

The main contributions of this work can be summarized as follows:

- To address the key challenges of PW-based DFT code on the new Sunway supercomputer, we propose several optimization methods to leverage the limited 16 GB memory capacity of each process for massively parallel implementation of PWDFT code. Additionally, we devise several mechanisms to fully accelerate PWDFT.
- Through extensive numerical experiments, we demonstrate that our methods can achieve high scalability in both strong scaling and weak scaling. Furthermore, we achieve a speedup of 64.8 for a physical system containing 4,096 silicon atoms compared to the directly transported version, which is a very convincing result in PW-based DFT codes.
- We show that with our algorithms, along with parallel implementations and optimizations, we can study the graphene system with 16,384 atoms. This result greatly outperforms state-of-the-art PW-based DFT codes in terms of the physical system scale.

Most of the optimization methods and tools proposed in this work could extend to other PW-based DFT codes with the same computational characteristics, not only on the Sunway platform but also on other HPC facilities.

II. BACKGROUND

A. Background of PWDFT

PWDFT aims to solve an eigenvalue problem of this form:

$$
HX = X\Lambda,\tag{1}
$$

where H denotes the PW Hamiltonian, X presents the wavefunctions discretized with plane waves, and the eigenvalues of this problem λ are energies of the studied system.

Fig. 1. Basic flowchart and 4 time-consuming steps with their corresponding numerical kernels (in the same background color) of PWDFT

Similar to many other DFT codes, PWDFT solves the eigenvalue problem in a self-consistent field (SCF) method. The SCF iterations in PWDFT contain two parts: (1) inner SCF for the eigenvalue problem of PW Hamiltonian and (2) outer SCF for checking the convergence of energy [\[32\]](#page-11-12), as shown in Figure [1.](#page-1-0) At outer SCF, the Kohn-Sham equation $H\Psi_i = \varepsilon_i \Psi_i$ is solved to obtain the improvement of the wavefunction Ψ_i for a given particle Hamiltonian H_i , and the wavefunction is expanded based on plane wave basis set. Outer SCF terminates when the output exchange energy V_{xc} agrees to input wavefunctions. The inner SCF is mainly responsible for diagonalizing the PW Hamiltonian by an iterative conjugate gradient eigensolver PPCG or LOBPCG. The inner SCF begins when the Hamiltonian matrix is constructed by wavefunctions, which aim to solve eigenproblems and acquire eigenvalues and eigenfunctions. Then the charge density $\rho(r)$ is calculated by eigenfunctions according to $\rho(r) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_e} |\psi_j|^2$, where ψ_j is the j-th eigenfunction and N_e represents the total valence charge number. Then exchangecorrelation potential V_{xc} is calculated to be compared with the input for consistency. We present the major numerical kernels in each step on PWDFT on the right of Figure [1](#page-1-0) to enhance the readability.

In theory, wave functions are constructed using an infinite plane wave basis. However, E_{cut} is set to exclude waves with energy less than a certain input value. This cutoff energy determines the grid number in real space N_r according to (N_r) $i = \sqrt{2E}$ cut L_i/π , where L_i is the length of the supercell in different dimensions (x, y, and z). In our experiments, the default value of E_{cut} is set to 5 Hartree unless otherwise

specified. Table [I](#page-2-0) lists the grid number for certain systems in our experiments.

TABLE I GRID NUMBER OF TYPICAL TEST CASES

System	$X - 2X1S$	$V - 2X1S$	$Z - 3X1S$	total
Si ₄₀₉₆	84	84	84	592704
Si ₈₁₉₂	88	88	176	1362944
G_{11520}	l6	164	170	446080

B. Parallelization Scheme

There are three main parallelization schemes as shown in Figure [2](#page-2-1) due to different intrinsic physical natures in PWDFT. The parallelization scheme is based on the distribution of the wavefunctions matrix. The first scheme is the row block partition. This scheme facilitates matrix-matrix multiplications such as $S = \Psi^*(H\Psi)$ when $H\Psi$ is obtained. The second scheme is the column block partition. In this scheme, each process holds some entire wavefunctions, allowing a single process to perform fast Fourier transformations (FFT) without any data transformation or communication. This data distribution pattern also simplifies the calculation of $H\Psi$, where H is the Hamiltonian operator applied to wavefunctions. The third scheme is a 2-dimensional data partition used exclusively for Syevd, a ScaLAPACK function to acquire eigenvalues and eigenvectors. We perform MPI Alltoallv and a ScaLAPACK routine Pdgemr2d to transform data patterns among the 3 schemes.

Fig. 2. Parallel data and task distribution schemes

C. Problem Overview

In this section, we will elaborate on the three main obstacles that hinder the efficient parallelization of PWDFT on the new Sunway supercomputer.

1) Limited Memory Size of Each Process: Figure [3](#page-2-2) depicts the architecture of the SW26010Pro processor in the new Sunway supercomputer, comprising six core groups (CGs) each with one management processor element (MPE) and 64 computing processor elements (CPEs). Each processor is equipped with 96 GB of memory, evenly allocated at 16 GB per CG. The new Sunway supercomputer employs two memory partition schemes: (1) the common scheme, where each core group acts as a separate processor with 16 GB of memory, and (2) the large memory scheme, where six core groups in a process share 96 GB of memory and act as a single process. However, numerical libraries that are extensively used in PWDFT like FFTW [\[9\]](#page-10-12), BLAS [\[8\]](#page-10-13), and LAPACK [\[1\]](#page-10-14) are tailed to the common scheme, implying that each process of PW-based DFT can only use the common memory partition scheme, which allocates a very limited 16 GB memory footprint per process.

Fig. 3. Architecture of SW26010Pro Processor

The architecture of the many-core processor SW26010Pro on the new Sunway supercomputer is shown in Figure [3.](#page-2-2) It consists of 6 core groups (CGs), in which there is one management processor element (MPE) and 8×8 computing processor elements (CPEs). The SW26010Pro processor has a memory capacity of 96 gigabytes (GB), which is divided equally among six core groups, with each group having 16 GB of memory. The new Sunway supercomputer employs two memory partition schemes for running applications: (1) the common scheme, where each core group acts as a separate processor with 16 GB of memory, and (2) the large memory scheme, where six core groups in a process share 96 GB of memory and act as a single process. Conventional DFT soft-ware relies heavily on numerical libraries such as FFTW [\[9\]](#page-10-12), BLAS [\[8\]](#page-10-13) and LAPACK [\[1\]](#page-10-14) etc. However, these numerical libraries only support the common scheme, which implies that each process of PW-based DFT, distributed on each CG, can only allocate a very limited 16 GB memory footprint.

