

# Speech-based Mark for Data Sonification

Yichun Zhao  
yichunzhao@uvic.ca  
University of Victoria  
Victoria, BC, Canada

Jingyi Lu  
jingyilu@uvic.ca  
University of Victoria  
Victoria, BC, Canada

Miguel A. Nacenta  
nacenta@uvic.ca  
University of Victoria  
Victoria, BC, Canada

## Abstract

Sonification serves as a powerful tool for data accessibility, especially for people with vision loss. Among various modalities, speech is a familiar means of communication similar to the role of text in visualization. However, speech-based sonification is underexplored. We introduce *SpeechTone*, a novel speech-based mark for data sonification and extension to the existing *Erie* declarative grammar for sonification. It encodes data into speech attributes such as pitch, speed, voice and speech content. We demonstrate the efficacy of *SpeechTone* through three examples.

## CCS Concepts

• **Human-centered computing** → **Accessibility**.

## Keywords

Data Accessibility, Speech, Sonification

## ACM Reference Format:

Yichun Zhao, Jingyi Lu, and Miguel A. Nacenta. 2024. Speech-based Mark for Data Sonification. In *The 26th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility (ASSETS '24)*, October 27–30, 2024, St. John's, NL, Canada. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3663548.3688514>

## 1 Introduction

Data accessibility is a critical issue in today's data-driven world. This is particularly true for audiences with vision loss, for whom traditional visual data representations might not be accessible. While alternative methods such as alt-text or data tables can provide some level of accessibility, they often lack the richness and intuitiveness of the original data representation (e.g., [7, 34]). In this context, sonification can serve as a powerful tool and a transformative technique that converts data into auditory experiences. Kim et al. [17] and Enge et al. [10] have applied the principles of information visualization to structure and improve the auditory representation of data, drawing an analogy between an auditory tone and a visual mark (which is a basic unit to represent data). However, the use of sonification still has its challenges [3, 22]. While there are numerous tools available for sonification, they often require users to learn new ways of interpreting data. This learning curve can pose a barrier to accessibility, potentially limiting the reach and effectiveness of sonification.

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for third-party components of this work must be honored. For all other uses, contact the owner/author(s).

ASSETS '24, October 27–30, 2024, St. John's, NL, Canada

© 2024 Copyright held by the owner/author(s).

ACM ISBN 979-8-4007-0677-6/24/10

<https://doi.org/10.1145/3663548.3688514>

To help overcome such challenges, we propose a speech-based mark for sonification. Speech holds significant potential as a method of sonification. It is a common modality that we use every day, making it a familiar and accessible means of communication. For individuals with vision loss, speech can serve as a natural and intuitive way to interact with data, especially for those who are familiar with screen readers. Speech has been used as a basic modality to convey labels or raw data in sonification, but we find opportunities in directly manipulating characteristics of speech to enhance the expressiveness of speech sonification. For instance, the JAWS screen reader [29] pronounces the accessible header of a spreadsheet column with a reduced pitch, a distinct tonal variation that helps users understand that it signifies a particular meaning. In our work, we leverage and extend the declarative grammar for sonification, *Erie* [17], and present a new speech-based mark for sonification, *SpeechTone*, which enhances the computation of auditory data representations. Additionally, we provide demonstrations of *SpeechTone*.

## 2 Related Work

Various sonification tools and toolkits exist [8, 9, 12, 20, 23, 26, 28, 33, 35, 39], and a recent review [17] has provided a thorough walkthrough of the audio encoding and features these tools support. However, most of them do not support speech. The ones that support speech are usually developed for sonification in data visualizations such as charts [1, 11, 13, 30, 31, 40, 41], maps [2, 4, 15, 16, 27, 37], and other graphics and diagrams [6, 14, 18, 24, 25, 36, 38]. These tools provide speech as a method to communicate fundamental data by directly vocalizing it, or by articulating the associated labels. Yet, speech-based encoding remains unexplored.

*Erie* [17] is a declarative programming grammar and a JavaScript library designed for data sonification. It allows users to directly express audio attribute mappings via specifications, supporting various editable channels for mapping individual data attributes with unique modifications. This approach simplifies the process of data sonification, making it more accessible to users who may not be familiar with sound synthesis methods. *Erie* also supports data manipulation and transformation, a feature missed by previous sonification tools. We use the developmental framework of *Erie* and extend it to include the *SpeechTone* mark.

