Public Comments on SWARC Regulations

PM indicates a comment was made at the public meeting on September 9, 2022

Changes
0
Change (Yes / No)
No

Page 1

			Sub	1			Change (Yes /
Comment	Regulation	Reg. Name	Section	Submitted By	Public Comment	SWARC Response	No)
	26 11 01 01	C		V C	There have a second for the terror and Deliving Livery Letters and the Land to second the Land of CWADC and the	This is not a support of the support	N.
1	36.11.01.01	Scope		Karen Senac	I have been approved for the temporary Principle license. Is there anything else I can do to prepare ahead of SWARC approval. I think the faster that Maryland gets mobile sports betting up and running the more money they will have for infrastructure and schools in the Maryland area as for me I don't like	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					going to the casino to place a bet because every time I go to the casino there is a chance that I could be robbed as to where if mobile sports betting was legal in Maryland I		
9	36.11.01.01	Scope		Robert	wouldn't have to leave my house to make sports bets	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					What in God's name is taking so long? I get that you're trying to help out the lil guy but this is a stupid battle. The major companies in mobile betting are the trusted ones, Noone		
10	36.11.01.01	Scope		Kris Sankar	will use an unknown book to wager because there's no credibility. So give the licenses out already and stop wasting time and money.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					Online betting is long overdue! I have been betting online for more than 15 years. I have used multiple apps. Currently I'm using William Hill, Bet Parx and STN (Stations Casino)		
					in Las Vegas. Pros and cons: William Hill is very simple, but limited in what you can bet. For example, you can't parlay live matches with future matches. Still, it is a great betting app and odds are good. Now my favorite of all time is Bet Parx. You can mix and match different sports and live and future bets. Also, one great feature which nobody else has is		
					special bets. They have 3-5 special bets everyday! I fall for them all the time! A great feature in favor of the casino most of the time. They also allow you to cash out during a bet.		
11	36.11.01.01	Scope		Ebeth Pretorius	Please consider an app like them.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
14	36.11.01.01	Scope		Robert R Kloss Jr	why is maryland always last to get these things done!!! get the sports betting app out NOW!!!!!!	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
				Arthur Robinson -	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license. The \$500,000 fee for a mobile license should be \$100,000 if an applicant has		
13d	36.11.01.02	Definitions	15	Full Circle	under 50 employees and \$6 million in revenue.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
				Gresham - Bella	My restaurant is interested in obtaining a mobile betting license to operate betting slips from our carry-out area. We have the infrastructure and funds for application fees. What		
	36.11.02.02	General General		Napoli Varan Diindan	steps should we take from here? and would table side sports betting by our waiters and waitresses be allowed?	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
<u>'</u>	36.11.02.02	General		Karen Blinder	We do not need more betting of any variety. Gambling can impoverish participants (particularly those with an addiction to it), and it provides conditions conducive of crime.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
		Separate Applications		Arthur Robinson -			
13e	36.11.02.03	and fees		Full Circle	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
		Process for the					
		Submission of		Arthur Robinson -			
13f	36.11.02.04	Applications and Fees		Full Circle	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
		G 1 · · · · COWARG		A .d . TO .1:			
13g	36.11.02.06	Submission of SWARC Application		Arthur Robinson - Full Circle	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
135	30.11.02.00	SWARC Application -		i un cheic	Execute tees for motive appreciations should be returnation in the representation for the available at the responsable to the r	This is a request for a statutory change that 5 Write cannot address.	110
		Determination of		Arthur Robinson -			
13h	36.11.02.07	Ineligibility		Full Circle	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
		Withdrawal of		Arthur Robinson -			
13n	36.11.02.12	Applications		Full Circle	License fees for mobile applications should be refundable if the Applicant is not awarded a license or if the Applicant withdraws their Application before being "granted" a license	. This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
		Dogwinsments for a					
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC			If applicable, contain the information pertaining to the applicant's efforts to solicit and interview minority and women investors, as set forth in §F of this regulation;	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
12c	36.11.02.08	Application	B(3)	Mr. Hye Lee	(If applicable must be removed; this is a requirement)	sought investors.	No
		Requirements for a					
		Completed SWARC		Arthur Robinson -	(3) If applicable, contain the information pertaining to the applicant's efforts to solicit and interview minority and women investors, as set forth in §F of this regulation;	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
			B(3)	Full Circle	This should be a requirement not "if applicable" and "efforts".	sought investors.	No
13i	36.11.02.08	Application	B (3)				
13i	36.11.02.08	Requirements for a	B(3)	M-10-10-1	A residence of the Control of the Co	The state of the s	
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC		Malik Edwards -	Amend 36.11.02.08(B.)(3) on Page 7, by striking the words "If applicable" so that the section reads "Contain the information pertaining to the Applicant's efforts to solicit and interview misority and women investors, as set forth in Section F. of this regulation."	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
13i 16a	36.11.02.08 36.11.02.08	Requirements for a	B(3)	Malik Edwards - Bet On Black	interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation."	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant sought investors.	No
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC					
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC			interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits:		
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC			interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an		
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application			interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an equity share in the applicant.		
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application Requirements for a			interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an equity share in the applicant. (2) Each applicant shall submit an acknowledgement that, if SWARC awards it a license, the awardee shall sign a memorandum of understanding with SWARC that requires the	sought investors.	
16a	36.11.02.08	Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application Requirements for a Completed SWARC	B(3)	Bet On Black	interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an equity share in the applicant. (2) Each applicant shall submit an acknowledgement that, if SWARC awards it a license, the awardee shall sign a memorandum of understanding with SWARC that requires the awardee to make serious, good-faith efforts to interview minority and women investors in any current and future attempts to raise venture capital or attract new investors to the	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	No
		Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application			interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an equity share in the applicant. (2) Each applicant shall submit an acknowledgement that, if SWARC awards it a license, the awardee shall sign a memorandum of understanding with SWARC that requires the	sought investors.	
16a	36.11.02.08	Requirements for a Completed SWARC Application Requirements for a Completed SWARC	B(3)	Bet On Black	interview minority and women investors, as set forth in Section F of this regulation." Suggested edits: (1) As required by State Government Article, §9-1E-15(i), Annotated Code of Maryland, for all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have sought minority and women investors, the applicant shall have made serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors, and submit with its SWARC application a statement that lists the names and addresses of all minority and women investors interviewed and whether or not any of those investors have acquired an equity share in the applicant. (2) Each applicant shall submit an acknowledgement that, if SWARC awards it a license, the awardee shall sign a memorandum of understanding with SWARC that requires the awardee to make serious, good-faith efforts to interview minority and women investors in any current and future attempts to raise venture capital or attract new investors to the	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	No