2) Massive All-to-all Data Communications: The predecessors of the new Sunway, specifically the Sunway Taihu Light, had a peak memory bandwidth of 136 GB/s, creating a significant bottleneck for various applications. In contrast, the new Sunway boasts a bandwidth transfer from global memory to the LDM of CPE around 307 GB/s via direct memory access (DMA). The bandwidth among the CPEs within the CPE cluster, via remote memory access (RMA), has a theoretical peak performance of 460 GB/s [\[42\]](#page-11-13). However, data sizes per transfer must exceed 1024 and 256 bytes to achieve 80% bandwidth utilization for DMA and RMA, respectively.

PW-based DFT calculations require extensive all-to-all data

communications due to the intrinsic physical properties of the huge dense Hamiltonian matrix. These communications remain costly even on the new Sunway supercomputer, despite specialized hardware and software support. Additionally, the transformation of the parallelization scheme involves substantial small-volume and irregular memory access to ensure data continuity. These memory accesses are time-consuming due to their small granularity and inadequate data locality, hindering the full utilization of the cache hierarchy. Consequently, heavy all-to-all data communications can severely degrade performance in PW-based DFT calculations.

3) Complicated Programming Framework: The major computing resource of the new Sunway supercomputer is provided by the 64 CPEs of each core group. To effectively leverage their significant floating-point performance, programmers usually need to manually orchestrate the CPEs through a fine-grained Athread programming interface. This interface is an effective but cumbersome thread library provided by the vendor.

III. MECHANISMS

In this section, we introduce the mechanisms we propose to address the aforementioned challenges described in Section [II-C.](#page-2-3)

A. Refactor of the pseudopotential calculations

Pseudopotentials are commonly used in DFT calculations to approximate the atomic nucleus and inner-shell electrons as ion entities, a practice that is justified given that chemical properties are primarily influenced by the behavior of the outermost valence electrons [\[30\]](#page-11-14), [\[37\]](#page-11-15).

In Plane Wave Density Functional Theory (PWDFT) software, each atom is represented by specific pseudopotential information that adjusts each wave function accordingly. Originally, each computing process maintained the entire dataset of pseudopotentials. While the memory required for a single atom's pseudopotential is not substantial, the total memory consumed by all atoms' pseudopotentials significantly limits PWDFT's ability to model larger systems. This limitation is particularly acute on the new Sunway system, which has a restricted memory capacity of 16 GB. To address this, we have redesigned the pseudopotential calculations by implementing a distributed storage scheme to reduce the memory bottleneck.

Fig. 4. Refactor of pseudopotential process: (a) naive implementation where each process holds the entire pseudopotential, (b) the refactored approach where each process holds a portion of pseudopotential, in which the dotted, uncolored blocks indicate the absence of pseudopotential in the process.

The original procedure of applying pseudopotential to wave functions is illustrated in Figure [4](#page-3-0) (a). These functions are distributed across various processes. For each wave function stored locally, the algorithm sequentially processes every atom, adjusting the wave function according to the corresponding pseudopotential. When the pseudopotential is distributed across processes, each process retains only a portion of the overall pseudopotential data. Therefore to apply the pseudopotential, it has to be retrieved from other processes through a network interface. In the case of a basic algorithm, this necessitates the redundant transmission of the same atomic pseudopotential across distinct wave functions.

Therefore, we apply the pseudopotential of an atom to all local wave functions once obtained, thereby eliminating redundant transmission of pseudopotential. Additionally, we employ a sliding window algorithm to address significant communication overhead, enabling overlapping computation and communication. This approach, illustrated in Figure [4](#page-3-0) (b), allows a process to receive the upcoming pseudopotential within the sliding window while applying the current pseudopotential to the local wave functions. The communication overhead can be fully overlapped with the flexible window size.

This optimization significantly reduces memory usage. For instance, in a graphene system containing 11,520 atoms, the pseudopotential of a single atom is roughly 0.3 MB when $E_{cut} = 10$. In the naive implementation, each process must store the pseudopotential of all atoms, leading to an estimated memory footprint of approximately 3.4 GB. This constitutes about 21% of the entire memory per process. However, once we refactor the pseudopotential process, the memory usage becomes insignificant.

B. Memory Accessing Optimization

Fig. 5. In-place data transformation.

1) The mapping and buffer matrix: As mentioned, the parallelization scheme that involves both row and column partitioning requires global communication through MPI Alltoallv, as illustrated in Figure [2.](#page-2-1) However, this global communication via MPI Alltoallv in PWDFT to transform data between row and column partitions introduces data transformation to make the data contiguous in memory.

For simplicity, we take the data transformation from column partition to row partition as an example. The data transformation in the naive implementation of PWDFT involves two

0.0			$10 - 0 + 20 - 0 + 30 - 0$		θ -	3	6	9		0.0	31.0	25.0	36.0
1.0	11.0	21.0	131.0		1	4	7	$\sqrt{0}$		1.0	32.0	33.0	37.0
2.0	12.0	22.0	32.0		\overline{c}	5	8	11		2.0	3.0	34.0	8.0
3.0	13.0	23.0	133.0		12	15	18	21		10.0	4.0	35.0	9.0
4.0	14.0		24.0 34.0		13	16	19	22		11.0	5.0	6.0	18.0
5.0	15.0	25.0	135.0		14	17	20	23		12.0	13.0	7.0	19.0
6.0	16.0	26.0	36.0		24	26	28	30		20.0	14.0	16.0	28.0
7.0	17.0	27.0	137.0		25	27	29	31		21.0	15.0	17.0	29.0
8.0	18.0	28.0	38.0		32	34	36	38		22.0	23.0	26.0	38.0
9.0	19.0	29.0	39.0		33	35	37	39		30.0	24.0	27.0	39.0
Original data			Mapping matrix				Buffer						

Fig. 6. An example of the mapping matrix in the data transformation.

mapping matrices and buffers, one before and one after the MPI_Alltoally operation. We illustrate the data transformation formula in Figure [6,](#page-4-0) where blocks of the same color are sent to the same processor, and the number in each block of the original data and buffer represents each data element. For example, the original data matrix in Figure [6](#page-4-0) shows the column partition data in one of the four processes, which is sent to four processes, indicated by different colors. In the column-major format, the data block in light turquoise is not contiguous. Thus, the block containing "10.0" in the original data matrix is mapped to the 3rd position (starting from 0) in the buffer matrix in Figure [6](#page-4-0) for MPI_Alltoallv.

