# FOXNEWS POLL 

Anderson Robbins Research (D) / Shaw \& Company Research (R) $\mathrm{N}=807$ IA likely caucus-goers ( 587 landline, 220 cellphone)

Interviews Conducted:
December 7-10, 2015

All results are for release after 9:00 AM/ET Sunday, December 13, 2015.

## IOWA

The Fox News Poll is conducted under the joint direction of Anderson Robbins Research (D) and Shaw \& Company Research (R). The poll was conducted by telephone with live interviewers December 7-10, 2015 among a random state sample of 807 Iowa likely caucus-goers. Results for the 357 likely Democratic caucus-goers have a margin of error of plus or minus 5 percentage points and results for the 450 likely Republican caucus-goers have a margin of error of plus or minus 4.5 percentage points.

Landline and cellphone numbers were randomly selected from a statewide voter file of registered Iowa voters using a probability proportionate to size method. This simply means that phone numbers are proportionally representative to the number of voters in all regions across the state of Iowa. Respondents were screened to identify likely caucus-goers.

An asterisk $\left({ }^{*}\right)$ is used for percentages of less than one-half percent. A dash (-) represents a value of zero.
Some results may not add to $100 \%$ due to rounding.

1. How likely is it that you will attend one of the Iowa caucuses scheduled for February of 2016 -- will you definitely attend, probably attend, probably not attend, or definitely not attend? [IF DEFINITELY OR PROBABLY] Will you attend the Democratic or Republican caucus?

7-10 Dec 2015
------Democrat-----
-----Republican-----
$\frac{\text { TOTAL }}{100 \%} \frac{\text { Definitely }}{52} \frac{\text { Probably }}{48} \frac{\text { TOTAL }}{100} \frac{\text { Definitely }}{51} \frac{\text { Probably }}{49}$
2. How satisfied are you with the way things are going in the country today -- are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied?

|  | -----Satisfied----- |  |  | -----Not Satisfied----- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TOTAL | Very | Some <br> what | TOTAL | Not <br> very | Not at all | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (Don't } \\ & \text { know) } \end{aligned}$ |
| Likely Dem caucus-goers | 54\% | 8 | 46 | 46 | 31 | 15 | 1 |
| Likely GOP caucus-goers | 13\% | 1 | 12 | 87 | 28 | 59 | - |

3. For you and your family, does it feel like the economy is getting better or getting worse?

|  | Getting better | Getting worse | (Staying the same) | (Don't <br> know) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Likely Dem caucus-goers | 47\% | 30 | 21 | 2 |
| Likely GOP caucus-goers | 12\% | 67 | 19 | 3 |

## [Q4-5 IF DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS IN Q1, N=357]

4. I'm going to read a list of candidates for the 2016 Democratic nomination. If the caucus were held today, which one of the following would be your first choice for president? [IF NOT SURE, ASK: Well, if you had to decide today, which one would you choose?] [RANDOMIZE LIST]

Hillary Clinton
7-10 Dec 2015

$$
\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 5 7}
$$

Bernie Sanders
50\%
Martin O'Malley
36
(Other)
("Uncommitted") 4
4
(Don't know)
2
[IF "UNCOMMITTED" OR NOT SURE IN FIRST CHOICE QUESTION, THEN SKIP AND CODE AS "NO FIRST CHOICE"]
5. And who would be your second choice? [IF NOT SURE, ASK: Well, if you had to decide today, which one would you choose?] [ONLY READ LIST IF REQUESTED. READ IN SAME ORDER AS Q4. DO NOT ACCEPT CHOICE FROM Q4]

## First choice <br> Second choice

Hillary Clinton
Bernie Sanders
Martin O'Malley

50\%
36
5
4
4
N/A
2
N/A
5 27\%
(Other)
("Uncommitted")
(No first choice)
(Don't know)
(None of the above)

33
16
2
[Q6-13 IF REPUBLICAN CAUCUS IN Q1, N=450]
6. I'm going to read a list of candidates for the 2016 Republican nomination. If the caucus were held today, which one of the following would be your first choice for president? [IF NOT SURE, ASK: Well, if you had to decide today, which one would you choose?] [RANDOMIZE LIST]

