Supplementary Material for: Politics, not Vulnerability: Republicans Discriminated Against Chinese-born Americans Throughout the COVID-19 Pandemic

Table S1: Unweighted summary statistics by survey wave

	Wave 1 (May) $N = 2{,}142$	Wave 2 (October) $N = 1,499$
Outcome variables	,	,
DG Contribution: Continuous	1.54(5.32)	1.06(5.45)
DG Contribution: Categorical	` ,	,
Neither Took nor Gave Money	640 (29.88%)	460 (30.69%)
Gave Money	1,069 (49.91%)	708 (47.23%)
Took Money	433 (20.21%)	$331\ (22.08\%)$
Experimental treatment	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Recipient's Birthplace		
United States	1,078 (50.33%)	756~(50.43%)
China	1,064 (49.67%)	743 (49.57%)
Participant-level vulnerabilities and partisanship		,
COVID-19 Health Risk		
Low Risk	226 (11.93%)	125 (9.38%)
Medium Risk	$253\ (13.35\%)$	148 (11.11%)
High Risk	1,416 (74.72%)	1,059 (79.50%)
Missing	247	167
Employment Change		
Adverse Change	98 (4.58%)	94~(6.27%)
No Adverse Change	$2,044 \ (95.42\%)$	1,405 (93.73%)
Partisanship	, ,	•
Republican	760 (35.48%)	531 (35.42%)
Non-Republican	$1,382 \ (64.52\%)$	968 (64.58%)
Self-reported Health Status		
Good or Better	$1,766 \ (82.45\%)$	$1,127 \ (75.74\%)$
Poor or Fair	$376 \ (17.55\%)$	$361\ (24.26\%)$
Missing	0	11
Needed to Reach Out to Make Ends Meet		
Yes	496~(23.16%)	459 (30.72%)
No	$1,646 \ (76.84\%)$	1,035~(69.28%)
Missing	0	5
County-level vulnerabilities		
Average Daily New Cases per 100 Residents	0.24 (0.35)	0.48 (0.38)
Missing	12	25
Average Daily New Deaths per 1000 Residents	0.15 (0.27)	0.07 (0.10)
Missing	12	28
Percentage of Low-Income Jobs Lost	$0.16 \ (0.05)$	$0.08 \; (0.03)$
Missing	9	25
Republican Vote Share (2016)	$0.46 \ (0.17)$	$0.46 \ (0.17)$


Missing	9	25
Participant-level controls		
Gender Identification		
Not Male	$1,185 \ (55.32\%)$	829~(55.30%)
Male	957 (44.68%)	670 (44.70%)
Race/Ethnicity		, ,
White	1,497 (69.89%)	1,049 (69.98%)
Black	219 (10.22%)	162 (10.81%)
Hispanic	240 (11.20%)	146 (9.74%)
Other, including Asian	186 (8.68%)	142 (9.47%)
Educational Attainment	,	,
High School or Less	762 (35.57%)	524 (34.96%)
Some College or Associate's Degree	699 (32.63%)	470 (31.35%)
BA and Above	681 (31.79%)	505 (33.69%)
Age	()	((/)
18-24 years	145~(6.77%)	72 (4.80%)
25-34 years	337 (15.73%)	215 (14.34%)
35-44 years	398 (18.58%)	279 (18.61%)
45-54 years	$235 \ (10.97\%)$	180 (12.01%)
55-64 years	526 (24.56%)	390 (26.02%)
65+ years	501 (23.39%)	363 (24.22%)
Family Income	(20.00,0)	303 (21.22,0)
Less than \$10,000	139 (6.49%)	89 (5.94%)
\$10,000-\$19,999	189 (8.82%)	132 (8.81%)
\$20,000-\$29,999	216 (10.08%)	147 (9.81%)
\$30,000-\$39,999	176 (8.22%)	124 (8.27%)
\$40,000-\$49,999	185 (8.64%)	133 (8.87%)
\$50,000-\$59,999	188 (8.78%)	131 (8.74%)
\$60,000-\$69,999	146 (6.82%)	101 (6.74%)
\$70,000-\$79,999	147 (6.86%)	104 (6.94%)
\$80,000-\$99,999	166 (7.75%)	125 (8.34%)
\$100,000-\$119,999	122 (5.70%)	79 (5.27%)
\$120,000-\$149,999	109 (5.09%)	76 (5.07%)
\$150,000+	131 (6.12%)	98 (6.54%)
Did Not Disclose	228 (10.64%)	160 (10.67%)
County-level controls	220 (10.0470)	100 (10.0170)
Log-transformed Population Density in km ²	7.19 (1.65)	7.20 (1.63)
Log-transformed Adjusted Median Household Income	11.05 (0.26)	11.06 (0.26)
% Asians	0.05 (0.20)	0.05 (0.06)
% Residents in Same House ≥ 1 Year	0.85 (0.04)	0.85 (0.04)
Missing valid ZIP code	9	25
INTEGING VALUE ZIT COUC	θ	40