2) Optimizations: Here, we design an in-place data transformation, as illustrated in Figure [5,](#page-3-1) to reduce the heavy memory occupation of the buffer.

We combine the data in the same column of each process into sub-blocks, as shown at the bottom of Figure [5.](#page-3-1) The number in each sub-block indicates the target position for the transformation. This sub-block method offers three benefits: (1) it increases the granularity of data transfer, (2) it significantly decreases the cost of the mapping matrix and (3) it enhances the temporal and spatial locality of the associated data transfer.

Consider a case where the number of processes is P , the number of rows and columns in the wavefunction matrix is r and c, and $BlockSize = |r/P|$. In this case, $re \equiv r \mod P$, and there are $(re*c)$ sub-blocks with the size of $(BlockSize+$ 1) and $[(P - re) * c]$ sub-blocks with the size of *BlockSize*. Figure [5](#page-3-1) shows an example with $r = 10$, $c = 4$, $P = 4$, and $BlockSize = 2.$

Our method starts by dividing the column-partition matrix into two sections as indicated by the dashed line in Figure [5,](#page-3-1) ensuring that sub-blocks within each region are of uniform size. We then exchange sub-blocks within the same part to create larger sub-blocks, further increasing the granularity of computation, which is stated in Step $\mathcal D$ of Figure [5.](#page-3-1) The exchange procedure is straightforward since the target position of each sub-block is reachable to make them sequential in

the region. Following that, we reposition the enlarged subblocks to ensure their continuity across the entire region, as demonstrated in Steps ②, ③, and ④ of Figure [5.](#page-3-1)

In this sub-block transformation scheme, storing the whole mapping matrix is highly redundant, instead, we generate the sub-block mapping index on-the-fly. In our experiments, we observe that the computing pattern of this mapping is highly regular, which means we can distribute the mapping workload to each CPE almost evenly. As a result, we eliminate the mapping matrix without sacrificing any performance.

After MPI_Alltoallv, each process holds specific rows of the entire matrix. We then perform the in-place column rearrangement, which also requires mapping and buffer matrices in the original version.

3) Performance and Analysis: In the column partition, each process holds a matrix with r rows and c columns. Before MPI_Alltoallv, the mapping matrix and the temporary buffer have the same size. Each element in the matrix is represented using double-precision floating-point format, which requires 8 bytes per element. Therefore, the memory requirement for the buffer is 8rc bytes. Regarding the mapping matrix, it can be stored in an integer format, which occupies 4rc bytes in memory. Consequently, the mapping matrices and buffers occupy a total memory of approximately 24rc bytes. For the silicon system with 4096 atoms (Si_{4096}) , which contains $4096 \times 2 = 8192$ wavefunctions (each silicon atom has four valence electrons, and two valence electrons correspond to a wavefunction), in this case, when using 64 computational grids (CGs) to run the simulation, we have:

$$
c = \frac{8192}{64} = 128
$$
 and $r = 592704$.

The memory size saved by our aforementioned methods is:

$$
\frac{592704 \times 128 \times 24}{1024 \times 1024} \approx 1736 \text{ MB}.
$$

Meanwhile, our optimization also improves the efficiency of data transformation as the utilization of memory bandwidth is enhanced by transferring data at the sub-block granularity. For example, when 1024 CGs are used to perform data transformation on $Si₄₀₉₆$, we achieve a 14.2x speedup compared with the original version.

C. Communication Optimization

It has been documented that MPI collective communication (e.g., MPI_Allreduce, MPI_Bcast, etc.) experiences performance fluctuations on some supercomputers [\[26\]](#page-11-11). In other words, the performance of network bandwidth varies with the volume of data being transferred. A similar phenomenon has been observed on the new Sunway supercomputer, as depicted in Figure [7.](#page-5-0) Additionally, a critical observation derived from our experiments suggests that the performance of MPI_Allreduce on the new Sunway supercomputer is markedly superior when the number of participating processes is an exponential power of 2.

Therefore, we propose a multistage Allreduce to mitigate network performance fluctuations and optimally leverage the communication characteristics of the new Sunway supercomputer.

Fig. 7. Performance of MPI Allreduce regarding different communication volumes on the Sunway supercomputer

1) Notation and Prerequisite: We use a predefined parameter C and arrange the processes into a two-dimensional grid, wherein processes in the same row are segmented into a row domain, and likewise, processes in the same column are segmented into a column domain. For each process, a pair of i, j indicates that the process is situated in the $i - th$ row domain and the $j - th$ column domain. The entire matrix is partitioned into C blocks, named $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{C-1}$. These C blocks correspond to the first C processes (having ranks from 0 to C-1) within the row domain.

Algorithm 1 Multistage Allreduce

1: rank: mpi rank in the global communication domain 2: P: number of processes in the global domain 3: C: parameter, each row domain has C or $C + 1$ processes 4: $m \leftarrow P \mod C$ 5: $n \leftarrow rank * (C + 1)$ 6: if $rank < n$ then 7: $i \leftarrow |rank/(C+1)|$ 8: $j \leftarrow rank \mod (C+1)$ 9: else 10: $i \leftarrow \left| (rank - n)/C \right| + m$ 11: $j \leftarrow (rank - n) \mod C$ 12: end if 13: Divide the matrix into C blocks, named $B_0, B1...Bc$ 14: for $k \in [0, C)$ do \triangleright Stage 1 15: Process with $j = k$ reduce block B_k from row domain 16: end for 17: if $k \in [0, C)$ then \triangleright Stage 2 18: Execute MPI_Allreduce in column domain for Block B_k 19: end if 20: for processes in the same row \bf{do} \triangleright Stage $\textcircled{3}$ 21: Exchange data block with the row domain processes to obtain the entire matrix. 22: end for

2) Algorithm and Implementation: In stage ①, as illustrated in lines 14 to 16 of Algorithm [1,](#page-5-1) processes within the same row domain execute MPI _{Reduce} C times to reduce the corresponding block, processing one block per iteration.