10/17/2022

Public Comments on SWARC Regulations

PM indicates a comment was made at the public meeting on September 9, 2022

Changes
0
Change (Yes /

П		1	Cycle				
omment .	Regulation	Reg. Name	Sub Section	Submitted By	Public Comment	SWARC Response	Change (Yes No)
					Amend 36.11.02.13(E) on Page 12, replacing the words "If an applicant sought investors," with "If any such evidence exists," so that the section reads "If any such evidence		
		Evaluation of			exists, evidence of Applicant's serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors and whether or not any of those investors	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
16b	36.11.02.13	Applications	(E)	Bet On Black	have purchased an equity share in the Applicant"	sought investors.	No
					Suggested edits:		
					For all mobile sports betting licenses an applicant must have H an applicant (Must be removed; it is too weak) sought minority and women investors, evidence of applicant's		
		Evaluation of			serious, good-faith efforts to solicit and interview a reasonable number of minority and women investors and whether or not any of those investors have purchased an equity share	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
12f	36.11.02.13	Applications	E	,	in the applicant;	sought investors.	No
		Evaluation of			The current requirement to make a serious, good faith effort to solicit and interview minority and women businesses only if an Applicant seeks investors is not sufficient. This	The statutory requirement is to provide the information IF the applicant	
13p	36.11.02.13	Applications	Е	Full Circle	should be a mandatory requirement.	sought investors.	No
				Cory Fox -		SWARC can only determine when licenses will be awarded, not when	
15	36.11.02.02	General		FanDuel	The single concern that we would like to address is the use of a universal launch date for all mobile sports wagering operators	they will be issued for launch of wagering by the MLGCC.	No
						SWARC may consider pending complaints or lawsuits in its	
						deliberations as to whether awarding a license is in the public interest.	
		Determination of			Businesses like MGM, Maryland Live, and Horseshoe Casino that have a complaint or civil lawsuit for racism discrimination should be deny a license if a proposal of diversity is	MLGCC will also consider them in determining if an applicant is	
8	36.11.02.07	Ineligibility		Saundra Taylor	required with the submission of an application.	qualified.	No
		Requirements for a		•			
		Completed SWARC		Arthur Robinson -	(14) (a) Experience in the operation of sports wagering;	Lack of experience is not a disqualifying factor. Experience will be	
13j	36.11.02.08	Application	E(14)(a)	Full Circle	This should not be a criteria for rejection. No one in Maryland has experience in Maryland.	evaluated since this is a complex and inherently risky business.	No
-		Requirements for a	-\- ·/(u)		yyyy	and milesoning states of the s	1.0
		Completed SWARC		Arthur Robinson -		Business plans are not a disqualifying factor. Plans will be evaluated to	
13k	36.11.02.08	Application	E(15)	Full Circle	A business plan for 5 years should not be a criteria for rejection of an Application because the information can be very subjective and fiscally skewed.	confirm that projections are reasonable.	No
1.JK	50.11.02.08	Application	E(13)	Tun Chee	requires plan for 3 years should not be a criteria for rejection of an Application because the information can be very subjective and fiscally skewed.	commit that projections are reasonable.	140
				Arthur Robinson -	"Applicant recognizes that sports wagering is a competitive business and has an inherent risk of instability and unprofitability; and" -		
	26 11 02 00	D : 1D :	G(2)				
3m	36.11.02.08	Required Documents	G(2)	Full Circle	This seems condescending. All business is competitive and has an inherent risk of instability and unprofitability.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	
					By not having any particular weight assigned to the evaluation selection criteria, this hurts the process of selecting Awardees. Having evaluation selection criteria standardize with		
					scoring removes subjectivity from the process. Most importantly, having weighted evaluation criteria sets expectations, ensures high-quality responses and delivers fairness and		
		Evaluation of			transparency to the process.	SWARC will evaluate each application by applying the criteria set out in	