Table S2: Weighted summary statistics by survey wave

	Wave 1 (May) $N = 2{,}142$	Wave 2 (October) N = 1,499
Outcome variables		
DG Contribution: Continuous	1.49(5.37)	1.03 (5.45)
DG Contribution: Categorical		
Neither Took nor Gave Money	605~(28.24%)	446~(29.75%)
Gave Money	1,086 (50.67%)	$718 \ (47.92\%)$
Took Money	452 (21.08%)	$335\ (22.32\%)$
Experimental treatment		·
Recipient's Birthplace		
United States	1,053 (49.17%)	749 (49.97%)
China	1,089 (50.83%)	750 (50.03%)
Participant-level vulnerabilities and partisanship		, ,
COVID-19 Health Risk		
Low Risk	$238\ (12.79\%)$	143 (10.90%)
Medium Risk	257 (13.86%)	152 (11.63%)
High Risk	1,363 (73.35%)	1,015 (77.47%)
Missing	285	189
Employment Change		
Adverse	$98 \ (4.58\%)$	111 (7.40%)
Non-Adverse	2,044 (95.42%)	1,388 (92.60%)
Partisanship	2,011 (00.1270)	1,000 (02.0070)
Republican	714 (33.33%)	498 (33.23%)
Non-Republican	1,428 (66.67%)	1,001 (66.77%)
Self-reported Health Status	1,420 (00.0170)	1,001 (00.1170)
Good or Better	1,775 (82.85%)	1,129 (75.99%)
Poor or Fair	368 (17.15%)	357 (24.01%)
Missing	0	13
Needed to Reach Out to Make Ends Meet	U	10
Yes	538 (25.12%)	505 (33.77%)
No	1,604 (74.88%)	990 (66.23%)
	, , ,	` ,
Missing County level pulpose bilities	0	4
County-level vulnerabilities	0.05 (0.26)	0.49 (0.27)
Average Daily New Cases per 100 Residents	0.25 (0.36)	0.48 (0.37)
Missing	17	28
Average Daily New Deaths per 1000 Residents	0.16 (0.28)	0.07(0.11)
Missing	16	31
Percentage of Low-Income Jobs Lost	0.16 (0.05)	0.08 (0.03)
Missing	12	28
Republican Vote Share (2016)	0.46 (0.17)	0.45 (0.17)
Missing	12	28
Participant-level controls		
Gender Identification		
Not Male	1,110 (51.81%)	768 (51.25%)
Male	1033~(48.19%)	$731 \ (48.75\%)$
Race/Ethnicity		

White	1,366 (63.75%)	960 (64.04%)
Black	251 (11.73%)	180 (11.98%)
Hispanic	341 (15.93%)	$235\ (15.68\%)$
Other, including Asian	184 (8.59%)	124 (8.30%)
Educational Attainment	, ,	,
High School or Less	860 (40.15%)	604 (40.30%)
Some college or Associate's Degree	657 (30.66%)	464 (30.94%)
BA and above	$625\ (29.19\%)$	$431\ (28.76\%)$
Age		
18-24 years	214 (9.98%)	134 (8.97%)
25-34 years	$372\ (17.38\%)$	$290 \ (19.37\%)$
35-44 years	$381\ (17.79\%)$	$273 \ (18.20\%)$
45-54 years	$235\ (10.99\%)$	$161\ (10.73\%)$
55-64 years	455~(21.23%)	345~(23.01%)
65+ years	$485\ (22.65\%)$	$296 \ (19.72\%)$
Family Income		
Less than \$10,000	146~(6.82%)	104~(6.95%)
\$10,000-\$19,999	192~(8.98%)	135 (9.01%)
\$20,000-\$29,999	$230\ (10.72\%)$	$157 \ (10.50\%)$
\$30,000-\$39,999	$169 \ (7.91\%)$	$118 \ (7.90\%)$
\$40,000-\$49,999	167~(7.78%)	131~(8.71%)
\$50,000-\$59,999	186~(8.67%)	$137 \ (9.11\%)$
\$60,000-\$69,999	137~(6.41%)	96~(6.39%)
\$70,000-\$79,999	135~(6.32%)	102~(6.80%)
\$80,000-\$99,999	$151 \ (7.06\%)$	$114 \ (7.58\%)$
\$100,000-\$119,999	132~(6.17%)	72 (4.80%)
\$120,000-\$149,999	118~(5.52%)	73~(4.85%)
\$150,000+	120~(5.58%)	$88 \ (5.87\%)$
Did Not Disclose	259~(12.07%)	$173 \ (11.53\%)$
County-level controls		
Log-transformed Population Density in km ²	7.22(1.69)	7.26 (1.66)
Log-transformed Adjusted Median Household Income	$11.06 \ (0.25)$	$11.05 \ (0.26)$
% Asians	0.05 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)
$\%$ Residents in Same House \geq 1 Year	0.85 (0.04)	0.85 (0.04)
Missing valid ZIP code	12	28

