

BlueTempNet: A Temporal Multi-network Dataset of Social Interactions in Bluesky Social

Ujun Jeong¹, Bohan Jiang¹, Zhen Tan¹, H. Russell Bernard², Huan Liu¹

¹School of Computing and Augmented Intelligence, Arizona State University, Tempe, 85281 USA

²Institute for Social Science Research, Arizona State University, Tempe, 85281 USA

{ujeong1, bjiang14, ztan36, asuruss, huanliu}@asu.edu

ABSTRACT Decentralized social media platforms like Bluesky Social (Bluesky) have made it possible to publicly disclose some user behaviors with millisecond-level precision. Embracing Bluesky’s principles of open-source and open-data, we present the first collection of the *temporal dynamics* of user-driven social interactions. BlueTempNet integrates multiple types of networks into a single *multi-network*, including user-to-user interactions (following and blocking users) and user-to-community interactions (creating and joining communities). Communities are user-formed groups in custom Feeds, where users subscribe to posts aligned with their interests. Following Bluesky’s public data policy, we collect existing Bluesky Feeds, including the users who liked and generated these Feeds, and provide tools to gather users’ social interactions within a date range. This data-collection strategy captures past user behaviors and supports the future data collection of user behavior.

IEEE SOCIETY/COUNCIL IEEE Communications Society (ComSoc)

DATA DOI/PID 10.21227/yrsy-ee91

DATA TYPE/LOCATION Network Graph; Bluesky Social (Social Media Platform)

INDEX TERMS Blocking and Group Sanction, Bluesky Social, Community Analysis, Decentralized Social Media, Multi-network Analysis, Social Networks, Temporal Graph Mining, User Behavior Analysis

I. Background

Understanding the evolution of user behavior through complex interactions in online social networks has been an important topic in computational social science [3], [7], [9], [11], [17], [21], and computer science [16], [22], [26], [28]. However, researchers face two challenges in studying user behavior on social media platforms: (1) platforms typically design recommendations to target users, making it difficult to isolate their effects on user behavior; and (2) platforms often limit access to detailed timing and comprehensive records of how users interact. Table 1 categorizes and compares how eight social media platforms manage key aspects of users’ social interactions, including *following* (establishing one-way connections and receiving updates from connected users), *blocking* (preventing visibility and engagements between users), *creating* (generating a new community), and

TABLE 1. Comparing accessible social interactions of users on social media platforms via APIs. The symbols used are: × for complete exclusion, ○ for imperfect coverage (i.e., no timestamp), and ● for perfect coverage.

Platforms	User-to-User		User-to-Community	
	Follow	Block	Create	Join
Twitter [19], [27]	○	×	×	×
Facebook [23]	○	×	×	×
Instagram [32]	○	×	×	×
LinkedIn [6], [30]	×	×	×	×
Reddit [2], [10]	×	×	○	○
TikTok [5]	×	×	×	×
Mastodon [20], [34]	○	×	●	●
Bluesky Social	●	●	●	●

joining (subscribing and receiving a community’s suggested content).




FIGURE 1. Overview of BlueTempNet, a multi-network integrating two categories of users' social interactions such as user-to-user (following, blocking) and user-to-community (creating, joining), all timestamped at t_i .

Interest in alternatives to the central control of traditional social media platforms has led to a substantial migration of users to decentralized platforms [14]. Here, we focus on Bluesky¹, a social media platform currently boasting over 5 million active users, where data are distributed and managed across multiple providers. Despite its decentralized architecture, Bluesky offers a seamless user experience comparable to that of centralized platforms. This is achieved through interoperability facilitated by its protocol, ATproto [18]. Bluesky features a default reverse chronological timeline and allows users to add customized recommendation algorithms created by other users. This user-driven design facilitates the study of user behavior without the potential control exerted by platforms [25].