7-10 Dec 2015
Ted Cruz 28\%
Donald Trump 26
Marco Rubio 13
Ben Carson 10
Jeb Bush 5
Rand Paul 5
Chris Christie 2
Carly Fiorina 2
Mike Huckabee 1
John Kasich 1
George Pataki 1
Lindsey Graham *
Rick Santorum *
Jim Gilmore -
(Other) 1
("Uncommitted") 1
(Don't know)
3
[IF "UNCOMMITTED" OR NOT SURE IN FIRST CHOICE QUESTION, THEN SKIP AND CODE AS "NO FIRST CHOICE"]
7. And who would be your second choice? [IF NOT SURE, ASK: Well, if you had to decide today, which one would you choose?] [ONLY READ LIST IF REQUESTED.
READ IN SAME ORDER AS Q6. DO NOT ACCEPT CHOICE FROM Q6]

|  | First choice | Second choice | Without Cruz | Without Trump |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ted Cruz | 28\% | 18\% | N/A | 38\% |
| Donald Trump | 26 | 13 | 33\% | N/A |
| Marco Rubio | 13 | 18 | 21 | 16 |
| Ben Carson | 10 | 12 | 15 | 15 |
| Jeb Bush | 5 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Rand Paul | 5 | 3 | 6 | 7 |
| Chris Christie | 2 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| Carly Fiorina | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Mike Huckabee | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| John Kasich | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| George Pataki | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Lindsey Graham | * | - | * | * |
| Rick Santorum | * | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Jim Gilmore | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | 1 | * | 1 | 1 |
| ("Uncommitted") | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| (No first choice) | N/A | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| (Don't know) | 3 | 3 | 2 | * |
| (None of the above) | N/A | 4 | - | 2 |

8. And which of these candidates would you never support for the Republican nomination?
[ONLY READ LIST IF REQUESTED. ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES.
PROBE UNTIL NOT PRODUCTIVE.] Are there any others you would never support?

|  | 7-10 Dec 2015 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=450$ |
| (Trump) | 30\% |
| (Bush) | 23 |
| (Christie) | 10 |
| (Kasich) | 10 |
| (Paul) | 9 |
| (Graham) | 9 |
| (Pataki) | 8 |
| (Fiorina) | 7 |
| (Gilmore) | 7 |
| (Cruz) | 6 |
| (Rubio) | 6 |
| (Huckabee) | 6 |
| (Santorum) | 5 |
| (Carson) | 4 |
| (I would support any of them/None/No one) | 14 |
| (Don't know) | 13 |

9. Which one of the following will be MOST important in deciding which candidate to support for the Republican nominee? [IF "ALL" OR "MIXED" OR "OTHER," ASK: Well, if you had to pick one, which would it be?] [RANDOMIZE]

7-10 Dec 15
$\mathrm{N}=450$
National security, such as ensuring a strong military and America's position in the world

34\%
Economic issues, such as taxes and government
spending
Immigration issues, such as border security and legalization 15
Social issues, such as abortion and gay marriage 8
(All / Mixed) 10
(Other) 1
(Don't know) *
10. Which one of the following candidate qualities will matter most in deciding which candidate to support for the Republican nomination? That the candidate:

## [RANDOMIZE]

|  | $7-10$ Dec 15 |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{4 5 0}$ |
| Is a strong leader | $25 \%$ |
| Is honest and trustworthy | 22 |
| Has true conservative values | 18 |
| Would shake things up in Washington | 14 |
| Can defeat the Democratic nominee | 11 |
| (All / Mixed) | 9 |
| (None / Other) | $*$ |
| (Don't know) | $*$ |

11. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think is most qualified to handle the economy?(DO NOT READ LIST UNLESS REQUESTED)
12. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think is most qualified to handle national security? (DO NOT READ LIST UNLESS REQUESTED)

## ECONOMY

NATIONAL SECURITY

|  | $\frac{7-10 \mathrm{Dec} 15}{}$ |  | $\frac{7-10 \mathrm{Dec} 15}{\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{4 5 0}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| (Trump) | $41 \%$ | (Cruz) | $30 \%$ |
| (Cruz) | 17 | (Trump) | 20 |
| (Rubio) | 7 | (Rubio) | 11 |
| (Bush) | 6 | (Bush) | 8 |
| (Carson) | 5 | (Carson) | 5 |
| (Paul) | 5 | (Paul) | 5 |
| (Fiorina) | 4 | (Christie) | 3 |
| (Christie) | 3 | (Fiorina) | 1 |
| (Kasich) | 2 | (Huckabee) | 1 |
| (Huckabee) | 1 | (Kasich) | 1 |
| (Santorum) | $*$ | (Graham) | 1 |
| (Pataki) | - | (Pataki) | $*$ |
| (Graham) | - | (Santorum) | $*$ |
| (Gilmore) | - | (Gilmore) | - |
| (Other) | $*$ | (Other) | 1 |
| (All) | 1 | (All) | 1 |
| (Don't know) | 8 | (Don't know) | 12 |

13. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think would be most likely to beat Hillary Clinton in the presidential election? (DO NOT READ LIST UNLESS REQUESTED)

|  | $\frac{7-10 \mathrm{Dec} 15}{}$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| (Trump) | $\mathbf{4 5 0}$ |
| (Cruz) | $32 \%$ |
| (Rubio) | 18 |
| (Carson) | 14 |
| (Bush) | 6 |
| (Paul) | 3 |
| (Fiorina) | 3 |
| (Huckabee) | 1 |
| (Christie) | 1 |
| (Kasich) | 1 |
| (Santorum) | 1 |
| (Graham) | $*$ |
| (Pataki) | $*$ |
| (Gilmore) | - |
| (Other) | - |
| (All) | $*$ |
| (Don't know) | 11 |

## Fox News Poll -- Margin of Error for Subgroups

The Fox News Poll is conducted under the joint direction of Anderson Robbins Research (D) and Shaw \& Company Research (R). The poll was conducted by telephone with live interviewers December 7-10, 2015 among a random state sample of 807 Iowa likely caucus-goers. Results for the 357 likely Democratic caucus-goers have a margin of error of plus or minus 5 percentage points and results for the 450 likely Republican caucus-goers have a margin of error of plus or minus 4.5 percentage points.

Results among the attached subgroups have larger sampling errors:

| Republican Caucus-goers +/- 4.5\% |  | Democratic Caucus-goers +/-5\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Men: | +/-6\% | Men: | +/-7.5\% |
| Women: | +/-7\% | Women: | +/-7\% |
| --- |  | --- |  |
| College Degree: | +/-6\% | White: | +/- 5.5\% |
| No College Degree: | +/-7\% | --- |  |
| --- |  | College Degree: | +/-7\% |
| Top Issue To Vote |  | No College Degree: | +/-7.5\% |
| National Security: | +/-8\% | --- |  |
| Economy: | +/-8\% | Definitely Attend | +/- 7\% |
| --- |  | Probably Attend | +/-7\% |
| Top Candidate Trait |  | --- |  |
| Strong Leader: | +/- 9\% | Under Age 45: | +/- 8.5\% |
| Honest \& Trustworthy: | +/-10\% | Age 45+: | +/-6.5\% |
| --- |  | --- |  |
| Definitely Attend: | +/-6.5\% | Income Under \$50k: | +/- 9.5\% |
| Probably Attend: | +/-6.5\% | Income \$50k+: | +/-6.5\% |
| --- |  | --- |  |
| Under Age 45: | +/- 8\% | Liberal: | +/-6\% |
| Age 45+: | +/- 5.5\% | --- |  |
| --- |  | Democrat: | +/-5.5\% |
| Income Under \$50k: | +/- 9\% |  |  |
| Income \$50k+: | +/- 6\% |  |  |
| - |  |  |  |
| White Evangelical: | +/-7\% |  |  |
| --- |  |  |  |
| Very Conservative: | +/-7\% |  |  |
| --- |  |  |  |
| Tea Party: | +/- 9\% |  |  |
| --- |  |  |  |
| Republican: | +/- 5\% |  |  |

1. How likely is it that you will attend one of the Iowa caucuses scheduled for February of 2016 -- will you definitely attend, probably attend, probably not attend, or definitely not attend?

LIKELY DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS-GOERS

| Definitely attend | Total 52\% | Men $50 \%$ | Women 53\% | White 53\% | College Degree 59\% | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \\ 43 \% \end{array}$ | Attend <br> Def <br> 100\% | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Democratic caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably attend | 48\% | 50\% | 47\% | 47\% | 41\% | 57\% | - | 100\% |
| Democratic caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely attend | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Republican caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably attend | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Republican caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably not attend caucus | - | - | - | - | - | - - | - | - |
| Definitely not attend | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Not sure) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Und | der |  | Under |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  | 45+ | \$50k | \$50k+ | Lib | Dem |
| Definitely attend | 52\% |  | 45\% | 56\% | 48\% | 52\% | 57\% | 52\% |
| Democratic caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably attend | 48\% |  | 55\% | 44\% | 52\% | 48\% | 43\% | 48\% |
| Democratic caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitely attend | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Republican caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably attend | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Republican caucus |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Probably not attend caucus | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Definitely not attend caucus | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Not sure) | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |

1. How likely is it that you will attend one of the Iowa caucuses scheduled for February of 2016 -- will you definitely attend, probably attend, probably not attend, or definitely not attend?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

2. How satisfied are you with the way things are going in the country today -- are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied?