Consequently, the process with rank 0 in the row domain obtains the reduced result of block B_0 , while the process with rank 1 obtains the reduced result of block B_1 , continuing in this manner for subsequent processes. It can be observed that for the processes in the row domain, each retains a portion of the reduced result of the matrix (except for extra processes where $j \ge C$). In other words, $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{C-1}$ together hold the reduced result of the entire row domain. As for extra processes, they just send data to other processes with $j < C$ at each MPI_Reduce, and they don't need to receive any data. In stage ② (lines 17 to 19 of Algorithm [1\)](#page-5-1), processes within the same column domain j (i.e., processes with identical j values) all retain the same block B_i ($j \ge 0$ and $j < C$). An MPI_Allreduce operation is then performed over the column domain, ensuring that every process within column domain j holds the complete reduced result for block B_i . For extra processes with $j < C$, they are not involved in this stage. In stage ③ (lines 20 to 22 of Algorithm [1\)](#page-5-1), it is evident that the combination of blocks $B_0, B_1, \ldots, B_{C-1}$ represents the entire MPI_Allreduce result. Therefore, at this stage, data is exchanged within the row domain via MPI_Alltoallv.

This approach takes full advantage of the fact the performance of the MPI collective communication is significantly better when the number of participating processes is an exponential power of 2.

We remark that although extra processes (with $j \geq C$) obtain slightly less communication workload, there will be only $P \mod C$ row domains containing an extra process (given that $P \gg C*C$). And our numerical results demonstrate a satisfactory workload balance.

Fig. 8. Allreduce performance speedup with the same communication volume and different processes

3) Performance and Analysis: We evaluated our multistage method in the simulation of a graphene system with 11,520 atoms using processes of different sizes. The results in Figure [8](#page-5-2) show that our implementation is 63.3% to 67.1% faster when $C = 4$ and 71.7% to 78.9% faster when $C = 8$. To better illustrate the benefits of our optimization, we also evaluated the performance of our algorithm with different communication volumes using the same 4000 processes. As shown in Figure [9,](#page-7-0) the stepwise communication reduction algorithm introduced in this manuscript exhibits enhanced performance in the vast majority of instances when $C = 4$, achieving an acceleration of up to approximately 2.5 times under optimal conditions. Although the performance gains at $C = 8$ are comparatively modest, they still surpass those of the baseline approach in nearly all cases.

We emphasize that our proposed method is highly adaptable, accommodating any number of processes, and exhibits superior performance when the number of processes is a power of 2.

D. Granularity-Aware Parallelization

One of the most time-consuming procedures in PWDFT is computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors using Syevd in ScaLAPACK. The performance of ScaLAPACK is governed by two factors: (1) the peak performance for computing on each process and (2) the network communication bandwidth. It has also been reported that the scalability of ScaLAPACK is limited on modern supercomputers because the workload partitioned in each process is scarce and frequent, and timeconsuming communication dominates the performance [\[2\]](#page-10-2). We evaluated the Syevd implementation for $Si₈₁₉₂$ using different numbers of processes on the new Sunway supercomputer. The results presented in Table [II](#page-6-0) reveal that the time to solution may even increase as the number of processes grows. In this case, Syevd performs best when the number of involved processes equals 1024.

TABLE II SYEVD TIME FOR SI₈₁₉₂ WITH DIFFERENT PROCESSES

ProcNum 1000 1024 2048 2056 4096 4112				
Time (s)	698	210	439 21349 619	9858

Therefore, adopting all processes to perform Syevd may suffer performance degradation, and it's sufficient to improve it by simply adopting fewer processes. To address this problem, we propose a granularity-aware parallelization scheme, which enables PWDFT to run with an optimal process number during different execution steps. The optimal number of processes is determined by considering various factors, such as computational intensity, communication volume, hardware computational capacity, and network bandwidth available on the new Sunway supercomputer.

As discussed in Section [III-C,](#page-4-1) MPI communication benefits from network topology and MPI implementation when the number of processes is an exponent of 2. This effect is even more pronounced in the Syevd routine, as we observe significant time-to-solution changes when the number of processes changes from 1000 to 1024, 2048 to 2056, and 4096 to 4112, as shown in Table [II.](#page-6-0) Thus, there is a minimum threshold for the number of processes for a given atomic system, noted as P_{min} . We have developed a C++ library for memory analysis of PWDFT (discussed in Section [III-F\)](#page-7-1), which allows us to analyze memory usage and determine the threshold for the number of processes. For a silicon atomic system, one atom corresponds to two wavefunctions, the minimum granularity for FFT and matrix multiplication. Therefore, the maximum number of processes is twice the number of atoms (noted as A).

The optimal number of processes (noted as P_{opt}) for Syevd falls within the following range: $P_{opt} \in [P_{min}, 2*A] \wedge P_{opt} =$ $2^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Based on this, we can determine the optimal process number from the candidates by balancing computing intensity and communication costs. For other parts of the PWDFT, such as the calculation of the pseudopotential, the increasing number of processes leads to a shorter time to solution. Within the range of $[P_{min}, 2 * A]$, more processes indicate faster execution.

E. SWUC-assisted Heterogeneous Multi-core Programming

The extensive floating-point performance on the new Sunway supercomputer is mainly provided by CPEs. Each CPE has a very limited (256 KB) scratch-pad memory (SPM) that can be configured as local data memory (LDM) or Local Data Cache (LD Cache). However, effectively harnessing the CPEs to maximize the acceleration of PWDFT proves to be a challenging task. It requires writing extensive code via the Athread library and working within the confines of the limited LDM.

Fortunately, a new programming framework called ShenWei Universal C/C++ (SWUC) [\[4\]](#page-10-15) has been proposed to simplify programming with CPEs. Thanks to several new attributes and compiler directives, writing codes running on CPEs and MPE can be simply achieved by calling for lambda expressions, in which we divide data for each CPE and specify the codes to be executed. We adopt the framework in the calculation of pseudopotential, wavefunctions, ion density, and energy. We divide the data according to the layout of CPEs, batch data, and transfer it to the LDM of CPEs, and obtain the final results via lambda function.