Following Bluesky's public data policy² and leveraging the advanced data accessibility and accountability offered through the Personal Data Server (PDS)³ model, we collected the temporal dynamics of social interactions among over 150,000 public users. Our dataset, BlueTempNet, includes two categories of users' social interactions with millisecond-level timestamps. Figure 1 illustrates how these interactions are integrated into a multi-network framework, bridging various types of nodes and edges while allowing multiple directional connections from the same nodes. BlueTempNet offers a unique opportunity for comprehensive analysis, spanning from individual actor networks to the platform ecosystem over time.

The key contributions of our work are:

- A novel dataset, BlueTempNet, captures the temporal dynamics of users' social interactions, independent of any platform-driven recommendation algorithms.
- BlueTempNet integrates key behavioral aspects of users' social interactions into a multi-graph with both user-to-user and user-to-community networks.

¹<https://bsky.social/about>

²<https://blueskyweb.zendesk.com/hc/en-us/articles/15835264007693-Data-Privacy>

15835264007693-Data-Privacy

³https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Personal_data_service




FIGURE 2. An example of a user's interactions on Bluesky. The left panel shows user-to-community interactions, including joining a community (purple dashed line) and a community creation (green dashed line). The right panel illustrates user-to-user interactions, the change in profiles after following (blue arrow) and blocking (red arrow) another user.

- BlueTempNet contains longitudinal data, which is essential for studying the development and sustainability of Bluesky since the introduction of custom Feeds.

II. PLATFORM INTERFACE

To gain a clearer understanding of Bluesky's user experience, Figure 2 illustrates social interactions using the corresponding authors' account as an example. On the right side of the figure, the *follow* action represents a user's interest in another user, allowing them to receive updates and posts in their default timeline. The *block* feature enhances privacy and safety by ensuring that users who have blocked each other cannot view or engage with each other's posts, making them invisible to both sides. On the left side of the figure, there is a list of *Feeds*, which are dynamic content streams with customizable algorithms. Users join *Feeds* of interest, like *philsky* (Philosophy content) and *Discover* (Trending content), by clicking the heart icon below their display names and descriptions, an action known as *Feed like*. Each *Feed* is created by a user using ATproto's *Feed generator*.

III. COLLECTION METHODS AND DESIGN

We used the Bluesky API provided by ATproto⁴ protocol. As shown in Figure 3, there are three sequential steps in the data collection process: (1) collecting existing *Feeds* from Bluesky at the community level; (2) gathering information about users who generated or liked these *Feeds* at the user-to-community level; and (3) compiling relationships between users by examining if they blocked or followed each other at the user-to-user level.

⁴<https://atproto.com/>




FIGURE 3. An illustration of our data collection pipeline on Bluesky is presented in three levels. Each stage of collection captures the timestamp of user behavior with millisecond-level precision in UTC format.

IV. RECORDS AND STORAGE

A. Storage Principles

In accordance with FAIR (Findability, Accessibility, Interoperability, and Reuse of digital assets) principles [8], we took measures to ensure that the data we utilized or curated are devoid of personally identifiable information. Any text-level information about users’ profiles or their posts is not covered in the dataset for privacy measures. Throughout our analysis, we anonymized user identifiers with a MongoDB database through field-level encryption.

B. Scope of Records

The versatile data collection framework accommodates different periods of activity established for the research. This study focuses on the year following the introduction of custom Feeds on Bluesky⁵, from May 11, 2023, to May 11, 2024. Based on the time of our data collection.

C. Definition of User

Though Bluesky does not formally categorize users, we defined two types based on their relationships with Feeds. This simplifies understanding and enhances the hierarchical structure of our proposed multi-graph. These two user types are defined as follows:

- **Feed Creators:** Users who create Feeds using the Feed generator. These users can provide content for others by proposing their own recommendation algorithms.
- **Feed Members:** Users who join Feeds by liking them. These users primarily consume the curated content provided by the Feed’s recommendation algorithm.

