

Annex X: Internal control monitoring criteria results for 2023 (Released Q1 2024)

This Annex provides a list of qualitative and/or quantitative indicators for each of the 17 internal control principles laid out by the Internal Control Framework that was applied by the EUAA through Management Board Decision No. 42 of 21 December 2018.

These indicators shall assist the EUAA Management in measuring the effective implementation of internal control principles within the Agency's Internal Control System.

Management agreed that, for all performance monitoring criteria targets, the measured value should be:

- achieved the difference between the target and the actual results is not more than 2 percentage points.
- partially achieved the target value was not achieved, but either the difference between the target and the actual results is not more than 20 percentage points or in case of a specific control measure most steps have been accomplished and it is planned to be implemented within 6 months from the assessment.
- not achieved the difference between the target and the actual results is more than 20 percentage points.
- in progress the indicator is not yet measured during the period of assessment, either because the EUAA Staff Engagement Survey will be performed later during the year, or the business owner will make the calculation at a later stage during the year.
- not applicable the indicator is not measured during the period of assessment, either because the assessment is performed every 2 years (for example the EUAA Staff Engagement Survey whereby to Commission services analogy is implemented every 2 years), or the data collected to measure the indicator is not representative.

The conclusions are reached by comparing the target value for 2023 with the achievement of indicators.

Legend:

Target indicator achieved (Y)
Target indicator partially achieved (PA)
Target indicator not achieved (N)
Target indicator not applicable (N/A)
Target indicator in progress



Principle 1: The Agency demonstrates a commitment to integrity and ethical values.

Nº	Indicators	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
1.1.	Tone at the top - The Ma	nagement Board ('MB'), the Execu	tive Director ('ED',) and all manag	ement levels respect	integrity and ethical ve	alues in their ins	tructions, actio	ns and behaviour.
1.1.1	% of staff agree that at EUAA we take decisions and undertake necessary actions with integrity	((Total replies – (replies consisting of: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer)) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	71.91% Agree/ fully agree 6%: Disagree/ fully disagree 22%: Neutral answer¹	60% Agree/ fully agree	44% Agree/ fully agree 28%: Disagree/ fully disagree 32%: Neutral answer ²	60% Agree/ fully agree	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
1.2	Standards of conduct – 7 outsourced service provide		I ity and ethical val		by analogy to those :	standards of conduct o	f the Commissio	n and are unde	erstood at all levels of the organisation, as well as by entrusted bodies,
	% of staff that participated in trainings on "ethics and integrity" and on "respect and dignity" ³	(Staff no. participating in training / total staff no.) x 100	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	N 16% Respect and Dignity 20% Ethics and Integrity ⁴	65%	N 42% Respect and Dignity 47% Ethics and Integrity ⁵	75%	HRU	Target indicator not achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
1.3.	Alignment with standard	s – Processes are in place to assess		als and departn	nents are aligned by (analogy with the Comn	nission's expecte	d standards of	conduct and to address deviations in a timely manner.
1.3.1	(Y/N) Annual up-date / reminder of declaration of interests for MB members	Check	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Υ	Υ	Υ	MB Secretariat	Target indicator achieved for 2023.

¹ Of which, 19% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 2% preferred not to answer.

² Of which, 28% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 3% preferred not to answer.

³ Mandatory training for all EUAA staff; refresher course required for anyone who has not attended such training in the previous five years.

 $^{^{\}rm 4}$ Calculation was based on statutory staff and excludes staff still under probation.

⁵ Calculation was based on statutory staff and excludes staff still under probation.



Principle 2: MB demonstrates independence from management and exercises oversight of the development and performance of internal control

Nº 2.1.	Indicator The MB oversees govern channels.	Calculation method	of data to obtain value for indicato r	Baseline value 2023 al control practice	Target value 2023 es and takes overa	Measured value 2023 all political responsibili	Target value 2024 ty for manageme	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion The ED. This happens using appropriate working arrangements and communication
2.1.1	(Y/N) Regular reporting to the MB on general governance indicators for monitoring of the management carried out by the ED ⁶	Check	Self- assessm ent & Ex post controls	Y Quarterly	Y Quarterly	Y Quarterly	Y Quarterly	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
		<u> </u>							CC and other RAOs (as relevant). CC and other RAOs (as relevant).