However, for some more complex computing scenarios, SWUC is not applicable yet. In such cases, we have to use the Athread library, the most primitive interface to use CPEs, to accelerate PWDFT. The numerical result of the SWUPCassisted CPE programming will be discussed in Section [IV-D.](#page-9-0)

F. Other Optimization and Tool

In this subsection, we describe briefly our minor contributions to PWDFT on the new Sunway supercomputer.

Data Structure Optimization: When constructing the PW Hamiltonian, it's necessary to look up the pseudopotential for a given atom. However, the original implementation of PWDFT, which targets general-purpose CPUs, used std::map from the C++ Standard Template Library to perform the lookup. This method suffers from poor performance due to its indirect access, especially when the system size increases. Additionally, the CPEs on SW26010Pro are unable to allocate from the main memory shared across cores, making std::map inaccessible on CPEs and hindering pseudopotential lookup acceleration. Considering that there are typically no more than 200 different types of atoms, to address these issues, we replace std::map with a small array. By indexing the array with the atom number, we are able to acquire the pseudopotential with one direct access, resulting in significant performance

Fig. 9. Allreduce performance speedup with different communication volume and the same processes

improvements, as shown in Figure [10.](#page-7-1) This new mechanism is also more CPE-friendly, as the LD Cache makes loading the pseudopotential efficient in case of direct indexing. Compared to the previous design, this method boosts the performance of the PW Hamiltonian step by a factor of 10.

Fig. 10. Data Structure Optimization of Pseudopotential

Runtime Memory Usage Monitor: To obtain a more detailed understanding of memory usage, we implemented a runtime memory monitor library for PWDFT. Memory usage can be categorized into three main types: global memory space for global variables, temporary memory space allocated for temporary variables in a function call, and memory space to store DFT information. We ignore the first type, as it is relatively small in PWDFT. We do not need to pay special attention to the second type of memory usage if no memory bound occurs in the function. We consider all variables for DFT information and calculate the used memory size. For memory-bound functions, we enumerate the large temporary variables that occupy significant memory space and determine the total size of the occupied space, including DFT information. The memory usage information is outputted whenever there is a substantial change in memory usage.

G. Portability

Our optimizations can significantly accelerate PWDFT and extend the limits for DFT calculation. The techniques in this work can be readily applied to other platforms, except for the CPE optimizations. Additionally, these optimizations are relevant and serve as a reference for DFT software across various platforms. Specifically, the refactoring of the pseudopotential algorithm and memory access optimization can be easily ported to other DFT software on platforms such as GPU or many-core X86/Arm CPU supercomputers without significant code changes. Furthermore, communication optimization and the granularity-aware parallelization scheme can be applied to other software on the new Sunway supercomputer and other computers with similar characteristics [\[2\]](#page-10-2), [\[26\]](#page-11-11). Our optimization with CPE in Section [III-E](#page-6-1) is specific to the Sunway platform and is highly beneficial for other research conducted on the Sunway supercomputer.

IV. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

A. Setup of the Test Physical Systems and Testing Environment

Fig. 11. Testing systems: (a) graphene system and (b) bulk silicon system

The testing systems include two parts: magic-angle twisted bilayer graphene (labeled as "G") and cubic silicon systems (labeled as "Si"), as shown in Figure [11.](#page-7-2) These systems are denoted respectively as G_{11520} , G_{16384} , Si_{64} , Si_{216} , Si_{512} , $Si₁₀₀₀$, $Si₄₀₉₆$, and $Si₈₁₉₂$. The corner marks indicate the number of atoms in each system.

In our experiment, the kinetic energy cutoff E_{cut} is set to 5 Hatree unless otherwise specified. Our optimization approach has good effects for different values of E_{cut} . All numerical tests are performed on the new Sunway supercomputer, and each MPI process is applied to one CG (including 1 MPE and 64 CPEs) with separated 16 GB memory. We consider the time per outer SCF iteration as the time to solution.

B. Strong Scalability

To evaluate the strong scalability of $Si₄₀₉₆$ and $Si₈₁₉₂$, we use the parallel efficiency defined as

efficiency =
$$
\frac{\text{Speedup}}{\text{Multiple of CGs}}.
$$

The speedup is compared with the minimum number of CGs (64 CGs for $Si₄₀₉₆$ and 512 CGs for $Si₈₁₉₂$) capable of performing the calculation. We use 64 to 2048 CGs when simulating $Si₄₀₉₆$ to test the strong scalability of PWDFT and 512 to 4096 CGs for $Si₈₁₉₂$. The results are shown in Figure [12.](#page-8-0) From the figure, we can see that, when scaling up to 2048 CGs ($Si₈₁₉₂$), PWDFT maintains a parallel efficiency of 48.5%, which is quite acceptable for PW-based DFT calculations. However, the parallel efficiency is not satisfactory when scaling up to half the number of atoms. Even so, we must note that such mediocre parallel efficiency is already much better than the original version and other PW-based DFT software. In Section [III-D,](#page-6-2) due to the poor scalability of Syevd, the optimal process number is always selected in our granularity-aware parallelism for Syevd in the range of $P_{opt} \in [P_{min}, 2 * A] \wedge P_{opt} = 2^k, k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. Hence, when the number of processes reaches the sufficient threshold to achieve the best performance for Syevd, it remains unchanged. Considering that Syevd often occupies a significant portion of the total computation time, it is reasonable to conclude that PWDFT does not exhibit excellent strong scalability and parallel efficiency. Additionally, massive global communication, as discussed in Section [II-C2,](#page-2-4) also partially contributes to this inefficiency.

Fig. 12. Strong scaling of PWDFT

As is shown in Figure [13,](#page-8-1) the result of the above systems excluding Syevd time shows a much better and reasonable parallel efficiency as over 59% of the parallel efficiency is achieved when the number of CGs reaches half of the atom's number. Moreover, under such circumstances, massive global communication starts to play a dominant role when the number of CGs is greater than half of the atom's number, which prevents the code from scaling further.

Fig. 13. Strong scaling of PWDFT without syevd

C. Weak Scalability

Our method offers a significant reduction in memory cost during the calculation steps of PWDFT. As a result, we can efficiently study a much larger physical system using far fewer computing resources. In our testing of weak scalability for Si_{64} , Si_{216} , Si_{512} , Si_{1000} , Si_{4096} and G_{16384} , we utilize onequarter of the atom number as the process number. This approach enables us to optimize computational efficiency while maintaining high accuracy in our calculations.