Table 2 presents statistics of Bluesky, including the number of users (Feed creators and members), the total Feeds, and the engagement counts from Feed members. In order to integrate their connections into a multi-graph through their shared Feeds, we only focused on the interactions among the Feed creators and Feed members

D. Dimensions of Records

Figure 3 shows our data collection process on Bluesky. Based on users, Feeds, and their follow and block relationships

⁵<https://bsky.social/about/blog/7-27-2023-custom-feeds>

TABLE 2. Summary of the user and Feed samples identified in Bluesky. Active users and Feeds are set at the time of data collection (May 11, 2024).

Types	Number
Active Users	5,659,340
Active Feeds	39,968
Feed Creators (unique active users who have generated at least one active Feed)	17,617
Feed Members (unique active users who have liked at least one active Feed)	147,577
Total Like Count on Feeds by Feed Members	322,930
Total Block Count of Feed Members	2,677,622
Total Follow Count of Feed Members	24,482,447

at each timestamp of social interaction, we defines three dimensions of user and community interactions.

- 1) **Feed Creator Interaction Network:** A signed graph representing follows (positive edges) and blocks (negative edges) among Feed creators, denoted as $G_C = \{C, \mathcal{E}^+, \mathcal{E}^-\}$, where C is the set of Feed creators.
- 2) **Feed Member Interaction Network:** A signed graph representing follows (positive edges) and blocks (negative edges) among users, denoted as $G_M = \{M, \mathcal{E}^+, \mathcal{E}^-\}$, where M is the set of Feed members.
- 3) **Community Interaction Network:** An affiliation graph connecting communities of users with Feeds, denoted as $G_A = \{V, \mathcal{E}\}$, where $V = \{C, M, F\}$ represents three types of nodes (creators, members, and Feeds), and $\mathcal{E} = \{G, L\}$ represents two types of undirected edges (Feed generation and Feed likes).

Following and blocking are not mutually exclusive actions. A user can follow others initially and then block them later. For these cases, we prioritize the blocking interaction by retaining the negative edges (blocking) and removing the positive edges (following). Based on this, we present the statistics for the three dimensions of the dataset: (1) Table 3 shows the Feed creator interaction network. The graph contains 349 isolated nodes and 485 node pairs with both negative and positive edges. (2) Table 4 presents the Feed member interaction network. The graph includes 34 isolated nodes and 5,502 node pairs with both negative and positive edges. (3) Table 5 describes the community interaction network. In the affiliation graph, we identified 8,820 users who act as both Feed creators and Feed members. These users are represented as two nodes: one as a member node and the other as a creator node.

V. INSIGHTS AND NOTES

A. Feed Distribution

Figure 4 shows the popular Feeds and the frequent terms used in the *display name* of Feeds. As the 64-character limit for Feed names will encourage users to choose words care-

TABLE 3. First dimension – statistics of the signed graph G_C , showing blocking and following among the Feed creators identified in Table 2.

Types	Number
Node (Feed Creators)	17,617
Negative Edge (Blocking among Feed Creators)	26,992
Positive Edge (Following among Feed Creators)	292,331

TABLE 4. Second dimension – statistics of the signed graph G_M , showing blocking and following among the Feed members identified in Table 2.

Types	Number
Node (Feed Members)	147,577
Negative Edge (Blocking among Feed Members)	532,614
Positive Edge (Following among Feed Members)	5,766,570

fully, we assume users selectively choose words in display names to represent the interest of the Feed. By removing redundant words such as *feed*, *tab*, *post*, and *test*, our analysis revealed that a large number of Feeds are found to focus on topics such as *art*, *media*, *music*, *japanese*, *ai*, and *nsfw*. This indicates that Bluesky users have a focused preference for niche content.