⁶ The reporting is done in accordance with Management Board Decision No 71 of 18 March 2021 on EASO governance monitoring indicators and quarterly reporting (hereinafter 'MB Decision No 71').



Principle 3: Management establishes, with political oversight, structures, reporting lines, and appropriate authorities and responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
3.1.		res are comprehensive. The desi expenditure types, delivery med							es. In particular, for spending programmes, they cover all and control objectives.
3.1.1	(Y/N) The organisational chart is kept up to date, available on intranet and extranet and transparently communicated to all staff	Check	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
3.2.	Authorities and respo	nsibilities. The ED, as appropriat	te, delegates a	uthority and uses appr	ropriate processe	es and technology to assign re	sponsibility and :	segregate (duties as necessary at the various levels of the Agency.
3.2.1	% of staff stating that they can participate in the decisions that affect my work at the EUAA	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engageme nt survey	51.24% Agree/ fully agree 20%: Disagree/ fully disagree 29%: Neutral answer ⁷	55% Agree/ fully agree	39% Agree/ fully agree 35%: Disagree/ fully disagree 26%: Neutral answer8	55%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2022. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
3.3.	Reporting lines. The E	D designs and evaluates reporti	ng lines within	departments and with	entrusted entiti	es to enable the execution of a	authority, fulfilm	ent of resp	onsibilities, and flow of information.
3.3.1	% of staff that believe that the decision-making process is clear and that the escalation mechanisms are known and work as intended	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engageme nt survey	40.43% Agree/ fully agree 21%: Disagree/ fully disagree 39%: Neutral answer ⁹	50% Agree/ fully agree	25% Agree/ fully agree 47%: Disagree/ fully disagree 28%: Neutral answer ¹⁰	50%	HRU	Target indicator not achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.

⁷ Of which, 27.5% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 0.5% preferred not to answer.

⁸ Of which, 25% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 1% preferred not to answer.

⁹ Of which, 35% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 3% preferred not to answer.

¹⁰ Of which, 26% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 1% preferred not to answer.



Principle 4: The Agency demonstrates a commitment to attract, develop, and retain competent individuals in alignment with objectives

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
4.1.	Competence framework.	The ED defines the competences necessary to su	pport the achieve	ment of objectives and regu	ılarly evaluates th	em across the agency, tak	ing action to add	dress shortd	comings where necessary.
4.1.1	% of staff that believe that learning activities and training are promoted actively by the Agency	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	86.12% Agree/ fully agree 13%: Disagree/ fully disagree 11%: Neutral answer ¹¹	80% Agree/ fully agree	74% Agree/ fully agree 11%: Disagree/ fully disagree 15%: Neutral answer ¹²	80%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
4.2.	Professional development	t. The ED provides the training and coaching nee	eded to attract, de	velop, and retain a sufficier	nt number of comp	petent staff.			
4.2.1	% of statutory staff leaving the Agency before completing half of their contract ¹³	No. of staff who left from the Agency in a given year before completing the half of their contract / average no. of staff in a given year.	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	2.45%	5%	2.64%	<5%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023
4.2.2	% of staff that replies they agree that the learning opportunities they get help them to keep their technical knowledge and skills updated	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	71.60% Agree/ fully agree 8%: Disagree/ fully disagree 20%: Neutral answer ¹⁴	70%	62% Agree/ fully agree 15%: Disagree/ fully disagree 22%: Neutral answer ¹⁵	70%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target. EUAA reply is 14 points above the average of 10 EU-bodies.
4.3.		s and plans staff mobility so as to strike the righ		<u> </u>					
4.4. 4.4.1		deputizing arrangements for operational activition Check (through Sysper)	es and financial tro Self-	ansactions are in place to e 3.78%	nsure continuity o <5%	f operations. 4.13%	<5%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
4.4.1	below set targets ¹⁶	Check (tillough sysper)	assessment & Ex post controls	3.70%	\J/0	4.1370	\ 3/0	ПЛО	Target indicator acriieved for 2025.

¹¹ Of which, 10% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 0.5% preferred not to answer.