 $Figure \ S1: \ Socio-demographic \ and \ county-level \ characteristics \ of \ participants \ across \ the \ two \ waves$




† denotes variables with mean differences that have been standardized.

The raw difference in proportion is reported for categorical variables.

Reference group is survey wave 1.

Figure S2: Effects of recipient's birthplace, health vulnerability, economic vulnerability, partisanship, and interactions on give-or-take DG contributions, with survey weights




Dashed whiskers represent 90% confidence intervals too wide to be printed with the current x-axis limits for visualization purposes.

Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house >= 1 year on the county-level.

Data is weighted.

Figure S3: Effects of recipient's birthplace, health vulnerability, economic vulnerability, partisanship, and interactions on give-or-take DG contributions in May, with subsample of participants who returned in October




Dashed whiskers represent 90% confidence intervals too wide to be printed with the current x-axis limits for visualization purposes.

Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house >= 1 year on the county-level.

Data is unweighted.


Figure S4: Effects of recipient's birthplace, participant health vulnerability, participant economic vulnerability, participant partisanship, and interactions on categorical give-or-take DG contributions



Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house >= 1 year on the county-level.

Data is unweighted.

Figure S5: Effects of recipient's birthplace, county health vulnerability, county economic vulnerability, county partisanship, and interactions on categorical give-or-take DG contributions



Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house >= 1 year on the county-level.

Data is unweighted.

Table S3: Predicting DG contributions in May by recipient's birthplace, participant health vulnerability, participant economic vulnerability, participant partisanship, and interactions

			Outcom	Outcome Variable:		
			Wave 1 DG	Wave 1 DG Contribution		
	Health Vulnerability	nerability	Economic V	Economic Vulnerability	Partis	Partisanship
	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction
Chinese-born Recipient	-0.868*** (0.247)	0.117 (0.721)	-0.780*** (0.231)	-0.866*** (0.237)		-0.436 (0.287)
Medium Health Risk	-0.608 (0.504)	-0.262 (0.678)				
High Health Risk	-0.203 (0.415)	0.356 (0.552)				
Adverse Employment Change			0.648 (0.558)	-0.261 (0.776)		
Republican Partisan					-0.605** (0.256)	-0.136 (0.355)
Chinese-born Recipient \times Medium Risk		-0.743 (0.990)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times High Risk		-1.185 (0.775)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times Adverse Employment Change				1.859* (1.102)		
Chinese-born Recipient \times Republican Partisan						-0.918^* (0.483)
Constant	3.817 (7.145)	3.558 (7.154)	5.809 (6.698)	5.892 (6.695)	6.378 (6.697)	6.127 (6.694)
Observations R ² Adjusted R ² Residual Std. Error F Statistic	1,890 0.023 0.007 5.340 (df = 1859) 1.455* (df = 30; 1859)	1,890 0.024 0.007 5.340 (df = 1857) 1.442* (df = 32; 1857)	2,133 0.020 0.006 5.306 (df = 2103) 1.443* (df = 29; 2103)	2,133 0.021 0.007 5.304 (df = 2102) 1.491** (df = 30; 2102)	2,133 0.021 0.008 5.301 (df = 2103) 1.592** (df = 29; 2103)	2,133 0.023 0.009 5.298 (df = 2102) 1.662** (df = 30; 2102)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