Fig. 14. Weak Scaling of PWDFT

The weak scaling of PWDFT for simulating systems consisting of 64 to 16,384 atoms is shown in Figure [14,](#page-8-2) from which we can see that PWDFT exhibits excellent weak scaling properties. Since the computational complexity of PWDFT is $O(N^3)$, and the number of CGs is set to a quarter of atoms number, the ideal scaling should be $O(N^2)$ which is represented by a dotted line in Figure [14.](#page-8-2)

As shown in Figure [14,](#page-8-2) in the case of small systems, computing resources are not the dominant factor, and the cost of communication becomes relatively more significant, making scalability a challenge. Therefore, it is difficult to ignore the impact of communication costs, which can limit the ability of the system to scale effectively. When the atom's number is greater than 1000, the actual execution time is very close to the ideal scaling, which suits our computational complexity well. Here for G_{16384} , the simulation with 4,096 CGs is only 395 seconds, which makes DFT calculation for large systems more practical.

D. Speedup Compared with Master Version

In Figure [15,](#page-9-1) we compare the acceleration effects of using CPE alone and employing all optimization techniques in the simulation of $Si₄₀₉₆$. We use the master version's results, which are directly transferred to the Sunway system and rely solely on MPE, as the baseline for our comparison.

Fig. 15. Speedup for $Si₄₀₉₆$ with master version

The results show that when only adopting CPE to accelerate, the speedup varies from 100.0 to 7.9, and decreases dramatically with the increase of the number of CGs. For comparison, when utilizing all the mechanisms proposed in Section [III,](#page-3-2) the acceleration effect is noticeably enhanced. Under more common circumstances, the fully optimized version achieves 64.8 faster than the master version when we adopt one-quarter of the atom number, i.e. 1,024 CGs.

For both versions, the acceleration decreases with an increase in CGs. This is because an increase in CGs indicates less computational workload, and the cost of communication also rises.

Meanwhile, memory optimization in Section [III-A](#page-3-3) and Sectioin [III-B](#page-3-4) significantly extends the limit of the studied system for PWDFT, As shown in Table [IV,](#page-10-16) The master version requires at least 512 CGs to calculate a silicon system containing 4,096 atoms ($Si₄₀₉₆$). However, after our optimization, only 64 CGs are needed. Furthermore, only 512 CGs are required for a silicon system with 8,192 atoms $(Si₈₁₉₂)$, which is quite impressive for PW-based DFT calculations. Consequently, the limit of PWDFT is extended from a physical system of 4,096 atoms to one encompassing 16,384 atoms.

V. DISCUSSION

One of the hot spots in PWDFT is solving the eigenproblem distributively by calling Syevd. While other dominant functions have been optimized and parallelized, the Syevd function does not benefit significantly from an increase in the number of processes due to communication constraints. This has been a consistent finding in our research.

In terms of computational ability, PWDFT is still memorybound for the simulation of larger systems on the new Sunway supercomputer. Memory bottleneck occurs in the storage of pseudopotential and Hamiltonian matrices. To our knowledge, our work already reached the boundary of PW-based DFT on the new Sunway supercomputer. To further expand the capabilities of PW-based DFT software on the new Sunway supercomputer, one must either (1) create novel algorithms with reduced memory complexity, or (2) develop numerical libraries that align with the large memory scheme of the new Sunway supercomputer. It is important to emphasize that this work does not primarily focus on these two aspects.

Our memory optimization approach reduces random memory access, thereby mitigating the negative impact of data movement and making PWDFT more adaptable to new Sunway's ultra-high computing-to-memory ratio architecture.

VI. RELATED WORKS

A. Other Parallel PW-based Software

There are several software packages based on plane wave available for performing DFT, such as Vienna Ab initio Simulation Package (VASP), Qbox, Quantum ESPRESSO (QE), PEtot [\[24\]](#page-11-16) and PWDFT [\[19\]](#page-11-7). VASP, Qbox, and QE are traditional software mainly used for simulations of mediumsize atoms (hundreds of atoms) and PWDFT and PEtot are designed for large-size atoms (thousands of atoms). The more detailed comparison is shown in table [III.](#page-10-17)

To optimize plane-wave-based DFT calculation, one way is to port to the latest heterogeneous or homogeneous computing platforms $[24]$, $[26]$, $[39]$, another way is to accelerate at the numerical algorithm level [\[19\]](#page-11-7), [\[26\]](#page-11-11), [\[27\]](#page-11-18).

Recently years, thanks to heterogeneous computing systems such as graphics process units (GPU) and many-core microarchitecture, those traditional software packages come to rejuvenated after being ported onto the new generation of supercomputers. In 2006, the winner of the Gordon Bell Prize extended the limit of Qbox on the BlueGene/L supercomputer to 1K atoms, scaling up to 128k cores on 65,536 nodes [\[13\]](#page-10-8). In 2017, researchers successfully ran Qbox [\[7\]](#page-10-18) on 9418 nodes on Trinity with 8,800 atoms. In 2013, Jia [\[24\]](#page-11-16) implemented PEtot on a multi-node GPU machine with x13-x22 speedups over the CPU calculations for a typical 512-atom system. Researchers ported VASP to Sunway TaihuLight [\[39\]](#page-11-17) and achieved 2.90x to 4.48x speedup, but they only focused on small cases containing 32 Ge atoms and one Ru atom. It is significantly smaller than other works.

B. Other Research Works on the New Sunway Supercomputer

There are a lot of successful works that effectively utilize the massive computing resource of the new Sunway supercomputer [\[18\]](#page-11-19), [\[34\]](#page-11-20), [\[36\]](#page-11-21), [\[40\]](#page-11-22), for instance: (1) By implementing

TABLE III COMPARISION WITH DIFFERENT PW-BASED DFT SOFTWARE

Software	Year	System	Atom	Machine	Scale(cores)
Obox $[7]$	2017	Gold	9Κ	Trinity	300K
Obox $[13]$	2006	Mo	1К	BlueGene/L	128K
VASP [21]	2018	Amorphous silicon	1K	Ohio Supercomputer and Tesla K40 GPU	not mentioned
PEtot $[24]$	2013	CdSe	1К	Cray XK6, IPE	256 GPUs
OE [11]	2017	Clycine, H _{2O}	768	Cray XC40	65K
PWDFT [19]	2017	Si	4Κ	Edision, Cori	8Κ
PWDFT	this work	Graphene, Si	16K	new Sunway	32K

TABLE IV MINIMUN CGS REQUIRED TO CALCULATE THE SYSTEM

a novel sparse direct solver PEXSI, DGDFT extended the limit of adaptive local basis DFT calculation to 2.5 million atoms using 35.9 million cores, achieve 5% theoretical peak performance $[18]$. (2) A brand new computational framework to solve quantum many-body problems by Artificial Intelligence (AI) was proposed and adapted to the Sunway, scaling up to 40 million heterogeneous cores with high efficiency [\[40\]](#page-11-22). (3) Based on the abstraction of application and hierarchical hardware, researchers presented a unified programming model, UniQ, for multiple simulation methods. UniQ can generate execution with high performance without any human involvement. It scales to 399,360 nodes and achieves up to 28.59x speedup over state-of-art framework [\[38\]](#page-11-24).

