

Flash Flood Warning Operations Methodology  
(Last updated: 10/18/22)

**1. Familiarize with the environment using NSHARP, favorable parameters:**

- Above average PWs (> 75th percentile)
- Deep warm cloud layer (> 10 kft)
- Long, skinny CAPE (500-2000 J/kg)
- Moist vertical profile (Low/Mid RH > 70%)
- Slow “LCL-EL (Cloud Layer)” wind (< 10-15 kt)
- Slow Corfidi Up/Down shear vectors (< 10-15 kt)

**2. Familiarize with the antecedent soil conditions and topography**

- a. Look at FLASH soil moisture to see recently saturated areas (> 50%)
- b. Become familiar with 1- and 3-hr FFG values across your CWA
- c. Consider topography and urban areas

**3. Choose your optimal precip source: Dual-Pol or MRMS**

- a. Assess QPEs at all durations, comparing with observations when possible

	Purpose	Compare QPEs with...	Notes
<b>Storm-total</b>	Get a feel for totals	Mesonets <i>(note the units)</i>	Know when Mesonets reset; zoom in before sampling
<b>1-hr</b>	Get a feel for recent accumulations	METARs <i>(PXXXX = XX.XX in)</i>	Time-match at top of hour; zoom in before sampling
<b>Rates</b>	See how rates affect precip classifications	n/a	<i>Instantaneous</i> rates change quickly → be careful when interpreting

- b. Assess QPE quality
  - Is there **melting hail** that could be high-biasing the estimates?
    - Look for KDP > 4-5 deg/km
  - Is your threat area **below the melting layer** for your chosen radar?
    - Being below the melting layer adds confidence that the radar is sampling liquid precip
- c. FFMP precip source options

<b>DPR</b>	DP, single radar	use for Dual-Pol estimates that may have beam blockage
<b>HPE</b>	DP, mosaic	use for DP + mosaic ( <i>preferred DP source</i> )
<b>MRMS</b>	mosaic	uses DP below melting layer, unique precip type and Z-R logic, high temp res

**4. Analyze streamflow signatures in FFMP and FLASH**

- a. Use **FFMP** to diagnose flash flood threat using optimal precip source above
  - Ideal set-up: “All & Only Small Basins” (*Layer menu*) and “Ratio” (*D2D menu*)
    - Ratio > 100% : to identify areas of flash flooding
    - Diff > 0 in. : to assess severity of flash flooding
  - Look at 1-, 3-, and 6-hour durations (*for both short-term and training potential*)
  - Advanced: use all-hour basin trend graph to identify timing and durations for analysis
- b. Use **FLASH** to diagnose flash flood threat
  - CREST Unit Streamflow (*recommended values below*)
  - All FLASH products use MRMS as QPE input → remember this while interpreting them!

**5. Issue Flash Flood Warnings and reassess regularly for Flash Flood Statements**

<b>Duration</b>	No less than 3 hours
<b>Polygon size</b>	Small buffer around current threat (FFMP & FLASH); broaden for evolving threat (next couple hours); consider downstream direction
<b>Text</b>	how much rain has fallen; how much more is expected over the warning duration; cities impacted; reports included; 1-2 Call-to-Action statements
<b>IBW tag</b>	Consider extent of impacts; consider CREST Unit Streamflow values (below)

Recommended CREST Unit Streamflow values to analyze flash flood threat:

CREST Unit Streamflow	IBW Tag	Action
< 200 cfs/mi <sup>2</sup>	--	Monitor area for increasing FF potential
200-400 cfs/mi <sup>2</sup>	BASE	Monitor closely; initial threshold for FFW
400-600 cfs/mi <sup>2</sup>	CONSIDERABLE	Higher confidence in warning issuance and elevated impacts
600+ cfs/mi <sup>2</sup> (w/ verif)	CATASTROPHIC	Significant FF event; significant impacts expected

\*Look for values that are continuous in space and time

Loading the FFMP Basin Trend Graph:

1. Right-click on basin name in FFMP Basin Table
2. FFMP text legend “editable”, Click menu in FFMP table set to “Basin Trend”, right-click on basin in D2D