The popularity of Feed does not necessarily correlate with its prevalence in Bluesky. To examine the relationship between display names and the popularity of Feeds, we calculated term frequency vectors and computed Pearson correlation coefficients for each word. Figure 5 shows words with the highest and lowest correlations of words. Feeds with words like *likes*, *discover*, and *hot* were strongly associated with popularity. Community and social topics such as *blacksky* (Black community), *ukraine* (Ukraine-Russian war), *academic* (science, astronomy, etc), *transqueer* (LGBTQ), and *adult content* also showed positive correlations. *likes* was the highest term, indicating that liking is perceived as an important behavior among users for discovering information on Bluesky. Terms like *art*, *gallery*, and *media* were among the least popular despite their prevalence in Feeds’ display names. However, the correlation for these terms is very low (below -0.005), implying minimal impact on Feed’s popularity.

TABLE 5. Third dimension – statistics of the affiliation graph G_A , showing the relations across Feeds, creators, and members in Tables 3 and 4.

Types	Number
Member Node (Feed Members)	147,577
Creator Node (Feed Creators)	17,617
Feed Node (Active Feeds)	39,968
Join Edge (Liking Feeds)	322,930
Create Edge (Genearting Feeds)	39,968





FIGURE 4. Bar charts showing (A) top 20 most liked Feed’s display names and (B) top 20 most frequently used terms in Feed’s display names.





FIGURE 5. Bar charts showing Pearson correlations between term frequency in Feed’s display names and the number of likes: (A) terms with top 20 correlations, (B) terms with bottom 20 correlations.

Summary (Communities)

There is a notable contrast between the Feeds users prefer to create and those that achieve widespread popularity. On Bluesky, niche Feeds related to art and media are prevalent. However, Feeds that facilitate content discovery and highlight trending topics tend to garner the most number of likes from users.

B. Temporal Dynamics

Figure 6 shows the temporal trends of three dimensions of social interactions in our dataset. We identified a sudden surge in both follows and blocks after Bluesky transitioned to an invitation-free platform on February 6, 2024. Among Feed creators, follows increased by 19.2% and blocks by 27.3%. Similarly, among Feed members, follows increased by 15.8% and blocks by 25.9%. After the transition, 44% of the Feeds were generated, and users liked 34.12% of them. We speculate that the larger increase in blocks compared to follows indicates a heightened need for users to filter out potentially unwanted interactions from new users and Feeds, especially after the platform became completely open.

Figure 7 illustrates the evolution of the degree distribution across three dimensions of our dataset. The average and standard deviation of in-degrees and out-degrees gradually increase over time, showing stabilization as time progresses. Despite the surge in users and interactions during the transition to an invitation-free platform, the average and standard deviation of interactions remain stable across all three dimensions. This indicates that Bluesky managed the influx of users and their interactions, maintaining stability and aligning with existing trends during its establishment.

Summary (Temporal Dynamics)

After Bluesky’s official public launch, social interactions surged across all dimensions. The rate of user blocks increased more sharply compared to the rate of follows, and the creation of new Feeds outpaced the rate at which users joined them. Despite this surge in users and their interaction levels, Bluesky managed to stabilize the interactions to some extent before the transition to an invitation-free platform.

C. Network Analysis

We base our network analysis on the static graph from the last data collection on May 11, 2024. For simplicity, we focus on the largest component of each graph, encompassing 88% of nodes in G_C , 94% of nodes in G_M , and 86% of nodes in G_A . To comprehensively view each network’s topology, we carefully selected applicable measures for the signed graph [4] and measures for the affiliation graph [24].

1) User-to-User (signed graph) Networks Analysis

Table 6 summarizes metrics for the signed graphs G_C and G_M , highlighting five key aspects: (1) Positive assortativity is slightly negative in both graphs, indicating high-degree users tend to follow lower-degree users. (2) Negative degree assortativity is higher for G_C compared to G_M , showing distinct blocking patterns between creators and members. (3) Positive reciprocity is higher than negative reciprocity in both graphs, suggesting stronger mutual following than




FIGURE 6. Temporal trends in the number of nodes (orange) and edges (blue) for three networks: G_C (Feed Creator Interaction), G_M (Feed Member Interaction), and G_A (Community Interaction). The red dotted line marks when Bluesky transitioned to an invitation-free platform.