## 3 *SpeechTone* Design

Data visualization commonly uses text as marks [5] (e.g., infotypography [19], tables, and bar charts annotated with numbers) which serve as a key method for representing and communicating data visually. The design space of text allows for the representation of additional information via text labels in visualizations. Analogously, we design the speech-based mark, *SpeechTone*, to leverage auditory

channels to convey information instead of visual cues, providing a more direct layer of access to data.

The design of *SpeechTone* followed an iterative process. We considered various attributes and marks for speech-based sonification and provided an initial design. We tested it with a low-fidelity version using canonical datasets and iterated on the design, making necessary adjustments and improvements.

We implemented the *SpeechTone* mark by extending the *Erie* grammar to include it as an alternative approach to data mapping using speech. Conceptually, *SpeechTone* allows for auditory encoding through speech attributes such as pitch, loudness, duration, speed, voice or timbre and text content (to be read out).

There are currently four possible speech channels implemented by modifying the *Erie* library: “SpeechTonePitch”, “SpeechToneSpeed”, “SpeechToneVoice” and “SpeechToneText”, which encode data into speech pitch, rate, voice and text content respectively (Refer to Section 4 for their specifications):

- “SpeechTonePitch”: This channel adjusts the speech pitch. It can range between 0 (lowest) and 2 (highest), with 1 being the default pitch of the voice.
- “SpeechToneSpeed”: This channel modulates the speech rate. It can range between 0.1 (lowest) and 10 (highest), with 1 being the default rate.
- “SpeechToneVoice”: This channel enables different voice timbres using the Web Speech API in *Erie*. Conceptually, it can be further broken down into high-level categories such as gender and accents, but the voice selections depend on the platform where the API is used. Its range specifies the voice IDs unique to the platform.
- “SpeechToneText”: This channel specifies the text to be read out. If its value is a data attribute name (e.g., “year”, “age”, “date” in a dataset), then the data of the attribute is vocalized. If no attribute is matched, *SpeechTone* will directly read the text specified in this channel.

To use *SpeechTone* as part of the extended *Erie* grammar, we specify *SpeechTone* by introducing it as a new type in the “Tone” JSON object and declaring new channels in the “Encoding” JSON object which specifies the encodings with examples provided in Section 4. In *Erie*, a tone in sonification is analogous to a mark in visualization.

```
"tone": {
  "continued": false,
  "type": "speechtone"
}
```

## 4 Demonstrations

To illustrate the efficacy of *SpeechTone*, we employed the “Cars” dataset, a common example used in *Vega* [32], and sonified it using diverse strategies. We selected this dataset also because it includes multiple types of data (nominal, numerical, time-based and text-based). The “Cars” dataset contains various attributes of cars, such as model name, miles per gallon, horsepower, and year of production (Refer to Table 1 for a tabular snippet of it). We present three demonstrations with both audio samples (in supplementary material) and code samples (in JSON format supported by *Erie*), targeted

at the encodings supported by *SpeechTone*. (We used Chromium-based browsers such as Edge or Chrome to demonstrate; there exist differences in the voices supported by different platforms.)

### 4.1 Demo 1: Encoding Number of Car Models Produced per Origin to Speech Pitch<sup>1</sup>

```
"encoding": {
  "time": {
    "field": "Origin",
    "type": "nominal"
  },
  "SpeechToneText": {
    "value": "Origin"
  },
  "SpeechTonePitch": {
    "aggregate": "count",
    "type": "quantitative",
    "scale": { "range": [0.75, 2.0] }
  }
}
```

We are interested in the number of car models produced per origin. Because this data is not directly provided in the dataset, we utilize the aggregation feature from *Erie* to count the models. We encode the nominal attribute “Origin” into the time and “SpeechToneText” channels, such that the values of “Origin” are read out via speech in an order defined in the dataset (because it is nominal and does not have inherent order). We also encode the count of car models (per origin) into the pitch of speech with a range between 75% and 200% relative to the default pitch. When we play the speech sonification, the origins (e.g., “Japan”, “Europe”, etc.) are spoken linearly in time and pitched differently depending on the count of models from that origin. The country with the most number of car models sounds the highest in pitch.