Table S4: Predicting DG contributions in May by recipient's birthplace, county health vulnerability (diagnoses and deaths), county economic vulnerability, county partisanship, and interactions

			Outcome Variable:	ariable:				
			Wave 1 DG Contribution	ontribution				
	County Health Vulnerability (Diagnoses) Without Interaction	ability (Diagnoses) With Interaction	County Health Vulnerability (Deaths) Without Interaction With Interactio	erability (Deaths) With Interaction	Economic Vulnerability Without Interaction With In	Inerability With Interaction	Partisanship Without Interaction Wi	ship With Interaction
	(1)	(2)		(4)	(5)	(9)	(7)	(8)
Chinese-born Recipient	-0.793*** (0.232)	-0.839*** (0.281)	-0.786*** (0.232)	-0.819*** (0.265)	-0.768*** (0.232)	-1.347 (0.827)	-0.779*** (0.232)	-0.066 (0.660)
County Health (Diagnoses)	0.207 (0.412)	0.118 (0.514)						
County Health (Deaths)			0.165 (0.530)	0.045 (0.709)				
County Low-Income Job Losses					3.188 (2.917)	1.421 (3.790)		
County Republican Vote Share							-0.442 (1.012)	0.280 (1.191)
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Health (Diagnoses)		0.196 (0.671)						
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Health (Deaths)				0.215 (0.849)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Low-Income Job Losses						3.623 (4.962)		
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Republican Vote Share								-1.540 (1.335)
Constant	6.382 (6.866)	6.413 (6.868)	6.051 (6.777)	6.056 (6.778)	7.234 (6.853)	7.586 (6.870)	5.722 (6.701)	5.544 (6.702)
Observations R2 Adjusted R2 Residual Std. Error F Statistic	$\begin{array}{c} 2.130 \\ 0.020 \\ 0.006 \\ 5.303 \\ (df = 2100) \\ 1.452^* \\ (df = 29, 2100) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.130 \\ 0.020 \\ 0.006 \\ 5.304 \\ (df = 2099) \\ 1.406* \\ (df = 30; 2099) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.130 \\ 0.019 \\ 0.006 \\ 5.307 \\ (df = 2100) \\ 1.422^* \\ (df = 29; 2100) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.130 \\ 0.019 \\ 0.005 \\ 5.308 \\ (df = 2099) \\ 1.376* \\ (df = 30; 2099) \end{array}$	2.133 0.019 0.006 5.306 (df = 2103) 1.438* (df = 29; 2103)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.133\\ 0.020\\ 0.006\\ 5.307\\ (df=2102)\\ 1.407^*\\ (df=30;2102) \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.133 \\ 0.019 \\ 0.005 \\ 5.308 \\ (df = 2103) \\ 1.403 * \\ (df = 29; 2103) \end{array}$	2,133 0.020 0.006 5.307 (df = 2102) 1.400* (df = 30; 2102)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 (two-sided)

Table S5: Predicting DG contributions in October by recipient's birthplace, participant health vulnerability, participant economic vulnerability, participant partisanship, and interactions

			Outcome	Outcome Variable:		
			Wave 2 DG	Wave 2 DG Contribution		
	Health Vu	Health Vulnerability	Economic V	Economic Vulnerability	Partis	Partisanship
	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)
Chinese-born Recipient	-0.483 (0.306)	1.393 (1.003)	-0.417 (0.286)	-0.294 (0.295)	_0.397 (0.286)	0.059 (0.354)
Medium Health Risk	0.949 (0.689)	2.282^{**} (0.946)				
High Health Risk	1.409** (0.564)	2.352^{***} (0.764)				
Adverse Employment Change			-0.549 (0.598)	0.342 (0.803)		
Republican Partisan					-0.419 (0.315)	0.245 (0.438)
Chinese-born Recipient \times Medium Risk		-2.790** (1.365)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times High Risk		-1.967^* (1.059)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times Adverse Employment Change				-1.971^* (1.188)		
Chinese-born Recipient \times Republican Partisan						-1.293** (0.595)
Constant	-11.566 (8.709)	-12.377 (8.709)	-9.006 (8.175)	-9.627 (8.178)	-8.400 (8.183)	-8.802 (8.175)
Observations R ² Adjusted R ² Residual Std. Error F Statistic	1,318 0.035 0.013 5.497 (df = 1287) 1.556** (df = 30; 1287)	1,318 0.038 0.014 5.492 (df = 1285) 1.603** (df = 32; 1285)	1,474 0.032 0.012 5.423 (df = 1444) 1.633** (df = 29; 1444)	1,474 0.034 0.013 5.420 (df = 1443) 1.672** (df = 30; 1443)	1,474 0.032 0.013 5.421 (df = 1444) 1.666** (df = 29; 1444)	1,474 0.036 0.015 5.414 (df = 1443) 1.772*** (df = 30; 1443)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