LIKELY DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS-GOERS

2. How satisfied are you with the way things are going in the country today -- are you very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not very satisfied or not at all satisfied?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

3. For you and your family, does it feel like the economy is getting better or getting worse?

LIKELY DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | White | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Attend <br> Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Getting better | 47\% | 55\% | 42\% | 49\% | 58\% | 35\% | 53\% | 42\% |
| Getting worse | 30\% | 29\% | 31\% | 29\% | 24\% | 38\% | 26\% | 34\% |
| (Staying the same) | 21\% | 16\% | 25\% | 21\% | 16\% | 26\% | 19\% | 22\% |
| (Don't know) | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
|  | Under |  |  |  | Under |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Age |  | 45+ | \$50k | \$50k+ | Lib | Dem |
| Getting better | 47\% |  | 53\% | 44\% | 31\% | 57\% | 52\% | 50\% |
| Getting worse | 30\% |  | 27\% | 32\% | 40\% | 27\% | 27\% | 28\% |
| (Staying the same) | 21\% |  | 19\% | 22\% | 27\% | 14\% | 21\% | 21\% |
| (Don't know) | 2\% |  | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |

3. For you and your family, does it feel like the economy is getting better or getting worse?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

4. I'm going to read a list of potential candidates for the 2016 Democratic nomination. If the caucus were held today, which one of the following would be your first choice for president?

LIKELY DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | White | College Degree | No Degree | Caucus <br> Def | Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hillary Clinton | 50\% | 40\% | 57\% | 51\% | 48\% | 52\% | 54\% | 45\% |
| Martin O'Malley | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| Bernie Sanders | 36\% | 42\% | 31\% | 34\% | 35\% | 36\% | 34\% | 37\% |
| (Other) | 4\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% |
| (Uncommitted) | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| (Don't know) | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% |
|  | Under |  |  |  | Under |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Age |  | 45+ | \$50k | \$50k+ | Lib | Dem |
| Hillary Clinton | 50\% |  | 34\% | 59\% | 47\% | 49\% | 52\% | 55\% |
| Martin O'Malley | 5\% |  | 2\% | 7\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| Bernie Sanders | 36\% |  | 56\% | 24\% | 37\% | 38\% | 40\% | 34\% |
| (Other) | 4\% |  | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| (Uncommitted) | 4\% |  | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| (Don't know) | 2\% |  | 2\% | 2\% | - | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |

5. And who would be your second choice?

LIKELY DEMOCRATIC CAUCUS-GOERS

|  |  |  |  | College | No | Caucus |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Hillary Clinton | Total | Men | Women | White | Degree | Degree | Def | Prob |
| Martin O'Malley | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| Bernie Sanders | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
| (Other) | $33 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| (Uncommitted) | $2 \%$ | $* \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| (No first choice) | $2 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| (Don't know) | $6 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| (None of the Above) | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ |


|  | Under |  |  | Under |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Age 45 | 45+ | \$50k | \$50k+ | Lib | Dem |
| Hillary Clinton | 27\% | 38\% | 20\% | 29\% | 28\% | 30\% | 28\% |
| Martin O'Malley | 16\% | 19\% | 14\% | 13\% | 18\% | 18\% | 15\% |
| Bernie Sanders | 33\% | 24\% | 39\% | 33\% | 34\% | 37\% | 37\% |
| (Other) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | *\% | 1\% |
| (Uncommitted) | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| (No first choice) | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| (Don't know) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| (None of the Above) | 10\% | 6\% | 13\% | 12\% | 9\% | 8\% | 9\% |

6. I'm going to read a list of potential candidates for the 2016 Republican nomination. If the caucus were held today, which one of the following would be your first choice for president?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top <br> Nat |  | Econ | Strong <br> Leader | Honest | Attend Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jeb Bush | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% |  | 4\% | 7\% | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| Ben Carson | 10\% | 10\% | 11\% | 9\% | 12\% |  | 9\% | 11\% | 7\% | 18\% | 12\% | 8\% |
| Chris Christie | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% |  | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | *\% |
| Ted Cruz | 28\% | 31\% | 23\% | 26\% | 29\% |  | 32\% | 26\% | 19\% | 19\% | 32\% | 24\% |
| Carly Fiorina | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |  | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Jim Gilmore | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lindsey Graham | *\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | - |  | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | - |
| Mike Huckabee | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% |  | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | - | 1\% | 2\% |
| John Kasich | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | *\% |  | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| George Pataki | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | 2\% |  | - | 2\% | - | 2\% | *\% | 1\% |
| Rand Paul | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% |  | 1\% | 9\% | 2\% | 9\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| Marco Rubio | 13\% | 10\% | 16\% | 16\% | 9\% |  | 15\% | 17\% | 12\% | 17\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| Rick Santorum | *\% | *\% | *\% | - | 1\% |  | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% |
| Donald Trump | 26\% | 28\% | 23\% | 21\% | 32\% |  | 27\% | 19\% | 39\% | 19\% | 25\% | 27\% |
| (Other) | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 2\% | - |  | - | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | 2\% |
| (Uncommitted) | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | *\% | 3\% |  | - | *\% | - | 1\% | - | 3\% |
| (Don't know) | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 3\% | 2\% |  | 3\% | *\% | 3\% | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% |