VII. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have significantly optimized the ability and performance of PWDFT on the new Sunway supercomputer. Firstly, we reduce memory usage and improve memory bandwidth utilization by refactoring pseudopotential calculations and in-place data transforming, so that a single CG with 16 GB memory is able to hold much more wavefunctions and a larger Hamiltion matrix for larger systems. Secondly, we accelerate PWDFT by adopting CPEs, and granularity-aware parallelization to take full advantage of physical floatingpoint performance. Meanwhile, we also present multistage Allreduce to to optimize network bandwidth utilization. As a result, PWDFT can now perform calculations of 4096 atoms using 64 CGs, 720 CGs for 11,520 atoms and 2,048 CGs for 16,384 atoms. These optimizations lead to a 64.8x speedup when simulating a silicon system comprising 4,096 atoms and utilizing 1,024 CGs. Additionally, our experiments demonstrate that our parallel design can achieve good strong scalability, even when utilizing a large number of cores.

REFERENCES

[1] Angerson, E., Bai, Z., Dongarra, J., Greenbaum, A., McKenney, A., Du Croz, J., Hammarling, S., Demmel, J., Bischof, C., Sorensen,

D.: Lapack: A portable linear algebra library for high-performance computers. In: Supercomputing'90: Proceedings of the 1990 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing. pp. 2–11. IEEE (1990)