 $^*p<0.1; ^{**}p<0.05; ^{***}p<0.01$ (two-sided)

Table S6: Predicting DG contributions in October by recipient's birthplace, county health vulnerability (diagnoses and deaths), county economic vulnerability, county partisanship, and interactions

			Outcome Variable:	ariable:				
			Wave 2 DG Contribution	ntribution				
	County Health Vulnerability (Diagnoses)	rability (Diagnoses)	County Health Vulnerability (Deaths)	rability (Deaths)	Economic Vulnerability	Inerability	Partisanship	ship
	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction
Chinese-born Recipient	(1) -0.419 (0.285)	(2) 0.135 (0.459)	(0) -0.427 (0.285)	(*) -0.549 (0.340)	-0.402 (0.286)		-0.414 (0.285)	0.283
County Health (Diagnoses)	-0.684^* (0.403)	-0.202 (0.510)						
County Health (Deaths)			-4.590*** (1.437)	-5.506*** (2.004)				
County Low-Income Job Losses					4.221 (5.281)	2.515 (6.792)		
County Republican Vote Share							-1.706 (1.240)	-0.975 (1.467)
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Health (Diagnoses)		-1.169 (0.760)						
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Health (Deaths)				1.777 (2.707)				
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Low-Income Job Losses						3.369 (8.431)		
Chinese-born Recipient \times County Republican Vote Share								-1.518 (1.629)
Constant	-5.846 (8.375)	-6.051 (8.372)	-3.459 (8.355)	-3.239 (8.363)	-8.243 (8.227)	-8.228 (8.229)	-8.680 (8.174)	-8.975 (8.181)
Observations R2 R2	1,474	1,474	1,471	1,471	1,474	1,474	1,474	1,474
Adjusted R ²	0.014	0.015	0.018	0.018	0.012	0.012	0.013	0.013
Residual Std. Error	5.419	5.417	5.403	5.404	5.423	5.425	5.421	5.421
F Statistic	$(a_1 = 1444)$ $1.705**$	(ar = 1445) 1.729***	$(a_1 = 1441)$ $1.953***$	$(a_1 = 1440)$ $1.902***$	$(a_1 = 1444)$ $1.625**$	(ai = 1445) 1.575**	$(a_1 = 1444)$ 1.670^{**}	$(a_1 = 1443)$ $1.643**$
	(df = 29; 1444)	(df = 30; 1443)	(df = 29; 1441)	(df = 30; 1440)	(df = 29; 1444)	(df = 30; 1443)	(df = 29; 1444)	(df = 30; 1443)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 (two-sided)

Table S7: Predicting DG contributions by recipient's birthplace, participant health vulnerability, and their interaction; alternative operationalization of health vulnerability

			Outcome	variable:		
	Wa	ive 1	Wa	ve 2	Returne	d Wave 1
	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction
Chinese-born Recipient	-0.782^{***} (0.232)	-0.785^{***} (0.255)	-0.407 (0.286)	-0.518 (0.328)	-0.583** (0.271)	-0.655** (0.300)
Poor or Fair General Health	-0.108 (0.312)	-0.117 (0.427)	0.223 (0.345)	-0.003 (0.473)	0.220 (0.361)	0.033 (0.492)
Chinese-born Recipient \times Poor or Fair General Health		0.019 (0.610)		0.466 (0.670)		0.395 (0.706)
Constant	5.711 (6.701)	5.714 (6.704)	-9.263 (8.188)	-9.118 (8.192)	9.328 (7.714)	9.429 (7.718)
Observations	2,133	2,133	1,474	1,474	1,496	1,496
\mathbb{R}^2	0.019	0.019	0.031	0.032	0.020	0.020
Adjusted R ²	0.005	0.005	0.012	0.012	0.001	0.0001
Residual Std. Error	5.308	5.309	5.424	5.425	5.193	5.194
	(df = 2103)	(df = 2102)	(df = 1444)	(df = 1443)	(df = 1466)	(df = 1465)
F Statistic	1.400*	1.353*	1.617**	1.579**	1.028	1.004
	(df = 29; 2103)	(df = 30; 2102)	(df = 29; 1444)	(df = 30; 1443)	(df = 29; 1466)	(df = 30; 1465)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 (two-sided)