|  | Total | Under <br> Age 45 | 45+ | Under \$50k | \$50k+ | White Evan | Very Cons | Tea Party | Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jeb Bush | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% |
| Ben Carson | 10\% | 13\% | 9\% | 13\% | 9\% | 10\% | 14\% | 11\% | 10\% |
| Chris Christie | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | *\% | - | 3\% |
| Ted Cruz | 28\% | 22\% | 30\% | 26\% | 29\% | 31\% | 42\% | 48\% | 30\% |
| Carly Fiorina | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% |
| Jim Gilmore | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Lindsey Graham | *\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% |
| Mike Huckabee | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| John Kasich | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | - | - | *\% |
| George Pataki | 1\% | 2\% | *\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% |
| Rand Paul | 5\% | 12\% | 2\% | 8\% | 5\% | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| Marco Rubio | 13\% | 12\% | 13\% | 8\% | 14\% | 14\% | 8\% | 4\% | 13\% |
| Rick Santorum | *\% | - | 1\% | 2\% | - | 1\% | - | - | 1\% |
| Donald Trump | 26\% | 26\% | 26\% | 25\% | 28\% | 24\% | 23\% | 24\% | 25\% |
| (Other) | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | - | 2\% | 2\% | - | - | 1\% |
| (Uncommitted) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Don't know) | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 1\% | 4\% | 3\% | *\% | 2\% |

7. And who would be your second choice?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

8. And which of these candidates would you never support for the Republican nomination? (MULTIPLE RESPONSE)

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top Nat | Iss | Econ | Strong <br> Leader | Honest | Attend Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I would support for any | 14\% | 15\% | 13\% | 14\% | 14\% |  | 14\% | 14\% | 13\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| of them/None/No one) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Trump) | 30\% | 24\% | 37\% | 33\% | 26\% |  | 31\% | 38\% | 28\% | 44\% | 29\% | 31\% |
| (Carson) | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% |  | 7\% | 2\% | 5\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% |
| (Cruz) | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 4\% |  | 7\% | 6\% | 10\% | 4\% | 8\% | 4\% |
| (Rubio) | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 6\% | 7\% |  | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| (Bush) | 23\% | 25\% | 19\% | 18\% | 27\% |  | 23\% | 17\% | 21\% | 24\% | 24\% | 21\% |
| (Fiorina) | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% | 6\% | 8\% |  | 12\% | 3\% | 6\% | 9\% | 6\% | 8\% |
| (Huckabee) | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 6\% | 5\% |  | 8\% | 4\% | 4\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| (Christie) | 10\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 9\% |  | 9\% | 6\% | 3\% | 12\% | 8\% | 11\% |
| (Kasich) | 10\% | 10\% | 12\% | 12\% | 9\% |  | 12\% | 9\% | 5\% | 9\% | 13\% | 8\% |
| (Pataki) | 8\% | 10\% | 6\% | 11\% | 6\% |  | 11\% | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% | 11\% | 5\% |
| (Paul) | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% | 10\% | 9\% |  | 15\% | 7\% | 8\% | 8\% | 12\% | 7\% |
| (Santorum) | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% |  | 8\% | 3\% | 2\% | 6\% | 6\% | 4\% |
| (Graham) | 9\% | 12\% | 6\% | 12\% | 7\% |  | 9\% | 9\% | 5\% | 6\% | 12\% | 7\% |
| (Gilmore) | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% |  | 9\% | 3\% | 3\% | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% |
| (Don't know) | 13\% | 13\% | 12\% | 14\% | 11\% |  | 10\% | 10\% | 17\% | 11\% | 11\% | 15\% |