12e	36.11.02.13	Applications		Mr. Hye Lee	If, we had a true "Competitive license" sports wagering license awarding system then a weighted ranking system would be necessary and required.	Regulation 36.11.02.13	No
		Evaluation of		Arthur Robinson -		SWARC will evaluate each application by applying the criteria set out in	
13o	36.11.02.13	Applications		Full Circle	A definitive point system with detailed explanations (like RFPs) and scoring on the criteria should be used to evaluate applicants.	Regulation 36.11.02.13	No
		License Award		Arthur Robinson -		This subsection is based on SG 9-1E-07(i), which sets forth limitations	
13q	36.11.02.14	Decision	F(3)	Full Circle	"The awardee may not transfer the award to another person." Does that mean the license can't be transferred? For how long?	on the sale or transfer of a license.	No
		License Award		Arthur Robinson -	"SWARC may offer unawarded, available licenses through a subsequent competitive process". We agree this is important, especially if SWARC creates a separate class and		
PM :	36.11.02.14	Decision	J	Full Circle	fee for smaller mobile licensees.	No change suggested	No
						A share of revenues or profits will be considered to meet the 5%	
						ownership requirement. Ideally, the person or entity should have an	
						active role in the operation of sports wagering. Entities with 5% or more	
				Charles Hopkins -	Seeking confirmation or clarification in the regulation that an agreement in which a party receives a revenue share from a mobile applicant, but is not an owner, is permissible and	of an applicant are considered owners and will undergo full	
M	36 11 02 19	Ownership Criteria			meets the intent of the regulation's requirement to have at least 5% ownership by an individual with personal net worth under \$1,847,000. {See comment 2}	investigations.	No
- 1		5 norsinp Criteria			We would suggest that Section .19 be removed from the proposed regulations as it is beyond the scope of what was contemplated by the legislature and the Governor.	m. congunotio.	110
					We would suggest that if SWARC feels that Section .19 is vital it should add the concept of early access from the legislation for applicant's that satisfy that criteria. More		
				Daham Manad C	specifically, Section .19 could be amended as follows, "SWARC may not award a license to an applicant that has not demonstrated direct or indirect ownership of not less than 5		
6	26 11 02 10	1: 0:		Robert Moncrief	percent by individuals with personal net worth of less than \$1,847,000 each, however applicants that can meet that ownership criteria may be entitled to early access to the mobile	P. 14: 10 'H. 1	.,
· .	36.11.02.19	Ownership Criteria		Jr. Bet365	sports wagering market."	Regulation 19 will remain as proposed.	No
				Arthur Robinson -	The current requirement is that SWARC "may not" award a license unless the Applicant includes at least 5% ownership by individuals with personal net worth under \$1,847,000.	The terms 'may not' and 'shall not' have the same meaning. Both	1
3r	36.11.02.19	Ownership Criteria		Full Circle	This should be "shall" not "may".	establish a prohibition.	No
		Evaluation of				SWARC is not limiting the number of mobile licenses that it may award.	
3	36.11.13	Applications		Richard Smith	Don't "artificially" limit the number of mobile licenses.	The maximum number of mobile licenses is set by statute.	No
						A share of revenues or profits will be considered to meet the 5%	
						ownership requirement. Ideally, the person or entity should have an	
					Request to add bold text allowing participation without ownership. A. SWARC may not award a license to an Applicant that has not demonstrated either direct or indirect	active role in the operation of sports wagering. Entities with 5% or more	
							i
				Charles Hopkins -	ownership of not less than 5%, or meaningful economic interests reasonably expected to be at least 5% of its revenue generated on an ongoing basis, by individuals with	of an applicant are considered owners and will undergo full	
2	36.11.19	Ownership Criteria	Α		ownership of not less than 5%, or meaningful economic interests reasonably expected to be at least 5% of its revenue generated on an ongoing basis, by individuals with Personal Net Worth of less than \$1,847,000 each.	of an applicant are considered owners and will undergo full investigations.	No