Table S8: Predicting DG contributions by recipient's birthplace, participant economic vulnerability, and their interaction; alternative operationalization of economic vulnerability

			Outcome	variable:		
	Wa	ive 1	Wa	ve 2	Returne	d Wave 1
	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction	Without Interaction	With Interaction
Chinese-born Recipient	-0.776^{***} (0.231)	-0.778*** (0.263)	-0.413 (0.286)	-0.217 (0.342)	-0.588** (0.271)	-0.649** (0.306)
Needed to Reach Out to Make Ends Meet	1.003*** (0.298)	1.000** (0.401)	-0.103 (0.336)	0.217 (0.455)	0.702** (0.354)	0.561 (0.480)
Chinese-born Recipient \times Needed to Reached Out		0.006 (0.548)		-0.645 (0.618)		0.287 (0.659)
Constant	3.693 (6.706)	3.694 (6.708)	-8.776 (8.203)	-9.227 (8.215)	8.220 (7.726)	8.322 (7.732)
Observations	2,133	2,133	1,474	1,474	1,496	1,496
\mathbb{R}^2	0.024	0.024	0.031	0.032	0.022	0.022
Adjusted R ²	0.011	0.010	0.012	0.012	0.003	0.002
Residual Std. Error	5.294	5.295	5.424	5.424	5.187	5.188
	(df = 2103)	(df = 2102)	(df = 1444)	(df = 1443)	(df = 1466)	(df = 1465)
F Statistic	1.794***	1.734***	1.606**	1.589**	1.153	1.121
	(df = 29; 2103)	(df = 30; 2102)	(df = 29; 1444)	(df = 30; 1443)	(df = 29; 1466)	(df = 30; 1465)

Note: Controls include age, gender, race/ethnicity, educational attainment, and family income on the participant-level and % Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01 (two-sided)

[%] Asian, median household income, population density, and % residents in same house ≥ 1 year on the county-level.

Table S9: Predicted values in models with interaction terms, with controls

Treatment	Variable	Prediction
Wave 1, May:		
Chinese-born Recipient	High health risk	1.33
US-born Recipient	High health risk	2.40
Chinese-born Recipient	Medium health risk	1.15
US-born Recipient	Medium health risk	1.78
Chinese-born Recipient	Low health risk	2.16
US-born Recipient	Low health risk	2.04
Chinese-born Recipient	Adverse employment change	2.96
US-born Recipient	Adverse employment change	1.97
Chinese-born Recipient	No adverse employment change	1.36
US-born Recipient	No adverse employment change	2.23
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican	0.80
US-born Recipient	Republican	2.16
Chinese-born Recipient	Non-Republican	1.86
US-born Recipient	Non-Republican	2.29
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 25%	1.73
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 75%	1.10
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 25%	2.18
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 75%	2.32
Chinaga ham Baginiant	Danublian Vota Chara Palaw 1CD	1.09
Chinese-born Recipient Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Below 1SD Republican Vote Share, Above 1SD	1.92 1.00
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Below 1SD	1.00 1.97
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Above 1SD	2.28
Wave 2, October: Chinese-born Recipient	High health risk	1.29
US-born Recipient	High health risk	1.87
Chinese-born Recipient	Medium health risk	0.40
US-born Recipient	Medium health risk	1.80
Chinese-born Recipient	Low health risk	0.91
US-born Recipient	Low health risk	-0.49
Chinese-born Recipient	Adverse employment change	-0.26
US-born Recipient	Adverse employment change	2.01
Chinese-born Recipient	No adverse employment change	1.37
US-born Recipient	No adverse employment change	1.66
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican	0.67
US-born Recipient	Republican	1.91
Chinese-born Recipient	Non-Republican	1.72
US-born Recipient	Non-Republican	1.66

Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 25%	1.88
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 75%	0.63
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 25%	1.97
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, 75%	1.49
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Below 1SD	1.44
Chinese-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Above 1SD	0.71
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Below 1SD	1.73
US-born Recipient	Republican Vote Share, Above 1SD	1.51