|  | Total | Under <br> Age 45 | 45+ | Under \$50k | \$50k+ | White <br> Evan | Very <br> Cons | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tea } \\ \text { Party } \end{array}$ | Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (I would support for any | 14\% | 11\% | 15\% | 16\% | 13\% | 12\% | 14\% | 12\% | 14\% |
| of them/None/No one) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (Trump) | 30\% | 35\% | 27\% | 32\% | 27\% | 29\% | 24\% | 25\% | 29\% |
| (Carson) | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% |
| (Cruz) | 6\% | 3\% | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| (Rubio) | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 7\% | 6\% | 6\% | 7\% | 10\% | 6\% |
| (Bush) | 23\% | 22\% | 23\% | 25\% | 23\% | 26\% | 34\% | 34\% | 24\% |
| (Fiorina) | 7\% | 5\% | 8\% | 4\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 10\% | 7\% |
| (Huckabee) | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 8\% | 5\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| (Christie) | 10\% | 11\% | 9\% | 9\% | 11\% | 10\% | 15\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| (Kasich) | 10\% | 4\% | 13\% | 9\% | 10\% | 11\% | 15\% | 18\% | 11\% |
| (Pataki) | 8\% | 6\% | 9\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 11\% | 13\% | 9\% |
| (Paul) | 9\% | 3\% | 12\% | 7\% | 11\% | 9\% | 12\% | 9\% | 10\% |
| (Santorum) | 5\% | 1\% | 6\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| (Graham) | 9\% | 5\% | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 9\% | 14\% | 17\% | 9\% |
| (Gilmore) | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 10\% | 10\% | 6\% |
| (Don't know) | 13\% | 10\% | 14\% | 10\% | 11\% | 15\% | 11\% | 14\% | 13\% |

9. Which one of the following will be MOST important in deciding which candidate to support for the Republican nominee?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

10. Which one of the following candidate qualities will matter most in deciding which candidate to support for the Republican nomination? That the candidate:

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top <br> Nat |  | Econ | Strong Leader | Honest | Attend <br> Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is a strong leader | 25\% | 21\% | 29\% | 22\% | 28\% |  | 28\% | 30\% | 100\% | - | 22\% | 28\% |
| Has true conservative values | 18\% | 18\% | 19\% | 21\% | 15\% |  | 17\% | 18\% | - | - | 21\% | 16\% |
| Is honest and trustworthy | 22\% | 20\% | 25\% | 22\% | 22\% |  | 23\% | 25\% | - | 100\% | 19\% | 25\% |
| Can defeat the | 11\% | 12\% | 9\% | 12\% | 9\% |  | 13\% | 10\% | - | - | 12\% | 9\% |
| Democratic nominee Would shake things up in | 14\% | 16\% | 12\% | 12\% | 17\% |  | 13\% | 10\% | - | - | 13\% | 15\% |
| Washington (All/Mixed) | 9\% | 11\% | 5\% | 10\% | 8\% |  | 6\% | 6\% | - | - | 12\% | 6\% |
| (None/Other) | *\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | - |  | - | - | - | - | 1\% | - |
| (Don't know) | *\% | *\% | *\% | - | 1\% |  | - | - | - | - | *\% | *\% |


|  |  | Under |  | Under |  | White | Very | Tea |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Age 45 | 45+ | \$50k | \$50k+ | Evan | Cons | Party | Rep |
| Is a strong leader | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 25\% | 21\% | 19\% | 19\% | 26\% |
| Has true conservative values | 18\% | 21\% | 17\% | 15\% | 19\% | 20\% | 31\% | 35\% | 20\% |
| Is honest and trustworthy | 22\% | 24\% | 21\% | 27\% | 21\% | 25\% | 19\% | 18\% | 20\% |
| Can defeat the | 11\% | 6\% | 13\% | 8\% | 12\% | 11\% | 5\% | 4\% | 12\% |
| Democratic nominee Would shake things up in | 14\% | 13\% | 15\% | 16\% | 14\% | 15\% | 15\% | 19\% | 13\% |
| Washington (All/Mixed) | 9\% | 11\% | 8\% | 9\% | 8\% | 8\% | 11\% | 5\% | 9\% |
| (None/Other) | *\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | - | - | - | * $\%$ |
| (Don't know) | *\% | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | - | - | *\% |

11. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think is most qualified to handle the economy?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top <br> Nat | Iss | Econ | Strong <br> Leader | Honest | Attend <br> Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 41\% | 45\% | 35\% | 36\% | 46\% |  | 43\% | 31\% | 50\% | 30\% | 40\% | 42\% |
| (Carson) | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 8\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| (Cruz) | 17\% | 18\% | 15\% | 17\% | 17\% |  | 20\% | 14\% | 11\% | 15\% | 20\% | 14\% |
| (Rubio) | 7\% | 6\% | 8\% | 9\% | 4\% |  | 7\% | 11\% | 9\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| (Bush) | 6\% | 5\% | 8\% | 9\% | 3\% |  | 4\% | 12\% | 5\% | 8\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| (Fiorina) | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% |  | 5\% | 2\% | 2\% | 4\% | 5\% | 2\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | *\% |  | - | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Christie) | 3\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 3\% |  | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% |
| (Kasich) | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% |  | 2\% | 2\% | - | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| (Pataki) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Paul) | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% |  | 1\% | 9\% | 2\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | - | *\% | - | *\% |  | - | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | *\% |
| (Graham) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | *\% | *\% | - | 1\% | - |  | - | 1\% | - | - | - | 1\% |
| (All) | 1\% | 2\% | - | 2\% | 1\% |  | 1\% | - | - | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Don't know) | 8\% | 7\% | 10\% | 8\% | 10\% |  | 6\% | 10\% | 11\% | 11\% | 3\% | 14\% |


|  | Total | Under <br> Age 45 | 45+ | Under \$50k | \$50k+ | White Evan | Very Cons | Tea Party | Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 41\% | 42\% | 40\% | 37\% | 45\% | 41\% | 42\% | 43\% | 39\% |
| (Carson) | 5\% | 7\% | 5\% | 9\% | 4\% | 6\% | 5\% | 6\% | 5\% |
| (Cruz) | 17\% | 13\% | 19\% | 18\% | 16\% | 21\% | 29\% | 30\% | 19\% |
| (Rubio) | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 4\% | 7\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 7\% |
| (Bush) | 6\% | 5\% | 7\% | 4\% | 8\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% | 7\% |
| (Fiorina) | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Christie) | 3\% | 1\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| (Kasich) | 2\% | *\% | 2\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | *\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| (Pataki) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Paul) | 5\% | 8\% | 3\% | 8\% | 3\% | 5\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | - | *\% | 1\% | - | - | - | - | *\% |
| (Graham) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | *\% | - | *\% | - | *\% | 1\% | - | - | *\% |
| (All) | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Don't know) | 8\% | 11\% | 7\% | 8\% | 7\% | 8\% | 6\% | 3\% | 9\% |

12. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think is most qualified to handle national security?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top <br> Nat | Iss | Econ | Strong <br> Leader | Honest | Attend Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 20\% | 23\% | 17\% | 15\% | 26\% |  | 23\% | 13\% | 25\% | 16\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| (Carson) | 5\% | 3\% | 8\% | 2\% | 8\% |  | 5\% | 4\% | 4\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% |
| (Cruz) | 30\% | 34\% | 25\% | 29\% | 31\% |  | 37\% | 24\% | 22\% | 22\% | 34\% | 26\% |
| (Rubio) | 11\% | 11\% | 12\% | 16\% | 7\% |  | 14\% | 14\% | 16\% | 10\% | 13\% | 10\% |
| (Bush) | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 10\% | 6\% |  | 7\% | 11\% | 11\% | 7\% | 7\% | 9\% |
| (Fiorina) | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | - |  | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | *\% | 1\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |  | - | 2\% | 3\% | - | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Christie) | 3\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% |  | 4\% | 3\% | 5\% | 3\% | 5\% | 1\% |
| (Kasich) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - |  | 1\% | 1\% | - | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Pataki) | *\% | *\% | - | *\% | - |  | - | *\% | - | 1\% | *\% | - |
| (Paul) | 5\% | 6\% | 4\% | 6\% | 4\% |  | 1\% | 7\% | 3\% | 8\% | 4\% | 6\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | *\% | - | *\% | - |  | - | - | - | - | *\% | - |
| (Graham) | 1\% | *\% | 2\% | 1\% | *\% |  | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 2\% | - |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | *\% |  | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | *\% | 1\% |
| (All) | 1\% | 1\% | - | *\% | 1\% |  | 1\% | - | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% |
| (Don't know) | 12\% | 8\% | 18\% | 12\% | 13\% |  | 5\% | 18\% | 10\% | 20\% | 5\% | 20\% |