 $^{^{\}rm 12}$ Of which, 15% replied that they neither agree nor disagree.

¹³ Indicator 2.4 as per MB Decision No 71. For the purposes of calculating this indicator, HRU shall consider the standard five-year contract term and/or indefinite employment contracts. Other contracts of a shorter duration should not be considered when calculating this indicator.

¹⁴ Of which, 18.5% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 0.5% preferred not to answer.

¹⁵ Of which, 21% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable.

¹⁶ Indicator 2.3 as per MB Decision No 71.



Principle 5: The Agency holds individuals accountable for their internal control responsibilities in the pursuit of objectives

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
5.1.	Enforcing accountability. The A implementation of corrective a	 Agency defines clear roles and responsibility ction as necessary		iduals and entrusted entities	accountable for th	ne performance of internal co	ontrol responsib	ilities acros	ss the organisation and for the
5.1.1	% of staff that feel responsible for their actions and output within the EUAA	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	96.30% Agree/ fully agree 1%: Disagree/ fully disagree 3%: Neutral answer ¹⁷	80% Agree/ fully agree	93% Agree/ fully agree 2%: Disagree/ fully disagree 5%: Neutral answer ¹⁸	80%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023. EUAA reply is 38 points above the average of 10 EU-bodies.
5.1.2 5.2.	% of staff that state to be proud to work for the EUAA Staff appraisal Staff efficiency	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	78.39% Agree/ fully agree 2%: Disagree/ fully disagree 20%: Neutral answer ¹⁹	80% Agree/ fully agree	65% Agree/ fully agree 8%: Disagree/ fully disagree 27%: Neutral answer ²⁰	80%	HRU propriately	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target
5.3.		decided after consideration of the compare					ormance are ap	ргорписсту	duuresseu.
5.3.1	% of staff that acknowledges being rewarded fairly when compared to others in the organisation doing similar work.	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100	Staff engagement survey	49.07% Agree/ fully agree 19%: Disagree/ fully disagree	50% Agree/ fully agree	51% Agree/ fully agree 22%: Disagree/ fully disagree	50%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
		(resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)		32%: Neutral answer ²¹		27%: Neutral answer ²²			

¹⁷ Of which, 3% replied that they neither agree nor disagree.

 $^{^{\}rm 18}$ Of which, 5% replied that they neither agree nor disagree.

¹⁹ Of which, 18% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 2% preferred not to answer.

²⁰ Of which, 23.51% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 3.76% preferred not to answer.

²¹ Of which, 27% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 2% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 3% preferred not to answer.

²² Of which, 21.32% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 3.13% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 2.19% preferred not to answer.



Principle 6: The Agency specifies objectives with sufficient clarity to enable the identification and assessment of risks relating to objectives

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
6.1.						at are aligned across all hierd ectives set in the legal base.	ırchical levels, (down to the	e tasks and objectives assigned to individual staff members. Mission
6.1.1	% of staff that believe that EUAA Management gives EUAA staff a clear picture of the mission and goals/ objectives of the EUAA	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	61.73% Agree/ fully agree 8%: Disagree/ fully disagree 31%: Neutral answer ²³	55%	37% Agree/ fully agree 29%: Disagree/ fully disagree 34%: Neutral answer ²⁴	55%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
6.2.	-	It every level. The Agency levels of the organisation					es in priorities,	activities a	nd/or the organigram). They are consistently filtered down from top
6.2.1	(Y/N) Each Unit/Sector has established and documented its mission, main objectives and key performance indicators	Check	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
6.3.	Objectives are set f		tivities. Objectives	s ²⁵ and indicato	ors ²⁶ cover the Age	ncy's most significant activiti	es contributing	to the deli	ivery of the Agency's priorities relating to the core business, as well as
6.3.1	% of staff that state that their line manager helps them to understand EUAA's strategy and objectives and how their job contributes to these	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100	Staff engagement survey	68.52% Agree/ fully agree 12%: Disagree/ fully disagree	70%	62% Agree/ fully agree 15%: Disagree/ fully disagree 23%: Neutral answer ²⁸	70%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target. EUAA result is by 4 points higher than average of 10 EU-bodies

²³ Of which, 27% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 2% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 2% preferred not to answer.

²⁴ Of which, 31% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 2% preferred not to answer.

²⁵ Objectives must be SMART (specific, measurable, achievable, relevant and time-bound).

²⁶ Indicators must be RACER (relevant, accepted, credible, easy to monitor and robust).

²⁸ Of which, 22% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 1% preferred not to answer.



Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
		(resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)		19%: Neutral answer ²⁷					
6.4.	Objectives form the	e basis for committing res	ources. Manager	nent uses the o	objectives set as a	basis for allocating available	resources as n	eeded to a	chieve policy, operational and financial performance goals.
6.4.1	% of managers (extended management) agreeing that the SPD reflects the Agency's priorities	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100	Staff engagement survey	NA	60% Agree/ fully agree	57% Agree/ fully agree 10%: Disagree/ fully disagree 33%: Neutral answer ²⁹	60%	BSSU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
		(resulting in agree and fully agree % replies).							
6.5	Financial reporting	objectives. Financial repo	rting objectives a	re consistent w	rith the accounting	principles applicable to the A	Agency.		
6.5.1	% of managers (extended management) that are of the opinion that internal financial reporting supports their financial management objectives	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies).	Staff engagement survey	NA	55%	57% Agree/ fully agree 13%: Disagree/ fully disagree 41%: Neutral answer ³⁰	55%	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
6.6.	Non-financial repor	ting objectives. Non-final	ncial reporting pro	vides manage	ment with accurat	e and complete information i	needed to man	age the or	ganisation at Agency, department and unit level.
6.6.1	% of managers (extended management) agree that non- financial internal	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/	Staff engagement survey	NA	55% Agree/ fully agree	41% Agree/ fully agree 20%: Disagree/ fully disagree	55%		Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.

²⁷ Of which, 17% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 2% preferred not to answer.

²⁹ Of which, 31% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 2% preferred not to answer.

³⁰ Of which, 32% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 9% preferred not to answer.



Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
	reporting provides management with accurate and complete information needed to manage the organisation at Agency, department and unit level	Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies).				39%: Neutral answer ³¹			
6.7.		materiality. When setting o account cost effectiven		gement defines	s the acceptable le	vels of variation relative to th	eir achieveme	nt (tolerar	oce for risk) as well as the appropriate level of materiality for reporting
6.8.	Monitoring. Setting	objectives and performa	nce indicators mak	ke it possible to	o monitor progress	s towards their achievement.			
6.8.1	% Achievement of pre-defined targets for objectives set in the SPD against pre-defined indicators ³²	((Total targets in the SPD for the end of Quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]-Targets not achieved by the Quarter) / Total targets in the SPD for the end of Quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]) x 100	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	83% ³³	>95%	78.67%	>95%	BSSU	Indicator Partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.

 $^{^{31}}$ Of which, 34% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; and 5% preferred not to answer.

³² Indicator 1.1 as per MB Decision No.71.

³³ The measured value includes exceeded, achieved, and nearly achieved (performance between 80% and 100% of the annual target) targets for objectives.



Principle 7: The Agency identifies risks to the achievement of its objectives across the organisation and analyses risks as a basis for determining how the risks should be managed

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
7.1.	Risk identification. The ED iden staff are involved in the process.		irious organisation	al levels (Depo	artments, Units, an	nd Sectors) and those related	to entrusted ei	ntities, anal	lysing internal and external factors. Management and
7.1.1	At least two workshops with risk management correspondents or relevant staff are run every year	Check	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	6	2	3	2	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
7.2	risk. The intensity of mitigating	controls is proportional to the si	ignificance of the r	isk.			ach one should	be manage	ed and whether to accept, avoid, reduce or share the
7.3	Risk identification and risk asse	essment are integrated into the a	innual activity plan	nning and are i	regularly monitore	d.			
7.3.1	% of implementation within the target deadline of mitigating measures against significant and critical risk identified during the risk management exercise ³⁴	((Total no. of mitigating measures of a year by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4] - implemented actions planned or in progress by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]) + (implemented measures ahead of target date)) / ((Total. Mitigating measures of a year) + (implemented measures ahead of target date)) x 100	Self- assessment & Ex post controls	80.4%	≥80%	94.6%	≥80%	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.

³⁴ Indicator 4.3 as per MB Decision No 71.



Principle 8: The Agency considers the potential for fraud in assessing risks to the achievement of objectives

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
8.1.		ication and assessment proce e information and corruption.	edures (see principle	7) consider pos	ssible incentives,	pressures, opportunities and	d attitudes whic	ch may lead	d to any type of fraud, notably fraudulent reporting, loss of
8.1.1	% of staff that participated in internal control and risk management (ICRM) training (including fraud prevention) ³⁵	((Total staff - staff not attended training) / total staff) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	56.70% ³⁶	50%	53%	50%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
8.2.									he financial interests of the EU. They do this by putting in with proportionate and dissuasive sanctions.
8.2.1	% of timely implementation of agreed mitigating actions in the Agency's Fraud Risk Register	((Total no. of mitigating measures of a year by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]-implemented actions planned or in progress by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]) + (implemented measures ahead of target date)) / ((Total. Mitigating measures of a year) + (implemented measures ahead of target date)) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	93%	85%	98.31%	85%	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.

³⁵ Mandatory training for all EUAA staff; refresher course required for anyone who has not attended such training in the last five years.

³⁶ Calculation was based on statutory staff and excludes staff still under probation.



Principle 9: The Agency identifies and assesses changes that could significantly impact the internal control system

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Data Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
9.1.	Assess changes. The risk idea	ntification process considers change	es in the internal an	d external envii	ronment, in polic	cies and operational priorities	, as well as in r	managemei	nt's attitude towards the internal control system.
9.1.1	% of staff that replied that they agree that at EUAA respond effectively to changes organisational, regulatory)	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	54.01% Agree/ fully agree 12%: Disagree/ fully disagree 34%: Neutral answer	50% Agree/ fully agree	40% Agree/ fully agree 29%: Disagree/ fully disagree 31%: Neutral answer ³⁷	50%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target. The EUAA reply is by 3 points higher that the average of 10 EU-bodies.

³⁷ Of which, 30% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 0.5% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 0.5% preferred not to answer.



Principle 10: The Agency selects and develops control activities that contribute to the mitigation of risks to the achievement of objectives to acceptable levels.

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
10.1.	Control activities are perfor proportional to the underlyi	•	d risks and are cost-	effective. They are tailore	ed to the specific	activities and risks of each of the A	Agency's Depart	tment/Unit	t/Sector and their intensity is
10.1.1	% of actors involved in the financial circuits (FIA, FVA, RAO ³⁸) having followed the minimum compulsory competence framework (ABAC, ELC, Internal Control and Fraud Prevention)	((Total staff in financial circuits - staff not attended training in financial circuits) / total staff in financial circuits) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	FIA – 94% av. in 3 training sessions FVA – 98% av. in 3 training sessions RAO – 94% av. in 3 training sessions ³⁹	85%	FIA – 89% av. in 3 training sessions FVA – 100% av. in 3 training sessions RAO – 93% av. in 3 training sessions ⁴¹	85%	HRU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
10.2.		ated in a control strategy. The			including super	vision arrangements, and where ap	ppropriate, sho	uld include	a balance of approaches to mitigate
10.2.1	(Y/N) Important and very important recommendations from IAS are not outstanding and delayed on its implementation for more than one year	Check	IAS reports	PA ⁴⁰	Y (100%)	PA ⁴¹	Y	ICCU	Indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.
10.2.2	(Y/N) ECA observations are not outstanding and delayed on its implementation for more than one year	Check	ECA reports	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
10.3.	Segregation of duties. When	n putting in place control med	asures, managemen	t considers whether duties	s are correctly di	vided between staff members to re	educe risks of e	rror and inc	appropriate or fraudulent actions.
10.4.						nd used by trained staff to ensure a recovery plans for time-sensitive			continue working to the extent possible (e.g., IT systems).
10.4.1	The Agency's Management performs reviews of the business continuity management system on a periodic basis based on reporting by the Business Continuity Coordinator	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Y (At least once a year)	Y	Y (At least once a year)	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.

³⁸ The training is compulsory for all the actors involved in financial circuits, nevertheless, the scope of this indicator has been prioritised to FIA, FVA and RAO.

³⁹ Calculation was based on statutory staff and excludes staff still under probation.

⁴⁰ 9 out of 11 (82%) implemented or still within the stipulated deadline. Only 2 (18%) are delayed beyond 1 year.

⁴¹ Out of total 13 (6 implemented and 5 on track, 85%), there are 2 significantly delayed recommendation, constituting 15 % delayed beyond 1 year. Result is based on the Agency's assessment 29/01/2024.



Principle 11: The Agency selects and develops general control activities over technology to support the achievement of objectives.

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
11.1.		y. In order to ensure that technology used in ies over the acquisition, development and m					account the o	verall corpo	prate processes, the ED selects and
11.1.1	% of IT projects that have a business case and have been agreed by EUAA Management. Once in production a business owner and IT steering committee are appointed.	((Total IT projects - IT projects without a business case) / total IT projects) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	In Progress	100%	In Progress	100%	ICTU	In Progress
11.2.		The ED applies appropriate controls to ensu ata protection, professional secrecy, availab			which they are t	the system owners. They do	so in accordar	nce with the	? IT security governance principles, in
11.2.1	% of applicable ABAC security controls access rights (8 for ABAC workflow and 3 for DWH) that EUAA is in full compliance with	((Total ABAC security controls access rights - ABAC security controls not in compliance with) /Total ABAC security controls access rights) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	90.91%	100 %	90.91% PA	100 %	ICTU	Indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target
11.2.2	% of compliance in the annual user rights review exercise.	((Total annual user rights review - annual user rights review not in compliance with) / Total annual user rights review) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	In Progress	90%	In Progress	90%	ICTU	In Progress



Principle 12: The Agency deploys control activities through corporate policies that establish what is expected, and in procedures that put policies into action.

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion			
12.1.	Appropriate control procedures ensure that objectives are achieved. The control procedures assign responsibility for control activities to the department or individual responsible for the risk in question. The staff member(s) put in charge perform the control activities in a timely manner and with due diligence, taking corrective action where needed. Management periodically reassesses the control procedures to ensure that they remain relevant.											
12.1.1	% of implemented mitigating controls associated with risks for the sensitive functions	((Total mitigating controls - mitigating controls not implemented) / Total mitigating controls) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	100%	90%	97.3%	90%	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.			
12.2.	Exception reporting is one of the management tools used to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of internal control and/or the changes needed in the internal control system. A system is in place to ensure that all instances of overriding controls or deviations from established processes and procedures are documented in exception reports (ex-ante) or non-compliance (ex-post). All instances of ex-ante overriding controls or deviations must be justified and approved before action is taken and logged centrally.											
12.2.1	% of the annual financial impact of exception and non- compliances over the total number of payment appropriations executed	((Total number of payment appropriations executed - annual financial impact) / Total number of payment appropriations executed) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	0.18%	<1.5%	0.33%	<1.5%	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.			
12.3.	The impact assessment and evaluation of expenditure programs, legislation and other non-spending activities are performed by analogy in accordance with the guiding principles of the Commission's better regulation guidelines, to assess the performance of EU interventions and analyses options and related impacts on new initiatives. ⁴²											
12.3.1	(Y/N) Where relevant, evaluations are implemented, and results followed up and reported in the CAARs.	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.			

⁴² In accordance with Article 29 of the Agency's Financial Regulation: "Programmes and activities that entail significant spending shall be subject to ex-ante and retrospective evaluation'), which shall be proportionate to the objectives and expenditure. [...] The director shall prepare an action plan to follow-up on the conclusions of the evaluations referred to in paragraph 3 and report on its progress to the Commission in the consolidated annual activity report referred to in Article 48 and regularly to the Management Board. The management board shall scrutinise the implementation of the action plan".



Principle 13: The Agency obtains or generates and uses relevant quality information to support the functioning of internal control

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion				
13.1.	13.1. Information and document management. The ED identifies the information required to support the functioning of the internal control system and the achievement of the Agency's objectives. Information systems process relevant data, captured from both internal and external sources, to obtain the required and expected quality information, in compliance with applicable security, document management and ki rules. This information is produced in a timely manner, and is reliable, current, accurate, complete, accessible, protected, verifiable, filed and preserved. It is shared within the organisation in line with prevailing guidelines.5												
13.1.1	% of EUAA users trained on the usage of the Electronic Records and Documents Management System (ERDMS) since the beginning of the project	((Total staff - staff not attended training) / total staff) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	60.22% ⁴³	60%	58%	60%	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.				

⁴³ Calculation was based on statutory staff and excludes staff still under probation.



Principle 14: The Agency internally communicates information, including objectives and responsibilities for internal control, necessary to support the functioning of internal control.

Nō	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
14.1.	Internal communication. The Ag	gency and the ED communicate internally abou	t their objectives, c	hallenges, actions taken	, and results achie	eved, including but not limit	ed to the objec	ctives and i	responsibilities of internal control.
14.1.1	% of staff that replied that the information provided by their line manager allows them to understand the reasons for what they have to do and why	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies).	Staff engagement survey	76.86% Agree/ fully agree 8.5%: Disagree/ fully disagree 14.5%: Neutral answer ⁴⁴	70% Agree/ fully agree	73% Agree/ fully agree 13%: Disagree/ fully disagree 14%: Neutral answer ⁴⁵	70%	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
14.1.2	Level of global satisfaction of staff with ICRM training	Total mean value of 3 Internal Control and Risk Management training modules from staff survey questionnaires rating the sessions from 1-10 (1 being the lowest, 10 being highest) Calculated by adding the score of all survey results cumulatively across the years, then dividing the total by the no. of survey questionnaires filled in. Total no. added satisfaction results / Total no. of questionnaires submitted = Mean value	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	8.24	≥7	8.40	≥ 7	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
14.2.	Separate communication lines,	such as whistleblowing hotlines, are in place a	t Agency level and i	n line with Commission o	guidance and Com	nmission communications, t	o ensure inforr	mation flov	w when normal channels are ineffective.
14.2.1	(Y/N) EUAA organised event(s) to raise awareness on whistleblowing procedure at least annually.	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Υ	Υ	Y	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023
14.2.2	% of staff who knows how to challenge and report behaviours and actions not aligned with EUAA's values and missions	((Total replies – [replies consisting: neither agree nor disagree / Disagree / Strongly disagree/ Impossible to answer, not applicable to my work/ I prefer not to answer]) / total replies) x 100 (resulting in agree and fully agree % replies)	Staff engagement survey	52.47% Agree/ fully agree 20.5%: Disagree/ fully disagree 27%: Neutral answer ⁴⁶	55% Agree/ fully agree	39% Agree/ fully agree 36%: Disagree/ fully disagree 25%: Neutral answer ⁴⁷	55%	HRU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.

⁴⁴ Of which, 13% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 0.5% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 1% preferred not to answer.

 $^{^{\}rm 45}$ Of which, 14% replied that they neither agree nor disagree.

⁴⁶ Of which, 23% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 2.5% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 1.5% preferred not to answer.

⁴⁷ Of which, 22% replied that they neither agree nor disagree; 1% replied the question was impossible to answer/not applicable; and 2% preferred not to answer.



Principle 15: The Agency communicates with external parties about matters affecting the functioning of internal control

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion				
15.1.	External communication. The Agency ensures that the external communication is consistent, relevant to the audience being targeted, and cost-effective. The Agency establishes clear responsibilities to align Agency communication activities with the Agency's political priorities and narrative of the institution.												
15.1.1	(Y/N) The agency monitors and evaluates its communication activities base on pre-defined SMART objectives and KPIs	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Υ	Y	Υ	Y	BSSU (CPRU)	Target indicator achieved for 2023				
15.2.	Communication on internal control timing, audience, and nature of the						control. Relevan	t and time	ly information is communicated externally, taking into account the				
15.2.1	(Y/N) The EUAA ED timely communicates with external stakeholders the internal control deficiencies action plan by uploading this information in the internal control webpage on the EUAA website ⁴⁸ and reporting accordingly in the CAAR.	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Y	Y	Y	BSSU	Target indicator achieved for 2023				

⁴⁸ https://euaa.europa.eu/about-us/governance-and-internal-control



Principle 16: The Agency selects, develops, and performs ongoing and/or separate assessments to ascertain whether the components of internal control are present and functioning

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Owner	Assessment/ Conclusion
16.1.									ciencies, register and assess the results of controls, ng assessments are built into business processes and
16.1.1.	% Financial ex-post controls book value coverage for a financial year.	The sample is calculated following the ex post controls methodology, as defined in Decision of the Executive Director No 10 of 7 May 2021 on the establishment of a risk-based strategy for financial ex post controls.	Ex post controls	15%	5 %	15%	5 %	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
16.1.2.	Number of procurement files assessed during a single financial year through ex post controls.	Check	Ex post controls	2	1	1	1	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
16.2.	Sufficient knowledge and information. Staff and exceptions.	performing ongoing or separate o	assessments has suf	ficient knowle	edge and informa	ntion to do this, specifically	on the scope ar	nd comple	eteness of the results of controls, control deviations
16.2.1	% of managers ⁴⁹ (extended management) that have followed training on the Internal Control Framework ⁵⁰ (including fraud prevention) ⁵¹	((Total managers - managers not attended training) / total managers) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	85% ⁵²	≥85%	84%	≥85%	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
16.3.	Risk-based and periodical assessments. The	Agency varies the scope and frequ	uency of specific ass	essments dep	ending on the id	entified risks. Specific asse	essments are per	formed p	eriodically to provide objective feedback.
16.3.1	(Y/N) Ex post control on the efficiency and effectiveness of the EUAA's internal control systems are implemented within the target deadlines agreed with the Head of C4 and ED and results are made available on time to feed the Declaration on the CAAR of the ED and ICC	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	Y	Y	Υ	Y	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.

European Union Agency for Asylum www.euaa.europa.eu

Tel: +356 2248 7500 info@euaa.europa.eu

Winemakers Wharf Valletta, MRS 1917, MALTA

⁴⁹ Indicator 4.2. as per MB Decision No 71. scope is for training of managers on internal control during the last two year. ICC proposed scope of this indicator to be those managers trained and recommend that the full training is to be followed again after 5 years.

⁵⁰ Mandatory training for all EUAA staff; refresher course required for anyone who has not attended such training in the last five years.

⁵¹ Art. 74(7) of the General Financial Regulation 2018 (Regulation (EU, Euratom) 2018/1046): In each Union institution, the authorising officer by delegation and their staff receive regularly updated and appropriate information and training concerning the control standards and the methods and techniques available for that purpose; b) that measures are taken, where needed, to ensure the effective and efficient functioning of the control systems in accordance with paragraph 2

⁵² Only managers passing their probation period has been used for the analysis of this indicator.



Principle 17: The Agency assesses and communicates internal control deficiencies in a timely manner to those parties responsible for taking corrective action, including the Management Board and senior management, as appropriate.

Nº	Indicator	Calculation method	Source of data to obtain value for indicator	Baseline value 2023	Target value 2023	Measured value 2023	Target value 2024	Own er	Assessment/ Conclusion
17.1									ments of how the internal control system is functioning within the MB and senior management, as appropriate.
17.1.1	ICCU regularly delivers accurate and reliable information on governance, internal control and risk management in cover notes including relevant reports, for each MB meeting	Check	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	At least 3 cover notes per year	At least 3 cover notes per year	Y	At least 3 cover notes per year	ICCU	Target indicator achieved for 2023.
17.2.	Remedial action. Corrective action management and internal control,							anagen	nent. With the support of the ICC in charge of risk
17.2.1	% of timely implementation of corrective actions to address internal control deficiencies identified during the internal control self-assessment exercises ⁵³	((Total no. of corrective actions by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4] - implemented actions planned or in progress by a given quarter [Q1/Q2/Q3/Q4]) + (implemented actions ahead of target date)) / ((Total. corrective actions of a year) + (implemented actions ahead of target date)) x 100	Self-assessment & Ex post controls	92.5% ⁵⁴	90%	52%	90%	ICCU	Target indicator partially achieved for 2023. Action(s) identified by the Agency to fully achieve the target.

 $^{^{\}rm 53}$ Indicator 4.1. as per MB Decision No 71.

⁵⁴ The indicator does not cover results of the financial ex-post control exercise finalised in September 2022 as they are monitor through different means.