FIGURE 7. Temporal trends in degree distributions for three networks: G_C (Feed Creator Interaction), G_M (Feed Member Interaction), and G_A (Community Interaction). Lines show average in-degree (blue) and out-degree (orange); shaded areas indicate standard deviation. The red dotted line marks when Bluesky transitioned to an invitation-free platform.

blocking. (4) Both graphs exhibit similar positive clustering coefficients but low negative clustering coefficients, indicating that followers’ neighbors are more likely to be connected than blockers’ neighbors. (5) Structural balancedness is slightly higher in G_C than in G_M , suggesting a greater prevalence of social balance, where an enemy’s enemy is considered a friend, among Feed creators.

TABLE 6. Global-level metrics of User-to-User networks are provided with values rounded to two decimal places. In each signed graph, path lengths are determined solely using positive edges, as blocking edges prevent visibility and interaction between users, thereby there is no flow of information.

Metric	Description	G_C	G_M
Diameter	The greatest distance between any pair of nodes	12	11
Average Path Length	The average shortest path between all pairs of nodes	3.18	3.19
Degree Density	Proportion of actual edges compared to possible edges	$1.07 e^{-3}$	$2.97 e^{-4}$
Positive Degree Assortativity	Bias for nodes to follow neighbors with similar following degrees	-0.13	-0.03
Negative Degree Assortativity	Bias for nodes to block neighbors with similar blocking degrees	0.02	-0.15
Positive Reciprocity	Likelihood of nodes to be mutually linked by following edges	0.46	0.50
Negative Reciprocity	Likelihood of nodes to be mutually linked by blocking edges	0.07	0.06
Positive Clustering Coefficient	Average of two adjacent nodes of a follower also follow each other	0.24	0.25
Negative Clustering Coefficient	Average of two adjacent nodes of a blocker also block each other	0.03	0.03
Structural Balancedness	Ratio of socially balanced triangles based on undirected edges	0.91	0.89

TABLE 7. Global-level metrics for User-to-Community networks where edges are undirected. Values for each metric are rounded to two decimal places.

Metric	Description	G_A	P_A
Diameter	The greatest distance between any pair of nodes	24	11
Average Path Length	The average shortest path between all pairs of nodes	60.49	3.06
Degree Density	Proportion of actual edges compared to possible edges	$1.87 e^{-5}$	$1.07 e^{-2}$
Degree Assortativity	Nodes to connect with similar degrees of neighborhood	-0.16	0.50
Clustering Coefficient	Average of two neighbors of a node are also neighbors to each other	0.80	0.92

2) User-to-Community (affiliation graph) Networks Analysis

To analyze higher-order relationships between communities, we define a line graph $P_A = \{\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{W}\}$ by projecting the affiliation graph G_A as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \{(f_i, f_j) \mid U(f_i) \cap U(f_j) \neq \emptyset\} \\ w(f_i, f_j) &= |U(f_i) \cap U(f_j)|, \text{ with } w \in \mathcal{W} \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $U(f_i)$ denotes the set of any users adjacent to one of Feeds $f_i \in \mathcal{F}$ from G_A . Two nodes f_i and f_j are linked if they share at least one user. The weight of an edge $w(f_i, f_j)$ is the number of shared users between the Feeds.

Table 7 compares the affiliation graph G_A with its projected line graph P_A , revealing three key differences: (1) the degree density is higher in P_A than in G_A . (2) P_A exhibits a short average path length and a high clustering coefficient, which is close to the maximum, indicating the properties of a small-world network [31]. (3) the degree assortativity for G_A is negative, whereas it is positive in P_A , showing that Feeds with more members tend to connect together.

Figure 8 illustrates the community analysis of the projected line graph P_A , which are clustered using the Leiden Algorithm [29], with annotations derived from Feeds' descriptions. The clusters reveal a variety of groups representing different languages and regions, such as German, Japanese, Spanish, and English groups on Bluesky. Additionally, the network features clusters centered on political topics, like the Ukraine-Russia war, alongside more casual feeds, with a notable prevalence of art-related communities. As discussed during network analysis, the relationships between Feeds exhibit small-world properties. Further ex-

ploration could uncover tightly knit community groups, including higher-order analyses to identify strongly connected clusters and examine their characteristics with respect to language, political geography, and relevant social events.

Summary (Network Analysis)

Followings for both creators and members exhibit negative degree assortativity, connecting to nodes with lower degrees. Members also tend to make blockers more connected, with higher structural balancedness highlighting distinct user roles. In community analysis, the projection of the affiliation graph reveals small-world properties, particularly with prominent art-related communities. Studying higher-order relations using the projected line graph appears promising for understanding how the platform maintains its ecosystem between communities.

D. LIMITATIONS

As Bluesky is still in development, user engagement may not be as consistent as on established platforms like Twitter/X. Consequently, usage patterns might be unstable and subject to changes due to platform updates, such as the end of a public open beta, or external factors. Additionally, users on Bluesky tend to focus on topics like art and AI, which may not generalize our findings to other social media platforms. Among many social interactions on Bluesky, we focused on the networking behavior among users, excluding activities related to posts, such as replying, liking, and reposting.




FIGURE 8. A line graph of Feeds P_A is visualized using ForceAtlas2. A total of 770 clusters are identified by the Leiden Algorithm. The top 8 clusters are color-coded; others are gray. Each cluster's interests are shown by word clouds, which aggregate the Feeds' self-descriptions in each cluster.

E. FUTURE WORK

We will examine the evolutionary aspects of rumor and misinformation spread [12], [13], the role of blocking mechanisms [9], [11], and platform-specific characteristics that promote sustainability of social media platforms [14], [15]. Testing the causal effects of user attributes and behaviors, along with evaluating the predictive power of social networks, is crucial for modeling future user behavior [22], [33]. Lastly, comparing social networks across diverse online environments like Epinions, Slashdot, and Wikipedia could reveal persistent interaction patterns in user behaviors that extend beyond typical social media platforms [1], [7], [22]

VI. Source Code and Scripts

The scripts and dataset used for crawling Bluesky will be publicly available in our DOI⁶. The proposed graphs are saved in GEXF⁷ format and named as follows:

- **graph_dimension1.gexf**: This file is the Feed member interaction network, where an edge has attributes `sign` and `time` and a node represents Feed member.
- **graph_dimension2.gexf**: This file is the Feed creator interaction network, where an edge has attributes `sign` and `time`, and a node represents Feed creator.
- **graph_dimension3.gexf**: This file contains the community interaction network. Each node has a `type` attribute, which can be one of the following: member, creator, or Feed. Each edge includes a `time` attribute.
- **multi_graph.gexf**: This file is the multi-network integrating the three-dimensional graphs. For the sake of simplicity, undirected edges from the community interaction network are converted to bidirectional edges.

Each timestamp in the edge data is formatted in ISO 8601 (e.g., 2023-09-22T09:32:17.974Z) based on UTC.

⁶<https://iee-dataport.org/documents/bluetempnet-temporal-multi-network-dataset-social-interactions-bluesky-social>

⁷<https://gephi.org/users/supported-graph-formats/>

Data collection scripts are written in Python 3 using NetworkX version 2.6.3. These scripts are named according to each dataset (e.g., `graph_dimension1.py`). While user IDs remain anonymous, we provide some public user activities and information on the collected Feeds as follows:

- **user_metadata.csv**

- 1) Anonymized ID (decoded after ID request review)
- 2) Mapping between Anonymized ID and node ID
- 3) Number of Followers
- 4) Number of Following
- 5) Number of Posts

- **feed_metadata.csv**

- 1) URI (a string of characters used to uniquely identify a Feed on the internet)
- 2) Mapping between URI and node ID
- 3) Display Name of Feed
- 4) Description of Feed
- 5) Creator of Feed
- 6) Number of Likes on Feed

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND INTERESTS

This work was funded by Office of Naval Research, under Award No. N00014-21-1-4002. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations within this article are solely those of the authors.