- [2] Banerjee, A.S., Lin, L., Suryanarayana, P., Yang, C., Pask, J.E.: Twolevel chebyshev filter based complementary subspace method: pushing the envelope of large-scale electronic structure calculations. Journal of chemical theory and computation 14(6), 2930–2946 (2018)
- [3] Barnes, T.A., Kurth, T., Carrier, P., Wichmann, N., Prendergast, D., Kent, P.R., Deslippe, J.: Improved treatment of exact exchange in quantum espresso. Computer Physics Communications 214, 52–58 (2017)
- [4] Cao, H., Chen, J.: Design and implementation of shenwei universal c/c++. arXiv preprint arXiv:2208.00607 (2022)
- [5] Carter, E.A.: Challenges in modeling materials properties without experimental input. Science 321(5890), 800–803 (2008)
- [6] Das, S., Motamarri, P., Gavini, V., Turcksin, B., Li, Y.W., Leback, B.: Fast, scalable and accurate finite-element based ab initio calculations using mixed precision computing: 46 pflops simulation of a metallic dislocation system. In: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. pp. 1–11 (2019)
- [7] Dinge, D.: A presentation on trinity performance. (8 2017), [https://www.](https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1469055) [osti.gov/biblio/1469055](https://www.osti.gov/biblio/1469055)
- [8] Dongarra, J.J., Du Croz, J., Hammarling, S., Duff, I.S.: A set of level 3 basic linear algebra subprograms. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software (TOMS) 16(1), 1–17 (1990)
- [9] Frigo, M., Johnson, S.G.: Fftw: An adaptive software architecture for the fft. In: Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing, ICASSP'98 (Cat. No. 98CH36181). vol. 3, pp. 1381–1384. IEEE (1998)
- [10] Frisch, M.J., Pople, J.A., Binkley, J.S.: Self-consistent molecular orbital methods 25. supplementary functions for gaussian basis sets. The Journal of chemical physics 80(7), 3265–3269 (1984)
- [11] Giannozzi, P., Andreussi, O., Brumme, T., Bunau, O., Nardelli, M.B., Calandra, M., Car, R., Cavazzoni, C., Ceresoli, D., Cococcioni, M., et al.: Advanced capabilities for materials modelling with quantum espresso. Journal of physics: Condensed matter 29(46), 465901 (2017)
- [12] Giannozzi, P., Baroni, S., Bonini, N., Calandra, M., Car, R., Cavazzoni, C., Ceresoli, D., Chiarotti, G.L., Cococcioni, M., Dabo, I., et al.: Quantum espresso: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials. Journal of physics: Condensed matter 21(39), 395502 (2009)
- [13] Gygi, F., Draeger, E.W., Schulz, M., De Supinski, B.R., Gunnels, J.A., Austel, V., Sexton, J.C., Franchetti, F., Kral, S., Ueberhuber, C.W., et al.: Large-scale electronic structure calculations of high-z metals on the bluegene/l platform. In: Proceedings of the 2006 ACM/IEEE conference on Supercomputing. pp. 45–es (2006)
- [14] Hafner, J.: Ab-initio simulations of materials using vasp: Densityfunctional theory and beyond. Journal of computational chemistry 29(13), 2044–2078 (2008)
- [15] Hasegawa, Y., Iwata, J.I., Tsuji, M., Takahashi, D., Oshiyama, A., Minami, K., Boku, T., Shoji, F., Uno, A., Kurokawa, M., et al.: Firstprinciples calculations of electron states of a silicon nanowire with 100,000 atoms on the k computer. In: Proceedings of 2011 international conference for high performance computing, networking, storage and analysis. pp. 1–11 (2011)
- [16] Hehre, W.J., Stewart, R.F., Pople, J.A.: Self-consistent molecular-orbital methods. i. use of gaussian expansions of slater-type atomic orbitals. The Journal of Chemical Physics 51(6), 2657–2664 (1969)
- [17] Hohenberg, P., Kohn, W.: Inhomogeneous electron gas. Phys. Rev. 136(3B), B864 (1964)
- [18] Hu, W., An, H., Guo, Z., Jiang, Q., Qin, X., Chen, J., Jia, W., Yang, C., Luo, Z., Li, J., et al.: 2.5 million-atom ab initio electronicstructure simulation of complex metallic heterostructures with dgdft. In: 2022 SC22: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC). pp. 48–60. IEEE Computer Society (2022)
- [19] Hu, W., Lin, L., Banerjee, A.S., Vecharynski, E., Yang, C.: Adaptively compressed exchange operator for large-scale hybrid density functional calculations with applications to the adsorption of water on silicene. Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation 13(3), 1188–1198 (2017)
- [20] Hutter, J., Iannuzzi, M., Schiffmann, F., VandeVondele, J.: Wiley interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci 4(1), 15–25 (2014)
- [21] Igram, D., Bhattarai, B., Biswas, P., Drabold, D.A.: Large and realistic models of amorphous silicon. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 492, 27–32 (2018)
- [22] Igram, D., Bhattarai, B., Biswas, P., Drabold, D.A.: Large and realistic models of amorphous silicon. Journal of Non-Crystalline Solids 492, 27–32 (2018)
- [23] Jensen, F.: Polarization consistent basis sets, ii. estimating the kohn– sham basis set limit. The Journal of chemical physics $116(17)$, 7372– 7379 (2002)
- [24] Jia, W., Cao, Z., Wang, L., Fu, J., Chi, X., Gao, W., Wang, L.W.: The analysis of a plane wave pseudopotential density functional theory code on a gpu machine. Computer Physics Communications 184(1), 9–18 (2013)
- [25] Jia, W., Fu, J., Cao, Z., Wang, L., Chi, X., Gao, W., Wang, L.W.: Fast plane wave density functional theory molecular dynamics calculations on multi-gpu machines. Journal of Computational Physics 251, 102–115 (2013)
- [26] Jia, W., Wang, L.W., Lin, L.: Parallel transport time-dependent density functional theory calculations with hybrid functional on summit. In: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. pp. 1–23 (2019)
- [27] Jiang, Q., Li, J., Chen, J., Qin, X., Wan, L., Yang, J., Liu, J., Hu, W., An, H.: Accelerating parallel first-principles excited-state calculation by low-rank approximation with k-means clustering. In: Proceedings of the 51st International Conference on Parallel Processing. pp. 1–11 (2022)
- [28] Kresse, G., Furthmüller, J.: Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio totalenergy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. Physical review B 54(16), 11169 (1996)
- [29] Kühne, T.D., Iannuzzi, M., Del Ben, M., Rybkin, V.V., Seewald, P., Stein, F., Laino, T., Khaliullin, R.Z., Schütt, O., Schiffmann, F., et al.: Cp2k: An electronic structure and molecular dynamics software package-quickstep: Efficient and accurate electronic structure calculations. The Journal of Chemical Physics 152(19) (2020)
- [30] Milman, V., Winkler, B., White, J., Pickard, C., Payne, M., Akhmatskaya, E., Nobes, R.: Electronic structure, properties, and phase stability of inorganic crystals: A pseudopotential plane-wave study. International Journal of Quantum Chemistry 77(5), 895–910 (2000)
- [31] Nakata, A., Baker, J.S., Mujahed, S.Y., Poulton, J.T., Arapan, S., Lin, J., Raza, Z., Yadav, S., Truflandier, L., Miyazaki, T., et al.: Large scale and linear scaling dft with the conquest code. The Journal of chemical physics 152(16) (2020)
- [32] Payne, M.C., Arias, T.A., Joannopoulos, J.D.: Iterative minimization techniques for ab initio total-energy calculations: molecular dynamics and conjugate gradients. Reviews of Modern Physics; (United States) 64:4(4), 1045–1097 (1992)
- [33] Shang, H., Li, F., Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Fu, Y., Gao, Y., Wu, Y., Duan, X., Lin, R., Liu, X., et al.: Extreme-scale ab initio quantum raman spectra simulations on the leadership hpc system in china. In: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. pp. 1–13 (2021)
- [34] Shang, H., Shen, L., Fan, Y., Xu, Z., Guo, C., Liu, J., Zhou, W., Ma, H., Lin, R., Yang, Y., et al.: Large-scale simulation of quantum computational chemistry on a new sunway supercomputer. arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.03711 (2022)
- [35] Wang, L.W., Lee, B., Shan, H., Zhao, Z., Meza, J., Strohmaier, E., Bailey, D.H.: Linearly scaling 3d fragment method for large-scale electronic structure calculations. In: SC'08: Proceedings of the 2008 ACM/IEEE Conference on Supercomputing. pp. 1–10. IEEE (2008)
- [36] Wang, Y., Cao, H., Ma, Z., Yin, W., Chen, W.: Scaling graph 500 sssp to 140 trillion edges with over 40 million cores. In: SC22: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. pp. 1–15. IEEE (2022)
- [37] Yin, M., Cohen, M.L.: Theory of ab initio pseudopotential calculations. Physical review B 25(12), 7403 (1982)
- [38] Zhang, C., Wang, H., Ma, Z., Xie, L., Song, Z., Zhai, J.: Uniq: a unified programming model for efficient quantum circuit simulation. In: 2022 SC22: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC). pp. 692–707. IEEE Computer Society (2022)
- [39] Zhao, H., Zhang, C.: Porting and optimizing vasp on the sw26010. In: Algorithms and Architectures for Parallel Processing: ICA3PP 2018 International Workshops, Guangzhou, China, November 15-17, 2018, Proceedings. vol. 11338, p. 17. Springer (2018)
- [40] Zhao, X., Li, M., Xiao, Q., Chen, J., Wang, F., Shen, L., Zhao, M., Wu, W., An, H., He, L., et al.: Ai for quantum mechanics: high performance quantum many-body simulations via deep learning. In: 2022 SC22: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis (SC). pp. 677–691. IEEE Computer Society (2022)
- [41] Zhao, Z., Marsman, M., Wende, F., Kim, J.: Performance of hybrid mpi/openmp vasp on cray xc40 based on intel knights landing many integrated core architecture. CUG Proceedings (2017)
- [42] Zhu, Q., Luo, H., Yang, C., Ding, M., Yin, W., Yuan, X.: Enabling and scaling the hpcg benchmark on the newest generation sunway supercomputer with 42 million heterogeneous cores. In: SC21: International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis