

INDIGENOUS RIGHTS & PROTECTED AREAS



29 July 2024

India's Tiger Reserves: Tribals Get Out, Tourists Welcome¹



Adivasi women standing at the gate of the Periyar Tiger Reserve Park, Kerala Courtesy EJAtlas-Kalpavriksh

¹. This report is authored by Suhas Chakma, Campaign Manager for Asia Region, Indigenous Peoples Law & Policy Program (IPLP) Initiative on Indigenous Peoples Affected by Protected Areas and Other Conservation Measures, University of Arizona.

Table of Contents

1. Execu	itive Summary: 967% increase in displacement from per Tiger Reserve post 2	0213
2. Patter	rns of human rights violations associated with tiger reserves	9
2.1 Fc	orced eviction presented as the fait accompli	9
2.2 Ab	oout 5,50,000 STs & other forest dwellers to be evicted by the Project Tiger	10
	1 About 254,794 STs & other forest dwellers identified for evictions from 50 Terves from 1973 to 2107	_
2.2.	2 Forced evictions despite no tigers	21
2.2.	.3 About 2,90,000 persons to be evicted from six Tiger Reserves from 2021	22
2.2.	.4 Lack of redress, rehabilitation, resettlement and compensation	23
2.3 Ex	trajudicial, summary and arbitrary executions	25
2.4. A	rbitrary arrest, torture, sexual gender-based violence	30
3. CAG's	Indictment of the Project Tiger	34
3.1. N	on compliance with the FPIC & proper rehabilitation and resettlement	34
3.2 No	on compliance with prohibition of tourism	36
3.2.	.1 Legal framework prohibiting tourism inside Tiger Reserves	36
3.2.	.2 Tourism in violation of the Wildlife Protection Act	37
	uture ahead: Unregulated tourism and other development projects inside Tige	
5. Posts	cript: Protected areas are actually open jails	44
5.1. T	he legal framework for open jails	44
5.2 No	o access to human development	47

1. Executive Summary: 967% increase in displacement from per Tiger Reserve post 2021

India's much vaunted 'Project Tiger' launched in 1973 is touted as a successful one as the tiger population has shown significant growth, surging from 2,967 in 2018 to 3,682 in 2022.² It has also come at a heavy cost for the Indigenous Peoples and other forest dwellers.

At least, 5,50,000 Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers have been identified for involuntary relocation by the Project Tiger to create inviolate areas for the purposes of tiger conservation.³ These include 57,386 families or 2,54,794 persons at the rate of 4.44 persons per family⁴ residing as on 21.12.2021 in 50 tiger reserves notified by 2017; and at least 2,90,000 persons identified for displacement from 6 (six) tiger reserves notified from 2021. About 290,0000 persons expected to be displaced from the six newly created Tiger Reserves (TR) are about 4,000 people from the Srivilliputhur-Megamalai Tiger Reserve (2021);⁵ about 4,400 persons from approximately 1673 families residing eight villages in the Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022);⁶ about 45,000 persons from 52 villages under 22 panchayats in Manikpur Block in Chitrakoot district for the Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh (2022);⁷ at least 72,772 persons from 92 villages affected by the expansion of the Nauradehi Wildlife Sanctuary which has become a part of the Durgavati Tiger reserve (2023);⁸ about

_

,Average%20Household%20Size%20in%20India,of%204.44%20people%20in%202021

². Dholpur-Karauli tiger reserve in Rajasthan approved, The Economic Times, 22 August 2023, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/dholpur-karauli-tiger-reserve-in-rajasthan-approved/articleshow/102950607.cms?from=mdr

^{3.} List of Tiger Reserves can be found in the website of the National Tiger Conservation Authority of India, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

^{4.} Average Size of Households in India (2010 – 2021), https://www.globaldata.com/data-insights/macroeconomic/average-household-size-in-india-2096125/#:~:text=people%20per%20household-

⁵. Forced Evictions in India: 2022 & 2023, Housing and Land Rights Network, New Delhi, 2024, https://www.hlrn.org.in/documents/Forced_Evictions_2021.pdf

⁶. <u>https://www.abplive.com/states/rajasthan/ramgarh-tiger-reserve-villages-started-displaced-from-ramgarh-tiger-reserve-in-bundi-in-rajasthan-ann-2197106</u>

⁷. UP Polls: 'Where Will We Go?' Ask Tribals in Chitrakoot on Being Served Eviction Notices, NewsClick, 1 February 2022, https://www.newsclick.in/UP-Polls-Where-Will-We-Go-Ask-Tribals-Chitrakoot-Being-Served-Eviction-Notices

^{8.} Reportedly average population per village as per a study of the WWF and WC is 791 person per village in Noradehi Reserve as per Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi Corridor Profile, December 2023 by Kanika Aggarwal, Omkar Patil, Tara Rajendran, Arpit N Deomurari and Prachi Thatte, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376649847_Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi_Corridor_Profile

4,000 persons from the Dholpur-Karauli Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan,⁹ and about 160,000 persons from the Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan which has been given in principle approval (2023).¹⁰

It clearly shows that 2,54,794 persons from 50 Tiger Reserves or about 5,000 persons per Tiger Reserve were identified for relocation from 1973 to 2021. In comparison, at least 290,000 persons from 6 (six) tiger reserves or 48,333 persons per Tiger Reserve have been now identified for relocation in the post 2021 period. It means about 967% increase of displacement per Tiger Reserve in the post 2021 period.

In fact, the notification of an area as a Tiger Reserve has become the means for displacement. Out of the 55 tiger reserves presently across the country, no tigers were found in five Tiger Reserves namely, Sahyadri Tiger Reserve in Maharashtra, Satkosia Tiger Reserve in Odisha, Kamlang Tiger Reserve in Arunachal Pradesh, Kawal Tiger Reserve in Telangana and Dampa Tiger Reserve in Mizoram. A total of 5,670 tribal families were displaced from these five Tiger Reserves which have no tigers as on date. Displacement destroys the affected communities and there is no rationale to displace tribal communities for Tiger Reserves when there are no tigers in these reserves.

India has the basic legal framework recognising the free, prior and informed consent (FPIC) both under Section 4(2) of the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act of 2006¹², popularly known as the Forest Rights Act (FRA) and Section 38V((5) of the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972.¹³ Furthermore, Section 4(i) of the Provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 also recognizes the right to FPIC.¹⁴

https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1726/1/a1972-53.pdf

_

⁹. With NTCA nod, Dholpur–Karauli now becomes the 5th tiger reserve in Rajasthan, The Times of India, Aug 23, 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/jaipur/with-ntca-nod-dholpur-karauli-nowbecomes-the-5th-tiger-reserve-in-state/articleshow/102959443.cms

¹⁰. Why re-introducing tigers to the Kumbhalgarh sanctuary in Rajasthan may not be a good idea, The Scroll, 18 April 2022, https://scroll.in/article/1021425/why-re-introducing-tigers-to-the-kumbhalgarh-sanctuary-in-rajasthan-may-not-be-a-good-idea

¹¹. Five tiger reserves have zero tigers, yet get 'good' rating, The Times of India, 1 August 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/five-tiger-reserves-have-zero-tigers-yet-get-good-rating/articleshow/102294634.cms

¹². The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/2070/1/200702.pdf

 $^{^{13}.}$ The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 is available at

¹⁴. The Provisions of the Panchayats (Extension to Scheduled Areas) Act, 1996 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1973/1/A1996-40.pdf

However, the right to free, prior and informed consent under these laws has always been short-circuited. The government never seeks the right to free, prior and informed consent before issuance of notification as the Tiger Reserve as to whether their lands should be included or not. Only after the issuance as the Tiger Reserve, the right to free, prior and informed consent with respect to relocation is sought. The government further seldom conducts the mandatory study with the Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers inhabiting the area and an independent ecological and social scientist familiar with the area to conclude that other reasonable options of co-existence are not available. The statutory committees like the Forest Advisory Committee (FAC), Regional Empowered Committee (REC), Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (SC-NBWL) and Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) have been providing the permission for relocation in violations of the laws and the Guidelines.

Forced evictions often take place through massive human rights violations. The houses are often destroyed and indigenous peoples can no longer hunt, fish, gather food, or access to their religious, sacred and cultural sites, burial grounds and medicinal plants. The State government and authorities stop all sorts of development programs in order to force the victims to accept what is euphemistically called "voluntary relocation. The victims also face gross civil and political human rights violations including extra-judicial killings, enforced disappearances, torture and ill treatment, sexual and gender-based violence, arbitrary arrest and detention, threats and intimidation often for collecting honey, flowers, firewood, hunting or fishing in or near the tiger reserve or for opposing or resisting evictions. Assam's Forest Department in a Report of 2014 claimed that hundreds of alleged poachers were shot dead in encounters over the years but not a single forest staffer had been killed in an encounter between 1985 and June 2014, thereby raising suspicions about the encounters. From 2014 to 2016 alone, at least 57 persons were killed – 27 in 2014, 23 in 2015 and 7 in 2016.

The Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) of India made damning revelations on non-compliance with the free, prior and informed consent and rehabilitation of the affected persons from the tiger reserves after audit in Madhya Pradesh, ¹⁷ Karnataka, ¹⁸ West

https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1726/1/a1972-53.pdf

https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2015/Madhya_Pradesh_Report_1_2015_Chap_2.pdf

https://caq.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2017/Chapter_5_Commercial_activities_in_and_

 $^{^{15}.}$ The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 is available at

¹⁶. Human Rights Violations in Kaziranga National Park, Counter Current, 22 June 2017, https://countercurrents.org/2017/06/human-rights-violations-in-kaziranga-national-park/

¹⁷. The report 'Audit Report Economic Sector (Non PSUs) for the year ended 31 March 2014' is available at:

¹⁸. Report No. 6 of the year 2017 'Administration of National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries in Karnataka' is available at

Bengal,¹⁹ Kerala,²⁰ and Maharashtra.²¹ The CAG found uncontrolled commercial and eco-tourism activities such as establishing petrol pumps, rest houses being run on commercial basis by the Forest Department and Tourism Departments, staff colony and other residential quarters, high tension electric lines causing deaths of substantial number of tigers in electrocution, huge number of vehicles being allowed against ceiling imposed, resorts / hotels, road construction/ widening /up-gradation, linear projects in core areas of the Tiger Reserves despite prohibition.

Furthermore, there are ongoing commercial and linear project activities such as road projects in Rajaji TR (Uttarakhand);²² Limestone mining and highway projects in Mukundra Hills TR (Rajasthan);²³ limestone mining and skywalk projects between Tipeshwar Wildlife Sanctuary and Tadoba-Andhari-Kawal Tiger Reserve (Maharashtra);²⁴ road projects through Nagarjunasagar Srisailam Tiger Reserve and the Sri Venkateswara National Park (Andhra Pradesh);²⁵ construction of underground pipeline and pump house in core area of Amrabad Tiger Reserve (Telangana);²⁶ laying

around_the_Protected_Areas_and_Eco-

tourism_of_Karnataka_Report_No_6_of_2017_on_National_Parks.pdf

- ¹⁹. 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for the year ended 31 March 2016' of the CAG is available at <a href="https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2017/Chapter_2_%E2%80%93_Performance_Audit_%E2%80%93_Report_No.1_of_2017_%E2%80%93_Economic_Sector_Government_of_West_Bengal_1.pdf
- ²⁰. 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for the year ended 31 March 2017' of the CAG, is available at https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2018/Report_No_6_of_2018_Economic_Sector_Government_of_Kerala.pdf
- ²¹. Performance Audit Report No.2 of 2019 of Maharashtra of the CAG is available at https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2019/Chapter_2_Performance_Audit_of_Report_No_2_of_2019_Economic_Sector_Government_of_Maharashtra.pdf
- ²². 'Tiger habitats overlooked in rush to grant nod for infra projects', The Times of India, 29 July 2021, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/tiger-habitats-overlooked-in-rush-to-grant-nod-for-infra-projects/articleshow/84840063.cms
- ²³. Ibid
- ²⁴. Limestone mining project approved in tiger corridor, The Times of India, 20 May 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/limestone-mining-project-approved-in-tiger-corridor/articleshow/100369018.cms
- ²⁵. Centre gives green signal to road project in Andhra Pradesh tiger corridor, Business Standard, 28 March 2024, https://www.business-standard.com/india-news/centre-gives-green-signal-to-road-project-in-andhra-pradesh-tiger-corridor-124032800826_1.html
- ²⁶. Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the State Board for Wildlife, Telangana, dated 13.02.2023 is available at

 $\frac{https://forestsclearance.nic.in/writereaddata/Sec_Letter/0_0_04_May_2023_103927137_minuts_Min_utesof6thSBWLmeeting.pdf$

of OFC by Airtel in Tiger Corridor in Kagaznagar (Telangana),²⁷ irrigation project in core area of Kawal Tiger Reserve (Telangana),²⁸ etc.

The process of turning the Tiger Reserves into a tourism industry is all set to intensify. The Government of India enacted the Forest Conservation Amendment Act, 2023 to exempt "(vi) establishment of zoo and safaris referred to in the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, owned by the Government or any authority, in forest areas other than protected areas; and (vii) eco-tourism facilities included in the Forest Working Plan or Wildlife Management Plan or Tiger Conservation Plan or Working Scheme of that area" from the Forest Conservation Act.²⁹ The policy is clear: tribals must get out while tourists are welcomed inside the Tiger Reserves.

In the entire process of conservation of the tigers, the co-existence of Indigenous Peoples with tigers for centuries has been overlooked. A study by the Survival International notably revealed that in Biligiri Rangaswamy Temple Tiger Reserve (Karnataka) where the Soliga tribals have been allowed to co-exist with the tigers including in the core area/critical tiger habitat, the number of tigers almost doubled from 35 to 68 between 2010 and 2014, which was far higher than the national rate at which the tiger population was growing.³⁰

In contrast, the CAG found that in Madhya Pradesh "out of 115 reported deaths of Tigers in the State during 2014-18, 16 were through electrocution, making it the second biggest cause of deaths after territorial fights".³¹

The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972³² is not only about '*ensuring the ecological and environmental security of India*' but about establishing "States within a State" with demarcated geographical areas to be governed by separate administration and law

²⁸. Ibid

²⁷. Ibid

²⁹. The Forest Conservation Amendment Act, 2023 is available at https://egazette.gov.in/WriteReadData/2023/247866.pdf

³⁰. Tiger population doubles after tribals allowed to coexist in tiger reserve, Down To Earth, 10 December 2015, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/wildlife-biodiversity/tiger-population-doubles-in-reserve-that-allowed-tribals-to-stay-52093

³¹. The report 'Audit Report Economic Sector (Non PSUs) for the year ended 31 March 2014' is available at:

https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2015/Madhya_Pradesh_Report_1_2015_Chap_2.pdf

³². The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1726/1/a1972-53.pdf

enforcement personnel.³³ They are not prisons but run like prisons. Under Section 4 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972, Chief Wild Life Warden, Wild Life Wardens and other such officers and employees for each protected area are appointed with a range of powers to control the protected areas including to exercise powers of search, arrest and detention.³⁴

In actual terms, out of 5,50,000 Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers identified for relocation by the Tiger Projects, about 20,857 families 92,605 persons were relocated by 31.12.2021. About 457,394 persons or 1,03,016 families are yet to be relocated. In monetary terms, if India were to relocate these 452,189 persons or 101,844 families, at the current rate of Rs 15 lakh per family for rehabilitation and resettlement³⁶, it would cost Rs 15,2766 million or US\$1,853 million in addition to requirement of massive land for resettlement which are not simply available. As India relocated only about 20,857 families from the tiger project in the last 51 years from 1973, the relocation of 101,844 families from the Tiger Reserves is simply not feasible. In the meantime, their human development shall remain stunted as the State governments and authorities shall not undertake any development project to force involuntary relocation.

The world is simply yet to see good practice for rehabilitation and resettlement of the displaced persons. For effective conservation of tigers, the National Tigers Conservation Authority and the Government of India are recommended to take the following measures:

 Promote Biligiri Rangaswamy Temple Tiger Reserve (Karnataka) model by allowing the Scheduled Tribes and Tigers to co-exist and give up displacement of the persons to create inviolate core areas;³⁷

 $\frac{https://tribal.nic.in/downloads/FRA/Concerned\%20Laws\%20and\%20Policies/Wildlife\%20Protection\%20Act,\%201972.pdf$

³³. The observation is drawn by the author from the processes of declaration of protected areas under the Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972.

^{34.} The Wildlife Protection Act is available at

^{35.}Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at

https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pqars

³⁶. Rehabilitation of Tribal People, Unstarred Question No. 1814, answered on 13/02/2023 before Lok Sabha by the Ministry of Tribal Affairs,

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/1711/AU1814.pdf?source=pgals

³⁷. Tiger population doubles after tribals allowed to coexist in tiger reserve, Down To Earth, 10 December 2015, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/wildlife-biodiversity/tiger-population-doubles-in-reserve-that-allowed-tribals-to-stay-52093

- Conduct fresh assessment of all the Tiger Reserves from where the Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers displaced are to be completed as per Section 4(2)(c) of the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act of 2006, and Section 38V((5)(iii) of the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 to conclude that other reasonable options of coexistence are not available; and
- Implement the Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act of 2006 and the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 to ensure that the right to free, prior and informed consent is sought before issuance of gazette notification as "Tiger Reserve/Protected area".

2. The Project Tiger

In 1973, the Government of India launched the 'Project Tiger' to protect the endangered tigers in the country. An amendment of the Wildlife Protection Act in 2006 set up the National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) to ensure better implementation of Project Tiger. The Project which began with nine tiger reserves covering 18,278 sq. km expanded to 55 reserves presently, covering 78,735.5966 sq km, accounting more than 2.30% of geographical area of India. Out of the total area, 43,513.0166 sq km forms the core area, whereas 35,222.58 sq km is the buffer zone. 38

The tiger population has shown significant growth, surging from 2,967 in 2018 to 3,682 in 2022 as per the latest government data on tiger population in the country.³⁹

2. Patterns of human rights violations associated with tiger reserves

2.1 Forced eviction presented as the fait accompli

India has enacted strong legislations like the FRA and the Wildlife Protection Act recognizing the right to free, prior and informed consent of the Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers against displacement and any relocation without arriving at conclusion that other reasonable options of co-existence are not available.

Furthermore, Section 4(2) of Forest Rights Act, 2006 provides that "the forest rights recognised in critical wildlife habitats of National Parks and Sanctuaries may

³⁸. List of Tiger Reserves, NTCA, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

³⁹. Dholpur–Karauli tiger reserve in Rajasthan approved, The Economic Times, 22 August 2023, https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/news/india/dholpur-karauli-tiger-reserve-in-rajasthan-approved/articleshow/102950607.cms?from=mdr

subsequently be modified or resettled, provided that no forest rights holders shall be resettled or have their rights in any manner affected for the purposes of creating inviolate areas for wildlife conservation except in case all the stipulated conditions are satisfied". Section 38V((5) of the Wildlife Protection Act⁴¹ also provides similar rights as provided under the FRA.

However, the right to free, prior and informed consent has always been short-circuited. The government never seeks the right to free, prior and informed consent before issuance of notification as the Tiger Reserve. Therefore, the right to free, prior and informed consent is applied only when the area is declared as Tiger Reserve and notification is issued for relocation. The government in fact seldom conducts the study to come to the conclusion that other reasonable options of co-existence between humans and wildlife are not available. 42

However, various statutory committees like the Forest Advisory Committee (FAC), Regional Empowered Committee (REC), Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (SC-NBWL) and Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) have been on a spree to relocate Indigenous Peoples from the Tiger Reserves and other wildlife sanctuaries.

2.2 About 5,50,000 STs & other forest dwellers to be evicted by the Project Tiger

The government of India does not have accurate data on persons displaced by the Tiger Project. In 2016, the head of the National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) announced that almost 300,000 people, from over 700 villages, were earmarked for relocation. In 2021, it was reported that about 110,000 people in 273 villages falling in 'core' areas inside 28 tiger reserves in the country were identified for eviction given the threats to tiger conservation. 44

 $\frac{https://tribal.nic.in/downloads/FRA/Concerned\%20Laws\%20and\%20Policies/Wildlife\%20Protection\%20Act,\%201972.pdf$

⁴⁰. The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/2070/1/200702.pdf

^{41.} The Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972 is available at

⁴². The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1726/1/a1972-53.pdf

⁴³. PTI, "India plans to add ten more tiger reserves: Official," Financial Express, October 5, 2016, https://www.financialexpress.com/india-news/india-plans-to-add-ten-more-tiger-reserves-

official/407588/

⁴⁴. Forced Evictions in India: 2021, Housing and Land Rights Network, New Delhi, 2022, https://www.hlrn.org.in/documents/Forced_Evictions_2021.pdf

In its reply to the Lok Sabha, the government of India had stated as of 12.07.2019, out of the 57,386 families or 2,54,794 persons at the rate of 4.44 persons per family⁴⁵ residing in notified Core/ Critical Tiger Habitats (CTHs) in 31 out of 50 tiger reserves.⁴⁶ The government of India further informed the Rajya Sabha that by 31.12.2021, about 20,857 families from 231 villages were relocated.⁴⁷ This implies that at least 36,529 families or 1,62,189 persons out of the 57,386 families were residing in notified Core/ Critical Tiger Habitats and therefore, were to be relocated.

Since 2021, five more Tiger Reserves were notified i.e. Srivilliputhur Megamalai, Tamil Nadu (2021), Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022), Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh (2022), Veerangana Durgavati Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh (2023) and Dholpur – Karauli Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2023)⁴⁸ while the Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan (2023) has been given in principle approval as a Tiger Reserve.

As per the secondary sources collated for this report, at least 290,0000 persons from these six Tiger Reserves created during post 2021 i.e. about 4,000 people from the Srivilliputhur-Megamalai Tiger Reserve;⁴⁹ about 4,400 persons from approximately 1673 families residing eight villages in the Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022);⁵⁰ about 45,000 persons from 52 villages under 22 panchayats in Manikpur Block in Chitrakoot district who were served notices for eviction from the Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh;⁵¹ at least 72,772 persons from 92 villages affected by the expansion of the Nauradehi Wildlife Sanctuary which has become a part of the and Durgavati Tiger reserve;⁵² about 4,000 persons from the Dholpur-Karauli Tiger

2096125/#:~:text=people%20per%20household-

Average%20Household%20Size%20in%20India,of%204.44%20people%20in%202021

 $\underline{https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pqals}$

https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pgars

⁴⁵. Average Size of Households in India (2010 – 2021), https://www.globaldata.com/data-insights/macroeconomic/average-household-size-in-india-

^{46.} See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019 at

⁴⁷.Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at

^{48.} List of Tiger Reserve, NTCA, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

⁴⁹. Forced Evictions in India: 2022 & 2023, Housing and Land Rights Network, New Delhi, 2024, https://www.hlrn.org.in/documents/Forced_Evictions_2021.pdf

 $[\]frac{50}{https://www.abplive.com/states/rajasthan/ramgarh-tiger-reserve-villages-started-displaced-from-ramgarh-tiger-reserve-in-bundi-in-rajasthan-ann-2197106}$

⁵¹. UP Polls: 'Where Will We Go?' Ask Tribals in Chitrakoot on Being Served Eviction Notices, NewsClick, 1 February 2022, https://www.newsclick.in/UP-Polls-Where-Will-We-Go-Ask-Tribals-Chitrakoot-Being-Served-Eviction-Notices

⁵². Reportedly average population per village is 791 person per village in Noradehi Reserve as per Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi Corridor Profile, December 2023 by Kanika Aggarwal, Omkar Patil, Tara

Reserve, Rajasthan, 53 and 160,000 persons from the Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan. 54

Therefore, at least, 5,50,000 Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers have been identified for relocation. This include 57,386 families or 2,54,794 persons residing in notified Core/ Critical Tiger Habitats (CTHs) in 31 out of 50 tiger reserves as per the government of India by 21.12.2021 and at least 452,189 persons from five Tiger Reserves notified after 2021.⁵⁵

Out of the 5,50,000 Scheduled Tribes and other forest dwellers, at present at least 452,189 persons remain at the risks of involuntary relocation i.e. 36,529 families or 1,62,189 persons at the rate of 4.44 persons per family⁵⁶ identified by the government of India for relocation from the notified Core/ Critical Tiger Habitats (CTHs) by 12.12.2021 and at least 290,0000 persons from these five Tiger Reserve notified since 2021.

In the last 51 years, about 20,857 families were relocated. If India were to relocate, 452,189 persons or 101,844 families, at the current rate of Rs 15 lakh per family for rehabilitation and resettlement, it would cost Rs 15,2766 million or US\$1,853 million, in addition to massive lands for rehabilitation which are simply unavailable.

The government is nonetheless on a spree to declare more Tiger Reserves and expand the areas of existing Tiger Reserves.

Rajendran, Arpit N Deomurari and Prachi Thatte,

 $\underline{https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376649847_Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi_Corridor_Profile}$

2096125/#:~:text=people%20per%20household-

"Average%20Household%20Size%20in%20India,of%204.44%20people%20in%202021

^{53.} With NTCA nod, Dholpur-Karauli now becomes the 5th tiger reserve in Rajasthan, The Times of India, Aug 23, 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/jaipur/with-ntca-nod-dholpur-karauli-nowbecomes-the-5th-tiger-reserve-in-state/articleshow/102959443.cms

⁵⁴. Why re-introducing tigers to the Kumbhalgarh sanctuary in Rajasthan may not be a good idea, The Scroll, 18 April 2022, https://scroll.in/article/1021425/why-re-introducing-tigers-to-the-kumbhalgarh-sanctuary-in-rajasthan-may-not-be-a-good-idea

^{55.} List of Tiger Reserve, NTCA, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

^{56.} Average Size of Households in India (2010 – 2021), https://www.globaldata.com/data-insights/macroeconomic/average-household-size-in-india-

2.2.1 About 254,794 STs & other forest dwellers identified for evictions from 50 Tiger Reserves from 1973 to 2107

As per the government of India, as of 12 July 2019, out of the 57,386 families or 2,54,794 persons at the rate of 4.44 persons per family⁵⁷ residing in notified Core/Critical Tiger Habitats (CTHs) in 31 out of 50 tiger reserves, only 20,857 families from 231 villages were relocated from notified CTHs from 1973 to 2021.⁵⁸ From 2019, five more tiger reserves have been notified as on date i.e. Srivilliputhur Megamalai, Tamil Nadu (2021), Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022), Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh (2022), Veerangana Durgavati Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh (2023) and Dholpur – Karauli Tiger Reserve Rajasthan (2023).⁵⁹ Indigenous Peoples and other forest dwellers from 362 villages inside these five declared Tiger Reserves continue to live inside the protected areas. In other words, 36,529 families remained within the CTHs as of 2021 and they remained at risk of relocation.

Amrabad Tiger Reserve, Telangana

The Amrabad Tiger Reserve, established on the lands of the Chenchu Indigenous People, ⁶⁰ was declared an official Tiger Reserve in 2014. The Chenchu were subject to forced evictions from their ancestral lands without their FPIC. Several villages were evicted in the 1980s including 750 families from Pecheru village. ⁶¹ During these evictions, Chenchu families were reportedly informed by forest officials that their forest and land rights under the FRA 2006 did not apply within the Amrabad Tiger Reserve. Many of their applications for recognition of these rights were ignored or rejected over the years. ⁶²

2096125/#:~:text=people%20per%20household-

,Average%20Household%20Size%20in%20India,of%204.44%20people%20in%202021

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pqals & Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at

https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pgars

⁵⁷. Average Size of Households in India (2010 - 2021), https://www.globaldata.com/data-insights/macroeconomic/average-household-size-in-india-

^{58.} See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019 at

⁵⁹. List of Tiger Reserve, NTCA, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

⁶⁰. India: Tribe faces eviction from tiger reserve – but uranium exploration approved, Survival International, 15 June 2017, https://www.survivalinternational.org/news/11711

⁶¹. An Open Letter from the Chenchu tribe of Amrabad tiger Reserve, available at https://survivalinternational.org/articles/3495-chenchuletter

^{62.} Illegal evictions from Indian Tiger Reserves, Survival International, see https://assets.survivalinternational.org/documents/1894/illegal-evictions-from-indias-tiger-reserves.pdf

Achanakmar Tiger Reserve, Chhattisgarh

Achanakmar was declared an official Tiger Reserve in 2009. In December 2009, around 238 Baiga families from 6 or the 25 villages of the reserve (Kumba, Sambhardhasan, Bankal, Jalda, Bahaur and Bokrakhachar) were evicted outside the Reserve without the FPIC of the Gram Sabhas and without settling their rights under the FRA 2006.⁶³ Forest Department officials reportedly threatened to release bears and poisonous snakes into villages to drive the inhabitants out and prevented villagers from collecting anything from the forest, to the extent that families feared they would starve to death if they remained. Indigenous peoples were forced to "agree" to the relocation package (Rs 50,000, though they were entitled to Rs. 10 lakhs under Project Tiger). 64 Evicted families were provided barren land which lacked any irrigation facility and without the promised facilities for rehabilitation concerning healthcare, education and livelihood practices. The administration also continued to plan the relocation of the remaining 19 villages. In 2015, the Forest Advisory Committee approved relocating five more villages from the reserve and in 2019 three villages, namely, Tilaidabra, Birarpani and Chhirhattha.⁶⁵ On 9 August 2022, four villages namely Bamhani, Mahamai, Katami and Babutola received Community Forest Resource Rights (CFRR) over 1,663 hectares, 1,384 hectares, 3,240 hectares and 191.60 hectares respectively.⁶⁶

Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, Karnataka

The Nagarhole Tiger Reserve was established on the lands of the Jenu Kuruba and other tribes in 1999. According to a 2014 report, over 3,400 families were displaced between the 1970s and 1980s. Because of the lack of rehabilitation, majority of them

^{63.} Alok Prakash Putul, "Lessons for Chhattisgarh from Madhya Pradesh on how to resettle those evicted from tiger reserves", Scroll.in, April 24, 2022, https://scroll.in/article/1022172/lessons-for-chhattisgarh-from-madhya-pradesh-on-how-to-resettle-those-evicted-from-tiger-reserves

⁶⁴. Illegal evictions from Indian Tiger Reserves, Survival International, see https://assets.survivalinternational.org/documents/1894/illegal-evictions-from-indias-tiger-reserves.pdf

^{65.} Baiga Tribe Protests against Relocation from Achanakmar Tiger Reserve in Chhattisgarh, Land Conflict Watch, 18 January 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/villagers-allege-they-have-not-received-the-promised-compensation-after-relocation-from-achanakmar-tiger-reserve
66. Future in forest: How eviction of villagers from Achanakmar tiger reserve united adivasis to fight for CFRR, Down To Earth, 26 August 2022, <a href="https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/forests/future-in-forest-how-eviction-of-villagers-from-achanakmar-tiger-reserve-united-adivasis-to-fight-for-cfrr-84557#:~:text=On%20Adivasi%20Day%20August%209,at%20a%20state%20government%20event.

continue to be landless laborers presently.⁶⁷ Since 2010, several Jenu Kuruba families who were illegally evicted from their villages have made several attempts to go back to Nagarhole but they were reportedly beaten and harassed. In 2013, forest officials filed a legal case against JK Thimma, one of the Jenu Kuruba tribals living in Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, for building a thatched house in his village. In 2018, the judge dismissed the false case, recognizing his right to build a house in the forest; acquitting him of violations of the Wildlife Protection Act and acknowledging that it was likely that the charges against him were false and in retaliation for his resistance to evictions.⁶⁸ In May 2021, the Jenu Kurubas held a major protest against attempts to evict them and to demand their rights to live in their tiger reserve.⁶⁹

Similipal Tiger Reserve, Odisha

While there were 61 villages in the Similipal national park in Mayurbhanj district, the state government of Odisha decided in 1988 to relocate four villages namely Kabataghai, Jamunagarh, Jenabil and Bakua located in the core zone. Between 1994 and 2003, 72 families were relocated from Jamunagarh, Jenabil and Kabatghai villages. In 2013, 34 families from Khadia tribe as well as families of Upper Barhakamuda and Bahaghar were reportedly evicted without their FPIC through a combination of "threats and deceit". They were promised good quality houses with basic facilities and instead, found black plastic shelters on barren land. In 2015, 47 families living in Kabataghai, 35 families living in Jamunagada as well as Munda villagers from Jamunagarh were forcibly relocated from the Reserve, without their PFIC. In January 2020, about 110 Mankidia and Khadia, families from the Khajuri village within the buffer area of the Reserve, were forcibly relocated to Salaibedha without their FPIC.

⁶⁷. Tribes People Struggle to Get Their Forest Rights Recognized in Nagarhole National Park, Land Conflict Watch, 10 August 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/tribespeople-struggle-to-get-their-forest-rights-recognized-in-nagarhole-national-park

⁶⁸. "We can live with the Tiger, not the forest department!, A Fact-Finding Report on Forced Evictions, Rehabilitation Betrayals and Fortress Conservation in Nagarhole", 22 May 2022, available at https://countercurrents.org/2022/05/we-can-live-with-the-tiger-not-the-forest-department/

⁶⁹. India's Jenu Kuruba indigenous tribe protests forced evictions from the forest, Lifegate, 17 May 2021, https://www.lifegate.com/jenu-kuruba-india-indigenous-protest-eviction

⁷⁰. Administrators hope to appease relocated tribes of Simlipal, Village Square, 22 March 2021, https://www.villagesquare.in/with-no-increase-in-tiger-count-tribes-continue-to-be-relocated-2/
⁷¹. Illegal evictions from Indian Tiger Reserves, Survival International, See

https://assets.survivalinternational.org/documents/1894/illegal-evictions-from-indias-tiger-reserves.pdf

⁷². PVTG families relocated to rehab colony, The New Indian Express, 25 January 2020, https://www.newindianexpress.com/odisha/2020/Jan/25/pvtg-families-relocated-to-rehab-colony-2094386.html; & Relocation of tribal people living around Similipal Tiger Reserve forceful,

Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra

In the Melghat Tiger Reserve (MTR) established in 1994 on the lands of the Korku tribes, large number of displacements took place as stated in its Core Plan 2014-2015 - 2023-24. According to the Core Plan, the Melghat Sanctuary had 22 villages (out of 22 villages 7 villages were relocated and while the rest remain to be relocated), Ambabarwa Sanctuary had 3 villages and Wan Sanctuary had 6 villages (out of 6 villages 5 villages were relocated) within it. There were 39 villages around the park and the Government identified 25 villages for exerting biotic pressure, thus necessitating relocation. The Government allegedly denied basic facilities. Given the lack of proper rehabilitation, in 2017, about 1,200 tribals from eight villages, who were relocated in 2012, returned to the forests and occupied the place for 36 hours, demanding rehabilitation facilities and better compensation. Despite the rejection of some claims, the District Level Committee (DLC) under the Forest Rights Act granted community forest rights and fishing rights on over 3,000 hectares within the Melghat Tiger Reserve. In June 2020, the DLC further recognised individual forest claims of 76 people in Semadoh village within the reserve under the FRA.

Panna Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh

The Panna Tiger Reserve was established in 1994 on the lands of the Gond and Yadav indigenous peoples. Prior to the creation of the Reserve there were sixteen villages that lived on these lands. About 983 indigenous families from 13 villages were relocated from core areas of the Reserve from 2009 onwards⁷⁵ and only three villages remain inside the reserve. As the tiger conservation efforts of the reserve were virtually declared a failure in 2009, evictions have rapidly increased, starting with the relocation of the Budrohd, Talgaon, Malanpur, and Jhalar villages and later with the Badhaun village in 2012. In 2015, the Umravan village protested against their forced eviction from the Panna Tiger Reserve and as a result the local administration reportedly cut off their electricity lines and let elephants loose around their village to coerce the community into 'relocating.' While 70 families were relocated in response, nine families

claim locals, Mongabay, 30 March 2020, https://india.mongabay.com/2020/03/relocation-of-tribal-people-living-around-similipal-tiger-reserve-forceful-claim-locals/

https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/villagers-displaced-from-melghat-tiger-reserve-demand-return-of-their-

 $\underline{land\#:} \sim : text = In\%202017\%2C\%20a\%20group\%20of, families\%20got\%20displaced\%20af ter\%202008$

⁷³. 'Tiger Conservation Plan: Core Plan, 2014–15 to 2023–24 of Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra', https://www.magicalmelghat.in/public/website/pdf/tcp_coreplanMTR.pdf

⁷⁴. Maharashtra Government Relocates Tribal Families from Melghat Tiger Reserve, Communities Resist, Land Conflict Watch,15 September 2016,

⁷⁵. Forced eviction, mining and dams inside Panna Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh, India, Ejatlas, 18 July 2023, https://ejatlas.org/print/panna-tiger-reserve-india

have continued to resist their forced eviction and submitted a petition to the Jabalpur High Court regarding their land rights under the FRA 2006. These remaining families have been reportedly continuously threatened and harassed by forest officials. In August 2016, indigenous communities from 39 villages within the Reserve were evicted without their FPIC and without adequate compensation from their ancestral forests after these were declared as buffer zones for the Reserve.⁷⁶

Kaziranga Tiger Reserve, Assam

The Kaziranga was designated as National Park in 1974 and a Tiger Reserve in 2006. Indigenous Peoples including the Mising tribe opposed the establishment of the Park back to its inception. In 2015, following a court order, with a notice issued 10 days prior to the eviction (in some cases families were not notified at all and compensation was not offered), 300 indigenous families were violently evicted in the buffer zone and their livelihoods destroyed. On 19 September 2016, the state carried out the eviction drive in these villages. Houses, temples, mosques and schools were reportedly demolished. A total of 331 houses were razed to the ground, of which 35 families had land rights.⁷⁷

Despite promises, the Government had neither resettled the villagers nor secured new land ownership for them. Indigenous communities bordering the park were reportedly continuously threatened with evictions. In 2019, a Supreme Court order was issued for further eviction of families, many of them who had previously lost their lands to the park. In September 2020, the state further approved another 30 square kilometres to be included in the boundary of the national park. Since then, the eviction rate had picked up pace with the government issuing fresh eviction notices, wherein at least 662 families living around the park were facing the threat of eviction.

Buxa Tiger Reserve, West Bengal

Buxa Tiger Reserve was established in 1983 on the lands of the Rawa, Mech, Santhal, Oraon and Garo Indigenous Peoples. Of the total 42 villages in the reserve, the state forest department identified 18 villages in the core area to be relocated. In 2009, the

⁷⁸. Ibid

⁷⁶. UA IND 9/2017, 24 August 2017,

https://spcommreports.ohchr.org/TMResultsBase/DownLoadPublicCommunicationFile?gld=23314

77. Over 650 Families Live in Fear of Eviction near Kaziranga in Assam, Land Conflict Watch, 19

September 2016, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/over-600-families-continue-to-live-in-the-fear-of-eviction-near-kaziranga-national-park

⁷⁹. Pratyush Deep Kotoky, 'Losing Ground: With over 600 families already facing eviction, Assam govt approves another expansion of the Kaziranga National Park, sparking protests, 11 November 2020, https://en.gaonconnection.com/losing-ground-with-over-600-families-already-facing-eviction-assam-govt-approves-another-expansion-of-the-kaziranga-national-park-sparking-protests/

state announced a relocation package of INR 10 lakh for *every adult* living in the core area of the tiger reserve but later on revised the amount to INR 10 lakh per *household which* dissuaded the families from accepting the compensation. Residents of 18 villages demanded that their land and forest rights be recognised under the Forest Rights Act. In 2019, a report claimed that FRA claims were being wrongfully rejected in Buxa Tiger Reserve.⁸⁰ In December 2023, 51 indigenous families living in the Bhutia Basti and 91 families living in Gangutia Basti in the Buxa Tiger Reserve were reportedly displaced by the National Tiger Conservation Authority.⁸¹

Kanha Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh

Kanha Tiger Reserve was established in 1973 on the lands of the Baiga and Gond. In the mid-seventies, 24 villages (around 650 families) were forcibly displaced outside the boundaries of the Reserve. In June 2014, 450 families in the villages of Jholar, Ajanpur, Bithli, Benda, Rol and Sukri were reportedly evicted without their FPIC and without being given an option of land or compensation. More than 22,000 people were evicted with no support or backing. They were subject to threats by Forest Department and forced to sign documents. The Forest Department officials reportedly threatened to use elephants to trample tribal member homes in order to displace them. As per a Right to information Report filed on 11/12/2017, 34 villages comprising

 $\frac{https://www.epw.in/journal/2009/49/special-articles/displacement-and-relocation-protected-areas-synthesis-and-analysis}{}$

summary-report.pdf

⁸⁰. Residents of Buxa Tiger Reserve in West Bengal Demand Recognition of Forest Rights, Land Conflict Watch, 18 April 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/residents-of-buxa-tiger-reserve-in-west-bengal-demand-recognition-of-forest-rights

⁸¹. Forced Evictions in India: 2022 & 2023, Housing and Land Rights Network, New Delhi, 2024, https://hlrn.org.in/documents/Forced_Evictions_2022_2023.pdf

^{82.} Displacement and Relocation of Protected Areas: A Synthesis and Analysis of Case Studies, 2009, Economic and Political Weekly. Author: Langerscoix and Kothari. pg. 40,

^{83.} Summary report of National Consultation on Forest Rights Act and Protected Areas, 11–12th November 2013, Indian Social Institute, New Delhi. Published by Kalpavriksh, https://kalpavriksh.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/FRA-PA-national-consultation-2013-

^{84.} Letter dated 12 January 2015 of Survival International to NTCA,

https://assets.survivalinternational.org/documents/1345/150112-letterntca.pdf?_gl=1*4p7lta*_ga*MTAxNjY5NTU1My4xNzE2NzM3NTQz*_ga_VBQT0CYZ12*MTcxNzlxOTgz
MS42LjEuMTcxNzlyMDYyNS4wLjAuMA

⁸⁵. See 'Tribes people illegally evicted from 'Jungle Book' tiger reserve,' Survival International, 14 January 2015, https://www.survivalinternational.org/news/10631

1,870 families were relocated. Only 3 villages of Linga, Jholar and Sukudi remain in core area and they continue to resist relocation, but plans are in place to relocate them.⁸⁶

Manas Tiger Reserve, Assam

The Manas Tiger Reserve was established on the lands of indigenous peoples including the Bodo peoples in 1973. The evictions started in 2016 with the evictions of the Bodos living in Bhuyanpara Range without their FPIC.⁸⁷ The eviction displaced at least 700 families, ⁸⁸ demolished 550 houses ⁸⁹ and reportedly carried out with the assistance of 350 police and armed personnel.⁹⁰ Another eviction drive took place between January and February 2017. The eviction was confronted by a strong protest of 2000 people coming from nearby villages. About 700 houses were reportedly demolished and all families were evicted from the Manas National Park.⁹¹

Dudhwa Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh

Dudhwa National Park was designated as a National Park in 1978 and a Tiger Reserve in 1987. The area is mostly inhabited by the Tharu indigenous community. In 1978, 44 villages were relocated outside the forest on revenue land. Two of these villages, Surma and Golbhoji, resisted the eviction drive and they kept fighting for their traditional rights since then. A court case against the relocation was fought by the two communities since 1980, but they lost the 23-year-long legal battle in 2003. In 2013, the tribal members filed claims which the Sub Divisional Level Committee (SDLC) recognised and forwarded it to forest department who rejected it. Since petitions were submitted to the Supreme Court, the Tharu tribals had reportedly faced continuous harassment and threats. In July 2019, after the Supreme Court rejected their community forest rights claims as part of a larger Forest rights case, the forest department officials allegedly

https://www.cevreadaleti.org/print/kanha-tiger-reserve

^{86.} Eleonora Fanari, 'Eviction from Kanha Tiger Reserve, MP, India', 24 May 2019,

⁸⁷. Eviction at Manas National Park, Assam Times,18 Februar2017,

https://www.assamtimes.org/node/18474

⁸⁸. Tribespeople Evicted from Manas National Park in Assam in Name of Encroachment, Land Conflict Watch,10 May 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/tribespeople-protest-against-forced-evictions-in-manas-national-park-assam

^{89.} Eviction at Manas National Park, Assam Times, 18 February 2017, https://www.assamtimes.org/node/18474

⁹⁰. Tribespeople Evicted from Manas National Park in Assam in Name of Encroachment, Land Conflict Watch, 10 May 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/tribespeople-protest-against-forced-evictions-in-manas-national-park-assam

⁹¹. Eviction from Manas National Park, Assam, India, Ejatlas, 14 October 2021, https://ejatlas.org/conflict/eviction-from-manas-national-park

resorted to extortion and obstructed them from collecting grass and other forest produce. 92

Similipal Tiger Reserve, Odisha

Similipal Tiger Reserve was established in 1973 on the lands of the Kolha, Santhala, Bhumija, Bhatudi, Gondas, Khadia, Mankadia and Sahara tribes. Following a round of evictions in December 2013, about 32 families of the Khadia tribe were expelled to the Asan Kudar resettlement village outside the forest. They were not provided with sufficient land, animals or essential services. They had to live through the heat of April and the deluge of the monsoon under plastic sheets, and had received only a fraction of the Rs 10 lakh they were promised. Sol and Munda tribe members in Jamunagarh village reported that they were 'threatened' and 'cheated' into signing an eviction document drawn up by the forest department. On 19 September 2014, Jamunagarh residents met with Odisha Forest Department officials. They were told that the meeting was to confirm their Community Forest Rights, which they had applied for under the Forest Rights Act 2006. Peoples also reported threats and harassments. Only after signing the document they were told that the document committed them to leave their village - and that they would not even receive the five acres of land they had been promised, as there was no land available.

Tadoba Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra

Tadoba Tiger Reserve was declared Tiger Reserve in 2007. Five of six villages in the Tadoba Tiger Reserve were forcibly evicted including Kolsa, Botezari, Palasgaon, Jamni and Ramdegi. They were notified in 2004 that they could not graze their cattle, collect firewood for domestic use, or collect plants to provide for their families. Beginning in 2004, forced "relocations" of villages began. In 2007, 140 families of Botezari village were relocated by forestry officials. In 2013, 200 families in the Ramdegi village were forced to relocate. In 2014, 222 families from the Jamni village were relocated. Rantalodhi villagers and 97 families from Kolsa village refused to leave. ⁹⁵ They continue to live inside the reserve, though Rantalodhi village was under constant threat of

⁹². Dudhwa National Park and the fight for forest rights, UP, India, Ejatlas, 14 October 2021, https://ejatlas.org/conflict/struggle-for-forest-rights-into-the-core-of-dudhwa-national-park-uttar-pradesh

⁹³. India: tiger reserve tribes face illegal eviction, The Ecologist, 14 October 2014, https://theecologist.org/2014/oct/14/india-tiger-reserve-tribes-face-illegal-eviction

⁹⁴. Ibid

⁹⁵. Struggles for the right to live in forests declared protected areas in India, All India Forum of Forest Movements, November 2021, https://www.wrm.org.uy/sites/default/files/2022-03/Struggles-to-live-in-forests-Protected-Areas-India_spread.pdf

eviction. Rantalodhi villagers reported that their village was set on fire to force relocation by forest officials. ⁹⁶

2.2.2 Forced evictions despite no tigers

Displacement destroys the affected communities and the issuance of notification of an area as a Tiger Reserve has become the means for displacement.

Out of the 55 tiger reserves across the country, no tigers were found in five Tiger Reserves namely, Sahyadri Tiger Reserve in Maharashtra, Satkosia Tiger Reserve in Odisha, Kamlang Tiger Reserve in Arunachal Pradesh, Kawal Tiger Reserve in Telangana and Dampa Tiger Reserve in Mizoram. The Dampa Tiger Reserve in Mizoram was the only one across the country to record zero tigers in both 2018 and 2022 census.⁹⁷

A total of 5,670 tribal families were displaced from these five Tiger Reserves which have no tigers as on date! These include 2,752 families from the Kawal Tiger Reserve in Telangana notified in 2012,⁹⁸ 157 families from the Satkosia Tiger Reserve in Odisha notified in 2007;⁹⁹ 227 Chakma tribal families from the Dampa Tiger Reserve, Mizoram;¹⁰⁰ and 2,534 families¹⁰¹ from the Sahyadri Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra notified on 5 January 2010.¹⁰²

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pgals

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaguestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pgals

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pqals & Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at

https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pgars

⁹⁶. Village Residents Allege Forceful Relocation from Tadoba Tiger Reserve in Maharashtra, Land Conflict Watch, 19 January 2017, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/village-residents-allege-forecefull-relocation-from-tadoba-tiger-reserve-in-maharashtra

⁹⁷. Five tiger reserves have zero tigers, yet get 'good' rating, The Times of India, 1 August 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/five-tiger-reserves-have-zero-tigers-yet-get-good-rating/articleshow/102294634.cms

⁹⁸. Kawal sanctuary in Andhra Pradesh declared tiger reserve, Down To Earth, 12 April 2012, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/kawal-sanctuary-in-andhra-pradesh-declared-tiger-reserve-37950

^{99.} Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019,

^{100.} Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019,

¹⁰¹. See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019 at

¹⁰². Tigers to roar in Sahyadri again, 8 from Chanda to be shifted, The Times of India, 16 October 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/tigers-to-roar-in-sahyadri-again-8-from-chanda-to-be-shifted/articleshow/104448790.cms

There is no rationale to displace tribal communities for Tiger Reserves when there are no tigers in these reserves.

2.2.3 About 2,90,000 persons to be evicted from six Tiger Reserves from 2021

From 2021, five more tiger reserves have been notified i.e. Srivilliputhur Megamalai, Tamil Nadu (2021), Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022), Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh (2022), Veerangana Durgavati Tiger Reserve, Madhya Pradesh (2023) and Dholpur – Karauli Tiger Reserve Rajasthan (2023)¹⁰³ while the Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan has been given in principle approval.

In these six Tiger Reserves, at least 290,0000 persons identified for displacement as given below:

- about 4,000 people from the Srivilliputhur-Megamalai Tiger Reserve (2021); 104
- about 4,400 persons from approximately 1673 families residing eight villages in the Ramgarh Vishdhari Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan (2022);¹⁰⁵
- about 45,000 persons from 52 villages under 22 panchayats in Manikpur Block in Chitrakoot district for the Ranipur Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh (2022);¹⁰⁶
- at least 72,772 persons from 92 villages affected by the expansion of the Nauradehi Wildlife Sanctuary which has become a part of the Durgavati Tiger reserve (2023);¹⁰⁷
- about 4,000 persons from the Dholpur-Karauli Tiger Reserve, Rajasthan, ¹⁰⁸ and

^{103.} List of Tiger Reserve, NTCA, https://ntca.gov.in/tiger-reserves/#tiger-reserves-2

¹⁰⁴. Forced Evictions in India: 2022 & 2023, Housing and Land Rights Network, New Delhi, 2024, https://www.hlrn.org.in/documents/Forced_Evictions_2021.pdf

¹⁰⁵. https://www.abplive.com/states/rajasthan/ramgarh-tiger-reserve-villages-started-displaced-from-ramgarh-tiger-reserve-in-bundi-in-rajasthan-ann-2197106

¹⁰⁶. UP Polls: 'Where Will We Go?' Ask Tribals in Chitrakoot on Being Served Eviction Notices, NewsClick, 1 February 2022, https://www.newsclick.in/UP-Polls-Where-Will-We-Go-Ask-Tribals-Chitrakoot-Being-Served-Eviction-Notices

¹⁰⁷. Reportedly average population per village as per a study of the WWF and WC is 791 person per village in Noradehi Reserve as per Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi Corridor Profile, December 2023 by Kanika Aggarwal, Omkar Patil, Tara Rajendran, Arpit N Deomurari and Prachi Thatte, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/376649847_Bandhavgarh-Nauradehi_Corridor_Profile

- about 160,000 persons from the Kumbhalgarh Wildlife Sanctuary, Rajasthan $(2023)^{109}$

It clearly shows that 2,54,794 persons from 50 Tiger Reserves or about 5,000 persons per Tiger Reserve were identified for relocation from 1973 to 2021. In comparison, at least 290,000 persons from 6 (six) tiger reserves or 48,333 persons per Tiger Reserve were identified for relocation in the post 2021 period. It means 967% increase of displacement per Tiger Reserve in the post 2021 period.

2.2.4 Lack of redress, rehabilitation, resettlement and compensation

Thousands of Indigenous Peoples, who have been forcibly evicted from reserve forests in the name of conservation, have not been provided proper rehabilitation, resettlement and adequate compensation.

Some of the illustrative cases of denial of proper rehabilitation, resettlement and compensation are given below:

Case 1: Tribal families of Nagarahole Tiger Reserve, Karnataka

On 12 July 2019, the Government of India informed parliament that 1,803 families were living in notified Core area of Nagarahole Tiger Reserve in Karnataka. Of these, 635 families from 22 villagers were relocated as on 31 December 2021, according to a statement given in parliament on 14 December 2023.

The evicted families have been living in deplorable conditions in resettlement colonies without access to basic amenities.

In January 2022, about 40 tribal families belonging to Jenu Karuba tribe, who were evicted 10 years ago from Nagarahole Tiger Reserve, returned to the reserve to demand proper compensation and rehabilitation. They were not given land to cultivate

https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pgals

¹⁰⁸. With NTCA nod, Dholpur–Karauli now becomes the 5th tiger reserve in Rajasthan, The Times of India, Aug 23, 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/jaipur/with-ntca-nod-dholpur-karauli-nowbecomes-the-5th-tiger-reserve-in-state/articleshow/102959443.cms

¹⁰⁹. Why re-introducing tigers to the Kumbhalgarh sanctuary in Rajasthan may not be a good idea, The Scroll, 18 April 2022, https://scroll.in/article/1021425/why-re-introducing-tigers-to-the-kumbhalgarh-sanctuary-in-rajasthan-may-not-be-a-good-idea

 $^{^{110}.}$ See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019 at

^{111.} See Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pqars

and financial help while the forest and revenue departments blame each other for negligence. The lands were not cultivable while many suffered health problems. 112

Case 2: Displaced tribals of Satpura Tiger Project, Madhya Pradesh

On 12 July 2019, the Government of India informed parliament that 4,110 families were living in notified Core area of Satpura Tiger Reserve in Madhya Pradesh. Of these, 635 families from 22 villagers were relocated as on 31 December 2021.

Hundreds of tribals displaced from Satpura Tiger Reserve in Madhya Pradesh were not provided rehabilitation and compensation as on 2 June 2022. About 200 tribal families displaced from five villages from the reserve were on hunger protest demonstration from April 2022 demanding the promised rehabilitation and compensation.¹¹⁵

Case 3: Displaced tribals from Mudumalai Tiger Project, Tamil Nadu duped of compensation

The relocation from Mudumalai in Tamil Nadu is a case of lies, deception and dubious land deals. At least 21 tribal families displaced from the reserve stated that the forest officials in connivance with property dealers duped them of the money they received for relocation. These tribals were paid Rs. 400,000 as the first installment of the compensation to leave their home inside the reserve to create inviolate space for tigers and elephants. But land brokers, in connivance with the forest range officer and an advocate, extracted the amount from the tribals by fraudulently selling them *poramboke* land which cannot be owned by anyone. These 21 tribal families were collectively cheated of Rs. 20 million. On 3 September 2019, they filed a first information report (FIR). 116 On 11 December 2023, the tribals took part in a day-long

 $\underline{https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaquestions/annex/171/AU3405.pdf?source=pqals}$

https://sansad.in/getFile/annex/262/AU1325.pdf?source=pqars

^{112.} Evicted tribal families seek compensation after 10 yrs, The Times of India, 19 January 2022, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/mysuru/evicted-tribal-families-seek-compensation-after-10-yrs/articleshow/88983712.cms

^{113.} See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No.3405, 12.07.2019 at

^{114.} See Rajya Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1325, 14.12.2023 at

¹¹⁵. Rehab displaced Satpura tribals, Diggy urges CM, The Times of India, 4 June 2022, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/bhopal/rehab-displaced-satpura-tribals-diggy-urges-cm/articleshow/91994323.cms

^{116.} Nilgiris tribals allege they were duped of compensation, Down to Earth, 14 October 2019, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/governance/nilgiris-tribals-allege-they-were-duped-of-compensation-67231

fast, demanding that the government take corrective steps to undo the effects of their illegal resettlement from within the Mudumalai Tiger Reserve. 117

Case 4: Failure to provide land to 39 PVTG families displaced from Madhav National Park, Madhya Pradesh

In 2000, the state government of Madhya Pradesh evicted about 100 Sahariya families belonging to Particularly Vulnerable Tribal Group (PVTG) from the Madhav National Park to create an "inviolate zone" for wild animals including the plan to introduce tigers in the park. The government had promised to compensate the displaced families with land and money, and ensure basic civic amenities in their new settlement, called Naya Balarpur. Each family was to get five acres of agricultural land with proper irrigation facilities and monetary compensation. However, out of 100 families, only 61 families got some land whereas the rest 39 were told to "adjust with the others" until the authorities could work out a solution. In 2017, the Madhya Pradesh Human Rights Commission ordered the government to compensate the displaced tribals and give land to the 39 landless families. However, the 39 families were not provided land as on 18 February 2020. Apparently, the state's officials discovered the land they were distributing was not revenue land but forestland, which could not be given away for cultivation. ¹¹⁸

In the last two decades of their displacement, save for the allotment of land to some of the families, the promises remain unfulfilled. Even the land given to the 61 families is mostly barren, and without irrigation facilities. Since their land is not fit for farming, the displaced tribals are compelled to work as labourers or stone miners. At least 30 tribals died after afflicted with silicosis and TB. The new settlement area lacks basic amenities. There is no piped water and half the village is without sanitation facilities. They did not even receive the money to build their huts at once, but in installments over a period of time. These displaced families have been waiting for their entitled pieces of land and proper rehabilitation for two decades. They have lost their families, livelihood, culture, identity. 119

2.3 Extrajudicial, summary and arbitrary executions

Hundreds of Indigenous Peoples have been killed inside the protected areas under suspicious circumstances victims of. extrajudicial, summary and arbitrary executions For example, in the Kaziranga National Park (Assam), as per media reports, more than

^{117.} Tribals relocated from Mudumalai Tiger Reserve stage protest, The Hindu, 12 December 2023, https://www.thehindu.com/news/cities/Coimbatore/tribals-relocated-from-mudumalai-tiger-reserve-stage-protest/article67627338.ece

^{118. &#}x27;Village of widows': How Madhya Pradesh devastated an Adivasi community, Newslaundry, 18 February 2020, https://www.newslaundry.com/2020/02/18/village-of-widows-how-madhya-pradesh-devastated-an-adivasi-community

100 persons were killed during encounters between poachers and the forces of the Kaziranga National Park authorities over the years. Assam's Forest Department in a Report of 2014 claimed that hundreds of alleged poachers were shot dead in encounters over the years but not a single forest staffer had been killed in an encounter between 1985 and June 2014, thereby raising suspicions about the encounters. From 2014 to 2016 alone, at least 57 persons were killed – 27 in 2014, 23 in 2015 and 7 in 2016.

Kaziranga National Park, Assam

More than 100 extra judicial killings would have been perpetrated by the park authorities in the last 20 years in this park. About 96 people would have been killed by armed parked rangers in Kaziranga over the past nine years, with 42 people killed between 2014 and 2015 alone. In July 2016, a seven year old boy was traveling through one of the villages bordering the park would have been shot by one of the guards, sustaining a calf injury that has severely impacted his ability to walk. Other victims of alleged executions include Gaonburha Kealing (25) who was shot dead during an alleged encounter with forest officials on 26 December 2013 when he had gone to the forest in search of his cattle; Rahul Kutum, a minor, and three others on 21 May 2010 with Kutum's post mortem report show his dead body carrying unnatural injury marks indicating "that both his hands were tied with rope like material". In addition, a woman identified as Anjuma Khatun and a name identified Fakhruddin were killed when police opened fire to disperse protestors demanding compensation before they move out from the areas on 19 September 2016.

Buxa Tiger Reserve, West Bengal

According to the Forest Department of West Bengal, at least 13 tribals were killed in firing by forest department officials in Buxa Tiger Reserve in West Bengal from 2007 to 2018. The Forest Department described those killed as belonging to the timber mafia.

 ^{120.} Human Rights Violations in Kaziranga National Park, Counter Current, 22 June 2017,
 https://countercurrents.org/2017/06/human-rights-violations-in-kaziranga-national-park/
 121. Human Rights Violations in Kaziranga National Park, Counter Current, 22 June 2017,

https://countercurrents.org/2017/06/human-rights-violations-in-kaziranga-national-park/

^{122.} Saving tigers, killing people, Aljazeera, 6 July 2018,

https://www.aljazeera.com/opinions/2018/7/6/saving-tigers-killing-people

^{123.} Horns of a Dilemma, Hindustan Times, https://www.hindustantimes.com/static/kaziranga-rhino-poaching/

¹²⁴. Ibid

¹²⁵. 2 killed in police firing during Kaziranga eviction, The Times of India, 19 September 2016, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/guwahati/2-killed-in-police-firing-during-Kaziranga-eviction/articleshow/54403631.cms

However, rights bodies alleged they were innocent and poor tribals who merely entered the forest in search of firewood and minor forest produce. 126 Those summarily executed included Samen Rava who along with three other tribal youth, aged between 20-25 years, from North Poro forest village in Buxa Tiger Reserve had gone to the forest to collect firewood was shot dead by the Patrol Unit of the Forest Department on 8 February 2008; ¹²⁷ Suraj Kharia (16) when he along with his nephew Sailesh Kharia (12) had gone to collect fodder for their goat on 21 January 2009; ¹²⁸ Suresh Rava (22) who was shot at, beaten up and died in hospital on 13 November 2009 as per FIR lodged by the victim's family with the Kalchini police station; ¹²⁹ Anil Kheria when he went inside the Moraghat forest for collecting firewood; ¹³⁰ and Milan Rawtia, a tribal working with a tea garden, when he had entered the Taippo forest to fetch his cow who was shot at and declared dead at the hospital on 21 June 2018. 131 On 30 December 2009, 21-yearold Satyen Rava was crippled for life after being shot at inside Rajabhatkhawa forest in Buxa Tiger Reserve when he went to look for some buffaloes they had lost a few days before. Satyen Rava was crippled for life. 132 In 2001, Hradong Rava's brother, 14-year old Sanatan Rava, was beaten to death by the then Range Officer. The case was 'hushed' up¹³³. In 2000, Sadharan Rabha (14) was beaten to death and Hradong Rabha (24) was notably beaten and garrotted in 2005. 134

 $\frac{http://www.forestpeoples.org/en/region/india/publication/2010/killing-tribal-youth-hands-forest-department-buxa-tiger-reserve-north-$

¹²⁶. 'Tribals falling victim to fire from forest guards', Hindu Businessline, 12 March 2018, https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/news/national/tribals-falling-victim-to-fire-from-forest-guards/article64133957.ece

¹²⁷. Killing of tribal youth at the hands of the Forest Department in Buxa Tiger Reserve, North Bengal, condemned by NFFPFW, Forest Peoples Programme, 8 February 2009,

¹²⁸. Killer jungles of North Bengal, Current News, https://www.currentnews.in/killer-jungles-of-north-bengal/

¹²⁹. Ibid

¹³⁰. 'Tribals falling victim to fire from forest guards', Hindu Businessline, 12 March 2018, https://www.thehindubusinessline.com/news/national/tribals-falling-victim-to-fire-from-forest-guards/article64133957.ece

¹³¹ ihid

¹³². Killer jungles of North Bengal, Current News, https://www.currentnews.in/killer-jungles-of-north-bengal/

^{133.} NFFPFW condemns murder of a Rava Youth in Buxa Tiger Reserve, West Bengal, Press Release dated 17 November 2009 issued by National Forum of Forest People and Forest Workers, https://www.forestpeoples.org/sites/default/files/news/2010/08/india_bengal_press_rel_buxa_reserve_nov09_eng.pdf

¹³⁴. GU, N., Guha, T.L., &, Tatpati, M. (2018). Community based conservation amidst conflict in the Dooars region of North Bengal. Pune, Maharashtra: Kalpavriksh, https://kalpavriksh.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Community-Based-Conservation-Amidst-Conflict-in-the-Dooars-region-of-North-Bengal_FINALDRAFT_3rd-December-2018.pdf

Pench Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra & Madhya Pradesh:

Tribal people relocated out of the Pench National Park on the Madhya Pradesh-Maharashtra border whose main source of livelihood is fishing have been executed for trying to go fishing. Those killed include Roopchand Sonwane (40) who was beaten to death by Forest Department officials near Pench Tiger Reserve in Seoni district of Madhya Pradesh on 5 June 2018 when he was collecting firewood and in which eight forest officials were arrested for the murder; Harinand Banwari and two others who were shot at pellets by forest department officials leading to the death of Banwari at Totladoh reservoir in Pench Tiger Reserve of Maharashtra on 23 February 2015, Son 2 July 2012 Baban Bannote who was shot dead when he entered the forest to fish at the Pench reservoir and his body recovered with 100 to 150 pellets embedded in his upper torso, face, head and palms, and both eyes had been pierced with pellets. In January 2012, 17 fishermen were allegedly injured by the forest guards of Maharashtra 40.

Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, Karnataka

In the last few years at least 8 Indigenous persons have reportedly shot dead by the Forest Dept officials without criminal conviction in any of these cases and compensation was not paid to the families. From 8^{th} to 11^{th} April 2023, a Fact-Finding Committee of

¹⁴⁰. Ibid

_

^{135.} Tribal fishers to move court after one of them is killed by forest staff in Pench National Park, Down To Earth, 6 July 2012, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/tribal-fishers-to-move-court-after-one-of-them-is-killed-by-forest-staff-in-pench-national-park-38623#:~:text=Following%20the%20incident%2C%20the%20tribal,mounting%20violent%20attacks%20 on%20them.

¹³⁶. Madhya Pradesh: Eight forest department officials arrested for killing villager, burning his body, Scroll.in, 7 June 2018, https://scroll.in/latest/881801/madhya-pradesh-eight-forest-department-officials-arrested-for-killing-villager-burning-his-body

^{137.} Illegal fisherman shot dead, The Times of India, 24 February 2015, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/illegal-fisherman-shot-dead/articleshow/46348977.cms

¹³⁸. Illegal fisherman shot dead, The Times of India, 24 February 2015, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/illegal-fisherman-shot-dead/articleshow/46348977.cms

^{139.} Tribal fishers to move court after one of them is killed by forest staff in Pench National Park, Down To Earth, 6 July 2012, <a href="https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/tribal-fishers-to-move-court-after-one-of-them-is-killed-by-forest-staff-in-pench-national-park-38623#:~:text=Baban's%20body%20was%20recovered%20by,the%20boat%2C%E2%80%9D%20says%20Banwari

activists, academics, researchers and journalists were informed that at least eight tribals were shot dead by the Forest Department officials without criminal conviction in any of the cases. Those extrajudicially executed include (1) 30-year-old tribal man identified as Maasthi belonging to Jenu Kuruba tribe who died due to alleged torture by the forest department officials in Nagarahole Tiger Reserve on 18 April 2023 after being caught when he and two others had gone for fishing in the reserve; 142 (2) 49-year-old tribal man, Kariyappa, who died due to alleged torture in custody of the Forest Department officials in Mysuru district on 12 October 2022 after being taken into custody in connection with a case of hunting and possessing deer meat on 10 October 2022 with injury marks on his body, indicating torture; 143 and (3) Basava (38), a Jenu Kuruba tribe member, was shot at and injured by the forest guards in the Hunsur wildlife range of the Reserve 144 and succumbed to his injuries in February 2023. 145

Similipal Tiger Reserve, Odisha

On 1 June 2023, Jagannath Hembram, a 26-year-old tribal, was found dead in the Similpal National Park in Odisha in a fake encounter by the forest staff, who mistook him for a poacher. Talabandh's Range Officer Shailendra Kumar Das said forest personnel undertook an operation in the core area on Wednesday night, during which they encountered a group of hunters armed with bows and arrows. Jagannath's brother

¹⁴¹. Fact-Finding team finds grave violations of Adivasi rights in Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, Counter Current, 14 April 2023, https://countercurrents.org/2023/04/fact-finding-team-finds-grave-violations-of-adivasi-rights-in-nagarhole-tiger-reserve/

¹⁴². Tribal man found dead, kin point finger at Nagarahole forest guard, The New Indian Express, 21 April 2023, https://www.newindianexpress.com/states/karnataka/2023/Apr/21/tribal-man-found-dead-kin-point-finger-at-nagarahole-forest-guard-2567937.html

¹⁴³. Karnataka: Tribal man in foresters' custody dies of suspected torture in HD Kote, The New Indian Express, 13 October 2022,

https://www.newindianexpress.com/states/karnataka/2022/Oct/13/karnataka-tribal-man-in-foresters-custody-dies-of-suspected-torture-in-hd-kote-2507545.html

¹⁴⁴. Adivasi man shot by forest officials in Karnataka, later accused of sandalwood theft, The News Minute, 4 January 2022, https://www.thenewsminute.com/karnataka/adivasi-man-shot-forest-officials-karnataka-later-accused-sandalwood-theft-159464

¹⁴⁵. Fact-Finding team finds grave violations of Adivasi rights in Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, Counter Current, 14 April 2023, https://countercurrents.org/2023/04/fact-finding-team-finds-grave-violations-of-adivasi-rights-in-nagarhole-tiger-reserve/

^{146.} Tribal man found dead with bullet wounds in Similipal, locals blame forest personnel, The Print, 1 June 2023, https://theprint.in/india/tribal-man-found-dead-with-bullet-wounds-in-similipal-locals-blame-forest-personnel/1607170/

Kaluram lodged a police complaint, alleging that he was killed in a "fake encounter" by the forest staff, who mistook him for a poacher. 147

Jaldapara Wildlife Sanctuary, West Bengal

In 2008, Sardhu Cheria, a poor tribal from the Mendabari village within the Jaldapara Wildlife Sanctuary, West Bengal was shot in the leg and left unattended for more than a day inside the jungle. He died because of excessive bleeding. No case was registered and an unofficial compensation of Rs 50,000 was allegedly paid to his family. 148

Barwanapara Wildlife Sanctuary, Mahasamund District

Families from the Rampur Village that that decided to stay Barwanapara Wildlife Sanctuary have faced brutal oppression: they were threatened by the forest department to vacate their houses. The villagers are not allowed to bathe in the pond in the village. They are furthermore disallowed access to tendu patta, mahua, and other forest produce which they ought to be allowed to use. If they try to acquire forest produce in a clandestine manner, it is seized from them and they are beaten in turn. The compensation of Rs. 2000/- (27 US dollars) was declared in return for not picking tendu. On 15 January 2018, the Forest Department officials entered Rampur village and physically assaulted members of tribal families, harassed women, and destroyed the devsthal (sacred site), with the aim to forcefully evict them. On 18 January 2018, forest officials physically assaulted and abused a resident of Rampur village and his family for refusing to relocate. He was arrested and detained for 10 days. When his wife and children tried to rescue him, they were beaten up as well. An unconscious Rajkumar was then taken to the hospital, before a complaint was registered against him and he was shown to be arrested by the police. He was again intimidated to sign documents which he could not read, for he is illiterate. 149

2.4. Arbitrary arrest, torture, sexual gender-based violence

There are regular reports of torture and ill treatment, sexual gender-based violence & arbitrary arrest and detention and threats in the protected areas.

¹⁴⁷. Tribal man found dead with bullet wounds in Similipal, locals blame forest personnel, The Print, 1 June 2023, https://theprint.in/india/tribal-man-found-dead-with-bullet-wounds-in-similipal-locals-blame-forest-personnel/1607170/

¹⁴⁸. Killer jungles of North Bengal, Current News, available at https://www.currentnews.in/killer-jungles-of-north-bengal/

¹⁴⁹. Struggles for the right to live in forests declared protected areas in India, All India Forum of Forest Movements, November 2021, https://www.wrm.org.uy/sites/default/files/2022-03/Struggles-to-live-in-forests-Protected-Areas-India_spread.pdf

Melaghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra

On 25 August 2022, three tribal youths namely Ankush Mavaskar, Anand Kasdekar and Pappu Chavan were detained and subjected to torture and degrading treatment, including branding with hot iron rod by Forest Department officials in Amravati district. The victims had gone for fishing in the Melaghat Tiger Reserve and were detained by the forest department officials. The forest officials registered a case against them under Sections 27/29/31/50/51(1)(c) of Wildlife (Protection) Act 1972. 151

In September 2020, five Korku tribal families from the Pastalai who had refused to move out from the Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra were denied entry and exit to their village, Pastalai. Two tribal men namely Raju Dhandekar and his brother Gaju Dhandekar were arrested on 1 September 2020 by the Forest Department as they headed home to their village of Pastalai, inside the Tiger Reserve. Both men were charged with violating the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 for allegedly destroying wildlife or forest produce inside a sanctuary. They were released on bail the next day, but the bail order restricted them from returning home. On 9 November 2020, Gaju's wife, Phulwanti, was also arrested from her house in Pastalai. She was falsely charged for having two stumps of teak wood in her pile of firewood. Her bail order did not restrain Phulwanti from returning home, but forest guards allegedly did not allow her back into Pastalai. ¹⁵²

Mudumalai Tiger Reserve, Tamil Nadu

On 4 December 2020, a tribal identified as Samiyappan was allegedly tortured by the Mudumalai Tiger Reserve (MTR) Forest Department officials in Tamil Nadu to force him into confessing to an offence he had no role. In a video that had gone viral on social media, the victim was seen claiming to have been subjected to third degree methods to force him to confess poisoning wild dogs. The victim could be seen speaking from a government primary health centre at Masinagudi, where he was undergoing treatment after attempting suicide. According to reports, MTR officials took ten persons, including Samiyappan, to Anaikatti forest guest house on 4 December for questioning in connection with the death of five wild dogs at Vibuthimalai in September 2020. While

¹⁵⁰. Tribal men burnt with hot bars for illegal fishing in Maharashtra's Amravati, Hindustan Times, 26 August 2022, https://www.hindustantimes.com/cities/mumbai-news/tribal-men-burnt-with-hot-bars-for-illegal-fishing-in-maharashtra-s-amravati-101661525579914.html

¹⁵¹ . Case No. 3163/13/3/2022 registered by the National Human Rights Commission of India on 27 August 2022, https://hrcnet.nic.in/HRCNet/public/CaseStatus.aspx

¹⁵². India's Man-Tiger Conflict & The Trauma Of Illegal Relocation, Article 14, 19 February 2021, https://article-14.com/post/india-s-man-tiger-conflict-the-trauma-of-illegal-relocation

three were arrested and two slapped with fine for of offences under the Wildlife Act, five persons, including Samiyappan, were released.¹⁵³

Amrabad Tiger Reserve, Telangana

On 27 March 2021, 24 tribals, including 16 women belonging to Lambada tribe, were allegedly stripped and beaten by the Forest Department officials after they were found collecting Mahua flowers from the Reserve. The victims were also allegedly stripped by the officials. Following protest by the tribals, the Telangana State Human Rights Commission (TSHRC) directed the Forest Department to submit a report. The victims sustained injuries on their head and limbs. K. Patya (48), one of the victims, who received injuries on his head, stated "After collecting flowers, we were sleeping in the forest when officials suddenly attacked us in the middle of the night. They forced us to strip and they started beating us. They even beat up a 70-year-old woman." 154

Buxa Tiger Reserve, West Bengal

A large number of villagers who have spoken out against forest department officials have charges pending against them.¹⁵⁵ Forest dwellers are routinely harassed by the forest department and the police. In December 2020, a tribal youth, Upen Rava was illegally detained by forest officials after he was found in the Buxa Tiger Reserve. About 100 villagers marched to the forest office demanding transfer of the officer involved in the illegal detention.¹⁵⁶

Rajaji Tiger Reserve

On 1 January 2018, Amit, an informer of poaching activities in Rajaji Tiger Reserve in Uttarakhand, was arrested under false charges of fishing in the Rajaji Tiger Reserve. He was targeted as he was the hindrance between the poachers and forest officials. He was detained for about a month. He was also beaten and given electric shocks by a wildlife warden and two range officers. They forced him to give his thumb impression

¹⁵³. India: Annual Report on Torture 2020, NCAT, 18 March 2021, http://www.uncat.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/03/IndiaTortureReport2020.pdf

¹⁵⁴. 'Stripped, beaten up by forest officials': Battered Nagarkurnool tribals in Telangana seek justice, The New Indian Express, 31 March 2021,

https://www.newindianexpress.com/states/telangana/2021/Mar/31/stripped-beaten-up-by-forest-officials-battered-nagarkurnool-tribals-in-telanganaseek-justice-2283766.html

¹⁵⁵. GU, N., Guha, T.L., &, Tatpati, M. (2018). Community based conservation amidst conflict in the Dooars region of North Bengal. Pune, Maharashtra: Kalpavriksh

^{156.} Forest office ransacked over detention of village youth, The Telegraph, 30 December 2020, https://www.telegraphindia.com/westbengal/forestofficeransackedoverdetentionofvillageyouth/cid/1802088

on a blank paper. It was later used in a fabricated statement, which showed his involvement in poaching activity. On 30 March 2018, Amit was again sent to judicial custody on charges of illegal entry in forest area. "The officials tried to delay and misguide the probe by giving contradictory statements and sometimes refusing to show up for inquiry". 157

Tadoba- Andhari Tiger Reserve

On 15 December 2011, a leading activist from the community organization Vidharbha Jungalwasi Adhikar Bachao Sanghathan (Save the Vidarbha Forest Dwellers' Rights Organization, VJABS) was detained along with two villagers from Kolsa village. Criminal cases were filed against them and they were kept in custody for 3 days without any information as to why they had been arrested. Upon being released on bail, it came to their knowledge that they had been arrested in relation to activities which had happened three months earlier. According to the activists, on the evening of 18 September 2011, forest officials asked Kolsa villagers to hold a meeting regarding the relocation of the village from the Tadoba- Andhari Tiger Reserve. The villagers requested that the meeting be held the next morning instead, which the forest officials refused. Police officers lodged complaints against some villagers on grounds of interference with government officials carrying out their work. The villagers confirmed that the forest officials then attempted to break community resistance by luring some families into relocating. 158

Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, Karnataka

A number of Jenu Kuruba families were reportedly beaten and harassed whenever they attempted such a comeback. In the last three decades, indigenous communities have faced a huge amount of death threats, harassment, false criminal charges aimed at silencing their leaders and stopping them from organising themselves for their rights. ¹⁵⁹ In March 2021, the Jenu Kurubas held a major protest against attempts to evict them and to demand their rights to live in their tiger reserve. The Forest Department retaliated by targeting leaders of the protest with false criminal charges. In May 2021, the police registered a case against six Jenu Kuruba leaders on the charges of

¹⁵⁷. When forest officials help poachers hunt down protected animals, Down To Earth, 14 March 2019, https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/forests/when-forest-officials-help-poachers-hunt-down-protected-animals-63436

¹⁵⁸. Struggles for the right to live in forests declared protected areas in India, All India Forum of Forest Movements, November 2021, https://www.wrm.org.uy/sites/default/files/2022-03/Struggles-to-live-in-forests-Protected-Areas-India_spread.pdf

¹⁵⁹. See 'A FACT-FINDING REPORT ON FORCED EVICTIONS, REHABILITATION BETRAYALS AND FORTRESS CONSERVATION IN NAGARHOLE: 15–18 MAY 2022' at https://assets.survivalinternational.org/documents/2151/Joint_Fact_Finding_Report_Nagarhole_pdf_report.pdf

"assaulting and using criminal force" against forest officials after a forest ranger tried to stop one of the leaders (JK Thimma) from repairing his house. 160

Dudhwa Tiger Reserve, Uttar Pradesh

In August 2020, a Tharu woman was allegedly molested and hurled abuses by the Deputy Director of Dudhwa National Park, Manoj Kumar Sonkar while she was rearing goats in the forest. Earlier in July 2020, forest officials clashed with tribal members from Kajaria village after forest officials came to the village and allegedly fired shots in the air, molested a few women and beat up youngsters of the community. FIRs were lodged in both the cases. In April 2016, 75-year-old Bhanduram of Jayanagar village was arrested allegedly on false charges. In 2012, Nivadha, a woman leader, was beaten up with a baton by forest guards while she was in the forest collecting firewood with another group of women 162. In 2011, a group of villagers were victims of tortures including sexual violence by forest officials for having refused to pay the parallel tax—locally known as *galla* or *hafta* (illegal taxes) collected illegally by the forest officers to permit grazing of cattle. 163

3. CAG's Indictment of the Project Tiger

The Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG) was established under Article 148 of the Constitution of India, mandated to audit all receipts and expenditure of the Government of India and the State Governments, including of autonomous bodies and corporations substantially financed by the government. In its audit reports, the CAG indicted the Project Tiger in many States.

3.1. Non compliance with the FPIC & proper rehabilitation and resettlement

The Comptroller and Auditor General of India (CAG), the supreme audit institution of India, established under Article 148 of the Constitution of India, mandated to audit all receipts and expenditure of the Government of India and the State Governments,

¹⁶⁰. We can live with the Tiger, not the forest department in India, a Fact–Finding Report, 25/05/2022, https://countercurrents.org/2022/05/we-can-live-with-the-tiger-not-the-forest-department/

¹⁶¹. Villagers in UP's Dudwa Tiger Reserve Face Human Rights Violations, Eviction Notices, Land Conflict Watch, 20 October 2016, https://www.landconflictwatch.org/conflicts/dudhwa-national-park

¹⁶². Dudhwa National Park and the fight for forest rights, UP, India, Ejatlas, 14 October 2021, https://ejatlas.org/conflict/struggle-for-forest-rights-into-the-core-of-dudhwa-national-park-uttar-pradesh

¹⁶³. Will Injecting Petrol Into Anus Of Dalits Help Save Royal Bengal Tiger?, Sabrang, JULY 5, 2016, https://sabrangindia.in/will-injecting-petrol-anus-dalits-help-save-royal-bengal-tiger/

including of autonomous bodies and corporations substantially financed by the government in their audit reports found systematic non-compliance and violations of the free, prior and informed consent and the right to rehabilitation and resettlement from the protected areas as provided under Section 4(2) of Forest Rights Act, 2006. Some of the findings of the CAG are given below:

Karnataka¹⁶⁵

The Comptroller and Auditor General of India in its "Report No.6 of 2017 - Administration of National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries Government of Karnataka" noted delay in recognition of rights under the FRA and stated that "it is imperative that villagers living inside the PAs are rehabilitated by providing grant of rights to tribals and other traditional forest dwellers". The CAG observed "slower settlement of rights under Forest Rights Act (FRA), 2006 and sluggish rehabilitation and relocation works". 166

The CAG also noted that "it is well recognised that the local communities are put to a lot of hardship after notification of any area as National Park or Sanctuary".