### 4.2 Demo 2: Encoding Numbers of Car Models Produced per Year to Speech Rate<sup>2</sup>

```
"encoding": {
  "time": {
    "field": "Year",
    "type": "quantitative"
  },
  "SpeechToneText": {
    "value": "Year"
  },
  "SpeechToneSpeed": {
    "aggregate": "count",
    "type": "quantitative",
    "scale": { "range": [1.2, 4.0] }
  }
}
```

<sup>1</sup>Supplementary Material: /Demo/Demo\_1\_Num-Car-Models-Per-Origin\_Pitch.mp3

<sup>2</sup>Supplementary Material: /Demo/Demo\_2\_Num-Car-Models-Per-Year\_Rate.mp3

**Table 1: Snippet of the “Cars” dataset in tabular format.**

Name	Miles_per_Gallon	Cylinders	Displacement	Horsepower	Weight_in_lbs	Acceleration	Year	Origin
...								
datsun 1200	35	4	72	69	1613	18	1971	Japan
volkswagen model 111	27	4	97	60	1834	19	1971	Europe
plymouth cricket	26	4	91	70	1955	20.5	1971	USA
toyota corona hardtop	24	4	113	95	2278	15.5	1972	Japan
dodge colt hardtop	25	4	97.5	80	2126	17	1972	USA
volkswagen type 3	23	4	97	54	2254	23.5	1972	Europe
...								

We now want to know the trend of changes in the number of car models produced per year. We encode the quantitative attribute “Year” into the time and “SpeechToneText” channels, such that the values of “Year” are read out via speech sequentially. We also encode the count of car models each year into speech rate with a range between 120% and 400% relative to the default rate. When we play the speech sonification, the years (e.g., “1971”, “1972”, etc.) are spoken linearly in time with different rates depending on the count of models per year. The year spoken the fastest corresponds to the year with the highest number of car models produced.

### 4.3 Demo 3: Encoding Fuel Efficiency to Time and Origin to Voice<sup>3</sup>

```

"encoding": {
  "time": {
    "field": "Miles_per_Gallon",
    "type": "quantitative"
  },
  "SpeechToneText": {
    "value": "Name"
  },
  "SpeechToneVoice": {
    "field": "Origin",
    "type": "nominal",
    "scale": { "range": [65, 34, 0] }
  }
}

```

The goal of this example is to know what the most efficient car models were produced in 1982 measured by miles per gallon and which origin they were from. We encode the model names as the text content to be spoken out. We encode miles per gallon to time so that the data values are ordered by increasing fuel efficiency. We encode the three origins in voice and specify which voice we want to map. We map cars from Japan to a Japanese accent (voice ID 65 via Web Speech API on Chromium), Europe to a female voice (voice ID 34) and USA to the default male voice (voice ID 0). The sonification consists of car model names spoken in the increasing order of fuel efficiency with different voices depending on the origins.

<sup>3</sup>Supplementary Material: /Demo/Demo\_3\_Fuel-Efficiency\_Origin\_Voice.mp3

## 5 Discussion

In this section, we discuss the interrelation of speech-based encodings and the benefits and limitations of *SpeechTone*.

### 5.1 Relationships between Speech-based Encodings

Duration and Speed are not independent of each other and therefore cannot be mapped at the same time. Pitch can also play a significant role in our perception of a speaker’s gender. Higher pitches are often associated with female voices, while lower pitches are typically linked to male voices. This association can add a layer of complexity when considering the timbre of a voice in combination of the pitch channel. These intricate relationships between pitch, duration, speed and timbre make auditory encoding rich and complex, allowing *SpeechTone* to leverage these relationships to create a multi-dimensional auditory experience.

### 5.2 Benefits of *SpeechTone*

One of the key benefits of *SpeechTone* is its ability to effectively condense information through speech encodings. The *Erie* grammar originally uses speech to announce the mappings before the actual sonification to help users understand the sonification. By encoding data directly into speech attributes, one does not need to spend the time to listen to auditory cues to have contextual awareness of data labelling, potentially increasing the efficiency of sonification.

Additionally, by introducing the *SpeechTone* mark, we enable redundant encodings to attributes of both speech and musical tones. Such redundant encodings offer benefits such as reinforcing memory and combining numeric and auditory speech representations (similar to how FatFont [21] combines numeric and visual representations).

### 5.3 Limitations and Future Work

Further exploration is needed to examine the ranking of speech attributes in relation to human hearing abilities. This could involve investigating how different speech attributes are perceived and processed by the human auditory system and how these attributes can be effectively used in *SpeechTone* to enhance data comprehension. While the three examples in Section 4 demonstrate the capabilities of *SpeechTone*’s design, empirical validation is needed to confirm

its effectiveness and representativeness across a broader range of data analysis tasks with screen-reader users. It would also be beneficial to investigate how *SpeechTone* can be integrated with other sensory feedback mechanisms to provide a more comprehensive and immersive data analysis experience.

Future work could additionally focus on improving the interactive capabilities of *SpeechTone*, such as gazing, highlighting and zooming. Functionalities like real-time modification of encoding and search can also be beneficial.

## 6 Conclusion

We present a speech-based mark for sonification, *SpeechTone*, an extension to the *Erie* grammar, which introduces speech attributes as a new method for data mapping. This approach enhances the expressiveness and efficiency of sonification by directly encoding data into speech attributes such as pitch, speed, voice and text content. We demonstrate *SpeechTone* through three examples. However, further exploration and empirical validation are needed to fully understand the potential of *SpeechTone* in various data analysis tasks.

## Supplementary Material

The supplementary material provided with this paper includes several resources to help readers understand, replicate and extend the demonstrations discussed:

- Demo: This directory contains three speech sonification samples, each corresponding to a specific demonstration described in Section 4.
- SpeechTone-Erie-Demo.zip: This is a sample project that creates the three speech demonstrations using *SpeechTone*.
- SpeechTone-Erie-Source.zip: This is the source code for the extended *Erie* library, which incorporates *SpeechTone*.

## References

- [1] Md Zubair Ibne Alam, Shehnaz Islam, and Enamul Hoque. 2023. SeeChart: Enabling Accessible Visualizations Through Interactive Natural Language Interface For People with Visual Impairments. (Feb 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3581641.3584099> arXiv:2302.07742 [cs].
- [2] Jérémy Albuouys-Perrois, Jérémy Laviole, Carine Briant, and Anke M. Brock. 2018. Towards a Multisensory Augmented Reality Map for Blind and Low Vision People: a Participatory Design Approach. In *Proceedings of the 2018 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Montreal QC Canada, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3173574.3174203>
- [3] FLOE Project at OCAD University. [n. d.]. Inclusive Learning Design Handbook: What is Sonification? <https://handbook.floeproject.org/approaches/sonification/>
- [4] Sina Bahram. 2013. Multimodal eyes-free exploration of maps: TIKISI for maps. *ACM SIGACCESS Accessibility and Computing* 106 (Jun 2013), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2505401.2505402>
- [5] Richard Brath. 2020. *Visualizing with Text*. CRC Press. <https://books.google.ca/books?id=dAoHEAAQBAJ>
- [6] Andy Brown, Steve Pettifer, and Robert Stevens. 2003. Evaluation of a non-visual molecule browser. In *Proceedings of the 6th international ACM SIGACCESS conference on Computers and accessibility (Assets '04)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 40–47. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1028630.1028639>
- [7] Sanjana Shivani Chintalapati, Jonathan Bragg, and Lucy Lu Wang. 2022. A Dataset of Alt Texts from HCI Publications: Analyses and Uses Towards Producing More Descriptive Alt Texts of Data Visualizations in Scientific Papers. In *Proceedings of the 24th International ACM SIGACCESS Conference on Computers and Accessibility (ASSETS '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3517428.3544796>
- [8] Benjamin K. Davison and Bruce N. Walker. 2007. Sonification Sandbox Reconstruction: Software Standard for Auditory Graphs. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Auditory Display*. Montréal, Canada, 4. <http://sonify.psych.gatech.edu/publications/pdfs/2007ICAD-DavisonWalker.pdf>
- [9] Kajetan Enge, Alexander Rind, Michael Iber, Robert Höldrich, and Wolfgang Aigner. 2022. Towards Multimodal Exploratory Data Analysis: SoniScope as a Prototypical Implementation. (2022), 5 pages. <https://doi.org/10.2312/EVS.20221095>
- [10] Kajetan Enge, Alexander Rind, Michael Iber, Robert Höldrich, and Wolfgang Aigner. 2023. Towards a unified terminology for sonification and visualization. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing* (Aug. 2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-023-01720-5>
- [11] Danyang Fan, Alexa Fay Siu, Wing-Sum Adrienne Law, Raymond Ruihong Zhen, Sile O'Modhrain, and Sean Follmer. 2022. Slide-Tone and Tilt-Tone: 1-DOF Haptic Techniques for Conveying Shape Characteristics of Graphs to Blind Users. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517790> Citation Key: fan-SlideToneTiltTone1DOF-2022b.
- [12] Ciaran Frame. [n. d.]. BOTSOT: A Generative Sonification Toolbox. Available at <https://github.com/ciaranframe/botsot>.
- [13] Leona M Holloway, Cagatay Goncu, Alon Ilisar, Matthew Butler, and Kim Marriott. 2022. Infosonics: Accessible Infographics for People who are Blind using Sonification and Voice. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517465> Citation Key: holloway-InfosonicsAccessibleInfographics-2022.
- [14] Mirko Horstmann\*, Martin Lorenz, A Watkowski, G Ioannidis, Otthein Herzog, Alasdair King, D Gareth Evans, Cornelius Hagen, Christoph Schlieder, A-M Burn, et al. 2004. Automated interpretation and accessible presentation of technical diagrams for blind people. *New Review of Hypermedia and Multimedia* 10, 2 (2004), 141–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13614560512331326017>
- [15] Nikolaos Kaklanis, Konstantinos Votis, and Dimitrios Tzovaras. 2013. A mobile interactive maps application for a visually impaired audience. In *Proceedings of the 10th International Cross-Disciplinary Conference on Web Accessibility (W4A '13)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2461121.2461152>
- [16] Shaun K. Kane, Meredith Ringel Morris, Annuska Z. Perkins, Daniel Wigdor, Richard E. Ladner, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2011. Access overlays: improving non-visual access to large touch screens for blind users. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology (UIST '11)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 273–282. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2047196.2047232>
- [17] Hyeok Kim, Yea-Seul Kim, and Jessica Hullman. 2024. Erie: A Declarative Grammar for Data Sonification. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Honolulu HI USA, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642442>
- [18] Alasdair King, Paul Blenkhorn, David Crombie, Sijo Dijkstra, Gareth Evans, and John Wood. 2004. Presenting UML Software Engineering Diagrams to Blind People. In *Computers Helping People with Special Needs (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Klaus Miesenberger, Joachim Klaus, Wolfgang L. Zagler, and Dominque Burger (Eds.). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 522–529. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27817-7\\_76](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-27817-7_76)
- [19] Johannes Lang and Miguel A. Nacenta. 2022. Perception of letter glyph parameters for InfoTypography. *ACM Transactions on Graphics* 41, 4 (July 2022), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3528223.3530111>
- [20] Hans Lindetorp and Kjetil Falkenberg. 2021. Sonification for Everyone Everywhere - Evaluating the WebAudioXML Sonification Toolkit for Browsers. In *Proceedings of the 26th International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD 2021)*. International Community for Auditory Display, Virtual Conference, 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.21785/icad2021.009>
- [21] Miguel Nacenta, Uta Hinrichs, and Sheelagh Carpendale. 2012. FatFonts: combining the symbolic and visual aspects of numbers. In *Proceedings of the International Working Conference on Advanced Visual Interfaces (AVI '12)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 407–414. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2254556.2254636>
- [22] John G. Neuhoff. 2019. Is Sonification Doomed to Fail?. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD 2019)*. Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, 327–330. <https://doi.org/10.21785/icad2019.069>
- [23] Sandra Pualetto and Andy Hunt. 2004. A Toolkit for Interactive Sonification. In *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Auditory Display*. 7. [https://www.academia.edu/35199105/A\\_Toolkit\\_for\\_Interactive\\_Sonification](https://www.academia.edu/35199105/A_Toolkit_for_Interactive_Sonification)
- [24] Helen Petrie, Neil King, Anne-Marie Burn, and Peter Pavan. 2006. Providing interactive access to architectural floorplans for blind people. *British Journal of Visual Impairment* 24, 1 (Jan 2006), 4–11. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0264619606060010>
- [25] Helen Petrie, Christoph Schlieder, Paul Blenkhorn, Gareth Evans, Alasdair King, Anne-Marie O'Neill, George T. Ioannidis, Blaithe Gallagher, David Crombie, Rolf Mager, and Maurizio Alafaci. 2002. TeDUB: A System for Presenting and Exploring Technical Drawings for Blind People. In *Computers Helping People with Special Needs (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Klaus Miesenberger, Joachim Klaus, and Wolfgang Zagler (Eds.). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 537–539. [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45491-8\\_102](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-45491-8_102)