REFERENCES

- [1] Avalor, M., Di Marco, N., Etta, G., Sangiorgio, E., Alipour, S., Bonetti, A., Alvisi, L., Scala, A., Baronchelli, A., Cinelli, M., et al.: Persistent interaction patterns across social media platforms and over time. *Nature* (2024)
- [2] Baumgartner, J., Zannettou, S., Keegan, B., Squire, M., Blackburn, J.: The pushshift reddit dataset. In: ICWSM (2020)
- [3] Blöcker, C., Nieves, J.C., Rosvall, M.: Map equation centrality: community-aware centrality based on the map equation. *Applied Network Science* (2022)
- [4] Cartwright, D., Harary, F.: Structural balance: a generalization of heider's theory. *Psychological review* (1956)

- [5] Corso, F., Pierri, F., De Francisci Morales, G.: What we can learn from tiktok through its research api. In: WebSci (2024)
- [6] Davis, J., Wolff, H.G., Forret, M.L., Sullivan, S.E.: Networking via linkedin: An examination of usage and career benefits. *Journal of Vocational Behavior* (2020)
- [7] Facchetti, G., Iacono, G., Altafini, C.: Computing global structural balance in large-scale signed social networks. *PNAS* (2011)
- [8] FORCE11: The fair data principles. <https://force11.org/info/the-fair-data-principles/> (2020)
- [9] Ghasemian, A., Christakis, N.A.: The structure and function of antagonistic ties in village social networks. *PNAS* (2024)
- [10] Hamilton, W., Ying, Z., Leskovec, J.: Inductive representation learning on large graphs. *NeurIPS* (2017)
- [11] Isakov, A., Fowler, J.H., Airolidi, E.M., Christakis, N.A.: The structure of negative social ties in rural village networks. *Sociological science* (2019)
- [12] Jeong, U., Alghamdi, Z., Ding, K., Cheng, L., Li, B., Liu, H.: Classifying covid-19 related meta ads using discourse representation through a hypergraph. In: SBP-BRIMS. Springer (2022)
- [13] Jeong, U., Ding, K., Cheng, L., Guo, R., Shu, K., Liu, H.: Nothing stands alone: Relational fake news detection with hypergraph neural networks. In: *IEEE Big Data. IEEE* (2022)
- [14] Jeong, U., Nirmal, A., Jha, K., Tang, S.X., Bernard, H.R., Liu, H.: User migration across multiple social media platforms. In: *SDM. SIAM* (2024)
- [15] Jeong, U., Sheth, P., Tahir, A., Alatawi, F., Bernard, H.R., Liu, H.: Exploring platform migration patterns between twitter and mastodon: A user behavior study. In: *ICWSM* (2024)
- [16] Jin, L., Chen, Y., Wang, T., Hui, P., Vasilakos, A.V.: Understanding user behavior in online social networks: A survey. *IEEE communications magazine*
- [17] Keuschnigg, M., Lovsjö, N., Hedström, P.: Analytical sociology and computational social science. *Journal of Computational Social Science* (2018)
- [18] Kleppmann, M., Frazee, P., Gold, J., Graber, J., Holmgren, D., Ivy, D., Johnson, J., Newbold, B., Volpert, J.: Bluesky and the at protocol: Usable decentralized social media. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.03239* (2024)
- [19] Kumar, S., Morstatter, F., Liu, H.: *Twitter data analytics*. Springer (2014)
- [20] La Cava, L., Greco, S., Tagarelli, A.: Understanding the growth of the fediverse through the lens of mastodon. *Applied network science* (2021)
- [21] La Cava, L., Mandaglio, D., Tagarelli, A.: Polarization in decentralized online social networks. In: *WebSci* (2024)
- [22] Leskovec, J., Huttenlocher, D., Kleinberg, J.: Predicting positive and negative links in online social networks. In: *WWW* (2010)
- [23] Leskovec, J., McAuley, J.: Learning to discover social circles in ego networks. *NeurIPS* (2012)
- [24] Neal, Z.: The backbone of bipartite projections: Inferring relationships from co-authorship, co-sponsorship, co-attendance and other co-behaviors. *Social Networks* (2014)
- [25] Ng, L.H.X., Phillips, S.C., Carley, K.M.: Smi-5: Five dimensions of social media interaction for platform (de) centralization. *ICWSM workshop* (2024)
- [26] Panzarasa, P., Opsahl, T., Carley, K.M.: Patterns and dynamics of users' behavior and interaction: Network analysis of an online community. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*
- [27] Qi, Y., Shabrina, Z.: Sentiment analysis using twitter data: a comparative application of lexicon-and machine-learning-based approach. *Social Network Analysis and Mining* (2023)
- [28] Tang, J., Chang, Y., Aggarwal, C., Liu, H.: A survey of signed network mining in social media. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)* (2016)
- [29] Traag, V.A., Waltman, L., Van Eck, N.J.: From louvain to leiden: guaranteeing well-connected communities. *Scientific reports* (2019)
- [30] Van Dijck, J.: 'you have one identity': Performing the self on facebook and linkedin. *Media, culture & society* (2013)
- [31] Watts, D.J., Strogatz, S.H.: Collective dynamics of 'small-world' networks. *nature* (1998)
- [32] Yang, C.: Research in the instagram context: Approaches and methods. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research* (2021)
- [33] Zafarani, R., Abbasi, M.A., Liu, H.: *Social media mining: an introduction*. Cambridge University Press (2014)
- [34] Zignani, M., Gaito, S., Rossi, G.P.: Follow the "mastodon": Structure and evolution of a decentralized online social network. In: *ICWSM* (2018)