The CAG further observed "Thus, it is evident from the above information that, though the families are willing to come out of the Protected Areas, the process of rehabilitation is delayed due to delay in acquisition of land for rehabilitation and non-completion of valuation by Revenue authorities. The delay in the process of rehabilitation would further delay the consolidation of habitat of key species like tiger and elephants, besides requiring developmental activities like maintenance of roads, power lines, schools and other infrastructure inside Protected Areas, the cost of which could be more than that required for onetime payment towards rehabilitation / relocation of these villagers outside Protected Areas." 167

During scrutiny of Management Plans and progress of rehabilitation, the CAG noticed that rehabilitation and resettlement were not taken up as planned. The scrutiny revealed that a total of 992 families were planned to be rehabilitated during 2014-16. However, only 333 families were rehabilitated which indicates a shortfall of nearly 66 per cent. In Nagarahole Tiger Reserve, the CAG observed that in spite of availability of land and funds, the relocation of 195 applicants were still under progress indicating slow progress in the matter. ¹⁶⁸

^{164.} The Scheduled Tribes and Other Traditional Forest Dwellers (Recognition of Forest Rights) Act, 2006 is available at https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/2070/1/200702.pdf

¹⁶⁵. Report No.6 of 2017 - Administration of National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries Government of Karnataka, 21 June 2017, CAG, https://cag.gov.in/ag2/karnataka/en/audit-report/details/31134

^{166.} Ibid

¹⁶⁷. Ibid

¹⁶⁸. Ibid

Most importantly, the CAG observed unscientific rehabilitation of the tribals. It said "In case of Chamarajnagar-Talamalai corridor at Punjur of BRT Tiger Reserve, there was unscientific rehabilitation of tribals during 1990 from Biligiri Ranganathaswamy Hills to this corridor. Since this is an ecologically high priority corridor, these settlements have to be relocated once again to secure the corridor. However, as seen from the Tiger Conservation Plan (TCP), no action has been initiated in the matter." 169

Maharashtra¹⁷⁰

In its report "*Performance Audit of Report No 2 of 2019 Economic Sector Government of Maharashtra*", the CAG while examining the management of Tiger Reserves in Maharashtra, found that 19 villages were relocated from Sahyadri Tiger Reserve till January 2010. However, five villages could not be rehabilitated due to non-availability of alternate land as in November 2018. The report also revealed that the civic amenities required to be provided to affected persons in 19 relocated villages were not completed. Most importantly, the report revealed that 596 affected families from six villages who were relocated to Kolhapur were allotted only 78.55 hectare of land against requirement of 572.65 hectare for their rehabilitation. Therefore, there was a shortfall of 494.10 hectare of land.¹⁷¹

3.2 Non compliance with prohibition of tourism

3.2.1 Legal framework prohibiting tourism inside Tiger Reserves

According to the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, no construction of commercial tourist lodges, hotels, zoos and safari parks shall be undertaken inside a sanctuary or National Park except with the prior approval of the National Board for Wild Life. The Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change has issued guidelines for sustainable ecotourism in forest and wildlife areas which are aimed at promoting a better understanding of nature and wildlife conservation while generating income and opportunities for local communities in an ecologically, culturally, and economically sustainable manner. Guidelines for establishment of tiger safaris in buffer and fringe areas of tiger reserves have been issued by the National Tiger Conservation Authority. 172

171. Ibid

¹⁶⁹. Ibid

^{170.} Report No.2 of 2019 - Economic Sector, Government of Maharashtra, 2 July 2019, CAG, https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2019/Chapter_2_Performance_Audit_of_Report_No_2_of_2019_Economic_Sector_Government_of_Maharashtra.pdf

¹⁷². See Lok Sabha Unstarred Question No. 1768, 31 July 2023, https://sansad.in/getFile/loksabhaguestions/annex/1712/AU1768.pdf?source=pgals

Though the Wildlife Protection Act provides for identifying "areas are required to be kept as inviolate for the purposes of tiger conservation, without affecting the rights of the Scheduled Tribes or such other forest dwellers", various statutory committees like the Forest Advisory Committee (FAC), Regional Empowered Committee (REC), Standing Committee of National Board for Wildlife (SC-NBWL) and Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) had granted permission for diversion of lands for infrastructural projects such as roads, railways, pipelines, transmission lines, etc. The SC-NBWL approved diversion of 325 hectares in 2019, 595 hectares in 2020 and over 770 hectares in 2021 (as of July) while Several projects are in the pipeline waiting to be cleared. ¹⁷³

The guarantees provided under Section 4(2) of Forest Rights Act, 2006 that "the critical wildlife habitats from which rights holders are thus relocated for purposes of wildlife conservation shall not be subsequently diverted by the State Government or the Central Government or any other entity for other uses" have been blatantly violated for the unregulated tourism and other development projects inside the Tiger Reserves.

3.2.2 Tourism in violation of the Wildlife Protection Act

Case 1: CAG findings on tiger reserves in Madhya Pradesh 174

The CAG in its 'Audit Report Economic Sector (Non PSUs) for the year ended 31 March 2014' on the 'Working of Tiger Reserves, National Parks and Sanctuaries' in Madhya Pradesh observed various instances of violations of the Wildlife Protection Act and Guidelines issued by National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) such as establishing (i) a petrol pump, (ii) Interpretation Complex and Forest Rest houses being run on commercial basis by the Forest Department, (iii) Baghira hut was being run by Madhya Pradesh State Tourism Development Corporation, (iv) Staff colony and other residential quarters/ offices inside Kanha Tiger Reserve.

Furthermore, high tension electric lines in total length of 501.30 km were passing through the four Tiger Reserves (TRs) including Buffer Zone of Kanha Tiger Reserve. Out of 366.20 km, insulation of 158.60 km electric lines passing through Bandhavgarh, Pench and Kanha TRs only was planned during the period 2009-10 to 2013-14. Out of 115 reported deaths of Tigers in the State during 2014-18, 16 were through electrocution, making it the second biggest cause of deaths after territorial fights.

¹⁷³. 'Tiger habitats overlooked in rush to grant nod for infra projects', The Times of India, 29 July 2021, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/tiger-habitats-overlooked-in-rush-to-grant-nod-for-infra-projects/articleshow/84840063.cms

¹⁷⁴. The report 'Audit Report Economic Sector (Non PSUs) for the year ended 31 March 2014' is available at:

 $[\]frac{https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2015/Madhya_Pradesh_Report_1_2015_Chap_2.}{pdf}$

Similarly, out of 209 deaths of Leopards, 21 were killed during the same period by electrocution. This problem was supposed to be addressed by insulation of open electric lines or by installing Earth Leakage Circuit Breakers in sensitive forest areas.

Case 2: CAG findings on tiger reserves in Karnataka¹⁷⁵

In its report, 'Administration of National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries in Karnataka' (Report No. 6 of the year 2017), the CAG found various instances of violations of the Wildlife Protection Act and NTCA Guidelines¹⁷⁶ such as

- In Biligiri Rangaswamy Temple (BRT) Tiger Reserve, against the ceiling of 14 vehicles per day, 32 trips were being operated daily; in Bandipur TR, against carrying capacity of 20-22 trips per day (660 trips per month), 1,004, 683 and 853 safari trips were operated during the months of May, August and October 2015 respectively which exceeded the permissible carrying capacity.
- Further, in Bandipur TR vehicles used for safari were emitting sound beyond permissible levels. However, no action was taken to ensure that only vehicles emitting sound within permissible levels were used for safari purposes.
- As many as 51 resorts / hotels were found to be functioning in and around six sampled Protected Areas, many were running without regulation/approval, thereby creating significant impact on the wildlife and its habitats. In Bandipur Tiger Reserve, out of 19 resorts/ hotels were found to be functioning, only six had been approved by the Department.
- In BRT Tiger Reserve, four hotels/ commercial establishments were functioning inside enclosures contrary to Wilderness Tourism Policy, and 11 resorts were functioning within 0.5 to 10 km from the Tiger Reserve and all of these did not have the approval of the Forest Department.
- In addition, five coffee plantations are present in the core area / critical tiger habitat of the BRT Tiger Reserve. These plantations are functioning on leased lands and are using the forest roads for transportation of timber and labourers and creating pressure on Tiger Reserve which is not conducive for wildlife conservation.

https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2017/Chapter_5_Commercial_activities_in_and_around_the_Protected_Areas_and_Eco-

¹⁷⁵. Report No. 6 of the year 2017 'Administration of National Parks and Wildlife Sanctuaries in Karnataka' is available at

Case 3: CAG findings in tiger reserves in West Bengal¹⁷⁷

In 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for the year ended 31 March 2016', the CAG observed mushrooming of tourism activities posing threats to endangered species including tigers. The CAG findings include diversion of forest land for construction of tourist facilities without permission of the competent authority in violation of the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, non involvement of local people in implementation of wildlife conservation and management programmes, and non-utilisation of fund generated from tourism activities for the welfare of forest dwellers and wildlife conservation.¹⁷⁸

Case 4: CAG findings on Kerala¹⁷⁹

In Kerala, the CAG in its 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for the year ended 31 March 2017' found un-regulated tourism activities in core area in the Periyar Tiger Reserve (PTR) in contravention of the Normative Standards for tourism activities in Tiger Reserves issued by the NTCA, which include tourist vehicles entering core area unaccompanied by forest staff/authorized guides often went close to wild animals leading to confrontation, unauthorized trekking by tourists, setting of fire and littering. The report further noted that out of 18 major threats identified by the PTR in Tiger Conservation Plan (TCP), Sabarimala Pilgrimage ranked the first, which attract millions of devotees every year, leading to habitat degradation. ¹⁸⁰

Further, four high tension power (HT) lines passes through the core of PTR, leading to fire incidents due to sparks including death of animals by electrocution. However, no preventive measures were taken though the risk of fire from HT lines was identified, which led to repeated fire incidents damaging the forest ecology.

¹⁷⁷. 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for West Bengal for the year ended 31 March 2016' of the CAG is available at

https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2017/Chapter_2_%E2%80%93_Performance_Audit_%E2%80%93_Report_No.1_of_2017_%E2%80%93_Economic_Sector_Government_of_West_Bengal_1.p_df

¹⁷⁸. Ibid

¹⁷⁹. 'Audit Report (Economic Sector) for Kerala for the year ended 31 March 2017' of the CAG, is available at

 $[\]frac{https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2018/Report_No_6_of_2018_Economic_Sector_G}{overnment_of_Kerala.pdf}$

¹⁸⁰. Ibid

Case 5: CAG findings in tiger reserves in Maharashtra 181

In its report "Performance Audit of Report No 2 of 2019 Economic Sector Government of Maharashtra", the CAG while examining the management of Tiger Reserves in Maharashtra, found a number of violations including tourist facilities in core areas, road construction/ widening /up-gradation, linear projects, death of tigers due to electrocution, etc, thereby causing massive impact to the wild life and habitats as given below. ¹⁸²

In Melghat Tiger Reserve (MTR), an Interpretation Complex Centre and a Forest Rest house were run in the core area by the Forest Department on commercial basis. Due to these facilities, a number of small food stalls had also come up in adjoining area. While in Tadoba-Andhari Tiger Reserve (TATR), a forest staff colony and forest Rest Houses were found in the core area. A new cement concrete road was constructed (March 2016) which passes through the core area of TATR. Required permission from NTCA for the said construction was not found on record. Further, in the core area of TATR, the department upgraded an existing road at a cost of Rs. 3.86 crore which was not allowed. A tourism complex of the Forest Development Corporation of Maharashtra Limited (FDCM) spread over an area of 17.60 hectares consisting of 14 suites and 32 bed youth hostel, canteen facility along with maintenance staff in NNTR was actually surrounded by the core area but had been demarcated outside the critical tiger habitat.

The audit noted that in TATR operation of vehicles per day exceeded on 93 occasions during 2012-17 as against norms of 122 vehicles and the excess ranged between two and 51 vehicles per day. Further, it was noted that visitors did not maintain the prescribed distance of minimum of 20 meters during wildlife sighting. The report revealed that the commercial activities cause hindrances in free movement of animal upto the water source in TATR.

Further, it was noted that the buffer area was fragmented due to highways and railway lines resulting in animal deaths, and high tension electric lines passing through tiger reserves were not insulated. HT lines having a total length of 282.914 km were passing through in all the TRs. Death of eight tigers due to electrocution was reported during 2012-18.

¹⁸¹. Report No.2 of 2019 – Economic Sector, Government of Maharashtra, **2 July 2019, CAG,**https://cag.gov.in/uploads/download_audit_report/2019/Chapter_2_Performance_Audit_of_Report_No_2_of_2019_Economic_Sector_Government_of_Maharashtra.pdf

¹⁸². Ibid

4. The future ahead: Unregulated tourism and other development projects inside Tiger Reserves

The tourism and other development project activities shall continue unabated. The government of India the Forest Conservation Amendment Act, 2023 to exempt "(vi) establishment of zoo and safaris referred to in the Wild Life (Protection) Act, 1972, owned by the Government or any authority, in forest areas other than protected areas; and (vii) eco-tourism facilities included in the Forest Working Plan or Wildlife Management Plan or Tiger Conservation Plan or Working Scheme of that area" from the Forest Conservation Act. 183

The policy is clear: tourists, not the tribals are welcomed inside the Tiger Reserves.