- [26] Sean Phillips and Andrés Cabrera. 2019. Sonification Workstation. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Auditory Display (ICAD 2019)*. Department of Computer and Information Sciences, Northumbria University, Newcastle upon Tyne, 184–190. <https://doi.org/10.21785/icad2019.056>
- [27] Benjamin Poppinga, Charlotte Magnusson, Martin Pielot, and Kirsten Rasmus-Gröhn. 2011. TouchOver map: audio-tactile exploration of interactive maps. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Human Computer Interaction with Mobile Devices and Services*. ACM, Stockholm Sweden, 545–550. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2037373.2037458>
- [28] Dennis Reinsch and Thomas Hermann. 2023. Interacting with Sonifications: The Mesonic Framework for Interactive Auditory Data Science. *Zenodo* (Jan 2023). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.755224>
- [29] Freedom Scientific. [n. d.]. JAWS®. <https://www.freedomscientific.com/products/software/jaws>
- [30] Ather Sharif, Olivia H. Wang, Alida T. Muongchan, Katharina Reinecke, and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2022. VoxLens: Making Online Data Visualizations Accessible with an Interactive JavaScript Plug-In. In *Proceedings of the 2022 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '22)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517431>
- [31] John R Thompson, Jesse J Martinez, Alper Sarikaya, Edward Cutrell, and Bongshin Lee. 2023. Chart Reader: Accessible Visualization Experiences Designed with Screen Reader Users. In *Proceedings of the 2023 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '23)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3544548.3581186>
- [32] Vega. [n. d.]. Vega Datasets. <https://github.com/vega/vega-datasets>
- [33] Bruce N. Walker and Joshua T. Cothran. 2003. Sonification Sandbox: A Graphical Toolkit for Auditory Graphs. In *Proceedings of the 2003 International Conference on Auditory Display*. Boston, MA, USA. <http://sonify.psych.gatech.edu/publications/pdfs/2003ICAD-WalkerCothran-Sandbox.pdf>
- [34] Yanan Wang, Ruobin Wang, Crescentia Jung, and Yea-Seul Kim. 2022. What makes web data tables accessible? Insights and a tool for rendering accessible tables for people with visual impairments. In *CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, New Orleans LA USA, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517469>
- [35] David Worrall, Michael Bylstra, Stephen Barrass, and Roger Dean. 2007. SoniPy: The Design of an Extendable Software Framework for Sonification Research and Auditory Display. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Auditory Display*. Montréal, Canada. [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254057374\\_SONIPY\\_THE\\_DESIGN\\_OF\\_AN\\_EXTENDABLE\\_SOFTWARE\\_FRAMEWORK\\_FOR\\_SONIFICATION\\_RESEARCH\\_AND\\_AUDITORY\\_DISPLAY](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/254057374_SONIPY_THE_DESIGN_OF_AN_EXTENDABLE_SOFTWARE_FRAMEWORK_FOR_SONIFICATION_RESEARCH_AND_AUDITORY_DISPLAY)
- [36] Zhuohao Zhang and Jacob O. Wobbrock. 2022. A11yBoard: Using Multimodal Input and Output to Make Digital Artboards Accessible to Blind Users. In *Adjunct Proceedings of the 35th Annual ACM Symposium on User Interface Software and Technology (UIST '22 Adjunct)*. Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3526114.3558695>
- [37] Haixia Zhao, Catherine Plaisant, Ben Shneiderman, and Jonathan Lazar. 2008. Data Sonification for Users with Visual Impairment: A Case Study with Georeferenced Data. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction* 15, 1 (May 2008), 4:1–4:28. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1352782.1352786>
- [38] Yichun Zhao, Miguel A Nacenta, Mahadeo A Sukhai, and Sowmya Somanath. 2024. TADA: Making Node-link Diagrams Accessible to Blind and Low-Vision People. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '24)*, May 11–16, 2024, Honolulu, HI, USA. ACM, Honolulu, HI, USA. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642222>
- [39] Yichun Zhao and George Tzanetakis. 2022. Interactive Sonification for Health and Energy using ChucK and Unity. In *Proceedings of the Conference on Sonification of Health and Environmental Data (SoniHED 2022)*. Zenodo. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7243950>
- [40] Jonathan Zong, Crystal Lee, Alan Lundgard, JiWoong Jang, Daniel Hajas, and Arvind Satyanarayan. 2022. Rich Screen Reader Experiences for Accessible Data Visualization. *Computer Graphics Forum* 41, 3 (2022), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cgf.14519>
- [41] Jonathan Zong, Isabella Pedraza Pineros, Mengzhu (Katie) Chen, Daniel Hajas, and Arvind Satyanarayan. 2024. Umwelt: Accessible Structured Editing of Multi-Modal Data Representations. In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, Honolulu HI USA, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3641996>