|  | Total | Under <br> Age 45 | 45+ | Under \$50k | \$50k+ | White Evan | Very Cons | Tea Party | Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 20\% | 20\% | 21\% | 19\% | 22\% | 21\% | 19\% | 21\% | 20\% |
| (Carson) | 5\% | 8\% | 4\% | 7\% | 4\% | 5\% | 5\% | 4\% | 5\% |
| (Cruz) | 30\% | 27\% | 32\% | 31\% | 30\% | 34\% | 46\% | 46\% | 33\% |
| (Rubio) | 11\% | 10\% | 12\% | 9\% | 13\% | 13\% | 8\% | 10\% | 12\% |
| (Bush) | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 8\% | 9\% | 5\% | 5\% | 2\% | 8\% |
| (Fiorina) | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | - | *\% |
| (Christie) | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 2\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| (Kasich) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | *\% |
| (Pataki) | *\% | *\% | - | - | *\% | - | - | - | *\% |
| (Paul) | 5\% | 11\% | 3\% | 9\% | 4\% | 5\% | 4\% | 9\% | 4\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | 1\% | - | - | *\% | *\% | *\% | 1\% | *\% |
| (Graham) | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | - | 1\% |
| (All) | 1\% | - | 1\% | - | *\% | - | *\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Don't know) | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% | 13\% | 8\% | 12\% | 9\% | 3\% | 11\% |

13. Regardless of how you plan to vote, which Republican presidential candidate do you think would be most likely to beat Hillary Clinton in the presidential election?

LIKELY REPUBLICAN CAUCUS-GOERS

|  | Total | Men | Women | College Degree | $\begin{array}{r} \text { No } \\ \text { Degree } \end{array}$ | Top <br> Nat | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Iss } \\ & \text { Sec } \end{aligned}$ | Econ | Strong Leader | Honest | Attend <br> Def | Caucus Prob |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 32\% | 33\% | 30\% | 27\% | 38\% |  | 34\% | 22\% | 43\% | 24\% | 30\% | 33\% |
| (Carson) | 6\% | 7\% | 5\% | 6\% | 6\% |  | 5\% | 5\% | 5\% | 9\% | 7\% | 5\% |
| (Cruz) | 18\% | 19\% | 17\% | 16\% | 20\% |  | 19\% | 14\% | 15\% | 18\% | 19\% | 17\% |
| (Rubio) | 14\% | 13\% | 14\% | 19\% | 7\% |  | 17\% | 18\% | 16\% | 13\% | 14\% | 13\% |
| (Bush) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |  | *\% | 5\% | 2\% | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% |
| (Fiorina) | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% | *\% |  | 1\% | - | - | 2\% | 1\% | *\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% |  | - | 1\% | - | - | 1\% | *\% |
| (Christie) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |  | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Kasich) | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | *\% |  | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | - |
| (Pataki) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Paul) | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% | 3\% |  | 1\% | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 2\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | *\% | - | - | *\% |  | *\% | - | - | - | - | *\% |
| (Graham) | *\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | - |  | 1\% | - | - | - | 1\% | - |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | *\% | *\% | - | *\% | - |  | - | 1\% | - | - | - | *\% |
| (All) | 11\% | 14\% | 7\% | 9\% | 13\% |  | 13\% | 9\% | 4\% | 9\% | 12\% | 10\% |
| (Don't know) | 10\% | 5\% | 17\% | 12\% | 8\% |  | 7\% | 17\% | 10\% | 17\% | 7\% | 14\% |


|  | Total | Under <br> Age 45 | 45+ | Under \$50k | \$50k+ | White Evan | Very Cons | Tea Party | Rep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Trump) | 32\% | 35\% | 30\% | 41\% | 30\% | 32\% | 27\% | 31\% | 31\% |
| (Carson) | 6\% | 8\% | 5\% | 6\% | 7\% | 6\% | 5\% | 9\% | 6\% |
| (Cruz) | 18\% | 13\% | 21\% | 13\% | 21\% | 20\% | 28\% | 27\% | 20\% |
| (Rubio) | 14\% | 15\% | 13\% | 11\% | 15\% | 14\% | 10\% | 9\% | 14\% |
| (Bush) | 3\% | 2\% | 3\% | 1\% | 3\% | 2\% | 2\% | 1\% | 3\% |
| (Fiorina) | 1\% | *\% | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% |
| (Huckabee) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| (Christie) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | - | - | 1\% |
| (Kasich) | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | *\% | - | - | - | *\% |
| (Pataki) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Paul) | 3\% | 5\% | 2\% | 4\% | 2\% | 4\% | 3\% | 4\% | 3\% |
| (Santorum) | *\% | *\% | - | *\% | - | - | - | *\% | - |
| (Graham) | *\% | - | *\% | 1\% | - | 1\% | 1\% | - | *\% |
| (Gilmore) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| (Other) | *\% | - | *\% | - | *\% | - | *\% | - | *\% |
| (All) | 11\% | 9\% | 12\% | 7\% | 10\% | 10\% | 16\% | 14\% | 11\% |
| (Don't know) | 10\% | 11\% | 10\% | 10\% | 8\% | 10\% | 6\% | 2\% | 9\% |