Ujun Jeong served as a Captain in the Republic of Korea Air Force, specializing in information and communications. He received his B.Eng. in Computer Engineering from Sejong University and his M.Sc. in Computer Science, focusing on Natural Language Processing, from Kyungpook National University. He is currently pursuing a Ph.D. in Computer Science at Arizona State University. His research interests include social media mining, cross-platform analysis, and sociolinguistic analysis to understand societal phenomena.



Bohan Jiang received the B.Sc. and M.Sc. degrees in computer science from Arizona State University, in 2019 and 2020, respectively. He is currently working toward a Ph.D. degree in computer science at Arizona State University. His research interests include data mining, natural language processing, and social computing.



Zhen Tan received the B.Sc. degree in Electrical Engineering from Huazhong University of Science and Technology and the M.Sc. degree in Electrical and Computer Engineering from Carnegie Mellon University, in 2019 and 2021, respectively. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D degree in Computer Science at Arizona State University. His research interests include data mining, machine learning and natural language processing, with a focus on the trustworthiness of those data-driven systems. He is a member of the ACM and IEEE.



H. Russell Bernard is a cultural anthropologist specializing in technology and social change, language death, and social network analysis. Bernard has done research or taught at universities in the U.S., Mexico, Greece, Japan, and Germany. He is a former editor of *Human Organization* and the *American Anthropologist* and is the founder and editor of the journal *Field Methods*. Bernard's books include "Social Research Methods": Qualitative and "Quantitative Approaches", "Analyzing Qualitative Data: Systematic Approaches" with

Gery Ryan and Amber Wutich, and *Native Ethnography* with Jesus Salinas Pedraza. He was the 2003 recipient of the Franz Boas Award from the American Anthropological Association and is a member of the U.S. National Academy of Sciences.



Huan Liu (Fellow, IEEE) is a Regents Professor of Computer Science and Engineering with Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ, USA. His well-cited publications include books, book chapters, and encyclopedia entries and conference papers, and journal articles. His research interests include data mining, machine learning, social computing, and artificial intelligence, investigating problems that arise in many real-world applications with high-dimensional data of disparate forms. Dr. Liu is also a fellow of ACM, AAAI, and AAAS