Some emblematic cases are highlighted below:

Case 1: Road projects in Rajaji TR, Uttarakhand

In 2021, a total of six road projects involving an area of 139 hectares in Rajaji Tiger Reserve in Uttarakhand were approved for diversion in close vicinity or in the ecosensitive zone (ESZ) of the reserve affecting important tiger and elephant corridors. ¹⁸⁴

Case 2: Limestone mining and highway projects in Mukundra Hills TR, Rajasthan

In 2020, in Mukundra Hills Tiger Reserve (MHTR) in Rajasthan, 10 projects were approved for diverting 81 hectares from the tiger reserve and its surrounding corridors. An area of 54 hectares was diverted for nine limestone mining projects in Chechat lying in the surrounding tiger corridors. Besides, for development of an eight-lane Greenfield highway, a section of which runs through MHTR, 27 hectares forest was diverted from within the tiger reserve. Outside the tiger reserve, this project diverts area from Barkalaji reserve forest which acts as a tiger corridor lying contiguous to the MHTR. The tiger reserve area to be diverted has 0.8 density of forest and is rich in biodiversity in the entire stretch with very good quality of vegetation. 185

¹⁸³. The Forest Conservation Amendment Act, 2023 is available at https://egazette.gov.in/WriteReadData/2023/247866.pdf

¹⁸⁴. 'Tiger habitats overlooked in rush to grant nod for infra projects', The Times of India, 29 July 2021, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/tiger-habitats-overlooked-in-rush-to-grant-nod-for-infra-projects/articleshow/84840063.cms

¹⁸⁵. Ibid

Case 3: Clearance for limestone mining and skywalk projects in two TRs, Maharashtra

On 25 April 2023, the Standing Committee of the National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) in its 72nd meeting granted clearance for limestone mining project in the corridor between Tipeshwar Wildlife Sanctuary and Tadoba-Andhari-Kawal Tiger Reserve in Maharashtra. The meeting also cleared the world's first single cable bridge skywalk project in Chikhaldara hill station in Amravati, in which land from buffer area of the Melghat Tiger Reserve will be used. ¹⁸⁶

The Birla group's Reliance Cementation Company Private Limited (RCCPL) proposed to mine limestone in the tiger corridor in the Mukutban forest range in the Zari-Zamni tehsil in Yavatmal district. Of the mining lease spread over 572 hectares, 467 hectares is reserve forest in the tiger corridor. Similarly, City and Industrial Development Corporation, a state government company, proposed to use 0.9286 hectares of land from the Melghat Tiger Reserve buffer area for the construction of a rope suspension bridge (skywalk) connecting Hurricane Point and Goreghat Point at Chikhaldara, Amravati. The clearance paved the way for both the projects. ¹⁸⁷

On 21 October 2021, the then Chief Minister of Maharashtra Uddhav Thackeray constituted a Committee to reexamine the mining proposal considering the threat to the tiger corridor. The Committee in its report had suggested 'avoidance' is the best mitigation strategy. However, with change in government, the project was cleared in the 19th meeting chaired by Forest Minister Sudhir Mungantiwar. ¹⁸⁸

Case 4: Clearance for road project through tiger corridor in Andhra Pradesh

In February 2024, the National Board for Wildlife (NBWL) had recommended the use of more than 40 hectares of forest land from the tiger corridor connecting the Nagarjunasagar Srisailam Tiger Reserve (NSTR) and the Sri Venkateswara National Park in Andhra Pradesh for the development of expressways, economic corridors and intercorridors under the second phase of Bharatmala Pariyojana. According to the minutes

¹⁸⁶. Limestone mining project approved in tiger corridor, The Times of India, 20 May 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/limestone-mining-project-approved-in-tiger-corridor/articleshow/100369018.cms

¹⁸⁷. Limestone mining project approved in tiger corridor, The Times of India, 20 May 2023, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/nagpur/limestone-mining-project-approved-in-tiger-corridor/articleshow/100369018.cms

¹⁸⁸. Ibid

¹⁸⁹. Centre gives green signal to road project in Andhra Pradesh tiger corridor, Business Standard, 28 March 2024, https://www.business-standard.com/india-news/centre-gives-green-signal-to-road-project-in-andhra-pradesh-tiger-corridor-124032800826_1.html

of the meeting of the NBWL, the length of the road passing through the tiger corridor under the project is about five kilometres. Three tunnels, four minor bridges, seven viaducts and two culverts have been suggested as mitigation structures in the project proposal. The length of the animal passage is around three kilometres on both sides. The Member-Secretary, National Tiger Conservation Authority (NTCA) had informed the Standing Committee of the NBWL that the mitigation measures suggested under the proposal appear to be adequate and therefore, it may be recommended. The corridor connecting the NSTR and the Sri Venkateswara National Park, known as the Nagarjunasagar-Sri Venkateswara NP Corridor, passes through forested habitats and includes three protected areas - the Gundla Brahmeswaram Wildlife Sanctuary, the Sri Lankamalleswara Wildlife Sanctuary and the Sri Penusila Narasimha Wildlife Sanctuary.

Case 5: Diversion of forest land for construction of underground pipeline and pump house in core area of Amrabad Tiger Reserve, Telangana

On 13 February 2023, the State Board of Wildlife, Telangana approved diversion of forest land for laying of underground pipeline and pump house in Nambapuram and Rayabaram Reserve Forest in the core area of Amrabad Tiger Reserve, Telangana to lift water from N. Sagar Reservoir for irrigating 4100 acres of land. The Forest Department recommended the project as no tree felling is involved and the pipeline will be laid underground and with the conditions that to reduce the impact of the proposed project on wildlife habitat, a mitigation plan was prepared and approved by Chief Wildlife Warden (CWW) for Rs164.00 lakh. The mitigation plan includes installation of solar bore wells and construction of PTs to store water, management of natural grasslands, development of base camps for patrolling in interior forests, creating awareness among public and fire management. ¹⁹²

Case 6: Diversion of land in tiger corridor in Kagaznagar division for laying of OFC by Airtel, Telangana

On 13 February 2023, the State Board of Wildlife, Telangana approved diversion of 1.1188 hectares of land in tiger corridor in Kagaznagar forest division for laying of OFC by Telesonic Networks Ltd (Airtel) over a length of 22.077 km with a width of 0.45 mts. The OFC line passing over 6.79 km falls under existing Right of Way and 15.279 km does not have Right of Way. The proposed OFC line is underground, along the road and

 $\frac{https://forestsclearance.nic.in/writereaddata/Sec_Letter/0_0_04_May_2023_103927137_minuts_Min_utesof6thSBWLmeeting.pdf$

¹⁹⁰. Ibid

¹⁹¹. Ibid

¹⁹². Minutes of the 6th Meeting of the State Board for Wildlife, Telangana, dated 13.02.2023 is available

no felling of tree is involved. However, to minimize the impact on wildlife habitat mitigation plan is recommended by CWW at a cost of Rs.25 lakh to be funded by the company. 193

Case 7: Diversion of land for irrigation project in core area of Kawal Tiger Reserve, Telangana

On 13 February 2023, the State Board of Wildlife, Telangana approved diversion of 3.17 hectares of land falling in core area of Kawal Tiger Reserve for laying pipeline for an irrigation scheme. Under the proposed project 8723.17 Mts length with a width of 3 to 5 meters width of forest land in Kawal Dasturabad and Kaddam RF in Kawal Tiger Reserve would be required for laying of the pipeline. The tiger reserve have presence of important fauna like Panther, Wild Dog, Sloth Bear, Gaur, Pangolin, Paradise Fly Catcher, Hornbill, etc. During execution of the pipeline work there will be disturbance to wildlife and wildlife habitat. To minimize the impact of the project, the DFO has proposed wildlife mitigation plan at a cost of Rs. 81.38 lakh to be funded by the user agency for components like Protection, Habitat Improvement, monitoring, awareness and education. The Board recommended the project subject to the conditions laid down.¹⁹⁴

5. Postscript: Protected areas are actually open jails

5.1. The legal framework for open jails

Protected areas established under the Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 are not only about 'ensuring the ecological and environmental security of India', but also legally demarcating geographical areas to be governed by separate administration and law enforcement personnel. They are not prisons, but have all the features of open prisons where persons who are not convicted of any offense but have to live under constant surveillance or suspicion. The administrators of the protected areas are often designated as "Wardens," just like in prisons and other detention facilities. ¹⁹⁵ Just like prisons, no one can enter the protected areas without the permission of the wardens or their subordinates. ¹⁹⁶ The wardens further have separate law enforcement personnel under their command, whether forest guards or private rangers with the power to

https://www.indiacode.nic.in/bitstream/123456789/1726/1/a1972-53.pdf

¹⁹³. Ibid

^{194.}lbid

^{195.} The Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 is available at

¹⁹⁶. The Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972 uses the terms such as Wardens.

arrest, use of force and fire-arms, and even shoot to kill, all without any regard for the UN Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials. 197

Under Section 4 of the Wildlife (Protection) Act of 1972, the State Government can appoint a Chief Wild Life Warden, Wild Life Wardens and other such officers and employees as may be necessary for each protected area. These officers have been given a range of powers to control the protected areas under Section 33 of the Act and further can exercise powers of search, arrest and detention (section 50). The Act further provides for imposing penalties including imprisonment (Section 51) and actually overturns the presumption of innocence against a person who had been previously convicted of an offence under the Act while seeking bail if arrested (Section 51A), etc. ¹⁹⁸

The power of the authorities of the protected areas to impose restrictions on entry is of particular importance. Section 27 of the Wildlife Protection Act states that "(1) No person other than, (a) a public servant on duty, (b) a person who has been permitted by the Chief Wild Life Warden or the authorised officer to reside within the limits of the sanctuary, (c) a person who has any right over immovable property within the limits of the sanctuary, (d) a person passing through the sanctuary along a public highway, and (e) the dependents of the person referred to in clause (a), clause (b) or clause (c), shall enter or reside in the sanctuary, except under and in accordance with the conditions of a permit granted under section 28."¹⁹⁹ Permission for entry can be given only for (a) investigation or study of wild life and purposes ancillary or incidental thereto; (b) photography; (c) scientific research; (d) tourism; (e) transaction of lawful business with any person residing in the sanctuary as per Section 28 of the Act.²⁰⁰

These provisions of the Wildlife Protection Act, 1972 have effectively come to mean that national human rights institutions cannot visit the reserved areas without prior permission of the authorities under the Act and therefore, the protected areas essentially operate as States within a State.

Under Section 27 of the Act, there is serious restrictions on entry in a sanctuary on (e) a person who has any right over immovable property within the limits of the sanctuary and their dependents shall enter or reside in the sanctuary, except under and in

 $\frac{https://www.ohchr.org/en/instruments-mechanisms/instruments/code-conduct-law-enforcement-officials\#:\sim:text=No%20law%20enforcement%20official%20may,threat%20to%20national%20security% 2C%20internal$

https://tribal.nic.in/downloads/FRA/Concerned%20Laws%20and%20Policies/Wildlife%20Protection%20Act,%201972.pdf

¹⁹⁷. UN Code of Conduct for Law Enforcement Officials is available at

^{198.} The Wildlife Protection Act is available at

¹⁹⁹. Ibid

²⁰⁰. Ibid

accordance with the conditions of a permit granted under section 28 relating to Grant of permit to enter or reside in a sanctuary.

There are severe restrictions from the state authorities and agents (contractors, private security agencies, eco-tourism promoters) on right to freedom of movement (security at the gates; requirement of permission for entry or exists; security checks of the bags; monitoring the visits of the relatives); violations of the right to privacy including through excessive surveillance; conducting searches without notice and prior information including at late night where women and girls are sexually abused by the forest and wildlife officials; control over cultural practices; limited access to development and welfare programs such as road, electricity, drinking water facilities, right to education, right to housing etc. Even for health emergencies, Indigenous Peoples living inside the protected areas are required to seek approval from the authorities for movement and pregnant women and ill persons suffer a lot including casualties.²⁰¹

Those living in core areas and around the protected areas (buffer zones), face gross human rights violations including restriction on freedom of movement, arbitrary arrest and detention, torture and ill treatments and sexual and gender-based violence, and extra-judicial killings at the hands of forest department personnel and other security forces deployed. They often suffer the violations for their livelihood - collecting honey, flowers, firewood, hunting or fishing in or near the tiger reserve or for opposing or resisting evictions.

In September 2020, five Korku tribal families from the Pastalai who had refused to move out from the Melghat Tiger Reserve, Maharashtra were denied entry and exit to their village, Pastalai. Two tribal men namely Raju Dhandekar and his brother Gaju Dhandekar were arrested on 1 September 2020 by the Forest Department as they headed home to their village of Pastalai, inside the Tiger Reserve. Both men were charged with violating the Wildlife Protection Act of 1972 for allegedly destroying wildlife or forest produce inside a sanctuary. They were released on bail the next day, but the bail order restricted them from returning home. On 9 November 2020, Gaju's wife, Phulwanti, was also arrested from her house in Pastalai. She was falsely charged for having two stumps of teak wood in her pile of firewood. Her bail order did not restrain Phulwanti from returning home, but forest guards allegedly did not allow her back into Pastalai. ²⁰²

²⁰¹. Suhas Chakma, Protected areas: These "states within a state" require separate oversight human rights mechanisms, Arizona Journal of Environmental Law & Policy, Volume 14 Spring 2024 Special Issue, https://indigenous.arizona.edu/sites/default/files/2024–

^{05/%28}second%29%20Chakma_Special_Issue_2024--Final.docx.pdf

²⁰². India's Man-Tiger Conflict & The Trauma Of Illegal Relocation, Article 14, 19 February 2021, https://article-14.com/post/india-s-man-tiger-conflict-the-trauma-of-illegal-relocation

5.2 No access to human development

Under Section 33 of the Wildlife Protection Act relating to control of sanctuaries, the Chief Wild Life Warden is the authority who shall control, manage and maintain all sanctuaries and for that purpose, within the limits of any sanctuary. It may construct such roads, bridges, buildings, fences or barrier gates, and carry out such other works as he may consider necessary for the purposes of such sanctuary. It makes no reference to needs or rights of the inhabitants allowed to reside in the sanctuaries.

Indigenous Peoples are often denied access to development as a part of strategy to force relocation. Indigenous peoples residing inside the protected areas bereft of any development or basic public facilities or essential services for voluntary relocation.

In Noolpuzha Gran Panchayat, an indigenous village inside the Wayanad Wildlife Sanctuary, ²⁰³ a number of Indigenous Peoples from Noolpuzha Gram Panchayat opposed the relocation. For the Financial Year 2018-2019, the Noolpuzha Gram Panchayat authorities earmarked Rs 600,000/- to tar the road and another Rs 400,000/- lakh for compound wall of the only Lower Primary School in the light of the demands of those who stayed back at the settlement. The Panchayat stated that it is the duty of the Panchayat to ensure their safety and educational needs. ²⁰⁴ On 29 January 2019, the Forest Department officials blocked the truck carrying construction materials to the LP school. Noolpuzha Gram Panchayat President Mr K Shoban Kumar however released the same. The police registered counter-cases against Mr K Shoban Kumar and the Forest Department officials. ²⁰⁵

In 2013 forest officials filed a legal case against JK Thimma, one of the Jenu Kuruba tribals living in Nagarhole Tiger Reserve, for building a thatched house in his village. In 2018, the judge dismissed the false case, recognizing his right to build a house in the forest; acquitting him of violations of the Wildlife Protection Act and acknowledging that it was likely that the charges against him were false and in retaliation for his resistance to evictions. ²⁰⁶

²⁰³. Relocation of tribes people in limbo, The Times of India, October 29, 2021, https://www.thehindu.com/news/national/kerala/relocation-of-tribespeople-in-limbo/article37240537.ece

²⁰⁴. Voluntary relocation project in Wayanad faces hurdle, The Times of India, 30 January 2019, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/kozhikode/voluntary-relocation-project-in-wayanad-faces-hurdle/articleshow/67748834.cms

²⁰⁵. Ibid

²⁰⁶. We can live with the Tiger, not the forest department! A Fact-Finding Report on Forced Evictions, Rehabilitation Betrayals and Fortress Conservation in Nagarhole, 22/05/2022, https://countercurrents.org/2022/05/we-can-live-with-the-tiger-not-the-forest-department/