10/17/2022

Public Comments on SWARC Regulations

PM indicates a comment was made at the public meeting on September 9, 2022

Changes 0

Comment	Regulation	Reg. Name	Sub Section	Submitted By	Public Comment	SWARC Response	Change (Yes /
				,	In November of 2020, Maryland voters voted to allow mobile sports betting. To this day SWARC has still has not approved mobile betting in the state of MD. How much money	***************************************	
					and time has SWARC caused MD residents of all ethnicities and income levels because they are forced to drive to a casino to make sports bets instead of being able to make		
					sports bets over the mobile phone? I would guess this number is in the tens of millions of dollars!! Every day SWARC delays approving mobile betting puts an added burden on		
					MD residents. When is SWARC going to do their job?		
					Why has SWARC not approved mobile betting for companies such as Fan Duel, Draft Kings and other major casinos that are all ready to allow MD residents the right to make a		
					mobile bet? Any other company that wants to operate a mobile betting site has had plenty of time to submit their application. When the other companies are ready to operate their		
4	General	None		Tom Rothschild's	mobile sports betting businesses, then they can get approved. Why are you penalizing MD residents for your slow process?	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					Where are the Diversity and Inclusion requirements for 40 new positions? Maryland Lottery and Gaming Control Agency needs to have more Diversity and Inclusion, too.		
12a	N/A	Assumptions		Mr. Hye Lee	Economic opportunity and inclusion?	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
		Economic Impact on			What about the impact for minority equity investors for mobile sports betting licenses? Where is section on the Economic Impact on Minority Equity Investors? More details are		
12b	N/A	Small Businesses		Mr. Hye Lee	needed.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					There should be a refund of the SWARC application fee for any unapproved mobile sports betting licenses especially if the requirements cannot be met. We do not want entities		
12g	N/A			Mr. Hye Lee	approved in advance if they cannot meet SWARC requirements. Correction plans are unacceptable after the fact of any approvals and selections.	This is a request for a statutory change that SWARC cannot address.	No
					Attached is evidence and support from a letter by the Maryland 3 largest casinos sent to SWARC around November 15, 2021 for minority and women investors' involvement and		
					participation in mobile sports betting licenses. Reflect these requirements in the Regulations, Applications, Evaluation of Applications and in the Awarding of the Licenses on all	Based on the available evidence, the State cannot, consistent with the	
						United States Constitution, adopt race- and gender-conscious measures	
12h	N/A			Mr. Hye Lee	(a) Class B-1 or B-2 sports wagering facility license; or (b) Mobile sports wagering license.	in connection with the award of sports wagering licenses.	No
				Arthur Robinson -			
13a	N/A	Statement of Purpose		Full Circle	There is no way for an applicant to fix issues and establish procedures if they fall short of requirements. Applicants should be given time to address any issues.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
				Arthur Robinson -			
13b	N/A	Economic Impact		Full Circle	There is no way for an applicant to fix issues and establish procedures if they fall short of requirements. Applicants should be given time to address any issues.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
				Arthur Robinson -	Nowhere does it say or encourage small or minority/women-owned businesses the to be a mobile sportsbook or operator. It only talks about being an investor and/or doing support		
13c	N/A	Economic Impact		Full Circle	services which is good but why was being a mobile sportsbook or operator not specifically mentioned.	This is not a comment on the substance of the proposed regulations	No
					I must also comment on the proposed regulation's lack of any meaningful provisions relating to diversity in awarding licenses. SWARC's August 26, 2022, letter to the Joint		
					Committee on Administrative and Legislative Review (AELR) attempts to explain this omission by stating that:		
					"The Consulting Economist and author of the analysis, Dr. Jon Wainwright, concluded that he could not "opine on whether the 2017 Disparity Study is sufficient to support any		
					other type of race- and/or gender-conscious remedy in the SWEW Industry in Maryland." This means that based on the analysis, SWARC is not able to apply any race- and/or		
					gender-conscious criteria in its evaluation of applicants."		
					This justification appears to be disingenuous and misleading. HB940 called for "a study of the sports wagering industry and market to determine whether there is a compelling		
					interest to implement remedial measures, to assist minorities and women in the sports wagering industry," HB940 was signed by the Governor over a year ago in May of 2021,		
					providing ample time for a new study into the availability of minorities and women who are ready, willing and able to apply for sports wagering licenses. Instead, the SWARC		
					asked Dr. Wainright "to determine whether the State's 2017 Disparity Study provides an evidentiary basis for applying race- and/or gender-conscious remedial measures to the		
					Sports Wagering and Event Wagering (SWEW) Industry in Maryland." Since the 2017 Disparity Study pre-dates the legalization of sports wagering in Maryland, it is simply not		
					possible for it to have included any statistical evidence that speak directly to the SWEW industry. In short, by requesting an analysis of the 2017 Disparity Study instead of	The State procured an industry analysis of the sports wagering industry.	
					procuring a new study into race and gender availability in the SWEW industry, the SWARC essentially guaranteed the end result – that Dr. Wainwright did not have sufficient data		
					to opine on whether race and/or gender-conscious measures could be applied to the evaluation of applications. Surely Dr. Wainwright, given his experience in the field, would	analysis and the 2017 State Disparity Study, the State cannot, consistent	
				Malik Edwards -	have informed the SWARC of this fact at the outset. It begs the question of what motivated the decision to not commission a new analysis specific to the SWEW industry. (Cont.	with the United States Constitution, adopt race- and gender-conscious	
				Bet On Black	Below)	measures in connection with the award of sports wagering licenses.	No
				Det On Black	The SWARC conclusion that it "is not able to apply any race- and/or gender-conscious criteria in its evaluation of applicants," also appears overly conclusory. Both Dr.	incasures in connection with the award of sports wagering neediscs.	110
					Wainwright's August 26, 2022, letter and the 2017 Disparity Study state that:		
					"The statistical and anecdotal evidence presented in this Study is strong evidence that establishes Maryland's compelling interest in remedying race and gender discrimination. The		
16c	None Stated	None Stated			evidence supports the conclusion that affirmative intervention is still needed to dismantle the exclusion of racial and gender groups from the private sector market. Maryland will		
100	None Stated	None Stated			likely be a passive participant in a discriminatory marketplace if it fails to continue to address the issue."		
					In addition, the 2017 Disparity Study found significant statistical disparities for racial minorities and women in business formation, business owner earnings, and access to credit		
					and capital markets. All of these facts could, and should, constitute a compelling government interest for the government to implement race and gender conscious measures in the		
					evaluation of applications.		
					The SWARC's view is that since the SWEW industry is new, there is no evidence of passed discrimination, therefore we must wait to see if discrimination does actually occur		
					before we can address it. This is nonsensical. In fact, one could argue that by doing nothing, knowing from passed history that discrimination in the SWEW licensing market is		
					about to occur, Maryland would become not just a passive participant in the discriminatory marketplace, but an active participant. I ask that the SWARC request from Dr.		
					Wainwright an estimate of the time it would take to conduct an adequate an analysis to determine whether race and/or gender-conscious measures would be justified in evaluating		
					license applications. In my experience (I recently participated in a comprehensive disparity study of a city's contracting and procurement), this analysis could be completed in		
					weeks given its limited scope in analyzing availability since no utilization data exists. (Cont. Below)		1

10/17/2022

PM indicates a comment was made at the public meeting on September 9, 2022

Changes 0

Comment	Regulation	Reg. Name	Sub Section	Submitted By	Public Comment	SWARC Response	Change (Yes / No)
					Finally, I add that implementation of sports gaming in Maryland should learn from the initial challenges experienced implementing cannabis grower licenses in 2016. The 2018 Cannabis Commission Reform Act and its corrective provisions is instructive on how sports gaming should be implemented in Maryland. The provisions in that Cannibus Reform Act should serve as a model for sports gaming regulations to ensure minority equity inclusion. Specifically, MD Code, Health - General, § 13-3305.3 states that: A person that applies for licensure under this subtitle shall submit with the application for licensure an affidavit attesting to: (1) The number of minority and women owners of the applicant; (2) The ownership interest of any minority and women owners of the applicant; (3) The number of minority and women employees of the applicant; and (4) Any other information considered necessary by the Commission. The SWARC regulations should require the same.		
Commen	Comments 13a to 13r from Arthur Robinson were submitted in writing as well as during the public comment meeting						

10/17/2022 Page 4